



HAL
open science

Indium-catalysed ring opening of 2-hydroxybutyrolactone through the cleavage of C(sp³)–O bond

Sameh Aoun, Joe Massouh, Noémie Scornet, Laurent Giordano, Alphonse Tenaglia, Gérard Buono, Patrick Rey, Virginie Bellière-Baca, Damien Hérault

► **To cite this version:**

Sameh Aoun, Joe Massouh, Noémie Scornet, Laurent Giordano, Alphonse Tenaglia, et al.. Indium-catalysed ring opening of 2-hydroxybutyrolactone through the cleavage of C(sp³)–O bond. *Comptes Rendus. Chimie*, 2023, 26 (G1), pp.89-98. 10.5802/crchim.245 . hal-04354097

HAL Id: hal-04354097

<https://hal.science/hal-04354097v1>

Submitted on 19 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Indium Catalysed Ring-Opening of 2-Hydroxybutyrolactone through the Cleavage of C(sp³)-O Bond

Sameh Aoun,^a Joe Massouh,^a Noémie Scornet,^a Laurent Giordano,^a Alphonse Tenaglia^a, Gérard Buono^a, Patrick Rey^b, Virginie Bellière-Baca^{b*} and Damien Hérault^{a*}.


^a Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, iSm2, Marseille, France

^b Adisseo France SAS, Antony Parc 2, 10 Place du Général de Gaulle, 92160 Antony, France

* Indicates the main/corresponding author.

virginie.belliere-baca@adisseo.com

damien.herault@centrale-marseille.fr



Abstract : The ring-opening by the cleavage of the C(sp³)-O bond of 2-hydroxybutyrolactone with (ethylthio)trimethylsilane was effectively catalysed by indium triiodide. Screening the reaction conditions allowed optimizing the ring-opening. The effect of the nucleophile/catalyst ratio on the reaction efficiency has also been demonstrated. After optimization, 2-hydroxy-4-(ethylthio)butyric acid was isolated with an excellent yield (85%), thus making this method useful for the preparation of methionine analogues.

Keywords methionine, lactone, sulfur nucleophiles, indium, thiol

1. Introduction

Considerable developments are underway to develop alternative sustainable and competitive pathways for methionine and hydroxy analogue production. Indeed, methionine is the most

essential amino acid in human and animal organisms. It plays an important role in cellular survival and protein biosynthesis. Added to food supplements, it improves the quantity and quality of products (meat, milk) from herbivorous animals [1] Methionine can be obtained synthetically by cyclisation of homoserine followed by an opening of the lactone using mercaptan salts.[2] Methionine may be given as a racemic compound as well as one of its analogue, the 2-hydroxy-4-(methylthio)butyric acid (HMB). Indeed the latter and 2-keto-4-(methylthio)butyric acid (KMB) (Figure 1) are biotransformed in the animal organisms to form methionine *in vivo*. [3] The synthesis of these derivatives is therefore an alternative to the preparation of methionine at industrial level.





Figure 1. Structures of methionine, HMB and KMB derivatives.

KMB is synthesized by a 1,4 Michael addition on an α,β -unsaturated ketone [1,4] while the opening of 2-hydroxybutyrolactone (**1a**) using mercaptan salts is the classic pathway to HMB,[5] which are energy consuming and generates a significant amount of solid waste (notably sodium salts). To overcome this problem, the replacement of mercaptan salts by soft nucleophiles in the presence of Lewis acids is promising. In this context, several methods of (α -substituted) lactones ring-opening have been carried out, in particular with the use of a aluminium halides as Lewis acids,[6a,b] but they still have a limitation in the application scope in terms of the nature of the substitution.[6] The ring-opening reaction of 2-hydroxy-substituted


lactones such as **1a** remains relatively underdeveloped despite its great usefulness to lead to HMB with minimum waste. To our knowledge, scarce reports of **1a** opening reactions have appeared where the reaction occurs at the carbon atom C(sp³)-O with various strong or soft nucleophiles like nitrogen,[6c] selenium,[7a, 7b, 7g] sulphur,[1a, 6d, 7e] or iodide atoms [7c, 7d].

In this work, we developed a ring-opening methodology of 2-hydroxybutyrolactone (**1a**) catalysed by a Lewis acid, with soft sulphur nucleophile to obtain 2-hydroxy-4-(ethylthio)butyric acid (**2a**), an HMB analogue. (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1. Ring-opening of 1a catalysed by Lewis acid.

Here we describe a general route to the desired product, in which a direct coupling between **1a** and (ethylthio)trimethylsilane was effectively catalysed by InI₃ in the presence of K₂CO₃ under drastic conditions (InI₃ is stored and weighted in glove-box, Schlenk techniques are used, see Chapter 4: experimental section). Our method was inspired by the methodology initially developed by Baba and co-workers.[7e] (Scheme 2). They first studied the formation of thioether starting from ester. Among several Lewis acid catalyst, the best result was achieved with InI₃ (77%), which showed a better ability to promote the substitution than InBr₃ or InCl₃. Then, they applied their best catalytic conditions to the ring-opening reaction of γ -butyrolactone (**1b**), which gave the corresponding thioethercarboxylic acid in 73% yield, using InI₃ as a catalyst and (phenylthio)trimethylsilane as a nucleophile. Better yields can be obtained with larger lactones which highlights the relative difficulty to open butyrolactones by the cleavage of the C(sp³)-O bond.



