

Temporal pattern recognition in retinal ganglion cells is mediated by dynamical inhibitory synapses

Simone Ebert, Thomas Buffet, Semihchan Sermet, Olivier Marre, Bruno

Cessac

► To cite this version:

Simone Ebert, Thomas Buffet, Semihchan Sermet, Olivier Marre, Bruno Cessac. Temporal pattern recognition in retinal ganglion cells is mediated by dynamical inhibitory synapses. Neuromod Meeting, Jun 2023, Antibes, France. hal-04351160

HAL Id: hal-04351160 https://hal.science/hal-04351160

Submitted on 18 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Temporal pattern recognition in retinal ganglion cells is mediated by dynamical inhibitory synapses

Simone Ebert, Thomas Buffet, Semihchan Sermet, Olivier Marre, Bruno Cessac

28.06.2023 Neuromod Meeting 2023 Antibes









• Transforms visual inputs into neuronal responses





• Transforms visual inputs into neuronal responses

• 3 layered network for analog processing visual inputs



• Transforms visual inputs into neuronal responses

• 3 layered network for analog processing visual inputs

• Complex excitatory and inhibitory interactions to facilitate extraction of relevant information

Prediction and Surprise in the Retina

• The retina does not encode the visual scene *per se*



Prediction and Surprise in the Retina

- The retina does not encode the visual scene *per se*
- but forms predictions about future stimuli



Prediction and surprise in the Retina

- The retina does not encode the visual scene *per se*
- but forms predictions about future stimuli
- and signals surprise about unpredicted inputs (= error signal)



Prediction and surprise in the Retina



- The retina do the visual score
- but forms pr future stimu

How can the retina recognize temporal patterns, form predictions and signal surprise ?

 and signals surprise upper unpredicted inputs (= error signal)



Surprise Response in the Retina





Surprise Response in the Retina





Response latency shifts with stimulus period





Response latency shifts with stimulus period





Inhibition is necessary for latency scaling







1. Convolution of stimulus and temporal kernel

$$F_X(t) = S_X[\alpha_X * s](t)$$



1. Convolution of stimulus and temporal kernel

$$F_X(t) = S_X[\alpha_X * s](t)$$

2. Intermediate units integrate the signal

$$\frac{dV_X}{dt} = -\frac{V_X}{\tau_X} + F_X(t)$$



1. Convolution of stimulus and temporal kernel

$$F_X(t) = S_X[\alpha_X * s](t)$$

2. Intermediate units integrate the signal

$$\frac{dV_X}{dt} = -\frac{V_X}{\tau_X} + F_X(t)$$

3. RGCs pool over network

$$\frac{dV_G}{dt} = -\frac{V_G}{\tau_G} + \sum_{X=1}^N w_X p(V_X(t), \theta_X)$$



1. Convolution of stimulus and temporal kernel

$$F_X(t) = S_X[\alpha_X * s](t)$$

2. Intermediate units integrate the signal

$$\frac{dV_X}{dt} = -\frac{V_X}{\tau_X} + F_X(t)$$

3. RGCs pool over network

$$\frac{dV_G}{dt} = -\frac{V_G}{\tau_G} + \sum_{X=1}^N w_X p(V_X(t), \theta_X)$$



1. Convolution of stimulus and temporal kernel

$$F_X(t) = S_X[\alpha_X * s](t)$$

2. Intermediate units integrate the signal

$$\frac{dV_X}{dt} = -\frac{V_X}{\tau_X} + F_X(t)$$

3. RGCs pool over network

$$\frac{dV_G}{dt} = -\frac{V_G}{\tau_G} + \sum_{X=1}^N w_X p(V_X(t), \theta_X)$$

Input-dependent Adaptive Coupling via Shortterm Depression



1. The variable n adapts dynamically to the input

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = (1-n)k_{rec} - \beta k_{rel} p(V_{I_{Gly}^{OFF}}, \theta_{I_{gly}^{OFF}})n$$

Input-dependent Adaptive Coupling via Shortterm Depression



1. The variable n adapts dynamically to the input

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = (1-n)k_{rec} - \beta k_{rel} p(V_{I_{Gly}^{OFF}}, \theta_{I_{gly}^{OFF}})n$$

2. The synaptic weight is scales by n

$$w(t) = \bar{w} n(t)$$



Model















time [s]

....

