

Complex sporulation-specific expression of transcription termination factor Rho highlights its involvement in Bacillus subtilis cell differentiation

Vladimir Bidnenko, Arnaud Chastanet, Christine Péchoux, Yulia Redko-Hamel, Olivier Pellegrini, Sylvain Durand, Ciarán Condon, Marc Boudvillain, Matthieu Jules, Elena Bidnenko

▶ To cite this version:

Vladimir Bidnenko, Arnaud Chastanet, Christine Péchoux, Yulia Redko-Hamel, Olivier Pellegrini, et al.. Complex sporulation-specific expression of transcription termination factor Rho highlights its involvement in Bacillus subtilis cell differentiation. 2023. hal-04328824

HAL Id: hal-04328824 https://hal.science/hal-04328824v1

Preprint submitted on 7 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

1	Complex sporulation-specific expression of transcription termination factor Rho
2	highlights its involvement in <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> cell differentiation.
3	Vladimir Bidnenko ^{1*} , Arnaud Chastanet ¹ , Christine Péchoux ² , Yulia Redko-Hamel ¹ , Olivier
4	Pellegrini ³ , Sylvain Durand ³ , Ciarán Condon ³ , Marc Boudvillain ⁴ , Matthieu Jules ¹ , Elena
5	Bidnenko ^{1*}
6	
7	¹ Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, AgroParisTech, Micalis Institute, Jouy-en-Josas, France
8	² Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, AgroParisTech, GABI, Jouy-en-Josas, France
9	MIMA2 Imaging Core Facility, Microscopie et Imagerie des Microorganismes, Animaux et
10	Aliments, INRAE, Jouy-en-Josas, France
11	³ Expression Génétique Microbienne, UMR8261 CNRS, Université Paris Cité, Institut de
12	Biologie Physico-Chimique, Paris, France.
13	⁴ Centre de Biophysique moléculaire, CNRS UPR4301, Orléans, France; affiliated with
14	Université d'Orléans, France
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	* Co-corresponding authors
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	

31

32 Abstract

33

Transcription termination factor Rho controls pervasive, mainly antisense, transcription initiated at cryptic signals or resulting from read-through at weak terminators in various bacterial species. In *Bacillus subtilis*, Rho is intricately involved in the regulation of phenomena associated with the adaptation to stationary phase and cell differentiation including the ultimate survival program of sporulation.

While knockout or overexpression of the *rho* gene alters global transcription and modifies cell physiology, in wild-type *B. subtilis* cells, the reduction of Rho levels during the transition to stationary phase is necessary for both initiation and implementation of the sporulation program. However, the mechanisms that govern Rho expression throughout the cell cycle remain largely unknown.

Here, we demonstrate that, besides the previously identified vegetative SigA-dependent 44 promoter active during exponential growth, two distinct mechanisms ensure a spatiotemporal 45 expression of the rho gene during sporulation. In the mother cell of the sporangium, rho 46 expression occurs through the read-through transcription initiated at the distal SigH-dependent 47 and Spo0A~P-regulated promoter of the spo0F gene. In the forespore, rho is transcribed from 48 a genuine promoter recognized by the alternative sigma factor SigF. These regulatory elements 49 compensate for the inactivation of SigA-dependent rho expression at the end of exponential 50 growth and allow the critical "refueling" of Rho protein in both compartments of the 51 sporangium. We show that altering *rho* expression in the mother cell or in the forespore affects 52 differently the properties and the morphology of mature spores. Moreover, spores formed in the 53 absence of Rho are impaired in their ability to revive under favorable growth conditions, 54 exhibiting accelerated germination and slow outgrowth. Finally, we show that optimal 55 outgrowth of the wild-type spores requires the expression of rho during spore maturation and 56 additionally after spore germination. 57

58

59

60 Introduction

61

A growing understanding of the importance of transcription termination in the regulation of gene expression in bacteria has stimulated extensive analysis of the proteins that control this universal step in decoding genetic information (Peters et al., 2011; Ray-Soni et al., 2016; Kriner

et al., 2016; Turnbough, 2019; Mandell et al., 2022a). Among them is transcription termination 65 factor Rho, an ATP-dependent RNA helicase-translocase that improves the termination 66 efficiency of many intrinsic terminators and is essential for termination at bona-fide Rho-67 dependent terminators (Roberts, 1969; Quirk et al., 1996; Peters et al., 2009; Hao et al., 2021; 68 Mandell et al., 2022b). Over the last years, considerable advances have been made in unveiling 69 the molecular mechanism of Rho-dependent termination (Song et al., 2022; Molodtsov et al., 70 71 2023; Murayama et al., 2023; Rashid and Berger, 2023). Transcriptome analyses of rho knockout and conditionally deficient mutants of various bacteria 72 have established the essential role of Rho in controlling pervasive, mainly non-coding and 73 antisense, transcription that originates from cryptic initiation signals or from read-through of 74 transcription terminators (Nicolas et al., 2012, Peters et al., 2012; Mäder et al., 2016; Botella et 75

- al, 2017). By controlling transcription genome-wide, Rho directly and indirectly influences
 various aspects of cellular physiology in bacteria living in diverse habitats (Bidnenko et el.,
 2017, 2023; Botella et al., 2017; Nagel et al., 2018; Trzilova et al., 2020; Lin et al.; 2021;
 Krypotou et al., 2023). It is notable that Rho is involved in the regulation of phenomena
 associated with stationary phase, including stress survival, cell fate determination, antibiotic
 sensitivity, host colonization and virulence in different bacteria (Lee and Helmann, 2014; Liu
 et al., 2016; Hafeezunnisa et al., Bidnenko et al., 2017, 2023; Nagel et al., 2018; Trzilova et al.
- 83 2020; Lin et al., 2021; Figueroa-Bossi et al., 2022).
- Recently, we provided evidence that Rho is intricately involved in the control of cellular adaptation to stationary phase and in cell-fate decision-making, in particular, the decision to sporulate, in the Gram-positive bacterium *Bacillus subtilis* (Bidnenko et al., 2017, 2023). The involvement of Rho in the sporulation process was also demonstrated in *Clostridioides difficile* and *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Trzilova et al., 2020; Lin et al.; 2021).
- Sporulation is a complex developmental program that transforms a vegetative bacterial cell into 89 90 a highly resistant dormant spore, thus ensuring the survival of bacteria under adverse environmental conditions and their dissemination within different ecological niches (de Hoon 91 et al., 2010; Swick et al., 2016; Galperin et al., 2022). A hallmark of sporulation in B. subtilis 92 is the asymmetric division of a differentiating cell in two unequal parts: a forespore, which 93 further develops into the spore, and a mother cell, which engulfs the forespore, nourishes it, 94 ensures the synthesis of spore protective layers, and finally lyses to release the mature spore. 95 Proper spore morphogenesis is essential for spore resistance to external damages and its 96 conversion back into a growing cell under favorable conditions through sequential processes of 97

germination and outgrowth (Setlow, 2003; Setlow et al., 2017; Setlow and Christie, 2023;
Segev et al., 2013; Abhyankar et al., 2016; Boone and Driks, 2016; Mutlu et al., 2018; 2020).

In B. subtilis, sporulation is primarily controlled at the level of transcription initiation by the 100 master regulator Spo0A, whose activity depends on phosphorylation mediated by a multi-101 component phosphorelay, the transition phase-specific sigma factor SigH and a cascade of 102 sigma factors (SigF, SigE, SigG and SigK) that sequentially drive temporally- and spatially-103 104 defined transcriptional programs of spore morphogenesis. Sporulation is initiated at a threshold level of Spo0A~P, which activates the expression of sigH and several sporulation genes, 105 including sigF and sigE encoding the inactive forms of sigma factors. Upon completion of the 106 asymmetric septum, activation of SigF in the forespore is required for subsequent activation of 107 SigE in the mother cell. After a complete engulfment of the forespore, the compartment-specific 108 programs of gene expression are further continued by SigG in the forespore and SigK in the 109 mother cell (for comprehensives reviews see Errington, 1993; Stragier and Losick, 1996; Piggot 110 and Hilbert, 2004; Higgins and Dworkin, 2012). The relevant regulons of each sporulation-111 112 specific sigma factor, as well as other genes involved in sporulation, were determined using the combination of experimental and bioinformatics approaches (Eichenberger et al, 2003, 2004; 113 114 Steil et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2006; de Hoon et al., 2010; Overkamp et al., 2015; Meeske et al., 2016; Galperin et al., 2022). 115

We have previously shown that deletion of the *rho* gene in *B*. subtilis prevents intragenic 116 termination of the kinB transcript, which encodes the sensor kinase KinB, one of the main 117 kinases feeding phosphate into the Spo0A phosphorelay. This leads to the increased expression 118 of KinB and, consequently, to rapid accumulation of active Spo0A~P to a threshold level that 119 120 triggers sporulation. Thus, Rho inactivation stimulates sporulation in *B. subtilis* indicating a regulatory role of Rho-mediated transcription termination within the cell fate decision-making 121 network centered on Spo0A~P (Bidnenko et al., 2017). Conversely, maintaining rho expression 122 123 at a stably elevated level throughout *B. subtilis* exponential growth and stationary phase alters the early steps of adaptive reprogramming of cellular transcription, prevents the activation of 124 Spo0A and, additionally, inhibits some late, yet non-identified, sporulation events, with the 125 overall result of blocking the formation of spores (Bidnenko et al., 2023). 126

127 Comparative transcriptome and proteome analyses have revealed a decrease in the levels of *rho*

mRNA and Rho protein during the transition to stationary phase in wild-type *B. subtilis* cells

129 (Nicolas et al., 2012; Bidnenko et al., 2017; 2023). Considering that Rho negatively affected

130 sporulation in our analyses, a decrease in Rho levels appears necessary for both initiation and

implementation of the sporulation program. This in turn suggests that *rho* expression during *B*.
 subtilis growth and stationary phase is subject to reliable and timely regulation.

The only mechanism known to date for regulating *rho* expression is transcriptional attenuation at the Rho-dependent terminator(s) located within the leader region of the *rho* transcript and consequent premature transcription termination (Ingham et al., 1999). Similar to *B. subtilis,* transcription of the *rho* gene was shown to be autogenously regulated in *Escherichia coli, Salmonella* and *Caulobacter crescentus* (Barik et al., 1985; Matsumoto et al., 1986; Italiani et al., 2005; Silva et al., 2019). In *Salmonella, rho* autoregulation is counteracted by the small

noncoding RNA SraL, which prevents the Rho-mediated termination by direct binding the 5'UTR of *rho* mRNA (Silva et al., 2019).

In the present study, we aimed to gain further insights into *rho* regulation in *B. subtilis* by analyzing the kinetics of *rho* expression at various stages of cellular growth and differentiation. Quite unexpectedly, we found that the expression of the *rho* gene is specifically induced early during sporulation. This counterintuitive finding has focused our subsequent analysis on understanding the mechanism of the sporulation-specific expression of *rho* and its biological significance.

147 We describe two distinct mechanisms controlling *rho* expression in each compartment of the sporulating cells: read-through transcription from the upstream SigH-dependent promoter in the 148 mother cell, and a genuine SigF-dependent rho promoter active in the forespore. The latter 149 feature allows us to classify *rho* as a novel member of the SigF regulon of *B. subtilis*. We 150 provide evidence that altering the spatiotemporal expression of *rho* affects spore resistance 151 properties and morphology, in particular, the structure of spore coat. Moreover, spores formed 152 in the absence of Rho are impaired in their ability to revive under favorable growth conditions, 153 exhibiting an accelerated germination and a slow outgrowth. Finally, we show that the optimal 154 rate of spore outgrowth depends on the synthesis of Rho during spore formation and *de novo* 155 156 after germination.

157

158 **Results**

159

160 Rho expression is specifically induced during sporulation.

161 To analyze a real-time expression of the *rho* gene, we constructed a scarless reporter system, in

which the luciferase gene *luc* of firefly *Photinus pyralis* was fused to the *rho* promoter (P_{rho}) at

163 the position of the *rho* start codon. The P_{rho} -luc fusion was similarly located in the *rho* locus of 164 the chromosome in the wild type *B. subtilis* strain BSB1 and the *rho* deletion mutant RM 165 (Bidnenko et al., 2017). The former strain (hereinafter WT) kept an active copy of the *rho* gene 166 due to duplication of the *rho* locus during the construction. By comparing luciferase activity in 167 WT and RM strains under different growth conditions, we sought to assess Rho autoregulation

- and possibly identify other regulatory factors.
- In WT cells grown in rich medium LB, the expression of *rho* was mainly limited to the 169 exponential growth phase, characterized by two peaks of luciferase activity at OD₆₀₀ ~0.15 and 170 ~0.4 (Fig 1A). In stationary phase, *rho* expression remained at a basal level. A low-level 171 expression of *rho* and its down-regulation during the transition to stationary phase were 172 reported previously (Ingham et al., 1999; Nicolas et al., 2012; Bidnenko et al., 2017; 2023). 173 The inactivation of Rho in RM cells did not alter the kinetics of rho expression, but rather 174 175 increased its level approximately threefold, suggesting a release from autoregulation (Fig 1A). WT cells grown in the sporulation-promoting Difco Sporulation Medium (DSM) showed a 176 177 different *rho* expression kinetics, characterized by the additional peak of luciferase activity in stationary phase (Fig 1B). The reactivation of rho expression coincided in time with the 178 179 induction of the sporulation-specific spoIIAA-AB-sigF operon, monitored in a separate strain using the P_{spoIIAA}-luc transcriptional fusion (Fig 1B; Bidnenko et al, 2017). The similarity in 180 timing suggested that rho expression during stationary phase in DSM might be linked to 181 sporulation. 182
- 183 In RM cells grown in DSM, luciferase activity increased ~7-fold compared to WT cells during exponential growth, but only ~1.5-fold in stationary phase. This suggests that *rho* expression in 184 stationary phase is not subject to significant autoregulation. Curiously, however, the stationary 185 phase-specific expression of *rho* was activated considerably earlier in RM than in WT cells (Fig. 186 1C). This effect was reminiscent of the accelerated expression of spo0A gene in the sporulating 187 RM cells caused by the up-regulation of Spo0A phosphorelay (Bidnenko et al., 2017). 188 Therefore, we compared the expression of *rho* and *spo0A* genes in WT and RM cells grown in 189 DSM using the P_{rho}-luc and P_{sp00A}-luc fusions (Mirouze et al., 2012; Bidnenko et al., 2017). In 190 both strains, *rho* expression in stationary phase timely followed *spo0A* and, in RM cells, the 191 maximal expression levels of both genes was reached ~1.5 hour earlier than in WT (Fig 1D). 192 This observation additionally argued for the sporulation-specific expression of *rho* as a function 193 of Spo0A~P activity. 194
- To get more insights into the sporulation-specific expression of *rho*, we analyzed the P_{rho} -luc activity in *B. subtilis* sporulation mutants. First, we considered *spo0A* and *sigH* mutations,

which block initiation of sporulation by inactivating Spo0A and the transition phase-specific sigma factor SigH, respectively. Neither *spo0A* nor *sigH* mutations affected P_{rho} -luc activity during exponential growth in DSM, but both specifically blocked it in stationary phase, thus confirming that *rho* expression at this stage is dependent on sporulation-specific factors (Fig 1E).

Next, we assessed the involvement of the alternative SigF and SigE factors controlling early gene expression in the forespore and mother cell, respectively. The kinetics of P_{rho} -luc activity in *sigF* and *sigE* mutants was rather similar to WT cells. However, the stationary phase-specific luminescence appeared slightly lower in the *sigF* background and, in both mutants, remained high after reaching a maximal level (Fig 1F). These results indicated some deregulating effects of *sigF* and *sigE* mutations and suggested that *rho* is expressed in both compartments of sporulating cells.

Considering that the known SigA-controlled P_{rho} promoter is mainly active during exponential growth (Fig 1A), we sought for additional regulatory factors of *rho* expression during sporulation.

212

213 The read-through transcription from the upstream SigH-controlled promoter

214 contributes to *rho* expression during sporulation.

The *rho* gene is transcribed from the cognate P_{rho} promoter together with the upstream noncoding S1436 element and the downstream *rpmE* gene in a transcript of ~1.9 kb (Quirk et al., 1991; Ingham et al, 1999; Nicolas et al., 2012). Additionally, the genome-wide transcriptome analyses have revealed several longer read-through transcripts at the *rho* locus, which initiate at the upstream *spo0F* and *fbaA-ywjH* promoters and bypass the intrinsic terminators of the *ywjH* and *glpX* genes (Nicolas et al., 2012; Mandell et al., 2022b; Fig_2A).

