

# Well-posedness and polynomial energy decay rate of a transmission problem for Rayleigh beam model with heat conduction

Mohammad Akil, Mouhammad Ghader, Zayd Hajjej, Mohamad Ali

Sammoury

### ▶ To cite this version:

Mohammad Akil, Mouhammad Ghader, Zayd Hajjej, Mohamad Ali Sammoury. Well-posedness and polynomial energy decay rate of a transmission problem for Rayleigh beam model with heat conduction. Asymptotic Analysis, 2023, pp.1-42. 10.3233/ASY-231849. hal-04346642

## HAL Id: hal-04346642 https://hal.science/hal-04346642

Submitted on 15 Dec 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

### WELL-POSEDNESS AND POLYNOMIAL ENERGY DECAY RATE OF A TRANSMISSION PROBLEM FOR RAYLEIGH BEAM MODEL WITH HEAT CONDUCTION

MOHAMMAD AKIL<sup>1,\*</sup>, MOUHAMMAD GHADER<sup>2</sup>, ZAYD HAJJEJ<sup>3</sup> AND MOHAMAD ALI SAMMOURY<sup>2</sup>

 $^1$ UNIV. POLYTECHNIQUE HAUTS-DE-FRANCE, INSA HAUTS-DE-FRANCE, CERAMATHS-LABORATOIRE DE MATÉRIAUX CÉRAMIQUES ET DE MATHÉMATIQUES, F-59313 VALENCIENNES, FRANCE.

 $^2$  AL MAAREF UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEIRUT CAMPUS, AIRPORT BRIDGE, GHOBEIRY 5078 0025, LEBANON.

 $^3$  DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, KING SAUD UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 2455, RIYADH 11451, SAUDI ARABIA.

 $\label{eq:mail:mohammad.akil@uphf.fr, Mouhammad.ghader@mu.edu.lb, Zhajjej@ksu.edu.sa, Mohamad.sammoury@mu.edu.lb$ 

\* CORRESPONDING AUTHOR.

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate the stability of the transmission problem for Rayleigh beam model with heat conduction. First, we reformulate our system into an evolution equation and prove our problem's well-posedness. Next, we demonstrate the resolvent of the operator is compact in the energy space, then by using the general criteria of Arendt-Batty, we prove that the thermal dissipation is enough to stabilize our model. Finally, a polynomial energy decay rate has been obtained which depends on the mass densities and the moments of inertia of the Rayleigh beams.

Keywords. Rayleigh beam; heat conduction;  $C_0$ -semigroup; polynomial stability.

#### Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Formulation of the Problem	2
3. Well-Posedness and Strong Stability	Ę
3.1. Well-posedness of the problem.	Ę
3.2. Strong stability of the system	6
4. Polynomial Stability	12
Conclusion and open problems	25
4.1. Conclusion	25
4.2. Open Problems	26
Appendix A. Notions of stability and theorems used	26
References	27

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study the stability of a transmission problem for Rayleigh beam model with heat conduction

$$\rho_1 u_{tt} - \alpha_1 u_{xxtt} + \beta_1 u_{xxxx} + \gamma \theta_{xx} = 0, \quad (x,t) \in (0,L_0) \times (0,+\infty), \tag{1.1}$$

$$\rho_2 y_{tt} - \alpha_2 y_{xxtt} + \beta_2 y_{xxxx} = 0, \quad (x, t) \in (L_0, L) \times (0, +\infty), \tag{1.2}$$

$$\rho_0 \theta_t - \kappa \theta_{xx} - \gamma u_{xxt} = 0, \quad (x, t) \in (0, L_0) \times (0, +\infty), \tag{1.3}$$

with boundary conditions

$$\theta(0,t) = \theta(L_0,t) = 0, \quad t \in (0,+\infty),$$
(1.4)

$$u(0,t) = u_x(0,t) = 0, \quad t \in (0,+\infty),$$
(1.5)

$$y(L,t) = y_x(L,t) = 0, \quad t \in (0,+\infty),$$
(1.6)

transmission conditions

$$u(L_0, t) = y(L_0, t), \quad t \in (0, +\infty),$$
 (1.7)

$$u_x(L_0, t) = y_x(L_0, t), \quad t \in (0, +\infty),$$
 (1.8)

$$\beta_1 u_{xx}(L_0, t) = \beta_2 y_{xx}(L_0, t), \quad t \in (0, +\infty), \tag{1.9}$$

$$\gamma \theta_x(L_0, t) + \beta_1 u_{xxx}(L_0, t) - \alpha_1 u_{xtt}(L_0, t) - \beta_2 y_{xxx}(L_0, t) + \alpha_2 y_{xtt}(L_0, t) = 0, \quad t \in (0, +\infty), \quad (1.10)$$

and initial data

$$(u(x,0), u_t(x,0), \theta(x,0)) = (u_0(x), u_1(x), \theta_0(x)), \quad x \in (0, L_0),$$
(1.11)

$$(y(x,0), y_t(x,0)) = (y_0(x), y_1(x)), \quad x \in (L_0, L),$$
(1.12)

where, for i = 1, 2,  $\rho_i > 0$  is the mass density per unit volume,  $\alpha_i > 0$  is the moment of inertia of the crosssections,  $\beta_i > 0$  is the stiffness constant, while  $\rho_0 > 0$  and  $\kappa > 0$  represent, respectively, the specific heat and the thermal conductivity. Here  $0 < L_0 < L$  and  $\gamma$  is a non-zero real number.

The model at hand describes a Rayleigh beam formed of two distinct materials, one of which is sensitive to thermal differences and the other of which is unaffected by temperature changes. In other words, the material has a limited thermoelastic effect [47, 48].

The stabilization of the Rayleigh beam equation retains the attention of many authors. In this regard, different types of damping have been introduced to the Rayleigh beam equation and several uniform and polynomial stability results have been obtained. Rao [46] studied the stabilization of Rayleigh beam equation subject to a positive internal viscous damping. Using a constructive approximation, he established the optimal exponential decay rate. There exists many papers concerning the stability with different types of damping [37, 17, 50, 31, 33, 32, 34].

In [49], the authors are concerned with the stability of an interconnected system of an Euler-Bernoulli beam and a heat equation with boundary coupling. The boundary temperature of the beam is fed as the boundary moment of the Euler-Bernoulli equation and the boundary angular velocity of the Euler-Bernoulli beam is fed into the boundary heat flux of the heat equation. It is shown that the spectrum of the closed-loop system consists of only two branches: one along the real axis and the other along two parabolas that are symmetric to the real axis and open to the imaginary axis. The asymptotic expressions of both eigenvalues and eigenfunctions are obtained. With a careful estimate of the resolvent operator, the completeness of the root subspaces of the system is verified. The Riesz basis property and exponential stability of the system are then proved. Moreover, it is shown that the semigroup generated by the system operator is of Gevrey class  $\delta > 2$ .

In [56], the authors studied the stabilization problem for a coupled PDE system in which the beam (1-dimensional or 2-dimensional) and heat equations are coupled at the boundary conditions. Moreover, a dissipative damping is produced in the heat equation via the boundary connections only. In the first part, the authors considered the asymptotic behavior of the 1-dimensional coupled system mainly by the Riesz basis approach. By using a detailed spectral analysis for the system operator, they obtained asymptotic expressions for the spectrum and the corresponding eigenvectors. The authors further obtained a spectrum-determined growth condition by showing the Riesz basis property of the eigenvectors. Then, based on the spectral distribution, they deduced the Gevrey regularity of the semigroup for the system and the exponential decay rate of the system energy. In

the second part, the authors investigated the asymptotic behavior of the 2–dimensional coupled PDE system by using the frequency domain method. By estimating the uniform boundedness of the norm of the resolvent operator along the imaginary axis, they showed that the 2-d coupled system is also exponentially stable when an additional dissipation in the boundary of the plate part exists.

We mention some papers studied the stability of different system under heat conduction [41, 40, 39, 2, 45, 27, 38, 9, 8, 7, 16, 13, 12, 11, 10, 15, 14, 28, 29, 52, 5, 4, 43, 42, 1, 19, 23, 25, 53, 54, 26].

Now, we mention some papers concerning a transmission wave-heat system. In [55], the author studied the stability analysis of an interaction system comprised of a wave equation and a heat equation with memory, where the hereditary heat conduction is due to Gurtin-Pipkin law or Coleman-Gurtin law. First, she showed the strong asymptotic stability of solutions to this system. Then, the exponential stability of the interaction system when the hereditary heat conduction is of Gurtin-Pipkin type. Further, she showed the lack of uniform decay of the interaction system when the heat conduction law is of Coleman-Gurtin type. In [24], the authors extended the result of [55] by proving the optimal polynomial decay rate of type 1/t when the heat conduction law is of Coleman-Gurtin type.

To our best knowledge, the transmission problem for Rayleigh beam with heat conduction is not treated in the literature. The goal of this paper is to fix this gap by considering System (1.1)-(1.12).

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we formulate the System (1.1)-(1.12) into an evolution equation  $\Phi_t = \mathcal{A}\Phi$ ,  $\Phi(0) = \Phi_0 = (u_0, y_0, u_1, y_1, \theta_0)$  (see (2.14)). Next, Section 3 is divided into two subsections. In subsection 3.1 we study the well-posedness of Problem (1.1)-(1.12). According to Lumer-Phillips theorem (see [36, 44]), we prove that the operator  $\mathcal{A}$  is m-dissipative. In Subsection 3.2, we prove the strong stability of (1.1)-(1.12). Firstly, we prove that the the operator  $\mathcal{A}$  has a compact resolvent on the energy space. Next, we prove the strong stability of System (1.1)-(1.12) by using Arendt-Batty Theorem. In Section 4, we prove the polynomial stability of System (1.1)-(1.12). The decay rate of the energy depends on the physical coefficients. We obtain the following result:

- A polynomial energy decay rate of type  $t^{-2}$  if  $\rho_1 \ge \rho_2$  and  $\alpha_1 \ge \alpha_2$ .
- A polynomial energy decay rate of type  $t^{-1}$  if  $\rho_1 < \rho_2$  or  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ .

We use Borichev-Tomilov Theorem combining with a specific multiplier technics and a particular attention of the sharpness of the estimates to optimize the results.

#### 2. Formulation of the Problem

We start this section by defining the energy of a solution of System (1.1)-(1.12) by

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{L_0} \left( \rho_1 \left| u_t \right|^2 + \alpha_1 \left| u_{xt} \right|^2 + \beta_1 \left| u_{xx} \right|^2 + \rho_0 \left| \theta \right|^2 \right) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{L_0}^{L} \left( \rho_2 \left| y_t \right|^2 + \alpha_2 \left| y_{xt} \right|^2 + \beta_2 \left| y_{xx} \right|^2 \right) dx.$$

Multiplying (1.1) and (1.3) by  $u_t$  and  $\theta$ , respectively, integrating by parts over  $(0, L_0)$  with respect to x and taking the sum of the resulting equations, we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left(\rho_{1}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} + \alpha_{1}\left|u_{xt}\right|^{2} + \beta_{1}\left|u_{xx}\right|^{2} + \rho_{0}\left|\theta\right|^{2}\right)dx + \kappa\int_{0}^{L_{0}}\left|\theta_{x}\right|^{2}dx + \left[\left(\gamma\,\theta_{x} + \beta_{1}\,u_{xxx} - \alpha_{1}\,u_{xtt}\right)u_{t} - \beta_{1}\,u_{xx}\,u_{xt} - \gamma\,u_{xt}\,\theta\right]_{0}^{L_{0}} = 0.$$
(2.1)

Next, multiplying (1.2) by  $y_t$ , integrating by parts over  $(L_0, L)$  with respect to x, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{L_0}^{L} \left(\rho_2 \left|y_t\right|^2 + \alpha_2 \left|y_{xt}\right|^2 + \beta_2 \left|y_{xx}\right|^2\right) dx + \left[\left(\beta_2 y_{xxx} - \alpha_2 y_{xtt}\right) y_t - \beta_2 y_{xx} y_{xt}\right]_{L_0}^{L} = 0.$$
(2.2)

Adding (2.1) and (2.2), then using the boundary condition (1.4)-(1.10), we infer that

$$E'(t) = -\kappa \int_0^{L_0} \left|\theta_x\right|^2 dx \le 0$$

Hence, System (1.1)-(1.12) is dissipative in the sense that its energy is non increasing with respect to the time t.

We start our study by formulating problem (1.1)-(1.12) in an appropriate Hilbert space:

• We introduce the following spaces:

$$\begin{split} H_L^1(0,L_0) &= \left\{ u \in H^1(0,L_0) \mid u(0) = 0 \right\}, \\ H_R^1(L_0,L) &= \left\{ y \in H^1(L_0,L) \mid y(L) = 0 \right\}, \\ \mathbb{V}_1 &= \left\{ (u,y) \in H_L^1(0,L_0) \times H_R^1(L_0,L) \mid u(L_0) = y(L_0) \right\}, \\ \mathbb{W}_1 &= \left\{ (u,y) \in \left( H^2(0,L_0) \times H^2(L_0,L) \right) \cap \mathbb{V}_1 \mid u_x(0) = y_x(L) = 0, \ u_x(L_0) = y_x(L_0) \right\}. \end{split}$$

 $\operatorname{Set}$ 

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{L}_1 = L^2(0, L_0) \times L^2(L_0, L), & \mathbb{L}_2 = L^2(0, L_0) \times L^2(L_0, L) \times L^2(0, L_0), \\ \mathbb{V}_2 = \mathbb{V}_1 \times L^2(0, L_0), & \mathbb{W}_2 = \mathbb{W}_1 \times H^1_0(0, L_0). \end{cases}$$

• Let  $(u, y, \theta)$  be a regular solution of System (1.1)-(1.12). Let  $(\hat{u}, \hat{y}, \hat{\theta}) \in \mathbb{W}_2$ . Multiplying (1.1), (1.2), and (1.3) by  $\overline{\hat{u}}, \overline{\hat{y}}$ , and  $\overline{\hat{\theta}}$ , respectively, integrating by parts over  $(0, L_0)$ ,  $(L_0, L)$ , and  $(0, L_0)$ , respectively and then taking the sum, we derive

$$\int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left(\rho_{1}u_{tt}\overline{\hat{u}} + \alpha_{1} u_{xtt}\overline{\hat{u}_{x}}\right) dx + \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \left(\rho_{2}y_{tt}\overline{\hat{y}} + \alpha_{2} y_{xtt}\overline{\hat{y}_{x}}\right) dx - \gamma \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left(\theta_{x}\overline{\hat{u}_{x}} - u_{xt}\overline{\hat{\theta}_{x}}\right) dx + \beta_{1} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} u_{xx}\overline{\hat{u}_{xx}} dx + \beta_{2} \int_{L_{0}}^{L} y_{xx}\overline{\hat{y}_{xx}} dx + \rho_{0} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \theta_{t}\overline{\hat{\theta}} dx + \kappa \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \theta_{x}\overline{\hat{\theta}_{x}} dx - \left[\left(\alpha_{1}u_{xtt} - \beta_{1}u_{xxx}\right)\overline{\hat{u}} + \beta_{1}u_{xx}\overline{\hat{u}_{x}} + \kappa\theta_{x}\overline{\hat{\theta}}\right]_{0}^{L_{0}} - \left[\left(\alpha_{2}y_{xtt} - \beta_{2}y_{xxx}\right)\overline{\hat{y}} + \beta_{2}y_{xx}\overline{\hat{y}_{x}}\right]_{L_{0}}^{L} + \gamma \left[\theta_{x}\overline{\hat{u}} - u_{xt}\overline{\hat{\theta}}\right]_{0}^{L_{0}} = 0.$$

$$(2.3)$$

Since  $(\hat{u}, \hat{y}, \hat{\theta}) \in \mathbb{W}_2$ , then

$$\hat{u}(0) = \hat{u}_x(0) = \hat{y}(L) = \hat{y}_x(L) = \hat{\theta}(0) = \hat{\theta}(L_0) = 0, \quad \hat{u}(L_0) = \hat{y}(L_0), \quad \hat{u}_x(L_0) = \hat{y}_x(L_0).$$

Using the above boundary conditions in (2.3), we get

$$\int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left(\rho_{1}u_{tt}\overline{\hat{u}} + \alpha_{1} u_{xtt}\overline{\hat{u}_{x}}\right) dx + \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \left(\rho_{2}y_{tt}\overline{\hat{y}} + \alpha_{2} y_{xtt}\overline{\hat{y}_{x}}\right) dx + \gamma \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left(u_{xt}\overline{\hat{\theta}_{x}} - \theta_{x}\overline{\hat{u}_{x}}\right) dx \\ + \beta_{1} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} u_{xx}\overline{\hat{u}_{xx}} dx + \beta_{2} \int_{L_{0}}^{L} y_{xx}\overline{\hat{y}_{xx}} dx + \rho_{0} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \theta_{t}\overline{\hat{\theta}} dx + \kappa \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \theta_{x}\overline{\hat{\theta}_{x}} dx \\ + \left(\beta_{2}y_{xx}\left(L_{0}\right) - \beta_{1}u_{xx}\left(L_{0}\right)\right)\overline{\hat{y}_{x}}\left(L_{0}\right) \\ + \left(\gamma\theta_{x}(L_{0}) + \beta_{1}u_{xxx}\left(L_{0}\right) - \alpha_{1}u_{xtt}\left(L_{0}\right) - \beta_{2}y_{xxx}\left(L_{0}\right) + \alpha_{2}y_{xtt}\left(L_{0}\right)\right)\overline{\hat{y}}\left(L_{0}\right) = 0.$$

Using the boundary conditions (1.9) and (1.10) in the above equation, we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left(\rho_{1}u_{tt}\overline{\hat{u}} + \alpha_{1}u_{xtt}\overline{\hat{u}_{x}}\right) dx + \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \left(\rho_{2}y_{tt}\overline{\hat{y}} + \alpha_{2}y_{xtt}\overline{\hat{y}_{x}}\right) dx + \gamma \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left(u_{xt}\overline{\hat{\theta}_{x}} - \theta_{x}\overline{\hat{u}_{x}}\right) dx + \beta_{1} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} u_{xx}\overline{\hat{u}_{xx}} dx + \beta_{2} \int_{L_{0}}^{L} y_{xx}\overline{\hat{y}_{xx}} dx + \rho_{0} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \theta_{t}\overline{\hat{\theta}} dx + \kappa \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \theta_{x}\overline{\hat{\theta}_{x}} dx = 0.$$

Equivalently, the variational equation of problem (1.1)-(1.12) is given by

$$\beta_{1} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} u_{xx} \overline{\hat{u}_{xx}} \, dx + \beta_{2} \int_{L_{0}}^{L} y_{xx} \overline{\hat{y}_{xx}} \, dx$$

$$+ \kappa \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \theta_{x} \overline{\hat{\theta}_{x}} \, dx + \gamma \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left( u_{xt} \overline{\hat{\theta}_{x}} - \theta_{x} \overline{\hat{u}_{x}} \right) \, dx \qquad (2.4)$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left( \rho_{1} u_{tt} \overline{\hat{u}} + \alpha_{1} \, u_{xtt} \overline{\hat{u}_{x}} \right) \, dx + \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \left( \rho_{2} y_{tt} \overline{\hat{y}} + \alpha_{2} \, y_{xtt} \overline{\hat{y}_{x}} \right) \, dx + \rho_{0} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \theta_{t} \overline{\hat{\theta}} \, dx = 0.$$

• We identify  $\mathbb{L}_1$  with its dual  $\mathbb{L}'_1$  and  $\mathbb{L}_2$  with its dual  $\mathbb{L}'_2$ , so that we have the following continuous embeddings:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{W}_2 \subset \mathbb{V}_2 \subset \mathbb{L}_2 \subset \mathbb{W}'_2 \subset \mathbb{W}'_2, \\ \mathbb{W}_1 \subset \mathbb{V}_1 \subset \mathbb{L}_1 \subset \mathbb{V}'_1 \subset \mathbb{W}'_1. \end{cases}$$
(2.5)

• We introduce the following bilinear forms:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{for } Z = (u, y), \, \hat{Z} = (\hat{u}, \hat{y}) \in \mathbb{W}_{1} : \\ &a\left(Z, \hat{Z}\right) = \beta_{1} \left\langle u_{xx}, \hat{u}_{xx} \right\rangle_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} + \beta_{2} \left\langle y_{xx}, \hat{y}_{xx} \right\rangle_{L^{2}(L_{0},L)}, \\ &\text{for } \Phi = (u, y, \theta), \, \hat{\Phi} = \left(\hat{u}, \hat{y}, \hat{\theta}\right) \in \mathbb{W}_{2} : \\ &b\left(\Phi, \hat{\Phi}\right) = \gamma \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left(u_{x} \overline{\hat{\theta}_{x}} - \theta_{x} \overline{\hat{u}_{x}}\right) dx + \kappa \left\langle \theta_{x}, \hat{\theta}_{x} \right\rangle_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}, \\ &\text{for } U = (u, y, \theta), \, \hat{U} = \left(\hat{u}, \hat{y}, \hat{\theta}\right) \in \mathbb{V}_{2} : \\ &c\left(U, \hat{U}\right) = \rho_{1} \left\langle u, \hat{u} \right\rangle_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} + \alpha_{1} \left\langle u_{x}, \hat{u}_{x} \right\rangle_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} + \rho_{2} \left\langle y, \hat{y} \right\rangle_{L^{2}(L_{0},L)} \\ &+ \alpha_{1} \left\langle y_{x}, \hat{y}_{x} \right\rangle_{L^{2}(L_{0},L)} + \rho_{0} \left\langle \theta, \hat{\theta} \right\rangle_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}. \end{aligned}$$

