



HAL
open science

Racial socialisation and negotiation of family mixedness among white parents of internationally adoptive children in France

Solène Brun

► **To cite this version:**

Solène Brun. Racial socialisation and negotiation of family mixedness among white parents of internationally adoptive children in France. *Mixed Families in a Transnational World*, Routledge, pp.191-212, 2021, 9781003126263. 10.4324/9781003126263-9 . hal-04330037

HAL Id: hal-04330037

<https://hal.science/hal-04330037>

Submitted on 7 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Racial socialisation and negotiation of family mixedness among white parents of internationally adoptive children in France

Solène Brun

Chapitre paru dans Josiane Le Gall, Catherine Therrien et Karine Geoffrion (dir.), Mixed Families in a Transnational World, New York, Routledge, 2022, p. 130-149.

In France, international adoption has rarely been studied from the angle of racial mixedness. In the United States and the United Kingdom, the adoption debate is strongly focused around racial questions, notably the legitimacy of so-called ‘transracial’¹ adoption, be it domestic or international (Bartholet, 1991; Lee, 2003; Sweeney, 2013; Tizard & Phoenix, 1993). The French sociohistorical context, on the other hand, has shaped the adoption debate in a totally different manner. France is largely considered a ‘colour-blind’ society (Brubaker, 1998; Sabbagh & Peer, 2008; Simon, 2008), and in studies of adoption, as elsewhere, the question of race is often replaced by that of ‘culture’ (Fortier, 2011; Marre, 2009; Roux, 2015, 2017). Yet a large majority of adopted children in France come from countries colonised by Europe in the past, and are very likely to be racialised as non-whites. Adoptive parents, for their part, belong overwhelmingly to the majority population, and are racialised as white (Guillaumin, 1972). If international adoption *de facto* creates families in which different national origins coexist, it also creates, in most cases, racially mixed families, characterised by the coexistence of majority racial status (for the parents) and minority status (for the children). These families where children racialised as non-white are raised and socialised by parents from the white majority population can thus provide valuable insights on racial boundaries and how they are negotiated at the microsociological level.

Moreover, as whiteness is generally unmarked, and is characterised by invisibility and unspeakability (Dottolo & Stewart, 2013; Frankenberg, 1993; Lewis, 2004), and ultimately by normality, white parents of non-white children occupy a singular and heuristic position. Their situation can shed useful light on the mechanisms of racial socialisation, rendered all the more observable by the fact that the parents do not share the same racial positionality as their children and therefore cannot simply transmit their own experience. The disruption of the norm of intrafamilial racial homogeneity thus renders racial socialisation practices less self-evident, hence more discernible for the researcher. Childhood is a key period in the process of primary socialisation (Berger and Luckmann, 1986), and it is within the family and through socialisation that children learn ways of

being and acting, as well as understanding the world and their place in it as determined by their gender and their class, but also by their race. This chapter examines the contours of racial socialisation, a question all too rarely studied in France, in the context of international and transracial adoptive families. It thus contributes both to the literature on racial socialisation in adoptive families (Barn, 2013; Crolley-Simic & Vonk, 2008; DeBerry, Scarr & Weinberg, 1996; Khanna & Killian, 2015; Leslie et al., 2013; Mohanty, 2013; Samuels, 2009;) and to that on mixed-race families (Rollins & Hunter, 2013; Song & Gutierrez, 2016; Stone & Dolbin-MacNab, 2017; Twine, 1996; 2010), exploring social racialisation in situations where white parents raise non-white children. In both bodies of literature, the aim is to analyse how non-white children must 'learn to navigate racialised stigma' with parents who have no direct knowledge of what this means and implies (Samuels, 2009), in an often normative perspective.

By focusing on the question of racial mixedness among international adoptive families in France, this chapter shows how white parents approach the racial socialisation of their non-white children in a colourblind context. After describing the data on which the chapter relies, and the singular context in France with regard to international adoption and racial questions, it examines the two dominant modes of socialisation that can be identified. While a minority of adoptive parents interviewed socialise their children through what I have called 'learning racial minority status', the majority tend to apply an assimilationist approach—in which racial mixedness within the family is dealt with through references to integration and assimilation of children, both in a national and racial perspective – adopting a colourblind rationale to socialisation and keeping race at a distance. The chapter concludes with an analysis of the determinants of these socialisation practices, examining the characteristics and social positioning of the adoptive parents.

Research methods

This chapter draws upon an ethnographic study conducted between 2015 and 2017 to explore how racial boundaries are negotiated within international adoptive families and families of mixed-race couples in France. The chapter focuses here on the first module of the study on international adoption, comprising 53 semi-structured interviews with adoptive parents (n=34) and with individuals adopted abroad (n=19). The adoptive parents were aged between 36 and 74 and had adopted children in 21 countries or territories (Benin, Haiti, Madagascar, Guatemala, Ivory Coast, Colombia, Ethiopia, Mali, Wallis and Futuna, Central African Republic, Kazakhstan, Vietnam, China, Russia, Nepal, Congo Brazzaville, Djibouti, Peru, Morocco, Senegal, and South Korea) between 1970 and 2016. One-third of the respondents were active members of an association of adoptive parents.

Most had adopted as a couple (n=22), and among those who adopted as a lone parent (n=12), 11 were women. Some of the interviews with people who adopted as a couple were conducted with both parents (n=6), and the remainder with one parent (6 with the father only and 10 with the mother only). With two exceptions, all the parents interviewed belonged to the French white majority population and had adopted at least one child in a country of Africa, the Caribbean, the Pacific, South America or Asia. A large share of parents was in highly qualified occupations, and none were manual workers. This imbalance is consistent with the profile of adoptive parents in France: the adoption survey conducted by the French Institute for Demographic Studies (INED) in 2001–2002 found that 32 per cent of adoptive parents are in higher-level occupations (versus 18 per cent in the general population according to data from INSEE, the French statistical office). Last, a large majority of respondents (n=30) were living in the Paris region at the time of the survey, although in towns with very diverse sociodemographic profiles. The remainder lived in a French overseas department, abroad, or in a small provincial town.²

During the interviews, which lasted between 50 minutes and 3½ hours, respondents were asked about their decision to adopt and the adoption process, the child(ren)'s arrival in the family, the discussions held with them, cultural transmission strategies, choices of forenames, and the child(ren)'s integration in the family. Parents were also asked to recount any experiences of rejection, racism or discrimination to which their children had been exposed, and how they, as parents, had prepared them to deal with such situations. Last, the parents were asked about the members of their contact circle (friends and relatives), and those of their children, their place of residence and the schools attended.