Scheme 2: Formation of thioether from ester and γ -butyrolactone (1b**) catalysed by InI₃ developed by Baba and coworkers [7e]**


2. Results and discussion

We first began our investigations by reproducing the ring-opening reaction of **1b**, but by replacing (phenylthiol)trimethylsilane with ethanethiol or (ethylthio)trimethylsilane (Table 1, entries 1 and 2).¹ Ethanethiol was stirred in toluene with **1b** and a catalytic amount of InI₃ at 90 °C to obtain **2b** in only 15% yield after 20 h of stirring. However, the use of (ethylthio)trimethylsilane as a nucleophile under the same conditions led to a full conversion after only 3 h of stirring (Entry 2). When **1a** was used, no ring-opening was observed with EtSH even after increasing the amounts of both nucleophile and catalyst, as well as the reaction time (Entry 3). So, we leaned towards the use of EtSSiMe₃ because it gave an excellent result with **1b** (Entry 2) and its boiling point is higher than the reaction temperature. To our delight, the ring-opening of **1a** carried out with this soft nucleophile led to the desired product **2a** in 30% yield along with **3a** in 7% yield (ring-opening and thioesterification product, entry 4). At this point, we concluded that our system could be different from Baba's one due to the presence of the hydroxy group at the α -position of the lactone. Indeed, the addition of a stoichiometric amount of K₂CO₃ to the reaction mixture allowed to increase the yield of **2a**, although the formation of by-product **4a**, resulting from the nucleophilic attack on the C-1 position (ester),

¹ In the classical synthesis of methionine analogues, methanethiol is used. But for practical reasons (odor and handling), we used EtSH and more convenient TMSSEt in this study.

was also observed (Entry 5).


Table 1. InI₃ catalysed ring-opening of 1a and 1b



Entry	Substrate	Nucleophile	t (h)	Isolated yields (%)		
				2	3	4
1	1b	EtSH	20	2b: 15 ^a	0	0
2	1b	EtSSiMe ₃	3	2b: 99	0	0
3	1a	EtSH ^b	48	0	0	0
4	1a	EtSSiMe ₃	22	2a: 30	3a: 7	0
5	1a	EtSSiMe ₃ ^c	22	2a: 42	0	4a: 15

^aNMR yields. ^b Reaction performed with 3.8 equiv. of EtSH and 20% of InI₃. ^c Reaction in the presence of 1.1 equiv. of K₂CO₃

EtSH has a boiling point about 35 °C, which is below the reaction temperature. Its volatility can lead to a loss of reagent while heating at 90 °C. To this end, reactions with EtSH were performed in an autoclave system (closed Schlenk tube of 5 mL) containing only 2/5 of dead volume instead of a conventional reflux system. No real improvement was observed with this nucleophile. But when reactions were carried out with EtSSiMe₃ (boiling point about 134°C, above the reaction temperature) using the same conditions, the yields have been improved (Table 2).

Table 2. InI₃ catalysed ring-opening of 1a in an autoclave system

Entry	Nucleophile	InI ₃ (%)	K ₂ CO ₃ (equiv.)	Isolated yields (%)		
				2a	3a	4a
1	EtSSiMe ₃	-	-	0	0	0
2	EtSSiMe ₃	-	1.1	0	0	0
3	EtSH	-	1.1	Traces	0	0
4	EtSSiMe ₃	10	-	35	4	Traces
5	EtSSiMe ₃	10	1.1 ^a	66	0	9
6	EtSH	10	-	0	0	0
7	EtSH	10	1.1 ^a	18	0	0
8	EtSH	10	1.1 ^b	26	0	0
9	EtSSiMe ₃	10	1.1 ^b	85	0	11
10	EtSSiMe ₃	10	1.1 ^c	16	0	9


^a Without preliminary drying of **1a** and K₂CO₃. ^b With preliminary drying of **1a** and K₂CO₃. ^c With addition of 0.25 mL of water in the reaction mixture

First, a control experiment in the absence of the catalyst did not lead to the formation of products **2-4a** (Entries 1-3). On the other hand, with 10% of InI₃ and in the absence of K₂CO₃, there was no significant efficiency compared to a conventional reflux system (Entry 4, compared to entry 4 in Table 1). When the base was present (Entry 5), the yield of **2a** was increased significantly (66%). As expected, EtSH instead of EtSSiMe₃ was less efficient in producing **2a** (18% yield) in the presence of K₂CO₃ (Entry 7) while it did not generate the desired product without a base (Entry 6). In addition, the presence of a residual amount of water in **1a** or K₂CO₃ is suspected to decrease the reactivity of the nucleophile reagent, and consequently, of leading to lower yields. Drying **1a** and K₂CO₃ before their use confirmed this hypothesis (Entries 8 and 9). Finally with optimised conditions, EtSSiMe₃ gave the desired product. Indeed, **2a** was successfully isolated with 85% yield along with by-product **4a** in 11% yield, which corresponds

to an overall conversion of 96%. The introduction of additional water into the reaction mixture logically led to a dramatic decrease in yield (Entry 10).