Here and below,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2(0,L_0)}$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2(L_0,L)}$  denote the usual inner product of  $L^2(0,L_0)$  and  $L^2(L_0,L)$ , respectively, and  $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(L_0,L)}$  their corresponding norms. The form  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  (resp.  $c(\cdot, \cdot)$ ) is a bilinear continuous coercive form on  $\mathbb{W}_1 \times \mathbb{W}_1$  (resp. on  $\mathbb{V}_2 \times \mathbb{V}_2$ ), while  $b(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a bilinear continuous form on  $\mathbb{W}_2 \times \mathbb{W}_2$  and satisfies

$$\Re\left\{b\left(\Phi,\Phi\right)\right\} = \kappa \left\langle\theta_x,\theta_x\right\rangle_{L^2(0,L_0)} = \kappa \int_0^{L_0} |\theta_x|^2 \, dx, \quad \forall \ \Phi = (u,y,\theta) \in \mathbb{W}_2.$$

$$(2.7)$$

• We define the operators  $C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{V}_2, \mathbb{V}'_2), B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{W}_2, \mathbb{W}'_2)$ , and  $A_0 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{W}_1, \mathbb{W}'_1)$  by

$$\begin{cases}
\left\langle CU, \hat{U} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{V}'_{2} \times \mathbb{V}_{2}} := c\left(U, \hat{U}\right), & \forall U = (u, y, \theta), \ \hat{U} = \left(\hat{u}, \hat{y}, \hat{\theta}\right) \in \mathbb{V}_{2}, \\
\left\langle BU, \hat{U} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{W}'_{2} \times \mathbb{W}_{2}} = b\left(U, \hat{U}\right), & \forall U = (u, y, \theta), \ \hat{U} = \left(\hat{u}, \hat{y}, \hat{\theta}\right) \in \mathbb{W}_{2}, \\
\left\langle A_{0}Z, \hat{Z} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{W}'_{1} \times \mathbb{W}_{1}} = a\left(Z, \hat{Z}\right), & \forall Z = (u, y), \ \hat{Z} = (\hat{u}, \hat{y}) \in \mathbb{W}_{1}, \\
A_{1}Z = (A_{0}Z, 0), & \forall Z = (u, y) \in \mathbb{W}_{1}.
\end{cases}$$
(2.8)

The operator C (resp.  $A_0$ ) is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{V}_2$  onto  $\mathbb{V}'_2$  (resp.  $\mathbb{W}_1$  onto  $\mathbb{W}'_1$ ) and is the canonical isomorphism, so we can introduce  $c(\cdot, \cdot)$  (resp.  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  as a scalar product on  $\mathbb{V}_2$  (resp. on  $\mathbb{W}_1$ ), i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \|U\|_{\mathbb{V}_{2}}^{2} = \left\langle U, \hat{U} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{V}_{2}} = c\left(U, \hat{U}\right) = \left\langle CU, \hat{U} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{V}_{2}' \times \mathbb{V}_{2}}, & \forall U = (u, y, \theta), \ \hat{U} = \left(\hat{u}, \hat{y}, \hat{\theta}\right) \in \mathbb{V}_{2}, \\ \|Z\|_{\mathbb{W}_{1}}^{2} = \left\langle Z, \hat{Z} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{W}_{1}} = a\left(Z, \hat{Z}\right) = \left\langle A_{0}Z, \hat{Z} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{W}_{1}' \times \mathbb{W}_{1}}, & \forall Z = (u, y), \ \hat{Z} = (\hat{u}, \hat{y}) \in \mathbb{W}_{1}. \end{cases}$$

$$(2.9)$$

• The variational equation (2.4) can be written in terms of the above operators as an equation in  $\mathbb{W}'_2$  as follows:

$$C(u_{tt}, y_{tt}, \theta_t) + B(u_t, y_t, \theta) + A_1(u, y) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{W}'_2.$$
(2.10)

Furthermore, assume that  $B\left(u_t,y_t,\theta\right) + A_1(u,y) \in \mathbb{V}_2'$  , then we obtain that

$$(u_{tt}, y_{tt}, \theta_t) + C^{-1} (B (u_t, y_t, \theta) + A_1(u, y)) = 0$$
 in  $\mathbb{V}_2$ 

Defining  $v = u_t$  and  $z = y_t$ , then (2.10) can be written as

$$(v, z, \theta)_t = -C^{-1} (B(v, z, \theta) + A_1(u, y))$$

• We introduce the following energy space:

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{W}_1 \times \mathbb{V}_2$$

For all  $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \Phi_2) \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\hat{\Phi} = (\hat{\Phi}_1, \hat{\Phi}_2) \in \mathcal{H}$ , such that  $\Phi_1 = (u, y)$ ,  $\Phi_2 = (v, z, \theta)$ ,  $\hat{\Phi}_1 = (\hat{u}, \hat{y})$ , and  $\hat{\Phi}_2 = (\hat{v}, \hat{z}, \hat{\theta})$ , it is easy to check that the space  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space over  $\mathbb{C}$  equipped with the following inner product

$$\left\langle \Phi, \hat{\Phi} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \left\langle \Phi_{1}, \hat{\Phi}_{1} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{W}_{1}} + \left\langle \Phi_{2}, \hat{\Phi}_{2} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{W}_{2}}$$

$$= a \left( \Phi_{1}, \hat{\Phi}_{1} \right) + c \left( \Phi_{2}, \hat{\Phi}_{2} \right)$$

$$= \beta_{1} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} u_{xx} \overline{\hat{u}_{xx}} \, dx + \beta_{2} \int_{L_{0}}^{L} y_{xx} \overline{\hat{y}_{xx}} \, dx$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left( \rho_{1} v \overline{\hat{v}} + \alpha_{1} v_{x} \overline{\hat{v}_{x}} \right) \, dx + \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \left( \rho_{2} z \overline{\hat{z}} + \alpha_{2} z_{x} \overline{\hat{z}_{x}} \right) \, dx + \rho_{0} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \theta \overline{\hat{\theta}} \, dx.$$

$$(2.11)$$

Hereafter, we use  $||U||_{\mathcal{H}}$  to denote the corresponding norm.

• For all  $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \Phi_2) \in \mathcal{H}$ , such that  $\Phi_1 = (u, y)$  and  $\Phi_2 = (v, z, \theta)$ , we define the unbounded linear operator  $\mathcal{A}$ :  $D(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$  by

$$\mathcal{A}\Phi = \left(v, z, -C^{-1} \left(B\Phi_2 + A_1\Phi_1\right)\right), \tag{2.12}$$

with domain

$$D(\mathcal{A}) = \{ \Phi = (\Phi_1, \Phi_2) \in \mathbb{W}_1 \times \mathbb{V}_2 \mid (\Phi_1, \Phi_2) \in \mathbb{W}_1 \times \mathbb{W}_2, \ B\Phi_2 + A_1\Phi_1 \in \mathbb{V}_2' \}.$$
(2.13)

• If  $\Phi = (u, y, v, z, \theta)$  is a regular solution of System (1.1)-(1.12), then we rewrite this system as the following evolution equation

$$\Phi_t = \mathcal{A}\Phi, \quad \Phi(0) = \Phi_0, \tag{2.14}$$

where  $\Phi_0 = (u_0, y_0, u_1, y_1, \theta_0).$ 

3. Well-Posedness and Strong Stability

3.1. Well-posedness of the problem. For the well-posedness of Problem (1.1)-(1.12), according to Lumer-Phillips theorem (see [36, 44]), we need to prove that the operator  $\mathcal{A}$  is m-dissipative. Hence, we shall prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.1.** The unbounded linear operator  $\mathcal{A}$  is m-dissipative in the energy space  $\mathcal{H}$ .

*Proof.* We first prove that  $\mathcal{A}$  is monotone. For this aim, let  $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \Phi_2) \in \mathcal{H}$ , such that  $\Phi_1 = (u, y)$  and  $\Phi_2 = (v, z, \theta)$ , using the definitions (2.9), (2.11), (2.13), and (2.12), we have

$$\langle \mathcal{A}\Phi, \Phi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle \left( v, z, -C^{-1} \left( B\Phi_2 + A_1\Phi_1 \right) \right), \left( \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \right) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$
  
$$= \langle \left( v, z \right), \Phi_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{W}_1} - \left\langle C^{-1} \left( B\Phi_2 + A_1\Phi_1 \right), \Phi_2 \right\rangle_{\mathbb{V}_2}$$
  
$$= a \left( \left( v, z \right), \Phi_1 \right) - \left\langle B\Phi_2 + A_1\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \right\rangle_{\mathbb{V}'_2 \times \mathbb{V}_2}.$$

Since  $\Phi \in D(\mathcal{A})$ ; i.e.,  $\Phi_2 \in \mathbb{W}_2$  and  $\Phi_1 \in \mathbb{W}_1$ , then using (2.5), the last equation of (2.8), and second-third equations of (2.8) in the above equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{A}\Phi, \Phi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= a\left(\left(v, z\right), \Phi_{1}\right) - \langle B\Phi_{2} - A_{1}\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2} \rangle_{\mathbb{W}_{2}^{\prime} \times \mathbb{W}_{2}} \\ &= a\left(\left(v, z\right), \Phi_{1}\right) - \langle B\Phi_{2}, \Phi_{2} \rangle_{\mathbb{W}_{2}^{\prime} \times \mathbb{W}_{2}} - \langle A_{0}\Phi_{1}, \left(v, z\right) \rangle_{\mathbb{W}_{1}^{\prime} \times \mathbb{W}_{1}} \\ &= a\left(\left(v, z\right), \Phi_{1}\right) - b\left(\Phi_{2}, \Phi_{2}\right) - a\left(\Phi_{1}, \left(v, z\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, taking the real parts of the above equation, then using (2.7), we get

$$\Re\left\{\left\langle \mathcal{A}\Phi,\Phi\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\right\} = -\kappa \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left|\theta_{x}\right|^{2} dx \leq 0.$$
(3.1)

We next prove the maximality. For  $f = (g, h) \in \mathcal{H} = \mathbb{W}_1 \times \mathbb{V}_2$ , such that  $g = (g_1, g_2)$  and  $h = (h_1, h_2, \zeta)$ , we show the existence of  $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \Phi_2) \in D(\mathcal{A})$ , such that  $\Phi_1 = (u, y)$  and  $\Phi_2 = (v, z, \theta)$ , unique solution of the equation

$$\Phi - \mathcal{A}\Phi = F,\tag{3.2}$$

that is

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_1 - (v, z) = g, \\ \Phi_2 + C^{-1} \left( B \Phi_2 + A_1 \Phi_1 \right) = h. \end{cases}$$

Since the operator C is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{V}_2$  onto  $\mathbb{V}'_2$ , then the above system is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_1 = (v, z) + g, \\ C\Phi_2 + B\Phi_2 + A_1\Phi_1 = Ch. \end{cases}$$
(3.3)

Inserting the first equation of (3.3) in the second equation, we obtain that

$$C\Phi_2 + B\Phi_2 + A_1(v, z) = Ch - A_1g.$$
(3.4)

Since  $h \in \mathbb{V}_2$ ,  $g \in \mathbb{W}_1$ , and the operator C (resp.  $A_0$ ) is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{V}_2$  onto  $\mathbb{V}'_2$  (resp.  $\mathbb{W}_1$  onto  $\mathbb{W}'_1$ ), then using (2.5) and the definition of  $A_1$  (see last equation of (2.8)), we get

$$R := Ch - A_1g \in \mathbb{W}'_1 \times L^2(0, L_0).$$

Using the above equation in (3.4), we get

$$C\Phi_2 + B\Phi_2 + A_1(v, z) = R \quad \text{in } \mathbb{W}'_1 \times L^2(0, L_0).$$
(3.5)

We define the operator  $D \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{W}_2, \mathbb{W}'_2)$  by

for 
$$Z = (Z_1, \theta)$$
,  $\hat{Z} = (Z_2, \hat{\theta}) \in \mathbb{W}_2$ , such that  $Z_1 = (v, z)$ ,  $\hat{Z}_2 = (\hat{v}, \hat{z})$ :  
 $\langle DZ, \hat{Z} \rangle_{\mathbb{W}'_2 \times \mathbb{W}_2} := \langle CZ + BZ + A_1 Z_1, \hat{Z} \rangle_{\mathbb{W}'_2 \times \mathbb{W}_2} = c (Z, \hat{Z}) + b (Z, \hat{Z}) + a (Z_1, \hat{Z}_1).$ 

From (2.7) and (2.9), we have

$$\Re\left\{\left\langle DZ,\hat{Z}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{W}_{2}^{\prime}\times\mathbb{W}_{2}}\right\} = \|Z\|_{\mathbb{W}_{2}}^{2} + \kappa \int_{0}^{L_{0}} |\theta_{x}|^{2} dx + \|Z_{1}\|_{\mathbb{W}_{1}}^{2} \geq \min\left(1+\kappa\right)\|Z\|_{\mathbb{W}_{2}}^{2}.$$

So, by using Lax-Milgram lemma, for all  $T \in W'_2$ , we get that DZ = T has a unique solution  $Z = (v, z, \theta) \in W_2$ . Consequently, since  $R \in W'_1 \times L^2(0, L_0) \subset W'_1 \times H^{-1}(0, L_0) = W'_2$ , we get that (3.5) has a unique solution  $\Phi_2 = (v, z, \theta) \in W_2$ . Next, we define  $\Phi_1 := (v, z) + g$ . Since g,  $(v, z) \in W_1$ , we get  $\Phi_1 \in W_1$ . Consequently,  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) \in W_1 \times W_2$  is the unique solution of (3.3). In addition, since  $h \in V_2$ ,  $\Phi_2 \in W_2 \subset V_2$ , and the operator C is an isomorphism of  $V_2$  onto  $V'_2$ , we get

$$B\Phi_2 + A_1\Phi_1 = Ch - C\Phi_2 \in \mathbb{V}_2'.$$

Thus, (3.2) has a unique solution  $\Phi := (\Phi_1, \Phi_2) \in D(\mathcal{A})$ , completing the proof of the proposition.

Thanks to Lumer-Phillips theorem (see [36, 44]), we deduce that  $\mathcal{A}$  generates a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions  $(e^{t\mathcal{A}})_{t\geq 0}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  and therefore Problem (2.14) is well-posed. Then, we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.2.** For any  $\Phi_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ , the Problem (2.14) admits a unique weak solution  $\Phi := e^{t\mathcal{A}}\Phi_0 \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{H})$ . Moreover, if  $\Phi_0 \in D(\mathcal{A})$ , then  $\Phi \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; D(\mathcal{A})) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{H})$ .

3.2. Strong stability of the system. Our main result in this part is the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** The semigroup of contractions  $(e^{t\mathcal{A}})_{t>0}$  is strongly stable on  $\mathcal{H}$  in the sense that

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \|e^{t\mathcal{A}}\Phi_0\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0, \quad \forall \ \Phi_0 \in \mathcal{H}.$$

For the proof of Theorem 3.3: First we will prove that the the operator  $\mathcal{A}$  has a compact resolvent on the energy space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then, we will establish that  $\mathcal{A}$  has no eigenvalues on the imaginary axis. The proof for Theorem 3.3 relies on the subsequent lemmas.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \Phi_2) \in D(\mathcal{A})$ , such that  $\Phi_1 = (u, y)$ , and  $\Phi_2 = (v, z, \theta)$ . Then, we have

$$(v,z) \in \mathbb{W}_1, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\theta \in H^2(0, L_0) \cap H^1_0(0, L_0),$$
(3.7)

$$(u, y) \in \mathbb{W}_1 \cap \left( H^3(0, L_0) \times H^3(L_0, L) \right),$$
(3.8)

$$\beta_1 u_{xx}(L_0) = \beta_2 y_{xx}(L_0). \tag{3.9}$$

In particular, the resolvent  $(I - A)^{-1}$  of A is compact on the energy space H.

*Proof.* The proof is divided into 3 steps.

• Step 1. In this step, we write the variational problem and we prove (3.6). For this aim, let  $f = (g, h) \in \mathcal{H} = \mathbb{W}_1 \times \mathbb{V}_2$  and  $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \Phi_2) \in D(\mathcal{A})$ , such that

$$\mathcal{A}\Phi = f,\tag{3.10}$$

where  $g = (g_1, g_2)$ ,  $h = (h_1, h_2, h_3)$ ,  $\Phi_1 = (u, y)$ , and  $\Phi_2 = (v, z, \theta)$ . Equation (3.10) is equivalent to

$$(v,z) = (g_1,g_2) \in \mathbb{W}_1,$$

$$B\Phi_2 + A_1\Phi_1 = -Ch \in \mathbb{V}'_2 \subset \mathbb{W}'_2.$$

From the first equation of the above system, we obtain (3.6). For all  $Z = (\phi, \varphi, \psi) \in W_2$ , using the above equation, (2.5), and (2.8), one gets

$$\begin{split} \langle B\Phi_2 + A_1\Phi_1, Z \rangle_{\mathbb{V}'_2 \times \mathbb{V}_2} &= -\langle Ch, Z \rangle_{\mathbb{V}'_2 \times \mathbb{V}_2} , \\ \langle B\Phi_2 + A_1\Phi_1, Z \rangle_{\mathbb{W}'_2 \times \mathbb{W}_2} &= -c\left(h, Z\right), \\ b\left(\Phi_2, Z\right) + a\left(\Phi_1, (\phi, \varphi)\right) &= -c\left(h, Z\right). \end{split}$$

Using (2.6) in the above equation, we obtain that for all  $(\phi, \varphi, \psi) \in \mathbb{W}_2$ :

$$\beta_1 \int_0^{L_0} u_{xx} \overline{\phi_{xx}} \, dx + \beta_2 \int_{L_0}^L y_{xx} \overline{\varphi_{xx}} \, dx - \gamma \int_0^{L_0} \theta_x \overline{\phi_x} \, dx + \kappa \int_0^{L_0} \theta_x \overline{\psi_x} \, dx = -\rho_0 \int_0^{L_0} h_3 \overline{\psi} \, dx \\ -\gamma \int_0^{L_0} (g_1)_x \overline{\psi_x} \, dx - \int_0^{L_0} \left(\rho_1 h_1 \overline{\phi} + \alpha_1 \left(h_1\right)_x \overline{\phi_x}\right) \, dx - \int_{L_0}^L \left(\rho_2 h_2 \overline{\varphi} + \alpha_2 \left(h_2\right)_x \overline{\varphi_x}\right) \, dx.$$

$$(3.11)$$

• Step 2. In this step, we prove (3.7) and

$$\theta(x) = -\frac{\gamma}{\kappa} g_1(x) + \frac{\rho_0}{\kappa} \int_0^x \int_0^{x_1} h_3(x_2) \, dx_2 \, dx_1, \quad \forall x \in (0, L_0).$$
(3.12)

For this aim, setting  $\phi = 0$ ,  $\varphi = 0$ , and  $\psi \in H_0^1(0, L_0)$  in (3.11), we obtain

$$\kappa \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \theta_{x} \overline{\psi}_{x} \, dx = -\int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left( \gamma \left( g_{1} \right)_{x} \overline{\psi}_{x} + \rho_{0} h_{3} \overline{\psi} \right) dx \quad \forall \psi \in H_{0}^{1} \left( 0, L_{0} \right).$$

$$(3.13)$$

The left hand side of (3.13) is a bilinear continuous coercive form on  $H_0^1(0, L_0) \times H_0^1(0, L_0)$ , while the right hand side is a linear continuous form on  $H_0^1(0, L_0)$ . Then, using Lax-Milgram lemma, we deduce that there exists unique  $\theta \in H_0^1(0, L_0)$  solution of the variational problem (3.13). Applying classical regularity arguments, we infer that  $\theta \in H_0^1(0, L_0) \cap H^2(0, L_0)$ , hence we get (3.7). Consequently, setting  $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}(0, L_0) \subset H_0^1(0, L_0)$ in (3.13), then using integration by parts, we obtain

$$\int_0^{L_0} \left( -\kappa \theta_{xx} - \gamma \left( g_1 \right)_{xx} + \rho_0 h_3 \right) \overline{\psi} \, dx = 0, \quad \forall \, \psi \in C_c^\infty \left( 0, L_0 \right).$$

Thus, by applying Corollary 4.24 in [21], we get

 $\kappa \theta_{xx} = -\gamma \, (g_1)_{xx} + \rho_0 h_3 \in L^2(0, L_0), \quad \text{a.e.} \ x \in (0, L_0).$ 

Solving the above differential equation (taking into consideration that  $(g_1, g_2) \in W_1$ ; i.e.,  $g_1(0) = (g_1)_x(0) = 0$ ), we obtain (3.12).

