HAL
open science

# Science and Nescience: Narratology Stripped Bare, The Case of the Narrator

Sylvie Patron

## To cite this version:

Sylvie Patron. Science and Nescience: Narratology Stripped Bare, The Case of the Narrator. 2023, https://shc.stanford.edu/arcade/interventions/science-and-nescience-narratology-stripped-bare-case-narrator. hal-04342955

**HAL Id: hal-04342955**

**https://hal.science/hal-04342955**

Submitted on 13 Dec 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Intervention

narrative | post-narrative theory | narrator | fictional narratives | autoethnography

By Sylvie Patron
Issue on 2020

This article, translated from the first issue devoted to the critical arguments of Sylvie Patron's forthcoming monograph *Narrative Nescience* (2020) (2018), draws on Jean-Luc Cornille's and Sylvie Patron's (2019) *Théorie du roman. Histoire générale du genre* (Paris: Classiques Garnier, 2019).

Narratology (literally: the science of narration) is usually regarded as one of the most developed sectors of literary theory. It has accumulated for scholars its tools and methodology, its precise categories of analysis, the microlanguage specific of its terminology—even when it comes to theorizing or unfolding theory genres that do not a priori admits its field of application.

But there is another discourse on narrative, which, accordingly to which the Dictionary of theorizing, "there is now controversy on almost everything" [1] would be inclined to adopt this second discourse instead and I myself contributed to the controversy in my work on the concept of the narrator—

In this article, I would like to return to the close association, which goes as far as identification, between narratology and the theory of the existence of a narrator in all narratives. More specifically, this theory postulates the existence of a narrator who merges with the author in all non-fictional narratives (historical or sociologic, biographies, etc) and who is different from the author and a fictional narrator different from the author in all fictional narratives (novels, short stories, tales, etc.) with rare exceptions. I have demonstrated elsewhere (and I will focus exclusively on the narrator of the theory that contested fictional narrators).

In the first part, I will present the essential aspects of the association between narratology and the post-narrator theory of fictional narratives. In the second part I will make a few remarks on the content of the theory of the narrator, in the third part I will discuss the theory of the narrator, it seems to me, have a more general relevance for the serious sectors of literary theory.

## 1. Narratology and the Pan-Narrator Theory of Fictional Narratives

Historic Bachus first already suggested, in some programmatic remarks, that the scientific study of literature belonging to the structuralist paradigm, could and should only be concerned with the narrative, explicitly identified from the author.[2] But as Gerard Genette, a disciple of Jean-Paul Sartre, who laid the foundations of the association tending to become ever closer between narratology and the pan-narrator theory of fictional narratives.

In "Discours du récit: Essai de méthode" (Figures III, 1972 (English-Narrative Discourse an Essay in Method, Ithaca, Cornell, 1980), Genette begins by technically redefining the terms story (narrative), histoire (story, the essential content of the narratival and narration (narrating or narration, the act that produces the narrative, the narratival in a narratival situation) by these. Focuses of narrative discourse with this fact, it, essentially, a study of the relationships between narrative and story, narrative and narration, and story and narration. Genette, in the wake of Sartre, also establishes a distinction between story and narratival.[3] Of the difference between non-fictional and fictional narratives, he first accounts in a rather explanatory manner, saying that in one case story and narrating are real and can be attested by documents outside the narrative, while, in the other, story and the narratival (Genette's word is fictio, "fictive," used in the sense of "fictional") and without external attestation.[4] Is thus the fictional narrative, which, Genette specifies, "does not refer to any reality other than narrative" and that supposedly gives birth to it.[5] This difference is occasionally overshadowed in the pages of the chapter entitled Voix ("Voice"), which specifically distinguishes the narrator of fictional narratives as being himself a fictive "narrator" and assumed directly by the author (in fictional narratives, with a slight difference: "where the role of the narrator is itself fictive, even if assumed directly by the author"—I think fabs under another form of explanation of fiction.

In the chapter "Voice," Genette posits that all narratives can be divided into two categories that are mutually and exclusively exhaustive: heterodiegetic narratives, whose narrator is absent from the story he tells, and homodiegetic narratives, whose narrator is present as a character in the story he tells.[6] In heterodiegetic narratives, the narrator is "absent from the story he tells," "he does not even be mentioned" and "Old Mortality" is the narrator of "Old Mortality"—with an entirely fictional character, and, in the case of fictional narratives, the fictional narrator, the name of the author, and on the other hand, the titles of homodiegetic narratives. The fact that the narrators of the homodiegetic narratives are derived from the names of the authors of the narratives in question contradicts an earlier passage in the chapter, which, in the context of a discussion of the narrator of fictional narratives, Genette seems to propose of being fictional in the case, among and ultimately for the same reasons, of the narrator of first person fictional narratives. "[...] the references in Tristram Shandy to the situation of writing speak to the Fictional act of Tristram and not the (real) one of Sterne," but, Genette, in a more subtle and also more radical way, the narrator of Pico Gentel "is not Balzac, even if here and there he expresses the opinions of Balzac, and even if he is occasionally called Balzac, he is a fictional character, lovingly and his religious and political ideas fictional ideas to Balzac." Finally, in the chapter Voix, Genette puts the name of "Homer" in quotation marks ("Homer of the Odyssey is told by Homer"), meaning the fictional narrator that tells the Odysseyhom which fictional world.

