



HAL
open science

Structural responses of non-targeted bacterial and hppd communities to the herbicide tembotrione in soil

Hugo Terol, Clémence Thiour-Mauprivez, Marion Devers, Fabrice Martin-Laurent, Marcelino Suzuki, Christophe Calvayrac, Lise Barthelmebs

► To cite this version:

Hugo Terol, Clémence Thiour-Mauprivez, Marion Devers, Fabrice Martin-Laurent, Marcelino Suzuki, et al.. Structural responses of non-targeted bacterial and hppd communities to the herbicide tembotrione in soil. *Science of the Total Environment*, 2024, 908, pp.168198. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.168198 . hal-04342889

HAL Id: hal-04342889

<https://hal.science/hal-04342889>

Submitted on 18 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Structural responses of non-targeted bacterial and *hppd* communities to the
2 herbicide tembotrione in soil.

3

4 Hugo Terol^{1,2}, Clémence Thiour-Mauprivez³, Marion Devers³, Fabrice Martin-Laurent³,
5 Marcelino Suzuki², Christophe Calvayrac^{1,2}, Lise Barthelmebs^{1,2*}

6

7 ¹ *Université de Perpignan Via Domitia, Biocapteurs-Analyse-Environnement, 66860,*
8 *Perpignan, France*

9 ² *Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Laboratoire de Biodiversité et Biotechnologies Microbiennes,*
10 *LBBM, F-66650, Banyuls-sur-Mer, France*

11 ³ *Agroécologie, INRAE, Institut Agro, Univ. Bourgogne, Univ. Bourgogne Franche-Comté,*
12 *F-21000 Dijon, France*

13

14 *Corresponding author: barthelm@univ-perp.fr

15

16 Abstract:

17

18 Tembotrione (TBT) is a β -triketone herbicide targeting the 4-Hydroxyphenylpyruvate
19 dioxygenase enzyme (4-HPPD) of weeds. This molecule can also affect soil microorganisms,
20 either through both direct and indirect toxic effects for microorganisms expressing 4-HPPD, or
21 by promoting tolerant and/or degrading microbial populations. Our study aimed to characterize
22 the impacts of TBT on the diversity of total- and *hppd* (coding for 4-HPPD) -soil bacterial
23 communities. Soil microcosms were treated with the active ingredient TBT at the
24 recommended field dose (100g a.i/ha; D1) or the tenfold dose (D10). Soil samples were
25 collected from 0 to 55 days post-treatment to study: (i) total- and *hppd*-bacterial diversities
26 using 16SrRNA and *hppd* amplicons sequencing, respectively; (ii) TBT dissipation in soil. Both

27 total- and *hppd*-bacterial community composition was not affected by TBT treatments (D1 and
28 D10). However, D10 treatment slightly increased richness and phylogenetic diversity of the
29 total bacterial community while decreasing *hppd* richness. Overall, the highest dose of TBT
30 seemed to promote TBT-tolerant or TBT-degrading bacterial populations and to deplete TBT-
31 sensitive ones. These effects were transient as TBT was rapidly dissipated with a DT_{50} of 7
32 days and 15 days for D1 and D10, respectively. Differential abundance analysis with a
33 Generalized Linear Model allowed the identification of *Sphingomonas*, *Steroidobacter* and
34 *Lysobacter* as genus that were influenced by TBT, and which could be used as a new class
35 of exposure biomarkers.

36

37 Keywords: β -triketone, Microbial ecotoxicology, biomarker, HPPD function

38 **1. Introduction**

39 Soil is one of the world's greatest reservoir of microbial diversity and contains the most diverse
40 and abundant groups of organisms on earth (Hicks et al., 2022). Those soil microorganisms
41 are known to live in hot spots, in close contact with all the organic matter naturally present in,
42 or added to the soil, such as plant protection products (PPPs) which are applied in
43 conventional agriculture to increase crop yields and feed an ever-growing population. The Yin
44 and Yang concept has been proposed in the literature to illustrate the potential effects of PPPs
45 exposure on microbial communities (Karpouzas et al., 2016). On the Yang side of the
46 interaction, PPPs could be used as an energy source by a part of the soil microbial community.
47 Whereas on the Yin side, PPPs could exert toxicity on soil microorganisms. Since the effects
48 of PPPs on microbial communities are also highly dependent on both the physicochemical
49 properties of soils and the active ingredient, as these parameters influence PPPs fate and
50 bioavailability (Griffiths & Philippot, 2013), it seems important to consider the ecosystem where

51 microorganism and PPP interactions take place, as proposed by the microbial ecotoxicology
52 approach (Cébron et al., 2022). Among the studies assessing PPPs effects in microbial
53 communities, approximately 30% and 25% of those published from 2000 to today deal with
54 the glyphosate or atrazine respectively (Pubmed research with the following query: (microbial
55 community) AND (herbicide effects)). Moreover, a meta-analysis carried out at three French
56 ministries' request (Ecological Transition, Agriculture, and Research) and led by INRAE and
57 IFREMER between 2020 and 2022, summarized the knowledge on PPPs impacts on
58 biodiversity and ecosystems (Mamy et al., 2022). The authors highlighted the fact that the
59 main herbicide active ingredients applied in agrosystems were poorly studied, except for
60 glyphosate, considered in more than 100 out of the 579 publications studied in the meta-
61 analysis. This statement was particularly true in the case of recently authorized molecules and
62 was the motivation to the current study.

63 Tembotrione (TBT) is a synthetic organic molecule available as a PPP since 2007 and
64 belonging to the β -triketone herbicide family (Group 27 HRAC classification, 2022). This family
65 also includes sulcotrione and mesotrione, released on the market in 1993 and 2001,
66 respectively. In 2020, 970 tons of β -triketone herbicides were sold in the European Union,
67 representing 0.8 % of the total herbicide sales in this region (ec.europa.eu/eurostat). However,
68 despite this low volume and because of their low recommended field dose of application (RfD)
69 (*i.e.*, 100-200 g a.i. / ha), the area of land on which β -triketones are applied is important,
70 representing 4.85 – 9,7 million of ha cropped with corn (ACTA- Les Instituts Techniques
71 Agricoles, France, 2023).

72

73 The toxicity of β -triketones, including TBT, has been evaluated on different isolated model
74 microorganisms by measuring toxicity endpoints (EC_{50} or MIC), with toxicity values ranging
75 from 10 to 100 mg/L (Dumas et al., 2017; Tawk et al., 2015). As for ecotoxicological effects in
76 soil environment, little or no effects have been observed for sulcotrione or mesotrione applied

77 at the RfD on the abundance, the diversity or the enzymatic activity of soil microbial
78 communities (bacteria, fungi, archaea and photoautotrophs) while applications beyond 5 times
79 the RfD did have impacts, ranging from stimulation of heterotrophic activities to a decrease of
80 cyanobacterial diversity and abundance (Borowik et al., 2017; Crouzet et al., 2010, 2013,
81 2016; Du et al., 2018; Joly et al., 2012, 2013, 2014; Kaczynski et al., 2016; Pose-Juan et al.,
82 2015; Thiour Mauprivez et al., 2021). Nonetheless, to our knowledge, ecotoxicological effects
83 of TBT on soil microbial communities has not been studied yet.

84

85 Recently, new approaches have been proposed to better characterize the effects of pollutants
86 on microbial communities, focusing on the functions they support. Interestingly, in the case of
87 β -triketones, soil bacteria are known to harbor the *hppd* gene, which encodes for 4-
88 Hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase (HPPD, EC 1.11.13.27) an enzyme targeted by β -
89 triketones (Thiour-Mauprivez et al., 2022). In plants, the HPPD enzyme is involved in
90 plastoquinone and α -tocopherol biosynthesis, two essential photosynthesis cofactors (Moran,
91 2005). In bacteria, HPPD allows the production of homogentisate from tyrosine, latter
92 transformed in acetoacetate and fumarate in the associated metabolic pathway.
93 Homogentisate could also lead to the production of a brown pigment called pyomelanin
94 through polymerization and oxidation (Pavan et al., 2020). Noteworthy, little is known about
95 the role of HPPD in soil bacteria. Recently, HPPD activity of three environmental bacterial
96 strains have been evaluated with agronomic doses of β -triketone herbicides (including TBT),
97 establishing the existence of sensitive or tolerant populations to the tested molecules (Singh
98 et al., 2018; Thiour-Mauprivez et al., 2022). These interesting results support the suggestions
99 made by some authors to look for tolerant and/or sensitive populations of "non-target
100 microorganisms" that nonetheless carry the enzyme specifically targeted by PPP active
101 ingredient in the frame of environmental risk assessment (ERA); (Petric et al., 2016; Thiour-
102 Mauprivez et al., 2019).

103 In the current study we evaluated the ecotoxicological impacts of TBT - the most recently
104 authorized β -triketone - on soil bacterial communities. We set up an experimental design
105 where soil microcosms were treated with TBT either at RfD or at 10 times that dose. Treatment
106 with RfD was chosen to assess the impact of TBT on soil microbial communities in a realistic
107 exposure scenario, close to what would happen in treated fields. A tenfold RfD was chosen to
108 assess this impact in a worst-case exposure scenario and to observe effects which couldn't
109 be significant enough at RfD, as it is a common design applied in many ecotoxicological
110 studies of PPPs (Puglisi, 2017). A molecular approach and a high throughput DNA sequencing
111 allowed us to study the structure and the diversity of both the total- and the *hppd*-bacterial
112 community in TBT-treated and control soil microcosms. In addition, to reach European Food
113 Safety Authority (EFSA) goals, willing to integrate new microbial tools for the *a priori* and a
114 *posteriori* ERA, our objective was also to identify microbial groups and bacterial HPPD-
115 containing organisms significantly impacted by TBT applications, which could be used as new
116 biomarkers sensitive to TBT. The exposure scenario was also evaluated by following the
117 dissipation of the active ingredient in soil microcosms over time.

118 **2. Materials and Methods**

119 **2.1. Chemicals**

120 TBT (≥ 98 % purity, PESTANAL®, weak acid, pKa = 3.2 and MW = 440.8 g/mol) was
121 purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, France. Dichloromethane (DCM), methanol (MeOH),
122 acetonitrile (ACN), and water for pesticide analyses were pesticide analysis grade and
123 purchased from VWR (France). Acetic acid (glacial, HPLC quality) was purchased from Carlo
124 Erba. For all the other analyses, distilled water was used.