• Step 3. In this step, we prove (3.8) and (3.9). For this aim, setting  $(\phi, \varphi) \in \mathbb{W}_1$ , and  $\psi = 0$  in (3.11), then using (3.12), we obtain

$$\beta_1 \int_0^{L_0} u_{xx} \overline{\phi_{xx}} \, dx + \beta_2 \int_{L_0}^L y_{xx} \overline{\phi_{xx}} \, dx = -\kappa^{-1} \int_0^{L_0} \left( \gamma \left( g_1 \right)_x - \rho_0 \int_0^x h(x_2) \, dx_2 \right) \overline{\phi_x} \, dx \\ - \int_0^{L_0} \left( \rho_1 h_1 \overline{\phi} + \alpha_1 \left( h_1 \right)_x \overline{\phi_x} \right) \, dx - \int_{L_0}^L \left( \rho_2 h_2 \overline{\varphi} + \alpha_2 \left( h_2 \right)_x \overline{\varphi_x} \right) \, dx, \quad \forall \left( \phi, \varphi \right) \in \mathbb{W}_1.$$

$$(3.14)$$

The left hand side of (3.14) is a bilinear continuous coercive form on  $\mathbb{W}_1 \times \mathbb{W}_1$ , while the right hand side is a linear continuous form on  $\mathbb{W}_1$ . Then, using Lax-Milgram lemma, we deduce that there exists unique  $(u, y) \in \mathbb{W}_1$  solution of the variational problem (3.14). Now, fix  $(\nu, \mu) \in C_c^{\infty}(0, L_0) \times C_c^{\infty}(L_0, L)$  such that

$$\int_0^{L_0} \nu \, dx = \int_0^{L_0} \mu \, dx = 1.$$

For any function  $(\hat{\nu}, \hat{\mu}) \in \mathbb{W}_1$ , we define

$$\begin{cases} \phi(x) = \int_0^x \left( \hat{\nu}(x_1) - \left( \int_0^{L_0} \hat{\nu}(x_2) \, dx_2 \right) \nu(x_1) \right) dx_1, \quad \forall x \in (0, L_0), \\ \varphi(x) = -\int_x^L \left( \hat{\mu}(x_1) - \left( \int_{L_0}^L \hat{\mu}(x_2) \, dx_2 \right) \mu(x_1) \right) dx_1, \quad \forall (L_0, L). \end{cases}$$
(3.15)

Indeed, the function  $(\phi, \varphi) \in C^1(0, L_0) \times C^1(L_0, L)$  and

$$\phi(0) = \phi(L_0) = \varphi(L_0) = \varphi(L) = \phi_x(0) = \phi_x(L) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_x(L_0) = \varphi_x(L_0) = \hat{\nu}(L_0) = \hat{\mu}(L_0).$$

Thus  $(\phi, \varphi) \in \mathbb{W}_1$ , and consequently, by substituting (3.15) in (3.14), we derive

$$\beta_{1} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} u_{xx} \overline{\hat{\nu}}_{x} \, dx - \beta_{1} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \overline{\hat{\nu}} \, dx \int_{0}^{L_{0}} u_{xx} \overline{\nu}_{x} \, dx + \beta_{2} \int_{L_{0}}^{L} y_{xx} \overline{\hat{\mu}}_{x} \, dx - \beta_{2} \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \overline{\hat{\mu}} \, dx \int_{L_{0}}^{L} y_{xx} \overline{\mu}_{x} \, dx = -\kappa^{-1} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left( \gamma \left( g_{1} \right)_{x} - \rho_{0} \int_{0}^{x} h(x_{2}) \, dx_{2} \right) \left( \overline{\hat{\nu}} - \left( \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \overline{\hat{\nu}}(x_{2}) \, dx_{2} \right) \overline{\nu} \right) dx \\ -\rho_{1} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} h_{1} \left[ \int_{0}^{x} \left( \overline{\hat{\nu}}(x_{1}) - \left( \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \overline{\hat{\nu}}(x_{2}) \, dx_{2} \right) \overline{\nu}(x_{1}) \right) dx_{1} \right] dx \\ -\alpha_{1} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} (h_{1})_{x} \left( \overline{\hat{\nu}} - \left( \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \overline{\hat{\nu}}(x_{2}) \, dx_{2} \right) \overline{\nu} \right) dx \\ +\rho_{2} \int_{L_{0}}^{L} h_{2} \left[ \int_{x}^{L} \left( \overline{\hat{\mu}}(x_{1}) - \left( \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \overline{\hat{\mu}}(x_{2}) \, dx_{2} \right) \overline{\mu}(x_{1}) \right) dx_{1} \right] dx \\ -\alpha_{2} \int_{L_{0}}^{L} (h_{2})_{x} \left( \overline{\hat{\mu}} - \left( \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \overline{\hat{\mu}}(x_{2}) \, dx_{2} \right) \overline{u} \right) dx.$$

$$(3.16)$$

We have

$$-\rho_{1} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} h_{1} \left[ \int_{0}^{x} \left( \overline{\hat{\nu}}(x_{1}) - \left( \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \overline{\hat{\nu}}(x_{2}) \, dx_{2} \right) \overline{\nu}(x_{1}) \right) dx_{1} \right] dx = -\rho_{1} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left( \int_{0}^{x} h_{1}(x_{1}) \, dx_{1} \right)_{x} \left( \int_{0}^{x} \overline{\hat{\nu}}(x_{1}) dx_{1} \right) dx + \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left[ \int_{0}^{L_{0}} h_{1}(x_{1}) \left( \int_{0}^{x_{1}} \overline{\nu}(x_{2}) \, dx_{2} \right) dx_{1} \right] \overline{\hat{\nu}} \, dx.$$

In the above equation, for the first term, using integration by parts, we get

$$-\rho_{1} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} h_{1} \left[ \int_{0}^{x} \left( \overline{\hat{\nu}}(x_{1}) - \left( \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \overline{\hat{\nu}}(x_{2}) \, dx_{2} \right) \overline{\nu}(x_{1}) \right) dx_{1} \right] dx =$$

$$\rho_{1} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left[ \int_{0}^{x} h_{1}(x_{1}) \, dx_{1} - \int_{0}^{L_{0}} h_{1}(x_{1}) \, dx_{1} + \int_{0}^{L_{0}} h_{1}(x_{1}) \left( \int_{0}^{x_{1}} \overline{\nu}(x_{2}) \, dx_{2} \right) dx_{1} \right] \overline{\hat{\nu}} \, dx.$$
(3.17)

By the same way, using integration by parts, we get

$$\rho_{2} \int_{L_{0}}^{L} h_{2} \left[ \int_{x}^{L} \left( \overline{\hat{\mu}}(x_{1}) - \left( \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \overline{\hat{\mu}}(x_{2}) \, dx_{2} \right) \overline{\mu}(x_{1}) \right) dx_{1} \right] dx = -\rho_{2} \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \left[ \int_{x}^{L} h_{2}(x_{1}) \, dx_{1} - \int_{L_{0}}^{L} h_{2}(x_{1}) \, dx_{1} + \int_{L_{0}}^{L} h_{2}(x_{1}) \left( \int_{x_{1}}^{L} \overline{\mu}(x_{2}) \, dx_{2} \right) dx_{1} \right] \overline{\hat{\mu}} \, dx.$$

$$(3.18)$$

Next, we have

$$-\kappa^{-1} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left( \gamma \left( g_{1} \right)_{x} - \rho_{0} \int_{0}^{x} h(x_{2}) \, dx_{2} \right) \left( \overline{\hat{\nu}} - \left( \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \overline{\hat{\nu}}(x_{2}) \, dx_{2} \right) \overline{\nu} \right) dx$$
  
$$= -\kappa^{-1} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left[ \gamma \left( g_{1} \right)_{x} - \rho_{0} \int_{0}^{x} h(x_{2}) \, dx_{2} - \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left( \gamma \left( g_{1} \right)_{x} - \rho_{0} \int_{0}^{x} h(x_{2}) \, dx_{2} \right) \overline{\nu} \, dx \right] \overline{\nu} \, dx,$$
(3.19)

$$-\alpha_1 \int_0^{L_0} (h_1)_x \left(\overline{\hat{\nu}} - \left(\int_0^{L_0} \overline{\hat{\nu}}(x_2) \, dx_2\right) \overline{\nu}\right) dx = -\alpha_1 \int_0^{L_0} \left[ (h_1)_x - \int_0^{L_0} (h_1)_x \overline{\nu} \, dx \right] \overline{\hat{\nu}} \, dx, \tag{3.20}$$

 $\quad \text{and} \quad$ 

$$-\alpha_2 \int_{L_0}^L (h_2)_x \left(\overline{\mu} - \left(\int_{L_0}^L \overline{\mu}(x_2) \, dx_2\right) \overline{u}\right) dx = -\alpha_2 \int_{L_0}^L \left[(h_2)_x - \int_{L_0}^L (h_2)_x \overline{\mu} \, dx\right] \overline{\mu} \, dx. \tag{3.21}$$

Replacing (3.17)-(3.21) in (3.16), we obtain

$$\beta_1 \int_0^{L_0} u_{xx} \overline{\hat{\nu}}_x \, dx + \beta_2 \int_{L_0}^L y_{xx} \overline{\hat{\mu}}_x \, dx = \int_0^{L_0} \chi_1 \overline{\hat{\nu}} \, dx + \int_{L_0}^L \chi_2 \overline{\hat{\nu}} \, dx, \quad \forall \ (\hat{\nu}, \hat{\mu}) \in \mathbb{W}_1, \tag{3.22}$$

where

$$\chi_1(x) = -\frac{\gamma}{\kappa} (g_1)_x(x) - \alpha_1 (h_1)_x(x) + \frac{\rho_0}{\kappa} \int_0^x h(x_2) \, dx_2 + \rho_1 \int_0^x h_1(x_1) \, dx_1 \\ + \beta_1 \int_0^{L_0} u_{xx} \overline{\nu}_x \, dx - \rho_1 \int_0^{L_0} h_1(x_1) \, dx_1 + \rho_1 \int_0^{L_0} h_1(x_1) \left( \int_0^{x_1} \overline{\nu}(x_2) \, dx_2 \right) \, dx_1 \\ + \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_0^{L_0} \left( \gamma (g_1)_x - \rho_0 \int_0^x h(x_2) \, dx_2 \right) \overline{\nu} \, dx + \alpha_1 \int_0^{L_0} (h_1)_x \overline{\nu} \, dx \in L^2(0, L_0)$$

and

$$\chi_2(x) = -\alpha_2 (h_2)_x(x) - \rho_2 \int_x^L h_2(x_1) \, dx_1 + \beta_2 \int_{L_0}^L y_{xx} \overline{\mu}_x \, dx + \rho_2 \int_{L_0}^L h_2(x_1) \, dx_1$$
$$-\rho_2 \int_{L_0}^L h_2(x_1) \left( \int_{x_1}^L \overline{\mu}(x_2) \, dx_2 \right) \, dx_1 + \alpha_2 \int_{L_0}^L (h_2)_x \overline{\mu} \, dx \in L^2(L_0, L).$$

Taking  $(\hat{\nu}, \hat{\mu}) \in C_c^1(0, L_0) \times C_c^1(L_0, L) \subset \mathbb{W}_1$  in (3.22), we get that

$$\forall (\hat{\nu}, \hat{\mu}) \in C_c^1(0, L_0) \times C_c^1(L_0, L) : \beta_1 \int_0^{L_0} u_{xx} \overline{\hat{\nu}}_x \, dx + \beta_2 \int_{L_0}^L y_{xx} \overline{\hat{\mu}}_x \, dx = \int_0^{L_0} \chi_1 \overline{\hat{\nu}} \, dx + \int_{L_0}^L \chi_2 \overline{\hat{\nu}} \, dx,$$
(3.23)

thus, by using the definition of  $H^1$  (see page 202 in [21]), we get  $(u_{xx}, y_{xx}) \in H^1(0, L_0) \times H^1(L_0, L)$ , and consequently (3.8) holds true. Back to (3.23), using integration by parts in the left hand side, one derives

$$\int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left(-\beta_{1} u_{xxx} - \chi_{1}\right) \overline{\hat{\nu}} \, dx + \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \left(-\beta_{2} y_{xxx} - \chi_{2}\right) \overline{\hat{\mu}} \, dx = 0, \quad \forall \ (\hat{\nu}, \hat{\mu}) \in C_{c}^{1}\left(0, L_{0}\right) \times C_{c}^{1}\left(L_{0}, L\right),$$

consequently, by applying Corollary 4.24 in [21], we obtain

 $-\beta_1 u_{xxx} = \chi_1$  for a.e.  $x \in (0, L_0)$  and  $-\beta_2 y_{xxx} = \chi_2$  for a.e.  $x \in (L_0, L)$ . (3.24)

Finally, using integration by parts in the left hand side of (3.22), then using (3.24) and taking  $\hat{\mu}(L_0) = \hat{\nu}(L_0) = 1$ , it holds that

$$\beta_1 u_{xx}(L_0) - \beta_2 y_{xx}(L_0) = 0,$$

thus, we obtain (3.9).

• Step 4. In this step, we prove that the resolvent  $(I - A)^{-1}$  of A is compact on the energy space  $\mathcal{H}$ . For this aim, let  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\Phi \in D(A)$ , such that  $(I - A) \Phi = f$ . Since A is monotone, it follows that

$$\left\|f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \geq \left\|\Phi\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} + \left\|\mathcal{A}\Phi\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}$$

The result follows from the above inequality and (3.6)-(3.8). This completes the proof of the lemma.

**Lemma 3.5.** For all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\ker\left(i\lambda I - \mathcal{A}\right) = \{0\},\$$

where ker  $(i\lambda I - A)$  denotes the Kernel of  $i\lambda I - A$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $i\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of the operator  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \Phi_2) \in D(\mathcal{A})$  a corresponding eigenvector, where  $\Phi_1 = (u, y)$  and  $\Phi_2 = (v, z, \theta)$ . Therefore, we have

$$\mathcal{A}\Phi = i\lambda\Phi.\tag{3.25}$$

Similar to (3.1), we get

$$0 = \Re \langle i\lambda\Phi, \Phi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \Re \langle \mathcal{A}\Phi, \Phi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = -\kappa \int_0^{L_0} |\theta_x|^2 dx$$

Consequently, we deduce that

 $\theta_x = 0, \quad \text{a.e.} \ x \in (0, L_0).$ 

Since  $\theta \in H_0^1(0, L_0)$  (i.e.,  $\theta(0) = \theta(L_0) = 0$ ), we get

$$\theta = 0, \quad \text{a.e.} \ x \in (0, L_0).$$
 (3.26)

Next, writing (3.25) in a detailed form gives

$$\begin{cases} (v,z) = (i\lambda u, i\lambda y), \\ C^{-1} (B\Phi_2 + A_1\Phi_1) + i\lambda\Phi_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since the operator C is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{V}_2$  onto  $\mathbb{V}'_2$ , then the above system is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} (v,z) = (i\lambda u, i\lambda y), \\ B\Phi_2 + A_1\Phi_1 + i\lambda C\Phi_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$
(3.27)

Inserting the first equation of (3.27) in the second one, then using (3.26), we obtain that

$$A_1(u, y) + B(i\lambda u, i\lambda y, 0) - \lambda^2 C(u, y, 0) = 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{V}'_2 \subset \mathbb{W}'_2.$$

For all  $Z = (\phi, \varphi, \psi) \in \mathbb{W}_2$ , using the above equation, (2.5), and (2.8), we get

$$\begin{array}{ll} 0 & = & \left\langle A_1\left(u,y\right) + B\left(i\lambda\,u,i\lambda\,y,0\right) - \lambda^2\,C\left(u,y,0\right),\left(\phi,\varphi,\psi\right)\right\rangle_{\mathbb{V}'_2\times\mathbb{V}_2} \\ & = & \left\langle A_1\left(u,y\right) + B\left(i\lambda\,u,i\lambda\,y,0\right),\left(\phi,\varphi,\psi\right)\right\rangle_{\mathbb{W}'_2\times\mathbb{W}_2} - \lambda^2\left\langle C\left(u,y,0\right),\left(\phi,\varphi,\psi\right)\right\rangle_{\mathbb{V}'_2\times\mathbb{V}_2} \\ & = & a\left((u,y),\left(\phi,\varphi\right)\right) + i\lambda b\left((u,y,0),\left(\phi,\varphi,\psi\right)\right) - \lambda^2 c\left((u,y,0),\left(\phi,\varphi,\psi\right)\right). \end{array}$$

Using (2.6) in the above equation, we obtain

$$\beta_{1} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} u_{xx} \overline{\phi_{xx}} \, dx + \beta_{2} \int_{L_{0}}^{L} y_{xx} \overline{\varphi_{xx}} \, dx + \gamma \int_{0}^{L_{0}} u_{x} \overline{\psi_{x}} \, dx =$$

$$\lambda^{2} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left( \rho_{1} u \overline{\phi} + \alpha_{1} u_{x} \overline{\phi_{x}} \right) \, dx + \lambda^{2} \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \left( \rho_{2} y \overline{\varphi} + \alpha_{2} y_{x} \overline{\varphi_{x}} \right) \, dx, \quad \forall \left( \phi, \varphi, \psi \right) \in \mathbb{W}_{2}.$$

$$(3.28)$$

Now, setting  $\phi = 0$ ,  $\varphi = 0$ , and  $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}(0, L_0) \subset H_0^1(0, L_0)$  in (3.28), we get

$$\gamma \int_{0}^{L_{0}} u_{x} \overline{\psi_{x}} dx = 0, \quad \forall \psi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(0, L_{0}).$$

In the above equation, using integration by parts, we see that

$$\int_{0}^{L_{0}} u_{xx} \overline{\psi} dx = 0, \quad \forall \psi \in C_{c}^{\infty} \left( 0, L_{0} \right).$$

Therefore, by applying Corollary 4.24 in [21], we have

$$u_{xx} = 0$$
, a.e.  $x \in (0, L_0)$ 

Since  $u \in H^2(0, L_0)$  and  $u(0) = u_x(0) = 0$ , one derives

$$u = 0, \quad \text{a.e.} \ x \in (0, L_0).$$
 (3.29)

Since  $(u, y) \in \mathbb{W}_1$ , then from (3.29) and by the help of Lemma 3.4, we obtain

$$y \in H^{3}(L_{0}, L)$$
 and  $y(L) = y_{x}(L) = y(L_{0}) = y_{x}(L_{0}) = y_{xx}(L_{0}) = 0.$  (3.30)

Next, setting  $(\phi, \varphi) \in \mathbb{W}_1$  and  $\psi = 0$  in (3.28), then using (3.29), one has

$$\beta_2 \int_{L_0}^L y_{xx} \overline{\varphi_{xx}} \, dx = \lambda^2 \int_{L_0}^L \left( \rho_2 y \overline{\varphi} + \alpha_2 \, y_x \overline{\varphi_x} \right) dx, \quad \forall \ (\phi, \varphi) \in \mathbb{W}_1.$$

Using integration by parts, after that using (3.30) and the fact that  $\varphi_x(L_0) = 0$ , we get

$$-\beta_2 \int_{L_0}^L y_{xxx} \overline{\varphi_x} \, dx = \lambda^2 \int_{L_0}^L (\rho_2 y - \alpha_2 \, y_{xx}) \, \overline{\varphi} \, dx, \quad \forall \ (\phi, \varphi) \in \mathbb{W}_1.$$
(3.31)

Taking  $(\phi, \varphi) \in C_c^1(0, L_0) \times C_c^1(L_0, L) \subset \mathbb{W}_1$  in (3.22), we find that

$$-\beta_2 \int_{L_0}^{L} y_{xxx} \overline{\varphi_x} \, dx = \lambda^2 \int_{L_0}^{L} \left( \rho_2 y - \alpha_2 \, y_{xx} \right) \overline{\varphi} \, dx, \quad \forall \, \varphi \in C_c^1 \left( L_0, L \right), \tag{3.32}$$

thus, by using the definition of  $H^1$  (see page 202 in [21]), we get  $y_{xxx} \in H^1(L_0, L)$ . Again, using integration by parts in the right hand side of (3.32), we infer

$$\int_{L_0}^{L} \left[ \beta_2 \, y_{xxxx} - \lambda^2 \left( \rho_2 y - \alpha_2 \, y_{xx} \right) \right] \overline{\varphi} \, dx = 0, \quad \forall \, \varphi \in C_c^1 \left( L_0, L \right)$$

Consequently, by applying Corollary 4.24 in [21], then using (3.30), we obtain

$$\beta_2 y_{xxxx} + \alpha_2 \,\lambda^2 \, y_{xx} - \rho_2 \,\lambda^2 \, y = 0, \quad x \in (L_0, L).$$
(3.33)

Using integration by parts in the left hand side of (3.31), after that using (3.33) and the fact that  $\phi(L) = 0$ , then taking  $\phi(L_0) = \varphi(L_0) = 1$ , one has

1

$$J_{xxx}(L_0) = 0. (3.34)$$

Therefore, from (3.30), (3.33) and (3.34), we get

$$\beta_2 y_{xxxx} + \alpha_2 \,\lambda^2 \, y_{xx} - \rho_2 \,\lambda^2 \, y = 0, \quad x \in (L_0, L), \tag{3.35}$$

$$y(L) = y_x(L) = y(L_0) = y_x(L_0) = y_{xx}(L_0) = y_{xxx}(L_0) = 0.$$
(3.36)

Multiplying (3.35) by  $2(x-L)\overline{y_{xxx}}$ , integrating by parts over  $(L_0, L)$ , then taking the real parts, we find

$$\beta_2 \int_{L_0}^{L} (x-L) \left( |y_{xxx}|^2 \right)_x dx + \alpha_2 \lambda^2 \int_{L_0}^{L} (x-L) \left( |y_{xx}|^2 \right)_x dx - \rho_2 \lambda^2 \int_{L_0}^{L} (x-L) \left( |y_x|^2 \right)_x dx - 4\rho_2 \lambda^2 \int_{L_0}^{L} |y_x|^2 dx - 2\rho_2 \lambda^2 \Re \left[ (x-L) y_{xx} - ((x-L) y)_x \overline{y_x} \right]_{L_0}^{L} = 0.$$

Using integration by parts and the boundary conditions of (3.36), we arrive at

$$-\beta_2 \int_{L_0}^{L} |y_{xxx}|^2 \, dx - \alpha_2 \lambda^2 \int_{L_0}^{L} |y_{xx}|^2 \, dx - 3\rho_2 \, \lambda^2 \int_{L_0}^{L} |y_x|^2 \, dx = 0.$$

Consequently, from the above equation and the boundary conditions of (3.36), we obtain

$$y = 0$$

Finally, from the above equation, first equation of (3.27), (3.26), and (3.29), we get  $\Phi = 0$ . The proof is thus complete.