The narrator goes hand in hand with the narrative, at the other pole of the diagram of the narrative communication. "Like the narrative, the narrator is one of the elements of narrative discourse, and is necessarily located at the same degree level, that is, he does not merge a priori with the reader (even an implicit reader) and he does not the narrator necessarily merged with the author."[7]

Following Genette, all works claiming to belong to narratology adopted the main articles of faith of the pan-narrator theory of fictional narrators, of which they contributed to the diffusion, and partially floating in Genette's Fictio, "fictional," "Homer" with or without quotation marks, etc.) The following quotation, taken from the narratologist Elisabeth Rimmon-Kenan, can be considered the clearest and most explicit formulation of the pan-narrator theory of fictional narratives:

> Texts claim to be transcribed (or built-up) not by the author but by a narrator, and the author is the agent responsible for the production of the narrative and for its communication. The empirical process of transmission, however, is less relevant to the poetics of narrative fiction than its counterpart within the text. Within the text, communication involves a narrator transmitting a narrative to a fictional narratee.[8]

Genette himself considerably strengthened the association between narratology and the pan-narrator theory of fictional narrators, to the point of affirming their inseparability in the same work:

## 2. Some Remarks on the Context of the Emergence and Establishment of the Pan-Narrator Theory of Fictional Narratives

It may have been noted, in the brief presentation above, the scarcity of the attempts to justify, to demonstrate, the principle of the pan-narrator These are hitoriq obvious, of which give the impression that they are natural. However, they are not. There is nothing natural in using the term "narrator" both for the character, that is, the fictional person, man or woman, who tells or (only narrates his or her story, possibly to one or more other characters, in first-person fictional narratives this is the narrator-character, the narrator-character, the narrator-character,

[25] Genette, *Narrative Discourse*, 30.

[26] Genette, *Narrative Discourse*, 32.

[27] Genette, *Narrative Discourse*, 244.

[28] Genette, *Narrative Discourse*, 244 (I change "narrating" to "narrative," French, *récit*).

[29] Genette, *Narrative Discourse Revisited*, 99–100.

[30] See Kuroda, *Toward a Poetic Theory*, which brings together previously scattered articles published between 1973 and 1987.

[31] Genette, "Preface," xv–xvi.

[32] Genette, *Fiction and Diction*, 33.The change from *lui-même*("himself") to "herself", etc. is due to the translator.

[33] Genette, *Fiction and Diction*, 34.

[34] Genette, *Fiction and Diction*, 70–71.

[35] See Andersson and Sandberg, "Sameness versus Difference," esp. 246, 251–52, and Andersson, Klingberg and Sandberg, "Introduction: Sameness and Difference," esp. 13.

[36] Auroux, "L'histoire de la linguistique," 9 (my translation).

## REFERENCES


Andersson, Greger, Klingberg, Per, and Sandberg, Tommy. "Introduction: Sameness and Difference in Narratology." *Frontiers of Narrative Studies* 5.1 (2019): 11–16.

Andersson, Greger, and Sandberg, Tommy. "Sameness versus Difference in Narratology: Two Approaches to Narrative Fiction." *Narrative* 26.3 (2018): 241–61.

Auroux, Sylvain. "L'histoire de la linguistique." *Langages* 48, *Histoire de la linguistique française*(1980): 7–15.

Banfield, Ann. *Unspeakable Sentences: Narration and Representation in the Language of Fiction*. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul, 1982, reprint. Routledge Revivals, 2014.

Banfield, Ann. *Describing the Unobserved and Other Essays: Unspeakable Sentences after "Unspeakable Sentences*." Edited by by Sylvie Patron. Newcastle upon Tyne: Cambridge Scholars Publishing, 2019.

Barthes, Roland. "Introduction à l'analyse structurale des récits." In *L'Analyse structurale du récit (Communications 8)*, edited by Roland Barthes, 7–33. 2ND ed. Paris: Le Seuil, "Points," 1981.

Barthes, Roland. "An Introduction to the Structural Analysis of Narrative." Translated by Lionel Duisit. *New Literary History* 6.2 (1975): 237–72.

Barthes, Roland, ed. *L'Analyse structurale du récit (Communications 8)*. Paris, 1966: reprint. Le Seuil, "Points," 1981.

Chiss, Jean-Louis. "Les linguistiques de la langue et du discours face à la littérature: Saussure et l'alternative de la théorie du langage." *Langages* 159, *Linguistique et poétique du discours. À partir de Saussure* (2005): 39–55, online: http://www.persee.fr/web/revues/home/prescript/article/lgge_0458-726x_2005_num_39_159_2651.

