

Design of a hybrid leaching process for mineral carbonation of magnesium silicates: learnings and issues raised from combined experimental and geochemical modelling approaches

Carine Julcour-Lebigue, Florent Bourgeois, Laurent Cassayre, Julien Leclaire, Solène Touzé, Martin Cyr, France Bailly

To cite this version:

Carine Julcour-Lebigue, Florent Bourgeois, Laurent Cassayre, Julien Leclaire, Solène Touzé, et al.. Design of a hybrid leaching process for mineral carbonation of magnesium silicates: learnings and issues raised from combined experimental and geochemical modelling approaches. International Conference on Accelerated Carbonation for Environmental and Material Engineering (ACEME), Mar 2018, Newcastle NSW, Australia, Australia. hal-04353566

HAL Id: hal-04353566 <https://hal.science/hal-04353566>

Submitted on 19 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

OATAO is an open access repository that collects the work of Toulouse researchers and makes it freely available over the web where possible

This is an author's version published in: http://oatao.univ-toulouse.fr/20845

To cite this version:

Julcour-Lebigue, Carine⁻¹ and Bourgeois, Floren[t](http://www.idref.fr/091585120)⁻¹ and Cassayre, Laurent⁻¹ and Leclaire, Julien and Touzé, Solène and Cyr, Martin and Bailly, France *Design of a hybrid leaching process for mineral carbonation of magnesium silicates: learnings and issues raised from combined experimental and geochemical modelling approaches.* (2018) In: International Conference on Accelerated Carbonation for Environmental and Material Engineering (ACEME), 11 March 2018 - 14 March 2018 (Newcastle, Australia). (Unpublished)

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to the repository administrator: tech-oatao@listes-diff.inp-toulouse.fr

Design of a hybrid leaching process for mineral carbonation of magnesium silicates: learnings and issues raised from combined experimental and geochemical modelling approaches

-mac

environneme

Carine Julcour¹, Florent Bourgeois¹, Laurent Cassayre¹, Julien Leclaire², Solène Touzé³, Martin Cyr⁴, France Bailly⁵

¹LGC, Toulouse, France ; ²ICBMS, Villeurbanne, France ; ³BRGM, Orléans, France ; ⁴LMDC, Toulouse, France ; ⁵CNRT, Nouméa, France

6th Accelerated Carbonation for Environmental and Material Engineering Conference, March 11-14, 2018, Newcastle, New South Wales, Australia

ACEME 2018, March 11-14, 2018, Newcastle, Australia

Ex-situ Mineral Carbonation pathways

***based on < 2010 literature routes for 154 MWe coal-fired power plant producing 1Mt-CO² /yr**

Studied MC pathways

FIB cross-sections of olivine particle after leaching (TEM) (Bodenan et al., 2014)

ACEME 2018, March 11-14, 2018, Newcastle, Australia

Université
de Toulouse

Studied MC pathways

EXPERIMENTAL METHODOLOGY

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHODOLOGY

Ores

Bodenan et al. (2014)

Serpentinisation degree up to 90%

 $30.8 < MgO < 47.4\%$, $39.3 < SiO₂ < 46.3\%$, $7.3 < Fe₂O_{3tot} < 9.8\%$, $0.2 <$ CaO < 5.0%, 0.2 < Al₂O₃ < 4.0%

CINTS

 $\begin{array}{c}\n\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\text{Université}\n\end{array}$

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHODOLOGY

From Ni pyrometallurgy plant (Koniambo) KNS slowly cooled under ambient conditions, SLN quenched by seawater

MgO \sim 30%, SiO₂ \sim 52%, Fe₂O_{3tot} \sim 13%, CaO < 0.5%, $Al_2O_3 \sim 2\%$

Solid preparation

Grinding (4h in ball mill) **& sieving** < 100 µm

Initial particle size distribution

- Identification of solids formed: SEM(TEM)/EDX and elemental mapping (Mg, Si, O), X-Ray Diffraction, Raman and IR (DRIFT) Spectroscopy, ICP/AES (after acid dissolution)
- Quantification of carbonated products: elemental analysis (C content), ThermoGravimetry Analysis coupled with IR

• Quantification of dissolved elements: ICP/AES (Mg, Si, Fe), inorganic carbon

Studied MC pathway

III. CHELATING AGENT APPROACH

State of the art

Effect of organic polyacids on dissolution rate

Mechanism

Olsen & Rimstidt (2008)

 Oxalate-enhanced dissolution rate

Prigiobbe & Mazzotti (2011) ≈ 7 at 0.1 M oxalate (olivine, pH 5, 120°C)

III. CHELATING AGENT APPROACH

 \triangleright Significantly improved extraction yield with oxalate at 3 g/L, but formation of solid by-product: **glushinskite** (MgC₂O₄.2H₂O)

 \triangleright Any compromise between glushinskite precipitation & Mg carbonation?

