



HAL
open science

DYNAMICAL NUMBER OF BASE-POINTS OF NON BASE-WANDERING JONQUIÈRES TWISTS

Julie Déserti

► **To cite this version:**

Julie Déserti. DYNAMICAL NUMBER OF BASE-POINTS OF NON BASE-WANDERING JONQUIÈRES TWISTS. Osaka Journal of Mathematics, 2024, 61 (4), pp.63-77. hal-04335460

HAL Id: hal-04335460

<https://hal.science/hal-04335460>

Submitted on 11 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

DYNAMICAL NUMBER OF BASE-POINTS OF NON BASE-WANDERING JONQUIÈRES TWISTS

JULIE DÉSERTI

ABSTRACT. We give some properties of the dynamical number of base-points of birational self-maps of the complex projective plane.

In particular we give a formula to determine the dynamical number of base-points of non base-wandering Jonquières twists.

1. INTRODUCTION

The *plane Cremona group* $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)$ is the group of birational maps of the complex projective plane $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. It is isomorphic to the group of \mathbb{C} -algebra automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}(X, Y)$, the function field of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. Using a system of homogeneous coordinates $(x : y : z)$ a birational map $f \in \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)$ can be written as

$$(x : y : z) \dashrightarrow (P_0(x, y, z) : P_1(x, y, z) : P_2(x, y, z))$$

where P_0, P_1 and P_2 are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree without common factor. This degree does not depend on the system of homogeneous coordinates. We call it the *degree* of f and denote it by $\deg(f)$. Geometrically it is the degree of the pull-back by f of a general projective line. Birational maps of degree 1 are homographies and form the group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2) = \text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{C})$ of automorphisms of the projective plane.

◇ Four types of elements.

The elements $f \in \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)$ can be classified into exactly one of the four following types according to the growth of the sequence $(\deg(f^k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ (see [DF01, BD15]):

- (1) The sequence $(\deg(f^k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded, f is either of finite order or conjugate to an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$; we say that f is an *elliptic element*.
- (2) The sequence $(\deg(f^k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ grows linearly, f preserves a unique pencil of rational curves and f is not conjugate to an automorphism of any rational projective surface; we call f a *Jonquières twist*.
- (3) The sequence $(\deg(f^k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ grows quadratically, f is conjugate to an automorphism of a rational projective surface preserving a unique elliptic fibration; we call f a *Halphen twist*.
- (4) The sequence $(\deg(f^k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ grows exponentially and we say that f is *hyperbolic*.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 14E07, 32H50.

The author was partially supported by the ANR grant Fatou ANR-17-CE40-0002-01 and the ANR grant Foliage ANR-16-CE40-0008-01.

◇ **The Jonquière group.**

Let us fix an affine chart of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ with coordinates (x, y) . The *Jonquière group* J is the subgroup of the Cremona group of all maps of the form

$$(x, y) \dashrightarrow \left(\frac{A(y)x + B(y)}{C(y)x + D(y)}, \frac{ay + b}{cy + d} \right) \quad (1.1)$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C}(y))$. The group J is the group of all birational maps of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ permuting the fibers of the projection onto the second factor; it is isomorphic to the semi-direct product $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C}(y)) \rtimes \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$.

We can check with (1.1) that if f belongs to J , then $(\deg(f^k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ grows at most linearly; elements of J are either elliptic or Jonquière twists. Let us denote by \mathcal{J} the set of Jonquière twist:

$$\mathcal{J} = \{f \in \mathrm{Bir}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2) \mid f \text{ Jonquière twist}\}.$$

A Jonquière twist is called a *base-wandering Jonquière twist* if its action on the basis of the rational fibration has infinite order. Let us denote by J_0 the normal subgroup of J that preserves fiberwise the rational fibration, that is the subgroup of those maps of the form

$$(x, y) \dashrightarrow \left(\frac{A(y)x + B(y)}{C(y)x + D(y)}, y \right).$$

The group J_0 is isomorphic to $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C}(y))$. The group J_0 has three maximal (for the inclusion) uncountable abelian subgroups

$$J_a = \{(x + a(y), y) \mid a \in \mathbb{C}(y)\}, \quad J_m = \{(b(y)x, y) \mid b \in \mathbb{C}(y)^*\}$$

and

$$J_F = \left\{ (x, y), \left(\frac{c(y)x + F(y)}{x + c(y)}, y \right) \mid c \in \mathbb{C}[y] \right\}$$

where F denotes an element of $\mathbb{C}[y]$ that is not a square ([D06]).

Let us associate to $f = \left(\frac{A(y)x + B(y)}{C(y)x + D(y)}, y \right) \in J_0$ the matrix $M_f = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$. The *Baum Bott index* of f is $\mathrm{BB}(f) = \frac{(\mathrm{Tr}(M_f))^2}{\det(M_f)}$ (by analogy with the Baum Bott index of a foliation) which is well defined in PGL and is invariant by conjugation. This invariant BB indicates the degree growth:

Proposition 1.1 ([CD12]). *Let f be a Jonquière twist that preserves fiberwise the rational fibration. The rational function $\mathrm{BB}(f)$ is constant if and only if f is an elliptic element.*

A direct consequence is the following:

Corollary 1.2. *Let f be a non-base wandering Jonquière twist; the rational function $\mathrm{BB}(f)$ is constant if and only if f is an elliptic element.*

Every $f \in \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)$ admits a resolution