80 100 120 period [ms]

0.5

slope 1 data 1.

170

0.0

0

Relative response latency [ms]







Additional inhibition shifts the latency



 $\frac{dV_G}{dt} = -\frac{V_G}{\tau_G} + \frac{w_{E^{ON}}V_{E^{ON}} + nw_{I_{Gly}^{OFF}}p(V_{I_{Gly}^{OFF}}, \theta_{I_{Gly}^{OFF}}) + w_{I^{ON}}V_{I^{ON}}}{v_{I^{ON}}} + \frac{w_{I^{ON}}V_{I^{ON}}}{v_{I^{ON}}} + \frac{w_{I^{ON}}V_{I^{ON}}}}{v_{I^{ON}}} + \frac{w_{I^{ON}}V_{I^{ON}}}}{v_{I^{ON}}} + \frac{w_{I^{ON}}V_{I^{ON}}}{v_{I^{ON}}} + \frac{w_{I^{ON}}V_{I^{ON}}}{v_{I^{ON}}}} + \frac{w_{I^{ON}}V_{I^{ON}}}{v_{I^$

Additional inhibition shifts the latency

Plasticity scales latency shift to frequency

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = (1-n)k_{rec} - \beta k_{rel}p(V_{I_{Gly}}, \theta_{I_{gly}})n$$

$$\frac{dV_G}{dt} = -\frac{V_G}{\tau_G} + w_{E^{ON}}V_{E^{ON}} + nw_{I_{Gly}^{OFF}}p(V_{I_{Gly}^{OFF}}, \theta_{I_{Gly}^{OFF}}) + w_{I^{ON}}V_{I^{ON}}$$

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = (1-n)k_{rec} - \beta k_{rel}p(V_{I_{Gly}^{OFF}}, \theta_{I_{gly}^{OFF}})n$$



30

Model produces **error signal** via excitation and slower inhibition

Additional inhibition shifts the latency

Plasticity scales latency shift to frequency

 $\frac{dV_G}{dt} = -\frac{V_G}{\tau_G} + w_{E^{ON}}V_{E^{ON}} + nw_{I_{Gly}^{OFF}}p(V_{I_{Gly}^{OFF}}, \theta_{I_{Gly}^{OFF}}) + w_{I^{ON}}V_{I^{ON}}$

Conclusion

 Retina forms predictions about it future inputs and signals surprise when they are violated



Conclusion

- Retina forms predictions about it future inputs and signals surprise when they are violated
- We show how this may be implemented via excitatory-inhibitory interactions
- temporal precision arises from dynamic synaptic adaptation



• Anticipation of motion trajectories



• Anticipation of motion trajectories via inhibitory network



• Anticipation of motion trajectories via inhibitory network

Dynamic **adaptation** for **speed** representation



• Anticipation of motion trajectories via inhibitory network

Dynamic **adaptation** for **speed** representation

Surprise to sudden changes in trajectories



Thank you !

Bruno Cessac Pierre Kornprobst Hui-Yin Wu Johanna Delachambre Franz Franco Gallo Alexandre Bonlarron Jerome Emonet **Florent Robert** Clement Bergman Jeremy Termoz-Masson Erwan Petit Sebastian Gallardo Diaz Sebastian Vizcay **Olivier Marre Thomas Buffet** B. Semihchan Sermet









Ebert et al., 2023, in review





Additional inhibition shifts the latency

Plasticity scales latency shift to frequency

 \odot

 \odot

•



 $\frac{dV_G}{dt} = -\frac{V_G}{\tau_G} + w_{E^{ON}}V_{E^{ON}} + nw_{I_{Gly}^{OFF}}p(V_{I_{Gly}^{OFF}}, \theta_{I_{Gly}^{OFF}}) + w_{I^{ON}}V_{I^{ON}}$

Additional inhibition shifts the latency

Plasticity scales latency shift to frequency

 \odot

 \odot

•



40

 $\frac{dV_G}{dt} = -\frac{V_G}{\tau_G} + w_{E^{ON}}V_{E^{ON}} + nw_{I_{Gly}^{OFF}}p(V_{I_{Gly}^{OFF}}, \theta_{I_{Gly}^{OFF}}) + w_{I^{ON}}V_{I^{ON}}$

Additional inhibition shifts the latency

Plasticity scales latency shift to frequency

 \odot

 \odot

•