We asked whether read-through transcription could play a role in the expression of *rho*, in 221 222 particular during sporulation, as spo0F gene is known to be transcribed from alternative SigAand SigH-dependent promoters, with the latter also being regulated by Spo0A~P (Lewandoski 223 et al., 1986; Predich et al., 1992; Strauch et al., 1993; Asayama et al., 1995). To this end, we 224 sought to enhance transcription termination upstream of the *rho* gene and inserted a DNA 225 fragment containing three intrinsic transcription terminators after the stop codon of the glpX226 gene (hereafter referred 3Ter; Fig 2B; Vagner et al., 1998; Bidnenko et al., 2017). To assess 227 228 read-through transcription at the *rho* locus and the termination efficiency of the 3Ter insertion, we analyzed *rho*-specific transcripts in WT, *sigH* and 3TER mutant cells by Northern blot (Fig 229

2C). Cells were grown in DSM and sampled during exponential growth (OD_{600} 0.5), transition 230 phase (OD₆₀₀ 1.0) and in stationary phase, at OD₆₀₀ 1.8. In WT and sigH mutant cells, we 231 observed several rho-specific RNA species, the smallest and most abundant of which 232 corresponded in size to the 1.9 kb rho-rpmE mRNA, and the largest (~7 kb) to transcripts 233 initiated at the *fbaA* and/or *spo0F* promoters. Other RNAs of ~ 4-5 kb in size cannot be assigned 234 to any defined promoter and may result from ribonuclease processing of the larger transcripts. 235 During transition to stationary phase, the larger *rho*-specific RNAs accumulated in WT cells, 236 but gradually disappeared in sigH mutant, in accordance with the notion that spo0F237 transcription in stationary phase depends on SigH activity (Fig 2C). Notably, none of the larger 238 rho-specific transcripts were detectable in 3TER cells (Fig 2C) confirming that they do indeed 239 originate from upstream of the inserted terminators. All together, these results show an efficient 240 read-through transcription of the *rho* gene, mainly initiated at the *spo0F* promoter. 241

- Northern analysis also provided information about P_{rho} functioning. The levels of the 1.9 kb *rho* transcript decreased in stationary WT cells, in line with the down-regulation of the SigAdependent P_{rho} at this stage (Fig 2C; Nicolas et al., 2012), and became barely detectable in the stationary *sigH* mutant cells (Fig 2C). However, the dramatic decrease in the abundance of this short transcript in the *sigH* mutant was not due to the inhibition of read-through transcription from P_{spo0F} promoter, as the amount of the 1.9 kb *rh*o transcript remained high in the stationary 3TER cells (Fig 2C).
- To better understand the expression of *rho* in the absence of read-through transcription, we 249 analyzed the activity of Prho-luc fusion in the 3TER strain and its sporulation-deficient 250 derivatives. In 3TER Prho-luc cells grown in DSM, luciferase activity was identical to WT Prho-251 252 *luc* during exponential growth, but decreased ~3-fold in stationary phase, highlighting the role of read-through transcription in the *rho* expression at this stage (Fig 2D). Intriguingly, the 253 254 residual luminescence in the stationary 3TER P_{rho}-luc cells was blocked by spo0A and sigH 255 (Fig 2E) and partially inhibited by sigF inactivation, while mutation of sigE had no effect (Fig 2F). All together, these results indicated that the *glpX-rho* intergenic region contains additional 256 structural factor(s) of *rho* expression active during sporulation and likely dependent on SigF. 257
- 258

259 The sporulation-specific expression of *rho* is compartmentalized.

Since SigF is primarily associated with the forespore, this led us to investigate potential compartmentalization of *rho* expression during sporulation. To this end, we constructed a P_{rho} *gfp* fusion expressing green fluorescent protein GFP at the *rho* chromosomal locus of WT cells and analyzed its activity during sporulation at a single-cell level by fluorescence microscopy. We observed an increase of fluorescence intensity in the forespores of the sporulating WT P_{*rho*}*gfp* cells immediately after asymmetric division (S1 Fig and Fig 3). This observation further suggested a particular role of SigF in the sporulation-specific expression of *rho*. Following it, we analyzed the activity of P_{*rho*}-*gfp* fusion in *sigF* and *sigE* mutant cells.

Absence of SigE disables the SigE-dependent inhibitors of septation, therefore provoking the 268 formation of asymmetric septa at both poles of sporulating cells (Illing and Errington, 1991; 269 Setlow et al., 1991; Lewis et al., 1994; Eichenberger et al., 2001). Because activation of SigE 270 in the mother cell sequentially depends on SigF activity in the forespore (Londono-Vallejo & 271 Stragier, 1995; and references therein), both sigE and sigF mutants display a similar disporic 272 cell phenotype. Such disporic cells were readily seen in P_{rho} -gfp sigF and P_{rho} -gfp sigE 273 sporulating cultures, in addition to cells containing one asymmetric septum. The mother cells 274 275 of both sigF and sigE sporangia maintained GFP signal at a level similar to that of WT, indicating that rho expression is SigE-independent (Fig 3). In contrast, while the forespore-like 276 277 structures showed an increased fluorescence in *sigE* mutant similarly to the WT forespores, their brightness was significantly diminished in sigF sporangia (Fig 3). Together with the results 278 279 from the previous section, this observation indicated that, in forespores, *rho* is expressed from a promoter located in the *glpX-rho* intergenic region and dependent, directly or indirectly, on 280 SigF. 281

282

283 The 5'-UTR of *rho* contains a genuine SigF-dependent promoter active in forespores.

Previous analyses have identified a *rho* promoter that matches the SigA-binding sequence 284 consensus (^{sigA}P_{rho}) 293-320 bp upstream the rho start codon (Quirk et al., 1993; Ingham et al., 285 1999; Fig 4A). Recent reassessment of B. subtilis promoters using the unsupervised sequence 286 clustering algorithm has classified ^{sigA}P_{rho} to the M16 cluster of SigA-dependent promoters, 287 which are characterized by an extended 3'-terminal G-rich -10 box and a variable -35 box with 288 the conserved TTG stretch (Nicolas et al., 2012; Fig 4B). Looking for alternative rho 289 expression signals, we analyzed the glpX-rho intergenic region for the presence of the SigF-290 binding consensus -35 (GYATA) and -10 (GGnnAnAHTR) sequences, where Y is C or T; H is 291 A or C or T; R is A or G; and n is any nucleotide (Wang et al., 2006). Such features were found 292 within the ${}^{sigA}P_{rho}$ sequence itself (Fig 4C). Within the -10 box of ${}^{sigA}P_{rho}$, we identified 293 GGTAAAAATA sequence perfectly matching the SigF -10 consensus and, 14 bp upstream, a 294 295 5-nucleotide GAATA sequence differing from the SigF -35 consensus by one nucleotide. Of note, the same -35 sequence is present in the SigF-regulated promoters of *yuiC*, *yabT*, *yjbA*,

- 297 ypfB and ythC genes (Wang et al., 2006). Moreover, the 14-bp spacer between -35 and -10 298 sequences is highly A/T-rich that is characteristic of most SigF-dependent promoters (Wang et 299 al., 2006).
- To prove that the identified sequences constitute a novel SigF-dependent rho promoter 300 (hereafter $^{sigF}P_{rho}$), we proceeded to site-directed mutagenesis of the P_{rho} -gfp fusion, anticipating 301 302 that altering the SigF-binding sequences would specifically affect GFP expression in the forespores. Because of a strong overlap between the -10 sequences of $^{sigA}P_{rho}$ and putative 303 $^{sigF}P_{rho}$, we targeted the -35 GAATA sequence of the latter and replaced the invariant T 304 nucleotide (Amaya et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2006) with A (*mF-35T/A* P_{rho}-gfp) or C (*mF-35T/C* 305 P_{rho}-gfp) (Fig 4C). Next, we compared the activity of the mutant fusions with the original one 306 (WT P_{tho}-gfp) and the one preceded by the transcriptional terminators (3TER P_{tho}-gfp). Cells 307 were set to sporulate in DSM medium, and the fluorescence levels in predivisional cells and 308 two compartments of sporangia were quantified at the time of a maximal SigF activity. As we 309 observed earlier (Fig 3), the sporulating WT P_{rho}-gfp cells showed a higher level of fluorescence 310 in the forespores than in the mother cells; in the latter, gfp expression appeared similar to 311 312 predivisional cells confirming our hypothesis that rho expression in the mother cell is SigEindependent (Fig 4D and 4E). A similar pattern of the brighter forespores was observed in 3TER 313 P_{rho}-gfp cells, even though the fluorescence was globally lower probably due to the inhibition 314 of the read-through transcription by the 3Ter insert (Fig 4D and 4E). 315
- In contrast, and as expected, both *mF-35T/A* and *mF-35T/C* mutations of P_{rho} -*gfp* specifically inhibited the burst of fluorescence in the forespores, similarly to *sigF* mutation (Fig 4D and 4E, and Fig 3). At present, it is unclear why *mF-35T/A* P_{rho}-*gfp* mutant strain displayed a higher basal fluorescence (Fig 4E). Nevertheless, these results clearly indicate that the foresporespecific expression of *rho* depends on the alternative promoter ^{sigF}P_{rho}.
- This conclusion was further supported by the analysis of the mutated P_{rho} -luc fusion: both point mutations mF-35T/A and mF-35T/C efficiently inhibited the sporulation-specific luciferase activity in 3TER cells (S2 Fig).
- Overall, our results indicate that, during growth and sporulation, the expression of *rho* gene relies on three distinct features: (i) a SigA-dependent *rho* promoter that is active during exponential growth, (ii) read-through transcription from the upstream SigH-dependent *spo0F* promoter during early stationary phase and in the mother cells of sporangia, and (iii) a SigF-
- 328 dependent *rho* promoter active in the forespores.

329

330 Differential expression of Rho affects spore properties and morphology.

The revealed spatiotemporal expression of *rho* suggested that besides modulation of Spo0A activity at the onset of sporulation (Bidnenko et al., 2017), Rho can be involved in the subsequent steps of spore development. To address this hypothesis, we used a set of five strains differentially expressing Rho during sporulation, namely: the wild type (WT), a strain blocked for the *rho* read-through transcription (3TER), their derivatives inactivated for *rho* expression in the forespore by *mF-35T/A* mutation of ^{sigF}P_{*rho*} (WT-mT/A and 3TER-mT/A), and a mutant deleted for *rho* (RM).

Initially, we compared sporulation capacities of the five strains. To this end, we induced 338 sporulation by the resuspension method and assessed asymmetric division of individual cells 339 340 and formation of the heat-resistant spores at the initial and final stages of sporulation, respectively. Among the five strains, RM cells showed a highest rate of asymmetric division 341 342 corroborating our previous data on the accelerated sporulation of Δrho mutant, most probably due to a more efficient activation of Spo0A (S3 Fig; Bidnenko et al., 2017). Interestingly, the 343 proportion of cells with asymmetric septum was also higher in 3TER and 3TER-mT/A strains 344 compared to WT or WT-mT/A mutant (S3 Fig) suggesting a regulatory crosstalk between 345 Spo0A~P activating read-through transcription of rho and Rho modulating activity of the 346 Spo0A phosphorelay. However, while RM formed the heat-resistant spores considerably faster 347 and with maximal yield, other mutants resembled more WT cells (S3 Fig). Therefore, only the 348 complete inactivation of Rho significantly affected sporulation dynamics. 349

350 Next, we let cells to sporulate in DSM for 24 hours, during which more than 80 percent of cells in each strain formed spores, purified the mature spores, and compared some of their damage 351 resistance properties. It should be noted, however, that most resistance phenotypes of spores 352 are determined by multifactorial mechanisms, what makes their dissection difficult (reviewed 353 in Setlow, 2006; Setlow and Christie, 2023). All spores differentially expressing rho were 354 similarly resistant to lysozyme, which targets the cortex peptidoglycan (reviewed in Henriques 355 and Moran, 2007), and became similarly sensitive to it after chemical removal of the coat (S4 356 Fig). This indicates that the permeability of spore coat to lysozyme was not detectably affected 357 by altered expression of rho. Likewise, all five types of spores showed similar levels of wet-358 359 heat resistance, a complex spore phenotype determined by factors expressed in both spore compartments (S4 Fig). At the same time, unlike the others, RM spores contained higher 360 361 (~35%) levels of dipicolinic acid (DPA) (Fig 5A), an important factor of the heat-resistance

synthesized in the mother cell, but accumulated in the spore core (reviewed in Nicholson et al., 362 2000; Setlow, 2016). Moreover, the mutant RM and also WT-mT/A and 3TER-mT/A spores, 363 formed in the absence of the forespore-specific expression of *rho*, appeared more sensitive to 364 ultraviolet (UV) light than WT and 3TER spores (Fig 5B). This effect was spore-specific, as 365 vegetative RM and WT cells were equally resistant to UV radiation (S5 Fig). The UV-resistance 366 of mature spores is mainly determined by the α/β small acid-soluble proteins (SASPs) and 367 photoproduct lyase SplB synthesized in the forespores (Mason and Setlow, 1986; Pedraza-368 Reyes et al., 1994; Setlow, 2007). Based on our results, it is possible that Rho expressed from 369 370 SigF-dependent promoter is involved in the regulation of some of these UV-resistance factors. Finally, we analyzed the ultra-structure of the purified spores by transmission electron 371 microscopy, which revealed significant differences between the spores in the structure of their 372 coats (Fig 6). In accordance with numerous previous studies (reviewed in Henriques & Moran, 373 2007; McKenney et al., 2013; Driks and Eichenberger, 2016), WT spores exhibited a regular 374 surface layer composed of a lamellar inner coat and a striated thick electron-dense outer coat. 375 376 Two coats were tightly attached to each other in a large majority (over 92 percent) of WT spores or occasionally separated across a limited area (Fig 6). In contrast, RM spores contained a 377 378 lamellar inner coat similar to WT spores, but their outer coat remarkably lost electron-density and striated structure and appeared half thinner compared to WT spores (respectively, 36.2 +/-379 0.0091 nm and 74.2 +/- 0.021 nm, as measured for 100 spores of each strain). Moreover, above 380 381 80 percent of RM spores showed an extensive detachment of inner and outer coats, often along the entire spore perimeter (Fig 6). Spores differentially expressing rho exhibited intermediate 382 383 ranges of structural alterations of their coats. The outer coat of 3TER spores appeared less striated and low electron-dense compared to WT, therefore resembling the RM coat. Moreover, 384 385 the outermost layer often peeled off the 3TER spore surface. However, we did not observe 3TER spores with the separated inner and outer coats (Fig 6). In WT-mT/A spores, the outer 386 387 coat was electron-dense and striated like a WT layer, but detached from the inner coat in ~30 percent of spores, although across less extended areas than in RM spores (Fig 6). Finally, 3TER-388 mT/A spores contained a low structured outer coat locally separated from the inner coat in ~30 389 percent of spores (Fig 6). By combining these features, 3TER-mT/A spores appear most similar 390 to RM spores, which seems in line with the absence of sporulation-specific rho expression in 391 both mutant strains. We conclude that altering expression of *rho* during sporulation in the 392 mother cell (3TER) or in the forespore (mT/A) induces specific defects of spore morphology, 393 which are accentuated by the entire loss of the Rho activity in RM strain. 394

395

Rho mediates spore germination and outgrowth.

The ability to resume vegetative growth in favorable nutrient conditions, referred to as spore 397 revival, is a basic spore property and spore quality indicator (Henriques and Moran, 2007; 398 Setlow, 2013; Sinai et al, 2015; Ramírez-Guadiana et al., 2017a; Christie and Setlow, 2020). 399 We compared the revival abilities of spores differentially expressing Rho by evaluating the 400 optical density (OD₆₀₀) of spore suspensions after the induction of germination. Rapid 401 rehydration and structural changes of the germinating spores alter their optical properties 402 resulting in a decrease in OD₆₀₀. The OD₆₀₀ of reviving spores remains stable during the post-403 germination phase of metabolic resumption and molecular reorganization, designated the 404 "ripening period", before increasing later during spore outgrowth, when the emerging cells 405 start to grow and divide (Segev et al., 2013; Rosenberg et al., 2015). For simplicity, we 406 407 consider the outgrowth period as a time needed for a spore culture to restore its initial OD_{600} 408 after germination.