With optimal reaction conditions in hand, based on the Baba's study,^{7c} further screening of catalysts in autoclave systems was realized (Table 3).² As expected, InI₃ provided the best result for the ring-opening of **1a** (Entry 1). But In(OTf)₃ (less hard LA than InI₃) also showed good activity (Entry 2). However, other Lewis acids based on metal triflate have been tested. The soft and larger Bi(OTf)₃ was found to be unsatisfactory (Entry 3), as well as the unreactive oxophile Al(OTf)₃ (Entry 4). Also, AlBr₃ allowed the formation of only a small quantity of the thioester **4a** (10%). Therefore, the reactivities are clearly dependent on the nature of the Lewis acid as observed by Baba.


Table 3. Catalysts screening for the ring-opening of 1a



Entry	Catalyst	Isolated yields (%)		
		2a	3a	4a
1	InI ₃	85	0	11
2	In(OTf) ₃	58	8	0
3	Bi(OTf) ₃	4	7	0
4	Al(OTf) ₃	0	0	0
5	AlBr ₃	0	0	10

We studied in more detail the loading of InI₃ on the outcome of the reaction. As shown in Table 4, the ring-opening of **1a** was examined with different catalytic amounts of this Lewis acid.

² In comparison to the study of Yamakasi and Shibuya,[7c,d] the Brønsted acid HI (1 equiv.) was used in EtSH as solvent (C= 0.5 M), at 35 °C without base. Mixture of iodide substituted compounds were detected by mass spectroscopy, but no desired SEt substituted products were observed.

Table 4. Ring-opening of 1a with various loadings of InI₃

Entry	Nucleophile	InI ₃ (%)	Isolated yields (%)		
			2a	3a	4a
1	EtSH	10	26	0	0
2	EtSH	20	23	0	0
3	EtSSiMe ₃	5	59	6	0
4	EtSSiMe ₃	10	85	0	11
5	EtSSiMe ₃ (1.1 equiv)	10	24 ^a	15 ^a	0
6	EtSSiMe ₃ (0.3 equiv)	10	Traces ^a	Traces ^a	0
7	EtSSiMe ₃	20	91	Traces	3
8	EtSSiMe ₃	33	61	6	0
9	EtSSiMe ₃ ^b	33	47	2	0
10	EtSSiMe ₃ (3 equiv)	33	90	0	0

^a NMR observations. ^b The putative catalytic intermediate InI_(3-n)(SEt)_n was heated to 90 °C (2 h) then cooled to r.t. before the addition of **1a** and K₂CO₃.


Formation of InI_(3-n)(SEt)_n: InI₃ + n EtSSiMe₃ → InI_(3-n)(SEt)_n + n ISiMe₃

The InI₃ quantity has no influence on the yield when EtSH was used (Table 4, entries 1 and 2). Nevertheless, with the EtSSiMe₃ and 5% of InI₃ (Entry 3), the desired product **2a** was isolated with 59% yield, while the yield reached 91% with 20% of Lewis acid (Entry 7). Surprisingly, a remarkable decrease in yield was observed with 33% of the catalyst loading (Entry 8), which could indicate either an insufficient activation of plausible intermediates InI_(3-n)(SEt)_n formed *in situ*, or an insufficient amount of nucleophile. The second hypothesis was confirmed by a rise in the yield with 3 equivalents of EtSSiMe₃ (Entries 9 and 10). This suggests that likely at least (3*n (catalyst) + 1) equivalents of the nucleophile are required to achieve the maximum conversion. This hypothesis was also confirmed by the experiment with 0.3 equiv. of EtSSiMe₃ and 10% of InI₃ (Entry 6), where only traces (instead of expected 20-30%) of expected products were observed. This lack of conversion suggests a plausible interaction between the catalyst

and the nucleophile. The ratio nucleophile/catalyst appears to be important and the putative $\text{InI}_{(3-n)}(\text{SEt})_n$ species could be formed before acting as a catalyst. It should be noted that Baba and co-workers used an $\text{InI}_3/\text{ester}/\text{PhSSiMe}_3 = 1/10/20$ ratio in their experiments, so more than $(3*n (\text{catalyst}) + 1)$ equivalents of nucleophile.

Finally, the outcome of the ring-opening of several α -substituted- γ -butyrolactones was examined under various reaction conditions (Table 5).

Table 5. Reactivity study of different α -substituted- γ -butyrolactones in InI_3 -catalysed ring-opening reactions



1a , R= OH	2a , R= OH	3a , R= OH	4a , R= OH
1c , R= OTMS	2a , R= OH	3a , R= OH	4a , R= OH
1d , R= OMe	2d , R= OMe	3d , R= OMe	4d , R= OMe