125 **2.2. Soil microcosms and experimental design**

126 **2.2.1. Soil sampling**

127 Soil samples were collected from the surface layer (0-20 cm) of an experimental arable field
128 with no previous herbicide treatment, located at the University of Perpignan Via Domitia,
129 France (coordinates: 42°40'55.2"N, 2°53'49.2"E). Soil was sieved to 2 mm, remaining vegetal
130 matter was discarded and soil moisture was measured by weighting soil samples before and
131 after drying at 100°C (6.5 % of dry weight, % dw). Soil samples were stored for one week at
132 4°C in the dark, prior to use. Thiour-Mauprivez and collaborators used soil from the same field
133 in a previous study and characterized soil composition. It is composed of 16.2% clay, 29.1%
134 silt, and 54.7% sand (sandy loam soil). It contains 27.5 g/kg of organic matter, 15.99 g/kg of
135 organic carbon and 1.25 g/kg nitrogen. Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) is of 99 meq/kg,
136 $\text{Ca}^{2+}/\text{CEC}$ of 260.3% and pH of 8.04 (Thiour-Mauprivez et al., 2021).

137 **2.2.2. Microcosm set up**

138 Soil microcosms were made of 20 g of the sampled soil with relative humidity maintained at
139 20 % (% dw) in rectangular, lidded, plastic boxes. The soil underwent a 1-week period of pre-
140 incubation at 21 ± 2 °C in the dark before the microcosm setup. Subsets of 2 g of soil were
141 placed in 50 mL conical polypropylene tubes and were treated with 300 µL of concentrated
142 TBT solubilized in MeOH or with 300 µL of MeOH only for controls (D0, control). The
143 concentration of TBT solutions was chosen to treat soil at one time the RfD (D1, 0.3 µg/g),
144 and ten times the RfD (D10, 3 µg/g) (RfD = 100 g a.i. /ha, (ACTA- Les Instituts Techniques
145 Agricoles, France, 2023)). Tubes were left open for 2 hours under a fume hood to evaporate
146 the MeOH. Then, soil level was completed to reach the appropriate dry soil mass and tubes
147 were shaken by inversion during 5 min to ensure a complete mixture of TBT in soil. Mixed and
148 contaminated soils were then transferred into the microcosm boxes and sterile distilled water

149 was added on the top to reach 20 g of soil at 20% relative humidity (% dw) per microcosm.
150 Microcosms were then lidded and incubated in the dark at 21 ± 2 °C. Sampling by sacrifice
151 was done on the microcosms at 0 (+ 10 min after setup); 3; 7; 14; 25; 40 and 55 days after
152 treatment. Every 2 days, microcosms were ventilated, and the soil moisture was adjusted if
153 needed by addition of distilled water under sterile conditions. Soil microcosms were prepared
154 in triplicates for each treatment and time points (n = 63).

155 **2.3. Microbial community structure**

156 **2.3.1. DNA extraction, purification, and quantification**

157 Soil microbial DNA extraction was performed on 0.250 g of soil immediately after sampling,
158 using the ZymoBIOMICS™ DNA Miniprep Kit (Zymo Research, USA). DNA extracts were
159 stored at -20°C until use. DNA quality was checked by migration of PCR products
160 (amplification of the 16S rRNA gene) on agarose gel electrophoresis. DNA was quantified
161 using the Quant-iT™ PicoGreen® dsDNA Assay Kit P7589 (Invitrogen™, France). A mean of
162 14.5 ± 1.7 µg/g of soil was obtained.

163 **2.3.2. Amplicon sequencing**

164 The diversity of total and *hppd*-containing bacterial communities was monitored by sequencing
165 (Illumina MiSeq paired end sequencing, 2 x 300pb) 16S rRNA and *hppd* amplicons,
166 respectively. *hppd* amplicon libraries were prepared from DNA extracts using a two-step PCR
167 protocol described previously (Thiour-Mauprivez et al., 2020, 2021), with a PCR#1 volume of
168 3 µL instead of 1 µL used as template in PCR#2. 16S rRNA amplicons libraries were prepared
169 from DNA extracts also with a two-step PCR protocol targeting the hypervariable V3-V4 region
170 using the 341F (5- CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG- 3) and 805R (5-
171 GACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC- 3) primer pair (Klindworth et al., 2013). Preparation,

172 verification, and normalization of these libraries was performed by the Bio-Environment
173 platform (University of Perpignan Via Domitia, France). Primer pairs contained, appended to
174 5' end, adaptor sequences followed by 2 or 3 random N bases used as spacers to add diversity
175 in the Illumina flow cell cluster detection. 16S rRNA libraries and *hppd* libraries were pooled
176 with proportion of 2/3 and 1/3 respectively and sequenced in only one Illumina flow cell by the
177 Bio-Environment platform (University of Perpignan Via Domitia, France). All sequences were
178 submitted to NCBI under the BioProject accession number PRJNA1011008.

179 **2.3.3. Bioinformatic analysis of amplicon sequences**

180 Analysis of *hppd* amplicon sequencing data was performed as described previously (Thiour-
181 Mauprivez et al., 2021), with the difference that *hppd* amplicon sequences were clustered at
182 85% amino-acid sequence identity threshold after conversion of DNA sequences into protein
183 sequences (HPPD clusters). Multiple sequence alignment of HPPD sequences identified by
184 differential abundance analysis (see 3.3) and phylogenetic reconstructions were performed
185 using the function "build" of ETE3 3.1.2 (Huerta-Cepas et al., 2016), as implemented on
186 GenomeNet (<https://www.genome.jp/tools/ete/>). Phylogenetic tree of the aligned
187 sequences was constructed using FastTree v2.1.8 default parameters (Price et al., 2010).
188 Taxonomic affiliations of HPPD clusters were retrieved using NCBI's blastp against the nr
189 database.

190 16S rRNA sequence data were analyzed using QIIME 2™ (Quantitative Insight into Microbial
191 Ecology) version 2022.8.3 (Bolyen et al., 2019). Primers and heterogeneity spacers were
192 removed from the reads with CUTADAPT (Martin, 2011). Reads were filtered, denoised,
193 assembled and chimeras removed using the DADA2 plugin (Callahan et al., 2016) with default
194 settings and trimmed at the 3' end of reads based on sequence quality scores (trimming at
195 280 bp for forward reads, 250 bp for reverse reads). Representative sequences for each
196 Amplicon Sequence Variant (ASV) were aligned using MAFFT (Katoh & Standley, 2013) and

197 a 16S phylogenetic tree was constructed using FastTree (Price et al., 2010). Taxonomy was
198 assigned to ASVs using the FEATURE CLASSIFIER plugin with a classifier previously trained
199 on the 16S rRNA V3-V4 region (Bokulich et al., 2018). The SILVA 138 SSURef NR99
200 reference database pre-formatted with RESCRIPt was used to train the classifier (Quast et
201 al., 2013; Robeson et al., 2021).

202 Alpha diversity indexes were calculated for HPPD clusters or ASV rarefied tables
203 (subsampling by minimum depth). Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) plots were generated
204 (in QIIME for *hppd* and QIIME 2™ for 16S rRNA) based on weighted and unweighted UniFrac,
205 Bray Curtis or Jaccard distance matrices to detect variations in the composition of
206 communities and coordinates were used to create 3D figures using the EMPEROR plugin of
207 QIIME 2™ (Vázquez-Baeza et al., 2013).

208 **2.4. Tembotrione fate in soil microcosms**

209 At each time point of the experiment, 10 g of soil were collected from microcosms and stored
210 at -20°C for further TBT quantification as described below.

211 **2.4.1. Herbicide extraction & chromatographic analysis**

212 A modified QuEChERS (for Quick, Easy, Cheap, Efficient, Rugged and Safe) method from
213 Rani and co-authors (Rani et al., 2020) was adapted to extract TBT from our soil samples.
214 Briefly, 15 mL of DCM was added to the 10 g of soil in 50 mL conical polypropylene tubes.
215 After 5 min of vortexing at maximum power followed by 5 min of ultrasonication at 37 kHz at
216 room temperature and 20 min of mixing by inversion, samples were centrifuged at 4750xG for
217 10 min. Supernatants were carefully collected and a second extraction was done as previously
218 described above. The two supernatants were combined, and DCM was evaporated to dryness
219 at 30°C using a rotary evaporator. Dry extracts were resuspended in 2 mL of MeOH and stored

220 at -20°C prior to analysis. Extracts were analyzed by a High-Performance Liquid
221 Chromatography (HPLC) VWR Hitachi LaChrom apparatus consisting in an auto-sampler L-
222 2200, HTA L-2130 pump modules equipped with a Discovery® C18 column (5µm, 150 mm ×
223 4.6 mm) and a DAD L-2450 UV/Vis detector set at λ=285 nm. For TBT detection, the mobile
224 phase consisted in a mixture of water (AW) and acetonitrile (ACN) acidified with 0.1 % acetic
225 acid, delivered at a flow rate of 1 mL/min under an isocratic mode 40/60 (AW/ACN) for 8 min.

226 **2.4.2. Analytical performances**

227 A calibration curve was obtained using blank soil sample replicates (n= 3) prepared according
228 to the procedure mentioned above. Soil samples were spiked with a concentration range of
229 TBT from 0.015 µg/g to 6 µg/g. Soil samples spiked only with MeOH were used to estimate
230 matrix interferences. The mean recovery rate was estimated at 71 ± 5 % for TBT and the limit
231 of quantification (LOQ) of the method was estimated at 0.1 µg/g, with a limit of detection (LOD)
232 corresponding to ½ x LOQ = 0.05 µg/g. The obtained calibration curve (R² = 0.99) was used
233 to estimate the concentration of TBT in microcosm soil extracts. Extraction and quantification
234 steps were also performed for blank and MeOH control.

235 **2.4.3. Fitting of dissipation models**

236 Dissipation kinetics of TBT and endpoints were assessed following the recommendations of
237 the FOCUS (Forum for the Co-ordination of pesticide fate models and their Use) Degradation
238 Kinetics Workgroup (Boesten et al., 2006). Data points below the LOQ were removed and
239 average values were used for each time point. A Fitting of the Single First Order (SFO) kinetic
240 model was performed using the ModelMaker4 software. Dissipation Time 50 % (DT50) and
241 error percentage of χ^2 test were calculated using the Excel spreadsheet provided by the
242 FOCUS group (<https://esdac.jrc.ec.europa.eu/projects/degradation-kinetics-software>).

243 **2.5. Statistical analysis**

244 Differences in alpha diversity were analyzed with the R package v4.2.1 (R core team, 2022)
245 using RStudio (2022.07.2). Homogeneity of variance (Levene's test) was checked prior to
246 analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) tests. A
247 significance threshold was set at a p -value of 0.05. Data were transformed and data points
248 were removed when needed based on ANOVA results. Beta diversity distance matrices were
249 subjected to a PERMANOVA analysis and pairwise tests.