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & S & \\ \pi_1 \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_2 \\ \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 & \overset{f}{\dashrightarrow} & \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \end{array}$$

where π_1, π_2 are sequences of blow-ups. The resolution is *minimal* if and only if no (-1) -curve of S are contracted by both π_1 and π_2 . Assume that the resolution is minimal; the *base-points* of f are the points blown-up by π_1 , which can be points of S or infinitely near points. If f belongs to \mathbf{J} , then f has one base-point p_0 of multiplicity $d - 1$ and $2d - 2$ base-points $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{2d-2}$ of multiplicity 1. Similarly the map f^{-1} has one base-point q_0 of multiplicity $d - 1$ and $2d - 2$ base-points $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{2d-2}$ of multiplicity 1. Let us denote by $f_{\#}$ the action of f on the Picard-Manin space of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$, by $\mathbf{e}_m \in \text{NS}(S)$ the Néron-Severi class of the total transform of m under π_j (for $1 \leq j \leq 2$), and by ℓ the class of a line in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. The action of f on ℓ and the classes $(\mathbf{e}_{p_i})_{0 \leq i \leq 2d-2}$ is given by:

$$\begin{cases} f_{\#}(\ell) = d\ell - (d-1)\mathbf{e}_{q_0} - \sum_{i=1}^{2d-2} \mathbf{e}_{q_i} \\ f_{\#}(\mathbf{e}_{p_0}) = (d-1)\ell - (d-2)\mathbf{e}_{q_0} - \sum_{i=1}^{2d-2} \mathbf{e}_{q_i} \\ f_{\#}(\mathbf{e}_{p_i}) = \ell - \mathbf{e}_{q_0} - \mathbf{e}_{q_i} \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq 2d-2 \end{cases}$$

◇ **Dynamical degree.**

Given a birational self-map $f: S \dashrightarrow S$ of a complex projective surface, its dynamical degree $\lambda(f)$ is a positive real number that measures the complexity of the dynamics of f . Indeed $\log(\lambda(f))$ provides an upper bound for the topological entropy of f and is equal to it under natural assumptions (see [BD05, DS05]). The dynamical degree is invariant under conjugacy; as shown in [BC16] precise knowledge on $\lambda(f)$ provides useful information on the conjugacy class of f . By definition a *Pisot number* is an algebraic integer $\lambda \in]1, +\infty[$ whose other Galois conjugates lie in the open unit disk; Pisot numbers include integers $d \geq 2$ as well as reciprocal quadratic integers $\lambda > 1$. A *Salem number* is an algebraic integer $\lambda \in]1, +\infty[$ whose other Galois conjugates are in the closed unit disk, with at least one on the boundary. Diller and Favre proved the following statement:

Theorem 1.3 ([DF01]). *Let f be a birational self-map of a complex projective surface.*

If $\lambda(f)$ is different from 1, then $\lambda(f)$ is a Pisot number or a Salem number.

One of the goal of [BC16] is the study of the structure of the set of all dynamical degrees $\lambda(f)$ where f runs over the group of birational maps $\text{Bir}(S)$ and S over the collection of all projective surfaces. In particular they get:

Theorem 1.4 ([BC16]). *Let Λ be the set of all dynamical degrees of birational maps of complex projective surfaces. Then*

- ◊ Λ is a well ordered subset of \mathbb{R}_+ ;
- ◊ if λ is an element of Λ , there is a real number $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $] \lambda, \lambda + \varepsilon]$ does not intersect Λ ;
- ◊ there is a non-empty interval $] \lambda_G, \lambda_G + \varepsilon]$, with $\varepsilon > 0$, on the right of the golden mean that contains infinitely many Pisot and Salem numbers, but does not contain any dynamical degree.

◊ **Dynamical number of base-points** ([BD15]).

If S is a projective smooth surface, every $f \in \text{Bir}(S)$ admits a resolution

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Z & \\ \pi_1 \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_2 \\ S & \overset{f}{\dashrightarrow} & S \end{array}$$


where π_1, π_2 are sequences of blow-ups. The resolution is *minimal* if and only if no (-1) -curve of Z are contracted by both π_1 and π_2 . Assume that the resolution is minimal; the *base-points* of f are the points blown-up by π_1 , which can be points of S or infinitely near points. We denote by $\mathfrak{b}(f)$ the number of such points, which is also equal to the difference of the ranks of $\text{Pic}(Z)$ and $\text{Pic}(S)$, and thus equal to $\mathfrak{b}(f^{-1})$.

Let us define the *dynamical number of base-points* of f by

$$\mu(f) = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mathfrak{b}(f^k)}{k}.$$

Since $\mathfrak{b}(f \circ \varphi) \leq \mathfrak{b}(f) + \mathfrak{b}(\varphi)$ for any $f, \varphi \in \text{Bir}(S)$ we see that $\mu(f)$ is a non-negative real number. Moreover, $\mathfrak{b}(f^{-1})$ and $\mathfrak{b}(f)$ being equal we get $\mu(f^k) = |k\mu(f)|$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore, the dynamical number of base-points is an invariant of conjugation: if $\psi: S \dashrightarrow Z$ is a birational map between smooth projective surfaces and if f belongs to $\text{Bir}(S)$, then $\mu(f) = \mu(\psi \circ f \circ \psi^{-1})$. In particular if f is conjugate to an automorphism of a smooth projective surface, then $\mu(f) = 0$. The converse holds, *i.e.* $f \in \text{Bir}(S)$ is conjugate to an automorphism of a smooth projective surface if and only if $\mu(f) = 0$ ([BD15, Proposition 3.5]). This follows from the geometric interpretation of μ we will recall now. If $f \in \text{Bir}(S)$ is a birational map, a (possibly infinitely near) base-point p of f is a *persistent base-point* of f if there exists an integer N such that p is a base-point of f^k for any $k \geq N$ but is not a base-point of f^{-k} for any $k \geq N$. We put an equivalence relation on the set of points that belongs to S or are

infinitely near: take a minimal resolution of f



where π_1, π_2 are sequences of blow-ups; the point p is *equivalent* to q if there exists an integer k such that $(\pi_2 \circ \pi_1^{-1})^k(p) = q$. Denote by v the number of equivalence classes of persistent base-points of f ; then the set

$$\{b(f^k) - vk \mid k \geq 0\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$$

is bounded. In particular, $\mu(f)$ is an integer, equal to v (see [BD15, Proposition 3.4]). This gives a bound for $\mu(f)$; indeed, if $f \in \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)$ is a map whose base-points have multiplicities $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \dots \geq m_r$ then (see for instance [ACn02, §2.5] and [ACn02, Corollary 2.6.7])

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^r m_i = 3(\deg(f) - 1) \\ \sum_{i=1}^r m_i^2 = \deg(f)^2 - 1 \\ m_1 + m_2 + m_3 \geq \deg(f) + 1 \end{cases}$$

in particular, $r \leq 2 \deg(f) - 1$ so $v \leq 2 \deg(f) - 1$ and $\mu(f) \leq 2 \deg(f) - 1$.