Entry	Substrate	Nucleophile	Base (equiv.)	Isolated yields (%)		
				2	3	4
1	1a	EtSH	K_2CO_3 (1.1)	2a : 26	0	0
2	1c	EtSH	K_2CO_3 (1.1)	2a : 21	3a : 4	4a : Traces
3	1d	EtSH	K_2CO_3 (1.1)	2d : 39	0	0
4	1a	EtSSiMe ₃	-	2a : 35	3a : 4	4a : Traces
5 ^a	1a	EtSSiMe ₃	-	2a : 34	3a : 4	4a : Traces
6	1c	EtSSiMe ₃	-	2a : 55	3a : 5	4a : 17
7	1d	EtSSiMe ₃	-	2d : 2	3d : 17	4d : 39
8	1a	EtSSiMe ₃	K_2CO_3 (1.1)	2a : 85	0	4a : 11
9	1c	EtSSiMe ₃	K_2CO_3 (1.1)	2a : 47	0	4a : Traces
10	1d	EtSSiMe ₃	K_2CO_3 (1.1)	2d : 11 ^b	0	4d : 53 ^b
11	1a	EtSSiMe ₃	DBU (1.1)	2a : 40	0	4a : Traces

^a Reaction performed with the addition of molecular sieves. ^b NMR yields.

1c [8] and **1d** [13] were prepared from **1a** according to the protocols of the literature and isolated with 96% and 86% yields respectively. Of the two compounds, the ether **1d** was found to be the most reactive with EtSH in the presence of K_2CO_3 (Table 5, entries 1-3). On the other hand,

when the nucleophile is EtSSiMe₃ and in the absence of a base, **1c** was found to be the most reactive substrate with a yield of 55% of **2a** (Entries 4-7). Moreover, the addition of K₂CO₃ promoted the reactivity of **1a** to **2a**, while **1d** mainly provided the thioester **4d** (Entries 8-10). The presence of the -OTMS group on the substrate **1c** (Entries 2, 6 and 9) did not seem to have a beneficial influence in the selective formation of the compound **2a** in these conditions. It is important to note that this type of K₂CO₃ base is not crippling for industrial purposes due to the possibility of regeneration.[9] Based on the above results, we decided to keep a base, but we replaced K₂CO₃ with the more soluble DBU (Entry 11), which resulted in a disappointing loss of yield.

3. Conclusions

In conclusion, we have developed an efficient and practical synthesis of one methionine analogue, the HMB, by the InI₃-catalysed ring-opening reaction between 2-hydroxybutyrolactone (**1a**) and a thiosilane in the presence of a base (K₂CO₃) which can be regenerated. This could be advantageous for further industrial developments. The reaction occurred selectively at the carbon atom C(sp³)-O. The method allows easy access to the desired product **2a** in a high isolated yield (85%) with 10% InI₃ catalyst, when the reaction is carried out in an autoclave and in the absence of water. To our knowledge, the current one-pot reaction system is the only one, among the reported procedures, that produces HMB analogues in excellent yields from **1a** using catalytic electrophilic activation.

4. Experimental section

4.1. General methods

All reagents were obtained from commercial sources and used as received unless otherwise noted. K₂CO₃ was dried at 85 °C under vacuum in a Büchi glass oven B-585. THF and toluene

were purified and dried over Braun solvent purification system (MB-SPS-800). InI₃ and the other Lewis acids were stored and weighted in glove-box in oven-dried glassware. All reactions were performed in oven-dried glassware under an atmosphere of argon using Schlenk techniques unless otherwise noted. Analytical Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC) was carried out on Merck silica gel60 F₂₅₄. Products were stained with potassium permanganate dyeing reagent solution followed by gentle heating. Flash chromatography was performed on Combiflash® Companion or with Merck silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh). ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl₃, at ambient temperature on Bruker Avance III 300 or 400 spectrometers operating at 300 and 400 MHz respectively for ¹H. ¹³C nuclei were observed with ¹H decoupling. Solvent residual signals were used as internal standard.[11] Chemical shifts (δ) and coupling constants (J) are given in ppm and Hz respectively. The peaks patterns are indicated as the following format multiplicity (s: singlet; d: doublet; t: triplet; q: quartet; qt: quintuplet; m: multiplet; dd: doublet of doublet. The prefix br. indicates a broadened signal. IR spectra were obtained using a Bruker Alpha Platinum ATR. HRMS were recorded on SYNAPT G2 HDMS (Waters) or on QStar Elite (Applied Biosystems SGIEX) equipped with an Atmospheric Pressure Ionization (API) source or on MaXiS 4G (Bruker) equipped with an Electron Spray Ionization (ESI) source. Mass spectra were obtained using a Time Of Flight (TOF) analyser. Elementary analyses were recorded on a Thermo Finnigan EA 1112 apparatus.

4.2.Starting materials

The 2-hydroxybutyrolactone **1a** is commercially available and was given by our industrial partner Adisseo. It is dry over 3Å MS for 72h.

3-(Trimethylsilyl)oxydihydrofuran-2(3H)-one (1c) : This compound was prepared according to a procedure reported elsewhere.[8] To a stirred solution of **1a** (0.074 mL, 1 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), in CH₃NO₂ (1 mL) under argon was added freshly distilled HMDS (0.21 mL, 1 mmol, 1.0

equiv.). The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at room temperature. After concentration, the crude product was purified by column chromatography using a gradient eluent (from 100% petroleum ether to 90:10= petroleum ether: ethylacetate). **1c** was recovered as colorless oil (167 mg, 96%). These data are consistent with those described in the literature.[12]

^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 4.43-4.36 (m, 2H, $\text{CH}_2\text{OC}(\text{O})$), 4.23-4.16 (m, 1H, CHOSi), 2.51-2.43(m, 1H, CH_2CHOSi), 2.28-2.18 (m, 1H, CH_2CHOSi), 0.20 (s, 9H, $\text{Si}(\text{CH}_3)_3$).