Context: race and adoption in France

With its solid republican foundations, France is a country where the colourblind ideology is not only dominant, but also institutionalised, as noted by many authors (Bessone, 2013; Brubaker, 1998; Sabbagh and Peer, 2008; Simon, 2008). The term 'colourblindness' refers to the cognitive and epistemological paradigm whereby racial differences are ignored, and, in some instances, whereby the very existence of races, both biological and social, is refuted (D. Fassin, 2006a; E. Fassin, 2006b). In France, colourblindness thus takes the form of a 'legal and political' framework (Sabbagh & Peer, 2008), characterised, especially since the aftermath of the Second World War, by a strong reluctance to confront and name the race question or to acquire a vocabulary serving specifically to combat ethno-racial discrimination (Safi, 2017). While the use of ethno-racial categories has been institutionalised in some countries, notably via the population census (Morning, 2008; Schor, 2009; Simon, 1997), this is not the case in France. In the post-war period, the use of the word 'race' became highly derogatory and is subject to taboo (Dhume, 2019; Guillaumin, 1972; Peretti-Ndiaye, 2016).

Yet ethno-racial inequalities and discrimination are widespread in France, and their scale has been amply documented (Brinbaum, Safi & Simon, 2018; McAvay & Simon, 2019; Safi and Simon, 2013). The results of the Trajectories and Origins survey conducted by INED and INSEE in 2009 provide valuable information on the experience of discrimination and racism among immigrants and their descendants, by country of origin. Discrimination is reported more frequently by people from the French overseas departments, Sub-Saharan Africa, North Africa and Turkey than by people of Asian origin, whose experience is more similar to that of European immigrants and their descendants as well as of the majority population (McAvay & Safi, 2018; Safi & Simon, 2013).

These inequalities and the racialised hierarchy that they reveal mirror the hierarchies observed at a global level, and affect the world of international adoption accordingly. In 2014, around 69 per cent of adoptions concerned children born abroad.³ Alongside the United States and Spain, France is among the world's top three receiving countries: these countries alone account for two-thirds of all international adoptions (Mignot, 2015). While the number of international adoptions in France and elsewhere in the world has declined sharply, the flow remains almost exclusively unidirectional, from the Global South to the Global North. Between 2004 and 2019, the proportion of European adoptions remained small, ranging between 15 per cent and 24 per cent from year to year, with most adopted children being born in Africa or Asia.⁴ In France, the proportion of adoptions from Africa is higher than elsewhere, with African countries accounting for the majority of inter-national adoptions by French people since 2007 (Mahéo, 2016, 157).

According to Denéchère, this 'French singularity' is explained by the country's colonial past and its French-speaking sphere of influence (Denéchère, 2009, 126). Historically, the first French international adopters turned mainly to Asian countries, as did those in the rest of Europe and the United States. In 1974, internationally adopted children in France came mainly from South Korea, Vietnam and India (Denéchère, 2009, 126). Today, a large majority of adopted children in France is born in former European colonies. This international adoption flow is thus associated with a process of racialisation, both in the 'choice'⁵ of adoptees and in the formation of adoptive families in the receiving country.

The general economy of international adoption, the strong tensions generated by the increase in demand in Western countries and the decline in international adoption have given rise to a system whereby the children are classified by desirability, and the parents by their adoptive capacities. In France, while parents' adoptive capacities are ranked mainly on the basis of socioeconomic factors and social norms of 'good parenting qualities' (heterosexuality, youth, stability, etc.) (Ramos & Kertudo, 2014; Roux & Vozari, 2018), the desirability ranking of children operates largely along racial lines (Brun, 2019b; Roux, 2017). For many French adopters, children born in South America and East Asia

represent a compromise between what is undesirable (children who are black, no longer infants, or have special needs) and what is unattainable (healthy white babies) (Brun, 2019b), as is also the case in the United States (Dorow, 2006a; 2006b; Khanna & Killian, 2015; Perry, 1998; Raleigh, 2018; Sweeney, 2013; Zhang & Lee, 2011).

Racial socialisation in the French context

The concept of racial or ethnic socialisation was first developed in the 1980s in the United States by social scientists studying the socialisation practices of African-American parents (Peters, 1985; Richardson, 1981; Spencer, 1983). It was later extended to other ethnic or racial minorities. Given that the main role of this socialisation is to provide children with dispositions for coping with, and even challenging, racism and discrimination, the concept is intrinsically linked to minority families, potentially exposed to racial prejudice.

In France, despite an abundant literature on socialisation, understood as 'the set of processes whereby individuals are constructed [...] by the global and local society in which they live, and during which individuals acquire [...] socially situated ways of doing, thinking and being' (Darmon, 2010, 6), race has rarely been addressed from this angle, unlike class or gender. Yet the role of socialisation in the formation of ethnic or racial identities is not a novel idea for European social scientists. Fredrik Barth already developed a similar notion, considering that ethnic identities are closely linked to imposed and internalised social roles which shape the rules of behaviour and the social sanctions incurred when individuals infringe these rules (Barth, 1969).

While the existence of racial socialisation in all-white families is rarely considered, some surveys have examined the socialisation of children from ethnic and/or racial minorities raised by white parents. Studying families with bi-racial, multi-racial or adopted children, these surveys question the capacity of white parents to adequately accomplish such a socialisation (Andujo, 1988; Crolley-Simic & Vonk, 2008; Smith, Juarez & Jacobson, 2011), with an explicitly normative perspective that posits that there exists an 'adequate' way of racially socialising children, which would thus allow the development of a 'healthy' and 'positive' racial identity among minority children (Butler-Sweet, 2011; Thompson, 1994). In US studies, the term socialisation is often used simply as a synonym for education, with little focus on the less strategic and more unconscious dimensions of socialisation as a system of internalisation, or even incorporation, of dispositions. Rather than simply transferring the concept of racial socialisation to the French context, I position my perspective at the crossroads of these two conceptions. Without discarding the French concept of socialisation as a durable, and generally implicit incorporation of ways of 'feeling, thinking and acting' (Bourdieu, 1990), I believe that its meaning can be

broadened to include a more explicit—even strategic—dimension that brings it closer to the US understanding of the term.