If $f \in \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)$ is a Jonquière twist, then there exists an integer $a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\deg(f^k)}{k} = a^2 \frac{\mu(f)}{2};$$

moreover, a is the degree of the curves of the unique pencil of rational curves invariant by f (see [BD15, Proposition 4.5]). In particular, $a = 1$ if and only if f preserves a pencil of lines. On the one hand $\{\mu(f) \mid f \in \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and on the other hand if f belongs to \mathcal{J} , then $\mu(f) > 0$; as a result

$$\{\mu(f) \mid f \in \mathcal{J}\} \subseteq \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Let us recall that if $f_{\alpha,\beta} = \left(\frac{\alpha x + y}{x+1}, \beta y\right)$ then $\mu(f_{\alpha,\beta}) = 1$. Indeed, by induction one can prove that $f_{\alpha,\beta}^{2n} = \left(\frac{P_n(x,y)}{Q_n(x,y)}, \beta^{2n} y\right)$ with

$$P_n(x,y) = \sum_{0 \leq i+j \leq n+1} a_{ij} x^i y^j \qquad Q_n(x,y) = \sum_{0 \leq i+j \leq n} b_{ij} x^i y^j$$

and $a_{ij} \geq 0, b_{ij} \geq 0$ for any $n \geq 0$, so that $\deg f_{\alpha,\beta}^{2n} = n + 1$ for any $n \geq 0$; we conclude using

the fact that $\mu(f) = 2 \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\deg(f^k)}{k}$. Furthermore, $\mu(f_{\alpha,\beta}^k) = |k\mu(f_{\alpha,\beta})| = |k|$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Hence

$$\{\mu(f) \mid f \in \mathcal{J}\} = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$$

and

$$\{\mu(f) \mid f \in \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)\} = \mathbb{N}.$$

As we have seen if f belongs to \mathcal{J} , then $\mu(f) = 2 \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\deg(f^k)}{k}$. Can we express $\mu(f)$ in a simplest way ? We will see that if f is a non base-wandering Jonquières twist, the answer is yes.

◇ **Results.**

The dynamical number of base-points of birational self maps of the complex projective plane satisfies the following properties:

Theorem A. 1. *If f is a birational self-map from $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ into itself, then its dynamical number of base-points is bounded: if $f \in \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)$, then $\mu(f) \leq 2 \deg(f) - 1$.*

2. *We can precise the set of all dynamical numbers of base-points of birational maps of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ (resp. of Jonquières maps of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$):*

$$\{\mu(f) \mid f \in \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)\} = \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\mu(f) \mid f \in \mathcal{J}\} = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}.$$

3. *There exist sequences $(f_n)_n$ of birational self-maps of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ such that*

- ◇ $\mu(f_n) > 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- ◇ $\mu\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f_n\right) = 0$.

4. *There exist sequences $(f_n)_n$ of birational self-maps of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ such that*

- ◇ $\mu(f_n) = 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- ◇ $\mu\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f_n\right) > 0$.

Let us now give a formula to determine the dynamical number of base-points of Jonquières twists that preserves fiberwise the fibration.

Theorem B. *Let $f = \left(\frac{A(y)x+B(y)}{C(y)x+D(y)}, y\right)$ be a Jonquières twist that preserves fiberwise the fibration, and let M_f be its associated matrix. Denote by $\text{Tr}(M_f)$ the trace M_f , by χ_f the characteristic polynomial of M_f , and by Δ_f the discriminant of χ_f . Then exactly one of the following holds:*

1. *If χ_f has two distinct roots in $\mathbb{C}[y]$, then f is conjugate to $g = \left(\frac{\text{Tr}(M_f)+\delta_f}{\text{Tr}(M_f)-\delta_f}x, y\right)$, where $\delta_f^2 = \Delta_f$, and*

$$\mu(f) = \mu(g) = 2(\deg(g) - 1).$$

2. *If χ_f has no root in $\mathbb{C}[y]$, set*

$$\Omega_f = \gcd\left(\frac{\text{Tr}(M_f)}{2}, \left(\frac{\text{Tr}(M_f)}{2}\right)^2 - \det(M_f)\right)$$

and let us define P_f and S_f as

$$\frac{\text{Tr}(M_f)}{2} = P_f \Omega_f, \quad \left(\frac{\text{Tr}(M_f)}{2} \right)^2 - \det(M_f) = S_f \Omega_f.$$

2.a. If $\gcd(\Omega_f, S_f) = 1$, then

- ◊ if $\deg(S_f) \leq \deg(\Omega_f) + 2 \deg(P_f)$, then $\mu(f) = \deg(\Omega_f) + 2 \deg(P_f)$;
- ◊ otherwise $\mu(f) = \deg(S_f)$.

2.b. If $S_f = \Omega_f^p T_f$ with $p \geq 1$ and $\gcd(T_f, \Omega_f) = 1$, then

- ◊ if $\deg(S_f) \leq \deg(\Omega_f) + 2 \deg(P_f)$, then $\mu(f) = 2 \deg(P_f)$;
- ◊ otherwise $\mu(f) = \deg(S_f) - \deg(\Omega_f)$.

2.c. If $\Omega_f = S_f^p T_f$ with $p \geq 1$ and $\gcd(T_f, S_f) = 1$, then $\mu(f) = 2 \deg(P_f) + \deg(\Omega_f) - \deg(S_f)$.

As a consequence we are able to determine the dynamical number of base-points of non base-wandering Jonquières twists:

Corollary C. Let $f = (f_1, f_2)$ be a non base-wandering Jonquières twist.

If ℓ is the order of f_2 , then $\mu(f) = \frac{\mu(f^\ell)}{\ell}$ where $\mu(f^\ell)$ is given by Theorem B.

