



**HAL**  
open science

## Balanced solution versus saline in critically ill patients: a new piece to the puzzle!

Kada Klouche, Xavier Monnet, Alexander Zarbock

### ► To cite this version:

Kada Klouche, Xavier Monnet, Alexander Zarbock. Balanced solution versus saline in critically ill patients: a new piece to the puzzle!. Intensive Care Medicine, 2023, 10.1007/s00134-023-07306-2 . hal-04355064

**HAL Id: hal-04355064**

**<https://hal.science/hal-04355064v1>**

Submitted on 20 Dec 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Balanced solution versus saline in critically ill patients: a new piece to the puzzle!

Kada Klouche<sup>1,4\*</sup>, Xavier Monnet<sup>2</sup> and Alexander Zarbock<sup>3</sup>

**\*Correspondence:** k-klouche@chu-montpellier.fr

## Author details

1 Intensive Care Medicine Department, Lapeyronie University Hospital, PhyMedExp, University of Montpellier, INSERM, CNRS, Montpellier, France.

2 AP-HP, Service de Médecine Intensive-Réanimation, Hôpital de Bicêtre, DMU4 CORREVE, Inserm UMR S\_999, FHU SEPSIS, CARMAS, Université Paris-Saclay, 78 rue du Général Leclerc, 94270 Le Kremlin-Bicetre, France.

3 Department of Anesthesiology, Intensive Care and Pain Medicine, University Hospital Münster, Münster, Germany.

4 Intensive Care Medicine Department, University of Montpellier, Lapeyronie Hospital, 371 Avenue du Doyen Gaston Giraud, 34295 Montpellier, France

Almost all critically ill patients receive intravenous fluids and this therapy is often the first-line treatment to optimize the hemodynamic condition. This common therapy is far from being innocuous. In particular, administration of large volumes of saline as resuscitation or maintenance fluid carries the risk of developing an hypernatremic and hyperchloremic metabolic acidosis, acute kidney injury, and might worsen patients' outcome [1]. The use of balanced solutions (BS) may avoid these adverse effects and would result in a lower rate of renal replacement therapy or persistent renal dysfunction than the use of saline [2].

Recently, four large trials with controversial results evaluated the effect of balanced crystalloids versus saline on patient-centered outcomes in critically ill patients [2–5]. When fluid composition was monitored early before admission to the intensive care unit (ICU), the use of BS was beneficial [2, 3], but when this was monitored only after ICU admission, no difference could be demonstrated [4, 5]. In a secondary analysis of the multi-center, randomized BaSICS trial [4] comparing balanced crystalloids with saline in critically ill patients, Zampieri et al. [6] were able to show that the patients who received balanced crystalloids before and after randomization had a reduced mortality compared to patients that only received saline.

In an article published in this issue [7], Zampieri and colleagues continued their investigation by carrying out a new post-hoc analysis of the original BaSICS study. They attempted to answer two questions: is the volume of fluid infused more determined by patient characteristics or local practices and is there a “dose–response” for the potential benefit provided by BS? They analyzed 10,505 patients, from 53 “effective” sites after lumping sites that recruited fewer than 20 patients. First, they found that basic predictors of fluid use, such as admission type, vasopressor use and heart rate, explained only a small part of the variance in fluid use during the first three days, whereas the site of enrolment explained it better. Second, and even more importantly, the results support a better prognosis with BS for septic patients who received more fluid, without being able to clearly define a threshold value. Accordingly, a benefit of BS was found for sites with high fluid administration, particularly for septic patients.

This study is the result of a secondary analysis of the BaSICS multicenter randomized trial, which included a large number of critically ill patients, enabling such an analysis. Over 5000 patients admitted to the ICU and over 3000 patients alive on day three were analyzed in each group. Thus, the results can be considered valid despite the fact that this is a post hoc study with multiple statistical manipulations.

The main finding of this study was the beneficial effect of BS over saline in septic patients when higher volumes were administered and is in line with a recently published cluster-randomized trial [8]. The benefit of BS in septic patients has already been reported in secondary trial analyses [6, 9, 10] and its dose–response effect suggested in a retrospective study [11]. The present study supports the idea that the benefit of BS is more potent in septic patients, and in case of large volumes infused. Indeed, the risk of hyperchloremic metabolic acidosis should appear only when such large volumes are infused, suggesting that the choice of fluid should be personalized depending on the amount of fluid that is required [12]. However, it is also possible that the infusion of smaller amounts of manifestation.

Typically, BS should be preferred to saline for hemodynamic stabilization in septic patients in whom the hypovolemic component of circulatory failure is strong, such as in case large fluid losses, peritonitis or pancreatitis, bearing in mind their detrimental effect in traumatic brain injury [2]. It is perhaps because the comparison of BS vs. saline was not performed in such specific patients that large RCTs could not show any difference in mortality, as confirmed by a recent meta-analysis [13]. In any cases, it must be borne in mind that resuscitation with large volumes of fluid carries other risks than hyperchloremic acidosis and acute kidney injury. Whatever the type of fluid, fluid accumulation is clearly associated with worse outcomes, especially in septic patients [14]. The present study focuses not only on the type of fluid used, but also on the volume infused.