- After the addition of the germinant L-alanine, RM spores lost OD₆₀₀ faster than the others, thus showing the highest germination rate (Fig 7A). Similarly, RM spores germinated more efficiently with the germinants AGFK (a mixture of L-asparagine, glucose, fructose and potassium chloride) and L-valine recognized by other germinant receptors than L-alanine (S6 Fig). Other spores germinated similarly to WT with all three germinants (Fig 7A and S6 Fig).
- In contrast to the rapid germination, the outgrowth of RM spores in a defined minimal medium was significantly delayed compared to other spores (Fig 7B). At the same time, the viability of RM spores during germination was not decreased compared to WT spores (S7 Fig) and therefore could not explain the outgrowth delay. As for other spores, the enrichment of the growth medium by casamino acids (0.5%) increased the outgrowth rate of RM spores, which, nevertheless, remained below the WT level (Fig 7C). These results indicate that *rho* inactivation imposes stricter requirements on the spore outgrowth.
- We asked whether Rho activity is needed exclusively during spore morphogenesis for the 421 formation of an appropriate molecular basis for spore revival in the future, or for a correct 422 metabolic resumption during spore outgrowth. To answer this question, we placed the *rho* gene 423 under the control of the IPTG-inducible promoter P_{spac}, which allowed us to selectively control 424 *rho* expression during sporulation and/or spore outgrowth. By immunoblot analysis of P_{spac}-425 rho cells using the Rho^{Bs}-specific antiserum, we established that the induction of P_{spac}-rho by 426 IPTG (100µM) determines a near-natural level of Rho protein (Materials and Methods; S8 Fig). 427 Following this, we allowed P_{spac}-rho cells to sporulate in the presence or absence of IPTG 428 100µM, purified the mature spores from the IPTG-induced and non-induced cultures and 429

430 compared the revival of two types of P_{spac} -*rho* spores in the conditions where *rho* expression 431 was omitted or *de novo* induced by IPTG.

We observed that spores, which were formed in the absence of Rho (P_{spac}-rho^(-IPTG)), exhibited 432 an accelerated germination like RM spores, while those that expressed rho during 433 morphogenesis (P_{spac}-*rho*^(+IPTG)), germinated as WT (Fig 8A). Addition of IPTG together with 434 the germinant did not modify germination kinetics of either spore type (Fig 8B). The two types 435 of spores also behaved differently during outgrowth. In the absence of IPTG, the outgrowth of 436 P_{spac}-rho^(-IPTG) spores was identical to RM spores, while P_{spac}-rho^(+IPTG) spores grew out at an 437 intermediate rate between WT and RM (Fig 8C). Interestingly, de novo induction of rho 438 expression by IPTG had a small but reproducible stimulatory effect on the outgrowth rates, so 439 that P_{spac} -*rho*^(+IPTG) spores were able to "catch up" with the outgrowth of WT spores (Fig 8D). 440 IPTG had no effect on the germination or outgrowth of WT and RM spores. 441

442 From these experiments, we conclude that normal spore revival requires expression of *rho*443 during spore morphogenesis and, although to a lesser extent, *de novo* during spore outgrowth.

444

445

446 **Discussion**

447

The main achievement of the present analysis is the revelation of spatiotemporal expression of the termination factor Rho during sporulation in *B. subtilis* via two distinct mechanisms: readthrough transcription initiated at a distal promoter controlled by SigH in the mother cells, and transcription from the authentic SigF-dependent *rho* promoter in the forespores. These regulatory elements compensate for the inactivation of SigA-dependent *rho* expression at the end of exponential growth and allow the "refueling" of Rho protein in both compartments of the sporangium.

455 Our results also show that maintaining Rho levels during sporulation is necessary for the 456 formation of spores with normal morphology, resistance properties and the ability to return to 457 a vegetative state under favorable environmental conditions.

458

459 Compartmentalized expression of *rho* during sporulation.

460 The sporulation-specific read-through transcription of *rho* is initiated by the activation of the 461 SigH-dependent promoter of *spo0F* gene encoding the phosphotransferase Spo0F of the Spo0A 462 phosphorelay. The *spo0F* expression is regulated by Spo0A \sim P and constitutes one of the

positive feedback loops of Spo0A~P at the onset of sporulation (Lewandoski et al., 1986; 463 Predich et al., 1992; Strauch et al., 1993; Asayama et al., 1995; Chastanet et al., 2010). 464 Previously, we have established that Rho negatively regulates the expression of some 465 components of the Spo0A phosphorelay, like the sensor kinase KinB (Bidnenko et al., 2017). 466 Therefore, correct modulation of Spo0A~P activity at the initial steps of B. subtilis 467 differentiation requires the decrease in the *rho* expression levels (Bidnenko et al., 2017). As we 468 show here, this decrease is partially due to the silencing of the SigA-dependent rho promoter 469 by yet unknown mechanism. It appears that alongside a self-reinforcing loop involving Spo0F, 470 Spo0A~P simultaneously activates the Rho-mediated regulatory circuit, which can be viewed 471 as a negative feedback loop of Spo0A~P. This regulatory function of Rho could be particularly 472 important to fine-tune Spo0A~P activity during initiation of sporulation and in the mother cells, 473 where Spo0A~P remains functional after asymmetric division (Fujita and Losick, 2003). Our 474 data indicate that the mother cell-specific sigma factor SigE is not involved in the expression 475 of *rho*. Nevertheless, inhibition of the *rho* read-through transcription results in the structural 476 477 changes of spore coat, whose assembly depends on the activity of the SigE- and SigK-controlled genes (Eichenberger et al., 2003; Henriques and Moran, 2007; Driks and Eichenberger, 2016). 478 479 This emphasizes an extended activity of Rho during the mother cell gene expression program. Identification of the alternative forespore-specific rho promoter matching the SigF-binding 480 consensus allows us to classify rho as a member of the SigF regulon. Interestingly, an earlier 481 analysis of forespore gene expression has detected the up-regulation of *rho* in sporulating $sigF^+$ 482 483 B. subtilis cells (see Supplemental Table1 in Wang et al., 2006). However, rho was not induced by the artificial expression of SigF during exponential growth in LB, a second criterion used 484 for gene classification to the SigF regulon (Wang et al., 2006). It is possible that, in that analysis, 485 the untimely activated SigF failed to compete with the abundant vegetative SigA for binding to 486 the overlapping cognate rho promoters. The silencing of the SigA-dependent rho promoter 487 might facilitate SigF binding. However, the mechanism of this silencing is unclear, and despite 488 some discrepancies between the reports, SigA remains active in the forespores (Lord et al., 489 1999; Fujita, 2000; Li et Piggot, 2001; Marquis et al., 2008; Riley et al., 2018; 2021). It is also 490 notable that the *rho* gene is located within a chromosomal cluster of SigF-dependent genes 491 activated the first during the forespore formation (Steil et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2006), what 492 pinpoints its early expression. At the same time, our data indicate the role of Rho in the 493 resistance of spores to ultraviolet radiation, the main factors of which, the α/β -type small acid-494 soluble spore proteins (SASPs) and the spore photoproduct lyase SplB, are controlled by the 495 late forespore-specific sigma factor SigG (Mason and Setlow, 1986; Pedraza-Reves et al., 1994; 496

497 Setlow, 2001). Therefore, like the read-through transcription in the mother cells, the SigF-498 mediated *rho* expression appears to ensure Rho activity during the entire forespore-specific 499 gene expression program. It can also mediate the packaging of Rho protein in mature spores, 500 where it has been recurrently identified by mass spectrometric analysis (Swarge et al., 2020; Tu 501 et al, 2020).

502

503 Rho involvement in the spatiotemporal regulation of spore development.

Two spore phenotypes, a decreased UV-resistance and a structurally altered outer coat, resulting 504 from the complete inactivation of *rho*, or following the inhibition of *rho* expression in the 505 forespores and in the mother cells, respectively, highlight the importance of the 506 compartmentalized Rho-mediated transcription termination for spore development. Our 507 previous transcriptome analysis of RM cells in stationary phase revealed disordered and 508 untimely expression of many sporulation genes via their extensive sense or antisense read-509 through transcription or, more rarely, unscheduled activation of promoters (Bidnenko et al., 510 2023). The relevant gene expression changes are compiled in S1 Table and can be visualized at 511 http://genoscapist.migale.inrae.fr/seb_rho/ (Bidnenko et al., 2023; Dérozier et al., 2021). We 512 posit that at least some of the sporulation genes abnormally expressed in the stationary RM cells 513 514 are subject to Rho-mediated regulation during sporulation. At the same time, we are aware that most of potential sporulation-specific targets of Rho are not yet expressed in stationary phase. 515 516 For instance, the stationary phase transcriptome of RM cells does not provide an obvious explanation for the decreased UV-resistance of spores differentially expressing rho. Indeed, the 517 518 observed upregulation of several ssp genes in the stationary RM cells, in particular sspC, is contrary to what one could expect if spores become sensitive to UV. It is therefore likely that 519

520 the effect of Rho in stationary phase and in sporulating cells is different for some genes.

The existing transcriptome data probably provide the most straightforward mechanistic hints 521 522 on the involvement of Rho in the regulation of spore coat morphogenesis. The prominent coat defects in RM and 3TER-mT/A spores resemble those induced by the inactivation of 523 morphogenetic proteins CotO, CotH or CotG, or auxiliary proteins of coat assembly, superoxide 524 dismutase SodA and holin YwcE (McPherson et al., 2005; Zilhão et al., 1999; Isticato et al., 525 2020; Freitas et al., 2020; Henriques et al., 1998; Real et al., 2005; Henriques and Moran, 2007). 526 All of the abovementioned mutant spores exhibit a disordered, low electron-dense and thinner 527 outer coat frequently detached from the inner layer. The protein kinase CotH and its target 528 protein CotG were recently identified as the main morphogenetic factors determining the 529

regular structure of spore coat (Nguyen et al, 2016; Freitas et al., 2020; Isticato et al., 2020; Di
Gregorio Barletta et al., 2022). It has been shown that phosphorylated CotG assembles in the
coat, while its non-phosphorylated form sequesters other coat proteins in the mother cell
cytoplasm (Di Gregorio Barletta et al., 2022).

534 Interestingly, the expression levels of *cotG* are strongly upregulated in RM cells, due to the transcription upshift at, or close to, the SigK-dependent *cotG* promoter and the read-through 535 transcription initiated at the upstream alsR gene (Nicolas et al., 2012; Bidnenko et al., 2023; S1 536 Table). The same read-through transcript appears antisense to the oppositely oriented 537 neighboring genes *cotB* and *cotH*. We suggest that Rho participates in the control of the 538 transcription of the *cotB-cotH-cotG* gene cluster during sporulation and that inactivation of the 539 rho expression in the mother cells modifies the CotG-CotH protein balance important for 540 541 correct assembly of CotG, thus leading to spore coat defects. Work is in progress to test this 542 hypothesis.

Alongside the shared phenotypes linked to the inhibition of spatiotemporal expression of *rho*, 543 544 RM spores are specifically characterized by the increased levels of DPA content. The synthesis of DPA and its transfer to the core across the spore membranes involves the protein products 545 546 of both mother cell- and forespore-specific genes (Daniel and Errington, 1993; Ramírez-Guadiana et al., 2017b; Gao et al., 2022). None of the genes involved in the DPA metabolism 547 are subject to unscheduled activation in RM cells in stationary phase. However, we detected 548 strong induction of a defined SigA-dependent antisense promoter located within the SigG-549 regulated spoVAA-spoVAB-spoVAC-spoVAD-spoVAEB-spoVAEA-spoVAF-lysA 550 operon, whose products are essential for DPA uptake into the core and release during germination 551 (Tovar-Rojo et al., 2002; Gao et al., 2022; Nicolas et al., 2012; Bidnenko et al, 2023). In the 552 wild type *B. subtilis* cells, this antisense promoter is weakly activated under some stress 553 554 conditions and late in sporulation (Nicolas et al., 2012). Remarkably, in RM cells, the generated ~5 kb-long antisense transcript extends across spoVAA, spoVAB, spoVAC genes and the dacF-555 spoIIAA-spoIIAB-sigF operon. It remains to be established whether this antisense transcript 556 557 affects the expression of the genes on the opposite strand during RM sporulation.

558

559 Rho involvement in spore revival.

560 RM spores also differ from their siblings by their impaired revival capacity, showing a rapid 561 germination followed by slow outgrowth. The rate of germination in response to different 562 nutrient stimuli is mainly determined by the levels of corresponding germinant receptors in the 563 spore membrane (Cabrera-Martinez et al., 2003; Ghosh et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2014). The

RM stationary phase transcriptome showed unscheduled up-regulation of the SigG-controlled 564 gerBA-gerBB-gerBC operon encoding the AGFK germinant receptor GerB (Corfe et al., 1994) 565 and the SigK-controlled gerPA-gerPB-gerPC-gerPD-gerPE-gerPF operon, whose products 566 facilitate the passage of nutrient germinants across the spore coat (Behravan et al., 2000). 567 568 Although these features suggest that Rho controls both operons during sporulation, they seem insufficient to explain the rapid germination of RM spores in response to L-alanine or L-valine. 569 570 Among the gerAA-gerAB-gerAC genes encoding the L-alanine germinant receptor GerA (Feavers et al, 1990; Amon et al., 2022, and references therein), only gerAA appears up-571 572 regulated ~3-fold in the stationary RM cells.

Interestingly, Rudner and colleagues identified *rho* among the genes, whose inactivation by 573 574 Mariner transposon results in a premature germination of the developing spores triggered by the GerA receptor, probably in response to some undefined defects of spore envelope (Meeske 575 576 et al., 2016; Ramírez-Guadiana et al., 2017). The authors report that GerA activity results in a 577 decreased sporulation efficiency of the *rho::Mariner* mutant, which is opposite to our recurrent 578 observation of a highly efficient sporulation in the *rho*-deleted RM cells. Nevertheless, the established genetic interaction between Rho and GerA proteins may be relevant to the 579 580 germination phenotype of the mature RM spores, whose coat is severely altered. Moreover, the structure of RM spore coat suggests a lower level of coat protein cross-linking (Henriques and 581 Moran, 2007), which can facilitate spore germination, as previously observed (Abhyankar et 582 al., 2015). The germination rate of RM spores might be also influenced by high levels of DPA, 583 a potent non-nutrient germinant that stimulates cortex hydrolysis by CwlJ hydrolase both 584 directly and during nutrient-induced germination (Paidhungat and Setlow, 2000; Paidhungat et 585 586 al., 2001).

587 More generally, spore germination is a complex highly heterogeneous process influenced by 588 multiple factors, including, among others, the metabolic activity of cells during sporulation and 589 the duration of spore formation (Segev et al. 2012; Bressuire-Isoard et al., 2018; Mutlu et al, 590 2018, 2020; Riley et al., 2021; Rao et al., 2022). From this viewpoint, a more synchronous and 591 rapid sporulation in RM population (Bidnenko et al., 2017, and this study) might result in a 592 more homogeneous molecular composition of spores and, consequently, in a decreased 593 heterogeneity of spore germination.

At the same time, the absence of Rho negatively affects the outgrowth of the germinated RM spores even in a nutrient-enriched medium. Therefore, *rho* inactivation imposes more stringent requirements for spore outgrowth, which in itself is more exacting than vegetative growth (O'Brien and Campbell, 1957). Taken together, a rapid germination and a slow outgrowth of RM spores suggest that, in the wild type background, Rho determines the fitness of revivingspores.