Combining the inequalities obtained in Theorem A and Theorem B we get the following statement (we use the notations introduced in Theorem B):

Corollary D. Let f be a Jonquières twist that preserves fiberwise the fibration. Assume that χ_f has two distinct roots in $\mathbb{C}[y]$.

Then there exists a conjugate g of f such that g belongs to J_m and $\deg(g) \leq \deg(f)$. For instance $g = \left(\frac{\text{Tr}(M_f) + \delta_f}{\text{Tr}(M_f) - \delta_f} x, y \right)$ suits.

2. DYNAMICAL NUMBER OF BASE-POINTS OF JONQUIÈRES TWISTS

In this section we will prove Theorem B.

Let f be an element of J_0 ; write f as $\left(\frac{A(y)x+B(y)}{C(y)x+D(y)}, y \right)$ with $A, B, C, D \in \mathbb{C}[y]$. The characteristic polynomial of $M_f = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ is $\chi_f(X) = X^2 - \text{Tr}(M_f)X + \det(M_f)$. There are three possibilities:

- (1) χ_f has one root of multiplicity 2 in $\mathbb{C}[y]$;
- (2) χ_f has two distinct roots in $\mathbb{C}[y]$;
- (3) χ_f has no root in $\mathbb{C}[y]$.

Let us consider these three possibilities.

- (1) If χ_f has one root of multiplicity 2 in $\mathbb{C}[y]$, then f is conjugate to the elliptic birational map $(x + a(y), y)$ of J_a . In particular f does not belong to \mathcal{J} .

(2) Assume that χ_f has two distinct roots. The discriminant of χ_f is

$$\Delta_f = (\text{Tr}(M_f))^2 - 4\det(M_f) = \delta_f^2$$

and the roots of χ_f are

$$\frac{\text{Tr}(M_f) + \delta_f}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\text{Tr}(M_f) - \delta_f}{2}.$$

Furthermore, M_f is conjugate to $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\text{Tr}(M_f) + \delta_f}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\text{Tr}(M_f) - \delta_f}{2} \end{pmatrix}$, i.e. f is conjugate to $g = (a(y)x, y) \in$

J_m with $a(y) = \frac{\text{Tr}(M_f) + \delta_f}{\text{Tr}(M_f) - \delta_f}$. Let us first express $\mu(g)$ thanks to $\deg(g)$. Remark that $g^k = (a(y)^k x, y)$. Write $a(y)^j$ as $\frac{P_j(y)}{Q_j(y)}$ where $P_j, Q_j \in \mathbb{C}[y]$, $\gcd(P_j, Q_j) = 1$, then $\deg(g^j) = \max(\deg(P_j), \deg(Q_j)) + 1$. But $\deg(P_j) = j \deg(P)$ and $\deg(Q_j) = j \deg(Q)$ so

$$\deg(g^k) = \max(k \deg(P_f), k \deg(Q_1)) + 1 = \underbrace{\max(\deg(P_f), \deg(Q_1))}_{\deg(g)-1} + 1.$$

As a consequence $\deg(g^k) = k \deg(g) - k + 1$. According to $\mu(g) = 2 \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\deg(g^k)}{k}$ we get

$$\mu(g) = 2 \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\deg(g) - 1 + \frac{1}{k} \right) = 2(\deg(g) - 1).$$

Let us now express $\mu(f)$ thanks to f . Since f and g are conjugate $\mu(f) = \mu(g)$ hence $\mu(f) = 2(\deg(g) - 1)$. But $g = \left(\frac{\text{Tr}(M_f) + \delta_f}{\text{Tr}(M_f) - \delta_f} x, y \right)$; in particular

$$\deg(g) \leq 1 + \max(\deg(\text{Tr}(M_f) + \delta_f), \deg(\text{Tr}(M_f) - \delta_f))$$

and $\mu(f) \leq 2 \max(\deg(\text{Tr}(M_f) + \delta_f), \deg(\text{Tr}(M_f) - \delta_f))$.

(3) Suppose that χ_f has no root in $\mathbb{C}[y]$. This means that $(\text{Tr}(M_f))^2 - 4\det(M_f)$ is not a square in $\mathbb{C}[y]$ (hence $BC \neq 0$). Note that

$$\begin{pmatrix} C & \frac{D-A}{2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C & \frac{D-A}{2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\text{Tr}(M_f)}{2} & \left(\frac{\text{Tr}(M_f)}{2} \right)^2 - \det(M_f) \\ 1 & \frac{\text{Tr}(M_f)}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In other words f is conjugate to

$$g = \left(\frac{\frac{\text{Tr}(M_f)}{2}x + \left(\frac{\text{Tr}(M_f)}{2} \right)^2 - \det(M_f)}{x + \frac{\text{Tr}(M_f)}{2}}, y \right) \in J_{\frac{\text{Tr}(M_f)}{2}}.$$

Set $P(y) = \frac{\text{Tr}(M_f)}{2} \in \mathbb{C}[y]$ and $F(y) = \left(\frac{\text{Tr}(M_f)}{2}\right)^2 - \det(M_f) \in \mathbb{C}[y]$, i.e. f is conjugate to $g = \left(\frac{P(y)x+F(y)}{x+P(y)}, y\right)$ with $P, F \in \mathbb{C}[y]$. Denote by d_P (resp. d_F) the degree of P (resp. F). Remark that $\deg(g) = \max(d_P + 1, d_F, 2)$.

Let us now express $\deg(g^k)$. Consider $M_g = \begin{pmatrix} P & F \\ 1 & P \end{pmatrix}$ and set

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{F} & -\sqrt{F} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} P + \sqrt{F} & 0 \\ 0 & P - \sqrt{F} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then $M_g^k = QD^kQ^{-1}$ hence

$$M_g^k = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{F} \frac{(P+\sqrt{F})^k + (P-\sqrt{F})^k}{(P+\sqrt{F})^k - (P-\sqrt{F})^k} & F \\ 1 & \sqrt{F} \frac{(P+\sqrt{F})^k + (P-\sqrt{F})^k}{(P+\sqrt{F})^k - (P-\sqrt{F})^k} \end{pmatrix}$$

Let us set

$$\Upsilon_k = \sqrt{F} \frac{(P + \sqrt{F})^k + (P - \sqrt{F})^k}{(P + \sqrt{F})^k - (P - \sqrt{F})^k}$$

and let us denote by D_k (resp. N_k) the denominator (resp. numerator) of Υ_k .