250 A Generalized Linear Mixed effect Model (GLMM) analysis was performed to select
251 discriminant HPPD clusters / ASVs between experimental treatments (Huet et al., 2023), using
252 the function "glmer" from R package *lme4* (Bates et al., 2015) with a prior filtering of the HPPD
253 clusters/ASVs based on their prevalence, relative abundance and headcount. Indeed, they
254 were selected based on their presence in each triplicate (with relative abundance ≥ 0.0005)
255 and with an average count ≥ 20 between triplicates within each treatment. A homemade script
256 was used to identify the discriminant HPPD clusters/ASVs by performing an ANOVA on each
257 of these HPPD clusters/ASVs.

258 **3. Results**

259 **3.1. Effects of tembotrione on soil total bacterial** 260 **community**

261 The V3-V4 region of 16S rRNA genes was amplified from the soil DNA and sequenced to
262 study the overall soil bacterial community structure and diversity. A range of 7713 to 36249

263 sequences per sample (mean = 22749 sequences) was obtained after a DADA2 analysis
264 producing a feature table with 17324 ASVs. ASV table was rarefied at 7700 sequences.

265 Soil bacterial Beta diversity analysis (Figure 1) showed that the soil bacterial community
266 composition was strongly affected by incubation time, as samples were visibly grouped
267 according to time points on PCoA of weighted Unifrac distances, with significant differences
268 (Pairwise PERMANOVA, $p = 0.001$). Beta diversity was not affected by any of the treatments
269 applied to soil (Fig. 1).

270 Dominant phyla in the studied soil were *Actinobacteriota*, *Proteobacteria*, *Chloroflexi*,
271 *Planctomycetota* and *Acidobacteria*, representing, when added together, approximately 80%
272 in relative abundance, across all conditions (Figure S1). Even if variations occurred for less
273 dominant phyla along the time course of the experiment (*i.e.*, increasing proportion of both
274 *Verrucomicrobiota* and *Patescibacteria* from day 25 to 55), there were no visible changes in
275 the taxonomic composition between treatments.

276 Richness, Faith's phylogenetic diversity (PD index), and evenness (Dominance) of 16S rRNA
277 sequences were compared between treatments at each sampling time point (Table S1).
278 Bacterial richness in soil, as measured by the Chao1 index, was influenced by sampling time
279 and by TBT treatments too. Indeed, in control and D1 microcosms, level of richness dropped
280 after day 3 before increasing above its initial level after day 14. In contrast, D10 microcosms
281 stayed at their initial richness level from day 3 to day 14, before increasing at day 25 (Table
282 S1). As a result, the mean richness was significantly higher in D10 microcosms than both
283 control and D1 (Table 1). The evolution of the PD index among time and treatments showed
284 a similar trend with average values significantly higher in D10 than in D1 and control
285 microcosms (Table 1). The dominance index, estimating bacterial species evenness, showed
286 important variations throughout the experiment span. Values were significantly lower in D10,

287 indicating a higher species evenness, compared to D1 and control (Table 1), this difference
288 being most significant at day 3 (Table S1).

289 Overall, one can conclude that TBT applied at D1 had no significant effect on the diversity of
290 the soil bacterial community. However, when applied at D10, TBT slightly increased richness
291 and phylogenetic diversity in soils, even after 55 days post-treatment. At day 3, D10 TBT
292 seems to limit the drop of specific evenness observed in control and D1 treatments.

293 **3.2. Effect of tembotrione on soil *hppd*-containing** 294 **bacterial community**

295 *hppd*-amplicons were sequenced to assess the effect of TBT on *hppd*-soil bacterial
296 community. A range of 6437 to 61138 sequences per sample (mean = 29162 sequences)
297 remained after filtering and correction. The remaining sequences were grouped into 4667
298 clusters at 85% amino-acid sequence identity threshold. For further analysis, the obtained
299 OTU table was rarefied at 6400 sequences.

300 Soil HPPD Beta diversity was investigated (Fig. 2). As previously, samples were grouped
301 according to time points, independently of their treatment. Significant differences between time
302 points were observed (Pairwise PEMAANOVA, $p = 0.001$), suggesting a strong effect of time
303 on *hppd* bacterial community composition. However, community composition of soil HPPDs
304 was not affected by TBT treatment.

305 Several alpha-diversity indexes were calculated to compare richness (observed clusters), PD
306 index and evenness (Simpson's reciprocal) of HPPD clusters between treatments at each time
307 point (Table S2). The average of the richness index showed that observed HPPD clusters
308 were significantly lower in soil treated with the highest dose of TBT (Table 2). Compared to
309 the control, a decrease ranging from 2.1 % to 7.3 % was observed between day 0 and day 40

310 (Table S2). A similar pattern was observed with the PD index of HPPD clusters which was
311 significantly lower in D10 than in the control (Table 2) with a decrease of 0.8 to 5.5% from day
312 0 to day 40 (Table S2). Regarding Simpson's reciprocal index, no significant variation was
313 observed between treatments (Table 2) although a trend was visible from day 3 to day 40
314 where values were lower in treated soils compared to control ones, but this was not statistically
315 significant (Table S2).

316 Altogether, TBT applied at D1 does not impact neither the structure nor the composition of the
317 *hppd*-containing bacterial community in soil microcosms. At the highest dose (D10), TBT
318 slightly reduced richness and phylogenetic diversity of *hppd*-containing bacterial community
319 in soil. These changes were nonetheless transient and did not persist until the end of the
320 experiment.

321 **3.3. Differential abundance analysis and identification** 322 **of tembotrione-specific discriminating bacterial and** 323 ***hppd*-containing taxa**

324 To further investigate the impact of TBT treatment on ASVs and HPPD clusters in soils, a
325 Generalized Linear Mixed effect Model (GLMM, glmer function, R package *lme4*) was
326 performed on the most abundant and representative ASVs and HPPD clusters. Among the
327 963 ASVs analyzed and the 575 HPPD clusters, 26 ASVs from 16S rRNA data and 27 HPPD
328 clusters were selected and identified as discriminant between different TBT treatments and/or
329 within each time point ($p.adj. < 0.05$). They are listed in tables S3 and S4 with their
330 corresponding taxonomic affiliations. The ASVs and HPPD clusters with the most relevant and
331 significant variations in relative abundance were chosen for further analysis.

332 The mean fold change in relative abundance compared to controls, expressed in percentage,
333 are shown for D1 and D10 at each day, for the selected and discriminating ASVs and HPPD
334 clusters (Figures 3 and 4, respectively). Results showed that relative abundances were either
335 enriched or reduced by TBT. Interestingly, there were discriminant bacterial ASVs and HPPD
336 clusters sharing similar taxonomic affiliations and similar variations in relative abundance,
337 such as the two Gammaproteobacteria *Steroidobacter* (ASV-60 and cluster-1573) and
338 *Lysobacter* (ASV-425 and cluster-1463) and Alphaproteobacteria in the *Sphingomonadaceae*
339 (ASV-365 and clusters-0 and cluster-1479).

340 Relative abundance of *Steroidobacter* (ASV-60, Fig. 3) and HPPD cluster affiliated to
341 *Steroidobacter gossypii* (cluster-1573, Fig. 4) increased significantly at D10 (from 50% at day
342 3 to 70 % at day 7) compared to control. In both cases, after 7 days, control and treated soils
343 showed similar relative abundances of these ASV/cluster. HPPD cluster-1553, also related to
344 *S. gossypii*, showed similar results (data not shown).

345 Sequences affiliated to the *Lysobacter* genus were significantly reduced in treated soils during
346 the experiment. This reduction was significant for ASV-425 at day 14 with a mean reduction
347 of 30% to 40% (Fig. 3). This trend was clear for the HPPD cluster (*L. tabacisoli* cluster-1463)
348 with significant reduction from day 7 to day 55 in both D1 and D10 and a greater effect for D10
349 with up to 50% reduction in relative abundance at day 7 (Fig. 4).

350 As for *Sphingomonadaceae*, two identified discriminant HPPD clusters associated with
351 *Sphingomonas* sp. G124 (cluster-1479 and cluster-0) were enriched following TBT treatment
352 in terms of relative abundance (Fig. 4). For cluster-1479 and cluster-0, significant increases
353 (up to 60% and 20% compared to the control, respectively) occurred at day 3, 14, 25 (and day
354 40 for cluster-0) for D1 and D10. At day 55, the relative abundance of cluster-0 was
355 significantly reduced in treated soils. Noteworthy, cluster-0 was the most abundant HPPD
356 cluster found among all the *hppd* amplicon sequencing data, representing almost 10% of all

357 the HPPD clusters (data not shown). Other HPPD clusters affiliated to *Sphingomonas* showed
358 a similar but less clear trend (cluster-1564, cluster-1464, cluster-1470, data not shown). ASV-
359 365 (*Sphingomonadaceae*, genus *Sphingoaurantiacus*) shared similar variations with the
360 HPPD cluster-0 at the end of the experiment, with a significant decrease of its relative
361 abundance at day 40 and 55 in treated soils, but not as important as the one for the HPPD
362 clusters described above (Fig. 3).

363 Regarding *Betaproteobacteria*, three ASVs exhibited a significant decrease in relative
364 abundance in microcosms treated with TBT. ASV-21, assigned to the genus *Methylotenera*,
365 showed a relative abundance approximately 30% to 50% lower in D10 than in the control at
366 day 3, 7 and 14 (Fig. 3). ASV-568 and ASV-3, affiliated to the *Methylophilaceae* family (which
367 include the *Methylotenera* genus), exhibited similar variations (data not shown), unlike the
368 relative abundance of HPPD cluster 877 (*Massilia* spp.) which was significantly higher in
369 treated microcosms at day 3, 40 and 55 (Fig.4). Other HPPD clusters, associated with the
370 genus *Massilia*, also showed similar trends (cluster-728, 834, 123, 669, 1310 and 1304, data
371 not shown). Finally, the relative abundance of an HPPD sequence assigned to *Ramlibacter*
372 sp. WS9 (cluster-4101) exhibited a significant decrease at day 3 and 7 and an increase from
373 day 14 to 40 in the treated soils compared to the control ones (Fig. 4). A similar increase was
374 observed in another HPPD cluster also associated to *Ramlibacter* genus (cluster-4124) in D1
375 and D10 treatments at day 40 and 55 (data not shown).

