

An in-situ experimental HP/HT study on bromine release from a natural basalt

Tobias Grützner, Hélène Bureau, Eglantine Boulard, Pascal Munsch, Nicolas Guignot, Julien Siebert, Yoann Guarnelli

► To cite this version:

Tobias Grützner, Hélène Bureau, Eglantine Boulard, Pascal Munsch, Nicolas Guignot, et al.. An insitu experimental HP/HT study on bromine release from a natural basalt. Chemical Geology, 2024, 644, pp.121869. 10.1016/j.chemgeo.2023.121869. hal-04338237

HAL Id: hal-04338237 https://hal.science/hal-04338237

Submitted on 12 Dec 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 2	An in-situ experimental HP/HT study on bromine release from a natural basalt
3	
4	Tobias Grützner ^{1,2,3} *, Hélène Bureau ¹ , Eglantine Boulard ¹ , Pascal Munsch ⁴ , Nicolas
5	Guignot ⁵ , Julien Siebert ⁶ , Yoann Guarnelli ¹
6	
7	¹ Institut de Minéralogie, de Physique des Matériaux et de Cosmochimie (IMPMC),
8	Sorbonne Université, CNRS UMR 7590, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France.
9	² Research School of Earth Sciences, Australian National University, Canberra,
10	Australia.
11	³ *Institut für Geowissenschaften, Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Germany.
12	⁴ Institut de Recherche en Astrophysique et Planétologie (IRAP), Toulouse, France.
13	⁵ Synchrotron SOLEIL, Saint-Aubin France.
14	⁶ Institut de physique du globe de Paris, France
15	
16	*Corresponding author and present address.
17	
18	Email addresses: tobias.gruetzner@wwu.de (T. Grützner), helene.bureau@upmc.fr (H.
19	Bureau), eglantine.boulard@sorbonne-universite.fr (E. Boulard),
20	pascal.munsch@irap.omp.eu (P. Munsch), nicolas.guignot@synchrotron-soleil.fr (N.

- Guignot), siebert@ipgp.fr (J. Siebert); yoann.guarnelli@sorbonne-universite.fr (Y.
- 22 Guarnelli).

24 ABSTRACT

25 We present the first in-situ partitioning data for bromine between a natural basaltic melt 26 and a coexisting fluid. For this study hydrothermal diamond anvil cell experiments at 27 pressures up to 1.7 GPa were conducted. We combined laser heating to melt the basalt glass with external heating to lower the temperature gradient in the cell and to initiate 28 29 circulation for the aqueous fluid. Bromine concentrations were measured in-situ with Xray fluorescence in the basaltic melts, glasses, and in the fluid. From the results we 30 calculated partition coefficients of $D^{Br}_{fluid/melt} = 1.19$ to 3.92 in the range of 0.4 to 1 GPa 31 for aqueous fluids. Experiments with neon as the surrounding fluid ($D^{Br}_{fluid/melt} = 0.38 \pm$ 32 0.01 at 1.1 GPa) suggest that Br-release from a basalt into volatiles that have no 33 bonding affinity with Br is weak. This should be the case for dry intra-plate volcanic 34 eruptions. From the experimentally gained partition coefficients and from global Br 35 concentration values in melt inclusions of arc magmas, we calculated an annual global 36 Br flux of 23.5 - 72.9 \times 10⁹ g/y. 37

38

39 KEYWORDS

bromine, fluid/melt partitioning, volcanic degassing, SXRF, hydrothermal diamond anvil
cell

43 1. INTRODUCTION

Halogens are very reactive chemicals and play a significant role as volatile elements in
geodynamic processes. In crustal hydrothermal fluids (e.g., brines and molten salts),
halogens are major agents for the metal transport and thus play a critical role in oreforming processes (Aiuppa et al., 2009). Halogens are also important constituents of
volcanic fumaroles and volcanic ejecta. Once released to the atmosphere halogens
have an environmental impact and can e.g., contribute to ozone destruction (e.g.,
Daniel et al., 1999; Gerlach et al., 2004).

The heavy halogen bromine (Br) has a low abundance on Earth, but it is highly reactive 51 and an important chemical agent in the atmosphere (Fehn, 2012). Besides plankton and 52 burning biomass, volcanoes have been recognized as a significant (Gerlach et al., 53 2004) if not the controlling, (Pyle and Mather, 2009) contributor to the actual 54 atmospheric Br content. Especially arc volcanoes release large amounts of Br gas 55 compounds: The first detection of volcanic BrO has been recorded by Bobrowski et al. 56 (2003) from the Soufrière Hills volcano (Montserrat). Since then, Br gas compounds 57 have been reported in several case studies e.g., from Mt. Etna (Aiuppa et al., 2005; 58 Pyle and Mather, 2009; Bobrowski and Giuffrida, 2012; Roberts et al. 2018) or Mt. 59 Masaya (Witt et al, 2008). 60

Based on models from Etna's degassing measurements Br is estimated to be rather less abundant in basalts compared to e.g., chlorine (CI) or sulfur (Bobrowski and Giuffrida, 2012). The Br concentrations range from μ g/g to ng/g in volcanic rocks and in mantle rocks (Newsom, 1995). Yet, Br fluxes from arc volcanoes are estimated to be in the range of 5-15 × 10⁹ g/y HBr per year (Aiuppa et al., 2005; Pyle and Mather, 2009, Webster et al., 2018, Cadoux et al., 2022). HBr is the dominant species of Br emitted from volcanoes (Roberts et al., 2018). Once in the stratosphere, Br is notably involved in the destruction of ozone as it reacts to form BrO and eventually BrO_x (Bobrowski et al., 2003). These conversions are significant: 1 ng/g of BrO can destroy about 10 ng/g of ozone per minute (Bobrowski et al., 2003). This is about 60 times more efficient (Sinnhuber et al., 2009) than the ozone destruction by CI in this respect (Daniel et al., 1999; Gerlach et al., 2004; Gutmann et al., 2018 for review).

However, the deep cycling of Br, its behavior in magmas, and the transfer to the Earth's surface is poorly understood. There is scant data, but Br solubility has been shown to be very high in silica-rich systems (Bureau and Métrich, 2003; Bureau et al., 2010). Depending on the Br content in degassing magmas the annual volcanological Br flux to the atmosphere could be strongly underestimated, and therefore, the impact of Br on ozone destruction in the Earth's stratosphere as well.

So far, there are only a few experimental studies about Br partitioning between a silicate 79 melt and a fluid. The pioneering study was conducted by Bureau et al. (2000) with an 80 albitic melt composition at 200 MPa from which the authors calculated a partition 81 coefficient between the aqueous fluid and the silicate melt of $D_{fluid/melt}^{Br} = 17.5 \pm 0.6$. 82 Bureau et al. (2010) found smaller values with $D_{\text{fluid/melt}}^{\text{Br}}$ ranging from 2.18 to 9.2 ± 0.5 83 between aqueous fluids/saline fluids and a haplogranitic melt at higher pressures of 84 0.66 to 1.7 GPa. Using a similar haplogranitic melt, Louvel et al. (2020b) found values of 85 $D^{Br}_{fluid/melt}$ between 2.0 ± 0.2 and 15.3 ± 2.0 at pressures of 0.2 to 1.7 GPa. Louvel and 86 87 coworkers did not find a clear correlation with pressure or temperature in their results. The experiments from Bureau et al. (2010) and Louvel et al. (2020b) were measured in-88

situ and give a good insight into Br partitioning between melt and fluid, but they were
conducted in compositionally simplified systems (e.g., Fe-free melts) and rather aimed
to study more evolved melts like sediment melt composition with higher SiO₂ content.

⁹² Cadoux et al. (2018) conducted partitioning experiments for Br between fluid and a ⁹³ wider range of arc-related rocks: natural basalt, andesite, and rhyodacite at 100-200 ⁹⁴ MPa. They calculated D^{Br}_{fluid/melt} of 4.6 to 27.9 with basalt at the lower end and ⁹⁵ rhyodacite at the upper end of their partition coefficients. Experiments from Cadoux et ⁹⁶ al. (2018) show generally higher partition coefficients than the results from Bureau et al. ⁹⁷ (2010) which could describe the impact of the lower pressure used by Cadoux et al. ⁹⁸ (2018).

Not much is known about the effect of the different cations on D^{Br}_{fluid/melt}. Bromine 99 concentrations vary in water-saturated silicic melts with (Na+K)/AI molar ratio and reach 100 a minimum at (Na+K)/AI = 1 (Bureau and Métrich, 2003). A comparison to CI advocates 101 102 for the presence of alkalis to increase Br solubility: CI solubility in melts is controlled by the abundances of several elements like Mg, Ca, Fe, Na, K, Al, Li, Rb, Cs, Ti, F, and P 103 (Webster and de Vivo, 2002; Webster et al., 1999). Cochain et al. (2015) demonstrate 104 that alkalis and especially Na can retain Br in a silicate melt while it degasses more 105 efficiently from hydrous melts. Louvel et al. (2020b) find that Br prefers Na over OH in 106 107 silicate glasses, where it is incorporated in a salt-like structure, like NaBr. Louvel at al. (2020a) show that Br bonds with network modifiers in granitic melts but does not find 108 any affinity to prefer Na⁺ over e.g., K^+ or Ca²⁺ if all three are present. 109

In this study we present new Br degassing experiments from a natural arc-related basaltinto an aqueous fluid and into neon. The experiments were conducted over a pressure

range from 0.4 to 1.7 GPa in the closed system of a diamond anvil cell which has already been used successfully by Bureau et al. (2010), to study Br. Partitioning of Br between the basalt and the surrounding fluid can be calculated from both in-situ measurements on melts and on quenched glass.