**Proof of Theorem 3.3.** From Lemma 3.4, we have that the operator  $\mathcal{A}$  has a compact resolvent. In addition, from Lemma (3.5), we get that the operator  $\mathcal{A}$  has no pure imaginary eigenvalues. Thus, we get the conclusion by applying Arendt and Batty theorem (see Theorem A.2 and Corollary A.3).

#### 4. POLYNOMIAL STABILITY

In this section, we will prove the polynomial stability of System (1.1)-(1.12). Our main results in this part are the following theorems.

#### Theorem 4.1. If

$$\rho_1 \ge \rho_2 \quad and \quad \alpha_1 \ge \alpha_2, \tag{4.1}$$

then for all initial data  $\Phi_0 \in D(\mathcal{A})$ , there exists a constant C > 0 independent of  $\Phi_0$  such that the energy of System (1.1)-(1.12) satisfies the following estimation

$$E_1(t) \le \frac{C}{t^2} \|\Phi_0\|_{D(\mathcal{A})}^2, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Theorem 4.2. If

$$\rho_1 < \rho_2 \quad or \quad \alpha_1 < \alpha_2, \tag{4.2}$$

then for all initial data  $\Phi_0 \in D(\mathcal{A})$ , there exists a constant C > 0 independent of  $\Phi_0$  such that the energy of System (1.1)-(1.12) satisfies the following estimation

$$E_1(t) \le \frac{C}{t} \left\| \Phi_0 \right\|_{D(\mathcal{A})}^2, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

From Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, we have seen that  $i\mathbb{R} \subset \rho(\mathcal{A})$ , then for the proof of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, according to Borichev and Tomilov [20] (see Theorem A.4), we need to prove that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{|\lambda|^{\ell}} \left\| \left( i\lambda I - \mathcal{A} \right)^{-1} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})} < \infty,$$
(4.3)

where  $\ell = 1$  (resp.  $\ell = 2$ ) if condition (4.1) (resp. condition (4.2)) holds. We will argue by contradiction. We suppose that there exists

 $\left\{\left(\lambda_{n},\Phi^{n}:=\left(\Phi_{1}^{n},\Phi_{2}^{n}\right)\right)\right\}_{n\geq1}\subset\mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}\times D\left(\mathcal{A}\right),$ 

such that

$$\lambda_n \to +\infty, \quad \|\Phi^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1, \tag{4.4}$$

and there exists a sequence  $F^n := (g^n, h^n) \in \mathcal{H}$ , such that

$$\lambda_n^{\ell} (i\lambda_n I - \mathcal{A}) \Phi_n = F^n \to 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{H}, \tag{4.5}$$

where  $\Phi_1^n = (u^n, y^n)$ ,  $\Phi_2^n = (v^n, z^n, \theta^n)$ ,  $g^n = (g_1^n, g_2^n)$ , and  $h^n = (h_1^n, h_2^n, h_3^n)$ . We will check condition (4.3) by finding a contradiction with  $\|\Phi^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1$  such as  $\|\Phi^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = o(1)$ . By detailing Equation (4.5), we get the following system

$$\begin{cases} i\lambda_n \Phi_1^n - (v^n, z^n) - \lambda_n^{-\ell} g^n = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{W}_1 \\ i\lambda_n \Phi_2^n + C^{-1} \left( B\Phi_2^n + A_1 \Phi_1^n \right) - \lambda_n^{-\ell} h^n = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{V}_2. \end{cases}$$
(4.6)

The proof of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 is divided into several lemmas.

**Lemma 4.3.** For all  $\ell \geq 0$ , the solution  $(\Phi_1^n, \Phi_2^n) \in D(\mathcal{A})$  of System (4.6) satisfies the following asymptotic behavior estimations

$$\left(\beta_{1} u_{xxx}^{n} + \alpha_{1} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} (h_{1}^{n})_{x} + \alpha_{1} \lambda_{n}^{2} u_{x}^{n} + \gamma \theta_{x}^{n} + i\alpha_{1} \lambda_{n}^{1-\ell} (g_{1}^{n})_{x} \right)_{x} - \rho_{1} \lambda_{n}^{2} u^{n} = \rho_{1} \lambda_{n}^{1-\ell} \left(\lambda_{n}^{-1} h_{1}^{n} + ig_{1}^{n}\right), \quad (4.7)$$

$$\left(\beta_2 y_{xxx}^n + \alpha_2 \lambda_n^{-\ell} (h_2^n)_x + \alpha_2 \lambda_n^2 y_x^n + i\alpha_2 \lambda_n^{1-\ell} (g_2^n)_x\right)_x - \rho_2 \lambda_n^2 y^n = \rho_2 \lambda_n^{1-\ell} \left(\lambda_n^{-1} h_2^n + ig_2^n\right), \quad (4.8)$$

$$-\kappa\theta_{xx}^n + i\rho_0\,\lambda_n\theta^n - i\gamma\lambda_n u_{xx}^n = \lambda_n^{-\ell}\left(\rho_0\,h_3^n - \gamma\,(g_1^n)_{xx}\right).\tag{4.9}$$

In addition, we have

$$\beta_1 u_{xxx}^n + \alpha_1 \lambda_n^{-\ell} (h_1^n)_x \in H^1(0, L_0), \quad \beta_2 y_{xxx}^n + \alpha_1 \lambda_n^{-\ell} (h_2^n)_x \in H^1(L_0, L),$$
(4.10)

and

$$\beta_1 u_{xxx}^n(L_0) - \beta_2 y_{xxx}^n(L_0) + \lambda_n^2 \left( \alpha_1 u_x^n(L_0) - \alpha_2 y_x^n(L_0) \right) + \gamma \theta_x^n(L_0) + \lambda_n^{-\ell} \left( \alpha_1 \left( h_1^n \right)_x(L_0) - \alpha_2 \left( h_2^n \right)_x(L_0) \right) + i \lambda_n^{1-\ell} \left( \alpha_1 (g_1^n)_x(L_0) - \alpha_2 \left( g_2^n \right)_x(L_0) \right) = 0.$$

$$(4.11)$$

*Proof.* For all  $Z = (\phi, \varphi, \psi) \in \mathbb{W}_2$ , using the second equation of (4.6) and equations (2.5) and (2.9), we get

$$0 = \langle i\lambda_n \Phi_2^n + C^{-1} (B\Phi_2^n + A_1\Phi_1^n) - \lambda_n^{-\ell} h^n, Z \rangle_{\mathbb{V}_2} = \langle i\lambda_n C\Phi_2^n + B\Phi_2^n + A_1\Phi_1^n - \lambda_n^{-\ell} Ch^n, Z \rangle_{\mathbb{V}'_2 \times \mathbb{V}_2} = i\lambda_n c (\Phi_2^n, Z) + \langle B\Phi_2^n + A_1\Phi_1^n, Z \rangle_{\mathbb{V}'_2 \times \mathbb{V}_2} - \lambda_n^{-\ell} c (h^n, Z).$$

Since  $\Phi^n \in D(\mathcal{A})$ ; i.e.,  $\Phi_2^n \in \mathbb{W}_2$  and  $\Phi_1^n \in \mathbb{W}_1$ , then using (2.5), the last equation of (2.8), and second-third equations of (2.8) in the above equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= i\lambda_n c \left(\Phi_2^n, Z\right) + \langle B\Phi_2^n + A_1\Phi_1^n, Z \rangle_{\mathbb{V}'_2 \times \mathbb{V}_2} - \lambda_n^{-\ell} c \left(h^n, Z\right) \\ &= i\lambda_n c \left(\Phi_2^n, Z\right) + \langle B\Phi_2^n + A_1\Phi_1^n, Z \rangle_{\mathbb{W}'_2 \times \mathbb{W}_2} - \lambda_n^{-\ell} c \left(h^n, Z\right) \\ &= a \left(\left(u^n, y^n\right), (\phi, \varphi)\right) + b \left(\left(v^n, z^n, \theta^n\right), (\phi, \varphi, \psi)\right) + i\lambda_n c \left(\left(v^n, z^n, \theta^n\right), (\phi, \varphi, \psi)\right) \\ &- \lambda_n^{-\ell} c \left(\left(h_1^n, h_2^n, h_3^n\right), (\phi, \varphi, \psi)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, from the above equation and (2.6), we find

$$\beta_{1} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} u_{xx}^{n} \overline{\phi_{xx}} \, dx + \beta_{2} \int_{L_{0}}^{L} y_{xx}^{n} \overline{\phi_{xx}} \, dx + \gamma \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left( v_{x}^{n} \overline{\psi_{x}} - \theta_{x}^{n} \overline{\phi_{x}} \right) \, dx + \kappa \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \theta_{x}^{n} \overline{\psi_{x}} \, dx$$

$$i\lambda_{n} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left( \rho_{1} v^{n} \overline{\phi} + \alpha_{1} v_{x}^{n} \overline{\phi_{x}} \right) \, dx + i\lambda_{n} \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \left( \rho_{2} z^{n} \overline{\varphi} + \alpha_{2} z_{x}^{n} \overline{\varphi_{x}} \right) \, dx + i\rho_{0} \lambda_{n} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \theta^{n} \overline{\psi} \, dx$$

$$= \rho_{0} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} h_{3}^{n} \overline{\psi} \, dx + \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left( \rho_{1} h_{1}^{n} \overline{\phi} + \alpha_{1} \left( h_{1}^{n} \right)_{x} \overline{\phi_{x}} \right) \, dx$$

$$+ \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \left( \rho_{2} h_{2}^{n} \overline{\varphi} + \alpha_{2} \left( h_{2}^{n} \right)_{x} \overline{\varphi_{x}} \right) \, dx, \quad \forall \left( \phi, \varphi, \psi \right) \in \mathbb{W}_{2}.$$

$$(4.12)$$

On the other hand, from first equation of (4.6), we have

$$\begin{cases} v^{n} = i\lambda_{n}u^{n} - \lambda_{n}^{-\ell}g_{1}^{n} & \text{in } H^{2}(0, L_{0}), \\ z^{n} = i\lambda_{n}y^{n} - \lambda_{n}^{-\ell}g_{2}^{n} & \text{in } H^{2}(L_{0}, L). \end{cases}$$
(4.13)

Inserting the last equations in (4.12), we infer that

$$\begin{split} &\beta_1 \int_0^{L_0} u_{xx}^n \overline{\phi_{xx}} \, dx + \beta_2 \int_{L_0}^L y_{xx}^n \overline{\varphi_{xx}} \, dx + \kappa \int_0^{L_0} \theta_x^n \overline{\psi_x} \, dx + i\gamma \lambda_n \int_0^{L_0} u_x^n \overline{\psi_x} \, dx - \gamma \int_0^{L_0} \theta_x^n \overline{\phi_x} \, dx \\ &-\lambda_n^2 \int_0^{L_0} \left( \rho_1 \, u^n \overline{\phi} + \alpha_1 \, u_x^n \overline{\phi_x} \right) \, dx - \lambda_n^2 \int_{L_0}^L \left( \rho_2 \, y^n \overline{\varphi} + \alpha_2 \, y_x^n \overline{\varphi_x} \right) \, dx + i\rho_0 \, \lambda_n \int_0^{L_0} \theta^n \overline{\psi} \, dx = \rho_0 \, \lambda_n^{-\ell} \int_0^{L_0} h_3^n \overline{\psi} \, dx \\ &+ \gamma \, \lambda_n^{-\ell} \int_0^{L_0} (g_1^n)_x \, \overline{\psi_x} \, dx + i\lambda_n^{1-\ell} \int_0^{L_0} \left( \rho_1 \, g_1^n \overline{\phi} + \alpha_1 \, (g_1^n)_x \overline{\phi_x} \right) \, dx + i\lambda_n^{1-\ell} \int_{L_0}^L \left( \rho_2 \, g_2^n \overline{\varphi} + \alpha_2 \, (g_2^n)_x \overline{\varphi_x} \right) \, dx \\ &+ \lambda_n^{-\ell} \int_0^{L_0} \left( \rho_1 \, h_1^n \overline{\phi} + \alpha_1 \, (h_1^n)_x \overline{\phi_x} \right) \, dx + \lambda_n^{-\ell} \int_{L_0}^L \left( \rho_2 \, h_2^n \overline{\varphi} + \alpha_2 \, (h_2^n)_x \overline{\varphi_x} \right) \, dx, \quad \forall (\phi, \varphi, \psi) \in \mathbb{W}_2. \end{split}$$

In the above equation, using integration by parts and equation (3.8), we obtain

$$- \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left[ \beta_{1} u_{xxx}^{n} + \alpha_{1} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} (h_{1}^{n})_{x} \right] \overline{\phi_{x}} dx$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left[ \lambda_{n}^{2} (\alpha_{1} u_{xx}^{n} - \rho_{1} u^{n}) + \gamma \theta_{xx}^{n} - \rho_{1} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} h_{1}^{n} + i \lambda_{n}^{1-\ell} (\alpha_{1} (g_{1}^{n})_{xx} - \rho_{1} g_{1}^{n}) \right] \overline{\phi} dx$$

$$- \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \left[ \beta_{2} y_{xxx}^{n} + \alpha_{2} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} (h_{2}^{n})_{x} \right] \overline{\varphi_{x}} dx$$

$$+ \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \left[ \lambda_{n}^{2} (\alpha_{2} y_{xx}^{n} - \rho_{2} y^{n}) - \rho_{2} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} h_{2}^{n} + i \lambda_{n}^{1-\ell} (\alpha_{2} (g_{2}^{n})_{xx} - \rho_{2} g_{2}^{n}) \right] \overline{\varphi} dx$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left[ -\kappa \theta_{xx}^{n} + i \rho_{0} \lambda_{n} \theta^{n} - i \gamma \lambda_{n} u_{xx}^{n} - \rho_{0} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} h_{3}^{n} + \gamma \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} (g_{1}^{n})_{xx} \right] \overline{\psi} dx$$

$$+ \beta_{1} \left[ u_{xx}^{n} \overline{\phi_{x}} \right]_{0}^{L_{0}} + \beta_{2} \left[ y_{xx}^{n} \overline{\varphi_{x}} \right]_{L_{0}}^{L}$$

$$- \left[ (\alpha_{1} \lambda_{n}^{2} u_{x}^{n} + \gamma \theta_{x}^{n} + i \alpha_{1} \lambda_{n}^{1-\ell} (g_{1}^{n})_{x}) \overline{\phi} \right]_{0}^{L_{0}} - \left[ (\alpha_{2} \lambda_{n}^{2} y_{x}^{n} + i \alpha_{2} \lambda^{1-\ell} (g_{2}^{n})_{x}) \overline{\varphi} \right]_{L_{0}}^{L}$$

$$+ \left[ (i \gamma \lambda_{n} u_{x}^{n} + \kappa \theta_{x}^{n} - \gamma (g_{1}^{n})_{x}) \overline{\psi} \right]_{0}^{L_{0}} = 0, \quad \forall \ (\phi, \varphi, \psi) \in \mathbb{W}_{2}.$$

Since  $\Phi^n \in D(\mathcal{A})$  and  $(\phi, \varphi, \psi) \in \mathbb{W}_2$ , then from (2.13) and (3.9), we have the following boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} \phi(0) = \phi_x(0) = \varphi(L) = \varphi_x(L) = \psi(0) = \psi(L_0) = 0\\ \phi(L_0) = \varphi(L_0), \quad \phi_x(L_0) = \varphi(L_0), \quad \beta_1 u_{xx}^n(L_0) = y_{xx}^n(L_0). \end{cases}$$

Substituting the above boundary conditions in (4.14), we derive that

$$- \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left[\beta_{1} u_{xxx}^{n} + \alpha_{1} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} (h_{1}^{n})_{x}\right] \overline{\phi_{x}} dx + \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left[\lambda_{n}^{2} (\alpha_{1} u_{xx}^{n} - \rho_{1} u^{n}) + \gamma \theta_{xx}^{n} - \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} \rho_{1} h_{1}^{n} + i \lambda_{n}^{1-\ell} (\alpha_{1} (g_{1}^{n})_{xx} - \rho_{1} g_{1}^{n})\right] \overline{\phi} dx - \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \left[\beta_{2} y_{xxx}^{n} + \alpha_{2} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} (h_{2}^{n})_{x}\right] \overline{\varphi_{x}} dx$$

$$+ \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \left[\lambda_{n}^{2} (\alpha_{2} y_{xx}^{n} - \rho_{2} y^{n}) - \rho_{2} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} h_{2}^{n} + i \lambda_{n}^{1-\ell} (\alpha_{2} (g_{2}^{n})_{xx} - \rho_{2} g_{2}^{n})\right] \overline{\varphi} dx$$

$$+ (\alpha_{2} \lambda_{n}^{2} y_{x}^{n} (L_{0}) + i \alpha_{2} \lambda_{n}^{1-\ell} (g_{2}^{n})_{x} (L_{0}) - \alpha_{1} \lambda_{n}^{2} u_{x} (L_{0}) - \gamma \theta_{x}^{n} (L_{0}) - i \alpha_{1} \lambda_{n}^{1-\ell} (g_{1}^{n})_{x} (L_{0})\right) \overline{\phi} (L_{0})$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left[-\kappa \theta_{xx}^{n} + i \rho_{0} \lambda_{n} \theta^{n} - i \gamma \lambda_{n} u_{xx}^{n} - \rho_{0} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} h_{3}^{n} + \gamma \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} (g_{1}^{n})_{xx}\right] \overline{\psi} dx = 0, \quad \forall \ (\phi, \varphi, \psi) \in \mathbb{W}_{2}.$$

$$= \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left[-\kappa \theta_{xx}^{n} + i \rho_{0} \lambda_{n} \theta^{n} - i \gamma \lambda_{n} u_{xx}^{n} - \rho_{0} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} h_{3}^{n} + \gamma \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} (g_{1}^{n})_{xx}\right] \overline{\psi} dx = 0, \quad \forall \ (\phi, \varphi, \psi) \in \mathbb{W}_{2}.$$

• Taking  $(\phi, \varphi, \psi) = (0, 0, \psi) \in \{0\} \times \{0\} \times C_c^1(0, L_0) \subset \mathbb{W}_2$  in equation (4.15), one finds

$$\int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left[ -\kappa \theta_{xx}^{n} + i\rho_{0} \lambda_{n} \theta^{n} - i\gamma \lambda_{n} u_{xx}^{n} - \rho_{0} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} h_{3}^{n} + \gamma \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} (g_{1})_{xx}^{n} \right] \overline{\psi} \, dx = 0, \quad \forall \, \psi \in C_{c}^{1} \left( 0, L_{0} \right),$$

and consequently, we get

$$-\kappa\theta_{xx}^n + i\rho_0\,\lambda_n\theta^n - i\gamma\lambda_n u_{xx}^n - \rho_0\,\lambda_n^{-\ell}h_3^n + \gamma\,\lambda_n^{-\ell}(g_1)_{xx}^n = 0, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in (0, L_0)$$

Hence, (4.9) holds true.