376 Another interesting ASV, assigned to *Nitrospira* (*Nitrospirota*; ASV-29) decreased over time
377 with a significant drop in D10 microcosms at day 7 and 55 (approximately 40 % less abundant
378 than in the control, Fig. 3). ASV-193 (*Rhizobiaceae*, Alphaproteobacteria) was significantly
379 enriched in treated soils at day 3, with an increase of its relative abundance up to two-fold
380 compared to the control (Fig. 3).

381 Using the full HPPD sequences from the BLASTP best hit of each discriminant HPPD cluster
382 (see accession numbers on Table S4), a multiple sequence alignment allowed us to construct

383 a phylogenetic tree. Sequences from the clusters cited above but not shown in the figures
384 were also included. Interestingly, the HPPD sequence from *Lysobacter tabacisoli* seems
385 isolated and separated on a single branch of the tree, compared to other discriminant HPPD
386 sequences (Fig. S2). Cluster-1463, which is affiliated to *Lysobacter tabacisoli*, is the only
387 HPPD cluster negatively impacted by TBT treatment in soil, suggesting that the HPPD from
388 this taxon might be sensitive to TBT, likely due to a specificity in its protein sequence.

389 To sum up, the results presented above indicate that, on the one hand, the proportions of
390 *Steroidobacter*, *Sphingomonas*, *Massilia* and a taxon from the *Rhizobiaceae* family were
391 enriched in TBT treated soils. *Lysobacter*, *Nitrospira* and *Methylotenera*, on the other hand,
392 decreased in their relative abundance in the treated soils. These effects were identified
393 through 16S rRNA or the *hppd* functional-gene marker, or both, for some taxa. Considering
394 the D10 treatment, these effects seemed to be more significant, with some exceptions.

395 **3.4. Tembotrione dissipation in soil microcosms**

396 Aiming to assess the chemical behavior of the active ingredient during the exposure scenario
397 of soil bacterial communities in microcosms and to better understand the effects observed on
398 community composition, TBT concentration in soil microcosms was estimated at each time
399 point, for both D1 and D10 TBT treatments. Expected concentrations of TBT were measured
400 at the beginning of the experiment (day 0). A rapid dissipation of TBT was observed at D1 with
401 concentration values reaching the LOD after 14 days (Figure 5B). At D10, TBT concentrations
402 also decreased through time, reaching the RfD after 40 days. In this condition, TBT was still
403 quantifiable at the end of the experiment (55 days) with an estimated concentration just above
404 the LOD. Dissipation curves could reasonably fit a Single First Order (SFO) kinetic model
405 ($C = C_0 \cdot e^{-kt}$), as estimated by error levels of chi2-tests, where values obtained were below
406 15% for the two doses applied (2.6% and 8.4% for D1 and D10, respectively ; fig. 5A). The
407 half-life of TBT in soil microcosms was thus, estimated at 7 days for D1 and 15 days for D10.

408 To conclude, TBT was rapidly dissipated with an exponential decay in soil microcosms and
409 TBT concentration was below the LOD after 14 days for D1 and just above the LOD after 55
410 days of incubation for D10.

411 **4. Discussion**

412 **4.1. Effect of RfD of tembotrione on the structure of** 413 **total and *hppd*-containing bacterial communities**

414 Amplicon Illumina sequencing was used to determine the effect of TBT RfD on the diversity of
415 the total- and on the *hppd*-containing bacterial communities. Our results suggest that, under
416 our experimental conditions, TBT had no or a very little effect on the structure and the diversity
417 of both the total- and the *hppd*-containing communities when applied at RfD (D1). This
418 conclusion is consistent with what has already been observed, using a similar approach as
419 ours, for other synthetic β -triketones herbicides applied at RfD in soil. Indeed, RfD of
420 sulcotrione (active ingredient or formulated product) did not change soil bacterial and *hppd*-
421 bacterial community composition and diversity (Romdhane et al., 2016; Thiour-Mauprivez et
422 al., 2021), nor did RfD of mesotrione alone or formulated on the composition and diversity of
423 total bacterial and nitrogen cycling bacterial communities in soil (Crouzet et al., 2010, 2016;
424 Joly et al., 2012). These results could be explained by the low persistence of β -triketone
425 herbicides in soil when applied at the RfD (*i.e.*, 100 g/ha for TBT).

426 The studied soil has already been used in previous studies for DT₅₀ determination of other β -
427 triketones herbicides (*i.e.*, synthetic ones: sulcotrione, mesotrione or natural one:
428 leptospermone,) with values ranging from 4 to 8 days when applied at RfD (Calvayrac et al.,
429 2012; Chaabane et al., 2008; Romdhane, et al., 2016, 2019; Thiour-Mauprivez et al., 2021).

430 In some of these studies, high dissipation times were observed in abiotic controls, suggesting
431 that herbicide degradation was mainly due to biological activity. From these living soils, several
432 triketone-degrading bacterial strains were isolated (Batisson et al., 2009; Calvayrac et al.,
433 2012; Chaabane et al., 2008; Romdhane, et al., 2016). The TBT dissipation kinetics observed
434 in our experiments are in accordance with those found by Rani and co-authors (Rani et al.,
435 2020), showing that dissipation of TBT applied at 0.12 µg/g in a sandy loam soil and a clayey
436 loam soil followed first order kinetics with DT₅₀ of 9.3 days and 8.1 days respectively. Also,
437 other DT₅₀ were estimated between 4 and 72 days (depending on soils physico-chemical
438 characteristics) in soils maintained under aerobic conditions (EFSA, 2013; Tarara et al., 2009;
439 US EPA, 2007). Thus, we can assume that, in soil microcosms treated with D1, TBT is rapidly
440 dissipated, mostly due to its rapid biodegradation and, therefore, exposition of soil
441 microorganisms to TBT is only occurring over a short period of time.

442 Romdhane et al. (Romdhane et al., 2016, 2019) showed that leptospermone, a triketone from
443 natural origin, applied at the RfD had significant effects on the composition of soil bacterial
444 communities. However, the authors highlighted the higher (~ 10-fold) application rate of
445 leptospermone compared to synthetic β-triketones (i.e., 1500 g a.i. /ha for RfD).

446 **4.2. Changes in bacterial and *hppd*-containing** 447 **communities to tembotrione exposition considering a** 448 **higher exposure scenario**

449 At the highest dose (D10), TBT had some ecotoxicological effects towards total- and *hppd*-
450 bacterial communities. While overall community composition was not significantly affected,
451 small but significant variations occurred in alpha-diversity measurements, driven by taxa with
452 lower relative abundance. This is consistent with the exposure scenario, as the measured DT₅₀

453 was two times higher for D10 than that for D1. One could observe that, in D10 microcosms,
454 TBT concentration was still three times the RfD 25 days after its application.

455 Total bacterial richness and phylogenetic diversity fluctuated over time but overall, and even
456 when effect of sampling time was considered, they showed higher levels in D10 treated soils
457 than in the control ones. This might be the result of the expansion of TBT-resistant bacterial
458 populations and/or the growth of saprophytic bacterial species feeding on dead TBT-sensitive
459 organisms, as previously suggested by Dumas and coworkers to explain the stimulation of
460 heterotrophic communities in soil by high doses of pure or formulated mesotrione (Dumas et
461 al., 2017). TBT-tolerant populations, rare or in low numbers before TBT application, are no
462 longer in competition for resources with TBT-sensitives microorganisms and can colonize their
463 niche. Moreover, TBT application might have favored the emergence of degrading-bacterial
464 populations able to use TBT as an energy source. Indeed, several triketones degrading
465 bacterial strains have been isolated from soils and the degradation pathway were identified
466 for mesotrione and sulcotrione (Batisson et al., 2009; Calvayrac et al., 2012). Even if no TBT
467 degrading soil strain has been isolated yet, we could hypothesize that they are present in our
468 soil. Nguyen and co-authors (Nguyen et al., 2016), suggested, in a meta-analysis, that
469 glyphosate at high doses of application could stimulate microbial growth, acting as a carbon,
470 nitrogen, or phosphorus source. While the degrading community being promoted, one is then
471 unable to detect the possible toxic effects on the sensitive community.

472 Meanwhile, D10 treatment decreased richness and phylogenetic diversity of HPPD clusters
473 indicating a possible direct toxic effect on sensitive *hppd*-containing populations through a
474 disruption of the tyrosine catabolic pathway *via* HPPD inhibition. This effect appeared to be
475 transient and dependent on TBT presence in soil, as this effect was clearly visible until day 40
476 but not anymore at the end of the experiment, when TBT reached the LOD level.

477 These discrepancies between *hppd* and 16S rRNA gene amplicon analysis could likely be
478 explained by the fact that the *hppd*-containing bacteria constitute a sub-pool of the total
479 bacterial community containing the TBT targeted enzyme. Analysis of the total bacterial
480 community mostly highlighted positive effects (Yang effect, *cf.* Karpouzaz et al., 2016)
481 discussed above, thus hiding effects (Yin effects) seen on sensitive bacterial HPPD. This
482 underlines the importance of looking at specific bacterial functional groups, in addition to
483 taxonomic diversity, as conclusions on the effect of a pollutant on bacterial populations can be
484 different, as our results show.

485 Finally, as our results showed that effect of TBT could occur in a worst-case scenario of
486 exposure in controlled conditions, it would have been interesting to also test TBT effects in
487 field experiments with more realistic exposure scenarios, but experimental conditions become
488 difficult to control (Thiour-Mauprivez et al., 2021).

489 **4.3. Taxa responding to TBT in soils: towards a new** 490 **class of exposure biomarkers?**

491 Since the relative abundance of some specific 16S rRNA ASVs and HPPD clusters was
492 significantly influenced by TBT in the tested soil and that these ASVs and clusters shared the
493 same or close taxonomic affiliations associated with the same responses, they could
494 potentially represent HPPD+ bacterial taxa indicator of TBT or other β -triketones exposure in
495 soil.

496 This was the case for *Sphingomonas* and *Steroidobacter*, whose relative abundances were
497 increased by TBT. These taxa could use TBT as a carbon or energy source and/or could
498 exhibit degradation capabilities. These taxa were also enriched in soils after leptospermone
499 exposition (Romdhane et al., 2016; 2019) and the degradation of other herbicides in soil such
500 as isoproturon, 2,4-D or fomesafen by *Sphingomonas* has already been shown (Hu et al.,

501 2019; Sørensen et al., 2001; Vanitha et al., 2023). The genus *Steroidobacter* is known to be
502 associated with the rhizosphere of diverse plants and has a range of organic compound-
503 degrading capabilities (Gong et al., 2016; Ikenaga et al., 2021). Moreover, taxa from the same
504 genera could have benefited from resources left by sensitive populations in the community
505 and might be tolerant to TBT or even harbor resistant HPPDs, as it was the case for a
506 *Sphingobium* strain, a Sphingomonadales genus phylogenetically close to *Sphingomonas*
507 species, that possesses an HPPD resistant to mesotrione and TBT to a lesser extent (Liu et
508 al., 2020).