116

117 2. METHODS

118 2.1. Diamond anvil cell setup

119 Two series of experiments were performed as hydrous and neon experiments which refer to the pressure media water and neon, respectively. As the basalt glass with about 120 2 wt.% of water was also used in the *neon* runs, these runs were not completely dry 121 sensu stricto (for a detailed description of the starting material see further below). The 122 water-basalt-partitioning experiments (hydrous) were conducted in externally heated 123 hydrothermal diamond anvil cells (HDAC) of either the Basset type (e.g., Basset, 2003) 124 or a modified Basset type with pressure-driving membranes (Munsch et al., 2015). 125 Similar setups have been used successfully in former studies on heavy halogen 126 127 behavior in silicate glass and hydrothermal fluids (e.g., Bureau et al., 2010, 2016; Louvel et al., 2020b). All HDAC are equipped with 2 mm thick diamonds with large 128 culets of 1 mm diameter. The sample chamber is compressed between two diamonds 129 and has a diameter of 500 µm which is drilled as a hole into a Re gasket of either 100 130 µm or 200 µm initial thickness. The aqueous fluid serves as a pressure medium within 131 the sample chamber. Both diamonds are mounted on tungsten carbide (WC) seats. A 132 molybdenum wire coiled around each WC seat serves as external heater. The 133

temperature can be measured with K-type thermocouples that are glued with cement to each of the diamond anvils. The temperature offset between the thermocouple position and the sample chamber has been calibrated at ambient pressure against the melting temperature of pure sulfur (112.8 °C), NaNO₃ (308 °C), CsCl (645 °C), and NaCl (801 °C). To avoid oxidation of the diamonds while using the external heaters, the HDAC are connected to a gas supply system and can be flooded with a reducing gas mix like Ar-H₂ (4 vol.%).

For neon experiments we used an inert gas as pressure medium. We performed neon-141 142 basalt partitioning experiments which were conducted in modified Chervin-type diamond anvil cells (Chervin et al., 1995). These DAC are equipped with a pressure driving 143 membrane like the Basset-type HDAC but do not have an external heating system. 144 Chervin type cells (CDAC) can be installed into the gas loading system e.g., at the 145 Institut de Minéralogie, de Physique des Matériaux et de Cosmochimie (IMPMC -146 Sorbonne Université Paris) where we loaded the sample chamber with neon gas 147 instead of water as a pressure medium (Couzinet et al., 2003). Neon has a density like 148 air. It was loaded with an initial pressure of about 0.5 GPa into the DAC. At this 149 150 pressure neon behaves as a (supercritical) fluid. The CDAC are equipped with the same type of diamonds, rhenium gaskets, and the same sample chamber dimensions that 151 were used for the HDAC. All used DAC types in this study allow observation of the 152 experiment and laser heating along the pressure axis through the diamond anvils. 153

154

155 2.2. Experimental runs, heating, and temperature determination

156 For each experiment the sample chambers were filled with 1-3 larger pieces of basalt glass (about 30-50 vol.%, 55-75 wt.% respectively), and 50-70 vol.% of either water or 157 neon gas (+ small flakes of gold + ruby spheres in neon experiments for pressure 158 determination). The samples were compressed to about 1-2 GPa before the run. To 159 heat the basalt glass to its liquid state, we used two YAG laser that can be focused on 160 the sample from both sides of the pressure axis - one through each diamond. YAG 161 laser can be used as the iron content of the basalt glass starting material (8.45 wt.%) is 162 high enough to provide the required absorption. During the heating the melt was 163 164 analyzed with Synchrotron X-Ray Diffraction (SXRD) to test for the presence of crystals in the melt. If crystals (olivine or pyroxene) were present, the laser temperature was 165 increased stepwise until all the crystals were melted. The laser heating temperature 166 167 ranged from 1725 to 2167 °C for the neon experiments. It could not be measured for hydrous experiments but by monitoring the absence of crystals by XRD we could 168 ensure to stay above the liquidus. This would be a temperature of 1300 to 1400 °C for 169 the hydrous basalt. Experimental conditions are listed in Table 1. 170

For the hydrous experiments heating cycles (usually 5 min at the peak temperature) and 171 172 decompression paths were varied between each run: During experiment LN11 and LN22 the basalt glass was heated with the laser to its liquid state and guenched 173 afterwards by shutting off the laser. Br concentrations were then analyzed in the glass 174 175 and in the fluid. This heating-quenching-analyzing cycle was repeated several times with a stepwise pressure release in the sample chamber after each cycle to test for 176 pressure effects on Br partitioning (c.f. Bureau et al., 2010, 2016; Leroy et al., 2019) and 177 to reach chemical equilibrium (see further below in the Results section for detailed 178

179 description). Experiment Cpo2 had only one heating-quenching cycle. For experiment Cpo3 the laser heating was not switched off for the decompression steps, but instead it 180 was constantly keeping the basalt above the liquidus. The Br concentration was 181 analyzed in the fluid of Cpo3 while the laser was switched on and the basalt was still 182 molten. During run Cpo2, Cpo3 and LN22 the external heaters were additionally heating 183 the sample chamber of the HDAC for the entire run (244 °C, 265 °C and 235 °C 184 respectively). This minimized the temperature gradient caused by the heating laser and 185 induced a better circulation and homogenization of the fluid. To test this effect the 186 external heating system was shut off in experiment LN11: the fluid was not heated 187 externally, and Br was measured at two fixed positions (Figure 1a): The first spot is next 188 to the melted and guenched basalt. The second spot is about 200-300 µm away at the 189 rim of the sample chamber (as it was also the case for all other hydrous experiments). 190 During the laser heating the temperature of the HDAC measured at the thermocouples 191 increased to 260 – 280 °C. This was independent from the external heating system 192 being switched on (LN22, Cpo3) or off (LN11). 193

The neon experiments Hak1 and Hak2 were performed in CDAC with neon as the 194 pressure medium and with similar heating cycles as described above for LN11 and 195 LN22: after crossing the basalt glass transition with the laser and keeping the laser for 5 196 min at the peak temperature, the laser was shut off, Br concentrations were analyzed in 197 198 the glass and in the fluid (neon), and the pressure was decreased before starting the next heating cycle again. During the experiment of Hak1 Br was additionally measured 199 twice in the basaltic melt during each heating cycles. After the conduction of run Hak1 200 the cell was kept closed and directly re-compressed to 1.4 GPa to conduct run Hak2 on 201

the same loading. Mar1 had only one long heating cycle: The laser was not switched off during the decompression steps and for each decompression step in Mar1 Br concentration was measured in the liquid basalt (600 sec. for each analysis).

205



Figure 1 Optical microscope images of sample chambers and experimental samples LN11, LN22, Hak2 and Hak1. a) LN1: Two spots of measurement for the aqueous fluid were chosen. One close to the melted glass and one as far away as possible within the sample chamber. b) LN22: like in a) the basalt glass shows a foamlike texture after treatment with the heating laser. c) Hak2: In contrast to a) and b) laser heated glass in coexistence with neon retains its glassy texture. d) Hak1: During heating

cycle 3 and ongoing decompression of the cell water droplets could be observed in thesample chamber.

215

216

217 2.3. Pressure determination

218 2.3.1. Setup

Pressure determination in the loaded and compressed DAC was conducted in several
ways using (1) ruby fluorescence, (2) gold SXRD, and the (3) water-ice phase transition.

(1) For the neon experiments small ruby spheres were added during loading into the 221 sample chamber of the CDAC. The pressure was measured at room temperature before 222 and after the run with the fluorescence method at the IMPMC and at Soleil. The 223 fluorescence wavelength shift of ruby is a commonly used pressure sensor for DAC 224 experiments (e.g., Chervin et al., 2001; Shen et al., 2020). Ruby fluorescence is 225 commonly used as a pressure sensor in DAC experiments, but it was not added to the 226 HDAC experiments as it dissolves quickly in the heated aqueous fluid, possibly causing 227 chemical pollution. 228

(2) In all experiments small gold particles were added into the sample chambers to
determine the pressure in-situ with SXRD during the run. Gold was measured usually
after quenching of the laser heating cycle. The SXRD analyses were processed with
DIOPTAS (Prescher and Prakapenka, 2015) and the GSAS-II (Toby and Dreele, 2013)
software. The pressure shift from the equation of state (EOS) for gold was calculated

234 after Dorogokupets and Dewaele (2007)in the web application http://kantor.50webs.com/diffraction.htm. Unlike ruby, the gold flakes do not dissolve in 235 hydrous experiments. In the neon experiments, the addition of gold allowed us to 236 compare it to the ruby fluorescence pressures. 237

(3) For the hydrous experiments LN11 and LN22 the pressure was determined 238 239 additionally after the run using the EOS for water and following the method described by Bassett et al. (1993). The water-ice phase transition was used to calculate the fluid 240 241 density in the sample chamber: this was done after the experiment (the HDAC were kept closed) and not during the experimental session at Soleil. The sample chamber of 242 the HDAC was cooled down with liquid nitrogen and the temperature of the phase 243 transition between water and ice VI in the aqueous fluid was measured with the 244 attached thermocouples. Pressures were then calculated from the EOS for the water-ice 245 transition (Wagner and Pruß, 2002). This is feasible as the use of the EOS of water in 246 247 silicate-water systems has been validated by Munsch et al. (2015). Table 2 lists all calculated pressures from gold, water-ice transition, and ruby. 248