The study conducted here showed that parental socialisation practices take two different forms. In some families, socialisation involves developing the children's awareness of their minority status, based on the parents' perception and anticipation of racism. This approach, applied only by a minority of the parents interviewed, reflects a desire to develop the children's consciousness of racism, and to provide them with the necessary coping dispositions while encouraging them to take pride in their minority identity. A second, more frequent approach that I call 'learning colourblindness' can be observed among parents with a colourblind attitude to racism; distancing strategies are applied to exclude racism from the family sphere and daily life. This second form of socialisation is itself characterised in practice by two modes of action. The first is a universalist form of socialisation in which preparation for racism is diluted in the development of more general dispositions for confronting the difficulties of life and in which racism is de-dramatised and de-individualised. The second is an assimilationist type of socialisation whereby racial mixedness within the family is managed through both the children's national and racial assimilation. It should be noted that the typology of socialisation practices described in this chapter is a typology of practices and not a typology of parents themselves: indeed, the different practices described are not mutually exclusive. However, while some parents apply several different socialising practices, promoting a sense of pride often goes hand in hand with an explicit preparation for discrimination, in what I call 'learning minority status', just as universalist socialisation is often coupled with assimilation strategies, in what I call 'learning colourblindness', highlighting that socialising practices and representations of racism are interlinked. After presenting and characterizing the different modes of socialisation, I will examine the factors that may explain parents' socialising rationale.

Learning racial minority status

Learning minority status, in the racialised sense of the term, is one parental mode of socialisation. Parents anticipate the implications of their children's racial minority status in the host society and help them to develop coping mechanisms. The fact that only a minority of the parents interviewed (n=5) mentioned practices of this kind is likely related to the dominance of colourblindness in France, a point I will return to later.

In the interviews, this anticipation is first expressed through explicit acknowledgement of the racialised dimension of the children's adoption. Associated with a hierarchical perception of race relations in France, this awareness prompts the parents to anticipate

the children's possible future exposure to racism, often with the aim of preparing and protecting them. For example, Béatrice Reynard⁶ (age 46, training center manager, adopted daughter born in Côte d'Ivoire, adoption as spouse) feels duty-bound to help her daughter confront such realities, notably with respect to police discrimination, which she frequently encounters through her work. When anticipating potential exposure to racism, some parents take measures to prepare their children for the racist remarks, incidents or attacks they may one day have to confront. Note that in comparison with the mixed-race couples interviewed, the practices of adoptive couples take a more direct and less tacit form; for a parent from a racial minority can transmit his or her experience directly to the children in a way that is, by definition, impossible for all-white adoptive parents.

For Jehanne and Arnaud Asselin (age 53, landscape gardener; age 45, journalist, adoption as spouses), who adopted their son in Mali, it is important, for example, to let him know that racism exists, and to try and teach him to 'defend himself' and to 'give as good as he gets'. Catherine Fournier (age 54, civil servant, daughter adopted in Haiti, as a lone parent), for her part, understands the difficulty of deconstructing what she calls 'ordinary racism' with her teenage daughter, while being told by her that she 'can't understand' because she is not directly exposed to racism herself. Catherine also plans to warn her daughter about the police, and the fact that they are 'not always well-intentioned' towards young black adults. Likewise, Flora Seblon (age 47, teacher, son adopted in Haiti, as a 'false' lone parent),⁷ is aware that she and her wife cannot 'prevent' their son from being exposed to racism, and intends to 'give him verbal weapons at least [...] to defend himself'. In this way, through their words and attitudes, these parents try to help their children develop the necessary coping dispositions. By invoking the possibility of exposure to racism, and seeking to raise their children's awareness of this issue, they create a framework of socialisation which, they hope, will enable their children to develop the resources they need to live as a racial minority in society.

Among the survey respondents, adoptive parents seeking to foster explicit racial pride were even more rare, most reporting that it was easier for them to develop their children's interest in their birth culture (continued cultural ties with the country of origin, dietary adaptations, travel, etc.).⁸

Only three parents mentioned socialising practices aimed at encouraging a sense of belonging to a minority racial group. Corinne Crespel (age 50, teacher, three children adopted in Haiti, as spouse), for example, highlighted the need to convey a positive image of black people to her three children. During the interview, she explicitly referred to the Négritude movement⁹ when she talked about her efforts to instil racial pride. For Corinne, it is also important to give them role models of black success in France. During the interview she recounts that her five-year-old daughter had asked 'why are all the men

at store entrances black?' Unwilling for her daughter 'to only notice or only identify with the school cleaning woman or the supermarket security guard' Corinne is deliberately seeking to develop her children's racial awareness: she teaches them the history of slavery as 'their' history, highlighting stories of revolt, shows them films on the subject and highlights black success stories via famous black politicians and writers. Catherine Fournier, who lives in an affluent and racially homogeneous suburb of Paris, also wants to give her daughter positive examples of successful black people, and regrets that most of the black people her daughter encounters are in menial jobs ('it's simple, they [the blacks] are road sweepers, refuse collectors, whatever'). Corinne and Catherine's approach is dual: alongside a desire to provide role models that are not exclusively white, they want to give their children examples of socioeconomic success that correspond to their own social status. Clearly, the socialisation processes identified here are also a reflection of social class; in this case—given the over-representation of higher-level occupations among adopters (see above)—the dominant classes.

It is also with the intent of broadening her daughter's range of role models that Catherine felt it was important to buy black dolls for her when she was small. The process of socialisation via a wide range of references also takes place through toys and play, as it enables children to incorporate ways of doing and to build a representation of the world they inhabit.

Colourblindness and distancing: universalist socialisation and assimilation

Quite distinct from learning the minority status, the dominant modes of socialisation are framed in a 'colourblind' approach characterised by a distancing from the questions of race and racism. The majority of adoptive parents I interviewed adhere to a colourblind perspective on society or an essentially moral and individualistic understanding of racism—that Bonilla-Silva (1997) qualifies as an 'idealist view'. Among this group, several parents reported not seeing, or no longer noticing their children's skin colour — a literal example of colourblindness. This minimisation of physical difference, part of the process of naturalising the parent-child relationship, is common among adoptive parents (see, for example, Bergquist, Campbell & Unrau, 2003; Crolley-Simic & Vonk, 2008; DeBerry, Scarr & Weinberg, 1996). Moreover, the replacement of terms used to designate racial categories by chromatic words considered more appropriate (such as 'brown' and 'beige', for example), contributes to the development of a colourblind perspective within the family, and a deliberate distancing from racial questions and their most widely used categories. Such questions are consigned to the public sphere, outside of the home and family where they are seen as irrelevant (Karis, 2004). By separating the public and private

spheres in this way, the parents define a colourblind domestic space in which to raise and socialise their children.