509

510 Other 16S rRNA ASVs and HPPD clusters enriched in TBT treated soils are associated to
511 species that are known to (i) degrade herbicides or other organic compounds: linuron and
512 polycyclic aromatic carbons for *Ramlibacter* (Lerner et al., 2020; Su et al., 2022),
513 chloroacetamide and atrazine in soil for *Massilia* (Lee et al., 2017; Luo et al., 2021) ; (ii) be
514 involved in plant-bacteria symbiosis and are able to degrade complex organic molecules for
515 members of the *Rhizobiaceae* family (Carrareto Alves et al., 2014).

516

517 Conversely, 16S rRNA ASV and HPPD cluster associated to *Lysobacter* were negatively
518 affected by TBT, indicating a potential sensitivity of their HPPD. To our knowledge, no previous
519 study has investigated HPPD sensitivity to β -triketones in *Lysobacter*. Interestingly, the
520 alignment and phylogenetic analysis of HPPD sequences showed that *Lysobacter* HPPD has
521 an amino acid sequence distinct from the other taxa. In addition, as far as we know, no
522 previous study reported negative effects of PPP on this taxon in soil. However, it has already
523 been observed that members of this genus have degrading abilities and are promoted by the
524 use of acetochlor S, an herbicide, in soil (Han et al., 2021). Nonetheless, negative effects of
525 TBT on *Lysobacter* could be of ecological importance as this genus is recognized as a
526 beneficial organism in the rhizosphere and acts as a protective agent against some plant
527 pathogens (Brescia et al., 2020; Huang et al., 2022).

528

529 Similarly, the relative abundance of *Nitrospira* was significantly reduced by TBT, similarly to
530 previous observations after exposition to the herbicide linuron in soil (Medo et al., 2021).
531 *Nitrospira* is found in rhizospheric habitats and is involved in the nitrogen cycle (Daims &
532 Wagner, 2018) so, a potential toxicity of herbicides on this strain could then be of ecological
533 importance. It should be noticed that this genus is known to possess an HPPD but, it was
534 absent from our *hppd* sequencing dataset. It was also the case for *Methylothera* ASVs, which
535 were decreased in TBT-treated soil and which might play a role in the nitrogen cycle too (Yi et
536 al. 2022). This taxon is not known to possess the *hppd* gene so its TBT-sensitivity could not
537 be explained by a toxic action of TBT on its HPPD.

538 We hence suggest a use of the TBT-sensitive taxa identified in our study, notably those
539 carrying an HPPD and negatively affected, or their respective *hppd* genes, as potential
540 biomarkers of exposure to triketones in soils.

541 **5. Conclusion**

542 To our knowledge, this is the first study to assess the fate and ecotoxicological impacts of TBT
543 herbicide on soil microbial communities. Our results showed that the active ingredient applied
544 in microcosms according to field recommendations did not have a significant impact, neither
545 on the structure of soil microbial community, nor on the structure of non-targeted bacterial
546 *hppd* community. However, TBT applied at tenfold the RfD might negatively affect some
547 sensitive populations, even though these effects were light and could have been hidden by
548 the emergence and selection of tolerant and/or degrading populations within the soil bacterial
549 community. This was supported by an easy and rapid dissipation of the active ingredient in
550 soil. Thus, both enhanced bacterial taxa with putative TBT-degrading abilities and/or TBT-
551 tolerance and diminished taxa with potential sensitive HPPD, identified by our differential

552 abundance analysis, could be proposed in ERA as relevant biomarkers of TBT-contaminated
553 agricultural soils.

554 **6. Acknowledgments**

555

556 The authors thank the Région Occitanie, and Perpignan University Via Domitia for their
557 financial support, and Laetitia Fournier for her technical help.

558

559 **7. Funding**

560 This work was supported by the Région Occitanie and Université de Perpignan Via Domitia

561 **8. References**

562 ACTA- Les Instituts Techniques Agricoles. (2023). Index acta phytosanitaire 2021, 59^{ème}
563 édition (Acta éditions, Vol. 59).

564 Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B. M., & Walker, S. C. (2015). Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects
565 Models Using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 67(1), 1–48.

566 <https://doi.org/10.18637/JSS.V067.I01>

567 Batisson, I., Crouzet, O., Besse-Hoggan, P., Sancelme, M., Mangot, J. F., Mallet, C., &
568 Bohatier, J. (2009). Isolation and characterization of mesotrione-degrading *Bacillus* sp. from
569 soil. *Environmental Pollution*, 157(4), 1195–1201.

570 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2008.12.009>

571 Boesten, J. J. T. I., Aden, K., Beigel, C., Beulke, S., Dust, M., Dyson, J. S., Fomsgaard, S.,
572 Jones, R. L., Karlsson, S., Van Der Linden, A. M. A., Richter, O., Magrans, J. O., & Soulas,
573 G. (2006). Guidance Document on Estimating Persistence and Degradation Kinetics from
574 Environmental Fate Studies on Pesticides in EU Registration The Final Report of the Work
575 Group on Degradation Kinetics of FOCUS (FORum for the Co-ordination of pesticide fate
576 models and their USE).

577 Bokulich, N. A., Kaehler, B. D., Rideout, J. R., Dillon, M., Bolyen, E., Knight, R., Huttley, G.
578 A., & Gregory Caporaso, J. (2018). Optimizing taxonomic classification of marker-gene
579 amplicon sequences with QIIME 2's q2-feature-classifier plugin. *Microbiome*, 6(1), 1–17.
580 <https://doi.org/10.1186/S40168-018-0470-Z/TABLES/3>

581 Bolyen, E., Rideout, J. R., Dillon, M. R., Bokulich, N. A., Abnet, C. C., Al-Ghalith, G. A.,
582 Alexander, H., Alm, E. J., Arumugam, M., Asnicar, F., Bai, Y., Bisanz, J. E., Bittinger, K.,
583 Brejnrod, A., Brislawn, C. J., Brown, C. T., Callahan, B. J., Caraballo-Rodríguez, A. M.,
584 Chase, J., ... Caporaso, J. G. (2019). Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible
585 microbiome data science using QIIME 2. *Nature Biotechnology*, 37(8), 852–857.
586 <https://doi.org/10.1038/S41587-019-0209-9>

587 Borowik, A., Wyszowska, J., Kucharski, J., Baćmaga, M., & Tomkiel, M. (2017). Response
588 of microorganisms and enzymes to soil contamination with a mixture of terbuthylazine,
589 mesotrione, and S-metolachlor. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 24(2),
590 1910–1925. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-7919-z>

591 Brescia, F., Pertot, I., & Puopolo, G. (2020). *Lysobacter*. In *Beneficial Microbes in Agro-*
592 *Ecology: Bacteria and Fungi* (pp. 313–338). Elsevier. [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-823414-3.00016-2)
593 [823414-3.00016-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-823414-3.00016-2)

594 Callahan, B. J., McMurdie, P. J., Rosen, M. J., Han, A. W., Johnson, A. J. A., & Holmes, S.
595 P. (2016). DADA2: High resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nature*
596 *Methods*, 13(7), 581. <https://doi.org/10.1038/NMETH.3869>

597 Calvayrac, C., Martin-Laurent, F., Faveaux, A., Picault, N., Panaud, O., Coste, C. M.,
598 Chaabane, H., & Cooper, J. F. (2012). Isolation and characterisation of a bacterial strain
599 degrading the herbicide sulcotrione from an agricultural soil. *Pest Management Science*,
600 68(3), 340–347. <https://doi.org/10.1002/PS.2263>

601 Carrareto Alves, L. M., De Souza, J. A. M., Varani, A. D. M., & Lemos, E. G. D. M. (2014).
602 The family Rhizobiaceae. *The Prokaryotes: Alphaproteobacteria and Betaproteobacteria*,
603 9783642301971, 419–437. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-30197-1_297/COVER

604 Cébron, A., Karpouzias, D. G., Martin-Laurent, F., Morin, S., Palacios, C., & Schmitt-Jansen,
605 M. (2022). Editorial: Microbial Ecotoxicology Advances to Improve Environmental and
606 Human Health Under Global Change. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 13, 870404.
607 <https://doi.org/10.3389/FMICB.2022.870404/BIBTEX>

608 Chaabane, H., Vulliet, E., Calvayrac, C., Coste, C. M., & Cooper, J. F. (2008). Behaviour of
609 sulcotrione and mesotrione in two soils. *Pest Management Science*, 64(1), 86–93.
610 <https://doi.org/10.1002/PS.1456>

611 Crouzet, O., Batisson, I., Besse-Hoggan, P., Bonnemoy, F., Bardot, C., Poly, F., Bohatier, J.,
612 & Mallet, C. (2010). Response of soil microbial communities to the herbicide mesotrione: A
613 dose-effect microcosm approach. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 42(2), 193–202.
614 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.10.016>

615 Crouzet, O., Wiszniowski, J., Donnadieu, F., Bonnemoy, F., Bohatier, J., & Mallet, C. (2013).
616 Dose-dependent effects of the herbicide mesotrione on soil cyanobacterial communities.