249 2.3.2. Challenges in pressure determination

Pressure determination in the HDAC is challenging and was often not satisfying during for the conducted runs. The sample chamber is a closed system during the experiment and once it has been compressed, the pressure inside remains constant if the cell does not leak or the pressure is altered – intentionally or by damaging. While the sample is heated the pressure increases inside the sample chamber due to thermal pressure, but it decreases again after quenching/during cooling of the sample. Therefore, ruby fluorescence in neon experiments shows the same pressure before and after the 257 experiment (at room temperature) and confirms that the system remained close (Table 2). To prevent all parts of the loaded cell from damage suffering in hydrous 258 experiments, the nitrogen cooling for pressure determination with the EOS of the water-259 ice transition was only applied after cessation of the experiment while the HDAC were 260 kept closed. During sample loading of the hydrous experiments no high-pressure 261 polymorphs of ice were observed in any of the loaded sample chambers at room 262 temperature. Thus, none of the HDAC samples exceeded pressures of 1.1 GPa during 263 the pre-experiment compression (c.f. Wagner and Pruß, 2002). The pressure 264 determination from the water EOS is precise and reliable only for the last heating cycles 265 performed in the HDAC. Respectively, the limit P < 1.1 GPa is proposed for the first 266 heating cycles of each experiment (Table 1). 267

268 Most pressures calculated from the EOS for gold do not agree well with the other two methods (Table 2). The pressures measured with gold are in average about 1.2 GPa 269 higher than the pressures determined with water-ice transition or ruby. The difference 270 between gold and both alternative methods show a moderate positive correlation of r =271 0.69 (Figure 2), with -1 or 1 for an excellent correlation and 0 for no correlation. 272 However, the trend in Figure 2 would be expected to match with m = 1 (dashed line), as 273 all working pressure calculation methods should give the same results within an error, 274 or it should describe a nonlinear approach to 1 on a larger pressure scale. We used 275 only data points where the pressure was measured under the same conditions with two 276 different methods for Figure 2. The dataset is small, and the range of pressure is limited 277 to < 1.7 GPa (ruby) which lies in the nature of the experiments of this study. A nonlinear 278 approach towards the dashed line (m = 1) can be expected for pressures > 2 GPa, but 279

at lower pressures the EOS of gold shows a clear shift towards higher pressures (Figure
281 2) and cause probably pressure overestimation.

Pressure values calculated from gold show further unexpected behavior during each experiment, as for several runs the pressure seem to remain elevated after the last quenching – relative to the first measured pressure before the start of the experiment. This is not in agreement with ruby fluorescence. Moreover, in experiment LN11 the pressure seems to drop during the laser heating from 1.9 GPa to 0.8 - 1.2 GPa for the first four heating cycles but seem to increase to 2.0 GPa after the last heating cycle (Table 2). A closure (volume change) of the sample chamber could not be observed.

We argue therefore that pressure calculations from the EOS of gold are unreliable for the applied pressure range of this study (< 2 GPa). Pressure data in this range calculated from gold XRD are rather estimates and must be handled with care.

292

293



Figure 2 Pressures in the DAC sample chamber calculated from the EOS for gold vs. pressures calculated from the EOS for water or from ruby fluorescence. The linear trend (black line) shifts from the expected trend (dashed line) between the appliedmethods.

298

299 2.4. Starting material composition

300 For the starting material, we used a natural island arc basalt from the Soufriere volcano on St Vincent Island, Lesser Antilles arc (STV301 glass Pichavant et al., 2002) that was 301 enriched in Br and water: The basalt was grounded into a fine grain powder to which 3 302 303 wt.% of NaBr and 2 wt.% of H₂O were added. We melted the powder in a Boyd & England-type piston cylinder apparatus (Boyd and England, 1960) at the Institut de 304 Physique du Globe de Paris (IPGP) to obtain a glass of this hydrous, Br-bearing basaltic 305 306 mixture. Synthesis conditions for our starting glass were 1.1 GPa, 1300 °C and 30 min run duration. A sealed Au-Pd capsule prevented major iron loss. Small pieces of the Br-307 bearing hydrous glass were used for the in-situ experiments. For the aqueous fluid we 308 309 used distilled water.

The synthesized basaltic starting material is texturally homogenous. We used an optical 310 311 microscope first, and an electron microscope (FEG Zeiss Ultra55) at the IMPMC, Sorbonne Université Paris to check the glass for impurities. The glass looks 312 homogenous and no crystals, gas- or water bubbles could be found. Major and minor 313 elements were analyzed with a JEOL 8530F Plus Electron Probe Microanalyser (EPMA) 314 at the Centre for Advanced Microscopy, ANU in Canberra, Australia and confirmed that 315 the basalt glass is also chemically homogenous. The composition of the starting glass 316 can be found in Table 3. The Br concentration is about 1.1 ± 0.1 wt.% (with EPMA) and 317

was confirmed with Synchrotron X-ray fluorescence (SXRF) in the basalt glass before
 each experiment.

320

321 2.5. In-situ analysis of Br concentrations

322 Bromine concentration in basalt glass, aqueous fluid, and neon were measured quantitatively by SXRF in situ analysis in the diamond anvil cells (DAC) at the SOLEIL 323 Synchrotron on the PSICHÉ beamline. We used a monochromatic beam of 33 keV that 324 325 was masked by a pinhole of 50 μ m in diameter and focused at 12 x 10 μ m². For each 326 experiment the DAC was positioned perpendicular to the beam. Both SXRF and SXRD 327 were detected in transmission geometry. SXRF was detected by a SDD detector which 328 was placed at 24° relative to the beam transmitted through the DAC. Counting times were usually set to 600 seconds. 329

SXRF analyses were calibrated on a 240 µm thick piece of the NIST silicate glass 330 certified reference material SRM 610 (e.g., Rocholl et al., 1997). The Br content in NIST 331 610 is not quantified but NIST 610 is calibrated for multi elemental analyses on glasses 332 and covers a broad range of elements including elements with Ka peak energies close 333 to the Kα peak of Br (11.9 keV) e.g., Se (11.2 keV), As (10.5 keV) or Sr (14.1 keV). The 334 volcanic glass V1 (Mosbah et al. 1995) was measured additionally as standard glasses, 335 as well as a piece of the Br- H_2O -doped starting glass (BaM024). To test for any effects 336 of DAC setup on SXRF measurements NIST 610 was also placed in the DAC at 337 ambient pressure and temperature. No effects could be found between the different 338 NIST analyses. All glasses show good agreements for their element concentrations in 339

the energy range of Br (Table 4). During the experiments basalt glass analyses were conducted in the center of the heated region (the crater-like texture in neon experiments). The detection limit is typically in the range of of 1-10 μ g/g, which is in good agreement with similar studies (e.g. Louvel et al., 2020a) and with what can be expected for elements of a similar mass (Rousseau, 2001).

345 The gaskets of each experiment were recovered, and their thickness was measured after the run to calculate the change in the set-up geometry of the SXRF analyses 346 during the experiments. To quantify the Br concentration in the basaltic glass, the 347 aqueous fluid, or in neon all obtained SXRF spectra from standards and experiments 348 were processed by using the PyMCA software (Solé et al., 2007). We compared the Br 349 concentration of the starting material with the calculated values from PyMCA for the first 350 measurement at room temperature for each experiment. If both values were not in 351 agreement, we assumed that the basalt glass was not touching both diamonds. The 352 initial thickness of the glass was then calculated using PyMCA by adding a water/neon 353 layer to fit the calculated Br concentration with the value from the starting material. For 354 matrix corrections we assumed a 2.9 g/cm³ for basalt, 1-1.2 g/cm³ for water and 0.5 355 g/cm³ for supercritical Neon. 356

357

358 3. RESULTS

359 3.1. Textural observations

360 Textural changes of the basalt glass were observed after quenching the experiment. In 361 hydrous experiments the glass has a spongy or foam-like texture after the ultra-fast 362 quenching induced by the laser turn off which causes very fast local water exsolution (Figure 1a-b). This transformation into a foam affected a volume that is much larger 363 than the original laser heating spot and is seen at the rim of the glass piece. It shows 364 therefore that almost the whole glassy volume is melted during the heating. These 365 textures have not been observed in studies with haplogranite where the external heating 366 367 is strong enough to melt the entire glass in the sample chamber of the HDAC and when temperatures decrease slowly (Bureau et al., 2010; Louvel et al., 2020b). The spongy 368 textures were not found in neon runs. As a study from Balcone-Boissard et al. (2020) 369 370 shows, bromine diffusion in related melts is much lower than that of H₂O. External heating was added to lower the temperature gradient and to support the 371 homogenization of the hydrothermal fluid in several experiments, but temperatures were 372 not high enough to melt the entire piece of glass or even reach full miscibility between 373 melt and fluid. Nevertheless, experiments like LN11 and LN22 for water show 374 reproducible results and equilibration between the Br concentration in the glass and in 375 the surrounding fluid within their runs. 376

In neon experiments the melting spots of the laser are clearly visible but the glass around the laser appears to be texturally unharmed (Figure 1c-d). The temperature gradient is larger than in the hydrous experiments because no external heating was applied to the CDAC. However, the basalt glass shows no strong reaction with neon.

All experimental results are listed in Table 1.



