

Co-Design to Identify Lack Of On-Farm Agronomic References

Davide Rizzo, Christine Leclercq, Elisa Marraccini, David Grandgirard, Olivier Scheurer, Alicia Ayerdi Gotor

▶ To cite this version:

Davide Rizzo, Christine Leclercq, Elisa Marraccini, David Grandgirard, Olivier Scheurer, et al.. Co-Design to Identify Lack Of On-Farm Agronomic References. XV European Society of Agronomy Congress, Aug 2018, Geneve, Switzerland. hal-04328389

HAL Id: hal-04328389

https://hal.science/hal-04328389

Submitted on 7 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





Co-Design to Identify Lack Of On-Farm Agronomic References

D. Rizzo¹, C. Leclercq¹, E. Marraccini¹, O. Scheurer², D. Grandgirard¹, A. Ayerdi Gotor³

¹UP INTERACT 2018.C102 UniLaSalle, Beauvais (France), ³ UniLaSalle (Beauvais, France), ⁴UP AGHYLE 2018.C101 UniLaSalle, Beauvais (France)

Why?

Hypothesis 1: the reason why farmers do not use some techniques, except farm machinery availability, is that they need more references in their combination of cropping system (CS) and soil.

Hypothesis 2:

The references that they need are not obvious enough to be identified through a simple survey such as "what do you want /need to know to be able to improve your CS?"

Hypothesis 3:

Wondering and arguing about introducing a new technique that could improve a CS is a relevant way to detect the reason(s) why it is not already used.



Adapted from Kneebone, S., 2012.

Hypothesis 4:

Several types of references may be needed about a new technique to judge if it can introduce in a given combination of CS and soil:

- the technique efficiency according to its objective(s),
- the conditions for which the technique is efficient and feasible,
- its potential side effects.

How?

- The second step of the STEPHY approach, initially developed to co-design CS for low pesticide use, was enlarged to other farmers'
 objectives such as reducing nitrates leaching or avoiding resistance development to herbicides in weeds population
- => data on suitable techniques were compiled according to the types of references for each potential objective.
- main objectives of each farmer were identified.
- each farmer argued the reasons why it has not or do not consider introducing each proposed technique in a chosen CS.

Which results?

Open or closed ended questions such as: "does sunflower introduction need to be combined with mechanical weeding to reduce herbicide use in Rapeseed/ Wheat/ Winter barley/ Pea/ Wheat rotation on a chalky soil?"

Those questions have been presented to the farmers' group as a feedback for complements and validation.

Objective	Technique	CS* soil	Technique efficiency	Conditions for which the technique is		Potential side effects
				Effective	Feasible	
Reduce herbicide use	sunflower introduction	RS/ WW/ WB/ SP/ WW ² Chalky soil	No herbicide?	Mechanical weeding?	?	
	2 winter crops/ 2 spring crops	RS/ WW/ WW/ WB/ SB ² Chalky soil				Economical impact?
		•••				
What is ne Choice of :	ext? techniques of in	nterest CS * soil to test them		what to obse	erve and measure	

¹ Kneebone, S. 2012. Extension systems and change facilitation in DARNHOFER, I., GIBBON, D., DEDIEU, B. (Eds). Farming Systems Research into the 21st Century: The New Dynamic. Dordrecht Heidelberg New York London: Springer. p212