These colourblind attitudes and the way they are embodied by the parents create a reference space which partially delimits the children's framework of socialisation. They underpin a 'universalist socialisation' approach widely shared by the parents I interviewed. Under this approach, racial socialisation is diluted in the parents' efforts to prepare the children for the difficulties of life in general, and socialisation is explicitly colourblind, avoiding any mention of race.

Several parents, for example, confirmed that they took no 'specific' steps to prepare their children to belong to a racial minority in society. For Clémence and Guillaume Demailly (age 36, urban planner, and teacher, two sons adopted in Ethiopia, as spouses), the main priority is to foster the eldest son's self-confidence, without reference to his racial identity: 'generally speaking, they're things that will help him cope with human stupidity when he's older, but not especially on that level, if you see what I mean'. Georges and Christine Giraudon (ages 52 and 53, account manager and project manager, one daughter adopted in Côte d'Ivoire, as spouses) also believe that such preparation, if any, is purely 'indirect', via very general values of tolerance and acceptance of difference.

This universalist approach to the racial question is reflected in the way the parents talk about discrimination to their children. Several respondents compare racism with other forms of playground bullying or abuse. For example, when I asked if she intended to warn her two children aged 10 and 11, born in Vietnam, that they may one day be victims of racism, Valérie Legouis (age 49, human resources manager seeking employment, lone parent) replied that she 'tries', while also saying that 'it's a bit like' when the children are labelled as 'fat' or 'ugly' at school. For Samuels (2009), this comparison between racial discrimination and other childish insults signals an incomplete acknowledgement of the problem of racism. It also echoes the teaching of responding with contempt to racial insults, 'without getting angry' (Valérie Legouis), in much the same way as parents in the survey by Smith and colleagues who treated racist incidents as merely anecdotal (Smith, Juarez & Jacobson, 2011). Similarly, Tiphaine Peyrat (age 49, project manager, one daughter adopted in Kazakhstan, lone parent) explained in her interview that she had advised her daughter, unhappy at being constantly asked about her origins and labelled as 'Chinese' at school, to simply say that she was an 'earthling'.

Instances of universalist socialisation, marked by a minimization of race or mere silence on the question, take several forms and are apparently not specific to the French context. The modes of socialisation I observed are similar to those of 'mainstream socialisation' which encourages children to develop the skills needed to navigate within the dominant culture rather than focusing on their birth culture or their minority status (Boykin & Toms,

1985; Hughes, Rodriguez et al., 2006, 757), or of 'egalitarian' socialisation which aims 'to rear racially neutral children who notice people's individual qualities rather than their racial group membership' (Hughes, Bachman et al., 2006, 599).

Learning colourblindness also fosters what I identify as the promotion of assimilation. This assimilation is expressed on two levels: at national level, in the discourse of parents who claim to raise their children 'as French citizens', but also at the racial level, when the aim is to raise children 'as whites'. Here, nationality becomes conflated with race, whiteness and Frenchness being seen as the same thing, and synonymous with normality.

When asked if they maintain links with the children's birth culture, some adoptive parents claimed that it would be artificial to do so, and that they want to raise their children as French, with no reference to a second culture. This was the viewpoint of Benoît Mathurin (age 55, lawyer, three children adopted in France and Colombia, as spouse), who has cut off all ties with the children's birth cultures, considering that such ties would hinder their integration. Valérie Legouis also believes that when 'you are in France', it is French culture that should be transmitted. For her, the transmission of French culture is vital, and she has worked hard to instil in her children a sense of pride in France and its cultural heritage. In some cases, this desire to foster the children's integration and their allegiance to French society is

reflected in the choice of a French forename. For Jehanne and Arnaud Asselin, for example, who adopted their son Jules in Mali, it was important to replace his original forename, Ahmed, with a 'more neutral' name that would serve as a 'basis for integration'—where the very connotation of the name Jules disappears in the conflation between majority and neutrality.

On a different register, some parents believe that socialising their children with an exclusively French set of references provides them with the armour they need by removing the justification for any mention of their otherness. Michèle and Bertrand Vaysse, who describe their son, adopted in Mali, as a real 'Frenchy', believe that educating him in French culture will give him the 'weapons' to deal with racism, in contrast to an 'immigrant' child:

And in any case, Raphaël doesn't have immigrant status, it's not the same after all. [...] He's French, and he'll feel like a real Frenchman, you know. [...] It's not the same as turning up in France, [...] having a truly different origin and a different culture ... Raphaël, he'll be living in our culture [...] and he'll have the weapons to deal with that, because he'll have the right to say: 'I don't give a damn'.

(Michèle and Bertrand Vaysse, age 51 and 49, managers in the audiovisual industry, one son adopted in Mali, as spouses)

These parents argue that through their adoption, the children become 'legitimate' French citizens, and hence more French than immigrants.¹⁰

Under this reasoning, legitimacy of nationality is not compatible with radical difference, be it formulated in cultural terms or more directly in terms of physical appearance. This distinction drawn between adopted and immigrant children, that Marre (2009) and Dorow (2006b) also observed among adoptive parents in Spain and the United States, is at least partly a socioeconomic distinction, with the adoptive parents refusing to liken their children to the descendants of immigrants, who often migrate for economic reasons and live in precarious circumstances. It is also a distinction in terms of cultural assimilation, seen as more complete for adoptees than for immigrants, as clearly implied in the words of Michèle and Bertrand Vaysse. For adoptive parents, becoming a full member of the family and of the nation thus often go hand in hand. Underplaying the children's birth culture and adopting a mode of socialisation designed to assimilate them into their country of adoption is also a means for the parents to affirm their position as the children's only parents, with the frontiers of parenthood coinciding with the frontiers of the nation (Dorow, 2006b).

Last, for some parents, the assimilation rationale shifts from French socialisation to *white* socialisation. This shift occurs via a racialisation of Frenchness and its associated 'culture', as illustrated by Arnaud Asselin, who states that his son Jules 'will be black with a white culture', and describes him as '*métis*' (mixed race): in Arnaud's mind, while Jules is phenotypically black, he is 'culturally' white in his ways of being and acting, and in his cultural references. This is a direct evocation of the racial dimension of the family socialisation process which, for Arnaud Asselin, becomes a factor of racial mixedness.

Moreover, adoptive parents believe that their children, having being raised by white parents, will also assume a certain whiteness that will help protect them from discrimination. Daniel Gimenez (age 49, teacher, one daughter adopted in Côte d'Ivoire, as spouse) shares this point of view, arguing that adopted children with white parents 'don't see themselves as black', and that this is an 'advantage' for confronting racism:

She has grown a white heart in a black body, in fact. And that's really what will happen; that's what happens after a while, I mean she identifies with her parents, and you can see it, and I even think it's an advantage for her. Because it means that if she's confronted by racism, she can tell herself that she isn't totally ... [...] That's why I say 'white heart, black body', or black skin, but her culture and her world, it's actually a world of whites. [...] Personally, I think that an adopted child [...] doesn't actually see himself as black. He sees himself [...] like his parents in fact. So [...] I'm not convinced that the first thing you should say to yourself is that he'll suffer from racism. For example, white racism. Because for him, it's his world.