Figure 3 Bromine concentration versus time/heating cycles in hydrous experiments. 384 During each heating cycle step the basalt glass was melted, quenched, and analyzed 385 again. a) Cpo2: Already the first heating cycle reduces the Br concentration in the basalt 386 387 dramatically. b) LN22: Bromine concentrations in the basalt glass and in the aqueous fluid equilibrate quickly after quenching the first heating cycle and remain on relatively 388 constant levels. The plateau of the curve is a good indicator for chemical equilibrium. c) 389 390 Cpo3: Bromine concentration in the aqueous fluid equilibrates quickly and remains constant after guenching. d) LN11: Without the usage of external heating the 391 concentration of bromine in the aqueous fluid is not homogenized and shows a distinct 392 difference between the concentrations analyzed close to the melted glass and further 393 away. 394

396 3.2. Hydrous Experiments

397 3.2.1. Run Cpo2

Run Cpo2 shows Br loss to the surrounding aqueous fluid: Br concentration before the 398 first heating is 11160 µg/g in the glass and 26-48 µg/g in the fluid. For this run the 399 temperature of the laser could not be measured precisely. After quenching the 400 concentration changes to 1070 μ g/g in the glass and 2029 μ g/g in the fluid (Figure 3a). 401 A partition coefficient of $D^{Br}_{fluid/glass} = 1.9$ was calculated. The pressure could not be 402 determined precisely, but according to the stability of water and ice VI at room 403 temperature the pressure in the loaded cell is < 1.1 GPa (Wagner and Pruß, 2002). 404 Gold SXRD gave a pressure range of 2.6 GPa before the heating and 2.1 GPa after the 405 406 heating.

407 3.2.2. Run LN22

In run LN22 Br concentration before the first heating is 11120 μ g/g in the glass and 18 µg/g in the aqueous fluid. The laser temperature could only be estimated but SXRD confirmed that it was above the solidus of the hydrous basalt. After quenching the concentrations change to 2124-3282 μ g/g in the glass and 6864-7455 μ g/g in the fluid for all four cycles (Figure 3b). A range of D^{Br}_{fluid/glass} = 2.10 – 3.31 was calculated. The pressure range is between 0.4 and 1.1 GPa during the experiment according to water and decreased slightly from 2.1 GPa to 2.0 GPa according to gold.

Br concentration in water and basalt reach a plateau after the first heating cycle (Figure 3b). This is a good indicator that chemical equilibrium has been reached in the experiment. 418 3.2.3. Run Cpo3

In run Cpo3 Br concentration is 12440 μ g/g in the glass and 79 μ g/g in the aqueous fluid before the heating. While the laser keeps the basalt liquid, the Br concentration in the fluid ranges from 2130 to 2563 μ g/g. After quenching the concentrations is 1990 μ g/g in the glass and 2370 μ g/g in the fluid (Figure 3c). A value of D^{Br}_{fluid/glass} = 1.19 can be calculated. According to run Cpo3 there are no notable changes in Br concentration before and after quenching the glass. As for run Cpo2 the pressure is < 1.1 GPa according to water but increases from 1.6 GPa to 2.1 GPa according to gold.

426 3.2.4. Run LN11

427 Bromine concentration before the first heating is 12610 μ g/g in the glass and 80 μ g/g in 428 the aqueous fluid. After the first quenching the Br concentration remains high in the glass (12410 µg/g) but becomes slightly lower after heating-quenching cycle 2-5 (1062-429 1752 µg/g). In the fluid, measurements close to the melted glass show that the Br 430 concentration ranges from the first to the fifth quenching between 3462 and 5784 μ g/g. 431 Further away from the glass, the values range from 226 to 565 µg/g (Figure 3d). While 432 Br shows a concentration gradient the partitioning between fluid and glass remains 433 relatively constant in cycle 2-5 with $D^{Br}_{fluid/glass} = 3.30$ to 3.92 close to the glass and 434 $D_{\text{fluid/glass}}^{\text{Br}} = 0.13$ to 0.37 at the rim of the sample chamber. The pressure range is 435 436 between 0.53 and 1.1 GPa calculated from water EOS and varies between 0.8 and 2.0 GPa calculated from the EOS for gold. 437

438

439 3.3. Neon Experiments

440 3.3.1. Run Mar1

441 In run Mar1 bromine concentration before the first heating is 10620 µg/g in the glass 442 and 209 μ g/g in the neon. During laser heating the concentration increases slightly from 443 14640 to 16430 μ g/g in the melt. It remains high (16430 μ g/g) in the quenched glass and increased in the neon to 16212 µg/g (Figure 4a). A partition coefficient of D^{Br}_{neon/glass} 444 445 = 0.37 can be calculated from the Br values in the fluid and in the glass. The pressure is 1.7 GPa according to ruby fluorescence and increases from 2.7 to 3.2 GPa according to 446 the EOS of gold. We assume that the observed change in concentration comes from 447 slight variation in the glass thickness between the different heating cycles. The melt can 448 become spherical and might have extended further in the direction of the beam within 449 the sample chamber. While Br concentration increased during the ongoing melting the 450 451 calculated partitioning between glass and fluid is in good agreement with the Hak1 run (see below). 452



Figure 4 Bromine concentration versus time/heating cycles in neon experiments. During each further heating cycle step the basalt glass was melted, quenched, and analyzed again. a) Mar1: Br concentrations remain high in the basalt glass and low in the neon. No changes in concentration appear between melt and glass. b) Hak1: The bromine concentrations measured in the neon remain lower than in the basalt glass. With ongoing decompression water is released from the hydrous basalt glass during the last heating cycle. We suspect bromine to partition into the released water while neon

remains depleted in bromine. c) Hak2: Bromine concentration remain higher in the neonthan in the basalt glass over all heating cycles.

464

465 3.3.2. Run Hak1

466 In run Hak1 bromine concentration before the first heating is 10019 µg/g in the glass and 1062 µg/g in the neon. During the first heating cycle Br concentration ranges from 467 11019 µg/g to 45065 µg/g in the melt and in the quenched glass respectively. In the 468 469 second and third heating cycles Br concentration remains lower in the melt and in the 470 glass ranging from 9864 μ g/g to 11256 μ g/g. In the neon the measured Br concentration 471 increases to 4153 μ g/g, 3985 μ g/g, and 208 μ g/g after each of the three quenching 472 cycles. Currently, we cannot fully explain the high Br values in the melt for the first two heating cycles. Volume changes during the melting could explain the variations. 473 However, in heating cycle 2 and 3 the Br values decrease and equilibrate with the 474 values measured in the glass. The D^{Br}_{neon/glass} values are 0.38, 0.39, and 0.02, 475 respectively (Figure 4b). 476

The pressure is 1.1 GPa after loading the cell measured with ruby fluorescence or 3.3 GPa with gold. At this pressure the diffraction peaks also overlap with neon at 1.2 GPa. Like in all other experiments with neon, the external pressure (1.1 GPa) is released stepwise between each heating-quenching cycle. After the last quenching small droplets of water could be observed as a third phase in the sample chamber (Figure 1d; Figure 5). This observation suggests a pressure < 1.1 GPa after the last quenching but will be discussed in more detail further below. The calculated pressure from gold is 3.1 GPa(1.2 GPa from neon) at the end of the experiment.



485

Figure 5 Synchrotron X-ray fluorescence analysis of the pressure medium in run Hak1. High pressure neon was analyzed at heating cycle 2 while water was absent. The Br concentration in the HP neon is 4025 μ g/g (grey). When the pressure was decreased in heating cycle 3 water bubbles released from the hydrous basalt could be observed in the neon (c.f. Figure 1d). With the presence of water Br concentrated in the water bubbles (blue) and decreased in the neon down to 210 μ g/g (black).

493

486

494 3.3.3. Run Hak2

495 For run Hak2 the closed cell with the sample Hak1 was recompressed and used again for three heating-quenching cycles. Bromine concentration before the first heating is 496 10138 µg/g in the glass and already high in the neon from the former run Hak1 with 497 11760 µg/g. After quenching the concentrations range from 4333 to 5974 µg/g in the 498 glass and 7087 to 10314 μ g/g in the fluid (Figure 4c). A range of D^{Br}_{fluid/glass} = 1.64 – 499 1.83 can be calculated. The ratio calculated from the Br concentrations before the first 500 heating in this experimental run is $D^{Br}_{fluid/glass} = 1.16$. The pressure determined with ruby 501 spheres is 1.4 GPa before the first and after the last run. Gold pressure determination 502 decreased from 2.8 GPa to 1.9 GPa. 503

504

505 4. DISCUSSION

506 4.1 Bromine partitioning between basalt and aqueous fluids

The fluid-melt partitioning values from our experiments range from $D^{Br}_{fluid/melt} = 1.19$ to 507 3.31 ± 0.6 – within the applied pressure and temperature range. These values overlap 508 with findings from other studies but are slightly lower than the partition coefficients 509 510 calculated by Bureau et al. (2010), Cadoux et al. (2018), and Louvel et al. (2020b). Partition coefficients between haplogranite and an aqueous fluid from Bureau et al. 511 (2010) range from $D_{\text{fluid/melt}}^{\text{Br}} = 2.18 \pm 0.4$ to 15.3 ± 2 and values from Louvel et al. 512 (2020b) range from $D^{Br}_{fluid/melt} = 2 \pm 0.2$ to 9.2 ± 1.8 . Cadoux et al (2018) found partition 513 coefficients of $D^{Br}_{fluid/melt} = 3.8$ to 5.2 from their experiments with basaltic composition 514 and 8.6 to 27.9 from rhyodacite. With all calculated $D^{Br}_{fluid/melt} > 1$ the data from all four 515

studies confirm that Br has a slight to strong affinity to partition from the melt into theaqueous fluid.