3-Methoxydihydrofuran-2(3H)-one (1d) : NaH (60% in oil, 302 mg, 7.54 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) was introduced in a double-neck round bottom flask. The oil is removed by several washings with pentane. Then, dry THF (10 mL) under argon atmosphere is added. At 0 °C, **1a** (0.37 mL, 5.02 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) is added dropwise to the stirred solution. After 1h, while warming to room temperature, freshly distilled MeI (0.47 mL, 7.54 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) is added. Subsequently, the reaction mixture was stirred for 22 h and then, 20 mL of saturated NH_4Cl aqueous solution is added. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with 3x25 mL of Et_2O . The combined organic layer was dried over Na_2SO_4 , filtered and concentrated. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using a gradient eluent (from 100% petroleum ether to 60:40= petroleum ether: ethylacetate). **1d** (501 mg, 86%) was obtained as yellow oil.

R_f = 0.55 (AcOEt/ petroleum ether 8:2). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 4.39-4.34 (m, 1H, $\text{CH}_2\text{OC}(\text{O})$), 4.23-4.17 (m, 1H, $\text{CH}_2\text{OC}(\text{O})$), 4.00 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, CHOCH_3), 3.51 (s, 3H, OCH_3), 2.53-2.46 (m, 1H, $\text{CH}_2\text{CHOCH}_3$), 2.23-2.14 (m, 1H, $\text{CH}_2\text{CHOCH}_3$). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 174.8, 75.0, 65.4, 58.2, 29.4. These data are consistent with those described in the literature.[13]

4.3. Experimental procedures and characterizations data

4-(Ethylthio)butyric acid (2b) : To a stirred suspension of InI_3 (100 mg, 0.2 mmol, 0.1 equiv.) in anhydrous toluene (2 mL) was added EtSSiMe_3 [0.680 mL (90% purity), 3.8 mmol, 1.9 equiv.]. The reaction mixture was stirred for a few minutes under N_2 atmosphere at room temperature before the addition of γ -butyrolactone **1b** (0.15 mL, 2 mmol, 1.0 equiv.). The mixture was then heated to 90 °C and stirred for 3 h. After cooling to room temperature, the resulting mixture was poured into 20 mL of HCl_{aq} (1M) and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with 3x20 mL of ethylacetate, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over Na_2SO_4 and filtered. After concentration *in vacuo*, carboxylic acid **2b** was obtained as a yellow liquid (295 mg, 99 %) without further purification. $R_f = 0.63$ (AcOEt/petroleum ether: 8:2). $^1\text{H NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 10.66 (CO_2H), 2.59-2.47 (m, 6H, CH_2SCH_2 and $\text{CH}_2\text{CO}_2\text{H}$), 1.91 (qt, $J = 7.19, 7.25$ Hz, 2H, $\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2$), 1.24 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 3H, CH_3). $^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 179.7, 32.9, 30.8, 25.8, 24.4, 14.8. MS (ESI⁺): $m/z = 155$ [$\text{M}+\text{Li}$]⁺; 171 [$\text{M}+\text{Na}$]⁺. These data are consistent with those described in the literature.[6b]

General procedure for the ring-opening of compounds 1:

In an autoclave system (5 mL closed Schlenk tube) with a minimised dead volume, the nucleophile was added to a stirred suspension of Lewis acid in anhydrous toluene (2.4 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for a few minutes under N_2 atmosphere at room temperature before the addition of dried **1** (2.44 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) followed by the eventual addition of base. The mixture was then heated to 90 °C and stirred for 22 h. After cooling to room temperature, the resulting mixture was filtered, and then was poured into 20 mL of HCl_{aq} (1M) and the layers were separated. After extraction of the aqueous phase with 3x20 mL of ethylacetate, the combined organic layer was washed with brine, and dried over Na_2SO_4 , filtered and concentrated. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using a gradient

eluent (from 100% petroleum ether to 100% ethyl acetate).

4-(Ethylthio)-2-hydroxybutyric acid (2a) : This compound was prepared following the general procedure starting from EtSSiMe₃ (0.83 mL, 4.64 mmol, 1.9 equiv. (90% purity)), InI₃ (242 mg, 0.48 mmol, 0.2 equiv.), **1a** (0.18 mL, 2.44 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and anhydrous K₂CO₃ (371 mg, 2.68 mmol, 1.1 equiv.). The crude product was purified by column chromatography using a gradient eluent (from 100% petroleum ether to 100% ethyl acetate).