Using a controlled *rho* expression system, we showed that the normal rate of spore outgrowth 600 requires *rho* expression both during spore formation and after spore germination. During 601 602 sporulation, Rho would ensure a proper composition of a spore molecular cargo required for spore revival, which also includes Rho protein itself (Mutlu et al, 2018; Rao et al., 2022; Swarge 603 604 et al., 2020). After germination, Rho would be required in the ripening period, to control a proper termination of the actively resuming transcription, and later, during implementation of 605 the transcription program of vegetative growth. This is consistent with the differential gene 606 expression analysis of the reviving *B. subtilis* spores, which detected the upshifts in *rho* 607 expression shortly after germination and at the end of outgrowth (Swarge et al., 2020). Most 608 609 probably, the resumption of *rho* expression during outgrowth reflects the reactivation of SigAdependent rho promoter. 610

To conclude, this and our previous analyses trace the activity of Rho through each stage of the 611 612 complex program of *B. subtilis* sporulation, from the regulation of Spo0A~P activation at the onset of sporulation, through the subsequent morphogenesis of spores and up to the modulation 613 of spore revival. This highlights the existence of specific targets for Rho-mediated transcription 614 termination within the regulon of each alternative Sigma factor, which certainly include, 615 alongside the known coding genes, a particular set of non-coding and anti-sense transcripts. 616 Appropriate regulation of these targets requires in turn an accurate time- and spatial-specific 617 regulation of the *rho* expression, through mechanisms we describe. Overall, our results 618 strengthen our vision of Rho as a built-in regulatory module of *B. subtilis* cell differentiation. 619

620

621

622 Materials and Methods

623

624 Bacterial strains and growth conditions

All strains used in the analysis originate from *B. subtilis* 168 trp^+ strain BSB1 (Nicolas et al., 2012) and are listed in S2 Table. The *Escherichia coli* TG1 strain was used for construction of intermediate plasmids. Cells were routinely grown in liquid or solidified rich LB medium at 37°C. Standard protocols were used for transformation of *E. coli* and *B. subtilis* competent cells (Harwood and Cutting, 1990). Sporulation of *B. subtilis* cells was induced by the method of nutrient exhaustion in supplemented Difco sporulation medium (DSM; Difco) (Schaeffer et al.,

1965) or by the resuspension method (Harwood and Cutting, 1990), as detailed in (Bidnenko et 631 al., 2023). Optical density of the bacterial cultures was measured with NovaspecII Visible 632 Spectrophotometer, Pharmacia Biotech. When required, antibiotics were added at following 633 concentrations: 0.5 μ g per ml of erythromycin, 3 μ g per ml of phleomycin, 100 μ g per ml of 634 spectinomycin, and 5 μ g per ml of chloramphenicol to *B. subtilis* cells; and 100 μ g per ml of 635 ampicillin or 20 µg per ml of kanamycin to the plasmid-containing E. coli cells. For the 636 induction of P_{spac}-rho fusion, IPTG (isopropyl- β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside) inducer was 637 added to cells and spores to final concentration 100µM. 638

639

640 Strains and plasmids construction

641 All intermediate plasmids were constructed using Q5 High Fidelity DNA Polymerase and DNA 642 modification enzymes purchased from New England Biolabs. Transcriptional fusion of the luciferase gene luc and the rho promoter (P_{rho} -luc) was constructed as follows. The 643 oligonucleotide pairs glpXBam/veb738 were used to amplify a ~1 Kb fragment of B. subtilis 644 chromosome located directly upstream the rho start codon. The 5' part of the luc gene was 645 amplified from the plasmid pUC18cm-Luc (Mirouze et al., 2012) using oligonucleotides 646 lucintrev and veb739 complementary to veb738. Two DNA fragments were joined by PCR 647 using the primers glpXBam and lucintrev, and the resulting DNA fragment was cloned at 648 pUC18cm-Luc using BamHI and BstBI endonucleases and T4 DNA ligase. The resulting 649 plasmid pBRL862 was integrated by single crossover at the *rho* chromosomal locus of BSB1 650 651 (WT) and BRL1 (Δrho) cells using selection for chloramphenicol-resistance. By this event, the 652 luc gene was placed under all natural regulatory signals of the rho expression. In the WT background, the replaced intact copy of rho gene remained active under the control of own 653 654 promoter.

Insertion of three intrinsic transcription terminators (3TER) upstream the chromosomal P_{rho}-luc 655 656 fusion was performed as follows. The 3TER DNA fragment amplified from pMutin4 plasmid 657 using oligonucleotides veb734 and veb735 was end-joined with the DNA fragments amplified 658 from pBRL862 using the pairs of oligonucleotides glpXBam/veb798 (5'-complementary to veb734) and lucintrev/veb797 (5'-complementary to veb735). The primers glpXBam and 659 660 lucintrev were used in the joining reaction. The PCR product was cut by EcoRV and BstBI endonucleases and cloned at a similarly cut and gel-purified pBRL862 plasmid. The resulting 661 plasmid pBRL1107 was integrated at the rho locus of BSB1 chromosome as above. The created 662

663 3TER-P_{rho}-*luc* transcriptional fusion contains intact 5'-UTR of *rho* and the 3TER insertion 664 immediately after the glpX stop codon.

- Transcriptional fusion between the gfp gene, encoding Green Fluorescent Protein, and the rho 665 promoter (P_{rho} -gfp) was constructed similarly to P_{rho} -luc. The oligonucleotides glpXBam and 666 veb742 were used for amplification of the *rho* moiety from BSB1 chromosome, and the *gfp* 667 gene was amplified from the plasmid pCVO119 using the primers veb741 and veb740 668 669 complementary to veb742. The primers glpXBam and veb741 were used for joining PCR, and the resulting DNA fragment was cloned in pCVO119 plasmid using BamHI and NcoI 670 671 endonucleases and T4 DNA ligase. The resulting plasmid pBRL893 was integrated by single crossover at the rho locus of the BSB1 chromosome using selection for spectinomycin 672 673 resistance.
- To construct the 3TER-P_{rho}-gfp transcriptional fusion, the DNA fragment containing 3TER and 674 675 the rho 5'-UTR was amplified from pBRL1107 plasmid using the primers glpXBam and veb742 and fused to the gfp gene as described above for pBRL893. The product of joining PCR 676 677 was cut by EcoRV and NcoI endonucleases and cloned at a similarly cut and gel-purified pBRL893. The resulting pBRL1150 plasmid was inserted in the BSB1 chromosome as above. 678 679 Site-directed mutagenesis of the Sigma F-dependent *rho* promoter of the P_{rho}-luc and P_{rho}-gfp transcriptional fusions was performed as follows. The plasmids pBRL893 and pBRL1107 were 680 entirely amplified using the pairs of side-by-side oligonucleotides veb803/veb802, containing 681 a 5'-terminal C nucleotide to introduce the point mutation mF-35T/C, and veb803/veb805, with 682 a 5'-terminal A for the point mutation mF-35T/A. The PCR products were phosphorylated using 683 T4 polynucleotide kinase, self-ligated and treated with DpnI endonuclease to remove the 684 template DNA prior transformation in E. coli cells. The resulting mutated derivatives of the 685 plasmids pBRL893 (pBRL1141 and pBRL1164) and pBRL1107 (pBRL1116 and pBRL1162) 686 were controlled by sequencing and inserted into the B. subtilis BSB1 chromosome as above to 687 produce the mutated fusions mF-35T/C P_{rho}-gfp and mF-35T/A P_{rho}-gfp and 3TER mF-35T/C 688
- 689 P_{rho} -luc and 3TER *mF*-35T/A P_{rho} -luc, respectively.
- 690 Structural modifications of the *rho* expression unit at natural locus were performed by the 691 method for allelic replacement using a shuttle vector pMAD (Arnaud et al., 2004).
- 692 To insert 3TER transcription terminators at the *rh*o locus, two DNA fragments amplified from
- the BSB1 chromosome with the pairs of oligonucleotides veb795/veb796 and veb797/veb798
- 694 were end-joined to the 3TER DNA fragment (see above) by PCR using the primers veb795 and
- veb796. The product of joining PCR was cut with BamHI and EcoRI endonucleases and cloned
- 696 in the pMAD vector (Arnaud et al., 2004). The resulting plasmid pBRL1108 was integrated

697 into the *rho* locus of the BSB1 chromosome with selection of the erythromycin-resistant 698 transformants at 37°C non-permissive for plasmid replication. Single transformants were 699 propagated in LB without antibiotic at 30°C to induce the loss of the vector and platted at LB-700 plates at 37°C. The erythromycin-sensitive clones were selected among the grown colonies and 701 tested by PCR for the presence of the 3TER insertion using oligonucleotides veb734 and 702 veb735, and the selected clones were controlled for structural integrity of the *glpX-3TER-rho* 703 region by sequencing.

- The point mutation *mF-35T/A* was introduced in the *rho* locus of BSB1 and BRL1130 (3TER) 704 strains as follows. Two DNA fragments were amplified from pBRL1164 plasmid and BSB1 705 chromosome by PCR with the respective pairs of oligonucleotides veb796/veb808 and 706 veb806/veb807, complementary to veb808. The fragments were joined by PCR using veb796 707 and veb806 as primers, and the resulting product was cloned at pMAD vector using BamHI and 708 EcoRI endonucleases and T4 DNA ligase. The resulting plasmid was transformed into BSB1 709 cells and the transformants were processed as described above to select the point mutant WT-710 711 mT/A. The mutant strain 3TER-mT/A was constructed in a similar way using the plasmid pBRL1162 as a template in the first PCR and WT-mT/A strain as a recipient for transformation. 712 To construct the IPTG-controlled system for rho expression, the DNA fragment amplified from 713 BSB1 chromosome by PCR with the oligonucleotides veb806 and veb880 was digested by 714 EcoRI and BamHI endonucleases and clones at pMUTIN4 vector (Vagner et al., 1998). The 715 resulting plasmid was transformed in BSB1 cells with selection to erythromycin resistance. 716 717 For the purification of the B. subtilis Rho protein, rho gene was amplified by PCR with oligonucleotides veb596 and veb599, treated by NdeI and SalI endonucleases and cloned at the 718 719 expression vector pET28a (Novagen) allowing expression of Rho with N-terminal hexa-
- histidine tag (Ingham *et al.*, 1999). The resulting plasmid pETRho was transferred to *E. coli*
- 721 strain BL21-CodonPlus (DE3)-RIL (Stratagene).

722 Luciferase assay

Analysis of promoters' activity using luciferase fusions was performed as described previously (Mirouze *et al.*, 2011) with minor modifications detailed in (Bidnenko et al., 2023). Cells were grown in LB medium to mid-exponential phase (OD_{600} 0,4-0,5), cultures were centrifuged and resuspended to OD 1.0 in fresh LB or DSM, to follow expression of the fusions during growth or sporulation, respectively. The pre-cultures were next diluted in respective media to OD_{600} 0.025. The starter cultures were distributed by 200µl in a 96-well black plate (Corning, USA)

and Xenolight D-luciferin K-salt (Perkin, USA) was added to each well to a final concentration

of 1.5 mg/ml. The cultures were grown under strong agitation at 37°C and analyzed in Synergy

- 731 2 Multi-mode microplate reader (BioTek Instruments). Relative Luminescence Units (RLU)
- and OD_{600} were measured at 5 min intervals. Each fusion-containing strain was analyzed at
- 733 least three times. Each experiment included four independent cultures of each strain.
- 734

735 Epifluorescence microscopy and image processing

For all microscopic observations, cells were mounted on a 2% agarose pad and topped with a 736 coverslip. Bacteria were imaged with an inverted microscope (Nikon Ti-E) equipped with an 737 738 iLas2 laser coupling system from Roper Scientific (150 mW, 488nm and 50 mW, 561 nm), a 100× oil immersion phase objective, an ORCA-R2 camera (Hamamatsu) and controlled by the 739 MetaMorph software package (v 7.8; Molecular Devices, LLC). The post-acquisition treatment 740 of the images was done with the Fiji software (van Ooij et al., 2004; Schindelin et al., 2012). 741 742 To determine the frequency of cells entering into sporulation, cultures were sampled three hours after the induction of sporulation by the resuspension method (Harwood and Cutting, 1990). 743 744 Sampled cells were mixed with the lipophilic fluorescent dye Mitotracker Red (10 µg/ml final concentration) prior to microscopic observation. Asymmetric septa were manually counted in 745 two independent replicas (N > 450 per strain and per replica). 746

- To assess *rho* expression during sporulation using GFP as a reporter, cells bearing the non-747 modified or the point-mutated P_{rho} -gfp transcriptional fusions were induced for sporulation by 748 the resuspension method and sampled as above. Sampled cells were mixed with the lipophilic 749 750 fluorescent dyes Nile Red (10 µg/ml final concentration) prior to microscopic observation. To measure the fluorescence intensity in the different compartments, circular areas of a constant 751 752 0.45 µm diameter were drawn in the center of individual compartments (forespores, mother cells, or predivisional cells) using images showing membrane-labelled cells, and recorded as a 753 754 list of ROI. ROIs were subsequently applied over the corresponding image displaying the GFP 755 signal, and the average fluorescence over each ROI recorded. Background fluorescence, determined as the average fluorescence from ROIs of identical areas spread over the field 756 (outside cells), was subtracted to give the final fluorescence intensity of individual 757 compartments. Counting was performed in at least two fields of view and for a minimum of 758 800 cell compartments per strain, in two independent experiments. Plotted values are average 759 fluorescence intensities from pooled replicas, thus standard deviations account for cell to cell 760 variability across all fields and replicas. 761
- 762

763 RNA preparation and Northern blotting

Total RNA was extracted from *B. subtilis* cultures at OD_{600} indicated in the text by the glass 764 beads method (Bechhofer et al., 2008). For Northern blotting, 5 µg of RNA were separated on 765 1% agarose-formaldehyde (2.2M) gel in MOPS (50mM)/EDTA (1mM) buffer (pH 7.0) and 766 transferred to Hybond N+ membrane (GE-Healthcare) as described previously (Redko et al., 767 2013). Membrane pre-hybridization and the rho RNA detection by hybridization with the rho-768 specific α^{32} P -labelled riboprobe was performed as detailed in (Gilet et al., 2020). The riboprobe 769 was synthesized by T7 RNA polymerase in the presence of $[\alpha^{-32}P]UTP$ at the template of the 770 purified PCR fragment obtained with oligonucleotides YRH1 and YRH2 (S3 Table). The 771 772 reverse PCR primer YRH1 contained at the 5'-end the T7 RNA polymerase promoter sequence (TAATACGACTCACTATA). 773

774

775 Sporulation assay

776 Cells were induced for sporulation by the resuspension method, and the cultures were analyzed 777 for the presence of spores starting from six hours after resuspension. The percentage of spores 778 in a sample was calculated as proportion of viable cells after heating at 70°C for 15 min to the 779 total number of cells as described in (Bidnenko et al., 2023).

780

781 Spore purification and treatments

B. subtilis cells growing exponentially in LB were suspended in DSM at OD_{600} 0.05 and cultured at 37°C with aeration for 24 hours. Spores were purified by sequential rounds of intensive washing in ice-cold water and centrifugation over 3 days as described by Nicholson and Setlow in (Harwood and Cutting, 1990). The samples of the purified spores were controlled for the absence of cells by the heat-resistance test as described above and stored at 4°C in water at $OD_{600} > 10$. The purity of standard spore samples was above 95 percent.

788 For electron microscopy analysis, spores were additionally purified on Nicodenz (Axis-Shield,

Viced Kingdom) density gradients. Spores were suspended in 1 ml of 20 % Nicodenz solution,

 790
 layered on 50 % Nicodenz (15 ml) in the centrifuge tubes and centrifuged at 14,000 x g for 30

min at 10°C. The pelleted pure spores were washed 5 times in ice-cold water to remove traces
of Nicodenz and kept at 4°C before analysis.

Prior the assays of spore resistance phenotypes and germination, the purified spores were suspended in 10 mM Tris HCl (pH 8.0) at OD₆₀₀ 1.0 and activated by heating at 70°C for 30 min and subsequent cooling in ice for 20 min. The activated spores were used in the assays within 1 hour. 797 Chemical removal of spore coats was performed according to (Riesenman and Nicholson, 798 2000). Spores were suspended in decoating solution (50 mM Tris base, 8 M urea, 50 mM 799 dithiothreitol, 1% sodium dodecyl sulfate; pH 10.0) at OD₆₀₀ 5.0 and incubated at 60°C for 90 800 min with vortexing. After the treatment, spores were intensively washed in STE buffer (150 801 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA; pH 8.0) as described.

802

803 Transmission electron microscopy

Purified spores were fixed with 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.2) 804 for 1 h at room temperature. Samples were contrasted with 0.5% Oolong tea extract in 805 cacodylate buffer and postfixed with 1% osmium tetroxide containing 1.5% potassium 806 807 cyanoferrate. The samples were dehydrated and embedded in Epon (Delta Microscopies, France), as described (Theodorou et al., 2019). Thin sections (70 nm) were collected onto 200-808 809 mesh copper grids and counterstained with lead citrate. Grids were examined with a Hitachi 810 HT7700 electron microscope operated at 80 kV, and images were acquired with a chargecoupled device camera (Advanced Microcopy Techniques; facilities were located on the 811 France: 812 MIMA2 platform [INRAE, Jouy-en-Josas, https://doi.org/10.15454/1.5572348210007727E12]). The post-acquisition treatment of the images 813 and measurement of the thickness of the spore coat were performed using the Fiji software 814 (Schindelin et al., 2012). 815

816

817 Spore UV resistance assay

The activated spores were suspended in Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) at OD₆₀₀ 0.5, pipetted in triplicates 818 (50µl) in the wells of 48-well sterile microtiter plates (Evergreen Scientific) and irradiated by 819 ultraviolet (254 nm) light using Stratalinker 2400 UV Crosslinker (Stratagene) at the J/m² doses 820 indicated in the text (one plate per UV dose). The irradiated spore suspensions were plated in 821 822 sequential dilutions at LB plates and the colonies were counted after 24 hours of incubation at 37 °C. The UV- resistance was determined as a ratio of the colony-forming units in the 823 irradiated and non-irradiated samples of spores. Five experiments were performed with two 824 independent sets of spores differentially expressing Rho. 825

To determine the effect of *rho* inactivation on the UV resistance of vegetative cells, WT and RM cultures in the late exponential phase ($\sim 10^8$ cells/ml) were plated on LB plates and irradiated with the increasing doses of UV (254 nm) light using a Stratalinker 2400 UV 829 Crosslinker (Stratagene). The UV-resistance of cells was estimated as above. Three830 independent experiments were performed.

831

832 Dipicolinic acid (DPA) assay

833 The DPA content of spores was analyzed according to the protocol of Nicholson and Setlow

834 (in Harwood and Cutting, 1990).