518 Partition coefficients from Cadoux et al. (2018) are generally higher than partition 519 coefficients from the other three studies for comparable SiO₂ content (Figure 6). One reason could be that Cadoux et al (2018) did not conduct in-situ experiments and 520 521 measured Br concentrations only in the quenched samples. In fact, the three other studies are all conducted and analyzed in-situ in HDAC – this study at least partially. 522 Louvel et al. (2020b) argue that differences in the partition coefficients to Cadoux et al. 523 (2018) might come from the quantification of Br by mass balance as it was used by 524 Cadoux et al. (2018), or artifacts of the quench cooling that cause Br loss into the fluid. 525 Louvel et al. (2020b) exclude their data point at 0.2 GPa, as they suspect uncertainties 526 in the pressure determination below 0.5 GPa. Run Hak1 demonstrates that Br 527 concentrations do not change significantly in our experiments in neon between basalt 528 melt and guenched basalt glass (Figure 4b), but it can be the case with water in 529 externally heated DAC experiments up to 800-900 °C (e.g., Borchert et al., 2009; 530 Bureau et al., 2010: Leroy et al., 2019). At these temperatures external heating 531 increases the pressure in the sample chamber. Quenching the experiment can induce a 532 pressure drop that affects the partitioning of elements like Sr, Rb, (Borchert et al., 2009) 533 or Br (e.g., Bureau et al., 2010). Due to the selective heating of the laser, the pressure 534 535 increase in the cell is small and fast diffusion during cooling plays a minor role in our experiments. Therefore, differences might also come from uncertainties in pressure 536 determination or Br quantification in guenched samples. 537

538 Several trends for partition coefficients were described and suggested in existing studies on Br partitioning (e.g., Figure 6): Bureau et al (2010) found correlations of 539 decreasing D^{Br}fluid/melt with increasing pressure and with increasing water content. 540 Cadoux et al (2018) found a correlation of decreasing D^{Br}_{fluid/melt} with increasing 541 temperature and with decreasing SiO₂ concentration within their data. This 542 compositional effect of the melt was also described by Bureau and Metrich (2003) and 543 Cochain et al. (2015). Louvel et al., 2020b found the variation in the partition coefficients 544 to remain small over a large P-T range and do not find any clear trend. They propose 545 that hydrous granite melts have a Br storage capacity similar to aqueous fluids. This 546 makes sense as with increasing pressure and temperature, both fluid and melt tend to 547 be equal before being miscible (Bureau and Keppler, 1999). This could buffer changing 548 P-T conditions to some extent. Assuming a compositional trend, the basalt from Cadoux 549 et al. (2018) has a higher D^{Br}_{fluid/melt} than values from this study, which rather overlaps 550 with the partition coefficients from the haplogranite of Bureau et al. (2010). The 551 rhyodacite from Cadoux et al. (2018) has about the same amount of SiO₂ as the 552 haplogranite but has higher D^{Br}_{fluid/melt} values. This can be best explained with the 553 pressure trend described by Bureau et al. (2010). 554

As the partition coefficients for basalts are generally smaller than for SiO_2 -rich melts, the data from Cadoux et al. (2018) and from this study support the pressure trend, as well as the general trend of decreasing $D^{Br}_{fluid/melt}$ with increasing water content. However, given the uncertainties and the data spreading from all ive studies and the much stronger effect melt composition, it is not clear if pressure, melt composition, or fluid fraction have the strongest effect on the partitioning.



563

564 Figure 6 Aqueous fluid-melt partition coefficients for bromine from Bureau et al. 565 (2010) (triangle), Cadoux et al. (2018) (box), Louvel et al. (2020b) (diamond) and this

study (Cpo2, Cpo3, LN22 in circles) vs. a) SiO₂ content in the silicate glass; b) vs.
pressure; c) vs. aqueous fluid fraction.

568

569 4.2. Bromine partitioning between basalt glass and neon

The calculated neon-melt partition coefficients in the experiments Hak1 and Mar1 are in 570 the range of $D^{Br}_{fluid/melt} = 0.38 \pm 0.06$ and imply that Br favors to remain in the basalt 571 liquid over partitioning into the neon, an inert fluid phase (Table 1). To the authors' 572 573 knowledge there are no further studies on halogen partitioning between a silicate glass and neon or any other dry gaseous phase such as CO₂. We argue that Br has a strong 574 affinity to bond with water but a low affinity to bond with neon which can be a good 575 analogue for CO₂, the second major volatile degassed by volcanoes. Both neon and 576 CO₂ do not have a strong bonding affinity to halogens. Therefore, Br tends to remain in 577 the basaltic melt. However, partition coefficients from experiment Hak2 range from 1.16 578 to 1.83. This discrepancy within the neon-related experiments needs to be addressed: 579

We used a hydrous basalt with about 2 wt.% of H₂O as starting material for the 580 experiments of this study. In experiment Hak1 water droplets released from the hydrous 581 basalt could be observed in the sample chamber after the last heating cycle (Figure 1d; 582 Figure 5). The water droplets were visible at the end of run Hak1 and could explain the 583 decrease of Br concentration in the neon from 3985 to 208 µg/g Br after the last heating 584 cycle: As soon as small amounts of water appear as a third phase in the sample 585 chamber, Br partitions from neon into water leaving the neon fluid with much lower Br 586 concentration than in the former heating-guenching cycles. 587

The cell remained closed for the next experiment Hak2 but after recompression of the cell the water droplets could not be observed during the experiment Hak2. At \ge 1.4 GPa and ambient temperature liquid water is not stable but instead ice VI (Wagner and Pruß, 2002). However, neither water nor ice VI were observed before and during the Hak2 experiment. In the lack of Br partitioning data between ice VI and neon we assume that not much Br can be incorporated in the structure of ice VI and that most of the Br goes back into neon when water transforms into ice during the re-compression of the sample.

The partition coefficients between basalt and (dry) neon are represented by the first two heating cycles of Hak1 (0.38 and 0.39) before water droplets were observed. The higher partition coefficients over several heating-quenching cycles in Hak2 (1.16 – 1.83) must be related to the presence of water. At this stage the basalt crossed the glass transition several times (heating and quenching cycles) and Br should partition from the neon back into the basalt while the system equilibrates. Instead, Br concentrations and $D^{Br}_{neon/glass}$ remain higher than in Hak1.

A mixed fluid of "wetted" or hydrous neon probably explains the elevated concentrations 602 and partitioning values. During heating of the Hak2 experiment neon takes up the water 603 (initially stored in liquid droplets or ice) and increases its Br storage capacity as it 604 becomes slightly hydrous. In Hak1 the water release from the basalt liquid has been 605 initially prevented by the high pressure in the first cycles. After external decompression 606 in the last cycle of Hak1 water could be released from the basalt glass. This is a very 607 likely scenario as the water solubility in the basalt glass strongly relies on pressure (e.g., 608 609 Dixon et al., 1995). As the basalt dehydrates during the melting, experiments with dry 610 basalt would be needed to clarify Br behavior in neon.

4.3 Volcanic bromine degassing and global bromine fluxes

613 Bromine concentrations in natural melts, fluids, or volcanic gases are usually in the range of low µg/g. Monitoring of Br cycling, or volcanic degassing remains challenging, 614 and so far, the data are scarce relative to e.g., the lighter halogens fluorine (F) and CI 615 (e.g., Webster et al., 2018). To calculate a (global) flux for volcanic halogen degassing, 616 two methods can be applied: (1) Volatile degassing can be measured directly at active 617 volcanoes or (2) halogen concentration in melt inclusions can be studied as a 618 petrological approach (for detailed reviews of both methods: Wallace, 2005; Pyle and 619 Mather, 2009; Webster et al., 2018, and references therein). 620

4.3.1. Volcanic halogen degassing

Halogen flux calculations from present-day emissions have been applied to accessible 622 volcanoes - most of them are related to arc volcanism (Pyle and Mather, 2009). Due to 623 its high concentration in volcanic gasses SO₂ is the easiest volatile to measure in 624 volcanic plumes. Measured halogen concentrations can be scaled up using e.g., 625 HF/SO₂ or HCI/SO₂ ratios (Wallace, 2005). For Br either HBr/HCl or HCI/SO₂ are 626 commonly in use as ratios. However, halogen- and SO₂ degassing show large spatial 627 variations and can vary dramatically at one volcano during different phases of activity 628 (c.f. Edmonds et al., 2001, 2002). This can have large impacts on global flux upscaling 629 (Pyle and Mather, 2009, Webster et al., 2018, Cadoux et al., 2022). Recent flux 630 estimates for halogens from volcanic gas data range therefore over two orders of 631

magnitude for F and Cl, and over four orders of magnitude for Br and iodine (Webster etal., 2018).