Under this rationale, the children adopted by white parents enjoy a degree of whiteness and hence some of its associated privileges, including protection from racism. The question of preparing for racism is diluted and expunged through this socialisation to whiteness. Howell (2003) describes similar processes among international adoptive parents in Norway. According to Wade (2009, 189), the kinning process as described by Howell, whereby 'families put much effort into [...] assimilating these children and making them into true Norwegians, individuals capable of talking and behaving like Norwegians' produces an erasure of race in favour of culture. In light of the results presented here and the racial assimilation arguments put forward by respondents, the interpretations of Howell and Wade can, I believe, be taken even further, with a more substantial reading of race and culture; race may not be 'erased' in the kinning process but rather transformed, with cultural assimilation leading to racial assimilation (Brun, 2019a). By socialising children in a 'white culture', its ways of being and its social expectations, their very racial belonging is cast into doubt and its boundaries blurred.

Frameworks and modes of socialisation: The determinants of parenting practices

The parents' approach to socialisation is shaped by their ways of seeing the world and its social structures, and their mode of racial socialisation is closely linked to their overall conception of racism, race relations and racial inequality in France. Their strategy will differ, depending on whether they see racism as a structural characteristic of French society that their children will one day have to confront, or as a characteristic of individual interactions linked to personal attitudes (Crolley-Simic & Vonk, 2008; White-Johnson, Ford & Sellers, 2010). These perceptions and underlying values are conveyed all the more strongly in the parents' discourse and their routine remarks, which send implicit messages to their children and provide opportunities for socialisation (Percheron, 1993). Note, however, that the parents' opinions on race in general and racism in particular are not necessarily based on a consistent ideology. Certain colourblind attitudes or arguments may very well alternate with colour-conscious ones.

An affinity is thus drawn between the way racism and inequalities are perceived by parents and their child-rearing preferences. Parents who down-play the scale of racism in France or who have an essentially individualistic conception of the problem, as a sum of prejudices, will more readily adopt a colourblind perspective and, in some cases, they will avoid placing emphasis on the culture of the children's birth country. Conversely, those who have a more systematic understanding of racism will be more inclined to help their children learn their racial minority status. These different approaches to the racial problem in France are not randomly distributed, however. First, the fact that only a small

minority of adoptive parents apply the mode of socialisation that I have called learning minority status reflects the institutionalised dominance of the colourblind perspective in France, where any talk of race is associated with racist intent. The colour-conscious viewpoint of respondents who defy this rule is linked to professional experience of racism (Béatrice Reynard), a rather radical political standpoint (Catherine Fournier, Flora Seblon), or a socialised understanding of racial categories influenced by the family circle (Corinne Crespel's husband is American, for example).

While the study did not systematically explore the respondents' political sympathies, the colourblind mode of racial socialisation appears to correspond both to people on what could be labelled as the 'universalist left', characterised by liberal values as well as an emphasis on universalism and a cosmopolitan ethos (Cosquer, forthcoming), and to those with a more conservative outlook. For example, while Benoît Mathurin, (age 55, lawyer) believes that having foreign friends can be 'a very nice thing', he nonetheless wants his children adopted in Colombia to become 'integrated' into French society, because 'we are in France'. Likewise, in her interview, Valérie Legouis (age 49, seeking employment in human resources) highlighted her patriotism and her pride in French heritage, from Louis XIV to Napoleon, and the importance of inculcating these values in her children adopted in Vietnam.

Last, approaches to socialisation depend more generally on the context in which the children are raised; place of residence and parental sociability play an important role. Bonilla-Silva (2006) emphasises the importance of segregation, and hence of class and race homogamy, in the production of ways of acting and thinking internalised by whites in the United States. In doing so, he points up the need to consider the contexts of socialisation and their effects, paying attention to the structure of sociability in particular. Indeed, as noted by Berger and Luckmann (1986, 154), 'the child does not internalise the world of his significant others as one of many possible worlds. He internalises it as *the* world, the only existent and only conceivable world, the world *tout court*'. This world, as a social reality, internalised by children as the only possible one, exists in a specific time and place, and is structured by the individuals that move within it; as such, the place of residence and the composition of the parents' contact circle are central components. Respondents who live in affluent Parisian districts or suburban towns raise their children in neighborhoods with little socioeconomic or racial diversity, and this can therefore impact the socialisation context in which children grow up. Residential and educational exclusivity leads to homogamous sociability, ensuring that the children internalise racially situated practices and codes. For example, one mother living in a racially homogeneous neighbourhood recounted that before he went to school, her son, adopted in Haiti, believed that all parents were white, because his mother's circles were very

homogeneous and the only other non-white children around him were also adopted by white parents.

However, the rationales of racial socialisation do not depend solely on parental characteristics or preferences. For example, the only parents who mentioned fostering racial pride or citing role models in the children's racial group were those who adopted children racialised as black.¹¹ The others, while mentioning pride, focused exclusively on national cultures. Halifax and Labasque point out that while 21.2 per cent of internationally adopted children show an interest in their birth culture, the percentage varies widely by country of origin: fewer than 10 per cent of children born in Europe are interested, versus almost 30 per cent of children born in Haiti or on the African continent (Halifax & Labasque, 2013, 99). Likewise, only parents who have adopted a black child reported in interview that they were explicitly preparing them for exposure to racism and discrimination. This difference of approach reveals how racial hierarchies in French society are perceived by the adoptive parents interviewed. Several parents who adopted in South America or Asia claimed that racism in France mainly—or even exclusively—targets black people, implying that other minorities in France are much less—or not at all—concerned by the problem. This idea, shared by several respondents, gives rise to modes of socialisation that differ by the child's country of origin. Exploring the socialisation practices of white parents thus sheds light on their perception and understanding of racial hierarchies in France.

Conclusion

While the racial socialisation of transracial adopted children has been widely documented in the United States, the question has rarely been explored in France. Yet even in a largely colourblind context, the study of parents of internationally adopted children reveals that racialised socialisation practices do indeed take place in those families that are bringing together people of different national origins and different racial positions. These practices mainly take two forms. The first is based on learning minority status, with parents anticipating the risk of exposure to racism and discrimination and seeking to instil a sense of pride (national and, in some cases, racial) in their children. The second, based on the learning and transmission of colourblindness, is characterised by a universalist approach to racial questions, silence on race, and the promotion of an assimilationist discourse, again in terms of nation and race.