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\Omega_f = \gcd(P, F)$ and write P (resp. F) as $\Omega_f P_f$ (resp. $\Omega_f S_f$). Assume $\gcd(S_f, \Omega_f) = 1$. Then*

- ◇ if $d_{S_f} \leq d_{\Omega_f} + 2d_{P_f}$, then $\mu(g) = d_{\Omega_f} + 2d_{P_f}$;
- ◇ otherwise $\mu(g) = d_{S_f}$.

Proof. (a) Assume k even, write k as 2ℓ . A straightforward computation yields to

$$\Upsilon_{2\ell} = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \binom{2\ell}{2j} \Omega_f^{\ell-j} P_f^{2(\ell-j)} S_f^j}{P_f \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \binom{2\ell}{2j+1} \Omega_f^{\ell-1-j} P_f^{2(\ell-1-j)} S_f^j}$$

Recall that $\gcd(\Omega_f, S_f) = 1$ by assumption and $\gcd(\Omega_f, P_f) = 1$ by construction. On the one hand

$$\deg(N_{2\ell}) = \begin{cases} \ell(d_{\Omega_f} + 2d_{P_f}) & \text{if } d_{S_f} \leq d_{\Omega_f} + 2d_{P_f} \\ \ell d_{S_f} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand

$$\deg(D_{2\ell}) = \begin{cases} d_{P_f} + (\ell - 1)(d_{\Omega_f} + 2d_{P_f}) & \text{if } d_{S_f} \leq d_{\Omega_f} + 2d_{P_f} \\ d_{P_f} + (\ell - 1)d_{S_f} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Finally

$$\deg(g^{2\ell}) = \begin{cases} \max\left(\ell(d_{\Omega_f} + 2d_{P_f}) + 1, d_{S_f} + \ell d_{\Omega_f} + (2\ell - 1)d_{P_f}, (\ell - 1)d_{\Omega_f} + (2\ell - 1)d_{P_f} + 2\right) & \text{if } d_{S_f} \leq d_{\Omega_f} + 2d_{P_f} \\ \max\left(\ell d_{S_f} + 1, d_{\Omega_f} + d_{P_f} + \ell d_{S_f}, d_{P_f} + (\ell - 1)d_{S_f} + 2\right) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(b) Suppose k odd, write k as $2\ell + 1$. A straightforward computation yields to

$$\Upsilon_{2\ell+1} = P_f \Omega_f \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \binom{2\ell+1}{2j} \Omega_f^{\ell-j} P_f^{2(\ell-j)} S_f^j}{\sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \binom{2\ell+1}{2j+1} \Omega_f^{\ell-j} P_f^{2(\ell-j)} S_f^j}$$

Let us recall that $\gcd(\Omega_f, S_f) = 1$ by assumption and $\gcd(\Omega_f, P_f) = 1$ by construction.

On the one hand

$$\deg(N_{2\ell+1}) = \begin{cases} \ell(d_{\Omega_f} + 2d_{P_f}) + d_{\Omega_f} + d_{P_f} & \text{if } d_{S_f} \leq d_{\Omega_f} + 2d_{P_f} \\ \ell d_{S_f} + d_{P_f} + d_{\Omega_f} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand

$$\deg(D_{2\ell+1}) = \begin{cases} \ell(d_{\Omega_f} + 2d_{P_f}) & \text{if } d_{S_f} \leq d_{\Omega_f} + 2d_{P_f} \\ \ell d_{S_f} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Finally

$$\deg(g^{2\ell+1}) = \begin{cases} \max\left((\ell + 1)d_{\Omega_f} + (2\ell + 1)d_{P_f} + 1, (\ell + 1)d_{\Omega_f} + 2\ell d_{P_f} + d_{S_f}, \ell(d_{\Omega_f} + 2d_{P_f}) + 2\right) & \text{if } d_{S_f} \leq d_{\Omega_f} + 2d_{P_f} \\ \max\left(\ell d_{S_f} + d_{P_f} + d_{\Omega_f} + 1, (\ell + 1)d_{S_f} + d_{\Omega_f}, \ell d_{S_f} + 2\right) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We conclude with the equality $\mu(g) = 2 \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\deg(g^k)}{k}$. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\Omega_f = \gcd(P, F)$ and write P (resp. F) as $\Omega_f P_f$ (resp. $\Omega_f S_f$). Suppose that $S_f = \Omega_f^p T_f$ with $p \geq 1$ and $\gcd(T_f, \Omega_f) = 1$. Then*

- \diamond if $d_{S_f} \leq d_{\Omega_f} + 2d_{P_f}$, then $\mu(g) = 2d_{P_f}$;
- \diamond otherwise $\mu(g) = d_{S_f} - d_{\Omega_f}$.