634 Several values for global Br flux from volcanic arc degassing have been calculated based on HBr measurements and the comparison to HBr/HCI or HCI/SO₂ ratios: Halmer 635 et al. (2002) calculated $2.6-43.2 \times 10^9$ g/y, Aiuppa et al. (2005) calculated 13×10^9 g/y, 636 Pyle and Mather (2009) calculated $5-15 \times 10^9$ g/y, and Webster et al. (2018) calculated 637 10.5×10^9 g/y (Table 5). Several studies on volatile degassing show that halogen 638 degassing from non-arc volcanism is rather negligible for global flux calculations of e.g., 639 CI (5.5 wt. % of the annual global flux) and for heavy halogens like Br (0.6 wt. %; 640 Webster et al., 2018; with data from: Gerlach, 2004; Auippa et al., 2009; Shinohara, 641 2013). 642

643 *4.3.2.* Global flux calculation from melt inclusions

The calculation of global halogen flux from melt inclusions compares the concentration 644 of halogens measured in pre-eruptive melt inclusions with post-eruptive glass of the 645 final degassed lava (e.g., Webster et al., 2018; d'Augustin et al., 2020). This approach 646 allows to estimate volatile flux from volcanic eruption of the past. Chlorine and fluorine 647 exsolve at low pressures with < 100 MPa for Cl and < 10 MPa for F (Aiuppa et al., 2009; 648 649 Webster et al., 2018). This implies late degassing of both halogens during magma ascent and a good preservation of initial CI and F contents in pre-eruptive melt 650 inclusions. Not much is known about the behavior of Br but the small pressure effect on 651 melt-fluid partitioning in basalts suggests also shallow degassing of Br. 652

Following the method described in Wallace (2005), we can calculate an annual bromineflux q_{Br}:

$$q_{Br} = \frac{Q \times \rho_B}{c_{fl}}$$

with ρ_B as the density for basalt (2.8 g/cm³). For the annual magma flux Q, we use a global arc magma flux of 2.5×10^{15} cm³/y from Carmichael (2002). Instead of using Br measurements from post-erupted glasses, the bromine concentration in the fluid/degassing phase c_{fl} can be calculated as

$$c_{\rm fl} = c_{mi} \times W \frac{D}{D+1}$$

with c_{mi} as bromine concentration in melt inclusions and *D* as the newly gained partition coefficient D^{Br}fluid/melt for aqueous fluid experiments from this study. The addition of the factor *W* considers that the fluid fraction in our experiments is higher than in natural systems: Experiments from this study have a fluid fraction of 25 to 45 wt.%. Arc magmas can have water contents up to 20 wt.%, but probably not all over the globus (Urann et al., 2022). Assuming a water content between 5 and 12 wt.% would lead to a factor *W* = 3 to 7.

The amount of data for bromine concentration in melt inclusions (c_{mi}) are rather limited relative to the lighter halogens F and Cl. Webster et al. (2018) compiled a dataset of 175 measurements for Br and 4710 measurements for Cl in melt inclusions. They find that primitive arc magmas have in general Br concentrations < 10 µg/g. Higher concentrations are however possible: Straub and Layne (2003) found melt inclusions with Cl concentration of 9400 µg/g from the Izu Bonin arc. According to typical Cl/Br mantle ratios of about 300, primitive melts at the Izu Bonin arc could have Br concentrations of 30 μ g/g (Bureau et al., 2010). But for a global Br distribution in primitive arc magmas an average concentration of 5 μ g/g is more likely (e.g., dataset from Webster et al., 2018) and is also in good agreement with other recent findings with about 3-5 μ g/g Br in melt inclusions from arc basalts (Kutterolf et al., 2013; Vidal et al., 2016).

678 With 5 µg/g as initial average Br concentration the calculated global Br flux from arc volcanoes ranges from 23.5×10^9 g/y to 72.9×10^9 g/y in respect to the minimum and 679 maximum values of our experimentally determined partition coefficients D^{Br}_{fluid/glass} = 680 1.19 and $D_{fluid/dlass}^{Br}$ = 3.92 and the water content of arc magmas. The calculated flux is 681 higher than values from degassing data (Table 5), but these values could be 682 underestimated as they assume a SO₂ fluxes of $14*10^{12}$ g/y (Aiuppa et al., 2005), 683 15*10¹² g/v (Pyle and Mather, 2009), 16.8*10¹² g/v (Webster et al., 2018), or 16.8*10¹² 684 g/y (Cadoux et al., 2022) for their calculations of the Br flux. Recent findings of a higher 685 SO^2 flux of 23 ± 2*10¹² g/y (Carn et al., 2017) would lead also to higher results for 686 corrected Br degassing values with fluxes of 21.4 *10⁹ g/y, 8-23 *10⁹ g/y, 14.4 *10⁹ g/y, 687 or 12.3 *10⁹ g/y, respectively (Table 5). Given all uncertainties for volatile flux 688 estimations in general, these values for the global Br flux and the newly calculated flux 689 based on our experiments are in a very good agreement. 690

691

692 5. CONCLUSION

693 We present a new experimental dataset for Br partitioning between basalt and an aqueous fluid and between basalt and neon. To our knowledge these are the first 694 experimental data measured in-situ for Br degassing from basalt. We calculated 695 partition coefficients of $D^{Br}_{fluid/melt} = 1.19$ to 3.92 at 0.4 to 1 GPa for magma degassing in 696 water-rich magmas (aqueous fluids in equilibrated experiments). The values are in good 697 agreement with partition coefficients from ex-situ experiments at lower pressures with 698 basalt (Cadoux et al., 2018) and confirm a slight negative correlation with pressure, as 699 well as a strong positive correlation with SiO₂ content. 700

Experiments with an inert gas analogue for CO_2 as pressure medium (neon) give partition coefficients < 1 ($D^{Br}_{fluid/melt} = 0.38 \pm 0.01$ at 1.1 GPa) for magma degassing under almost dry (2 wt.% water) conditions, as neon and Br have no bonding affinity. These experiments propose less Br degassing for e.g., dry intra-plate volcanism relative to hydrous eruptions in arcs, in good agreement with natural observations.

From the calculated partition coefficients for the presented anhydrous experiments and from global Br concentration values in melt inclusions from arc magmas, we calculated a global Br flux of 23.5 - $72.9*10^9$ g/y. This flux is higher than most values calculated from active volcano degassing (Aiuppa et al., 2005; Pyle and Mather, 2009; Webster et al., 2018) but agrees well with new findings on global SO₂ degassing (Carn et al., 2017). Former calculated fluxes may be slightly underestimating the annual Br degassing.

712

713 6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

714 This project was funded by the ANR Projet de Recherche Collaborative VOLC-HAL-CLIM (Volcanic Halogens: from Deep Earth to Atmospheric Impacts), ANR-18-CE01-715 0018 (Hélène Bureau). Tobias Grützner is grateful for an EU Marie Skłodowska-Curie 716 717 Fellowship "ExCliso" (Project ID 101017762). We thank the PSICHÉ staff at SOLEIL for their assistance. Paraskevas Parisiadis is thanked for his help with the laser heating test 718 runs we performed at the IMPMC. We thank Marion Louvel, Richard W Thomas, and 719 the two reviewers for their helpful comments to improve the manuscript. Editor Claudia 720 Romano is thanked for handling the manuscript. The authors acknowledge the facilities, 721 and the scientific and technical assistance of Microscopy Australia at the Centre of 722 Advanced Microscopy, The Australian National University. 723

724

725 7. Appendix A. Supplementary Material

The supplementary material contains the raw data of all SXRF spectra and SXRD pattern that were analyzed and processed in this study.

729 8. REFERENCES

- Aiuppa, A., Baker, D.R., Webster, J.D. 2009. Halogens in volcanic systems. *Chem. Geol.* 263,1–8.
- Aiuppa, A., Federico, C., Franco, A., Giudice, G., Gurrieri, S., Inguaggiato, S., Liuzzo,
- M., McGonigle, A.J. S. & Valenza, M., 2005. Emission of bromine and iodine from
 Mount Etna volcano. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 6, Q08008.
- Balcone-Boissard, H., Baker, D.R., Villemant, B., Caudiz, J., Boudon, G., Deloule, E.,
- 2020. Br diffusion in phonolitic melts: Comparison with fluorine and chlorine diffusion.
- 737 Am. Mineral. 105(11), 1639-1646.
- Bassett, W.A., 2003. High pressure-temperature aqueous systems in the hydrothermal
 diamond anvil cell (HDAC). *Eur. J. Mineral.* 15, 773–780.
- Bassett, W.A., Shen, A.H., Buckum, M., 1993. A new diamond anvil cell for
 hydrothermal studies to 2.5 GPa and from -190°C to 1200°C, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 64
 2340-2345.
- Bobrowski, N., Hönninger, G., Galle, B., Platt, U., 2003. Detection of bromin monoxide
 in a volcanic plume. *Nature*, **423**, 273-276.
- Bobrowski, N., Giuffrida, G., 2012. Bromine monoxide/sulphur dioxide ratios in relation
 to volcanological observations at Mt. Etna 2006-2009. Solid Earth 3, 433-445.
- 747 Borchert, M., Wilke, M., Schmidt, C., Rickers, K., 2009. Partitioning and equilibration of
- RB and Sr between silicate melts and aqueous fluids. *Chem. Geol.* **259**, 39.