617 *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 64(1), 23–31.
618 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-012-9809-9>

619 Crouzet, O., Poly, F., Bonnemoy, F., Bru, D., Batisson, I., Bohatier, J., Philippot, L., & Mallet,
620 C. (2016). Functional and structural responses of soil N-cycling microbial communities to the
621 herbicide mesotrione: a dose-effect microcosm approach. *Environmental Science and*
622 *Pollution Research*, 23(5), 4207–4217. [https://doi.org/10.1007/S11356-015-4797-](https://doi.org/10.1007/S11356-015-4797-8/METRICS)
623 [8/METRICS](https://doi.org/10.1007/S11356-015-4797-8/METRICS)

624 Daims, H., & Wagner, M. (2018). Nitrospira. *Trends in Microbiology*, 26(5), 462–463.
625 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TIM.2018.02.001>

626 Du, Z., Zhu, Y., Zhu, L., Zhang, J., Li, B., Wang, J., Wang, J., Zhang, C., & Cheng, C.
627 (2018). Effects of the herbicide mesotrione on soil enzyme activity and microbial
628 communities. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 164(April), 571–578.
629 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2018.08.075>

630 Dumas, E., Giraud, M., Goujon, E., Halma, M., Khili, E., Stauffert, M., Batisson, I., Besse-
631 Hoggan, P., Bohatier, J., Bouchard, P., Celle-Jeanton, H., Costa Gomes, M., Delbac, F.,
632 Forano, C., Goupil, P., Guix, N., Husson, P., Ledoigt, G., Mallet, C., ... Sarraute, S. (2017).
633 Fate and ecotoxicological impact of new generation herbicides from the triketone family: An
634 overview to assess the environmental risks. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 325, 136–156.
635 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.11.059>

636 EFSA (2013). Conclusion on the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active
637 substance tembotrione. *EFSA Journal*, 11(3), 1–81. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2013.3131>

638 Gong, Z. L., Zhang, C. F., Jin, R., & Zhang, Y. Q. (2016). *Steroidobacter flavus* sp. nov., a
639 microcystin-degrading Gammaproteobacterium isolated from soil. *Antonie van*
640 *Leeuwenhoek*, 109(8), 1073–1079. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10482-016-0706-5>

641 Griffiths, B. S., & Philippot, L. (2013). Insights into the resistance and resilience of the soil
642 microbial community. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 37(2), 112–129.
643 <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1574-6976.2012.00343.X>

644 Han, L., Fang, K., Liu, Y., Fang, J., Wang, F., & Wang, X. (2021). Earthworms accelerated
645 the degradation of the highly toxic acetochlor S-enantiomer by stimulating soil microbiota in
646 repeatedly treated soils. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 420, 126669.
647 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.126669>

648 Huerta-Cepas, J., Serra, F., & Bork, P. (2016). ETE 3: Reconstruction, Analysis, and
649 Visualization of Phylogenomic Data. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 33(6), 1635–1638.
650 <https://doi.org/10.1093/MOLBEV/MSW046>

651 Hicks, L. C., Frey, B., Kjølner, R., Lukac, M., Moora, M., Weedon, J. T., & Rousk, J. (2022).
652 Toward a function-first framework to make soil microbial ecology predictive. *Ecology*, 103(2),
653 e03594. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ECY.3594>

654 Hu, H., Zhou, H., Zhou, S., Li, Z., Wei, C., Yu, Y., & Hay, A. G. (2019). Fomesafen impacts
655 bacterial communities and enzyme activities in the rhizosphere. *Environmental Pollution*,
656 253, 302–311. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENVPOL.2019.07.018>

657 Huet, S., Romdhane, S., Breuil, M.-C., Bru, D., Mounier, A., Spor, A., & Philippot, L. (2023).
658 Experimental community coalescence sheds light on microbial interactions in soil and
659 restores impaired functions. *Microbiome*, 11(1), 42. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-023-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-023-01480-7)
660 01480-7

661 Huang, X., Yang, X., Lin, J., Franks, A. E., Cheng, J., Zhu, Y., Shi, J., Xu, J., Yuan, M., Fu,
662 X., & He, Y. (2022). Biochar alleviated the toxicity of atrazine to soybeans, as revealed by
663 soil microbial community and the assembly process. *Science of The Total Environment*, 834,
664 155261. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2022.155261>

665 Ikenaga, M., Kataoka, M., Yin, X., Murouchi, A., & Sakai, M. (2021). Characterization and
666 Distribution of Agar-degrading *Steroidobacter agaridevorans* sp. nov., Isolated from
667 Rhizosphere Soils. *Microbes and Environments*, 36(1).
668 <https://doi.org/10.1264/JSME2.ME20136>

669 Joly, P., Besse-Hoggan, P., Bonnemoy, F., Batisson, I., Bohatier, J., & Mallet, C. (2012).
670 Impact of Maize Formulated Herbicides Mesotrione and S-Metolachlor, Applied Alone and in
671 Mixture, on Soil Microbial Communities. *ISRN Ecology*, 2012, 1–9.
672 <https://doi.org/10.5402/2012/329898>

673 Joly, P., Bonnemoy, F., Charvy, J. C., Bohatier, J., & Mallet, C. (2013). Toxicity assessment
674 of the maize herbicides S-metolachlor, benoxacor, mesotrione and nicosulfuron, and their
675 corresponding commercial formulations, alone and in mixtures, using the Microtox® test.
676 *Chemosphere*, 93(10), 2444–2450. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.08.074>

677 Joly, P., Misson, B., Perrière, F., Bonnemoy, F., Joly, M., Donnadieu-Bernard, F., Aguer, J.
678 P., Bohatier, J., & Mallet, C. (2014). Soil surface colonization by phototrophic indigenous
679 organisms, in two contrasted soils treated by formulated maize herbicide mixtures.
680 *Ecotoxicology*, 23(9), 1648–1658. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-014-1304-9>

681 Kaczynski, P., Lozowicka, B., Hrynko, I., & Wolejko, E. (2016). Behaviour of mesotrione in
682 maize and soil system and its influence on soil dehydrogenase activity. *Science of the Total*
683 *Environment*, 571, 1079–1088. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.07.100>

684 Karpouzas, D. G., Tsiamis, G., Trevisan, M., Ferrari, F., Malandain, C., Sibourg, O., &
685 Martin-Laurent, F. (2016). "LOVE TO HATE" pesticides: felicity or curse for the soil microbial
686 community? An FP7 IAPP Marie Curie project aiming to establish tools for the assessment
687 of the mechanisms controlling the interactions of pesticides with soil microorganisms.

688 *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 23(18), 18947–18951.
689 <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11356-016-7319-4/METRICS>

690 Katoh, K., & Standley, D. M. (2013). MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version
691 7: Improvements in Performance and Usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 30(4), 772–
692 780. <https://doi.org/10.1093/MOLBEV/MST010>

693 Klindworth, A., Pruesse, E., Schweer, T., Peplies, J., Quast, C., Horn, M., & Glöckner, F. O.
694 (2013). Evaluation of general 16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-
695 generation sequencing-based diversity studies. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 41(1).
696 <https://doi.org/10.1093/NAR/GKS808>

697 Lee, H., Kim, D. U., Park, S., Yoon, J. H., & Ka, J. O. (2017). *Massilia chloroacetimidivorans*
698 sp. nov., a chloroacetamide herbicide-degrading bacterium isolated from soil. *Antonie van*
699 *Leeuwenhoek*, 110(6), 751–758. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10482-017-0845-3>

700 Lerner, H., Öztürk, B., Dohrmann, A. B., Thomas, J., Marchal, K., De Mot, R., Dehaen, W.,
701 Tebbe, C. C., & Springael, D. (2020). Culture-Independent Analysis of Linuron-Mineralizing
702 Microbiota and Functions in on-Farm Biopurification Systems via DNA-Stable Isotope
703 Probing: Comparison with Enrichment Culture. *Environmental Science and Technology*,
704 54(15), 9387–9397.
705 https://doi.org/10.1021/ACS.EST.0C02124/SUPPL_FILE/ES0C02124_SI_002.XLSX

706 Liu, B., Peng, Q., Sheng, M., Ni, H., Xiao, X., Tao, Q., He, Q., & He, J. (2020). Isolation and
707 Characterization of a Topramezone-Resistant 4-Hydroxyphenylpyruvate Dioxygenase from
708 *Sphingobium* sp. TPM-19. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 68(4), 1022–1029.
709 https://doi.org/10.1021/ACS.JAFC.9B06871/SUPPL_FILE/JF9B06871_SI_001.PDF

710 Luo, S., Zhen, Z., Zhu, X., Ren, L., Wu, W., Zhang, W., Chen, Y., Zhang, D., Song, Z., Lin,
711 Z., & Liang, Y. Q. (2021). Accelerated atrazine degradation and altered metabolic pathways

712 in goat manure assisted soil bioremediation. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 221.
713 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOENV.2021.112432>

714 Mamy, L. (ed.), Pesce, S. (ed.), Sanchez, W. (ed.), Leenhardt, S. (ed.), Amichot, M.,
715 Artigas, J., Aviron, S., Barthélémy, C., Beaudouin, R., Bedos, C., Berard, A., Berny, P.,
716 Bertrand, C., Bertrand, C., Betouille, S., Bureau-Point, E., Charles, S., Chaumot, A., Chauvel,
717 B., ... Tournebize, J. (2022). *Impacts des produits phytopharmaceutiques sur la biodiversité*
718 *et les services écosystémiques. Rapport d'ESCo*. <https://doi.org/10.17180/0GP2-CD65>

719 Martin, M. (2011). Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing
720 reads. *EMBnet.Journal*, 17(1), 10–12. <https://doi.org/10.14806/EJ.17.1.200>

721 Medo, J., Maková, J., Medová, J., Lipková, N., Cinkocki, R., Omelka, R., & Javoreková, S.
722 (2021). Changes in soil microbial community and activity caused by application of
723 dimethachlor and linuron. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), 12786. [https://doi.org/10.1038/S41598-](https://doi.org/10.1038/S41598-021-91755-6)
724 [021-91755-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/S41598-021-91755-6)

725 Moran, G. R. (2005). 4-Hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase. *Archives of Biochemistry and*
726 *Biophysics*, 433(1), 117–128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ABB.2004.08.015>

727 Nguyen, D. B., Rose, M. T., Rose, T. J., Morris, S. G., & van Zwieten, L. (2016). Impact of
728 glyphosate on soil microbial biomass and respiration: A meta-analysis. *Soil Biology and*
729 *Biochemistry*, 92, 50–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2015.09.014>

730 Pavan, M. E., López, N. I., & Pettinari, M. J. (2020). Melanin biosynthesis in bacteria,
731 regulation and production perspectives. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 104(4),
732 1357–1370. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-019-10245-y>

733 Petric, I., Karpouzas, D. G., Bru, D., Udikovic-Kolic, N., Kandeler, E., Djuric, S., & Martin-
734 Laurent, F. (2016). Nicosulfuron application in agricultural soils drives the selection towards
735 NS-tolerant microorganisms harboring various levels of sensitivity to nicosulfuron.