835 Spore germination and outgrowth assay

Spore germination and outgrowth assays were performed in MS medium (10.8 g l^{-1} of K₂HPO₄, 836 6 g l⁻¹ of KH₂PO₄, 1 g l⁻¹ of C₆H₅Na₃O₇.2H₂O, 0.2 g l⁻¹ of MgSO₄.7H2O, and 2 g l⁻¹ of K₂SO₄) 837 838 supplemented with 0.5% glucose, 0.01% L-tryptophan, 0.1% glutamate, 0.1 mM of FeCl₃ citrate, 0.1 mM of CaCl₂, 1 mM of MgSO₄ and trace elements (0.001 g l⁻¹ of MnCl₂ 4H₂O, 839 0.0017 g l⁻¹ of ZnCl₂, 0.00043 g l⁻¹ of CuCl₂· 2H₂O, 0.0006 g l⁻¹ of CoCl₂ 6H₂O and 0.0006 g 840 1⁻¹ of Na₂MoO₄· 2H₂O). The stock solutions (100mM) of L-alanine and L-valine germinants 841 were prepared in 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0) containing 10mM D-glucose and 100mM 842 KCl. The stock solution of AGFK germinant mixture contained 100 mM L-asparagine, 10mM 843 D-glucose, 10 mM D-fructose and 100mM KCl in 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0). The 844 activated spores were diluted to OD_{600} 0.2 in the cold MS medium and distributed by 135 µl in 845 the 96-well plate. Spore suspensions were simultaneously induced for germination by adding 846 847 15µl of a stock germinant solution, incubated under strong agitation at 37°C and monitored for OD₆₀₀ at 2 min intervals in Synergy 2 Multi-mode microplate reader (BioTek Instruments). It 848 usually took \sim 1 min between germinant addition and the first OD₆₀₀ reading. When needed, the 849 stock solution of the germinant L-alanine was supplemented with 1mM IPTG or 5% casamino 850 acids to get their final concentrations in spore suspensions 100µM and 0.5%, respectively. 851 Three independently prepared sets of spores were used in the analysis. The assays were 852 performed with each set of spores at least twice and included up to six replicas of each spore 853 suspension in the same plate. 854

855 Purification of the *B. subtilis* Rho protein for antibody preparation

E. coli BL21-CodonPlus (DE3)-RIL cells containing pETRho were grown to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.2

in a 400 ml culture (2YT medium) at 16°C, and Rho expression was induced by the addition of
0.5 mM IPTG with continued growth overnight. The culture was harvested, pelleted and frozen

- at -80° C until further use. The frozen cells were resuspended in 10 ml of lysis buffer at 4°C
- containing 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 9.0, 100 mM Na₂HPO₄, 0.3 M NaCl, 10% glycerol and 0.1%

Triton X-100, to which were added 10 mg/ml DNase I and an EDTA-free anti-protease tablet 861 (Roche). The suspension was passed twice through a French press (20 000 p.s.i.) and the lysate 862 centrifuged for 30 min at 15 000 g. Imidazole-HCl (pH 8.0) was added to the supernatant to 863 give a final concentration of 1 mM and the resultant suspension was applied to a 1 ml Ni²⁺-864 NTA column (Qiagen). The resin was then washed sequentially with 10 ml of lysis buffer 865 (without Triton X-100), 10 ml of buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 9.0, 0.3 M NaCl, 866 867 20 mM Imidazole and, finally, 10 ml 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 9.0, 0.3 M NaCl and 250 mM Imidazole. B. subtilis Rho was eluted with 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 9.0, 100 mM Na₂HPO₄, 0.3 M 868 NaCl and 500 mM Imidazole as 1ml fractions into collection tubes pre-filled with 3 ml elution 869 buffer lacking Imidazole, to immediately dilute the sample 4-fold and avoid precipitation. The 870 protein peak was determined initially by measuring the protein concentration (Bio-Rad) in the 871 different fractions. Peak fractions were pooled and dialyzed over-night in buffer containing 872 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 9.0, 100 mM Na₂HPO₄, 0.3 M NaCl, 10% glycerol. Protein purity was 873 verified by SDS–PAGE analysis and estimated at >95%. A sample of purified *B. subtilis* Rho 874 protein at 1.1 mg/ml in storage buffer was filtered through 5µm, 0.5µm and then 0.2µm 875 Acrodisk filters before rabbit immunization to generate custom anti-Rho polyclonal antibodies 876 877 through the commercial '87-day anti-antigen classical' program of Eurogentec (Belgium). The low solubility of B. subtilis Rho in buffers suitable for immobilization on affinity 878 879 chromatography columns prevented further purification of the anti-Rho antibodies from the crude sera. The best anti-Rho serum aliquot was selected from the immunization program 880 881 aliquots by Western blotting with purified *B. subtilis* Rho and *B. subtilis* cell extract samples.

882

883 Western blotting

The crude cell extracts were prepared using Vibracell 72408 sonicator (Bioblock scientific). 884 Bradford assay was used to determine total protein concentration in each extract. Equal amounts 885 886 of total proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE (10% polyacrylamide). After the run, proteins were transferred to Hybond PVDF membrane (GE Healthcare Amersham, Germany), and the 887 transfer quality was evaluated by staining the membrane with Ponceau S (Sigma-Aldrich). The 888 Rho protein was visualized by hybridization with antiserum against B. subtilis Rho (Eurogentec, 889 Belgium; dilution 1:5,000) and the secondary peroxidase-coupled anti-rabbit IgG antibodies 890 A0545 (Sigma-Aldrich; dilution 1:20,000). 891

- 892
- 893

894 **References**

895

- Peters JM, Vangeloff AD, Landick R. Bacterial transcription terminators: the RNA 3' end chronicles. J Mol Biol. 2011; 412; 793-813.
- Ray-Soni A, Bellecourt MJ, Landick R. Mechanisms of bacterial transcription
 termination: all good things must end. Annu Rev Biochem. 2016; 85: 319-347.
- 3. Kriner MA, Sevostyanova A, Groisman EA. Learning from the leaders: gene regulation
 by the transcription termination factor Rho. Trends Biochem Sciences. 2016; 41: 690699.
- 4. Turnbough Jr CL. Regulation of bacterial gene expression by transcription attenuation.
 Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2019; 83: 10-1128.
- 905 5. Mandell ZF, Zemba D, Babitzke P. Factor-stimulated intrinsic termination: getting by
 906 with a little help from some friends. Transcription. 2022a; 1-13.
- 907 6. Roberts JW. Termination factor for RNA synthesis. Nature. 1969; 224: 1168-1174.
- 908 7. Quirk PG, Dunkley Jr EA, Lee P, & Krulwich TA. Identification of a putative Bacillus
 909 subtilis rho gene. J Bacteriol. 1993; 175: 647-654.
- 910 8. Peters JM, Mooney RA, Kuan PF, Rowland JL, Keles S, and Landick R. Rho directs
 911 widespread termination of intragenic and stable RNA transcription. Proc Natl Acad Sci
 912 USA. 2009; 106: 15406–15411.
- 913 9. Hao Z, Svetlov V & Nudler E. Rho-dependent transcription termination: A revisionist
 914 view. Transcription. 2021; 12: 171-181.
- 915 10. Mandell ZF, Vishwakarma RK, Yakhnin H, Murakami KS, Kashlev M, & Babitzke P.
 916 Comprehensive transcription terminator atlas for Bacillus subtilis. Nature Microbiol.
 917 2022b; 7: 1918-1931.
- 918 11. Song E, Uhm H, Munasingha PR, Hwang S, Seo YS ... & Hohng S. Rho-dependent
 919 transcription termination proceeds via three routes. Nature Commun. 2022; 13: 1-12.
- 12. Molodtsov V, Wang C, Firlar E, Kaelber JT, & Ebright RH. Structural basis of Rhodependent transcription termination. Nature. 2023; 614: 367-374.
- 922 13. Murayama Y, Ehara H, Aoki M, Goto M, Yokoyama T, & Sekine SI. Structural basis
 923 of the transcription termination factor Rho engagement with transcribing RNA
 924 polymerase from Thermus thermophilus. Science Advances. 2023; 9: eade7093.
- 925 14. Rashid F, & Berger J. Protein structure terminates doubt about how transcription stops.
 926 Nature. 2023; 614: 237-238.

- 927 15. Nicolas P, Mäder U, Dervyn E, Rochat T, Leduc A, ... & Noirot P. Condition-dependent
 928 transcriptome reveals high-level regulatory architecture in Bacillus subtilis. Science.
 929 2012; 335: 1103-1106.
- 930 16. Peters JM, Mooney RA, Grass JA, Jessen ED, Tran F, Landick R. Rho and NusG
 931 suppress pervasive antisense transcription in Escherichia coli. Genes Dev. 2012;
 932 26:2621–2633
- 933 17. Mäder U, Nicolas P, Depke M, Pané-Farré J, Debarbouille M, ... & van Dijl JM.
 934 Staphylococcus aureus Transcriptome Architecture: From Laboratory to Infection935 Mimicking Conditions. PLoS Genet. 2016; 1: e1005962.
- 18. Botella L, Vaubourgeix J, Livny J, & Schnappinger D. Depleting Mycobacterium
 tuberculosis of the transcription termination factor Rho causes pervasive transcription
 and rapid death. Nature Commun. 2017; 8:1-10.
- 939 19. Bidnenko V, Nicolas P, Grylak-Mielnicka A, Delumeau O, ... & Bidnenko, E.
 940 Termination factor Rho: from the control of pervasive transcription to cell fate
 941 determination in Bacillus subtilis. PLoS Genet. 2017; 13: e1006909.
- 20. Bidnenko V, Nicolas P, Guérin C, Dérozier S, Chastanet A, Dairou J, ... & Bidnenko E.
 Termination factor Rho mediates transcriptional reprogramming of Bacillus subtilis
 stationary phase. PLoS Genet. 2023; 19: e1010618.
- 945 21. Nagel A, Michalik S, Debarbouille M, Hertlein T, Gesell Salazar M, ... & Mäder U.
 946 Inhibi-tion of rho activity increases expression of SaeRS-dependent virulence factor
 947 genes in Staphylococcus aureus, showing a link between transcription termination,
 948 antibiotic action, and virulence. MBio. 2018; 9: e01332-18.
- 22. Trzilova D, Anjuwon-Foster BR, Torres Rivera D, & Tamayo R. Rho factor mediates
 flagellum and toxin phase variation and impacts virulence in Clostridioides difficile.
 PLoS Pathog. 2020; 16: e1008708.
- 23. Lin Y, Alstrup M, Pang JKY, Maróti G, Er-Rafik M, Tourasse N, ... & Kovács ÁT.
 Adaptation of Bacillus thuringiensis to Plant Colonization Affects Differentiation and
 Toxicity. Msystems. 2021; 6: e00864-21.
- 24. Krypotou E, Townsend GE, Gao X, Tachiyama S, Liu , Pokorzynski N. D., ... &
 Groisman EA. Bacteria require phase separation for fitness in the mammalian gut.
 Science. 2023; 379: 1149-1156.
- 25. Lee YH, & Helmann JD. Mutations in the primary sigma factor σa and termination
 factor rho that reduce susceptibility to cell wall antibiotics. J Bacteriol. 2014; 196: 37003711.

- 26. Liu B, Kearns DB, Bechhofer DH. Expression of multiple Bacillus subtilis genes is
 controlled by decay of slrA mRNA from Rho dependent 3' ends. Nucleic Acids Res.
 2016; 44:3364–3372.
- 964 27. Hafeezunnisa M, & Sen R. The Rho-dependent transcription termination is involved in
 965 broad-spectrum antibiotic susceptibility in Escherichia coli. Front Microbiol. 2020; 11:
 966 3059.
- 967 28. Figueroa-Bossi N, Sánchez-Romero MA, Kerboriou P, Naquin D, ... & Bossi L.
 968 Pervasive transcription enhances the accessibility of H-NS–silenced promoters and
 969 generates bistability in Salmonella virulence gene expression. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.
 970 2022; 119: e2203011119.
- 29. de Hoon MJ, Eichenberger P, Vitkup D. Hierarchical evolution of the bacterial
 sporulation network. Curr Biol. 2010; 20: R735–R745.
- 30. Swick MC, Koehler TM, Driks A. Surviving Between Hosts: Sporulation and
 Transmission. Microbiol Spectr. 2016; 4:10.
- 31. Galperin MY, Yutin N, Wolf YI, Vera Alvarez R, Koonin EV. Conservation and
 Evolution of the Sporulation Gene Set in Diverse Members of the Firmicutes. J
 Bacteriol. 2022; 21: e0007922.
- 32. Setlow P. Spore germination. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2003; 6: 550-556.
- 33. Setlow P, Wang S, & Li YQ. Germination of spores of the orders Bacillales and
 Clostridiales. Annual Rev Microbiol. 2017; 71: 459-477.
- 34. Setlow P, & Christie G. New Thoughts on an Old Topic: Secrets of Bacterial Spore
 Resistance Slowly Being Revealed. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2023; e00080-22.
- 35. Segev E, Rosenberg A, Mamou G, Sinai L, & Ben-Yehuda S. Molecular kinetics of
 reviving bacterial spores. J Bacteriol. 2013; 195: 1875-1882.
- 36. Abhyankar WR, Kamphorst K, Swarge BN, Van Veen H,... & De Koning LJ. The
 influence of sporulation conditions on the spore coat protein composition of Bacillus
 subtilis spores. Frontiers Microbiol. 2016; 7: 1636.
- 37. Boone T, & Driks A. Protein synthesis during germination: shedding new light on a
 classical question. J Bacteriol. 2016; 198: 3251-3253.
- 38. Mutlu A, Trauth S, Ziesack M, Nagler K, ... & Bischofs IB. Phenotypic memory in
 Bacillus subtilis links dormancy entry and exit by a spore quantity-quality tradeoff. Nat
 Commun. 2018; 9: 69.

993	39. Mutlu, A., Kaspar, C., Becker, N., & Bischofs, I. B. A spore quality-quantity tradeoff
994	favors diverse sporulation strategies in Bacillus subtilis. The ISME J. 2020; 14: 2703-
995	2714.

- 40. Errington J. Bacillus subtilis sporulation: regulation of gene expression and control of
 morphogenesis. Microbiol Rev. 1993; 57:1-33.
- 41. Stragier P, Losick R. Molecular genetics of sporulation in Bacillus subtilis. Annu Rev
 Genet. 1996; 30:297-41.
- 42. Piggot PJ, Hilbert DW. Sporulation of Bacillus subtilis. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2004; 7:
 579-86.
- 43. Higgins, D., Dworkin, J. Recent progress in *Bacillus subtilis* sporulation, FEMS
 Microbiol Rev. 2012; 36: 131–148.
- 44. Eichenberger P, Jensen ST, Conlon EM, van Ooij C, ... & Losick R. The σE regulon
 and the identification of additional sporulation genes in Bacillus subtilis. J Mol Biol.
 2003; 327: 945–972.
- 45. Eichenberger P, Fujita M, Jensen ST, Conlon EM, ... & Losick R. The program of gene
 transcription for a single differentiating cell type during sporulation in Bacillus subtilis.
 PLoS Biol. 2004; 2:e328.
- 46. Steil L, Serrano M, Henriques AO, Völker U. Genome-wide analysis of temporally
 regulated and compartment-specific gene expression in sporulating cells of Bacillus
 subtilis. Microbiol. 2005; 151: 399-420.
- 47. Wang ST, Setlow B, Conlon EM, Lyon JL, Imamura D, Sato T, Setlow P, Losick R,
 Eichenberger P. The forespore line of gene expression in Bacillus subtilis. J Mol Biol.
 2006; 21: 16-37.
- 48. de Hoon MJ, Eichenberger P, Vitkup D. Hierarchical evolution of the bacterial
 sporulation network. Curr Biol. 2010; 20: R735–R745.
- 49. Overkamp W, Kuipers OP. Transcriptional Profile of Bacillus subtilis sigF-Mutant
 during Vegetative Growth. PLoS One. 2015; 27: e0141553.
- 50. Meeske AJ, Rodrigues CD, Brady J, Lim HC, Bernhardt TG, Rudner DZ. HighThroughput Genetic Screens Identify a Large and Diverse Collection of New
 Sporulation Genes in Bacillus subtilis. PLoS Biol. 2016; 14: e1002341.
- 1023 51. Ingham CJ, Dennis J, Furneaux PA. Autogenous regulation of transcription
 1024 termination factor Rho and the requirement for Nus factors in Bacillus subtilis. Mol
 1025 Microbiol. 1999; 31: 651-663.