• Taking  $(\phi, \varphi, \psi) = (\phi, 0, 0) \in C_c^1(0, L_0) \times \{0\} \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{W}_2$  in equation (4.15), we get

$$\int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left[\beta_{1} u_{xxx}^{n} + \alpha_{1} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} (h_{1}^{n})_{x}\right] \overline{\phi_{x}} dx =$$

$$\int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left[\lambda_{n}^{2} \left(\alpha_{1} u_{xx}^{n} - \rho_{1} u^{n}\right) + \gamma \theta_{xx}^{n} - \rho_{1} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} h_{1}^{n} + i\lambda_{n}^{1-\ell} \left(\alpha_{1} \left(g_{1}^{n}\right)_{xx} - \rho_{1} g_{1}^{n}\right)\right] \overline{\phi} dx, \quad \forall \phi \in C_{c}^{1} \left(0, L_{0}\right).$$
(4.16)  
Since  $\lambda_{n}^{2} \left(\alpha_{1} u_{xx}^{n} - \rho_{1} u^{n}\right) + \gamma \theta_{xx}^{n} - \rho_{1} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} h_{1}^{n} + i\lambda_{n}^{1-\ell} \left(\alpha_{1} \left(g_{1}^{n}\right)_{xx} - \rho_{1} g_{1}^{n}\right) \in L^{2}(0, L_{0}), \text{ we get}$ 

$$\beta_{1} u_{xxx}^{n} + \alpha_{1} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} (h_{1}^{n})_{x} \in H^{1} \left(0, L_{0}\right).$$

Thus, we get the first estimation of (4.10). Consequently, integrating by parts (4.16), we obtain

$$\forall \phi \in C_c^1(0, L_0) : \\ \int_0^{L_0} \left[ \left( \beta_1 \, u_{xxx}^n + \alpha_1 \, \lambda_n^{-\ell}(h_1^n)_x \right)_x + \lambda_n^2 \left( \alpha_1 \, u_{xx}^n - \rho_1 \, u^n \right) + \gamma \theta_{xx}^n - \rho_1 \, \lambda_n^{-\ell} h_1^n + i \lambda_n^{1-\ell} \left( \alpha_1 \left( g_1^n \right)_{xx} - \rho_1 \, g_1^n \right) \right] \overline{\phi} \, dx = 0$$

Thus, one has

 $\left(\beta_1 \, u_{xxx}^n + \alpha_1 \, \lambda_n^{-\ell} (h_1^n)_x\right)_x + \lambda_n^2 \left(\alpha_1 \, u_{xx}^n - \rho_1 \, u^n\right) + \gamma \theta_{xx}^n - \rho_1 \, \lambda_n^{-\ell} h_1^n + i \lambda_n^{1-\ell} \left(\alpha_1 \, (g_1^n)_{xx} - \rho_1 \, g_1^n\right) = 0, \text{ a.e. } x \in (0, L_0).$  Hence, we derive (4.7).

• By the same way, taking  $(\phi, \varphi, \psi) = (0, \varphi, 0) \in \{0\} \times C_c^1(0, L_0) \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{W}_2$  in equation (4.15), one gets  $\beta_2 y_{xxx}^n + \alpha_1 \lambda_n^{-\ell} (h_2^n)_x \in H^1(L_0, L)$ 

and

 $\left(\beta_2 y_{xxx}^n + \alpha_2 \lambda_n^{-\ell} (h_2^n)_x\right)_x + \lambda_n^2 \left(\alpha_2 y_{xx}^n - \rho_2 y^n\right) - \rho_2 \lambda_n^{-\ell} h_2^n + i \lambda_n^{1-\ell} \left(\alpha_2 \left(g_2^n\right)_{xx} - \rho_2 g_2^n\right) = 0, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in (L_0, L).$ Thus, we find (4.8) and the second estimation of (4.10).

• Taking  $\phi(L_0) = \varphi(L_0) = 1$  and  $\psi = 0$  in (4.15), then using integration by parts for the first and third terms, we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left[ \left( \beta_{1} u_{xxx}^{n} + \alpha_{1} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} (h_{1}^{n})_{x} \right)_{x} + \lambda_{n}^{2} \left( \alpha_{1} u_{xx}^{n} - \rho_{1} u^{n} \right) + \gamma \theta_{xx}^{n} - \rho_{1} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} h_{1}^{n} + i \lambda_{n}^{1-\ell} \left( \alpha_{1} \left( g_{1}^{n} \right)_{xx} - \rho_{1} g_{1}^{n} \right) \right] \overline{\phi} \, dx$$

$$\int_{L_{0}}^{L} \left[ \left( \beta_{2} y_{xxx}^{n} + \alpha_{2} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} (h_{2}^{n})_{x} \right)_{x} + \lambda_{n}^{2} \left( \alpha_{2} y_{xx}^{n} - \rho_{2} y^{n} \right) - \rho_{2} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} h_{2}^{n} + i \lambda_{n}^{1-\ell} \left( \alpha_{2} \left( g_{2}^{n} \right)_{xx} - \rho_{2} g_{2}^{n} \right) \right] \overline{\phi} \, dx$$

$$-\beta_{1} u_{xxx}^{n} (L_{0}) - \alpha_{1} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} (h_{1}^{n})_{x} (L_{0}) + \beta_{2} y_{xxx}^{n} (L_{0}) + \alpha_{2} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} (h_{2}^{n})_{x} (L_{0})$$

$$+ \alpha_{2} \lambda_{n}^{2} y_{x}^{n} (L_{0}) + i \alpha_{2} \lambda_{n}^{1-\ell} \left( g_{2}^{n} \right)_{x} (L_{0}) - \alpha_{1} \lambda_{n}^{2} u_{x}^{n} (L_{0}) - \gamma \theta_{x}^{n} (L_{0}) - i \alpha_{1} \lambda_{n}^{1-\ell} (g_{1}^{n})_{x} (L_{0}) = 0.$$

$$(bstituting (4.7) and (4.8) in the above equation, we obtain (4.11). The proof is thus complete.$$

Substituting (4.7) and (4.8) in the above equation, we obtain (4.11). The proof is thus complete.

From (4.4) and (4.13), we remark that

$$\begin{cases} \|u_{xx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} = O(1), & \|\lambda_{n} u_{x}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} = O(1), & \|\lambda_{n} u_{x}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} = O(1), \\ \|y_{xx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(L_{0},L)} = O(1), & \|\lambda_{n} y_{x}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(L_{0},L)} = O(1), & \|\lambda_{n} y_{x}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(L_{0},L)} = O(1). \end{cases}$$

$$(4.17)$$

From now, we denote by  $m_1$  a positive constant number, such that  $m_1$  independent of n and  $0 < m_1 < 1$ , also we denote by  $K_j$  a positive constant number independent of n and  $\epsilon_{j,n}$  is a positive number such that  $\lim_{\lambda_n \to \infty} \epsilon_{j,n} = 0$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** For all  $\ell \geq 0$ , the solution  $(\Phi_1^n, \Phi_2^n) \in D(\mathcal{A})$  of System (4.6) satisfies the following asymptotic behavior estimation

$$\int_{0}^{L_{0}} |\theta_{x}^{n}|^{2} dx = o\left(\lambda_{n}^{-\ell}\right) \quad and \quad \int_{0}^{L_{0}} |\theta^{n}|^{2} dx = o\left(\lambda_{n}^{-\ell}\right).$$
(4.18)

*Proof.* Taking the inner product of (4.5) with  $\Phi^n$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ , then using Cauchy Schwarz inequality, we get

$$-\Re \left\langle \mathcal{A}\Phi^{n}, \Phi^{n} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \Re \left\langle (i\lambda_{n}I - \mathcal{A})\Phi^{n}, \Phi^{n} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} \left\| F^{n} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \left\| \Phi^{n} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

Now, similar to Equation (3.1), we have

$$0 \le \int_0^{L_0} |\theta_x^n|^2 \, dx \le -\frac{1}{\kappa} \Re \left\langle \mathcal{A}\Phi^n, \Phi^n \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \le \epsilon_{1,n} \, \lambda_n^{-\ell}, \tag{4.19}$$

where  $\epsilon_{1,n} = \kappa^{-1} \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|\Phi^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ . Using (4.4) and (4.5), we get  $\epsilon_{1,n} \to 0$ . Hence, from (4.19), we obtain the first asymptotic estimate of (4.18). Since  $\theta^n \in H_0^1(0, L_0)$ , using (4.19) and Poincaré's inequality, we infer that

$$0 \le \int_0^{L_0} |\theta^n|^2 dx \le K_p \int_0^{L_0} |\theta^n_x|^2 dx \le -\frac{1}{\kappa} \Re \langle \mathcal{A}\Phi^n, \Phi^n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \le \epsilon_{2,n} \lambda_n^{-\ell}, \tag{4.20}$$

where  $K_p$  is the Poincaré constant and  $\epsilon_{2,n} = K_p \epsilon_{1,n} \to 0$ . Hence, from (4.20), we get the second asymptotic estimate of (4.18). The proof is thus complete.

**Lemma 4.5.** For all  $\ell \geq 0$ , the solution  $(\Phi_1^n, \Phi_2^n) \in D(\mathcal{A})$  of System (4.6) satisfies the following asymptotic behavior estimations

$$\int_{0}^{L_{0}} |u_{xx}^{n}|^{2} dx = o\left(\lambda_{n}^{-\ell}\right), \qquad (4.21)$$

$$|u_{xx}^n|_{\infty} = o\left(\lambda_n^{\frac{1-\ell}{2}}\right). \tag{4.22}$$

For the proof of Lemma 4.5, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 4.6.** For all  $\ell \geq 0$ , the solution  $(\Phi_1^n, \Phi_2^n) \in D(\mathcal{A})$  of System (4.6) satisfies the following asymptotic behavior estimation

$$\sum_{n=1}^{n} \|u_{xxx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{2} \leq K_{1} \left(1 + \lambda_{n}^{-1}\right)^{2} \|u_{xx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{2} + \epsilon_{3,n} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell}.$$
(4.23)

*Proof.* First, since  $u_{xx}^n + \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1} \lambda_n^{-\ell} h_1^n \in H^2(0, L_0)$ , then applying (A.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| u_{xxx}^{n} + \frac{\alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} (h_{1}^{n})_{x} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} &\leq K_{6} \left\| u_{xxxx}^{n} + \frac{\alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} (h_{1}^{n})_{xx} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| u_{xx}^{n} + \frac{\alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} h_{1}^{n} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ K_{7} \left\| u_{xx}^{n} + \frac{\alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} h_{1}^{n} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{xxx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} &\leq K_{6} \left\|u_{xxxx}^{n} + \frac{\alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}}\lambda_{n}^{-\ell}(h_{1}^{n})_{xx}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\|u_{xx}^{n} + \frac{\alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}}\lambda_{n}^{-\ell}h_{1}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ K_{7} \left\|u_{xx}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} + \frac{\alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}}\left(K_{7} + 1\right)\lambda_{n}^{-\ell}\left\|(h_{1}^{n})_{x}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} \end{aligned}$$

In the above inequality, using the first estimation of (A.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{xxx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{2} \leq 3K_{6}^{2} \left\|u_{xxxx}^{n} + \frac{\alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}}\lambda_{n}^{-\ell}(h_{1}^{n})_{xx}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} \left\|u_{xx}^{n} + \frac{\alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}}\lambda_{n}^{-\ell}h_{1}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} \\ + 3K_{7}^{2} \left\|u_{xx}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{2} + 3\alpha_{1}^{2}\beta_{2}^{-2}\left(K_{7}+1\right)^{2}\lambda_{n}^{-2\ell}\left\|(h_{1}^{n})_{x}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, one derives

$$\lambda_{n}^{-2} \left\| u_{xxx}^{n} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{2} \leq 3K_{6}^{2}\lambda_{n}^{-2} \left\| u_{xxxx}^{n} + \frac{\alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}}\lambda_{n}^{-\ell}(h_{1}^{n})_{xx}^{n} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} \left\| u_{xx}^{n} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} + 3K_{7}^{2}\lambda^{-2} \left\| u_{xx}^{n} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{2} + 3K_{6}^{2}\alpha_{1}\beta_{1}^{-1}\lambda_{n}^{-\ell-2} \left\| u_{xxxx}^{n} + \frac{\alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}}\lambda_{n}^{-\ell}(h_{1}^{n})_{xx}^{n} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} \left\| h_{1}^{n} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} + 3\alpha_{1}^{2}\beta_{2}^{-2} \left( K_{7} + 1 \right)^{2}\lambda_{n}^{-2\ell-2} \left\| (h_{1}^{n})_{x} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{2}.$$

$$(4.24)$$

Next, from (4.7) and (4.9), we obtain

$$\lambda_{n}^{-2} \left\| \left( u_{xxx}^{n} + \frac{\alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} (h_{1}^{n})_{x} \right)_{x} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} \leq \alpha_{1}\beta_{1}^{-1} \left\| u_{xx}^{n} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} + \beta_{1}^{-1}\rho_{1} \left\| u^{n} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} + \gamma\beta_{1}^{-1}\lambda_{n}^{-2} \left\| \theta_{xx}^{n} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} + \beta_{1}^{-1}\lambda_{n}^{-1-\ell} \left\| \lambda_{n}^{-1}\rho_{1}h_{1}^{n} + i\left(\rho_{1}g_{1}^{n} - \alpha_{1}\left(g_{1}^{n}\right)_{xx}\right) \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})},$$

$$(4.25)$$

and

$$\gamma \beta_1^{-1} \lambda_n^{-2} \|\theta_{xx}^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)} \leq \gamma^2 \beta_1^{-1} \kappa^{-1} \lambda_n^{-1} \|u_{xx}^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)} + \gamma \beta_1^{-1} \kappa^{-1} \rho_0 \lambda_n^{-1} \|\theta^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)} + \gamma \beta_1^{-1} \kappa^{-1} \lambda_n^{-2-\ell} \|\rho_0 h_3^n - \gamma (g_1^n)_{xx}\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}.$$

$$(4.26)$$

Now, substituting (4.26) in (4.25), we find

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{n}^{-2} \left\| \left( u_{xxx}^{n} + \frac{\alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell}(h_{1}^{n})_{x} \right)_{x} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} &\leq \left( \alpha_{1} + \gamma^{2} \kappa^{-1} \lambda_{n}^{-1} \right) \beta_{1}^{-1} \left\| u_{xx}^{n} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} + \beta_{1}^{-1} \rho_{1} \left\| u^{n} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} \\ &+ \gamma \beta_{1}^{-1} \kappa^{-1} \rho_{0} \lambda_{n}^{-1} \left\| \theta^{n} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} + \beta_{1}^{-1} \lambda_{n}^{-1-\ell} \left\| \lambda_{n}^{-1} \rho_{1} h_{1}^{n} + i \left( \rho_{1} g_{1}^{n} - \alpha_{1} \left( g_{1}^{n} \right)_{xx} \right) \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} \\ &+ \gamma \beta_{1}^{-1} \kappa^{-1} \lambda_{n}^{-2-\ell} \left\| \rho_{0} h_{3}^{n} - \gamma \left( g_{1}^{n} \right)_{xx} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}. \end{split}$$

$$(4.27)$$

Since  $u \in H^3(0, L_0)$  with  $u(0) = u_x(0) = 0$ , using Poincaré's inequality, we obtain that there exists  $\tilde{K}_p > 0$  independent of n, such that

$$||u^n||_{L^2(0,L_0)} \le \tilde{K}_p ||u^n_{xx}||_{L^2(0,L_0)}.$$

Inserting the above inequality into (4.27), we derive

$$\lambda_{n}^{-2} \left\| \left( u_{xxx}^{n} + \frac{\alpha_{1}}{\beta_{1}} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} (h_{1}^{n})_{x} \right)_{x} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} \leq \left( \alpha_{1} + \rho_{1} \tilde{K}_{p} + \gamma^{2} \kappa^{-1} \lambda_{n}^{-1} \right) \beta_{1}^{-1} \left\| u_{xx}^{n} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} + \gamma \beta_{1}^{-1} \kappa^{-1} \rho_{0} \lambda_{n}^{-1} \left\| \theta^{n} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} + \epsilon_{4,n} \lambda_{n}^{-1-\ell},$$

$$(4.28)$$

where

$$\epsilon_{4,n} = \beta_1^{-1} \left\| \rho_1 \lambda_n^{-1} h_1^n + i \left( \rho_1 g_1^n - \alpha_1 \left( g_1^n \right)_{xx} \right) \right\|_{L^2(0,L_0)} + \gamma \beta_1^{-1} \kappa^{-1} \lambda_n^{-1} \left\| \rho_0 h_3^n - \gamma \left( g_1^n \right)_{xx} \right\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}.$$

Since  $h_1^n \to 0$ ,  $h_3^n \to 0$ ,  $g_1^n \to 0$  and  $(g_1^n)_{xx} \to 0$  in  $L^2(0, L_0)$ , then  $\epsilon_{4,n} \to 0$ . Substituting (4.28) in (4.24), we get

$$\lambda_{n}^{-2} \|u_{xxx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{2} \leq \left[3K_{6}^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\rho_{1}\tilde{K}_{p}+\gamma^{2}\kappa^{-1}\lambda_{n}^{-1}\right)\beta_{1}^{-1}+3K_{7}^{2}\lambda_{n}^{-2}\right]\|u_{xx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{2} + 3K_{6}^{2}\gamma\beta_{1}^{-1}\kappa^{-1}\rho_{0}\lambda_{n}^{-1}\|\theta^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}\|u_{xx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{2} + \epsilon_{5,n}\lambda_{n}^{-\ell},$$

$$(4.29)$$

where

$$\begin{split} \epsilon_{5,n} &= \left[ 3K_6^2 \epsilon_{4,n} \lambda_n^{-1} + 3K_6^2 \alpha_1 \beta_1^{-1} \left( \alpha_1 + \rho_1 \tilde{K}_p + \gamma^2 \kappa^{-1} \lambda_n^{-1} \right) \beta_1^{-1} \|h_1^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)} \right] \|u_{xx}^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)} \\ &+ 3K_6^2 \alpha_1 \beta_1^{-1} \gamma \beta_1^{-1} \kappa^{-1} \rho_0 \lambda_n^{-\ell-1} \|\theta^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)} \|h_1^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)} \\ &+ 3K_6^2 \alpha_1 \beta_1^{-1} \epsilon_{4,n} \lambda_n^{-2\ell-1} \|h_1^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)} + 3\alpha_1^2 \beta_2^{-2} \left( K_7 + 1 \right)^2 \lambda_n^{-\ell-2} \|(h_1^n)_x\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^2 \,. \end{split}$$

From (4.17), (4.20), the fact that  $h_1^n \to 0$  and  $(h_1^n)_x \to 0$  in  $L^2(0, L_0)$ , we obtain  $\epsilon_{5,n} \to 0$ . Next, taking  $p = \lambda_n^{-1} \|u_{xx}^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}$  and  $q = 3K_6^2 \gamma \beta_1^{-1} \kappa^{-1} \rho_0 \|\theta^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}$  in (A.3), then using (4.20), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} 3K_6^2\gamma\beta_1^{-1}\kappa^{-1}\rho_0\lambda_n^{-1} \|\theta^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)} \|u_{xx}^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)} &\leq \frac{\lambda_n^{-2} \|u_{xx}^n\|^2}{2} + \frac{9K_6^4\gamma^2\beta_1^{-2}\kappa^{-2}\rho_0^2 \|\theta^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^2}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda_n^{-2} \|u_{xx}^n\|^2}{2} + \frac{9K_6^4\gamma^2\beta_1^{-2}\kappa^{-2}\rho_0^2\epsilon_{2,n}}{2}\lambda_n^{-\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting the above inequality in (4.29), we have

$$\lambda_n^{-2} \|u_{xxx}^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^2 \leq \left[ 3K_6^2 \left( \alpha_1 + \rho_1 \tilde{K}_p + \gamma^2 \kappa^{-1} \lambda_n^{-1} \right) \beta_1^{-1} + \left( 3K_7^2 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \lambda^{-2} \right] \|u_{xx}\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^2 + \epsilon_{3,n} \lambda_n^{-\ell},$$

where  $\epsilon_{3,n} = \epsilon_{5,n} + \frac{9K_6^4\gamma^2\beta_1^{-2}\kappa^{-2}\rho_0\epsilon_{2,n}}{2} \to 0$ . In the above inequality, let

$$K_1 = \max\left(3K_6^2\beta_1^{-1}\left(\alpha_1 + \rho_1\tilde{K}_p\right), 3K_6^2\beta_1^{-1}\gamma^2\kappa^{-1}, 3K_7^2 + \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

it holds that

$$\lambda_n^{-2} \|u_{xxx}^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^2 \le K_1 \left(1 + \lambda_n^{-1} + \lambda_n^{-2}\right) \|u_{xx}^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^2 + \epsilon_{3,n} \lambda_n^{-\ell} \le K_1 \left(1 + \lambda_n^{-1}\right)^2 \|u_{xx}^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^2 + \epsilon_{3,n} \lambda_n^{-\ell}.$$
Hence, we get (4.23).

Hence, we get (4.23).

**Lemma 4.7.** For all  $\ell \geq 0$ , the solution  $(\Phi_1^n, \Phi_2^n) \in D(\mathcal{A})$  of System (4.6) satisfies the following asymptotic behavior estimation

$$K_{2}\lambda_{n}^{-4} \left\|\theta_{x}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{4} \left\|u_{xxx}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{4} \le m_{1} \left\|u_{xx}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{8} + \epsilon_{6,n} \lambda_{n}^{-4\ell}, \tag{4.30}$$

where  $K_2 = 64\kappa^4 \gamma^{-4}$ .