2a (365 mg, 91%) was obtained as yellowish oil. $R_f = 0.52$ (AcOEt/ petroleum ether: 8:2). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.52 (br s, 2H, OH and CO₂H), 4.43 (dd, $J = 8.0, 3.8$ Hz, 1H, CHOH), 2.70 (t, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 2H, SCH₂CH₂), 2.56 (q, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2H, CH₃CH₂S), 2.18-2.09 (m, 1H, CH₂CHOH), 2.01-1.93 (m, 1H, CH₂CHOH), 1.26 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 179.1, 69.4, 33.6, 27.3, 25.9, 14.8. IR (film): 3400, 2966, 2926, 1718, 1261, 1213, 1166, 1089 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI) : m/z [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₆H₁₂O₃S 165.0580; Found 165.0579.

S-Ethyl 4-(ethylthio)-2-hydroxybutanethioate (3a) : This compound is a by-product formed during the synthesis of **2a** and was isolated during the chromatography. **3a** (traces, <1%) was obtained as colorless oil.

$R_f = 0.37$ (AcOEt/ petroleum ether 1:9). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.41 (dd, $J = 8.3, 3.6$ Hz, 1H, CHOH), 2.92 (q, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2H, CH₃CH₂S(O)), 2.84 (br s, 1H, OH), 2.70-2.65 (m, 2H, SCH₂CH₂), 2.56 (q, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2H, CH₃CH₂SCH₂), 2.17-2.06 (m, 1H, CH₂CHOH), 1.98-1.87 (m, 1H, CH₂CHOH), 1.29-1.23 (m, 6H, 2CH₃). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 203.8, 76.9, 34.5, 27.2, 25.9, 23.1, 14.8, 14.7. IR (film): 3420, 2966, 2929, 1678, 1263, 1088 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI) : m/z [M + Na]⁺ Calcd for C₈H₁₆O₂S₂ 231.0484 ; Found 231.0484.

S-Ethyl 2,4-dihydroxybutanethioate (4a) : This compound is a by-product formed during the synthesis of **2a** and was isolated during the chromatography. **4a** (10 mg, 3%) was obtained as colorless oil.

$R_f = 0.55$ (AcOEt/ petroleum ether 8:2). $^1\text{H NMR}$ (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 4.41 (dd, $J = 9.3, 3.0$ Hz, 1H, CHOH), 3.83 (t, $J = 4.1$ Hz, 2H, CH_2OH), 2.84 (q, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H, $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{S(O)}$), 2.10-2.04 (m, 1H, CH_2CHOH), 1.85-1.79 (m, 1H, CH_2CHOH), 1.22 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 3H, CH_3). $^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (125 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 206.3, 75.9, 59.4, 35.8, 22.8, 14.6. IR (film): 3328, 2973, 1417, 1086, 1044, 879 cm^{-1} . HRMS (ESI⁺) : m/z $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$ Calcd for $\text{C}_6\text{H}_{12}\text{O}_3\text{SNa}^+$ 187.0399 ; found 187.0398.

4-(ethylthio)-2-methoxybutanoic acid (2d) : This compound was prepared following the general procedure starting from **1d** (290 mg, 2.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), EtSH (0.355 mL, 4.75 mmol, 1.9 equiv.) and 0.1 equiv. of InI_3 (124 mg, 0.25 mmol). The crude product was purified by column chromatography using DCM, then DCM with 5% MeOH as the eluent. **2d** (172 mg, 39%) was obtained as yellowish oil.

$R_f = 0.56$ (DCM/MeOH 9:1). $^1\text{H NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 3.98 (dd, $J = 7.0, 5.0$ Hz, 1H, CHOCH_3), 3.45 (s, 3H, OCH_3), 2.68-2.60 (m, 2H, SCH_2CH_2), 2.53 (q, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H, $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{S}$), 2.08-2.00 (m, 2H, $\text{CH}_2\text{CHOCH}_3$), 1.24 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 3H, $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{S}$). $^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 177.7, 78.7, 58.6, 32.4, 27.1, 25.8, 14.8. IR (film): 2928, 1718, 1450, 1193, 1117 cm^{-1} . HRMS (ESI⁺) : m/z $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ Calcd for $\text{C}_7\text{H}_{14}\text{O}_3\text{S}$ 179.0736 ; Found 179.0737.

S-Ethyl 4-(ethylthio)-2-methoxybutanethioate (3d) : This compound is a by-product formed during the synthesis of **2d**. This compound was prepared following the general procedure starting from **1d** (290 mg, 2.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), 0.1 equiv. of InI_3 (124 mg, 0.25 mmol) and no base was added. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using a gradient

eluent (from 100% petroleum ether to 100% ethylacetate). **3d** (93 mg, 17%) was obtained as yellow oil.

$R_f = 0.75$ (AcOEt/ petroleum ether 1:9). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 3.89 (dd, $J = 7.8, 4.8$ Hz, 1H, CHOCH_3), 3.46 (s, 3H, OCH_3), 2.86 (q, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2H, $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{S(O)}$), 2.69-2.58 (m, 2H, SCH_2CH_2), 2.52 (q, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H, $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{SCH}_2$), 2.01-1.89 (m, 2H, $\text{CH}_2\text{CHOCH}_3$), 1.27-1.22 (m, 6H, $2\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{S}$). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 203.5, 85.9, 59.4, 33.7, 27.1, 25.7, 22.4, 14.8, 14.7. IR (film): 2929, 1678, 1452, 1264, 1116, 1100 cm^{-1} . HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z [$\text{M} + \text{Na}$]⁺ Calcd for $\text{C}_9\text{H}_{18}\text{O}_2\text{S}_2$ 245.0640 ; Found 245.0643.