Proof. (a) Assume k even, write k as 2ℓ . We get

$$\Upsilon_{2\ell} = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \binom{2\ell}{2j} \Omega_f^{\ell-j} P_f^{2(\ell-j)} S_f^j}{P_f \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \binom{2\ell}{2j+1} \Omega_f^{\ell-1-j} P_f^{2(\ell-1-j)} S_f^j} = \frac{\Omega_f \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \binom{2\ell}{2j} \Omega_f^{(p-1)j} P_f^{2(\ell-j)} T_f^j}{P_f \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \binom{2\ell}{2j+1} \Omega_f^{(p-1)j} P_f^{2(\ell-1-j)} T_f^j}$$

Recall that $\gcd(\Omega_f, T_f) = 1$ and that $d_{S_f} = pd_{\Omega_f} + d_{T_f}$, i.e. $d_{T_f} = d_{S_f} - pd_{\Omega_f}$. On the one hand

$$\deg(N_{2\ell}) = \begin{cases} 2\ell d_{P_f} + d_{\Omega_f} & \text{if } d_{S_f} \leq d_{\Omega_f} + 2d_{P_f} \\ \ell d_{S_f} + (1 - \ell)d_{\Omega_f} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand

$$\deg(D_{2\ell}) = \begin{cases} (2\ell - 1)d_{P_f} & \text{if } d_{S_f} \leq d_{\Omega_f} + 2d_{P_f} \\ (\ell - 1)(d_{S_f} - d_{\Omega_f}) + d_{P_f} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Finally

$$\deg(g^{2\ell}) = \begin{cases} \max\left(2\ell d_{P_f} + d_{\Omega_f} + 1, (2\ell - 1)d_{P_f} + d_{\Omega_f} + d_{S_f}, (2\ell - 1)d_{P_f} + 1\right) & \text{if } d_{S_f} \leq d_{\Omega_f} + 2d_{P_f} \\ \max\left(\ell d_{S_f} - (\ell - 1)d_{\Omega_f} + 1, \ell d_{S_f} + (2 - \ell)d_{\Omega_f} + d_{P_f}, (\ell - 1)(d_{S_f} - d_{\Omega_f}) + d_{P_f} + 1\right) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(b) Suppose k odd, write k as $2\ell + 1$. We get

$$\Upsilon_{2\ell+1} = \frac{P_f \Omega_f \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \binom{2\ell+1}{2j} \Omega_f^{\ell-j} P_f^{2(\ell-j)} S_f^j}{\sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \binom{2\ell+1}{2j+1} \Omega_f^{\ell-j} P_f^{2(\ell-j)} S_f^j} = \frac{P_f \Omega_f \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \binom{2\ell+1}{2j} \Omega_f^{(p-1)j} P_f^{2(\ell-j)} T_f^j}{\sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \binom{2\ell+1}{2j+1} \Omega_f^{(p-1)j} P_f^{2(\ell-j)} T_f^j}$$

On the one hand

$$\deg(N_{2\ell+1}) = \begin{cases} (2\ell + 1)d_{P_f} + d_{\Omega_f} & \text{if } d_{S_f} \leq d_{\Omega_f} + 2d_{P_f} \\ \ell d_{S_f} - (\ell - 1)d_{\Omega_f} + d_{P_f} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand

$$\deg(D_{2\ell+1}) = \begin{cases} 2\ell d_{P_f} & \text{if } d_{S_f} \leq d_{\Omega_f} + 2d_{P_f} \\ \ell d_{S_f} - \ell d_{\Omega_f} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Finally

$$\deg(g^{2\ell+1}) = \begin{cases} \max\left((2\ell + 1)d_{P_f} + d_{\Omega_f} + 1, 2\ell d_{P_f} + d_{\Omega_f} + d_{S_f}, 2\ell d_{P_f} + 1\right) & \text{if } d_{S_f} \leq d_{\Omega_f} + 2d_{P_f} \\ \max\left(\ell d_{S_f} - (\ell - 1)d_{\Omega_f} + d_{P_f} + 1, (\ell + 1)d_{S_f} - (\ell - 1)d_{\Omega_f}, \ell d_{S_f} - \ell d_{\Omega_f} + 1\right) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We conclude with the equality $\mu(g) = 2 \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\deg(g^k)}{k}$. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\Omega_f = \gcd(P, F)$ and write P (resp. F) as $\Omega_f P_f$ (resp. $\Omega_f S_f$). Suppose that $\Omega_f = S_f^p T_f$ with $p \geq 1$ and $\gcd(T_f, S_f) = 1$. Then*

$$\mu(g) = 2d_{P_f} + d_{\Omega_f} - d_{S_f}.$$

Proof. (a) Assume k even, write k as 2ℓ . We obtain

$$\Upsilon_{2\ell} = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \binom{2\ell}{2j} \Omega_f^{\ell-j} P_f^{2(\ell-j)} S_f^j}{P_f \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \binom{2\ell}{2j+1} \Omega_f^{\ell-1-j} P_f^{2(\ell-1-j)} S_f^j} = \frac{S_f \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \binom{2\ell}{2j} S_f^{j(p-1)} P_f^{2j} T_f^j}{P_f \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \binom{2\ell}{2j+1} S_f^{j(p-1)} P_f^{2j} T_f^j}$$

Recall that $\gcd(S_f, T_f) = 1$; one has

$$\deg(N_{2\ell}) = (p\ell - \ell + 1)d_{S_f} + 2\ell d_{P_f} + \ell d_{T_f}$$

and

$$\deg(D_{2\ell}) = (\ell - 1)(p - 1)d_{S_f} + (2\ell - 1)d_{P_f} + (\ell - 1)d_{T_f}$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(g^{2\ell}) = \max \left((p\ell - \ell + 1)d_{S_f} + 2\ell d_{P_f} + \ell d_{T_f} + 1, \right. \\ \left. (\ell(p - 1) + 2)d_{S_f} + (2\ell - 1)d_{P_f} + \ell d_{T_f}, \right. \\ \left. (\ell - 1)(p - 1)d_{S_f} + (2\ell - 1)d_{P_f} + (\ell - 1)d_{T_f} + 2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

(b) Suppose k odd, write k as $2\ell + 1$. We get

$$\Upsilon_{2\ell+1} = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \binom{2\ell+1}{2j} P^{2\ell+1-2j} F^j \quad S_f^p T_f P_f \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \binom{2\ell+1}{2(\ell-j)} S_f^{j(p-1)} T_f^j P_f^{2j}}{\sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \binom{2\ell+1}{2j+1} P^{2\ell-2j} F^j \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \binom{2\ell+1}{2j} S_f^{j(p-1)} T_f^j P_f^{2j}}.$$