736 *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 23(5), 4320–4333.
737 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-5645-6>

738 Pose-Juan, E., Sánchez-Martín, M. J., Herrero-Hernández, E., & Rodríguez-Cruz, M. S.
739 (2015). Application of mesotrione at different doses in an amended soil: Dissipation and
740 effect on the soil microbial biomass and activity. *Science of the Total Environment*, 536, 31–
741 38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.07.039>

742 Price, M. N., Dehal, P. S., & Arkin, A. P. (2010). FastTree 2 – Approximately Maximum-
743 Likelihood Trees for Large Alignments. *PLOS ONE*, 5(3), e9490.
744 <https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0009490>

745 Puglisi, E. (2017). Response of microbial organisms (aquatic and terrestrial) to pesticides.
746 EFSA Supporting Publications, 9(11). <https://doi.org/10.2903/sp.efsa.2012.en-359>

747 Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., Peplies, J., &
748 Glöckner, F. O. (2013). The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data
749 processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 41(Database issue).
750 <https://doi.org/10.1093/NAR/GKS1219>

751 Rani, N., Duhan, A., & Tomar, D. (2020). Ultimate fate of herbicide tembotrione and its
752 metabolite TCMB in soil. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 203(July), 111023.
753 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.111023>

754 Robeson, M. S., O'Rourke, D. R., Kaehler, B. D., Ziemski, M., Dillon, M. R., Foster, J. T., &
755 Bokulich, N. A. (2021). RESCRIPt: Reproducible sequence taxonomy reference database
756 management. *PLOS Computational Biology*, 17(11), e1009581.
757 <https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PCBI.1009581>

758 Romdhane, S., Devers-Lamrani, M., Barthelmebs, L., Calvayrac, C., Bertrand, C., Cooper, J.
759 F., Dayan, F. E., & Martin-Laurent, F. (2016). Ecotoxicological impact of the bioherbicide

760 leptospermone on the microbial community of two arable soils. *Frontiers in Microbiology*,
761 7(MAY), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00775>

762 Romdhane, S., Devers-Lamrani, M., Beguet, J., Bertrand, C., Calvayrac, C., Salvia, M. V.,
763 Jrad, A. Ben, Dayan, F. E., Spor, A., Barthelmebs, L., & Martin-Laurent, F. (2019).
764 Assessment of the ecotoxicological impact of natural and synthetic β -triketone herbicides on
765 the diversity and activity of the soil bacterial community using omic approaches. *Science of*
766 *the Total Environment*, 651, 241–249. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.159>

767 Singh, D., Kumar, J., & Kumar, A. (2018). Isolation of pyomelanin from bacteria and
768 evidences showing its synthesis by 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase enzyme encoded
769 by hppD gene. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 119, 864–873.
770 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJBIOMAC.2018.08.003>

771 Sørensen, S. R., Ronen, Z., & Aamand, J. (2001). Isolation from Agricultural Soil and
772 Characterization of a *Sphingomonas* sp. Able To Mineralize the Phenylurea Herbicide
773 Isoproturon. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 67(12), 5403.
774 <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.67.12.5403-5409.2001>

775 Su, A., Xu, Y., Xu, M., Ding, S., Li, M., & Zhang, Y. (2022). Resilience of the wheat root-
776 associated microbiome to the disturbance of phenanthrene. *The Science of the Total*
777 *Environment*, 838(Pt 3). <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2022.156487>

778 Vanitha, T. K., Suresh, G., Mohan Bhandi, M., Krishna Reddy Mudiam, M., & Mohan, S. V.
779 (2023). Microbial Degradation of Organochlorine Pesticide: 2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid
780 by Axenic and Mixed Consortium. *Bioresource Technology*, 129031.
781 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIORTECH.2023.129031>

782 Tarara, G., Fliege, R., Desmarteau, D., Kley, C., & Peters, B. (2009). Environmental fate of
783 tembotrione. *Bayer CropScience Journal*, 62, 63-78.

784 Tawk, A., Deborde, M., Labanowski, J., & Gallard, H. (2015). Chlorination of the β -triketone
785 herbicides tembotrione and sulcotrione: Kinetic and mechanistic study, transformation
786 products identification and toxicity. *Water Research*, 76, 132–142.
787 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.02.060>

788 Thiour-Mauprivez, C., Martin-Laurent, F., Calvayrac, C., & Barthelmebs, L. (2019). Effects of
789 herbicide on non-target microorganisms: Towards a new class of biomarkers? *Science of the*
790 *Total Environment*, 684, 314–325. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.230>

791 Thiour-Mauprivez, C., Devers-Lamrani, M., Mounier, A., Beguet, J., Spor, A., Calvayrac, C.,
792 Barthelmebs, L., & Martin-Laurent, F. (2020). Design of a degenerate primer pair to target a
793 bacterial functional community: The hppd bacterial gene coding for the enzyme targeted by
794 herbicides, a study case. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 170(January), 105839.
795 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2020.105839>


796 Thiour-Mauprivez, C., Devers-Lamrani, M., Bru, D., Béguet, J., Spor, A., Mounier, A., Alletto,
797 L., Calvayrac, C., Barthelmebs, L., & Martin-Laurent, F. (2021). Assessing the Effects of β -
798 Triketone Herbicides on the Soil Bacterial and hppd Communities: A Lab-to-Field
799 Experiment. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 11, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.610298>

800 Thiour-Mauprivez, C., Dayan, F. E., Terol, H., Devers, M., Calvayrac, C., Martin-Laurent, F.,
801 & Barthelmebs, L. (2022). Assessing the effects of β -triketone herbicides on HPPD from
802 environmental bacteria using a combination of in silico and microbiological approaches.
803 *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-22801-7>


804 Vázquez-Baeza, Y., Pirrung, M., Gonzalez, A., & Knight, R. (2013). EMPeror: a tool for
805 visualizing high-throughput microbial community data. *GigaScience*, 2(1).
806 <https://doi.org/10.1186/2047-217X-2-16>

807 Yi, M., Zhang, L., Qin, C., Lu, P., Bai, H., Han, X., & Yuan, S. (2022). Temporal changes of
808 microbial community structure and nitrogen cycling processes during the aerobic
809 degradation of phenanthrene. *Chemosphere*, 286, 131709.
810 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHEMOSPHERE.2021.131709>


9. Figures



813
814 **Figure 1:** Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) of the weighted Unifrac distance matrix of 16S
815 rRNA *v3-v4 region* amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) showing the evolution of the bacterial
816 community composition in control (D0) and tembotrione-treated soil microcosms (D1 and D10)
817 after 0; 7; 14; 25; 40; and 55 days of incubation. The percentage of variance explained by
818 each axis is given.




819 Figure 2: Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) of the weighted Unifrac distance matrix of
 820 HPPD sequences showing the evolution of the *hppd* community composition in control (D0)
 821 and tembotrione-treated soil microcosms (D1 and D10) after 0; 7; 14; 25; 40 and 55 days of
 822 incubation. The percentage of variance explained by each axis is given.



823

824 Figure 3: Mean fold changes in relative abundance for 16S rRNA ASVs identified by a GLMM
 825 analysis and discriminant between treatments (control: D0, tembotrione: D1 or D10) and
 826 sampling time (T0; T3; T7; T14; T25; T40; and T55). Taxonomic affiliations and ASV number
 827 in the dataset are indicated at the top of each plot. Fold changes are expressed as % relative
 828 abundance of control for each sampling time. Error bars are standard errors of mean values
 829 (n=3) and are shown also for controls with mean = 0%. Significant differences between




830 treatments and controls are indicated by the (†) symbol. Significant differences between
 831 treatments are indicated by the (*) symbol (pairwise test, $p \leq 0,05$)


832

833 Figure 4: Mean fold changes in relative abundance for HPPD cluster sequences identified by
 834 a GLMM analysis and discriminant between treatments (control: D0, tembotrione: D1 or D10)
 835 and sampling time (T0; T3; T7; T14; T25; T40; and T55). BLASTP results of amino-acid
 836 sequences for each HPPD cluster and its number in the dataset are indicated at the top of each
 837 plot. Fold changes are expressed as % relative abundance of control for each sampling
 838 time. Error bars are standard errors of mean values (n=3) and are shown also for controls with

839 mean = 0%. Significant differences between treatments and controls are indicated by the (†)
 840 symbol. Significant differences between treatments are indicated by the (•) symbol (pairwise
 841 test, $p \leq 0,05$)



842
 843 **Figure 5:** Dissipation curves of tembotrione in soil microcosms treated with: D1 (A) and D10
 844 (B). Mean concentrations ($\mu\text{g/g}$ soil) of tembotrione are given for each day. Errors bars are the
 845 standard errors ($n=3$). The limit of detection (L.O.D.) is indicated by a dotted line. Single First
 846 Order (SFO) kinetics of tembotrione dissipation in soil microcosms (a: C_0 = initial
 847 concentration; b : k = kinetic rate constant ; c : DT = Dissipation Time for 50% or 90%
 848 dissipation) (C).



849 Figure S1: Relative abundance of microbial phyla (expressed as % of the total frequency of
 850 16S rRNA ASVs) in soil microcosms for each sampling time (T0; T3; T7; T14; T25; T40 and
 851 T55) and treatments (control: D0, tembotrione: D1, D10).

852
 853
 854
 855
 856
 857
 858
 859
 860
 861
 862

863 Table S1: Alpha-diversity indices of soil bacterial community calculated for each sampling date
864 (0; 3; 7; 14; 40; and 55 days) after treatment (control: D0, tembotrione: D1 or D10). Mean
865 values \pm standard error are shown. Different letters indicate significant differences between
866 treatment at each time point (post-hoc Tukey's test, $p \leq 0,05$).

Time (days)	Treatment	Chao1	Phylogenetic diversity	Dominance (*10 ⁻⁴)
0	D0	954 \pm 116	74 \pm 2	32 \pm 3.7
	D1	961 \pm 81	72 \pm 6	30 \pm 1.5
	D10	1127 \pm 43	73 \pm 2	28 \pm 1.5
3	D0	960 \pm 61	73 \pm 1	148 \pm 14.2 a
	D1	1029 \pm 116	78 \pm 6	132 \pm 22.1 a
	D10	1094 \pm 86	75 \pm 1	40 \pm 15 b
7	D0	825 \pm 109	78 \pm 10	74 \pm 8
	D1	923 \pm 26	76 \pm 1	78 \pm 2.5
	D10	1126 \pm 24	85 \pm 7	72 \pm 5.1
14	D0	773 \pm 82	71 \pm 4	53 \pm 0.7
	D1	904 \pm 55	75 \pm 6	55 \pm 2.5
	D10	1092 \pm 86	82 \pm 5	53 \pm 3.5
25	D0	1090 \pm 14 a	79 \pm 3	28 \pm 0.4
	D1	1067 \pm 157 a	77 \pm 6	33 \pm 3.9
	D10	1555 \pm 81 b	106 \pm 2	23 \pm 0.6
40	D0	1348 \pm 115	114 \pm 7	22 \pm 1.3
	D1	1311 \pm 90	92 \pm 6	23 \pm 1.3
	D10	1423 \pm 32	106 \pm 7	21 \pm 1
55	D0	1051 \pm 71	82 \pm 8 a	27 \pm 0.7
	D1	1219 \pm 31	106 \pm 8 ab	25 \pm 0.5
	D10	1430 \pm 129	125 \pm 10 b	21 \pm 1.4

867

868

869

870

871

872

873 Table S2: Alpha-diversity indices of soil HPPDs calculated for each sampling date (0; 3; 7; 14;
874 40; and 55 days) after treatment (control: D0, tembotrione: D1 or D10). Mean values \pm
875 standard error are shown. Different letters indicate significant differences between treatment
876 at each time point (post-hoc Tukey's test, $p \leq 0,05$).