- 52. Barik S, Bhattacharya P, & Das A. Autogenous regulation of transcription termination
 factor Rho. J Mol Biol. 1985; 182: 495-508.
- Matsumoto Y, Shigesada K, Hirano M, Imai M. Autogenous regulation of the gene for
 transcription termination factor rho in Escherichia coli: Localization and function of its
 attenuators. J Bacteriol. 1986; 166: 945–958.
- 54. Italiani VC, & Marques MV. The transcription termination factor Rho is essential and
 autoregulated in Caulobacter crescentus. J Bacteriol. 2005; 187: 4290-4294.
- 55. Silva IJ, Barahona S, Eyraud A, Lalaouna D, Figueroa-Bossi N, ... & Arraiano CM.
 SraL sRNA interaction regulates the terminator by preventing premature transcription
 termination of rho mRNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2019; 116: 3042-3051.
- 56. Mirouze N, Desai Y, Raj A, Dubnau D. Spo0A~P imposes a temporal gate for the
 bimodal expression of competence in Bacillus subtilis. PLoS Genet. 2012; 8:
 e1002586.
- 57. Lewandoski M, Dubnau E, Smith I. Transcriptional Regulation of the spoOF Gene of
 Bacillus subtilis. J Bateriol 1986; 168: 870-877
- 58. Predich M, Nair G, Smith I. Bacillus subtilis early sporulation genes kinA, spo0F, and
 spo0A are transcribed by the RNA polymerase containing sigma H. J Bacteriol. 1992;
 174: 2771–2778.
- 59. Strauch MA, Wu J-J, Jonas RH and Hoch JA. A positive feedback loop controls
 transcription of the spo0F gene, a component of the sporulation phosphorelay In
 Bacillus subtilis. Molecular Microbiology. 1993; 7: 967-974.
- 60. Asayama M, Yamamoto A. & Kobayashi Y. Dimer form of phosphorylated Spo0A, a
 transcriptional regulator, stimulates the spo0F transcription at the initiation of
 sporulation in Bacillus subtilis. J Mol Biol. 1995; 250, 11–23.
- 1050 61. Vagner V, Dervyn E, & Ehrlich SD. A vector for systematic gene inactivation in
 1051 Bacillus subtilis. Microbiology. 1998; 144: 3097-3104.
- 62. Illing N. & Errington J. Genetic regulation of morphogenesis in Bacillus subtilis: roles
 of sigma E and sigma F in prespore engulfment. J Bacteriol. 1991; 173: 3159–3169.
- 1054 63. Setlow B, Magill N, Febbroriello P, Nakhimovsky L, Koppel DE, and Setlow P.
 1055 Condensation of the forespore nucleoid early in sporulation of Bacillus species. J
 1056 Bacteriol. 1991; 173: 6270–6278.
- 1057 64. Lewis PJ, Partridge SR, Errington J. Sigma factors, asymmetry, and the determination
 1058 of cell fate in Bacillus subtilis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1994; 91: 3849–3853

- 1059 65. Eichenberger P, Fawcett P, Losick R. A three-protein inhibitor of polar septation during
 1060 sporulation in Bacillus subtilis. Mol Microbiol. 2001; 42: 1147–1162
- 1061 66. Londono-Vallejo JA, & Stragier P. Cell-cell signaling pathway activating a
 1062 developmental transcription factor in Bacillus subtilis. Genes & development. 1995; 9:
 1063 503-508.
- 1064 67. Wang ST, Setlow B, Conlon EM, Lyon JL, Imamura D, ... & Eichenberger P. The
 1065 forespore line of gene expression in Bacillus subtilis. J Mol Biol. 2006; 358: 16-37.
- 1066 68. Amaya E, Khvorova A, & Piggot PJ. Analysis of Promoter Recognition In Vivo
 1067 Directed by cF of Bacillus subtilis by Using Random-Sequence Oligonucleotides. J
 1068 Bacteriol. 2001; 183: 3623-3630.
- 69. Setlow P. Spores of Bacillus subtilis: their resistance to and killing by radiation, heat
 and chemicals, Journal of Applied Microbiology. 2006; 101: 514–525.
- 1071 70. Henriques AO, & Moran Jr, CP. Structure, assembly, and function of the spore surface
 1072 layers. Annu Rev. Microbiol. 2007; 61: 555-588.
- 1073 71. Nicholson WL, Munakata N, Horneck G, Melosh HJ, Setlow P. Resistance of Bacillus
 1074 endospores to extreme terrestrial and extraterrestrial environments. Microbiol Mol Biol
 1075 Rev. 2000; 64: 548-72.
- 1076 72. Setlow P. Spore resistance properties. The bacterial spore: from molecules to systems.
 1077 Microbiol Spectr. 2016; 2: 201-215.
- 1078 73. Mason JM, Setlow P. Essential role of small, acid-soluble spore proteins in resistance
 1079 of Bacillus subtilis spores to UV light. J Bacteriol. 1986; 167:174-178.
- 1080 74. Pedraza-Reyes M, Gutiérrez-Corona F, Nicholson WL. Temporal regulation and
 1081 forespore-specific expression of the spore photoproduct lyase gene by sigma-G RNA
 1082 polymerase during Bacillus subtilis sporulation. J Bacteriol. 1994; 176: 3983-91.
- 1083 75. Setlow P. I will survive: DNA protection in bacterial spores. Trends in Microbiology,
 1084 2007; 15: 172-180.
- 1085 76. McKenney PT, Driks A, & Eichenberger P. The Bacillus subtilis endospore: assembly
 1086 and functions of the multilayered coat. Nature Rev Microbiol. 2013; 11: 33-44.
- 1087 77. Driks A, Eichenberger P. The Spore Coat. The bacterial spore: from molecules to
 1088 systems. Microbiol Spectr. 2016; 4.
- 1089 78. Setlow, P. Summer meeting 2013–when the sleepers wake: the germination of spores
 1090 of Bacillus species. J Appl Microbiol. 2013; 115: 1251-1268.

- 1091 79. Sinai L, Rosenberg A, Smith Y, Segev E, & Ben-Yehuda S. The molecular timeline of
 1092 a reviving bacterial spore. Mol Cell. 2015 57: 695-707.
- 80. Ramírez-Guadiana FH, Meeske AJ, Wang X, Rodrigues CDA, Rudner DZ. The Bacillus
 subtilis germinant receptor GerA triggers premature germination in response to
 morphological defects during sporulation. Mol Microbiol. 2017a; 105: 689-704.
- 1096 81. Christie G, & Setlow P. Bacillus spore germination: Knowns, unknowns and what we
 1097 need to learn. Cellular Signalling. 2020; 74: 109729.
- 1098 82. Rosenberg A, Soufi B, Ravikumar V, Soares NC, Krug K, Smith Y, ... & Ben-Yehuda
 1099 S. Phosphoproteome dynamics mediate revival of bacterial spores. BMC biology, 2015;
 1100 13: 1-19.
- 1101 83. Chastanet A, Vitkup D, Yuan GC, Norman TM, Liu JS, Losick RM. Broadly
 1102 heterogeneous activation of the master regulator for sporulation in Bacillus subtilis.
 1103 Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2010; 107: 8486–8491.
- 84. Fujita M & Losick R. The master regulator for entry into sporulation in Bacillus subtilis
 becomes a cell-specific transcription factor after asymmetric division. Genes & Dev.
 2003; 17: 1166-1174.
- 1107 85. Lord M, Barilla D, & Yudkin MD. Replacement of vegetative ςA by sporulation1108 specific ςF as a component of the RNA polymerase holoenzyme in sporulating Bacillus
 1109 subtilis. J Bacteriol. 1999; 181: 2346-2350.
- 1110 86. Fujita M. Temporal and selective association of multiple sigma factors with RNA
 1111 polymerase during sporulation in Bacillus subtilis. Genes to Cells. 2000; 5: 79-88.
- 1112 87. Li Z. & Piggot PJ. Development of a two-part transcription probe to determine the
 1113 completeness of temporal and spatial compartmentalization of gene expression during
 1114 bacterial development. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2001; 98: 12538-12543.
- 1115 88. Marquis KA, Burton BM, Nollmann M, Ptacin JL, Bustamante C, Ben-Yehuda, S, &
 1116 Rudner DZ. SpoIIIE strips proteins off the DNA during chromosome translocation.
 1117 Genes & Dev. 2008; 22:1786-1795.
- 111889. Riley EP, Trinquier A, Reilly ML, Durchon M, Perera VR, Pogliano K, & Lopez-1119Garrido J. Spatiotemporally regulated proteolysis to dissect the role of vegetative1120proteins during Bacillus subtilis sporulation: cell-specific requirement of σ H and σ A.1121Mol Microbiol. 2018; 108: 45-62.

90. Riley EP, Lopez-Garrido J, Sugie J, Liu RB, & Pogliano K. Metabolic differentiation
and intercellular nurturing underpin bacterial endospore formation. Sci Adv. 2021; 7:
eabd6385.

- 1125 91. Setlow, P. Resistance of spores of Bacillus species to ultraviolet light. Environ Mol
 1126 Mutagenesis. 2001; 38: 97-104.
- 92. Swarge B, Abhyankar W, Jonker M, Hoefsloot H, Kramer G, Setlow P, Brul S, de
 Koning LJ. Integrative Analysis of Proteome and Transcriptome Dynamics during
 Bacillus subtilis Spore Revival. mSphere. 2020; 5:e00463-20.
- 93. Tu Z, Dekker HL, Roseboom W, Swarge BN, Setlow P, Brul S, Kramer G. High
 Resolution Analysis of Proteome Dynamics during *Bacillus subtilis* Sporulation.
 International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2021; 22: 9345.
- 94. Dérozier S, Nicolas P, Mäder U, & Guérin C. Genoscapist: online exploration of
 quantitative profiles along genomes via interactively customized graphical
 representations. Bioinformatics. 2021; 37: 2747-2749.
- 95. McPherson DC, Kim H, Hahn M, Wang R, Grabowski P, Eichenberger P, Driks A.
 Characterization of the Bacillus subtilis spore morphogenetic coat protein CotO. J
 Bacteriol. 2005; 187: 8278-90.
- 96. Zilhão R, Naclerio G, Henriques AO, Baccigalupi L, Moran CP Jr, Ricca E. Assembly
 requirements and role of CotH during spore coat formation in Bacillus subtilis. J
 Bacteriol. 1999; 181: 2631-3.
- 1142 97. Isticato R., Lanzilli, M., Petrillo, C., Donadio, G., Baccigalupi, L., & Ricca, E. Bacillus
 1143 subtilis builds structurally and functionally different spores in response to the
 1144 temperature of growth. Environ Microbiol. 2020; 22: 170-182.
- 98. Freitas C, Plannic J, Isticato R, ... & Henriques AO. A protein phosphorylation module
 patterns the Bacillus subtilis spore outer coat. Mol Microbiol. 2020; 114: 934–951.
- 99. Henriques AO, Melsen LR, Moran CP Jr. Involvement of superoxide dismutase in spore
 coat assembly in Bacillus subtilis. J Bacteriol. 1998; 180: 2285-91.
- 1149 100. Real G, Pinto SM, Schyns G, Costa T, Henriques AO, Moran CP Jr. A gene
 encoding a holin-like protein involved in spore morphogenesis and spore germination
 in Bacillus subtilis. J Bacteriol. 2005; 187:6443-53.
- 101. Nguyen KB, Sreelatha A, Durrant ES, Lopez-Garrido J, Muszewska A,
 Dudkiewicz M, ... & Tagliabracci VS. Phosphorylation of spore coat proteins by a
 family of atypical protein kinases. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2016; 113: E3482-E3491

- 102. Isticato R, Lanzilli M, Petrillo C, Donadio G, Baccigalupi L, & Ricca E. Bacillus
 subtilis builds structurally and functionally different spores in response to the
 temperature of growth. Environ Microbiol. 2020; 22: 170-182.
- 103. Di Gregorio Barletta G, Vittoria M, Lanzilli M, Petrillo C, Ricca E, & Isticato
 R. CotG controls spore surface formation in response to the temperature of growth in
 Bacillus subtilis. Environ Microbiol. 2022; 24: 2078-2088.
- 104. Daniel RA, Errington J. Cloning, DNA sequence, functional analysis and
 transcriptional regulation of the genes encoding dipicolinic acid synthetase required for
 sporulation in Bacillus subtilis. J Mol Biol. 1993; 232: 468-83.
- 105. Ramírez-Guadiana FH, Meeske AJ, Rodrigues CDA, Barajas-Ornelas RDC,
 Kruse AC, Rudner DZ. A two-step transport pathway allows the mother cell to nurture
 the developing spore in Bacillus subtilis. PLoS Genet. 2017b; 13: e1007015.
- 1167 106. Gao Y, Barajas-Ornelas RDC, Amon JD, Ramírez-Guadiana FH, Alon A, ...&
 1168 Rudner DZ. The SpoVA membrane complex is required for dipicolinic acid import
 1169 during sporulation and export during germination. Genes Dev. 2022; 36: 634-646.
- 107. Tovar-Rojo F, Chander M, Setlow B, Setlow P. The products of the spoVA
 operon are involved in dipicolinic acid uptake into developing spores of Bacillus
 subtilis. J Bacteriol. 2002; 184: 584-587.
- 1173 108. Cabrera-Martinez RM, Tovar-Rojo F, Vepachedu VR, Setlow P. Effects of
 1174 overexpression of nutrient receptors on germination of spores of Bacillus subtilis. J
 1175 Bacteriol. 2003; 185: 2457-2464.
- 109. Ghosh S, Scotland M, & Setlow P. Levels of germination proteins in dormant
 and superdormant spores of Bacillus subtilis. J Bacteriol. 2012; 194: 2221-2227.
- 1178 110. Chen Y, Ray WK, Helm RF, Melville SB, & Popham DL. Levels of germination
 proteins in Bacillus subtilis dormant, superdormant, and germinating spores. PLoS One,
 2014; 9: e95781
- 1181 111. Corfe BM, Sammons RL, Smith DA, Mauël C. The gerB region of the Bacillus
 subtilis 168 chromosome encodes a homologue of the gerA spore germination operon.
 Microbiol. 1994; 140: 471-478.
- 1184 112. Behravan J, Chirakkal H, Masson A, Moir A. Mutations in the gerP locus of
 1185 Bacillus subtilis and Bacillus cereus affect access of germinants to their targets in
 1186 spores. J Bacteriol. 2000; 182:1987-1994.

1187 113. Feavers IM, Foulkes J, Setlow B, Sun D, Nicholson W, Setlow P, Moir A. The
1188 regulation of transcription of the *gerA* spore germination operon of *Bacillus subtilis*.
1189 Mol Microbiol. 1990; 4: 275-282.

- 1190 114. Amon JD, Artzi L, Rudner DZ. Genetic Evidence for Signal Transduction within
 1191 the *Bacillus subtilis* GerA Germinant Receptor. J Bacteriol. 2022; 204: e0047021.
- 1192 115. Abhyankar W, Pandey R, Ter Beek A, Brul S, de Koning LJ, & de Koster CG.
 1193 Reinforcement of Bacillus subtilis spores by cross-linking of outer coat proteins during
 1194 maturation. Food Microbiol. 2015; 45: 54-62.
- 1195 116. Paidhungat M, Setlow P. Role of ger proteins in nutrient and nonnutrient
 1196 triggering of spore germination in Bacillus subtilis. J Bacteriol. 2000; 182: 2513-2519.
- 1197 117. Paidhungat M, Ragkousi K, Setlow P. Genetic requirements for induction of 1198 germination of spores of Bacillus subtilis by Ca(2+)-dipicolinate. J Bacteriol. 2001; 183: 1199 4886-4893.
- 1200 118. Segev E, Smith Y, & Ben-Yehuda S. RNA dynamics in aging bacterial spores.
 1201 Cell. 2012; 148: 139-149.
- 1202 119. Bressuire-Isoard C, Broussolle V, & Carlin F. Sporulation environment
 1203 influences spore properties in Bacillus: evidence and insights on underlying molecular
 1204 and physiological mechanisms. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2018; 42: 614-626.
- 1205 120. Rao L, Zhou B, Serruya R, Moussaieff A, Sinai L, & Ben-Yehuda S. Glutamate
 1206 catabolism during sporulation determines the success of the future spore germination.
 1207 iScience. 2022; 25: 105242.
- 1208 121. O'Brien T, & Campbell Jr LL. The nutritional requirements for germination and
 1209 out-growth of spores and vegetative cell growth of some aerobic spore forming bacteria.
 1210 J Bacteriol. 1957; 73: 522-525.
- 1211 122. Harwood CR and Cutting SM. Molecular Biological Methods for Bacillus.
 1212 Wiley, 1990.

1213 123. Schaeffer P, Millet J, Aubert J-P. Catabolic repression of bacterial sporulation. 1214 Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1965; 54: 704–711.