III. CHELATING AGENT APPROACH

Oxalate-enhanced dissolution of olivine: effect of slurry concentration

- \triangleright Carbonation yield < 1% \rightarrow not a viable option in these conditions
- \triangleright Could geochemical modeling predict such competing effects?

Oxalate-enhanced dissolution of olivine: kinetic modeling

Rate-limiting step: ore dissolution (instant. gas absorption & solid precipitation)

 \sim **20 size classes** (SSA_{0i}, m_{0i}) generated from Rosin Rammler PSD model

Oxalate-enhanced dissolution of olivine: geochemical modeling (with CHESS)

Issues: • Mg-oxalate species missing in used thermodynamic database (CTDP)

Glushinskite equilibrium data only available at 25°C

 \rightarrow dedicated precipitation experiments at 120°C & database updating

Bonfils et al. (2012)

 \triangleright Good agreement with experimental data at 3 g/L \rightarrow chemical controlled reaction

120°C, 20 bar CO² , 3 g/L olivine < 100 µm

Simulation with CHESS code *(van der Lee, 2007)* after estimation of precipitation rate constants for silica & talc

Oxalate-enhanced dissolution of olivine: geochemical modeling (with CHESS)

Issues: • Mg-oxalate species missing in used thermodynamic database (CTDP)

Glushinskite equilibrium data only available at 25°C

 \rightarrow dedicated precipitation experiments at 120°C & database updating

Learnings & issues

- Organic polyacid salts (oxalate, citrate, EDTA): too strong Mg binders for MC at moderate P_{CO2}
- \triangleright Alternative option: silica(te) layer modulation / impeding by ligands

Oxalate Catechol

Carbonation yield increased from 9.6% after 95 h (water) to 14.5% after 75 h (0.5 M catechol)

(Barnum, 1970; Russo-Mascioli, 2001) Si-catechol complex

but might be ore-sensitive (complexation with Fe, Al …)

 \triangleright Exhaustive analysis of both liquid & solid products = MANDATORY

 \triangleright Geochemical modeling as a valuable tool to predict effect of all inputs, but * careful selection & analysis of thermodynamic database required

* going from & to experimental data needed

Studied MC pathways

Studied MC pathways

State of the art

• *High power ultrasound*

• *Fluidized bed with grinding medium*

• *Stirred tank with abrasive particles*

Santos et al., 2011-2013; McKelvy et al., 2004; Park & Fan, 2004

Can be operated at moderate P (< 10 bar), but dampened under high T (> 50 °C)

- \rightarrow mainly in a sequential process
	- Mixed results

Park & Fan, 2004

Might be difficult to control (density and/or size differences between reactive & inert particles), limited amount of grinding medium (20 wt.%)

 \triangleright Some improvement of ore dissolution

Chizmeshya et al., 2007

 \triangleright Enhanced carbonation yield (with quartz particles up to 60 wt.%)

Proposed technical solution: stirred ball mill

IsaMillTM (Netzsch)

Advantages:

- \checkmark proven technology at large scale
- \checkmark slurry conc.: up to 40%
- \checkmark feed PSD: from μ m to mm size range
- \checkmark operability under high T & high P
- \checkmark scalability from 4 L to 50 m³

Issues:

- (long-term) exfoliation efficiency?
	- *significant carbonation yield?*
	- *within a reasonable solid residence time?*
- passivation layer attrition *vs.* breakage of ore particles?

energy efficiency: matching attrition process with passivation process?

1.25-1.6 mm sand particles (99% SiO²)

21

ACEME 2018, March 11-14, 2018, Newcastle, Australia

Extent of carbonation for different ore types (180°C, 20 bar CO² , ore conc.: 90 g/L, 1-2 mm Al2O³ or SS grinding media ---)

 \triangleright Significant extent of carbonation (*vs.* < 8% in 24 h without attrition) \triangleright Almost insensitive to ore type \triangleright Noticeable influence of grinding medium

Extent of carbonation for different slag types (180°C, 20 bar CO² , ore conc.: 90 g/L, 1-2 mm sand \sim or SS grinding media ---)

Proof of concept

Size effect only?