S-ethyl 4-hydroxy-2-methoxybutanethioate (4d) : This compound is another by-product formed during the synthesis of **2d** and was isolated during the chromatography. **4d** (174 mg, 39%) was obtained as brown oil.

$R_f = 0.65$ (AcOEt/ petroleum ether 8:2). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 3.93 – 3.85 (m, 1H, CHOCH_3), 3.73 – 3.65 (m, 2H, CH_2OH), 3.42 (s, 3H, OCH_3), 2.81 (q, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2H, CH_2CH_3), 1.91 – 1.76 (m, 2H, $\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH}$), 1.20 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 3H, CH_2CH_3). ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 174.7, 75.0, 65.3, 58.1, 29.4, 19.5, 19.0. IR (film): 3408, 2931, 2880, 1417, 1376, 1177, 1105 cm^{-1} . HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z [$\text{M} + \text{Na}$]⁺ Calcd for $\text{C}_7\text{H}_{14}\text{O}_3\text{SNa}^+$ 201.0556 ; found 201.0562.

Funding Information

This work was supported by Adisseo (SA post-doc grant, NS internship grant), the Région PACA and Centrale Marseille (JM Ph.D. grant), the CNRS and AMU.

Acknowledgment

We thank Dr. Christophe Chendo and Dr Valérie Monnier for mass spectrometry analyses (Spectropole, Fédération des Sciences Chimiques de Marseille), Arnaud Treuvey (Centrale

Marseille) for IR spectroscopy and Yoann Cotelte and Maxime Huchede for reading the manuscript.

Supporting Information

YES

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] a) P. Deck, K.M. Exner, B. Buschhaus (2008) Patent WO 2008/022953A1; b) P. Rey, G. Blanchard (2010) Patent EP 2 229 821 A2; c) T. Willke, Methionine Production—a Critical Review. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 98 (2014) 9893–9914. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-014-6156-y>; d) J. Shim, Y. Shin, I. Lee, S. Y. Kim, L-Methionine Production. In *Amino Acid Fermentation*; Yokota, A., Ikeda, M., Eds.; *Advances in Biochemical Engineering/Biotechnology*; Springer Japan: Tokyo, 2016; Vol. 159, pp 153–177. https://doi.org/10.1007/10_2016_30; e) Y. Martínez, X. Li, G. Liu, P. Bin, W. Yan, D. Más, M. Valdivié, C.-A. A. Hu, W. Ren, Y. Yin, The Role of Methionine on Metabolism, Oxidative Stress, and Diseases. *Amino Acids*, 49 (2017) 2091–2098. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00726-017-2494-2>.
- [2] S.J. Lorbert, K.A. Trankler, R.V. Embse, D.L. Turner, T. Rode, C.K. Snoddy, J.C. Peterson (2011) Patent WO 2011/112649 A1.
- [3] a) J. J. Dibner, Review of the Metabolism of 2-Hydroxy-4-(Methylthio) Butanoic Acid. *Worlds Poult. Sci. J.* 59 (2003) 99–110, <https://doi.org/10.1079/WPS20030006>; b) Z. Fang, H. Luo, H. Wei, F. Huang, Z. Qi, S. Jiang, J. Peng, Methionine Metabolism in Piglets Fed

- DL -Methionine or Its Hydroxy Analogue Was Affected by Distribution of Enzymes Oxidizing These Sources to Keto-Methionine. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 58 (2010) 2008–2014, <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf903317x>; c) J. A. Jendza, P. A. Geraert, D. Ragland, O. Adeola, The Site of Intestinal Disappearance of DL-methionine and Methionine Hydroxy Analog Differs in Pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* 89 (2011) 1385–1391, <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2010-3235>; d) R. Martín-Venegas, M. Teresa Brufau, Y. Mercier, P.-A. Geraert, R. Ferrer, Intestinal Cell Conversion of DL-2-Hydroxy-(4-Methylthio)Butanoic Acid *in Vitro*: Dietary up-Regulation by This Methionine Precursor. *Br. J. Nutr.* 106 (2011) 350–356, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114511000183>.
- [4] T. Hirose, (2012) Patent WO 2012/091158 A1.
- [5] D.A. Ruest (1987) EP 0245231 A1
- [6] a) M. Node, K. Nishide, M. Sai, E. Fujita, Dealkylation of Esters and Cleavage of Alcoholic Carbon- Oxygen Bond of Lactones with Aluminium Halide-Thiol System, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 52 (1978) 5211–5214, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039\(01\)85852-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(01)85852-4); b) M. Node, K. Nishide, M. Ochiai, K. Fuji, E. Fujita, Hard Acid and Soft Nucleophile Systems. 5. Ring-Opening Reaction of Lactones to ω -Alkylthio or ω -Arylthio Carboxylic Acids with Aluminum Halide and Thiol, *J. Org. Chem.* 46 (1981) 5163–5166, <https://doi.org/10.1021/jo00338a020>; c) O.P. Goel, U. Krolls, E.P. Lewis, An Improved Preparation of (\pm) 3-Hydroxy-2-Pyrrolidinone, *Org. Prep. Proced. Int.* 17 (1985) 91–97, <https://doi.org/10.1080/00304948509355480>; d) B.C. Askew, T. Aya, K. Biswas, J. Chen, J.B. Human, W. Qian, (2006) Patent WO2006041888A2; e) A.C. Flick, C.A. Leverett, H.X. Ding, E. McInturff, S.J. Fink, C.J. Helal, C.J. O'Donnell, Synthetic Approaches to the New Drugs Approved During 2017, *J. Med. Chem.* 62 (2019) 7340–7382, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.9b00196>.