*Proof.* First, using the first estimation of (A.6) for (4.23), we get

$$\lambda_n^{-4} \|u_{xxx}^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^4 \le 2K_1^2 \left(1 + \lambda_n^{-1}\right)^4 \|u_{xx}^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^4 + 2\epsilon_{3,n}^2 \lambda_n^{-2\ell}$$

Consequently, we have

$$K_{2}\lambda_{n}^{-4} \|\theta_{x}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{4} \|u_{xxx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{4} \leq 2K_{1}^{2}K_{2} \left(1+\lambda_{n}^{-1}\right)^{4} \|\theta_{x}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{4} \|u_{xx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{4} +2\epsilon_{3,n}^{2}\lambda_{n}^{-2\ell} \|\theta_{x}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{4}.$$

$$(4.31)$$

From (4.19), we obtain

$$2\epsilon_{3,n}^2 \lambda_n^{-2\ell} \|\theta_x^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^4 \le 2\epsilon_{1,n}^2 \epsilon_{3,n}^2 \lambda_n^{-4\ell}.$$
(4.32)

Next, taking  $a = \|u_{xx}^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^4$  and  $b = 2K_1^2K_2\left(1+\lambda_n^{-1}\right)^4\|\theta_x^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^4$  in (A.4), then using (4.19), we derive

$$2K_{1}^{2}K_{2}\left(1+\lambda_{n}^{-1}\right)^{4}\left\|\theta_{x}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{4}\left\|u_{xx}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{4} \leq m_{1}\left\|u_{xx}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{8} + \frac{K_{1}^{4}K_{2}^{2}\left(1+\lambda_{n}^{-1}\right)^{8}\left\|\theta_{x}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{8}}{m_{1}} \leq m_{1}\left\|u_{xx}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{8} + \frac{K_{1}^{4}K_{2}^{2}\left(1+\lambda_{n}^{-1}\right)^{8}\epsilon_{1,n}^{4}}{m_{1}}\lambda_{n}^{-4\ell}.$$

$$(4.33)$$

Substituting (4.32) and (4.33) in (4.31), we get (4.30), where  $\epsilon_{6,n} = 2\epsilon_{1,n}^2\epsilon_{3,n}^2 + \frac{K_1^4K_2^2(1+\lambda_n^{-1})^8\epsilon_{1,n}^4}{m_1} \to 0.$ 

**Lemma 4.8.** For all  $\ell \geq 0$ , the solution  $(\Phi_1^n, \Phi_2^n) \in D(\mathcal{A})$  of System (4.6) satisfies the following asymptotic behavior estimation

$$\lambda_n^{-2} \left( \left| \theta_x^n(L_0) \right|^2 + \left| \theta_x^n(L_0) \right|^2 \right)^2 \le K_3 \left\| \theta_x^n \right\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^2 \left\| u_{xx}^n \right\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^2 + \epsilon_{7,n} \lambda_n^{-2\ell}, \tag{4.34}$$

where  $K_3 = 16\gamma^2 \kappa^{-2}$ .

Proof. First, let's take

$$P(x) = \cos\left(\frac{L_0 - x}{L_0}\pi\right).$$

Then, we have

$$P(0) = -1, \quad P(L_0) = 1, \quad |P|_{\infty} = 1, \quad |P'|_{\infty} = \pi L_0^{-1}.$$
 (4.35)

Next, from (4.9), we have

$$\theta_{xx}^n = i\kappa^{-1}\rho_0\lambda_n\theta^n - i\gamma\kappa^{-1}\lambda_n u_{xx}^n - \kappa^{-1}\lambda_n^{-\ell}\left(\rho_0h_3^n - \gamma\left(g_1^n\right)_{xx}\right)$$

Multiplying the above equation by  $2P \lambda_n^{-1} \theta_x^n$  in  $L^2(0, L_0)$ , taking the real parts, then using integration by parts and (4.35), we get

$$\lambda_{n}^{-1} \left( \left| \theta_{x}^{n}(L_{0}) \right|^{2} + \left| \theta_{x}^{n}(L_{0}) \right|^{2} \right) = \lambda_{n}^{-1} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} P' \left| \theta_{x}^{n} \right|^{2} dx + 2\kappa^{-1} \rho_{0} \Re \left\{ i \int_{0}^{L_{0}} P \theta^{n} \overline{\theta_{x}^{n}} dx \right\}$$
$$-2\gamma \kappa^{-1} \Re \left\{ i \int_{0}^{L_{0}} P u_{xx}^{n} \overline{\theta_{x}^{n}} dx \right\} - 2\kappa^{-1} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell-1} \lambda \Re \left\{ \int_{0}^{L_{0}} P \left( \rho_{0} h_{3}^{n} - \gamma \left( g_{1}^{n} \right)_{xx} \right) \overline{\theta_{x}^{n}} dx \right\}.$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\lambda_n^{-1} \left( \left| \theta_x^n(L_0) \right|^2 + \left| \theta_x^n(L_0) \right|^2 \right) \le \pi L_0^{-1} \lambda_n^{-1} \left\| \theta_x^n \right\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^2 + 2\kappa^{-1} \rho_0 \left\| \theta_x^n \right\|_{L^2(0,L_0)} \left\| \theta^n \right\|_{L^2(0,L_0)} + 2\gamma \kappa^{-1} \left\| \theta_x^n \right\|_{L^2(0,L_0)} \left\| u_{xx}^n \right\|_{L^2(0,L_0)} + 2\kappa^{-1} \lambda_n^{-\ell-1} \left\| \rho_0 h_3^n - \gamma \left( g_1^n \right)_{xx} \right\|_{L^2(0,L_0)} \left\| \theta_x^n \right\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}.$$

Thus, using (4.35) and the first estimation of (A.6) in the above inequality, one has

$$\lambda_n^{-2} \left( \left| \theta_x^n(L_0) \right|^2 + \left| \theta_x^n(L_0) \right|^2 \right)^2 \le 16\gamma^2 \kappa^{-2} \left\| \theta_x^n \right\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^2 \left\| u_{xx}^n \right\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^2 + 4\pi^2 L_0^{-2} \lambda_n^{-2} \left\| \theta_x^n \right\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^4 + 6\kappa^{-2} \rho_0^2 \left\| \theta_x^n \right\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^2 \left\| \theta^n \right\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^2 + 16\kappa^{-2} \lambda_n^{-2\ell-2} \left\| \rho_0 h_3^n - \gamma \left( g_1^n \right)_{xx} \right\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^2 \left\| \theta_x^n \right\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^2.$$

Consequently, we get

$$\lambda_n^{-2} \left( \left| \theta_x^n(L_0) \right|^2 + \left| \theta_x^n(L_0) \right|^2 \right)^2 \le K_3 \left\| \theta_x^n \right\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^2 \left\| u_{xx}^n \right\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^2 + \epsilon_{7,n} \lambda_n^{-2\ell}, \tag{4.36}$$

where

$$\epsilon_{7,n} = 4\pi^2 L_0^{-2} \lambda_n^{-2+2\ell} \|\theta_x^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^4 + 6\kappa^{-2} \rho_0^2 \lambda_n^{2\ell} \|\theta_x^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^2 \|\theta^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^2 + 16\kappa^{-2} \lambda_n^{-2} \|\rho_0 h_3^n - \gamma (g_1^n)_{xx}\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^2 \|\theta_x^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^2.$$

Using (4.19)-(4.20) and the fact that  $h_3^n - \gamma (g_1)_{xx}^n \to 0$  in  $L^2(0, L_0)$ , we find

$$0 \le \epsilon_{7,n} \le 4\pi^2 L_0^{-2} \lambda_n^{-2} \epsilon_{1,n}^2 + 6\kappa^{-2} \epsilon_{1,n} \epsilon_{2,n} + 16\kappa^{-2} \lambda_n^{-2-\ell} \epsilon_{1,n} \|h_3^n - \gamma (g_1^n)_{xx}\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^2 \to 0.$$

Hence, from (4.36), we get (4.48).

**Lemma 4.9.** For all  $\ell \geq 0$ , the solution  $(\Phi_1^n, \Phi_2^n) \in D(\mathcal{A})$  of System (4.6) satisfies the following asymptotic behavior estimation

$$\lambda_n^{-2} |u_{xx}^n|_{\infty}^4 \le K_4 \left(1 + \lambda_n^{-1}\right)^2 ||u_{xx}^n||_{L^2(0,L_0)}^4 + \epsilon_{8,n} \lambda_n^{-2\ell}, \tag{4.37}$$

*Proof.* First, since  $u_{xx}^n \in H^1(0, L_0)$ , then applying (A.2), we obtain

$$|u_{xx}^{n}|_{\infty} \leq K_{8} \|u_{xxx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_{xx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{\frac{1}{2}} + K_{9} \|u_{xx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})},$$

consequently, using the second estimation of (A.6), one has

$$\|u_{xx}^{n}\|_{\infty}^{4} \leq 8K_{8}^{4} \|u_{xxx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{2} \|u_{xx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{2} + 8K_{9}^{4} \|u_{xx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{4}.$$

$$(4.38)$$

Substituting (4.23) in (4.38), we derive

$$\lambda_n^{-2} |u_{xx}^n|_{\infty}^4 \leq \left[ 8K_8^4 K_1 \left( 1 + \lambda_n^{-1} \right)^2 + 8K_9^4 \lambda_n^{-2} \right] \|u_{xx}^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^4 + 8K_8^4 \epsilon_{3,n} \lambda_n^{-\ell} \|u_{xx}^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^2 \right] .$$

$$(4.39)$$

Taking  $p = \|u_{xx}^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}$  and  $q = 8K_8^4\epsilon_{3,n}\,\lambda_n^{-\ell}$  in (A.3), we obtain

$$\epsilon_{3,n}\lambda_n^{-\ell} \|u_{xx}^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^2 \le \frac{\|u_{xx}^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^4}{2} + 32K_8^8\epsilon_{3,n}^2\lambda_n^{-2\ell}$$

Substituting the above equation in (4.39), we see that

$$\lambda_n^{-2} |u_{xx}^n|_{\infty}^4 \leq \left[ 8K_8^4 K_1 \left( 1 + \lambda_n^{-1} \right)^2 + 8K_9^4 \lambda_n^{-2} + \frac{1}{2} \right] ||u_{xx}^n||_{L^2(0,L_0)}^4 + 32K_8^8 \epsilon_{3,n}^2 \lambda_n^{-2\ell}.$$
  
holds true, with  $K_4 = 2 \max \left[ 8K_8^4 K_1, 8K_9^4, \frac{1}{2} \right]$  and  $\epsilon_{8,n} = 32K_8^8 \epsilon_{3,n}^2 \to 0.$ 

Hence (4.37) holds true, with  $K_4 = 2 \max \left[ 8K_8^4 K_1, 8K_9^4, \frac{1}{2} \right]$  and  $\epsilon_{8,n} = 32K_8^8 \epsilon_{3,n}^2 \to 0.$ 

**Lemma 4.10.** For all  $\ell \geq 0$ , the solution  $(\Phi_1^n, \Phi_2^n) \in D(\mathcal{A})$  of System (4.6) satisfies the following asymptotic behavior estimation

$$K_{5}\lambda_{n}^{-4}\left(\left|\theta_{x}^{n}\left(L_{0}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|\theta_{x}^{n}\left(0\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{2}\left|u_{xx}^{n}\right|_{\infty}^{4}\leq4m_{1}\left\|u_{xx}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0,L_{0}\right)}^{8}+\epsilon_{9,n}\,\lambda_{n}^{-4\ell},\tag{4.40}$$

where  $K_5 = 256 \kappa^4 \gamma^{-4}$ .

*Proof.* First, from (4.37) and (4.34), we get

$$K_{5}\lambda_{n}^{-4} \left( \left| \theta_{x}^{n} \left( L_{0} \right) \right|^{2} + \left| \theta_{x}^{n} \left( 0 \right) \right|^{2} \right)^{2} \left| u_{xx}^{n} \right|_{\infty}^{4} \leq K_{3}K_{5} \left\| \theta_{x}^{n} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{2} \left\| u_{xx}^{n} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{2} \left\| \theta_{x}^{n} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{2} \left\| u_{xx}^{n} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{2} \left\| u_{xx}^{n} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{4} \left\| u_{xx}^{n} \right\|_{L^$$

Now, taking  $a = \|u_{xx}^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^4$  and  $K_5K_4\left(1+\lambda_n^{-1}\right)^2\epsilon_{7,n}\lambda_n^{-2\ell}$  in (A.3), we obtain

$$K_{5}K_{4}\left(1+\lambda_{n}^{-1}\right)^{2}\epsilon_{7,n}\lambda_{n}^{-2\ell}\|u_{xx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{4}\leq m_{1}\|u_{xx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{8}+\frac{K_{5}^{2}K_{4}^{2}\left(1+\lambda_{n}^{-1}\right)^{4}\epsilon_{7,n}^{2}}{4m_{1}}\lambda_{n}^{-4\ell}.$$
(4.42)

Next, taking  $c = K_3 K_5 K_4 (1 + \lambda_n^{-1})^2 \|\theta_x^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^2$ ,  $b = \|u_{xx}^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^2$ , and  $a = \|u_{xx}^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^4$  in (A.5), then using (4.19), we find

$$K_{3}K_{5}K_{4}\left(1+\lambda_{n}^{-1}\right)^{2} \left\|\theta_{x}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{2} \left\|u_{xx}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{2} \left\|u_{xx}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{4} \\ \leq 2m_{1} \left\|u_{xx}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{8} + \frac{K_{3}^{4}K_{5}^{4}K_{4}^{4}\left(1+\lambda_{n}^{-1}\right)^{8}\epsilon_{1,n}^{4}}{64m_{1}^{3}}\lambda_{n}^{-4\ell}.$$

$$(4.43)$$

Also, taking  $a = \epsilon_{8,n} \lambda_n^{-2\ell}$ ,  $b = \|u_{xx}^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^2$ , and  $c = 16\gamma^2 \kappa^{-2} K_5 \|\theta_x^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^2$  in (A.5), then using (4.19), we have

$$K_{3}K_{5} \|\theta_{x}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{2} \|u_{xx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{2} \epsilon_{8,n}\lambda_{n}^{-2\ell}$$

$$\leq m_{1} \|u_{xx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{8} + m_{1}\epsilon_{8,n}^{2}\lambda_{n}^{-4\ell} + \frac{1024\gamma^{8}\kappa^{-8}K_{5}^{4} \|\theta_{x}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{8}}{m_{1}^{3}}$$

$$\leq m_{1} \|u_{xx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{8} + \left(m_{1}\epsilon_{8,n}^{2} + \frac{1024\gamma^{8}\kappa^{-8}K_{5}^{4}\epsilon_{1,n}^{4}}{m_{1}^{3}}\right)\lambda_{n}^{-4\ell}.$$
(4.44)

Substituting (4.42)-(4.44) in (4.41), we get (4.40), where

$$\epsilon_{9,n} = K_5 \epsilon_{7,n} \epsilon_{8,n} + \frac{K_5^2 K_4^2 \left(1 + \lambda_n^{-1}\right)^4 \epsilon_{7,n}^2}{4m_1} + \frac{K_3^4 K_5^4 K_4^4 \left(1 + \lambda_n^{-1}\right)^8 \epsilon_{1,n}^4}{64m_1^3} + m_1 \epsilon_{8,n}^2 + \frac{1024 \gamma^8 \kappa^{-8} K_5^4 \epsilon_{1,n}^4}{m_1^3} \to 0.$$

**Proof of Lemma 4.5.** First, from (4.9), we have

$$u_{xx}^{n} = i\kappa\gamma^{-1}\lambda_{n}^{-1}\theta_{xx}^{n} + \gamma^{-1}\rho_{0}\theta^{n} + i\gamma^{-1}\lambda_{n}^{-\ell-1}\left(\rho_{0}h_{3}^{n} - \gamma\left(g_{1}^{n}\right)_{xx}\right).$$

Multiplying the above equation by  $u_{xx}^n$  in  $L^2(0, L_0)$ , then taking the real parts and using integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{xx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{2} &= -\kappa\gamma^{-1}\lambda_{n}^{-1}\Re\left\{i\int_{0}^{L_{0}}\theta_{x}^{n}\overline{u_{xxx}^{n}}\,dx\right\} + \kappa\gamma^{-1}\lambda_{n}^{-1}\Re\left\{i\theta_{x}^{n}\left(L_{0}\right)\overline{u_{xx}^{n}}\left(L_{0}\right) - i\theta_{x}^{n}\left(0\right)\overline{u_{xx}^{n}}\left(0\right)\right\} \\ &+ \gamma^{-1}\rho_{0}\Re\left\{\int_{0}^{L_{0}}\theta^{n}\overline{u_{xx}^{n}}\,dx\right\} + \gamma^{-1}\lambda_{n}^{-\ell-1}\Re\left\{i\int_{0}^{L_{0}}\left(\rho_{0}h_{3}^{n} - \gamma\left(g_{1}^{n}\right)_{xx}\right)\overline{u_{xx}^{n}}\,dx\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, using Cauchy Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\|u_{xx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{2} \leq \kappa \gamma^{-1} \lambda_{n}^{-1} \|\theta_{x}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} \|u_{xxx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} + \kappa \gamma^{-1} \lambda_{n}^{-1} \left(|\theta_{x}^{n}(L_{0})| + |\theta_{x}^{n}(0)|\right) |u_{xx}^{n}|_{\infty} + \gamma^{-1} \rho_{0} \|\theta^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} \|u_{xx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} + \gamma^{-1} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell-1} \|\rho_{0}h_{3}^{n} - \gamma \left(g_{1}^{n}\right)_{xx}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})} \|u_{xx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}.$$

$$(4.45)$$

Using the second estimation of (A.6) in (4.45), one finds

$$\|u_{xx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{8} \leq 64\kappa^{4}\gamma^{-4}\lambda_{n}^{-4} \|\theta_{x}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{4} \|u_{xxx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{4} + 64\kappa^{4}\gamma^{-4}\lambda_{n}^{-4} (|\theta_{x}^{n}(L_{0})| + |\theta_{x}^{n}(0)|)^{4} |u_{xx}^{n}\|_{\infty}^{4} + 64\gamma^{-4} \|\theta^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{4} \|u_{xx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{4} + 64\gamma^{-4}\rho_{0}^{4}\lambda_{n}^{-4\ell-4} \|\rho_{0}h_{3}^{n} - \gamma(g_{1}^{n})_{xx}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{4} \|u_{xx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{4}.$$

$$(4.46)$$

Taking  $a = \|u_{xx}^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^4$  and  $b = 64\gamma^{-4}\rho_0^4 \|\theta^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^4$  in (A.4), then using (4.20), we get

$$64\gamma^{-4} \|\theta^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{4} \|u_{xx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{4} \leq m_{1} \|u_{xx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{8} + \frac{1024\gamma^{-8}\rho_{0}^{8}\epsilon_{2,n}^{4}}{m_{1}}\lambda_{n}^{-4\ell}.$$
(4.47)

On the other hand, we have

$$\left(\left|\theta_{x}^{n}\left(L_{0}\right)\right|+\left|\theta_{x}^{n}\left(0\right)\right|\right)^{4} \leq 4\left(\left|\theta_{x}^{n}\left(L_{0}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|\theta_{x}^{n}\left(0\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{2}.$$
(4.48)

Substituting (4.47) and (4.48) in (4.46), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{xx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{8} &\leq m_{1} \|u_{xx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{8} + K_{2}\lambda_{n}^{-4} \|\theta_{x}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{4} \|u_{xxx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{4} \\ &+ K_{5}\lambda_{n}^{-4} \left(\left|\theta_{x}^{n}\left(L_{0}\right)\right|^{2} + \left|\theta_{x}^{n}\left(0\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{2} |u_{xx}^{n}\|_{\infty}^{4} \\ &+ \left[\frac{1024\rho_{0}^{8}\gamma^{-8}\epsilon_{2,n}^{4}}{m_{1}} + 64\gamma^{-4}\lambda_{n}^{-4} \|\rho_{0}h_{3}^{n} - \gamma\left(g_{1}^{n}\right)_{xx}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{4} \|u_{xx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{4}\right]\lambda_{n}^{-4\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.49)$$

Substituting (4.30) and (4.40) in (4.49), we obtain

$$\|u_{xx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{8} \leq 6m_{1} \|u_{xx}^{n}\|_{L^{2}(0,L_{0})}^{8} + \epsilon_{10,n}\lambda_{n}^{-4\ell},$$
(4.50)

where

$$\epsilon_{10,n} = \epsilon_{6,n} + \epsilon_{9,n} + \frac{1024\gamma^{-8}\rho_0^{8}\epsilon_{2,n}^4}{m_1} + 64\gamma^{-4}\lambda_n^{-4} \|\rho_0 h_3^n - \gamma(g_1^n)_{xx}\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^4 \|u_{xx}^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^4.$$

Using (4.17) and  $h_3^n - \gamma (g_1^n)_{xx} \to 0$  in  $L^2(0, L_0)$ , we get  $\epsilon_{10,n} \to 0$ . Thus, from (4.50), we get

$$(1 - 6m_1) \lambda_n^{4\ell} \| u_{xx}^n \|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^8 \le \epsilon_{10,n}.$$

Taking  $m_1 = \frac{1}{12}$ , it holds that

$$0 \le \frac{\lambda_n^{4\ell}}{2} \|u_{xx}^n\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^8 \le \epsilon_{10,n} \to 0$$

Thus, we obtain (4.21). Finally, substituting (4.21) in (4.37), we obtain (4.22). The proof is thus complete.  $\Box$ Lemma 4.11. For all  $\ell \geq 0$ , the solution  $(\Phi_1^n, \Phi_2^n) \in D(\mathcal{A})$  of System (4.6) satisfies the following asymptotic behavior estimations

$$\int_{0}^{L_{0}} |v^{n}|^{2} dx = o\left(\lambda_{n}^{\ell_{1}}\right), \quad \int_{0}^{L_{0}} |v_{x}^{n}|^{2} dx = o\left(\lambda_{n}^{\ell_{1}}\right), \tag{4.51}$$

$$\int_{L_0}^{L} |y_{xx}^n|^2 dx = o\left(\lambda_n^{\ell_1}\right), \quad \int_{L_0}^{L} |z^n|^2 dx = o\left(\lambda_n^{\ell_1}\right), \quad \int_{L_0}^{L} |z_x^n|^2 dx = o\left(\lambda_n^{\ell_1}\right), \tag{4.52}$$

where

$$\ell_1 = \begin{cases} 1 - \ell, & \text{if } \alpha_1 \ge \alpha_2, \\ 2 - \ell, & \text{if } \alpha_1 < \alpha_2. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The proof will be split into several steps:

Step 1. In this step, we prove the following asymptotic behavior estimates:

$$\int_{0}^{L_{0}} |u_{x}^{n}|^{2} dx = o\left(\lambda_{n}^{-\ell}\right), \quad \int_{0}^{L_{0}} |u^{n}|^{2} dx = o\left(\lambda_{n}^{-\ell}\right), \quad |u_{x}^{n}|_{\infty} = o\left(\lambda_{n}^{-\frac{\ell}{2}}\right), \quad |u^{n}|_{\infty} = o\left(\lambda_{n}^{-\frac{\ell}{2}}\right). \tag{4.53}$$

In fact, since  $u \in H^3(0, L_0)$  with  $u^n(0) = u_x^n(0) = 0$ , then using (4.21), Poincaré's inequality and trace theorem, we get (4.53).