In conclusion, the analysis published here adds a new stone to the edifice of resuscitation management in critical situations, but it should prompt further randomized studies for confirmation. This is not the final round, as the game continues.

### **Funding**

This is a non-funded article.

### **Declarations**

### **Conflicts of interest**

KK and AZ declare no conflict of interest. XM is a member of advisory board of Cetinge and has received honoraria for giving lectures from Baxter and AOL.

## **References**

1. Yunos NM, Bellomo R, Hegarty C, Story D, Ho L, Bailey M (2012) Association between a chloride-liberal vs chloride-restrictive intravenous fluid administration strategy and kidney injury in critically ill adults. *JAMA* 308(15):1566–1572
2. Semler MW, Self WH, Wanderer JP, Ehrenfeld JM, Wang L, Byrne DW, SMART Investigators and the Pragmatic Critical Care Research Group et al (2018) Balanced crystalloids versus saline in critically ill adults. *N Engl J Med* 378:829–839

3. Self WH, Semler MW, Wanderer JP, Wang L, Byrne DW, Collins SP, Investigators SALT-ED et al (2018) Balanced crystalloids versus saline in noncritically ill adults. *N Engl J Med* 378:819–828
4. Zampieri FG, Machado FR, Biondi RS, Freitas FGR, Veiga VC, Figueiredo RC, BaSICS investigators and the BRICNet members et al (2021) Effect of intravenous fluid treatment with a balanced solution vs 0.9% saline solution on mortality in critically ill patients: the BaSICS randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 326:818
5. Finfer S, Micallef S, Hammond N, Navarra L, Bellomo R, Billot L, PLUS Study Investigators; Australian New Zealand Intensive Care Society Clinical Trials Group et al (2022) Balanced multielectrolyte solution versus saline in critically ill adults. *N Engl J Med* 386:815–826
6. Zampieri FG, Machado FR, Biondi RS, Freitas FGR, Veiga VC, Figueiredo RC, BaSICS investigators and the BRICNet et al (2022) Association between type of fluid received prior to enrollment, type of admission, and effect of balanced crystalloid in critically ill adults: a secondary exploratory analysis of the BaSICS clinical trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 205:1419–1428
7. Zampieri FG, Machado FR, Veiga VC, Azevedo LCP, Bagshaw SM, Damiani LP, Cavalcanti AB (2023) Determinants of fluid use and the association between volume of fluid used and effect of balanced solutions on mortality in critically ill patients: a secondary analysis of the BaSICS Trial. *Intensive Care Med.* [https:// doi. org/ 10. 1007/ s00134- 023- 07264-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-023-07264-9)
8. Semler MW, Wanderer JP, Ehrenfeld JM, Stollings JL, Self WH, Siew ED, Wang L, Byrne DW, Shaw AD, Bernard GR, Rice TW, SALT Investigators and the Pragmatic Critical Care Research Group; SALT Investigators (2017) Balanced crystalloids versus saline in the intensive care unit the SALT randomized trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 195(10):1362–1372
9. Brown RM, Wang L, Coston TD, Krishnan NI, Casey JD, Wanderer JP, Ehrenfeld JM, Byrne DW, Stollings JL, Siew ED et al (2019) Balanced crystalloids versus saline in sepsis. A secondary analysis of the SMART clinical trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 200:1487–1495
10. Jackson KE, Wang L, Casey JD, Bernard GR, Self WH, Rice TW, Semler MW, SMART Investigators and the Pragmatic Critical Care Research Group (2021) Effect of early balanced crystalloids before ICU admission on sepsis outcomes. *Chest* 159(2):585–595
11. Zampieri FG, Ranzani OT, Azevedo LC, Martins ID, Kellum JA, Libório AB (2016) Lactated ringer is associated with reduced mortality and less acute kidney injury in critically ill patients: a retrospective cohort analysis. *Crit Care Med* 44(12):2163–2170
12. De Backer D, Cecconi M, Chew MS, Monnet X, Ospina-Tascón GA, Ostermann M, Pinsky MR, Vincent JL (2022) A plea for personalization of the hemodynamic management of septic shock. *Crit Care* 26(1):372

13. Beran A, Altorok N, Srour O, Malhas S-E, Khokher W, Mhanna M, Ayesh H, Aladamat N, Abuhelwa Z, Srour K et al (2022) Balanced crystalloids versus normal saline in adults with sepsis: a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Med* 11:1971
14. Bakker J, Kattan E, Annane D, Castro R, Cecconi M, De Backer D, Dubin A, Evans L, Gong MN, Hamzaoui O, Ince C, Levy B, Monnet X, Ospina Tascon GA, Ostermann M, Pinsky MR, Russel SB, Scheeren TWL, Teboul JL, Vieillard Baron A, Vincent JL, Zampieri FG, Hernandez G (2022) Current practice and evolving concepts in septic shock resuscitation. *Intensive Care Med* 48:148–163