On the one hand

$$\deg(N_{2\ell+1}) = (p + \ell(p - 1))d_{S_f} + (\ell + 1)d_{T_f} + (2\ell + 1)d_{P_f},$$

and on the other hand

$$\deg(D_{2\ell+1}) = 2\ell d_{P_f} + \ell d_{T_f} + \ell(p - 1)d_{S_f}.$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(g^{2\ell+1}) = \max \left((p + \ell(p - 1))d_{S_f} + (\ell + 1)d_{T_f} + (2\ell + 1)d_{P_f} + 1, \right. \\ \left. (p + 1 + \ell(p - 1))d_{S_f} + 2\ell d_{P_f} + (\ell + 1)d_{T_f}, \right. \\ \left. 2\ell d_{P_f} + \ell d_{T_f} + \ell(p - 1)d_{S_f} + 2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

We conclude with the equality $\mu(g) = 2 \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\deg(g^k)}{k}$. □

3. EXAMPLES

In this section we will give examples that illustrate Theorem B; more precisely §3.1 (resp. §3.2) illustrates Theorem B.1. (resp. Theorem B.2.)

3.1. Example that illustrates Theorem B.1.

3.1.1. *First example.* Consider the birational map of J given in the affine chart $x = 1$ by $f = (y, (1 - y)yz)$. The matrix associated to f is

$$M_f = \begin{pmatrix} (1-y)y & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$


and the Baum Bott index $\text{BB}(f)$ of f is $\frac{((1-y)y+1)^2}{(1-y)y}$; in particular f belongs to \mathcal{J} (Proposition 1.1). The characteristic polynomial of M_f is

$$\chi_f(X) = (X - (1 - y)y)(X - 1).$$

According to Theorem B.1. one has $\mu(f) = 4 \leq 2 \max(\deg(2), \deg(2(1 - y)y)) = 4$.

We can see it another way: [CD12] asserts that $\deg(f^k) = k \deg(f) - k + 1 = 3k - k + 1 = 2k + 1$. Consequently $\mu(f) = 2 \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\deg(f^k)}{k} = 2 \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2k + 1}{k} = 4$.

A third way to see this is to look at the configuration of the exceptional divisors. For any $k \geq 1$ one has $f^k = (x^{2k+1} : x^{2k}y : (x - y)^k y^k z)$. The configuration of the exceptional divisors of f^k is



where

- ◊ two curves are related by an edge if their intersection is positive;
- ◊ the self-intersections correspond to the shape of the vertices;
- ◊ the point means self-intersection -1 , the rectangle means self-intersection $-2k$.

In particular the number of base-points of f^k is $2k + 2k + 1 = 4k + 1$ and

$$\mu(f) = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\#\mathfrak{b}(f^k)}{k} = 4.$$

3.1.2. *Second example.* Consider the birational map of J given in the affine chart $z = 1$ by $f = (x, xy + x(x - 1))$. The matrix associated to f is

$$M_f = \begin{pmatrix} x & x(x-1) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix};$$

according to Proposition 1.1 the map f is a Jonquières twist (indeed $\text{BB}(f) = \frac{(1+x)^2}{x} \in \mathbb{C}(x) \setminus \mathbb{C}$). The characteristic polynomial of M_f is $\chi_f(X) = (X-x)(X-1)$. and f is conjugate to $g = (x, xy)$. According to Theorem B.1. one has

$$\mu(f) = \mu(g) = 2(\deg(g) - 1) = 2 \leq 2 \max(\deg(2), \deg(2(1-y)y)) = 2.$$

We can see it another way: for any $k \geq 1$ one has $f^k = (x, x^k y + x^{k+1} - x)$ and thus $\deg(f^k) = k+1$. As a result $\mu(f) = 2 \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\deg(f^k)}{k} = 2 \times 1 = 2$.

3.2. Examples that illustrate Theorem B.2.

3.2.1. *First example.* Consider the map of \mathcal{J} given in the affine chart $y = 1$ by

$$f = \left(x, \frac{x(1-xz)}{z} \right).$$

The matrix associated to f is

$$M_f = \begin{pmatrix} -x^2 & x \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

the Baum Bott index $\text{BB}(f)$ of f is $-x^3$ and f belongs to \mathcal{J} (Proposition 1.1).

Theorem B.2.a. asserts that $\mu(f) = 3$. We can see it another way: a computation gives $\deg(f^{2k}) = 3k+1$ and $\deg(f^{2k+1}) = 3(k+1)$ for any $k \geq 0$. Since $\mu(f) = 2 \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\deg(f^k)}{k}$ one gets $\mu(f) = 3$.

3.2.2. *Second example.* Consider the map f of \mathcal{J} associated to the matrix

$$M_f = \begin{pmatrix} y & 2y^8 \\ y & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Baum Bott index $\text{BB}(f)$ of f is $\frac{(y+1)^2}{y(1-2y^8)}$ and f belongs to \mathcal{J} (Proposition 1.1). Theorem B.2.a. asserts that $\mu(f) = 9$. We can see it another way: a computation gives $\deg(f^{2k}) = 9k+1$ and $\deg(f^{2k+1}) = 9k+8$ for any $k \geq 0$. Since $2 \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\deg(f^k)}{k} = \mu(f)$ one gets $\mu(f) = 9$.

3.2.3. *Third example.* Let us consider the Jonquières map of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ given in the affine chart $z = 1$ by

$$f = \left(\frac{y(y+2)x + y^5}{x + y(y+2)}, y \right).$$

The matrix associated to f is

$$M_f = \begin{pmatrix} y(y+2) & y^5 \\ 1 & y(y+2) \end{pmatrix}$$

and the Baum Bott index $\text{BB}(f)$ of f is $\frac{4(y+2)^2}{(y+2)^2 - y^5}$. In particular f is a Jonquières twist (Proposition 1.1).

According to Theorem B.2.b. one has $\mu(f) = 3$. An other way to see that is to compute $\deg f^k$ for any k : for any $\ell \geq 1$ one has

$$\deg(f^{2\ell}) = 3(\ell + 1), \quad \deg(f^{2\ell+1}) = 3\ell + 5.$$

Then we find again $\mu(f) = 2 \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\deg(f^k)}{k} = 3$.