I have addressed elsewhere the consequences of these two different modes of socialisation on the children and the development of their racial identification (Brun, 2019b). The typology presented here sheds light on the diversity of parenting approaches,

and shows that silence about race must be seen as an integral component of racial socialisation. Here I concur with Bartoli and colleagues (2016), who argue that colourblindness is learnt and that it is not a hypothetically natural state, or a form of innocence that would be disrupted by socialisation. For these authors, 'not seeing race, in a heavily racialised society, is a skill that has to be carefully taught and cultivated in order to be developed' (2016, 133). As such, it is essential to understand that the majority population is no less socialising in terms of race than the minority population is assumed to be.

France being a country where race remains taboo, it necessarily influences the parental approach to racial socialisation. For the parents interviewed, the subject is often addressed in a much more indirect and euphemistic manner than is described in the American or British literature on the racial socialisation of adoptees or mixed-raced children, and is more similar to the situation described in Sweden (Hübinette & Tigervall, 2009a; 2009b). Above all, the colourblind worldview in which they live and in which they have themselves been socialised is often seen as the only acceptable approach, and the only possible mode of socialisation. In this regard, this work aims to further the empirical investigation of racial socialisation in a national context which, as yet, remains under-documented.

Notes

- 1 The term 'transracial' applied to adoptions, coined in the United States in the 1970s, refers to adoptions of non-white children by white parents (Fanshel, 1972; Grow & Shapiro, 1974).
- 2 For those residing outside of metropolitan France, interviews were conducted via Skype.
- 3 Data provided by the International Adoption Mission (Mission de l'Adoption Internationale; MAI), the French central authority which reports to the Ministry of Foreign and European Affairs; and by the National Child Protection Observatory (Observatoire national de la protection de l'enfance; ONED). In 2006, 85% of all adoptions in France were international. See https://www.data.gouv.fr/fr/datasets/adoptions-internationales-pays-dorigine-zone-geographique-tranche-dages-et-procedures/#_.
- 4 MAI data; see note #3.
- 5 The inverted commas emphasise the fact that parental 'choice' in the adoption procedure is severely limited by the scarcity of adoptable children, the multiple restrictions imposed by sending countries and the conditions imposed by the French authorities.
- 6 The names of all respondents are anonymised.
- 7 Flora Seblon adopted her son as a single parent in 2007, before same-sex marriage and adoption were legalised in France. The child was later adopted by her partner.
- 8 Around one-third of the parents interviewed make efforts to familiarise their children with their birth culture, often with a concern to avoid 'wiping out' the children's past. Their initiatives take different forms, however, ranging from a largely cosmetic presence of the

birth country to a more consequential and lasting investment.

- 9 Négritude is a theoretical and literary movement, created in the 1930s by francophone intellectuals, aimed at celebrating and cultivating black consciousness and black pride.
- 10 Given that they are French but born abroad, adopted children can formally be considered as immigrants.
- 11 This does not imply that all respondents who had adopted a child of African origin use the racial framework. Some of them only want to instil national pride, i.e. the pride of belonging to a particular country, rather than that of belonging to a racial minority.

References

- Andujo, E. (1988). Ethnic identity of transethnically adopted Hispanic adolescents. *Social Work*, 33(6), 531–535. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sw/33.6.531>.
- Barn, R. (2013). 'Doing the right thing': Transracial adoption in the USA. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 36(8), 1273–1291. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01419870.2013.770543>.
- Barth, F. (Ed.) (1969). *Ethnic groups and boundaries: The social organization of culture difference*. Long Grove, IL: Waveland Press.
- Bartholet, E. (1991). Where do black children belong: The politics of race matching in adoption. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 139(5), 1163–1256. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3312364>.
- Bartoli, E., Michael, A., Bentley-Edwards, K.L., Stevenson, H.C., Shor, R.E., & McClain, S.E. (2016). Training for colour-blindness: White racial socialisation. *Whiteness and Education*, 1(2), 125–136. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23793406.2016.1260634>.
- Berger, P.L., & Luckmann, T. (1986). *La construction sociale de la réalité*. Paris: Armand Colin.
- Bergquist, K.J.S., Campbell, M.E., & Unrau, Y.A. (2003). Caucasian parents and Korean adoptees: A survey of parents' perceptions. *Adoption Quarterly*, 6(4), 41–58. https://doi.org/10.1300/J145v06n04_03.
- Bessone, M. (2013). *Sans distinction de race? Une analyse critique du concept de race et de ses effets pratiques*. Paris: Vrin.
- Bonilla-Silva, E. (1997). Rethinking racism: Toward a structural interpretation. *American Sociological Review*, 62(3), 465–480. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2657316>.
- Bonilla-Silva, E. (2006). *Racism without racists: Color-blind racism and the persistence of racial inequality in the United States* (2nd ed.). Lanham, Md.: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Bourdieu, P. (1980). *Le sens pratique*. Paris: Éditions de Minuit.
- Bourdieu, P. (1990). *The logic of practice*. Stanford, Calif.: Stanford University Press.
- Boykin, A.W., & Toms, F.D. (1985). Black child socialization: A conceptual framework. In H.P. McAdoo & J.L. McAdoo (Eds.), *Black children: Social, educational, and parental environments* (pp. 33–51). Newbury Park, Calif.: Sage.
- Brinbaum, Y., Safi, M., & Simon, P. (2018). Discrimination in France: Between perception and experience. In C. Beauchemin, C. Hamel & P. Simon (Eds.), *Trajectories and origins: Survey on the diversity of the French population* (pp. 195–222). Paris: Ined Éditions. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-76638-6_8.