Genette, Gérard. "Discours du récit. Essai de méthode." In *Figures III*, 65–282. Paris: Le Seuil, 1972.

Genette, Gérard. *Narrative Discourse: An Essay in Method*. Translated by Jane E. Lewin. Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1980.

Genette, Gérard. *Nouveau discours du récit*. Paris: Le Seuil, 1983.

Genette, Gérard, "Préface," in Käte Hamburger, *Logique des genres littéraires*, translated by Pierre Cadiot, 7–16. Paris: Le Seuil, 1986.

Genette, Gérard. *Narrative Discourse Revisited*. Translated by Jane E. Lewin. Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1988.

Genette, Gérard. *Fiction et diction*. Paris: Le Seuil, 1991.

Genette, Gérard. "Preface." Translated by Dorrit Cohn. In Käte Hamburger, *The Logic of Literature*, translated by Marilyn J Rose, vii–xix. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1993.

Genette, Gérard (1991). *Fiction et diction*. Paris: Le Seuil.

Genette, Gérard. *Fiction and Diction*. Translated by Catherine Porter. Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1993.

Hamburger, Käte. *Die Logik der Dichtung*. Stuttgart: Ernst Klett, 1957, 2ND revised edition, 1968.

Hühn, Peter. "Transgeneric Narratology: Applications to Lyric Poetry." *The Dynamics of Narrative Form: Studies in Anglo-American Narratology*, edited by John Pier, 139–58. Berlin: De Gruyter, 2004.

Kayser, Wolfgang, "Wer erzählt den Roman?" *Die Neue Rundschau* 68 (1957): 444–59. Reprint. in *Texte zur Theorie der Autorschaft*, edited by Fotis Jannidis, Gerhard Lauer, Matias Martínez, and Simone Winko, 124–37. Stuttgart: Reclam, 2000.

Kuroda, S.-Y. *Toward a Poetic Theory of Narration: Essays of S.-Y. Kuroda*. Edited by Sylvie Patron. Berlin: De Gruyter, 2014.

Patron, Sylvie. *Le Narrateur. Introduction à la théorie narrative*, Paris: Armand Colin, 2009, reprint. as *Le Narrateur. Un problème de théorie narrative*, Limoges: Lambert-Lucas, 2016.

Patron, Sylvie. *La Mort du narrateur et autres essais*. Limoges, Lambert-Lucas, 2015.

Patron, Sylvie. *The Death of the Narrator and Other Essays*. Trier: Wissenschaftlicher Verlag Trier, 2019.

Patron, Sylvie. *The Narrator: A Problem in Narrative Theory*. Translated by Catherine Porter. Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press, 2023.

Patron, Sylvie, ed. *Optional-Narrator Theory: Principles, Perspectives, Proposals*. Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press, 2021.

Rimmon-Kenan, Shlomith. *Narrative Fiction: Contemporary Poetic*. London: Methuen, 1983, reprint. Routledge, "New Accents," 2002.

Searle, John R. "The Logical Status of Fictional Discourse." In *Speech Acts: An Essay in the Philosophy of Language*, 58–75. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1985.

Spielhagen, Friedrich. "Der Ich-Roman." In *Beiträge zur Theorie and Technik des Romans*, Leipzig: Staackmann, 1883. Reprint. in *Zur Poetik des Romans*, edited by Volker Klotz, 66–161. Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft.

Todorov, Tzvetan. "Les categories du récit littéraire." In *L'Analyse structurale du récit (Communications 8)*, edited by Roland Barthes, 131–57. 2ND ed. Paris: Le Seuil, "Points," 1981.

Todorov, Tzvetan. "Categories of the Literary Narrative." In *Film Reader 2: Narrative Structures; Industry, Technology, Ideology*, edited by Patricia Erens and Bill Horrigan, 19–37. Evanston: Northwestern University, 1977.



Stanford Humanities Today
Discovering Humanities Research at Stanford
Visit today

Stanford Humanities Center
Advancing Research in the Humanities
Visit SHC

CESTA
Humanities Research for a Digital Future
Visit CESTA





Stanford strives to post only content for which we have licensed permission or that is otherwise permitted by copyright law. If you have a concern that your copyrighted material is posted here without your permission, please contact us and we will work with you to resolve your concern.

© 2023 Arcade bloggers retain copyright of their own posts, which are made available to the public under a Creative Commons license, unless stated otherwise. If any Arcade blogger elects a different license, the blogger's license takes precedence.

Arcade: A Digital Salon by https://shc.stanford.edu/arcade is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 3.0 United States License.

Based on a work at shc.stanford.edu/arcade.

Stanford Home  Maps & Directions  Search Stanford  Emergency Info

Terms of Use  Privacy  Copyright  Trademarks  Non-Discrimination  Accessibility

©Copyright Stanford University. Stanford, California 94305