1215 124. Arnaud M, Chastanet A, & Débarbouillé M. New vector for efficient allelic
1216 replacement in naturally nontransformable, low-GC-content, gram-positive bacteria.
1217 Appl Environ Microbiol. 2004; 70: 6887-6891.

- 1218 125. van Ooij C, Eichenberger P, Losick R. Dynamic patterns of subcellular protein
 1219 localization during spore coat morphogenesis in Bacillus subtilis. J Bacteriol. 2004; 186:
 1220 4441-8.
- 1221 126. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, et
 1222 al. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods. 2012; 9:
 1223 676-682.
- 1224 127. Bechhofer DH, Oussenko IA, Deikus G, Yao S, Mathy N, et al. Analysis of
 mRNA decay in Bacillus subtilis. Methods Enzymol. 2008; 447: 259–276.
- 1226 128. Redko Y, Aubert S, Stachowicz A, Lenormand P, Namane A, Darfeuille F, ... &
 1227 De Reuse H. A minimal bacterial RNase J-based degradosome is associated with
 1228 translating ribosomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013; 41: 288-301.
- 1229 129. Gilet L, Pellegrini O, Trinquier A, Tolcan A, Allouche D, Braun F, ... & Condon
 1230 C. Analysis of Bacillus subtilis Ribonuclease Activity In Vivo. In RNA Remodeling
 1231 Proteins: Methods and Protocols 2020; New York, NY: Springer US.
- 130. Riesenman PJ, Nicholson WL. Role of the spore coat layers in Bacillus subtilis
 spore resistance to hydrogen peroxide, artificial UV-C, UV-B, and solar UV radiation.
 Appl Environ Microbiol. 2000; 66: 620-626.
- 1235 131. Theodorou I, Courtin P, Palussière S, Kulakauskas S, Bidnenko E, Péchoux C,
 1236 ... & Chapot-Chartier M-P. A dual-chain assembly pathway generates the high structural
 1237 diversity of cell-wall polysaccharides in Lactococcus lactis. J Biol Chem. 2019; 294:
 1238 17612-17625.
- 1239
- 1240
- 1241
- 1242

1243 FIGURE LEGENDS

1244 Fig 1. Rho is specifically expressed during *B. subtilis* sporulation.

1245 (A) Rho expression in vegetative cells is limited to the exponential growth phase and 1246 autoregulated. Kinetics of luciferase activity in *B. subtilis* WT P_{*rho*}-*luc* (empty circles) and RM 1247 P_{*rho*}-*luc* (filled-in circles) cells grown in rich medium LB. In this and other panels, the plain 1248 dotted and solid lines represent growth curves of the Rho-proficient strains and *rho*-deletion 1249 mutant (RM), respectively, measured by optical density OD_{600} . (B) In the sporulation-inducing

conditions, *rho* expression is additionally activated in stationary phase. Comparative analysis 1250 of the luciferase activity in WT P_{rho}-luc cells grown in LB (brown circles) and in sporulation 1251 medium DSM (blue circles). Activation of luciferase expression from the early sporulation 1252 promoter *spoIIA* in the control WT P_{spoIIA}-luc cells grown in DSM (grey squares) marks the 1253 initiation of sporulation. (C) In the sporulation-inducing conditions, the autoregulation of Rho 1254 is weakened in stationary phase. Kinetics of luciferase activity in *B. subtilis* WT P_{rho}-luc (empty 1255 1256 blue circles) and RM P_{rho}-luc (filled-in blue circles) cells grown in sporulation medium DSM. (D) Rho expression in the sporulation-inducing conditions correlates with the activation of 1257 1258 Spo0A. Comparative analysis of luciferase expression from P_{rho}-luc (blue circles) and P_{spo0A}luc (pink triangles) transcriptional fusions in WT (empty symbols) and RM (filled-in symbols) 1259 cells grown in DSM. (E and F) Rho expression in stationary phase depends on the initiation of 1260 sporulation. Kinetics of luciferase expression from P_{rho}-luc transcriptional fusions in WT cells 1261 (blue circles) and the sporulation mutants (E): *spo0A* (orange circles) and *sigH* (grey circles); 1262 and (F): sigF (red circles) and sigE (green circles). Measurements were taken every 5 minutes 1263 after cells inoculation in media at optical density $OD_{600} \sim 0.025$ (time point 0). For each strain, 1264 1265 plotted are the mean values of luminescence readings corrected for OD from four independent cultures analyzed simultaneously. Each panel presents the simultaneously collected data. The 1266 data in (C) and (D) and in (E) and (F) were obtained in two independent experiments. Each 1267 strain and growth condition was tested at least three times. The results from the representative 1268 experiment are presented. 1269

Fig 2. Role of the read-through transcription in the *rho* expression during sporulation. (A) 1270 Schematic representation of gene organization and transcription within the *rho* locus of *B*. 1271 subtilis chromosome. Arrow-shaped and flat rectangles indicate protein-coding genes and non-1272 coding RNA S-segments (Nicolas et al., 2012), respectively. Curved arrow-ended lines 1273 represent promoters; their regulatory sigma factors are indicated. Straight dot-ended lines 1274 represent intrinsic terminators. The underlying lines indicate the transcripts of the *rho* gene, 1275 1276 which were identified in (Nicolas et al., 2012); the transcript initiated at the known rho promoter is bolded. The approximate size of the transcripts is shown. Small black rectangle 1277 schematizes the 5' rho-specific riboprobe used in the Northern blot. (B) Cartoon of the insertion 1278 of three intrinsic terminators at the end of glpX gene in the 3TER strain. (C) Northern blot 1279 analysis of the *rho*-specific transcripts in the wild type (WT), and the mutant *sigH* and 3TER 1280 strains during sporulation. Cells were grown in DSM and sampled during exponential growth 1281 1282 $(OD_{600} 0.5)$, the transition to stationary phase $(OD_{600} 1.0)$ and in stationary phase $(OD_{600} 1.8)$.

Total RNA was extracted, processed and hybridized with the rho-specific riboprobe as 1283 described in Materials and Methods. Upper panel. The rho-specific transcripts visualized by 1284 Northern blotting. The arrows indicate the approximate size range of the transcripts. Bottom 1285 panel. Ribosomal 16S and 25S RNAs stained with ethidium bromide were used to control the 1286 equilibrium of the loaded RNA samples. (D) Suppression of the read-through transcription 1287 inhibits *rho* expression in stationary phase. Kinetics of luciferase activity in *B. subtilis* WT P_{rho}-1288 *luc* (blue circles) and 3TER P_{rho} -luc (violet triangles) cells grown in the sporulation-inducing 1289 DSM. (E and F) In the absence of read-through transcription, the residual expression of *rho* in 1290 stationary phase still depends on sporulation. Kinetics of luciferase expression in 3TER P_{rho}-1291 luc strain (violet triangles) and its sporulation mutants (E): spo0A (orange triangles) and sigH 1292 (grey triangles); and (F): sigF (red triangles) and sigE (green triangles) grown in DSM. The 1293 data in (E) and (F) were obtained in the same experiment and are independent from (D). The 1294 1295 data were collected and processed as described in Fig 1. The experiments were reproduced at least three times. The results from the representative experiment are presented. 1296

Fig 3. The expression of *rho* in the forespore compartment of sporangium depends onSigma F.

1299 The WT P_{rho} -gfp strain and its $\Delta sigF$ and $\Delta sigE$ mutant derivatives were induced for sporulation 1300 by the resuspension method as described in Materials and Methods. Cells were sampled three 1301 hours after resuspension in the nutrient-poor SM medium and observed by phase contrast 1302 microscopy, and by epifluorescence illumination of GFP or membrane-affine dye in two 1303 independent replicas. The right-hand cartoon depicts spatial GFP-mediated fluorescence in cells 1304 with asymmetric septa.

Fig 4. Forespore-specific expression of *rho* depends on the activity of a genuine SigFdependent promoter.

1307 (A and B) Sequence and structural elements of the SigA-dependent *rho* promoter reported by: (A; Ingham et al., 1999) and (B; Nicolas et al., 2012). The -35 and -10 boxes are bolded and 1308 1309 colored in bleu (A) and green (B). In (B), the upper blue lines indicate the relative position of the rho promoter identified by (Ingham et al., 1999). (C) Sequence and structural elements 1310 (bolded and colored in red) of putative SigF-dependent *rho* promoter identified in this analysis 1311 as matching consensus sequences recognized by SigF-containing RNA polymerase (Wang et 1312 al., 2006). The upper green lines indicate the relative position of the SigA-dependent rho 1313 promoter identified by (Nicolas et al., 2012). The asterisk indicates a conserved thymine 1314

nucleotide in the -35 sequence of the SigF-dependent promoter subjected to mutagenesis. (D 1315 and E) Mutations of the SigF-dependent rho promoter specifically inhibit rho expression in the 1316 forespores. The wild type (WT) and 3TER cells bearing the non-modified P_{rho} -gfp fusion and 1317 WT Prho-gfp cells containing the indicated single-nucleotide mutations of putative SigF-1318 1319 dependent rho promoter were induced for sporulation and analyzed for GFP-mediated fluorescence as described in Materials and Methods and Fig 3. (D) Micrographs of typical cells 1320 observed by phase contrast, epifluorescence illumination of GFP or membrane-affine dye. (E) 1321 Average fluorescence intensities determined in predivisional cells and each compartments of 1322 sporangia in each strain. Fluorescence intensity was determined as described in Materials and 1323 Methods in two independent experiments. Bars represent standard deviation from the mean 1324 1325 values.

1326

Fig 5. The alteration of the spatiotemporal expression of *rho* affects the resistance properties of mature spores.

- Spores produced by WT and 3TER cells expressing *rho* from the non-modified promoters, their 1329 mutant derivatives WT-mT/A and 3TER-mT/A inactivated for rho expression in the forespore 1330 1331 and RM cells expressing no Rho were analyzed for the levels of dipicolinic acid (A) and the resistance to ultraviolet irradiation (B) as described in Materials and Methods. (A) Spore DPA 1332 contents are normalized to the wild type level. The assay was reproduced ten times with two 1333 1334 independently prepared sets of five spores. Plotted are mean values from all measurements. Statistical significance was estimated with a two-tailed t-test. The displayed p-value is as 1335 follows: *** $p \le 0.001$. (B) UV test was reproduced five times with one set of spores. The bars 1336 represent standard deviation from the mean values. 1337
- 1338

Fig 6. The alteration of the spatiotemporal expression of *rho* affects the morphology ofspores.

Thin section transmission electron micrographs of spores produced by cells differentially expressing *rho*. White arrows indicate a thinner and less electron-dense outer coat in RM, 3TER and 3TER-mT/A spores, which did not express *rho* in the forespores during maturation. Black arrows indicate the detachment of outer and inner coats in RM, WT-mT/A and 3TER-mT/A which did not express *rho* in the mother cells.

1346

1347 Fig 7. Rho activity determines the revival properties of spores.

Spores produced by WT (blue triangles), RM (red squares), 3TER (brown crosses), WT-mT/A 1348 (orange circles) and 3TER-mT/A (violet diamonds) cells were induced by the germinant L-1349 alanine (10mM) and analyzed for germination (A) and outgrowth in the nutrient-poor MS 1350 medium (B) as described in Materials and Methods. (C) WT (filled-in blue triangles) and RM 1351 (filled-in red squares) spores were compared for outgrowth in the nutrient-replenished MS 1352 medium containing 0.5% casamino acids. The experiments were performed at least twice with 1353 three independent sets of spores. Each experiment included up to six replicas of individual 1354 suspensions of spores. The results of the representative experiment are plotted. The bars 1355 1356 represent standard deviation from the mean values.

1357

Fig 8. The wild-type rate of spore outgrowth requires the expression of *rho* during spore morphogenesis and *de novo* after germination.

1360 B. subtilis P_{spac}-rho cells expressing Rho protein from the IPTG-inducible promoter were induced to sporulate in DSM in the absence (brown symbols) or presence (violet symbols) of 1361 1362 IPTG 100µM. The mature spores were purified and analyzed for germination with L-alanine (A and B) and outgrowth in MS medium (C and D) in the absence (A, B and C; circles) or 1363 presence of IPTG 100mM (B and D; diamonds). The WT (bleu triangles) and RM (red squares) 1364 spores produced simultaneously with P_{spac}-rho spores were used to compare the germination in 1365 (A) and outgrowth in (C and D). Of note, rho induction by IPTG (B): had no effect on the 1366 germination rates of the both types of P_{spac}-rho spores, but (D): accelerated the outgrowth of 1367 spores expressing *rho* during morphogenesis (violet diamonds) to the WT level. The 1368 experiments were performed at least twice with two independent samples of the IPTG-induced 1369 or non-induced P_{spac}-rho spores and included up to six replicas of individual suspensions of 1370 spores The results of the representative experiment are plotted. The bars represent standard 1371 deviation from the mean values. 1372

- 1373
- 1374

1375 Supporting information

1376

1377 S1 Fig. Induction of *Prho-gfp* expression in the forespore follows the progression of the 1378 engulfment process.

Montage of fluorescent microscopy images (upper row: green channel; lower row: red channel)
of individual wild type cells bearing P_{rho}-gfp transcriptional fusion during the growth in DSM.
Cells were labeled with the red fluorescent Nile-Red dye and observed 2 hours after entering

stationary phase (T2). Images are displayed from left to right following the progression of the
sporulation process, from predivisional to fully engulfed prespore state (red channel), to show
an increase of the fluorecence levels (green channel) in the prespore.

1385

1386 S2 Fig. Inactivation of the SigF-dependent *rho* promoter inhibits sporulation-specific 1387 expression of luciferase in 3TER P_{rho}-luc cells.

The mutational analysis of the SigF-dependent promoter of rho using luciferase was performed 1388 in the 3TER genetic background to limit the interference of the read-through transcription. 1389 3TER cells bearing a non-modified (violet triangles) or the point-mutated mF-35T/A (green 1390 triangles) and mF-35T/C (orange triangles) P_{rho} -luc fusions were set to sporulate in DSM and 1391 analyzed for luciferase activity as described in Fig 2. Of note, the mutation mF-35T/A1392 specifically decreases luciferase expression during sporulation, while the mutation mF-35T/C1393 1394 has a global inhibitory effect (scaled differently in the graph). Nevertheless, the sporulationspecific luminescence in 3TER *mF-35T/C* P_{rho} -luc is affected to a similar extent as in 3TER 1395 1396 $mF-35T/A P_{rho}-luc.$

1397

1398 S3 Fig. Complete inactivation of *rho* has a maximal effect on sporulation efficiency. (A) Cells were induced for sporulation by the resuspension method, sampled three hours after 1399 resuspension, colored with lipid affine Mito-tracker red and analyzed by fluorescence 1400 microscopy for the presence of asymmetric septum. The proportion of septum-containing cells 1401 1402 was determined by manual counting, in at least three fields of view and for a minimum of 600 cells per strain and per replica. Plotted are average proportions of septum-containing cells from 1403 three experimental replica. The bars represent standard deviation from the mean values. (B and 1404 C) After resuspension, cells were continuously propagated in a poor SM medium and analyzed 1405 for the formation of heat-resistant spores at the indicated time (B) and overnight (20 hours) (C), 1406 1407 as described in Materials and Methods. Plotted are average proportions of the heat-resistant spores from three independent experiments, two of which were performed in a prolongation of 1408 1409 microscopy analyses in (A). The bars represent standard deviation from the mean values.

1410

1411 S4 Fig. Alteration of *rho* expression does not significantly affect the resistance of spores 1412 to heat and lysozyme.

The purified spores of the wild type strain (WT), *rho*-deletion mutant (RM) and strains altered for *rho* expression in the mother cell (3TER) or in the forespore (WT-mT/A) or in the two compartments of sporangia (3TER-mT/A) were analyzed for the resistance to lysozyme (A and

B) and heat (C). (A) Spores were activated as described in Materials and Methods, suspended 1416 in TE buffer (10mM Tris-HCl, 1mM EDTA, pH 8.0) containing 10 mg/ml lysozyme (Fluka) at 1417 OD₆₀₀ 0.2 and incubated at 37°C for 1 hour. (B) Spores were chemically treated to remove 1418 spore coats as described in Materials and Methods. Decoated spores were suspended in 10mM 1419 1420 Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) at OD₆₀₀ 0.2 and treated with lysozyme (10 µg/ml, final concentration) for 10 and 20 minutes at 37°C. In (A) and (B), spore viability after lysozyme treatment was 1421 established by plating spores from the treated and untreated samples at LB agar plates. (C) The 1422 activated spores were heated at 90°C for the indicated time, platted at LB agar plates and 1423 1424 incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The heat resistance of spores was calculated as a proportion of viable colony-forming spores in heated and unheated samples. The same set of spores was used 1425 in all experiments; spore samples in (B) originate from two independent decoating procedures. 1426 The experiments were reproduced four times, and the established average values are presented 1427 1428 with the standard deviations of the mean (bars).