- Brubaker, R. (1998). *Citizenship and nationhood in France and Germany*. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.
- Brun, S. (2019a). Race et socialisation. *La Vie des Idées* (online). <https://lavedesidees.fr/Race-et-socialisation.html>.
- Brun, S. (2019b). Trouble dans la race. Construction et négociations des frontières raciales dans deux types de familles mixtes en France. Thèse de doctorat de Sociologie. Institut d'Études Politiques de Paris.
- Butler-Sweet, C. (2011). 'A healthy black identity': Transracial adoption, middle-class families, and racial socialization. *Journal of Comparative Family Studies*, 42(2), 193–212. <https://doi.org/10.3138/jcfs.42.2.193>.
- Cosquer, C. (forthcoming). Looking for the authentic other: Cosmopolitan ethos and orientalism in French migrants' experiences in Abu Dhabi. *Critique of Anthropology*.
- Crolley-Simic, J., & Vonk, M.E. (2008). Racial socialization practices of white mothers of international transracial adoptees. *Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Diversity in Social Work*, 17(3), 301–318. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15313200802258257>.
- Darmon, M. (2010). *La socialisation: domaines et approches*. Paris: Armand Colin.
- DeBerry, K.M., Scarr, S., & Weinberg, R. (1996). Family racial socialization and ecological competence: Longitudinal assessments of African-American transracial adoptees. *Child Development*, 67(5), 2375. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1131629>.
- Denéchère, Y. (2009). Vers une histoire de l'adoption internationale en France. *Vingtième Siècle. Revue d'Histoire*, 102, 117–129. <https://doi.org/10.3917/ving.102.0117>.
- Dhume, F. (2019). De la race comme un problème. Les sciences sociales et l'idée de nature. *Raison Présente*, 174(2), 53–65. https://www.persee.fr/doc/raipr_0033-9075_2010_num_174_1_4227.
- Dorow, S. (2006a). Racialized choices: Chinese adoption and the 'white noise' of blackness. *Critical Sociology*, 32(2–3), 357–379. <https://doi.org/10.1163/156916306777835277>.
- Dorow, S. (2006b). *Transnational adoption: A cultural economy of race, gender, and kinship*. New York: NYU Press.
- Dottolo, A.L., & Stewart, A.J. (2013). 'I never think about my race': Psychological features of white racial identities. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 10(1), 102–117. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14780887.2011.586449>.
- Fanshel, D. (1972). *Far from the reservation. The transracial adoption of American Indian children*. Metuchen, NJ.: Scarecrow Press.
- Fassin, D. (2006a). Du déni à la dénégation. Psychologie politique de la représentation des discriminations. In D. Fassin & É. Fassin (Eds.), *De la question sociale à la question raciale? Représenter la société française* (pp. 131–157). Paris: La Découverte.
- Fassin, É. (2006b). Aveugles à la race ou au racisme? In D. Fassin & É. Fassin (Eds.), *De la question sociale à la question raciale?* (pp. 106–130). Paris: La Découverte.
- Fortier, C. (2011). 'Des gamètes de couleur': Phénotype, race ou ethnie? *L'Autre*, 12(3), 289–303. <https://doi.org/10.3917/lautr.036.0289S>.
- Frankenberg, R. (1993). *White women, race matters: The social construction of whiteness*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Grow, L.J., & Shapiro, D. (1974). *Black children, white parents: A study of transracial adoption*. New York: Child Welfare League of America.

- Guillaumin, C. (1972). *L'Idéologie raciste*. La Haye: Mouton.
- Halifax, J., & Labasque, M.-V. (2013). *Etude relative au devenir des enfants adoptés en France et à l'international* (p. 134). Rapport final. CREAL de Picardie.
- Howell, S.L. (2003). Kinning: The creation of life trajectories in transnational adoptive families. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*, 9(3), 465–484. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9655.00159>.
- Hübinette, T., & Tigervall, C. (2009a). To be non-white in a colourblind society: Conversations with adoptees and adoptive parents in Sweden on everyday racism. *Journal of Intercultural Studies*, 30(4), 335–353. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07256860903213620>.
- Hübinette, T., & Tigervall, C. (2009b). When racism becomes individualised: Experiences of racialisation among adult adoptees and adoptive parents of Sweden. In S. Keskinen, S. Tuori, S. Irni, & D. Mulinari (Eds.), *Complying with colonialism: Gender, race and ethnicity in the Nordic region* (pp. 119–135). Farnham: Ashgate.
- Hughes, D., Bachman, M.A., Ruble, D., & Fuligni, A. (2006). Tuned in or tuned out: Parents' and children's interpretation of parental racial/ethnic socialization practices. In L. Balter & C.S. Tamis-LeMonda (Eds.), *Child psychology. A handbook of contemporary issues* (2nd ed., pp. 591–610). New York: Psychology Press.
- Hughes, D., Rodriguez, J., Smith, E.P., Johnson, D.J., Stevenson, H.C., & Spicer, P. (2006). Parents' ethnic-racial socialization practices: A review of research and directions for future study. *Developmental Psychology*, 42(5), 747–770. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.42.5.747>.
- Karis, T.A. (2004). 'I prefer to speak of culture': White mothers of multiracial children. In H.M. Dalmage (Ed.), *The politics of multiracialism* (pp. 161–174). State University of New York Press.
- Khanna, N., & Killian, C. (2015). 'We didn't even think about adopting domestically': The role of race and other factors in shaping parents' decisions to adopt abroad. *Sociological Perspectives*, 58(4), 570–594. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0731121415572688>.
- Lee, R.M. (2003). The transracial adoption paradox. *The Counseling psychologist*, 31(6), 711–744. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0011000003258087>.
- Lee, R.M., Grotevant, H.D., Hellerstedt, W.L., & Gunnar, M.R. (2006). Cultural socialization in families with internationally adopted children. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 20(4), 571–580. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0893-3200.20.4.571>.
- Leslie, L.A., Smith, J.R., Hrapczynski, K.M., & Riley, D. (2013). Racial socialization in transracial adoptive families: Does it help adolescents deal with discrimination stress? *Family Relations*, 62(1), 72–81. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-3729.2012.00744.x>.
- Lewis, A.E. (2004). 'What group?' Studying whites and whiteness in the era of 'color-blindness'. *Sociological Theory*, 22(4), 623–646. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0735-2751.2004.00237.x>.
- Mahéo, C. (2016). Les acteurs français de l'adoption internationale au risque de l'Afrique (1990–2015). *Annales de Bretagne et des Pays de l'Ouest*, 123(2), 153–169. <https://doi.org/10.4000/abpo.3322>.
- Marre, D. (2009). 'We do not have immigrant children at this school, we just have children adopted from abroad': Flexible understandings of children's 'origins'. In L. Briggs & D.