3.2.4. *Fourth example.* Consider the map f of \mathbb{J} associated to the matrix

$$M_f = \begin{pmatrix} y(y+2)^8 & y^5 \\ 1 & y(y+2)^8 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Baum Bott index $\text{BB}(f)$ of f is $\frac{4(y+2)^{16}}{(y+2)^{16}-y^3}$ and f belongs to \mathcal{J} (Proposition 1.1). According to Theorem B.2.b. one has $\mu(f) = 16$. An other way to see that is to compute $\deg f^k$ for any k : for any $k \geq 1$ one has $\deg f^k = 8k + 2$. Then we find again $\mu(f) = 2 \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\deg(f^k)}{k} = 2 \times 8 = 16$.

3.2.5. *Fifth example.* Let us consider the Jonquière map of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ given in the affine chart $z = 1$ by

$$f = \left(\frac{y(y+1)(y+2)x + y^2}{(y+2)x + y(y+1)(y+2)}, y \right).$$

The matrix associated to f is

$$M_f = \begin{pmatrix} y(y+1)(y+2) & y^2 \\ y+2 & y(y+1)(y+2) \end{pmatrix}$$

and the Baum-Bott index $\text{BB}(f)$ of f is $\frac{4(y+1)^2(y+2)}{(y+1)^2(y+2)-1}$; in particular f is a Jonquière twist (Proposition 1.1).

Theorem B.2.c. asserts that $\mu(f) = 3$. An other way to see that is to compute $\deg f^k$ for any k : for any $k \geq 1$

$$\deg(f^{2k}) = 3k + 2 \quad \deg(f^{2k+1}) = 3k + 4$$

so $2 \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\deg(f^k)}{k} = 3$ and we find again $\mu(f) = 3$.

3.3. Families.

3.3.1. *First family.* Let us consider the family $(f_t)_t$ of elements of \mathbb{J} given by $f_t = (x+t, y \frac{x}{x+1})$. A straightforward computation yields to

$$f_t^n = \left(x + nt, y \frac{x}{x+1} \frac{x+t}{x+t+1} \cdots \frac{x+(n-1)t}{x+(n-1)t+1} \right)$$

The birational map f_t belongs to \mathcal{J} if some multiple of t is equal to 1, and to $\mathbb{J} \setminus \mathcal{J}$ otherwise. Furthermore,

- ◇ if no multiple of t is equal to 1, then $\mu(f_t) = 2$ (because $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\deg f_t^k}{k} = 1$);
- ◇ otherwise $\mu(f_t) = 0$.

3.3.2. *Second family, illustration of Theorem A.3.* Let us recall a result of [CDX21]: let f be any element of $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})$, or any elliptic element of $\mathrm{Bir}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)$ of infinite order; then f is a limit of pairwise conjugate loxodromic elements (resp. Jonquière twists) in the Cremona group. Hence there exist families $(f_n)_n$ of birational self-maps of the complex projective plane such that

- ◇ $\mu(f_n) > 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- ◇ $\mu\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f_n\right) = 0$.

3.3.3. *Third family, illustration of Theorem A.4.* Let us recall a construction given in [CDX21]. Consider a pencil of cubic curves with nine distinct base points p_i in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. Given a point m in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$, draw the line $(p_1 m)$ and denote by m' the third intersection point of this line with the cubic of our pencil that contains m : the map $m \mapsto \sigma_1(m) = m'$ is a birational involution. Replacing p_1 by p_2 , we get a second involution and, for a very general pencil, $\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2$ is a Halphen twist that preserves our cubic pencil. At the opposite range, consider the degenerate cubic pencil, the members of which are the union of a line through the origin and the circle $C = \{x^2 + y^2 = z^2\}$. Choose $p_1 = (1 : 0 : 1)$ and $p_2 = (0 : 1 : 1)$ as our distinguished base points. Then, $\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2$ is a Jonquière twist preserving the pencil of lines through the origin; if the plane is parameterized by $(s, t) \mapsto (st, t)$, this Jonquière twist is conjugate to $(s, t) \mapsto \left(s, \frac{(s-1)t+1}{(s^2+1)t+s-1}\right)$. Now, if we consider a family of general cubic pencils converging towards this degenerate pencil, we obtain a sequence of Halphen twists converging to a Jonquière twist. So there exists a sequence $(f_n)_n$ of birational self-maps of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ whose limit is also a birational self-map of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ and such that

- ◇ $\mu(f_n) = 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- ◇ $\mu\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f_n\right) > 0$.

REFERENCES

- [ACn02] M. Alberich-Carramiñana. *Geometry of the plane Cremona maps*, volume 1769 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [BC16] J. Blanc and S. Cantat. Dynamical degrees of birational transformations of projective surfaces. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 29(2):415–471, 2016.
- [BD05] E. Bedford and J. Diller. Energy and invariant measures for birational surface maps. *Duke Math. J.*, 128(2):331–368, 2005.
- [BD15] J. Blanc and J. Déserti. Degree growth of birational maps of the plane. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 14(2):507–533, 2015.

- [CD12] D. Cerveau and J. Déserti. Centralisateurs dans le groupe de Jonquières. *Michigan Math. J.*, 61(4):763–783, 2012.
- [CDX21] S. Cantat, J. Déserti, and J. Xie. Three chapters on Cremona groups. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 70(5):2011–2064, 2021.
- [D06] J. Déserti. Sur les automorphismes du groupe de Cremona. *Compos. Math.*, 142(6):1459–1478, 2006.
- [DF01] J. Diller and C. Favre. Dynamics of bimeromorphic maps of surfaces. *Amer. J. Math.*, 123(6):1135–1169, 2001.
- [DS05] T.-C. Dinh and N. Sibony. Une borne supérieure pour l’entropie topologique d’une application rationnelle. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 161(3):1637–1644, 2005.

UNIVERSITÉ D’ORLÉANS, INSTITUT DENIS POISSON, ROUTE DE CHARTRES, 45067 ORLÉANS CEDEX 2, FRANCE
Email address: deserti@math.cnrs.fr