Step 2. In this step, we prove the following asymptotic behavior estimate:

$$\lambda_n^2 \int_0^{L_0} \left( \alpha_1 |u_x^n|^2 + \rho_1 |u^n|^2 \right) dx - \beta_1 \int_0^{L_0} |u_{xx}^n|^2 dx + \lambda_n^2 \int_{L_0}^L \left( \alpha_2 |y_x^n|^2 + \rho_2 |y^n|^2 \right) dx - \beta_2 \int_{L_0}^L |y_{xx}^n|^2 dx = o\left(\lambda_n^{-\ell}\right).$$
(4.54)

For this aim, first, multiplying (4.7) by  $-u^n$  in  $L^2(0, L_0)$ , then taking the real parts, using integration by parts and the fact that  $u^n(0) = u_x^n(0) = 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{n}^{2} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left( \alpha_{1} \left| u_{x}^{n} \right|^{2} + \rho_{1} \left| u^{n} \right|^{2} \right) dx &- \beta_{1} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left| u_{xx}^{n} \right|^{2} dx + \beta_{1} \Re \left\{ u_{xx}^{n} (L_{0}) \overline{u}_{x}^{n} (L_{0}) \right\} \\ &- \Re \left\{ \left( \beta_{1} u_{xxx}^{n} (L_{0}) + \alpha_{1} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} (h_{1}^{n})_{x} (L_{0}) + \lambda_{n}^{2} \alpha_{1} u_{x}^{n} (L_{0}) + \gamma \theta_{x}^{n} (L_{0}) + i \lambda_{n}^{-\ell+1} (g_{1}^{n})_{x} (L_{0}) \right) \overline{u}^{n} (L_{0}) \right\} \\ &= -\rho_{1} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} \Re \left\{ \int_{0}^{L} \left( \lambda_{n}^{-1} h_{1}^{n} + i g_{1}^{n} \right) \lambda_{n} \overline{u} \, dx \right\} - \alpha_{1} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} \Re \left\{ \int_{0}^{L_{0}} (h_{1}^{n})_{x} \overline{u}_{x}^{n} \, dx \right\} \\ &+ \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} \Re \left\{ i \int_{0}^{L} (g_{1}^{n})_{x} \lambda_{n} \overline{u}_{x}^{n} \, dx \right\} - \gamma \Re \left\{ \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \theta_{x}^{n} \overline{u}_{x}^{n} \, dx \right\}. \end{split}$$

$$\tag{4.55}$$

Next, multiplying (4.8) by  $-y^n$  in  $L^2(L_0, L)$ , then taking the real parts, using integration by parts and the fact that  $y^n(L) = y_x^n(L) = 0$ , one derives

$$\lambda_{n}^{2} \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \left( \alpha_{2} |y_{x}^{n}|^{2} + \rho_{2} |y^{n}|^{2} \right) dx - \beta_{2} \int_{L_{0}}^{L} |y_{xx}^{n}|^{2} dx - \beta_{2} \Re \left\{ y_{xx}^{n}(L_{0}) \overline{y}_{x}^{n}(L_{0}) \right\} \\ + \Re \left\{ \left( \beta_{2} y_{xxx}^{n}(L_{0}) + \alpha_{2} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} (h_{2}^{n})_{x}(L_{0}) + \lambda_{n}^{2} \alpha_{2} y_{x}^{n}(L_{0}) + i \lambda_{n}^{-\ell+1} (g_{2}^{n})_{x}(L_{0}) \right) \overline{y^{n}}(L_{0}) \right\} \\ = -\rho_{2} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} \Re \left\{ \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \left( \lambda_{n}^{-1} h_{2}^{n} + i g_{2}^{n} \right) \lambda_{n} \overline{y^{n}} dx \right\} - \alpha_{2} \lambda^{-\ell} \Re \left\{ \int_{L_{0}}^{L} (h_{2}^{n})_{x} \overline{y^{n}}_{x} dx \right\}$$

$$+ \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} \Re \left\{ i \int_{L_{0}}^{L} (g_{2}^{n})_{x} \lambda_{n} \overline{y^{n}}_{x} dx \right\}.$$

$$(4.56)$$

Adding (4.55) and (4.56), then using (3.9), (4.11), and the fact that  $u^n(L_0) = y^n(L_0)$ ,  $u^n_x(L_0) = y^n_x(L_0)$ , we find

$$\lambda_{n}^{2} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left( \alpha_{1} \left| u_{x}^{n} \right|^{2} + \rho_{1} \left| u^{n} \right|^{2} \right) dx - \beta_{1} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left| u_{xx}^{n} \right|^{2} dx + \lambda_{n}^{2} \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \left( \alpha_{2} \left| y_{x}^{n} \right|^{2} + \rho_{2} \left| y^{n} \right|^{2} \right) dx \\ -\beta_{2} \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \left| y_{xx}^{n} \right|^{2} dx = -\rho_{1} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} \Re \left\{ \int_{0}^{L} \left( \lambda_{n}^{-1} h_{1}^{n} + ig_{1}^{n} \right) \lambda_{n} \overline{u^{n}} dx \right\} - \alpha_{1} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} \Re \left\{ \int_{0}^{L_{0}} (h_{1}^{n})_{x} \overline{u_{x}^{n}} dx \right\} \\ +\lambda_{n}^{-\ell} \Re \left\{ i \int_{0}^{L} (g_{1}^{n})_{x} \lambda_{n} \overline{u_{x}^{n}} dx \right\} - \rho_{2} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} \Re \left\{ \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \left( \lambda_{n}^{-1} h_{2}^{n} + ig_{2}^{n} \right) \lambda_{n} \overline{y^{n}} dx \right\} \\ -\alpha_{2} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} \Re \left\{ \int_{L_{0}}^{L} (h_{2}^{n})_{x} \overline{y_{x}^{n}} dx \right\} + \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} \Re \left\{ i \int_{L_{0}}^{L} (g_{2}^{n})_{x} \lambda_{n} \overline{y_{x}^{n}} dx \right\} - \gamma \Re \left\{ \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \theta_{x}^{n} \overline{u_{x}^{n}} dx \right\}.$$

$$(4.57)$$

Using (4.17), the fact that  $h^n = (h_1^n, h_2^n, h_3^n) \to 0$  in  $\mathbb{V}_2$  and  $g^n = (g_1^n, g_2^n) \to 0$  in  $\mathbb{W}_1$ , it holds that

$$-\rho_{1}\lambda_{n}^{-\ell}\Re\left\{\int_{0}^{L}\left(\lambda_{n}^{-1}h_{1}^{n}+ig_{1}^{n}\right)\lambda_{n}\overline{u^{n}}\,dx\right\}-\alpha_{1}\lambda_{n}^{-\ell}\Re\left\{\int_{0}^{L_{0}}(h_{1}^{n})_{x}\overline{u_{x}^{n}}\,dx\right\}$$
$$+\lambda_{n}^{-\ell}\Re\left\{i\int_{0}^{L}(g_{1}^{n})_{x}\lambda_{n}\overline{u_{x}^{n}}\,dx\right\}-\rho_{2}\lambda_{n}^{-\ell}\Re\left\{\int_{L_{0}}^{L}\left(\lambda_{n}^{-1}h_{2}^{n}+ig_{2}^{n}\right)\lambda_{n}\overline{y^{n}}\,dx\right\}$$
$$-\alpha_{2}\lambda_{n}^{-\ell}\Re\left\{\int_{L_{0}}^{L}(h_{2}^{n})_{x}\overline{y_{x}^{n}}\,dx\right\}+\lambda_{n}^{-\ell}\Re\left\{i\int_{L_{0}}^{L}(g_{2}^{n})_{x}\lambda_{n}\overline{y_{x}^{n}}\,dx\right\}=o\left(\lambda_{n}^{-\ell}\right).$$
$$(4.58)$$

On the other hand, from (4.18) and (4.53), we infer

$$-\gamma \Re \left\{ \int_0^{L_0} \theta_x^n \overline{u_x^n} \, dx \right\} = o\left(\lambda_n^{-\ell}\right).$$
(4.59)

Substituting (4.58) and (4.59) in (4.57), we obtain (4.54).

Step 3. In this step, we prove the following asymptotic behavior estimate:

$$\lambda_n^2 \int_0^{L_0} \left( -\alpha_1 |u_x^n|^2 + \rho_1 |u^n|^2 \right) dx + 3\beta_1 \int_0^{L_0} |u_{xx}^n|^2 dx + \lambda_n^2 \int_{L_0}^{L} \left( -\alpha_2 |y_x^n|^2 + \rho_2 |y^n|^2 \right) dx + 3\beta_2 \int_{L_0}^{L} |y_{xx}^n|^2 dx + \lambda_n^2 \left( L - L_0 \right) \left[ (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) |u_x^n(L_0)|^2 + (\rho_1 - \rho_2) |u^n(L_0)|^2 \right] = o\left(\lambda_n^{1-\ell}\right).$$

$$(4.60)$$

For this aim, first, multiplying (4.7) by  $2(x-L)u_x$  in  $L^2(0,L_0)$ , taking the real parts, then using integration by parts and the fact that  $u^n(0) = u_x^n(0) = 0$ , we get

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{n}^{2} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left( -\alpha_{1} \left| u_{x}^{n} \right|^{2} + \rho_{1} \left| u^{n} \right|^{2} \right) dx + 3\beta_{1} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left| u_{xx}^{n} \right|^{2} dx \\ -2 \left( L - L_{0} \right) \Re \left\{ \left( \beta_{1} u_{xxx}^{n} (L_{0}) + \alpha_{1} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} \left( (h_{1}^{n})_{x} (L_{0}) + i\lambda_{n} (g_{1}^{n})_{x} (L_{0}) \right) \right. \\ \left. + \alpha_{1} \lambda_{n}^{2} u_{x} (L_{0}) + \gamma \theta_{x}^{n} (L_{0}) \right) \overline{u_{x}^{n}} (L_{0}) \right\} - 2\beta_{1} \Re \left\{ u_{xx}^{n} (L_{0}) \overline{u_{x}^{n}} (L_{0}) \right\} \\ \left. + \beta_{1} \left( L - L_{0} \right) \left| u_{xx}^{n} (L_{0}) \right|^{2} - \beta_{1} L \left| u_{xx}^{n} (0) \right|^{2} \\ \left. + \lambda_{n}^{2} \left( L - L_{0} \right) \left( \alpha_{1} \left| u_{x}^{n} (L_{0}) \right|^{2} + \rho_{1} \left| u^{n} (L_{0}) \right|^{2} \right) = 2\rho_{1} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} \Re \left\{ \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left( x - L \right) \left( \lambda_{n}^{-1} h_{1}^{n} + i g_{1}^{n} \right) \lambda_{n} \overline{u_{x}^{n}} dx \right\} \\ \left. + 2\alpha_{1} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} \Re \left\{ \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left( h_{1}^{n} \right)_{x} \left( (x - L) \overline{u_{x}^{n}} \right)_{x} dx \right\} + 2\alpha_{1} \lambda_{n}^{1-\ell} \Re \left\{ i \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left( g_{1}^{n} \right)_{x} \left( (x - L) \overline{u_{x}^{n}} \right)_{x} dx \right\} \\ \left. + 2\gamma \Re \left\{ \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \theta_{x}^{n} \left( (x - L) \overline{u_{x}^{n}} \right)_{x} dx \right\}. \end{split}$$

$$(4.61)$$

Next, multiplying (4.8) by  $2(x-L)y_x^n$  in  $L^2(L_0,L)$ , taking the real parts, then using integration by parts and the fact that  $y^n(L) = y_x^n(L) = 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{n}^{2} \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \left( -\alpha_{2} \left| y_{x}^{n} \right|^{2} + \left| y^{n} \right|^{2} \right) dx + 3\beta_{2} \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \left| y_{xx}^{n} \right|^{2} dx \\ + 2 \left( L - L_{0} \right) \Re \left\{ \left( \beta_{2} y_{xxx}^{n} \left( L_{0} \right) + \alpha_{2} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} \left( \left( h_{2} \right)_{x}^{n} \left( L_{0} \right) + i\lambda_{n} \left( g_{2}^{n} \right)_{x} \left( L_{0} \right) \right) + \alpha_{2} \lambda_{n}^{2} y_{x}^{n} \left( L_{0} \right) \right) \overline{y_{x}^{n}} \left( L_{0} \right) \right\} \\ + 2\beta_{2} \Re \left\{ y_{xx}^{n} \left( L_{0} \right) \overline{y_{x}^{n}} \left( L_{0} \right) \right\} - \beta_{2} \left( L - L_{0} \right) \left| y_{xx}^{n} \left( L_{0} \right) \right|^{2} - \lambda_{n}^{2} \left( L - L_{0} \right) \left( \alpha_{2} \left| y_{x}^{n} \left( L_{0} \right) \right|^{2} + \rho_{2} \left| y^{n} \left( L_{0} \right) \right|^{2} \right) \\ = 2\rho_{2}\lambda_{n}^{-\ell} \Re \left\{ \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \left( x - L \right) \left( \lambda_{n}^{-1}h_{2}^{n} + ig_{2}^{n} \right) \lambda_{n} \overline{y_{x}^{n}} dx \right\} + 2\alpha_{2}\lambda_{n}^{-\ell} \Re \left\{ \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \left( h_{2}^{n} \right)_{x} \left( \left( x - L \right) \overline{y_{x}^{n}} \right)_{x} dx \right\} \\ + 2\alpha_{2}\lambda_{n}^{1-\ell} \Re \left\{ i \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \left( g_{2}^{n} \right)_{x} \left( \left( x - L \right) \overline{y_{x}^{n}} \right)_{x} dx \right\} . \end{split}$$

$$\tag{4.62}$$

Adding (4.61) and (4.62), then using (3.9), (4.11), and the fact that  $u^n(L_0) = y^n(L_0), u^n_x(L_0) = y^n_x(L_0)$ , we get

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{n}^{2} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left( -\alpha_{1} \left| u_{x}^{n} \right|^{2} + \rho_{1} \left| u^{n} \right|^{2} \right) dx + 3\beta_{1} \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left| u_{xx}^{n} \right|^{2} dx + \lambda_{n}^{2} \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \left( -\alpha_{2} \left| y_{x}^{n} \right|^{2} + \rho_{2} \left| y^{n} \right|^{2} \right) dx \\ + 3\beta_{2} \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \left| y_{xx}^{n} \right|^{2} dx + \lambda_{n}^{2} \left( L - L_{0} \right) \left( \alpha_{1} - \alpha_{2} \right) \left| u_{x}^{n} (L_{0}) \right|^{2} + \lambda_{n}^{2} \left( L - L_{0} \right) \left( \rho_{1} - \rho_{2} \right) \left| u^{n} (L_{0}) \right|^{2} \\ &= \frac{\beta_{1} \left( \beta_{1} - \beta_{2} \right)}{\beta_{2}} \left( L - L_{0} \right) \left| u_{xx}^{n} (L_{0}) \right|^{2} + \beta_{1} L \left| u_{xx}^{n} (0) \right|^{2} \\ + 2\rho_{1} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} \Re \left\{ \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left( x - L \right) \left( \lambda_{n}^{-1} h_{1}^{n} + ig_{1}^{n} \right) \lambda_{n} \overline{u_{x}^{n}} dx \right\} \\ + 2\rho_{2} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} \Re \left\{ \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left( x - L \right) \left( \lambda_{n}^{-1} h_{2}^{n} + ig_{2}^{n} \right) \lambda_{n} \overline{y_{x}^{n}} dx \right\} \\ + 2\alpha_{1} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} \Re \left\{ i \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left( g_{1}^{n} \right)_{x} \left( \left( x - L \right) \overline{u_{x}^{n}} \right)_{x} dx \right\} + 2\alpha_{2} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} \Re \left\{ i \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \left( g_{2}^{n} \right)_{x} \left( \left( x - L \right) \overline{y_{x}^{n}} \right)_{x} dx \right\} \\ + 2\alpha_{1} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} \Re \left\{ \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \left( h_{1}^{n} \right)_{x} \left( \left( x - L \right) \overline{u_{x}^{n}} \right)_{x} dx \right\} + 2\alpha_{2} \lambda_{n}^{-\ell} \Re \left\{ \int_{L_{0}}^{L} \left( h_{2}^{n} \right)_{x} \left( \left( x - L \right) \overline{y_{x}^{n}} \right)_{x} dx \right\} \\ + 2\gamma \Re \left\{ \int_{0}^{L_{0}} \theta_{x}^{n} \left( \overline{u_{x}^{n}} + \left( x - L \right) \overline{u_{xx}^{n}} \right) dx \right\}. \end{split}$$
(4.63)

From (4.22), it holds that

$$\frac{\beta_1 \left(\beta_1 - \beta_2\right)}{\beta_2} \left(L - L_0\right) \left|u_{xx}^n(L_0)\right|^2 + \beta_1 L \left|u_{xx}^n(0)\right|^2 = o\left(\lambda_n^{1-\ell}\right).$$
(4.64)

Using (4.17), the fact that  $h^n = (h_1^n, h_2^n, h_3^n) \to 0$  in  $\mathbb{V}_2$  and  $g^n = (g_1^n, g_2^n) \to 0$  in  $\mathbb{W}_1$ , we derive

$$+2\rho_{1}\lambda_{n}^{-\ell}\Re\left\{\int_{0}^{L_{0}}\left(x-L\right)\left(\lambda_{n}^{-1}h_{1}^{n}+ig_{1}^{n}\right)\lambda_{n}\overline{u_{x}^{n}}dx\right\}+2\alpha_{1}\lambda_{n}^{1-\ell}\Re\left\{i\int_{0}^{L_{0}}\left(g_{1}^{n}\right)_{x}\left((x-L)\overline{u_{x}^{n}}\right)_{x}dx\right\}$$

$$+2\rho_{2}\lambda_{n}^{-\ell}\Re\left\{\int_{L_{0}}^{L}\left(x-L\right)\left(\lambda_{n}^{-1}h_{2}^{n}+ig_{2}^{n}\right)\lambda_{n}\overline{y_{x}^{n}}dx\right\}+2\alpha_{2}\lambda_{n}^{1-\ell}\Re\left\{i\int_{L_{0}}^{L}\left(g_{2}^{n}\right)_{x}\left((x-L)\overline{y_{x}^{n}}\right)_{x}dx\right\}$$

$$+2\alpha_{1}\lambda_{n}^{-\ell}\Re\left\{\int_{0}^{L_{0}}\left(h_{1}^{n}\right)_{x}\left((x-L)\overline{u_{x}^{n}}\right)_{x}dx\right\}+2\alpha_{2}\lambda_{n}^{-\ell}\Re\left\{\int_{L_{0}}^{L}\left(h_{2}^{n}\right)_{x}\left((x-L)\overline{y_{x}^{n}}\right)_{x}dx\right\}=o\left(\lambda_{n}^{1-\ell}\right).$$

$$(4.65)$$

On the other hand, using Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, (4.18), (4.21) and (4.53), we obtain

$$2\gamma \Re \left\{ \int_0^{L_0} \theta_x^n \left( \overline{u_x^n} + (x - L) \,\overline{u_{xx}^n} \right) \, dx \right\} = o\left(\lambda_n^{-\ell}\right). \tag{4.66}$$

Substituting (4.64)-(4.66) in (4.63), we get (4.60).