877

Time (days)	Treatment	Observed HPPD	Phylogenetic Diversity	Simpson reciprocal
0	D0	532 \pm 6 ab	133 \pm 1 ab	48.7 \pm 0.3 ab
	D1	559 \pm 2 a	139 \pm 1 a	56.4 \pm 1.1 a
	D10	521 \pm 2 b	131 \pm 1 b	54.4 \pm 1.2 b
3	D0	501 \pm 5	124 \pm 1	35.7 \pm 1.6
	D1	491 \pm 5	124 \pm 1	35.9 \pm 0.7
	D10	490 \pm 8	123 \pm 1	33.2 \pm 1
7	D0	501 \pm 8	125 \pm 2	35.4 \pm 1.6
	D1	486 \pm 2	122 \pm 1	35 \pm 1.1
	D10	476 \pm 7	118 \pm 2	33.1 \pm 3.2
14	D0	521 \pm 3	131 \pm 1 a	42.3 \pm 1.4
	D1	506 \pm 7	125 \pm 1 ab	37.5 \pm 2.3
	D10	498 \pm 1	124 \pm 1 b	38 \pm 0.4
25	D0	535 \pm 5	133 \pm 2	52.2 \pm 0.6 a
	D1	531 \pm 5	133 \pm 1	43 \pm 1.9 b
	D10	520 \pm 9	131 \pm 2	49.4 \pm 2.3 ab
40	D0	552 \pm 7	138 \pm 1	49.5 \pm 1.1
	D1	528 \pm 4	133 \pm 1	45.6 \pm 1.5
	D10	512 \pm 1	131 \pm 1	45 \pm 0.9
55	D0	538 \pm 9 a	139 \pm 2	50.1 \pm 0.1
	D1	540 \pm 5 ab	139 \pm 0.5	54.4 \pm 1.1
	D10	556 \pm 11 b	140 \pm 1	54.4 \pm 0.5

878

879 Table S3 (next page): List and taxonomic affiliations of 16S rRNA ASVs identified by the
880 GLMM model with differential abundance analysis. Taxonomic affiliations of 16S rRNA ASVs
881 were done using the SILVA 138 database and « feature classifier » tool of QIIME2. Confidence
882 of identification is shown (max = 1).

883

884

Discriminant 16S rRNA ASVs identified by GLMM analysis	Taxonomic identification, based on SILVA 138 database for 16S rRNA (domain; phylum; class; order; family; genus; species)	Confidence of identification
ASV-3	Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Gammaproteobacteria; Burkholderiales; Methylophilaceae; Methylothenera	0.9991
ASV-5	Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Alphaproteobacteria; Azospirillales; Azospirillaceae; Skermanella	0.9999
ASV-15	Bacteria; Actinobacteriota; Rubrobacteriales; Rubrobacteriaceae; Rubrobacter; uncultured bacterium	0.7002
ASV-21	Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Gammaproteobacteria; Burkholderiales; Methylophilaceae; Methylothenera	0.9948
ASV-29	Bacteria; Nitrospirota; Nitrospira; Nitrospirales; Nitrospiraceae; Nitrospira; uncultured soil	0.8774
ASV-33	Bacteria; Chloroflexi; Gitt-GS-136; Gitt-GS-136; Gitt-GS-136	1.0000
ASV-38	Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Alphaproteobacteria; Sphingomonadales; Sphingomonadaceae	1.0000
ASV-52	Bacteria; Actinobacteriota; Acidimicrobia; Microtrichales; Illumatobacteraceae; uncultured	0.9684
ASV-104	Bacteria; Chloroflexi; Chloroflexia; Thermomicrobiales; JG30-KF-CM45; JG30-KF-CM45; uncultured bacterium	0.9716
ASV-138	Bacteria; Actinobacteriota; Acidimicrobia; Microtrichales; Jamiaceae; Jamia	0.9996
ASV-222	Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Alphaproteobacteria; Acetobacteriales; Acetobacteraceae; Craurococcus-Caldovatus; uncultured bacterium	0.7224
ASV-234	Bacteria; Planctomycetota; Phycisphaerae; Tepidisphaerales; WD2101 soil group; WD2101 soil group; uncultured bacterium	0.7130
ASV-365	Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Alphaproteobacteria; Sphingomonadales; Sphingomonadaceae; Sphingourantiacus	0.9999
ASV-425	Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Gammaproteobacteria; Xanthomonadales; Xanthomonadaceae; Lysobacter	1.0000
ASV-500	Bacteria; Bacteroidota; Bacteroidia; Cytophagales; Microscillaceae; uncultured	0.7744
ASV-568	Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Gammaproteobacteria; Burkholderiales; Methylophilaceae; MM2; uncultured bacterium	0.9986
ASV-784	Bacteria; Actinobacteriota; Actinobacteria; Propionibacteriales; Nocardioidaceae; Nocardioides	1.0000
ASV-20	Bacteria; Actinobacteriota; Actinobacteria; Microbacteriaceae; Agromyces; Agromyces ramosus	0.8600
ASV-54	Bacteria; Myxococota; bacteriap25; bacteriap25; bacteriap25	0.7118
ASV-60	Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Gammaproteobacteria; Steroidobacteriales; Steroidobacteraceae; Steroidobacter	0.9514
ASV-193	Bacteria; Proteobacteria; Alphaproteobacteria; Rhizobiales; Rhizobiaceae	1.0000
ASV-194	Bacteria; Planctomycetota; Phycisphaerae; Tepidisphaerales; WD2101 soil group; WD2101 soil group; uncultured bacterium	0.7968
ASV-219	Bacteria; Actinobacteriota; Acidimicrobia; IMCC26256; IMCC26256; uncultured Acidimicrobia	0.7517
ASV-226	Bacteria; Gemmatimonadota; Gemmatimonadetes; Gemmatimonadales; Gemmatimonadaceae; Gemmatimonas	1.0000
ASV-270	Bacteria; Actinobacteriota; Actinobacteria; 0319-7L14; 0319-7L14; uncultured actinobacterium	0.9901
ASV-316	Bacteria; Actinobacteriota; Thermoleophilila; Galliales; Galliaceae; Gallia; uncultured bacterium	0.8596


886 Table S4: List and taxonomic affiliations of discriminant HPPD clusters identified by GLMM
 887 model with differential abundance analysis. *Blastp* was performed on amino acid sequences
 888 of HPPD clusters, and the hits with best score are shown.

889

Discriminant HPPD clusters identified by GLMM analysis (n°)	BLASTP best hit	BLASTP score	BLASTP expect	Accession number of best hit HPPD sequence
			value	
158	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase [Microvirga sp. K1BC 81]	151 bits(382)	3.00E-42	WP_116528755.1
834	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase [Massilia sp. PAMC28688]	161 bits(408)	3.00E-46	WP_219883008.1
877	MULTISPECIES: 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase [undclassified Massilia]	156 bits(394)	4.00E-44	WP_182988546.1
1304	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase [Massilia sp. Root1351]	167 bits(423)	2.00E-48	WP_057158391.1
1351	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase [Vitosangium sp. GDMCC 1.1324]	140 bits(353)	3.00E-38	WP_108066616.1
1399	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase [Pseudoduganella rvu1]	128 bits(321)	2.00E-33	WP_154380629.1
1463	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase [Lysobacter tabacisoli]	162 bits(409)	2.00E-46	WP_196778621.1
1479	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase [Sphingomonas sp. G124]	149 bits(375)	2.00E-41	WP_235067680.1
1568	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase [Proteobacteria bacterium]	145 bits(367)	2.00E-40	MBS0379841.1
1646	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase [Steroidobacteraceae bacterium]	134 bits(338)	6.00E-36	MBC8027036.1
0	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase [Massilia sp. G124]	162 bits(410)	1.00E-46	WP_235067680.1
123	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase [Massilia sp. TS11]	142 bits(359)	7.00E-39	WP_237807894.1
211	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase [Methylobacterium indicum]	124 bits(311)	8.00E-32	WP_058617985.1
669	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase [Massilia sp. 91]	161 bits(407)	4.00E-46	WP_201303265.1
728	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase [Massilia sp. LPB0304]	155 bits(393)	5.00E-44	WP_193685763.1
1310	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase [Massilia sp. PAMC28688]	162 bits(409)	3.00E-46	WP_219883008.1
1464	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase [Sphingomonas segetis]	154 bits(390)	1.00E-43	WP_155263959.1
1470	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase [Sphingomonas sp.]	156 bits(395)	2.00E-44	MBA2466995.1
1553	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase [Steroidobacter gossypii]	149 bits(376)	1.00E-41	WP_203165151.1
1564	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase [Sphingomonas sp. G124]	156 bits(394)	3.00E-44	WP_235067680.1
1573	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase [Steroidobacter gossypii]	144 bits(364)	8.00E-40	WP_203165151.1
2819	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase [Actinomyces bacterium]	134 bits(338)	5.00E-36	MBV9254971.1
2821	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase [Streptomyces sporangiformans]	164 bits(414)	4.00E-47	WP_119102138.1
4101	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase [Ranlibacter sp. WS9]	154 bits(389)	2.00E-43	WP_141512490.1
4124	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase [Ranlibacter lithotrophicus]	138 bits(348)	3.00E-37	WP_168109283.1
4134	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase [Variovorax sp. SG517]	140 bits(354)	3.00E-38	WP_176668964.1
4121	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase [Vogesella oryzae]	116 bits(291)	5.00E-29	WP_174875948.1

890

891



892

893

894 Figure S2: Phylogenetic tree of discriminant 4-HPPD sequences between tembotrione
895 treatments in soil, identified by differential abundance analysis and a GLM Model. HPPD
896 clusters from sequencing data and full protein sequences from the *Blastp* best hits were used
897 for multiple sequence alignment and construction of the tree. Phylogenetic tree of the aligned
898 sequences was constructed using FastTree v2.1.8 with default parameters.