 \triangleright Proof of synergy between attrition and leaching

Universite
le Toulouse

23

20

24

Life Cycle Assessment (LCA)

Functional unit: 1 MWhe with a coal-fired power station

- **Main hypotheses:** yield of \sim 80% in 24 h at 20 bar CO₂ & 180°C
	- CO₂ pipeline transport over a 300 km distance
	- no recycling of process solution; no valorization of products

Impacts accounted for:

- $-cO₂$ capture & compression
- crushing & milling of ore from 1 cm down to 100 µm
- mechanical energy expended for attrition
- reactants pre-heating & cooling (after heat integration)

- \triangleright Promising results regarding CO₂ avoided, without any process optimization
- \triangleright Beneficiation of products & water recycling will also improve other LCA criteria (natural resource depletion +110% for case D)

IV. COUPLED ATTRITION-CARBONATION APPROACH

ACEME 2018, March 11-14, 2018, Newcastle, Australia

25

CINTS

 $\n
\n $Q_{\text{D}}_{\text{University}}$ \n
\n $Q_{\text{E}}_{\text{Coulouse}}$$

Geochemical modeling as a process design tool

Geochemical code: PHREEQC v.3 (Parkhurst & Appelo, 1999, 2013)

Available databases: LLNL, Thermoddem

Selection based on existing exp. data for main system components

Geochemical modeling as a process design tool

Geochemical code: PHREEQC v.3 (Parkhurst & Appelo, 1999, 2013)

Available databases: LLNL, Thermoddem

Selection based on existing exp. data for main system components

Discrepancies for talc, but no solubility data available (in similar conditions) \rightarrow assessment on carbonation results

Liquid: extended Debye-Hückel activity coefficient model (low salinity) **Gas: Peng-Robinson** equation of state (non-ideal behavior of CO₂ - H₂O mixture at investigated P) **Solid:** description of several **solid solutions** (e.g. (Mg,Fe)CO₃)

Case study 1: KNS / "inert" grinding medium

"KNS" (90 g/L) described as an assemblage of MgO, SiO₂ and FeO All minerals including Mg, Si or Fe are allowed to precipitate, except quartz

Mineral speciation of KNS-H₂O-CO₂

Thermoddem 2017 database

- \triangleright Threshold T for quantitative carbonation at 20 bar CO₂ depends on the database!
- At T = 180° C and P_{co2} = 20 bar:

 $\text{LLNL} \rightarrow \text{theoretical max carbonation yield: } 25\%$

Thermoddem 2017 conditions close to the drop in carbonation yield

Case study 1: KNS / "inert" grinding medium

"KNS" (90 g/L) described as an assemblage of MgO, SiO₂ and FeO All minerals including Mg, Si or Fe are allowed to precipitate, except quartz

Thermoddem 2017

Mineral speciation of KNS-H₂O-CO₂

initial material KNS (MgO, SiO₂, FeO) + CO_2 + H_2O 100 $T₂$ T_4 T_1 T_3 50 bar 30 bal $(Mg, Fe)CO_{3(solid sol}$ $(Mg, Fe)CO_{3(solid sol}$ 80 20 bar 10 bar Carbonation yield (%) $(Mg, Fe)CO_{3(solid sol})$ $SiO_{2 (am)}$ ç $P_{\rm CO2}$ $SiO_{2(am)}$ Γά products $Mg_{48}Si_{34}O_{85}(OH)_{62(s)}$ $Mg_{48}Si_{34}O_{85}(OH)_{62(s)}$ $(Mg, Fe)CO_{3(solid sol}$ $Fe₃Si₄O₁₀(OH)_{2(s)}$ H 60 $(Mg, Fe)CO_{3(solid sol}$ $\overline{}$ $Fe₃Si₄O₁₀(OH)_{2(s)}$ $Mg_3Si_4O_{10}(OH)_{2(s)}$ bar $Mg_3Si_4O_{10}(OH)_{2(s)}$ $Fe₃Si₄O₁₀(OH)_{2(s)}$ $Mg_3Si_4O_{10}(OH)_{2/s}$ $Fe₃O_{4(s)}$ bonation yield 40 (R <10% (R > 95% \sim 30% $\mathsf{R}\sim90\%$ 20 0 150 170 190 210 230 50 70 90 110 130 \triangleright Operating threshold temperature depends on P_{CO2} 250 Temperature /°C

ACEME 2018, March 11-14, 2018, Newcastle, Australia

Case study 1: KNS / "inert" grinding medium

"KNS" (90 g/L) described as an assemblage of MgO, SiO₂, FeO, CaO, Al₂O₃ and MnO All minerals including Mg, Si, Fe, **Ca, Al or Mn** allowed to precipitate (except quartz)

Mineral speciation of KNS-H₂O-CO₂ Thermoddem 2017

 \triangleright The model predicts a noticeable effect of slag "impurities" (Al₂O₃ ~ 2%) due to the existence of various stable aluminosilicate phases

Case study 2: KNS / effect of grinding medium

Experimental data

- $-$ **Carbonation yield** \sim 50% with sand (180°C, 20 bar, 90 g/L KNS)
- **Crystallized phases =** initial mineral phases (enstatite, ferrosilite, augite), quartz (sand) & mixed carbonates (dominated by MgCO₃ pole)
- **TEM/EDX**