- [7] a) J.-G. Boiteau, P. Van de Weghe, J. Eustache, A New Ring Closing Metathesis-Based Synthesis of (-)-Fumagillol. *Org. Lett.* 3 (2001) 2737–2740, <https://doi.org/10.1021/ol016343z>; b) V. Rodeschini, J.-G. Boiteau, P. Van de Weghe, C. Tarnus, J. Eustache, MetAP-2 Inhibitors Based on the Fumagillin Structure. Side-Chain Modification and Ring-Substituted Analogues. *J. Org. Chem.* 69 (2004) 357–373, <https://doi.org/10.1021/jo035065+>; c) Y. Yamazaki, T. Araki, M. Koura, K. Shibuya, A Practical Synthesis of the PPAR α Agonist, (R)-K-13675, Starting from (S)-2-Hydroxybutyrolactone, *Tetrahedron* 64 (2008) 8155–8158, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2008.06.049>; d) Y. Yamazaki, T. Araki, M. Koura, K. Shibuya, Enantioselective Synthesis of the PPAR α Agonist (R)-K-13675 via (S)-2-Hydroxybutyrolactone, *Synthesis* 7 (2008) 1017-1022, <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2008-1032123>; e) Y. Nishimoto, A. Okita, M. Yasuda, A. Baba, Synthesis of a Wide Range of Thioethers by Indium Triiodide Catalysed Direct Coupling between Alkyl Acetates and Thiosilanes, *Org. Lett.* 14 (2012) 1846–1849, <https://doi.org/10.1021/ol300450j>; rajouter molecules 2016 f) S. Mao, Y. Jia, Construction of the A Ring of Halichomycin via a RCM Strategy. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 54 (2013) 4343–4345, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2013.06.027>; g) I. Erdelmeier, J.-C. Michel, M. Moutet, J.-C. Yadan, (2006) Patent WO 2006/008190 A2.
- [8] S.T. Kadam, S.S. Kim, Catalyst-Free Silylation of Alcohols and Phenols by Promoting HMDS in CH₃NO₂ as Solvent, *Green Chem.* 12 (2010) 94–98, <https://doi.org/10.1039/B913398D>.
- [9] S.C. Lee, B.Y. Choi, T.J. Lee, C.K. Ryu, Y.S. Ahn, J.C. Kim, CO₂ Absorption and Regeneration of Alkali Metal-Based Solid Sorbents, *Catal. Today* 111 (2006) 385–390, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2005.10.051>.

- [10] a) According to the author, the solubility and the stability disallowed the isolation of $\text{In}(\text{SEt})_3$: G.G. Hoffmann, Darstellung und Eigenschaften von Alkyl- und Arylthioindanen. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 338 (3) (1988) 305–317, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-328X\(88\)80004-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-328X(88)80004-4); b) $\text{In}(\text{SEt})_3$ was also prepared by electrochemistry and characterized: J.H. Green, R. Kumar, N. Seudeal, D.G. Tuck, Direct Electrochemical Synthesis of Alkane- and Arenethiolato Derivatives of Indium and Thallium. *Inorg. Chem.* 28 (1989) 123–127, <https://doi.org/10.1021/ic00300a028>.
- [11] G.R. Fulmer, A.J.M. Miller, N.H. Sherden, H.E. Gottlieb, A. Nudelman, B.M. Stoltz, J.E. Bercaw, K.I. Goldberg, NMR Chemical Shifts of Trace Impurities: Common Laboratory Solvents, Organics, and Gases in Deuterated Solvents Relevant to the Organometallic Chemist, *Organometallics* 29 (2010) 2176–2179, <https://doi.org/10.1021/om100106e>.
- [12] A.T. Hopper, D.T. Witiak, J. Ziemniak, Design, Synthesis, and Biological Evaluation of Conformationally Constrained *aci*-Reductone Mimics of Arachidonic Acid, *J. Med. Chem.* 41 (1998) 420–427, <https://doi.org/10.1021/jm970034q>.
- [13] a) R.M. Moriarty, N. Rani, C. Condeiu, M.P. Duncan, O. Prakash, Hypervalent Iodine Oxidation of Trimethylsilyl Ketene Acetals: A Convenient Route to α -Methoxylation of Esters and Lactones, *Synth. Commun.* 27 (1997) 3273–3277, <https://doi.org/10.1080/00397919708004187>; b) Q. Chen, D. Schweitzer, J. Kane, V. J. Davisson, P. Helquist, Total Synthesis of Iejimalide B. *J. Org. Chem.* 76 (2011) 5157–5169. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jo200514m>.