1429

1430 S5 Fig. Rho inactivation does not affect UV-resistance of vegetative cells.

The wild type (WT, blue line) and Δrho (RM, red line) cells were grown in LB to OD₆₀₀ 0.5, platted at LB-agar plates in serial dilutions and irradiated by ultraviolet light at the indicated doses using the UV crosslinker as described in Materials and Methods. Cells were scored after 24h of incubation at 37°C. The experiment was reproduced twice with three culture samples of each strain. Plotted are the mean values from two experiments.

1436

1437 S6 Fig. Absence of Rho accelerates spore germination with different nutrient germinators.

Spores produced by WT (blue triangles), RM (red squares), 3TER (brown crosses), WT-mT/A (orange circles) and 3TER-mT/A (violet diamonds) cells were induced for germination by (A) the germinant AGFK (10 mM L-asparagine, 1mM D-glucose, 10mM KCl and 10 mM Dfructose, final concentrations) or (B) L-valine (10mM). The experiments were performed twice with two independent sets of spores. Each experiment included up to six replicas of individual suspensions of spores. The results of the representative experiment are plotted. The bars represent standard deviation of the mean values.

1445

1446 S7 Fig. Absence of Rho does not affect viability of germinating spores.

1447 The wild type WT (blue) and *rho*-minus RM (red) spore suspensions at OD₆₀₀ 0.1 were 1448 activated and induced for germination by 10mM L-alanine as described in Materials and 1449 Methods. Spore viability during germination was established by platting of spores in sequential dilutions at LB-agar plates at the indicated time after germinant addition. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 24h before the colonies counting. The experiment was reproduced twice with two independently prepared spore samples and included four replicas of each spore suspension. The results of the representative experiment are plotted. The bars represent standard deviation from the mean values.

1455

1456 S8 Fig. Functional analysis of P_{spac}-rho transcriptional fusion.

1457

B. *subtilis* cells expressing *rho* from the IPTG-inducible promoter (P_{spac} -*rho*) were incubated in LB medium in the presence of the indicated concentrations of IPTG to OD₆₀₀ 0.6 and analyzed for the levels of Rho protein in comparison with wild-type cells (WT) by Western blot with the specific anti-Rho^{Bs} anti-serum as described in Materials and Methods. Protein equilibrium between the loaded samples was controlled by Bradford assay and visualization of Mbl protein by anti-Mbl antibodies as described in (Bidnenko et al., 2023).

1464

1465 S1 Table. Differential expression analysis of genes from the "Sporulation" lifestyle 1466 category in stationary Δrho vs. stationary WT *B. subtilis* cells (compiled from S3 Table, 1467 Bidnenko et al., 2023).

1468 S2 Table. Strains and plasmids used in the analysis.

- 1469 S3 Table. Oligonucleotides used for strains construction.
- 1470
- 1471

1472 S2 Table. Strains and plasmids used in this study.

Strains	Genotype (resistance)	Source or reference
BSB1	<i>B. subtilis</i> 168 <i>trp</i> ⁺	Nicolas et al., 2012
BRL1	BSB1 $\Delta rho::phleo$ (phleo ^R)	Bidnenko et al., 2017
BRL111	BSB1 P _{spoIIA} -luc (Cm ^R)	Bidnenko et al., 2017
BRL116	BSB1 P_{spo0A} -luc (Cm ^R)	Bidnenko et al., 2017
BRL118	<i>∆rho::phleo</i> Pspo0A-luc (Cm ^R)	Bidnenko et al., 2017
BRL871	BSB1 P_{rho} -luc (Cm ^R)	This study
BRL880	$\Delta rho::phleo P_{rho}-luc (phleo^{R} Cm^{R})$	This study
ABS549	PY79 $\Delta spo0A::tet$ (Tet ^R)	Chastanet et al., 2010
BKK00980	<i>B. subtilis</i> 168 <i>trpC2 sigH::km</i> (Km ^R)	Koo et al., 2017
BRL877	BSB1 <i>spo0A::tet</i> P_{rho} - <i>luc</i> (Tet ^R Cm ^R)	This study
BRL898	BSB1 <i>sigH::km</i> P _{rho} -luc (Km ^R Cm ^R)	This study
RL1265	sigF::km (spoIIACA::km)	Fawcett et al., 2000

EU8701	sigE::erm (spoIIGB∆::erm)	Kenney and Moran,
MO1790	sigEvitet (spollCADAvitet)	170/
MO1/80	sige::iei (sponGABZ::iei)	1996
BRL878	BSB1 <i>sigE::erm</i> P_{rho} - <i>luc</i> (Em ^R Cm ^R)	This study
BRL879	BSB1 $sigF$:: $km P_{rho}$ -luc (Km ^R Cm ^R)	This study
BRL894	BSB1 P_{rho} -gfp (Sp ^R)	This study
BRL956	BSB1 sigE::tet P_{rho} -gfp (Tet ^R Sp ^R)	This study
BRL957	BSB1 sigF:: $km P_{rho}$ -gfp (Km ^R Sp ^R)	This study
BRL1111	3TER P _{rho} -luc (Cm ^R)	This study
BRL1119	$3\text{TER} - 35mT/C P_{rho} - luc (Cm^R)$	This study
BRL1165	3TER -35mT/A P _{rho} -luc (Cm ^R)	This study
BRL1159	$3\text{TER } P_{rho}$ -gfp (Sp ^R)	This study
BRL1144	BSB1 -35mT/C P_{rho} -gfp (Sp ^R)	This study
BRL1172	BSB1 -35mT/A P_{rho} -gfp (Sp ^R)	This study
BRL1175	$3\text{TER } spo0A::tet P_{rho}-luc (\text{Tet}^{R} \text{Cm}^{R})$	This study
BRL1176	3TER sigH::km Prho-luc (Km ^R Cm ^R)	This study
BRL1177	$3\text{TER } sigE::tet P_{rho}-luc (\text{Tet}^{\text{R}} \text{Cm}^{\text{R}})$	This study
BRL1178	$3\text{TER } sigF::km P_{rho}-luc (\text{Km}^{\text{R}}\text{Cm}^{\text{R}})$	This study
BRL1130	3TER	This study
BRL1185	BSB1 -35mT/A	This study
BRL1194	3TER -35mT/A	This study
BRL1300	BSB1 $rho \Omega P_{spac}$ - $rho (Em^R)$	This study
Plasmids		
pUC18Cm-luc	Cm ^R , Amp ^R	Mirouze et al., 2011
pCVO119	Sp ^R Amp ^R	Van Ooij et al., 2004
pMAD	Em ^R , Amp ^R	Arnaud <i>et al.</i> , 2004
pMUTIN4	Em ^R	Vagner <i>et al.</i> , 1998
pET28a	Km ^R	Novogen
pBRL862	Cm^{R} , Amp^{R} ; used to construct BRL871 (P_{rho} -luc)	This study
pBRL893	$Sp^{R} Amp^{R}$; used to construct BRL894 (P_{rho} -gfp)	This study
pBRL1107	Cm ^R , Amp ^R ; used to construct BRL1111	This study
	(3TER P _{rho} -luc)	
pBRL1150	$Sp^{R} Amp^{R}$; used to construct BRL1159	This study
	$(2 TED D) = \sigma f_{0}$	
nBRI 1116	$(3\text{TER P}_{\text{rho}}-g/p)$	This study
pBRL1116	$(3TER P_{rho}-gfp)$ $Cm^{R}, Amp^{R}; used to construct BRL1119$ $(3TER -35mT/C P_{rho}-luc)$	This study
pBRL1116 pBRL1162	$(3TER P_{rho}-gfp)$ $Cm^{R}, Amp^{R}; used to construct BRL1119$ $(3TER -35mT/C P_{rho}-luc)$ $Cm^{R}, Amp^{R}; used to construct BRL1165$	This study This study
pBRL1116 pBRL1162	$(3TER P_{rho}-gfp)$ $Cm^{R}, Amp^{R}; used to construct BRL1119$ $(3TER -35mT/C P_{rho}-luc)$ $Cm^{R}, Amp^{R}; used to construct BRL1165$ $(3TER -35mT/A P_{rho}-luc)$	This study This study
pBRL1116 pBRL1162 pBRL1141	$(3TER P_{rho}-gfp)$ $Cm^{R}, Amp^{R}; used to construct BRL1119$ $(3TER -35mT/C P_{rho}-luc)$ $Cm^{R}, Amp^{R}; used to construct BRL1165$ $(3TER -35mT/A P_{rho}-luc)$ $Sp^{R} Amp^{R}; used to construct BRL1144$ $(-35mT/C P_{rho}-gfp)$	This study This study This study This study
pBRL1116 pBRL1162 pBRL1141 pBRL1164	$(3TER P_{rho}-gfp)$ Cm^R , Amp^R ; used to construct BRL1119 $(3TER -35mT/C P_{rho}-luc)$ Cm^R , Amp^R ; used to construct BRL1165 $(3TER -35mT/A P_{rho}-luc)$ $Sp^R Amp^R$; used to construct BRL1144 $(-35mT/C P_{rho}-gfp)$ $Sp^R Amp^R$; used to construct BRL1172	This study This study This study This study This study
pBRL1116 pBRL1162 pBRL1141 pBRL1164	$(3TER P_{rho}-gfp)$ $Cm^{R}, Amp^{R}; used to construct BRL1119$ $(3TER -35mT/C P_{rho}-luc)$ $Cm^{R}, Amp^{R}; used to construct BRL1165$ $(3TER -35mT/A P_{rho}-luc)$ $Sp^{R} Amp^{R}; used to construct BRL1144$ $(-35mT/C P_{rho}-gfp)$ $Sp^{R} Amp^{R}; used to construct BRL1172$ $(-35mT/A P_{rho}-gfp)$	This study This study This study This study This study
pBRL1116 pBRL1162 pBRL1141 pBRL1164 pBRL1108	$(3TER P_{rho}-gfp)$ Cm^R , Amp^R ; used to construct BRL1119 $(3TER -35mT/C P_{rho}-luc)$ Cm^R , Amp^R ; used to construct BRL1165 $(3TER -35mT/A P_{rho}-luc)$ $Sp^R Amp^R$; used to construct BRL1144 $(-35mT/C P_{rho}-gfp)$ $Sp^R Amp^R$; used to construct BRL1172 $(-35mT/A P_{rho}-gfp)$ Em^R , Amp^R ; used to construct BRL1130 (3TER)	This study
pBRL1116 pBRL1162 pBRL1141 pBRL1164 pBRL1108 pBRL1174	$(3TER P_{rho}-gfp)$ Cm^R, Amp^R ; used to construct BRL1119 $(3TER -35mT/C P_{rho}-luc)$ Cm^R, Amp^R ; used to construct BRL1165 $(3TER -35mT/A P_{rho}-luc)$ $Sp^R Amp^R$; used to construct BRL1144 $(-35mT/C P_{rho}-gfp)$ $Sp^R Amp^R$; used to construct BRL1172 $(-35mT/A P_{rho}-gfp)$ Em^R, Amp^R ; used to construct BRL1130 (3TER) Em^R, Amp^R ; used to construct BRL1185 $(BSB1 - 35mT/A)$	This study
pBRL1116 pBRL1162 pBRL1141 pBRL1164 pBRL1108 pBRL1174 pBRL1180	$(3TER P_{rho}-gfp)$ Cm^R, Amp^R ; used to construct BRL1119 $(3TER -35mT/C P_{rho}-luc)$ Cm^R, Amp^R ; used to construct BRL1165 $(3TER -35mT/A P_{rho}-luc)$ $Sp^R Amp^R$; used to construct BRL1144 $(-35mT/C P_{rho}-gfp)$ $Sp^R Amp^R$; used to construct BRL1172 $(-35mT/A P_{rho}-gfp)$ Em^R, Amp^R ; used to construct BRL1130 (3TER) Em^R, Amp^R ; used to construct BRL1185 $(BSB1 - 35mT/A)$ Em^R, Amp^R ; used to construct BRL1194	This study This study

	(3TER -35mT/A)	
pMutin4rho	Em ^R , Amp ^R ; used to construct BRL1300	This study
	$(rho \Omega P_{spac}-rho)$	
pETRho	Km ^R ; pET28a- <i>rho</i>	This study

1473

1474	1.	Mirouze N, Prepiak P, & Dubnau D. Fluctuations in spo0A transcription control rare
1475		developmental transitions in Bacillus subtilis. PLoS Genet. 2011. 7: e1002048.
1476	2.	Van Ooij, C., Eichenberger, P., & Losick, R. (2004). Dynamic patterns of subcellular
1477		protein localization during spore coat morphogenesis in Bacillus subtilis. Journal of
1478		<i>bacteriology</i> , <i>186</i> (14), 4441-4448.
1479	3.	Arnaud M, Chastanet A, Débarbouillé M. New vector for efficient allelic replacement
1480		in naturally nontransformable, low-GC-content, Gram-positive bacteria. Appl Environ
1481		Microbiol. 2004; 70: 6887-6891. doi:10.1128/AEM.70.11.6887-6891.2004
1482	4.	Vagner, V., Dervyn, E., & Ehrlich, S. D. (1998). A vector for systematic gene
1483		inactivation in Bacillus subtilis. Microbiology, 144(11), 3097-3104.
1484	5.	Chastanet, A., Vitkup, D., Yuan, G. C., Norman, T. M., Liu, J. S., & Losick, R. M.
1485		(2010). Broadly heterogeneous activation of the master regulator for sporulation in
1486		Bacillus subtilis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 107(18), 8486-
1487		8491.
1488	6.	Fawcett, P., Eichenberger, P., Losick, R., & Youngman, P. (2000). The transcriptional
1489		profile of early to middle sporulation in Bacillus subtilis. Proceedings of the National
1490		Academy of Sciences, 97(14), 8063-8068.
1491	7.	Guérout-Fleury, A. M., Frandsen, N., & Stragier, P. (1996). Plasmids for ectopic
1492		integration in Bacillus subtilis. Gene, 180(1-2), 57-61.
1493	8.	Kenney, T. J., & Moran Jr, C. P. (1987). Organization and regulation of an operon that
1494		encodes a sporulation-essential sigma factor in Bacillus subtilis. Journal of
1495		bacteriology, 169(7), 3329-3339.

1496

1497 S3 Table. Oligonucleotides used for strains construction

Oligonucleotide	Sequence (5'-> 3')
glpXBam *	CACGCTTC <i>TGgATcC</i> TCCTGACATG
veb738 **	TATGTTTTTGGCGTCTTCCATAAAAACACCACGCTTTTC
lucintrev	CAATCAGAGTGCTTTTGGCGAAG

veb739	ATGGAAGACGCCAAAAACATA
veb734	CTAGACCCGGGGGATCTCTG
veb735	TCTAGAGCAACGTTCTTGC
veb797 **	<u>GCAAGAACGTTGCTCTAGA</u> CAAACAGAAAAAGCGGGTG
veb798 **	CAGAGATCCCCGGGTCTAGTTATGGACGGATTACAAGATTTGG
veb742 **	<u>GTTCTTCTCCTTTACTCAT</u> AAAAACACCACGCTTTTC
veb741	acgcgtcgacTTATTTGTATAGTTCATCCATG
veb740	ATGAGTAAAGGAGAAGAAC
veb802 ***	<u>c</u> AATTTTTTGAAATAAGGTAAAAATAAAG
veb803	TTCAACTGAAATAAGGTTTTGAC
veb805 ***	a AATTTTTTGAAATAAGGTAAAAATAAAG
veb795	CTT <i>GGAtcc</i> TAGTTGATCGGTCTC
veb796	TGGAAttCGCTGACGGTTATC
veb808	CTCTGAACATGTCATCTGCTGC
veb806	TGAgGATCCGGATAAAGTGGCG
veb807	GCAGCAGATGACATGTTCAGAG
veb880	GGGTGGAATTCTTACCGATAGAAG
veb596	cgcgtcgacTTACCTTCTTGCAGATGATAG
veb599	gatc <i>catATG</i> AAAGACGTATCTATTTCCTC
YRH1****	CTAATACGACTCACTATAGGAGACAGGAATCCGAAACCTTCAG
YRH2	TCTCCTATTACAGCAAACTGAC

1498

- 1499 * Here and thereafter, the modified nucleotides are in small characters and the recognition
 1500 sites of the endonucleases are in italics.
- 1501 ** Sequences complementary to other oligonucleotides are underlined
- 1502 *** The 5'-terminal nucleotides creating the mutations are bolded and underlined.
- 1503 **** T7 promoter sequence is underlined.

1504











Ε

D











GFP	11	r	1	Í	2	$\overline{\ }$
membrane	17	d'a			-	~







Α







3Ter

RM

WT

3Ter-mT/A

WT-mT/A