Case study 2: KNS / effect of grinding medium

Experimental data

- $-$ **Carbonation yield** \sim 50% with sand (180°C, 20 bar, 90 g/L KNS)
- **Crystallized phases =** initial mineral phases (enstatite, ferrosilite, augite), quartz (sand) & mixed carbonates (dominated by MgCO₃ pole)
- **TEM/EDX**

Precipitation of various (amorphous) silicates

a few discrepancies between carbonate amounts calculated from TGA and carbon content – **Higher yield achieved with stainless steel beads** … but increase of Fe content in solid product &

ACEME 2018, March 11-14, 2018, Newcastle, Australia

Case study 2: KNS / effect of grinding medium

"KNS" described as an assemblage of MgO, SiO₂, FeO, CaO, Al₂O₃ and MnO **Steel beads** modeled as a ($Fe_{0.87}Cr_{0.13}$) solid solution

Mineral speciation of KNS-H₂O-CO₂

 \triangleright Stainless steel beads are corroded during attrition-leaching under $CO₂$

Case study 3: Batch simulation for olivine ore

"Synthetic olivine" described as an assemblage of MgO, SiO₂, FeO, CaO, Al₂O₃ and MnO **Initial PSD accounted for**, dissolution kinetic parameters from Prigiobbe et al. (2009) **Alumina beads** modeled as corundum, kinetic parameters from Palandri & Kharata (2004)

Time-evolution of carbonation yield

"Inert" grinding medium Effect of alumina grinding beads

Very slow dissolution kinetics \rightarrow Negligible effect on system speciation

 \triangleright Good agreement between experimental data and modeling **Process dynamics driven by the dissolution rate of fresh ore surface**

Learnings & issues

- Proof of concept of the attrition-carbonation process with a stirred bead mill; **Synergy between attrition & carbonation**
- **Favorable LCA**; several optimization levers to improve process efficiency, cost & environmental impact
- **Grinding medium to be carefully selected (autogenous mode?)**

 Geochemical modeling is a powerful tool for designing the attrition-carbonation process: \sim *equilibrium calculations* \rightarrow suitable operating window (to be verified experimentally) & material selection for the process equipment (grinding media)

coupling of thermodynamics with chemical kinetics \rightarrow process sizing & optimization

SO WHAT'S NEXT ?

A continuous scalable demonstrator

Beneficiation of carbonation products

V. CONCLUSIONS: ONGOING & FUTURE WORK

Attrition reactor~4 L operating under T (max 200°C) & P (max 30 bar)

son environnemen

http://www.isamill.com

Bench-scale pilot reactor to be built in the coming months

V. CONCLUSIONS: ONGOING & FUTURE WORK

Utilization of MC products as construction or filling materials

 \triangleright could in theory absorb Gt of CO₂ (approximately 33 billion tons of concrete produced / year)

Goal: valorization without solid separation & with minimum dewatering

 \checkmark Reduction of heat demand (T_{calcination} \checkmark)

(no need for limestone)

Local production of construction materials

Overall scheme for CO² mineralization

"Historically", CO₂ mineralization was compared to geological storage, in terms of storage cost and avoided $CO₂$: : **Limited development ...**

Mission Innovation, CCUS Workshop, Houston, Sept. 2017;* <http://mission-innovation.net/our-work/innovation-challenges>

In recent years, the mineralization of CO_2 systematically combines CO_2 storage and production of commercial goods, coupling environment and economy:

Booming development! 37

ACEME 2018, March 11-14, 2018, Newcastle, Australia

 n_n

 $\overline{}$

n n

Acknowledgements

French National Research Agency,

Total Oil & Gas company,

TOTAL CNRT Maîtrise

Center for technological research on Nickel of New Caledonia,

Caledonian Energy Agency

for funding these research works

Our PhD & post-doc students: B. Bonfils, I. Benhamed, J. Diouani

Our technical staff: J.L. Labat, I. Coghe, L. Farhi, C. Rey-Rouch (LGC), A. Moreau (LCC Toulouse), C. Charvillat (CIRIMAT Toulouse) for their support on the reactor pilot and the solid analyses

Our project partners: S. Touzé, T. Augé, F. Bodenan (**BRGM Orléans**) F. Guyot, F. Ploquin, F. Juillot (**IMPMC Paris**) C. Petiot, L. Cassowitz, A. Boukary (**BioIS**) J. Leclaire & J. Septavaux (**ICBMS Villeurbanne**) for their valuable contribution

Thank you for your kind attention!

39

ACEME 2018, March 11-14, 2018, Newcastle, Australia

The actual team

LABORATOIRE

DE GÉNIE
CHIMIQUE

TOULOUSE - UMR 5503

France Bailly, Director

Pr. Florent Bourgeois

Dr. Carine Julcour

Pr. Julien Leclaire

Dr. Solène Touzé

Pr. Martin Cyr

ACEME 2018, March 11-14, 2018, Newcastle, Australia

Contract