- Boyd, F.R. and England, J.L., 1960. Apparatus for phase-equilibrium measurements at
 pressures up to 50 kilobars and temperatures up to 1750 °C. J. *Geophys. Res.* 65,
 741–748.
- Bureau, H., Keppler, H., 1999. Complete miscibility between silicate melts and hydrous
 fluids in the upper mantle: experimental evidence and geochemical implications. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 165 (2), 187-196.
- Bureau, H, Métrich, N., 2003. An experimental study of bromine behaviour in watersaturated silicic melts. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 67, 1689-1697.
- Bureau, H., Foy, E., Raepsaet, C., Somogyi, A., Munsch, P., Simon, G., Kubsky, S.,
 2010. Bromine cycle in subduction zones through in situ Br monitoring in diamond
 anvil cells. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 74, 3839–3850.
- Bureau, H., Auzende, A.-L., Marocchi, M., Raepsaet, C., Munsch, P., Testemale, D.,
 Mézouar, M., Kubsky, S., Carrière, M., Ricolleau, A., Fiquet, G., 2016. Modern and
 past volcanic degassing of lodine. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 173, 114–125.
- Carmichael, I.S.E., 2002. The andesite aqueduct: perspectives on the evolution of
 intermediate magmatism in west-central (105-998W) Mexico. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.*143, 641 663.
- Cadoux, A., Tegtmeier, S., Aiuppa, A., 2022. Natural halogen emission to the
 atmosphere: sources, flux, and environmental impact. *Elements* 18(1), 27-33.
- Cadoux, A., Iacono-Marziano, G., Scaillet, B., Aiuppa, A., Mather, T.A., Pyle, D.M.,
 Deloule, E., Gennaro, E., Paonita, A., 2018. The role of melt composition on aqueous

- fluid vs. silicate melt partitioning of bromine in magmas. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 498,
 450-463.
- Chervin, J.C, Canny B., Besson, J. M., Pruzan, P., 1995. A diamond anvil cell for IR
 microspectroscopy. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 66 (3), 2595-2598.
- Chervin J.C., Canny B., Mancinelli M., 2001. Ruby-spheres as pressure gauge for
 optically transparent high pressure cells. *High Press. Res.*, **21**, 305–314.
- Cochain, B., Sanloup, C., de Grouchy, C., Crépisson, C., Bureau, H., Leroy, C., Kantor,
- I., Irifune, T., 2015. Bromine speciation in hydrous silicate melts at high pressure. *Chem. Geol.* 404, 18-26.
- 779 Couzinet, B., Dahan, N., Hamel, G., Chervin, J.C., 2003. Optically monitored high-780 pressure gas loading apparatus for diamond anvil cells. *High Press. Res.* **23**, 409-415.
- d'Augustin, T., Balcone-Boissard, H., Boudon, G., Martel, C., Deloule, E., Bürckel, P.,
 2020. Evidence for an Active, Transcrustal Magma System in the Last 60 ka and
 Eruptive Degassing Budget (H₂O, CO₂, S, F, Cl, Br): The Case of Dominica. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosys.* 21, e2020GC009050.
- Dixon, J.E., Stolper, E.M., Holloway, J.R., 1995. An Experimental Study of Water and
 Carbon Dioxide Solubilities in Mid-Ocean Ridge Basaltic Liquids. Part I: Calibration
 and Solubility Models. *J. Petrol.* 36, 1607-1631.
- Dorogokpets, P.I., Dewaele, A., 2007. Equations of state of MgO, Au, Pt, NaCI-B1, and
 NaCI-B2: Internally consistent high-temperature pressure scales. *High Press. Res.* 27,
 431-446.

- Edmonds, M., Pyle, D., Oppenheimer, C., 2001. A model of degassing at the Soufrière
 Hills Volcano, Montserrat, West Indies, based on geochemical data. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 186, 159–173.
- Edmonds, M., Pyle, D.M., Oppenheimer, C., 2002. HCI emissions at Soufrière Hills
 Volcano, Montserrat, West Indies, during a second phase of dome building: November
 1999 to October 2000. *Bull. Volcanol.* 64, 21–30.
- Fehn, U., 2012. Tracing crustal fluids: Applications of natural ¹²⁹I and ³⁶C. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.* 40, 45–67.
- 799 Gerlach, T.M., 2004. Volcanic source of tropospheric ozone-depleting trace gases.
- 800 *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* **5**, Q09007. https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GC000747.
- Gutmann, A., Bobrowski, N., Roberts, T.J., Rüdiger, J., Hoffmann, T., 2018. Advances
 in bromine speciation in volcanic plumes. *Front. Earth Sci.* 6, 213.
- Halmer, M.M., Schmincke, H.U., Graf, H.F., 2002. The annual volcanic gas input into
 the atmosphere, in particular into the stratosphere: a global dataset for the past 100
 years. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* **115**, 511–528.
- Kutterolf, S., Hansteen, T.H., Appel, K., Freundt, A., Krüger, K., Pérez, W., Wehrmann,
- H., 2013. Combined bromine and chlorine release from large explosive volcanic
- eruptions: A threat to stratospheric ozone? *Geology* **41(6)**, 707-710.
- Leroy, C.; Bureau, H., Sanloup, C., Raepsaet, C., Glazirin, K., Munsch, P., Harmand,
- M., Prouteau, G., Khodja, H., 2019. Xenon and iodine behaviour in magmas. *Earth.*
- 811 *Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **522**, 144-154.

Louvel, M., Cadoux, A., Brooker, R.A., Proux, O., Hazemann, J-L., 2020a. New insights
on Br speciation in volcanic glasses and structural controls on halogen degassing. *Am. Min.*, **105**, 795-802.

Louvel, M., Sanchez-Valle, C., Malfait, W.J., Pokrovski, G.S., Borca, C.N., Grolimund,
D., 2020b. Bromine speciation and partitioning in slab-derived aqueous fluids and
silicate melts and implications for halogen transfer in subduction zones. *Solid Earth*,
11, 1145-1161.

Mosbah, M., Clocchiatti, R., Michaud, V., Piccot, D., Chevallier, P., Legrand, F., Als
Nilsen, G., Grübel, G., 1995. Micro PIXE and micro SXRF: comparison of the two
methods and application to glass inclusions from Vulcano (Eolian Islands – Italy). *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res.* B 104, 481-488.

Munsch, P., Bureau, H., El Yakoubi, M., Khodja, H., Zaitsev, A., 2015. The use of ¹³C
diamond as pressure and temperature sensor for diamond-anvil-cell experiments. *Eur. J. Mineral.* 27, 365–375.

Newsom, H.E., 1995. Composition of the solar system, planets meteorites and major
terrestrial reservoirs. In: Ahrens, T.J. (Ed.), Global Earth Physics.American
Geophysical Union, Washington, DC, pp. 159–189.

Pichavant, M., Mysen, B.O., Macdonald, R., 2002. Source and H₂O content of highMgO magmas in island arc settings: an experimental study of a primitive calc-alkaline
basalt from St. Vincent, Lesser Antilles arc. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 66, 2193–
2209.

Prescher, C., Prakapenka, V.B., 2015. DIOPTAS: a program for reduction of twodimensional X-ray diffraction data and data exploration. *High Press. Res.* 35(3), 223–
230.

Pyle, D.M., Mather, T.A., 2009. Halogens in igneous processes and their fluxes to the
atmosphere and oceans from volcanic activity: A review. *Chem. Geol.* 263, 110-121.

Roberts, T.J., Vignelles, D., Liuzzo, M., Giudice, G., Aiuppa, A., Coltelli, M., Salerno, G.,
Chartier, M., Couté, B., Berthet, G., Lurton, T., Dulac, F., Renard, J.-B., 2018. The
primary volcanic aerosol emission from Mt Etna: Size-resolved particles with SO₂ and
role in plume reactive halogen chemistry. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 222, 74-93.

Rocholl, A.B.E., Simon, K., Jochum, K.P., Gehann, R., Kramar, U., Luecke, W.,
Molzahn, M., Pernicka E., Seufert, M., Spettel, B. and Stummeier, J. (1997) Chemical
Characterization of NIST Silicate Glass Certified Reference Material SRM 610 by
ICPMS, TIMS, LIMS, SSMS, INAA, AAS and PIXE. *Geostand. Newslett.* 21-1, 101–
114.

Rousseau, R.M., Detection Limit and estimate of uncertainty of analytical XRF results. *Rigaku J.* **18(2)**, 33-47.

Shen, G., Wang, Y., Dewaele, A., Wu, C., Fratanduono, D.E., Eggert, J., Klotz, S.,
Dziubek, K.F., Loubeyre, P., Fat'yanov, O.V., Asimov, P.D., Mashimo, T.,
Wentzcovitch, R.M.M., 2020. Toward an international practical pressure scale: A
proposal for an IPPS ruby gauge (IPPS-Ruby2020). *High Press. Res.* 40(3), 299-314.

Shinohara, H., 2013. Volatile flux from subduction zone volcanoes: Insights from a
detailed evaluation of the fluxes from volcanoes in Japan. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*268, 46–63.

Sinnhuber, B.-M., Sheode, N., Sinnhuber, M., Chipperfield, M.P., Feng, W., 2009. The
contribution of anthropogenic bromine emissions to past stratospheric ozone trends: A
modelling study, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9 (8), 2863-2871.

Solé, A., Papillon, E., Cotte, M., Walter, Ph. and Susini, J., 2007. A multiplatform code
for the analysis of energy-dispersive X-ray fluorescence spectra. *Spectrochim. Acta*,
Part B 62, 63–68.

Straub, S.M., Layne, G.D., 2003. The systematics of chlorine, fluorine and water in Izu
arc front volcanic rocks: implications for volatile recycling in subduction zones. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 67, 4179–4203.

Toby, B.H., Von Dreele, R.B., 2013. GSAS-II: the genesis of a modern open-source all
purpose crystallography software package. *Journal of Applied Crystallography*, 46(2),
544-549.

Urann, B.M., Le Roux, V., Jagoutz, O., Müntener, O., Behn, M.D., Chin, E.J., 2022.
High water content of arc magmas recorded in cumulates from subduction zone lower
crust. *Nat. Geosci.* 15, 501-508.