Step 4. In this step, we will prove (4.51)-(4.52). First, adding (4.54) and (4.60), we find that

$$2\rho_{1}\lambda_{n}^{2}\int_{0}^{L_{0}}|u^{n}|^{2} dx + 2\beta_{1}\int_{0}^{L_{0}}|u_{xx}^{n}|^{2} dx + 2\rho_{2}\lambda_{n}^{2}\int_{L_{0}}^{L}|y^{n}|^{2} dx + 2\beta_{2}\int_{L_{0}}^{L}|y_{xx}^{n}|^{2} dx + \lambda_{n}^{2}(L-L_{0})\left[(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2})|u_{x}^{n}(L_{0})|^{2} + (\rho_{1}-\rho_{2})|u^{n}(L_{0})|^{2}\right] = o\left(\lambda_{n}^{1-\ell}\right).$$

$$(4.67)$$

We distinguish two cases:

**Case 1.** If  $\alpha_1 \ge \alpha_2$  and  $\rho_1 \ge \rho_2$ , then substituting (4.21) in (4.67), one derives

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_n^2 \int_0^{L_0} |u^n|^2 dx = o\left(\lambda_n^{1-\ell}\right), \quad \lambda_n^2 \int_{L_0}^{L} |y^n|^2 dx = o\left(\lambda_n^{1-\ell}\right), \\ \int_{L_0}^{L} |y_{xx}^n|^2 dx = o\left(\lambda_n^{1-\ell}\right), \quad \lambda_n^2 |u_x^n(L_0)|^2 = o\left(\lambda_n^{1-\ell}\right), \quad \lambda_n^2 |u^n(L_0)|^2 = o\left(\lambda_n^{1-\ell}\right). \end{cases}$$
(4.68)

Substituting (4.21) and (4.68) in (4.54), we get

$$\alpha_1 \lambda_n^2 \int_0^{L_0} |u_x^n|^2 \, dx + \alpha_2 \lambda_n^2 \int_{L_0}^L |y_x^n|^2 \, dx = o\left(\lambda_n^{1-\ell}\right).$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\lambda_n^2 \int_0^{L_0} |u_x^n|^2 \, dx = o\left(\lambda_n^{1-\ell}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_n^2 \int_{L_0}^L |y_x^n|^2 \, dx = o\left(\lambda_n^{1-\ell}\right). \tag{4.69}$$

Thus, from (4.13), (4.68), (4.69), and the fact that  $g = (g_1, g_2) \to 0$  in  $\mathbb{W}_1$ , we get (4.51)-(4.52).

Case 2. If  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$  or  $\rho_1 < \rho_2$ , then substituting (4.21) and (4.53) in (4.67), we infer

$$\lambda_n^2 \int_{L_0}^L |y^n|^2 \, dx + 2\beta_2 \int_{L_0}^L |y_{xx}^n|^2 \, dx = o\left(\lambda_n^{2-\ell}\right)$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\lambda_n^2 \int_{L_0}^L |y^n|^2 \, dx = o\left(\lambda_n^{2-\ell}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{L_0}^L |y_{xx}^n|^2 \, dx = o\left(\lambda_n^{2-\ell}\right). \tag{4.70}$$

Inserting (4.21), (4.70), and (4.53) in (4.54), we get

$$\lambda_n^2 \int_{L_0}^L |y_x^n|^2 \, dx = o\left(\lambda_n^{2-\ell}\right). \tag{4.71}$$

Thus from (4.13), (4.53), (4.70), (4.71), and the fact that  $g^n = (g_1^n, g_2^n) \to 0$  in  $\mathbb{W}_1$ , we get (4.51)-(4.52). The proof is thus complete. 

**Proof of Theorem 4.1.** When  $\alpha_1 \ge \alpha_2$  and  $\rho_1 \ge \rho_2$ , we choose  $\ell = 1$ , then from Lemmas 4.4, 4.5, and 4.11, we get  $\|\Phi^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = o(1)$  which contradicts (4.4). This implies that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \left\| (i\lambda I - \mathcal{A})^{-1} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})} < +\infty$$

The result follows from Theorem A.4.

**Proof of Theorem 4.2.** When  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$  or  $\rho_1 < \rho_2$ , we choose  $\ell = 2$ , then from Lemmas 4.4, 4.5, and 4.11, we get  $\|\Phi^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = o(1)$  which contradicts (4.4). Hence

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \left\| (i\lambda I - \mathcal{A})^{-1} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})} < +\infty.$$

The result follows from Theorem A.4.

#### CONCLUSION AND OPEN PROBLEMS

4.1. Conclusion. In this paper, we investigate the stability of a transmission Rayleigh beam with heat conduction. A polynomial energy decay rate has been obtained which depends on the physical constant. We obtain the following result:

A polynomial energy decay rate of type t<sup>-2</sup> if ρ<sub>1</sub> ≥ ρ<sub>2</sub> and α<sub>1</sub> ≥ α<sub>2</sub>.
A polynomial energy decay rate of type t<sup>-1</sup> if ρ<sub>1</sub> < ρ<sub>2</sub> or α<sub>1</sub> < α<sub>2</sub>.

#### 4.2. **Open Problems.** In this part, we present some open problems:

(OP1) The optimality of the polynomial decay rate of the System (1.1)-(1.12). But, we conjecture that the polynomial energy decay rate obtained in Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 is optimal. The idea of the proof is to find a sequence  $(\lambda_n)_n \subset \mathbb{R}^*_+$  with  $|\lambda_n| \to +\infty$  and a sequence of vectors  $(U_n)_n \subseteq D(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $(i\lambda_n - \mathcal{A})U_n = F_n$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{H}$  and

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \lambda_n^{-2+\varepsilon} \|U_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \infty$$

(see for example Theorem 3.1 in [51], Theorem 5.1 in [3] and [22]). Depending on the boundary conditions and the transmission conditions, this approach and the construction of the vector  $(U_n)$  are not feasible and the question is still an open problem.

(OP2) What happened if we consider a heat conduction with memory, where the hereditary heat conduction is due to Coleman-Gurtin law or Gurtin-Pipkin law? (See for instance [2, 22, 55, 24])

#### APPENDIX A. NOTIONS OF STABILITY AND THEOREMS USED

We introduce here the notions of stability that we encounter in this work.

**Definition A.1.** Assume that A is the generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions  $(e^{tA})_{t\geq 0}$  on a Hilbert space H. The  $C_0$ -semigroup  $(e^{tA})_{t\geq 0}$  is said to be

1. strongly stable if

$$\lim_{t \to \pm\infty} \|e^{tA}x_0\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0, \quad \forall \ x_0 \in H;$$

3. polynomially stable if there exist two positive constants C and  $\alpha$  such that

$$||e^{tA}x_0||_H \le Ct^{-\alpha}||Ax_0||_H, \quad \forall t > 0, \ \forall x_0 \in D(A).$$

We now look for necessary conditions to show the strong stability of the  $C_0$ -semigroup  $(e^{t\mathcal{A}})_{t\geq 0}$ . We will rely on the following result obtained by Arendt and Batty in [6].

**Theorem A.2** (Arendt and Batty in [6]). Assume that A is the generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions  $(e^{tA})_{t\geq 0}$  on a Hilbert space H. If

1. A has no pure imaginary eigenvalues, 2.  $\sigma(A) \cap i\mathbb{R}$  is countable, where  $\sigma(A)$  denotes the spectrum of A, then the  $C_0$ -semigroup  $(e^{tA})_{t>0}$  is strongly stable.

**Corollary A.3.** If the resolvent  $(I - A)^{-1}$  of A is compact, then the spectrum of A only consists of eigenvalues of A (see Theorem 6.29 in [30]). Thus, the state of Theorem A.2 lessens to A has no pure imaginary eigenvalues.

For necessary conditions to show the polynomial stability of the  $C_0$ -semigroup  $(e^{tA})_{t\geq 0}$ , we will rely on the frequency domain approach method that has been obtained by Batty in [18], Borichev and Tomilov in [20], Liu and Rao in [35].

**Theorem A.4** (Batty in [18], Borichev and Tomilov in [20], Liu and Rao in [35]). Assume that A is the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup of contractions  $(e^{tA})_{t\geq 0}$  on H. If  $\sigma(A) \cap i\mathbb{R} = \emptyset$ , then for a fixed  $\ell > 0$  the following conditions are equivalent

1. 
$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{|\lambda|^{\ell}} \left\| (i\lambda I - A)^{-1} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} < +\infty,$$
  
2. 
$$\|e^{tA}x_0\|_H \le \frac{C}{t^{\frac{1}{\ell}}} \|x_0\|_{D(\mathcal{A})} \quad \forall \ t > 0, \ x_0 \in D(A), \ for \ some \ C > 0.$$

We will recall two forms of Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (see [36]) which will be used in this work.

#### Theorem A.5.

1. There are two positive constants  $K_6$  and  $K_7$  such that, for any  $\zeta \in H^2(0, L_0)$ , we have

$$\|\zeta_x\|_{L^2(0,L_0)} \le K_6 \|\zeta_{xx}\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\zeta\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + K_7 \|\zeta\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}.$$
(A.1)

2. There are two positive constants  $K_8$  and  $K_9$  such that, for any  $\psi \in H^1(0, L_0)$ , we have

$$\|\psi\|_{\infty} \le K_8 \, \|\psi_x\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \, \|\psi\|_{L^2(0,L_0)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + K_9 \, \|\psi\|_{L^2(0,L_0)} \,. \tag{A.2}$$

We will recall Young inequality and we will prove some inequalities that will be used in this work.

#### Lemma A.6.

1. For all positive numbers p and q, the Young inequality is given by

$$p q \le \frac{p^2}{2} + \frac{q^2}{2}.$$
 (A.3)

2. For all  $0 < m_1 < 1$  and for all positive numbers a and b, we have

$$ab \le m_1 a^2 + \frac{b^2}{4m_1}.$$
 (A.4)

3. For all  $0 < m_1 < 1$  and for all positive numbers a, b, and c, we have

$$a b c \le (a^2 + b^4) m_1 + \frac{c^4}{64m_1^3}.$$
 (A.5)

*Proof.* Firstly, taking  $p = \sqrt{2m_1}a$  and  $q = \frac{b}{\sqrt{2m_1}}$  in the young inequality (A.3), we get (A.4). Secondly, for all  $0 < m_1 < 1$  and for all positive numbers a, b, and c, using (A.4), we get

$$a \, b \, c \le m_1 a^2 + b^2 \frac{c^2}{4m_1} \le m_1 a^2 + m_1 b^4 + \frac{c^4}{64m_1^3} \le \left(a^2 + b^4\right) m_1 + \frac{c^4}{64m_1^3},$$

hence, we get (A.5).

We will recall the relations between p norms on  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

**Lemma A.7.** For all  $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , we have

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} |a_j|\right)^2 \le m \sum_{j=1}^{m} |a_j|^2 \quad and \quad \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} |a_j|\right)^4 \le m^3 \sum_{j=1}^{m} |a_j|^4.$$
(A.6)

#### References

- F. Abdallah, M. Ghader, and A. Wehbe. Stability results of a distributed problem involving Bresse system with history and/or Cattaneo law under fully Dirichlet or mixed boundary conditions. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 41(5):1876–1907, 2018.
- M. Akil. Stability of piezoelectric beam with magnetic effect under (Coleman or Pipkin)-Gurtin thermal law. Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 73(6):Paper No. 236, 31, 2022.
- [3] M. Akil, H. Badawi, S. Nicaise, and A. Wehbe. Stability results of coupled wave models with locally memory in a past history framework via nonsmooth coefficients on the interface. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 44(8):6950–6981, 2021.
- [4] K. Ammari and M. Choulli. Logarithmic stability in determining two coefficients in a dissipative wave equation. Extensions to clamped Euler-Bernoulli beam and heat equations. J. Differential Equations, 259(7):3344–3365, 2015.
- [5] K. Ammari, M. Choulli, and F. Triki. Determining the potential in a wave equation without a geometric condition. Extension to the heat equation. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 144(10):4381–4392, 2016.
- [6] W. Arendt and C. J. K. Batty. Tauberian theorems and stability of one-parameter semigroups. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 306(2):837–852, 1988.
- [7] G. Avalos and I. Lasiecka. Exponential stability of a thermoelastic system without mechanical dissipation. volume 28, pages 1–28 (1997). 1996. Dedicated to the memory of Pierre Grisvard.
- [8] G. Avalos and I. Lasiecka. Exponential stability of a thermoelastic system with free boundary conditions without mechanical dissipation. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 29(1):155–182, 1998.
- [9] G. Avalos and I. Lasiecka. Exponential stability of an uncontrolled thermoelastic system with varying boundary conditions. Appl. Anal., 68(1-2):31–49, 1998.
- [10] G. Avalos and I. Lasiecka. Exact-approximate boundary controllability of thermoelastic systems under free boundary conditions. In Control of distributed parameter and stochastic systems (Hangzhou, 1998), pages 3–11. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Boston, MA, 1999.
- G. Avalos and I. Lasiecka. Boundary controllability of thermoelastic plates via the free boundary conditions. SIAM J. Control Optim., 38(2):337–383, 2000.
- [12] G. Avalos and I. Lasiecka. Exact-approximate boundary reachability of thermoelastic plates under variable thermal coupling. *Inverse Problems*, 16(4):979–996, 2000.

- [13] G. Avalos and I. Lasiecka. The null controllability of thermoelastic plates and singularity of the associated minimal energy function. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 294(1):34–61, 2004.
- [14] G. Avalos, I. Lasiecka, and R. Rebarber. Lack of time-delay robustness for stabilization of a structural acoustics model. SIAM J. Control Optim., 37(5):1394–1418, 1999.
- [15] G. Avalos, I. Lasiecka, and R. Triggiani. Uniform stability of nonlinear thermoelastic plates with free boundary conditions. In Optimal control of partial differential equations (Chemnitz, 1998), volume 133 of Internat. Ser. Numer. Math., pages 13–32. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1999.
- [16] G. Avalos, I. Lasiecka, and R. Triggiani. Heat-wave interaction in 2–3 dimensions: optimal rational decay rate. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 437(2):782–815, 2016.
- [17] M. Bassam, D. Mercier, and A. Wehbe. Optimal energy decay rate of Rayleigh beam equation with only one boundary control force. Evol. Equ. Control Theory, 4(1):21–38, 2015.
- [18] C. J. K. Batty and T. Duyckaerts. Non-uniform stability for bounded semi-groups on Banach spaces. Journal of Evolution Equations, 8(4):765–780, Oct. 2008.
- [19] A. Ben Aissa. Well-posedness and direct internal stability of coupled non-degenrate Kirchhoff system via heat conduction. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S, 15(5):983–993, 2022.
- [20] A. Borichev and Y. Tomilov. Optimal polynomial decay of functions and operator semigroups. Math. Ann., 347(2):455–478, 2010.
- [21] H. Brezis. Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations. Springer New York, 2010.
- [22] F. Dell'Oro. On the stability of Bresse and Timoshenko systems with hyperbolic heat conduction. J. Differential Equations, 281:148–198, 2021.
- [23] F. Dell'Oro, M. A. Jorge Silva, and S. B. Pinheiro. Exponential stability of Timoshenko-Gurtin-Pipkin systems with full thermal coupling. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S*, 15(8):2189–2207, 2022.
- [24] F. Dell'Oro, L. Paunonen, and D. Seifert. Optimal decay for a wave-heat system with Coleman-Gurtin thermal law. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 518(2):Paper No. 126706, 28, 2023.
- [25] T. EL Arwadi, M. I. M. Copetti, and W. Youssef. On the theoretical and numerical stability of the thermoviscoelastic Bresse system. ZAMM Z. Angew. Math. Mech., 99(10):e201800207, 20, 2019.
- [26] B. Feng, W. Youssef, and T. El Arwadi. Polynomial and exponential decay rates of a laminated beam system with thermodiffusion effects. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 517(2):Paper No. 126633, 37, 2023.
- [27] H. D. Fernández Sare, Z. Liu, and R. Racke. Stability of abstract thermoelastic systems with inertial terms. J. Differential Equations, 267(12):7085–7134, 2019.
- [28] A. Guesmia. The effect of the heat conduction of types I and III on the decay rate of the Bresse system via the vertical displacement. Appl. Anal., 101(7):2446–2471, 2022.
- [29] A. Guesmia. Stability and instability results for Cauchy laminated Timoshenko-type systems with interfacial slip and a heat conduction of Gurtin-Pipkin's law. Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 73(1):Paper No. 5, 25, 2022.
- [30] T. Kato. Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1995.
- [31] J. Lagnese and J.-L. Lions. Modelling analysis and control of thin plates, volume 6 of Recherches en Mathématiques Appliquées [Research in Applied Mathematics]. Masson, Paris, 1988.
- [32] J. E. Lagnese. Boundary stabilization of thin plates, volume 10 of SIAM Studies in Applied Mathematics. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1989.
- [33] J. E. Lagnese. Uniform stabilization of a thin elastic plate by nonlinear boundary feedback. In Advances in computing and control (Baton Rouge, LA, 1988), volume 130 of Lect. Notes Control Inf. Sci., pages 305–317. Springer, Berlin, 1989.
- [34] J. E. Lagnese. Recent progress in exact boundary controllability and uniform stabilizability of thin beams and plates. In Distributed parameter control systems (Minneapolis, MN, 1989), volume 128 of Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., pages 61–111. Dekker, New York, 1991.
- [35] Z. Liu and B. Rao. Characterization of polynomial decay rate for the solution of linear evolution equation. Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 56(4):630-644, 2005.
- [36] Z. Liu and S. Zheng. Semigroups associated with dissipative systems, volume 398 of Chapman & Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 1999.
- [37] D. Mercier, S. Nicaise, M. A. Sammoury, and A. Wehbe. Optimal energy decay rate for Rayleigh beam equation with only one dynamic boundary control. *Bol. Soc. Parana. Mat.* (3), 35(3):131–172, 2017.
- [38] N. Mori and R. Racke. Global well-posedness and polynomial decay for a nonlinear Timoshenko-Cattaneo system under minimal Sobolev regularity. Nonlinear Anal., 173:164–179, 2018.
- [39] J. E. Muñoz Rivera and R. Racke. Magneto-thermo-elasticity—large-time behavior for linear systems. Adv. Differential Equations, 6(3):359–384, 2001.
- [40] J. E. Muñoz Rivera and R. Racke. Transmission problems in (thermo)viscoelasticity with Kelvin-Voigt damping: nonexponential, strong, and polynomial stability. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 49(5):3741–3765, 2017.
- [41] J. E. Muñoz Rivera, R. Racke, M. Sepúlveda, and O. Vera Villagrán. On exponential stability for thermoelastic plates: comparison and singular limits. Appl. Math. Optim., 84(1):1045–1081, 2021.
- [42] N. Najdi and A. Wehbe. Weakly locally thermal stabilization of Bresse systems. *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, pages No. 182, 19, 2014.
- [43] S. Nicaise, J. Valein, and E. Fridman. Stability of the heat and of the wave equations with boundary time-varying delays. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S, 2(3):559–581, 2009.
- [44] A. Pazy. Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations, volume 44 of Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.

- [45] R. Quintanilla, R. Racke, and Y. Ueda. Decay for thermoelastic Green-Lindsay plates in bounded and unbounded domains. Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., 22(1):167–191, 2023.
- [46] B. Rao. A compact perturbation method for the boundary stabilization of the Rayleigh beam equation. Appl. Math. Optim., 33(3):253–264, 1996.
- [47] J. E. M. Rivera and H. P. Oquendo. The transmission problem for thermoelastic beams. Journal of Thermal Stresses, 24(12):1137–1158, 2001.
- [48] J. E. M. RIVERA and H. P. OQUENDO. A transmission problem for thermoelastic plates. Quarterly of Applied Mathematics, 62(2):273–293, 2004.
- [49] J.-M. Wang and M. Krstic. Stability of an interconnected system of Euler-Bernoulli beam and heat equation with boundary coupling. ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var., 21(4):1029–1052, 2015.
- [50] A. Wehbe. Optimal energy decay rate for Rayleigh beam equation with dynamical boundary controls. Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, 13(3):385–400, 2006.
- [51] A. Wehbe, I. Issa, and M. Akil. Stability results of an elastic/viscoelastic transmission problem of locally coupled waves with non smooth coefficients. Acta Appl. Math., 171:Paper No. 23, 46, 2021.
- [52] A. Youkana, S. A. Messaoudi, and A. Guesmia. A general decay and optimal decay result in a heat system with a viscoelastic term. Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B (Engl. Ed.), 39(2):618–626, 2019.
- [53] W. Youssef. Stabilization for the transmission problem of the Timoshenko system in thermoelasticity with two concentrated masses. Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 43(7):3965–3981, 2020.
- [54] W. Youssef. Asymptotic behavior of the transmission problem of the Bresse beam in thermoelasticity. Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 73(4):Paper No. 148, 26, 2022.
- [55] Q. Zhang. Stability analysis of an interactive system of wave equation and heat equation with memory. Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 65(5):905–923, 2014.
- [56] Q. Zhang, J.-M. Wang, and B.-Z. Guo. Stabilization of the Euler-Bernoulli equation via boundary connection with heat equation. Math. Control Signals Systems, 26(1):77–118, 2014.