- Marre (Eds.), *International adoption: Global inequalities and the circulation of children* (pp. 226–243). New York: New York University Press.
- McAvay, H., & Safi, M. (2018). Is there really such thing as immigrant spatial assimilation in France? Desegregation trends and inequality along ethnoracial lines. *Social Science Research*, 73, 45–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssresearch.2018.03.005>.
- McAvay, H., & Simon, P. (2019). Perceptions et expériences de la discrimination en France. In *Inégalités d'accès aux droits et discriminations en France. Contributions de chercheurs à l'enquête du Défenseur des droits* (pp. 95–131). République Française.
- Mignot, J.-F. (2015). L'adoption internationale dans le monde: Les raisons du déclin. *Population et Sociétés*, 519. <https://doi.org/10.3917/popsoc.519.0001>.
- Mohanty, J. (2013). Ethnic and racial socialization and self-esteem of Asian adoptees: The mediating role of multiple identities. *Journal of Adolescence*, 36(1), 161–170. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2012.10.003>.
- Morning, A. (2008). Ethnic classification in global perspective: A cross-national survey of the 2000 census. *Population Research and Policy Review*, 27(2), 239–272. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11113-007-9062-5>.
- Percheron, A. (1993). *La socialisation politique*. Paris: Armand Colin.
- Peretti-Ndiaye, M. (2016). Race, racism, racialisation: Que nous disent les discours? *Revue Européenne des Sciences Sociales*, 1(54-1), 103–128. <https://doi.org/10.4000/ress.3459>.
- Perry, T.L. (1998). Transracial and international adoption: Mothers, hierarchy, race, and feminist legal theory. *Yale Journal of Law and Feminism*, 10, 101–164.
- Peters, M.F. (1985). Racial socialization of young black children. In H.P. McAdoo & J.L. McAdoo (Eds.), *Black children: Social, educational, and parental environments*. Newbury Park, Calif.: Sage.
- Raleigh, E. (2018). *Selling transracial adoption. Families, markets, and the color line*. Philadelphia, Pa.: Temple University Press.
- Ramos, E., & Kertudo, P. (2014). La réception des normes par les parents: Le cas de l'adoption. *Revue des Politiques Sociales et Familiales*, 118(1), 45–54. <https://doi.org/10.3406/caf.2014.3049>.
- Richardson, B.B. (1981). *Racism and child-rearing: A study of black mothers*. Claremont, Calif.: Claremont Graduate School.
- Rollins, A., & Hunter, A.G. (2013). Racial socialization of biracial youth: Maternal messages and approaches to address discrimination. *Family Relations*, 62(1), 140–153. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-3729.2012.00748.x>.
- Roux, S. (2015). L'utérus et la patrie. Adoption, origines et politique des identités. *Mouvements*, 82(2), 66–75.
- Roux, S. (2017). The colour of family happiness: Adoption and the racial distribution of children in contemporary France. *Social Anthropology*, 25(4), 509–524. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-8676.12451>.
- Roux, S., & Vozari, A.-S. (2018). Parents at their best: The ethnopolitics of family bonding in France. *Ethnography*, 19(1), 3–24. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1466138116687592>.
- Sabbagh, D., & Peer, S. (2008). French color blindness in perspective: The controversy over 'statistiques ethniques'. *French Politics, Culture and Society*, 26(1), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.3167/fpcs.2008.260101>.

- Safi, M. (2017). Promoting diversity in French workplaces: Targeting and signaling ethnoracial origin in a colorblind context. *Socius: Sociological Research for a Dynamic World*, 3, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2378023117728834>.
- Safi, M., & Simon, P. (2013). Les discriminations ethniques et raciales dans l'enquête Trajectoires et Origines: Représentations, expériences subjectives et situations vécues. *Économie et Statistique*, 464(1), 245–275. <https://doi.org/10.3406/estat.2013.10240>.
- Samuels, G.M. (2009). 'Being raised by white people': Navigating racial difference among adopted multiracial adults. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 71(1), 80–94. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-3737.2008.00581.x>.
- Schor, P. (2009). *Compter et classer: histoire des recensements américains*. Paris: Éditions de l'École des Hautes Études en Sciences Sociales.
- Simon, P. (1997). La statistique des origines: l'ethnicité et la 'race' dans les recensements aux États-Unis, Canada et Grande-Bretagne. *Sociétés Contemporaines*, 26(1), 11–44. <https://doi.org/10.3406/socco.1997.1442>.
- Simon, P. (2008). The choice of ignorance: The debate on ethnic and racial statistics in France. *French Politics, Culture and Society*, 26(1), 7–31. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-20095-8_4.
- Smith, D.T., Juarez, B.G., & Jacobson, C.K. (2011). White on black: Can white parents teach black adoptive children how to understand and cope with racism? *Journal of Black Studies*, 42(8), 1195–1230. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0021934711404237>.
- Song, M., & Gutierrez, C.O. (2016). What are the parenting practices of multiracial people in Britain? *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 39(7), 1128–1149. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01419870.2015.1096411>.
- Spencer, M.B. (1983). Children's cultural values and parental child rearing strategies. *Developmental Review*, 3(4), 351–370. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0273-2297\(83\)90020-90025](https://doi.org/10.1016/0273-2297(83)90020-90025).
- Stone, D.J., & Dolbin-MacNab, M. (2017). Racial socialization practices of white mothers raising black-white biracial children. *Contemporary Family Therapy*, 39(2), 97–111. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10591-017-9406-1>.
- Sweeney, K.A. (2013). Race-conscious adoption choices, multiraciality, and color-blind racial ideology. *Family Relations*, 62(1), 42–57. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-3729.2012.00757.x>.
- Thompson, V.L.S. (1994). Socialization to race and its relationship to racial identification among African Americans. *Journal of Black Psychology*, 20(2), 175–188.
- Tizard, B., & Phoenix, A. (1993). *Black, white, or mixed race?: Race and racism in the lives of young people of mixed parentage*. London and New York: Routledge.
- Twine, F.W. (1996). Brown skinned white girls: Class, culture and the construction of white identity in suburban communities. *Gender, Place and Culture*, 3(2), 205–224. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09663699650021891>.
- Twine, F.W. (2010). White like who? The value of whiteness in British interracial families. *Ethnicities*, 10(3), 292–312. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1468796810372306>.
- Wade, P. (2009). Race, identité et parenté. In E. Porqueres i Gené (Ed.), *Défis contemporains de la parenté* (pp. 171–196). Paris: Éditions de l'École des Hautes Études en Sciences Sociales.

- White-Johnson, R.L., Ford, K.R., & Sellers, R.M. (2010). Parental racial socialization profiles: Association with demographic factors, racial discrimination, childhood socialization, and racial identity. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology, 16*(2), 237–247. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0016111>.
- Zhang, Y., & Lee, G.R. (2011). Inter-country versus transracial adoption: Analysis of adoptive parents' motivations and preferences in adoption. *Journal of Family Issues, 32*(1), 75–98. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0192513X10375410>.