Vidal, C.M., Métrich, N., Komorowski, J.-C., Pratomo, I., Michel, A., Kartadinata, N.,
Robert, V., Lavigne, F., 2016. The 1257 Samalas eruption (Lombok, Indonesia): the
single greatest stratospheric gas release of the Common Era. *Sci. Rep.* 6:34868.

874	Wagner, W., Pruß, A., 2002. The IAPWS Formulation 1995 for the Thermodynamic
875	Properties of Ordinary Water Substance for General and Scientific Use. J. Phys.
876	Chem. Ref. Data 31 . 387.

Wallace, P.J., 2005. Volatiles in subduction zone magmas: concentrations and fluxes
based on melt inclusion and volcanic gas data. *J. Vol. Geo. Res.* 140, 217-240.

Webster, J.D., de Vivo, B., 2002. Experimental and modeled solubilities of chlorine in
aluminosilicate melts, consequences of magma evolution, and implications for
exsolution of hydrous chloride melt at Mt. Somma–Vesuvius. *Am. Mineral.* 87, 1046–
1061.

Webster, J.D., Holloway, J.R., Hervig, R.L., 1989. Partitioning of lithophile elements
between H₂O and H₂O+ CO₂ fluids and topaz rhyolite melt. *Econ. Geol.* 84, 116–134.

Webster, J.D., Kinzler, R.J. Mathez, E.A., 1999. Chloride and water solubility in basalt
and andesite melts and implications for magmatic degassing. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 63, 729–738.

Webster, J.D., Baker, D.R., Aiuppa, A., 2018. Halogens in Mafic and Intermediate-Silica
Content Magmas. In: Harlov, D., Aranovich, L. (eds) The Role of Halogens in
Terrestrial and Extraterrestrial Geochemical Processes. Springer Geochemistry.
Springer, Cham.

Witt, M.L.I., Mather, T.A., Pyle, D.M., Aiuppa, A., Bagnato, E. & Tsanev, V.I., 2008.
Mercury and halogen emissions from Masaya and Telica volcanoes, Nicaragua. *J. Geophys. Res.* 113, B06203.

896 9. TABLES

Experiment-	Pressure	Heating cycle	Laser Temperature °C	Pressure	Bromine concentration (µg/g)			D ^{Br}
Run	(GPa)			medium/fluid	melt	glass	fluid	D fluid/melt
hydrous experim	ents		-					
	< 1.1	0	RT	water		11160 ^a	48 ^a	
Cpo2	< 1.1	0	RT	water			26 ^a	
	< 1.1	1 ^b	nd ^g	water		1070	2029	1.9
	< 1.1	0	RT	water		11120 ^a	18 ^ª	
	< 1.1	1 ^b	nd ^g	water		2739	7455	2.72
LN22	< 1.1	2 ^b	nd ^g	water		3267	6864	2.10
	< 1.1	3 ^b	nd ^g	water		2124	7027	3.31
	0.4 ^f	4 ^b	nd ^g	water		3282	7178	2.19
	< 1.1	0	RT	water		12440 ^ª	79 ^a	
	< 1.1		nd ^g	water			2563	
Cpo3	< 1.1	1 b	nd ^g	water			2130	
	< 1.1	I	nd ^g	water			2498	
	< 1.1		RT	water		1990	2370	1.19
	< 1.1	0	RT	water		12610 ^ª	-/80 ^{a,d}	
	< 1.1	1	nd ^g	water		12410	3462/358 ^d	0.28/0.03 ^e
	< 1.1	2	nd ^g	water		1062	4161/326 ^d	3.92/0.31 ^e
LINTI	< 1.1	3	nd ^g	water		1438	4783/267 ^d	3.33/0.19 ^e
	< 1.1	4	nd ^g	water		1752	5784/226 ^d	3.30/0.13 ^e
	0.53 ^f	5	nd ^g	water		1545	5337/565 ^d	3.45/0.37 ^e
neon experiment	ts							
	1.7	0	RT	Neon		10620 ^a	209 ^a	
Mar1	1.7 1.7	1	1875 1810	Neon Neon	14640 15350			

	1.7		≈ 1800	Neon	15200			
	1.7		≈ 1800	Neon	14720			
	1.7		≈ 1800	Neon	16430			
	1.7		RT	Neon		16760	6212	0.37
	1.1	0	RT	Neon		10019 ^a	1062 ^a	
			2005	Neon	32046			
		1	2120	Neon	45065			
	1.1		RT	Neon		11019	4153	0.38
			nd	Neon	43095			
Πάκτ		2	2167	Neon	11256			
	1.1		RT	Neon		10148	3985	0.39
			2057	Neon	10623			
		3	2057	Neon	9864			
	< 1.1		RT	Neon		10128	208	0.02
	≥ 1.4	0	RT	Neon ^c		10138 ^a	11760 ^a	1.16
	≥ 1.4	1	≈ 1725	Neon ^c		4333	7087	1.64
Hak2	≥ 1.4	2	≈ 1725	Neon ^c		4398	8060	1.83
	1.4	3	≈ 1725	Neon ^c		5974	10314	1.73

^a Initial bromine concentration measurements were done in a compressed cell at ambient temperature before the first heating.

^b External heating kept the temperature at 235-265 °C when the las was shut off to enable fluid circulation.

^c The Neon fluid became enriched in water that was first released from the basalt glass in run Hak1-3 and together recompressed for Hak2 runs.

^d Two separate fluid analyses were conducted: '*next to the melted glass'*/'200 μm away from the glass'.

^e Partition coefficients calculated for the fluid '*next to the melted glass*'/'200 μm away from the glass'.

^f Determined by ice-water transition from Nitrogen cooling after the experiment.

^g Laser temperature was above the liquidus temperature for hydrous basalt (> 1300 °C). The absence of crystals was monitored with SXRD.

Table 1 Experimental runs. Bromine was analyzed with synchrotron x-ray fluorescence. Uncertainties on the bromine concentrations are of 10% relative. RT = room temperature; nd = not detectable. The volume of the fluid fraction was estimated from sense of proportion and ranges from 25 to 45 wt.%.

		Pressure				
Experiment- Run	Heating cycle	water-ice VI transition	ruby	gold		
	0	< 1.1 ^a		2.6 ^b		
Cpo2	1	-		2.1		
	0	< 1.1 ^a		2.1 ^b		
	1	-		-		
LN22	2	-		-		
	3	-		-		
	4	0.4 ^c		2.0 ^c		
	0	< 1.1 ^b		1.6 ^b		
		-		-		
Cpo3	1	-		-		
		-		-		
		< 1.1		2.1		
	0	< 1.1 ^b		1.9 ^b		
	1	< 1.1		-		
L NI1 1	2	< 1.1		0.8		
	3	< 1.1		0.9		
	4	< 1.1		1.2		
	5	0.53		2.0		
	0		1.7 ^{b,c}	2.7 ^{b,c}		
			1.7	-		
			1.7	-		
Mar1	1		1.7	-		
			1.7	-		
			1./	-		
			1./°	3.2°		
	0		1.1 ^{b,c}	3.3 ^{b,c,d}		
Hak1	1		1.1	-		
	2		1.1	- -		
	3		< 1.1	3.1°		
	0		1.4 ^b	-		
Hak2	1		≥ 1.4	2.8		
	2		≥ 1.4	2.4		
	3		1.4 ^c	1.9 ^c		

- ^a Water-ice transition measurements were conducted after the experiment and after the cell cooled down to room temperature.
- ^b Initial pressure measurements were done in a compressed cell at ambient temperature before the first heating.
- ^c Pressure values are used for the method correlation in Figure 2.
- ^d Diffraction peaks could be also neon at 1.2 GPa.

- Table 2 Experimental pressures calculated from the equation of state (EOS) of
- 905 water-ice VI transition, ruby fluorescence, and EOS of gold.

906

SiO ₂	44.9(2)
TiO ₂	1.08(3)
AI_2O_3	14.5(1)
Cr_2O_3	0.09(2)
FeO	8.45(7)
MnO	0.15(2)
NiO	0.03(2)
MgO	11.8(1)
CaO	10.66(6)
Na₂O	2.61(6)
K ₂ O	0.50(1)
P_2O_5	0.10(2)
Total	95.6(3)

907

Table 3 Major and minor element composition of the basaltic glass stating material measured with electron microprobe analysis. Uncertainties (1 s.d.) are given in parentheses showing the first significant digit.

Sample	Bromine (µg/g)
BaM024	10812
Cpo2-0	11160

LN22-0	11120
Cpo3-0	12440
LN11-0	12610
Mar1-0	10620
Hak1-0	10019
Mean	11254
SD	726

913	Table 4	Bromi	ne concer	tration in the	starting gla	iss measured b	y syr	nchrot	ron x-ray
914	fluorescence	and	electron	microprobe	analysis.	Uncertainties	on	the	bromine
915	concentrations are of 10% relative.								

HBr	r (Gg/year)		Study
<i>volcanic flux</i> based on SO ₂ flux from the respective study	with SO ₂ flux from al. (2017)	Carn et	
2.6-43.2	-		Halmer et al. (2002)
13		21.4	Aiuppa et al. (2005) Pyle and Mather
5-15		8-23	(2009)
10.5		14.4	Webster et al. (2018)
9		12.3	Cadoux et al. (2022)
melt inclusions			
2	3.5-72.9		this study

917 Table 5 Estimates of annual global volcanic bromine flux to the atmosphere.