

Abdellah Bouchendouka, Zine El Abiddine Fellah, Cong Truc T Nguyen,

Erick Ogam, Camille Perrot, Arnaud Duval, Claude L. Depollier

To cite this version:

Abdellah Bouchendouka, Zine El Abiddine Fellah, Cong Truc T Nguyen, Erick Ogam, Camille Perrot, et al.. Improving acoustic wave propagation models in highly attenuating porous materials. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 2023, p206-217. $10.1121/10.0024008$. hal-04328094

HAL Id: hal-04328094 <https://hal.science/hal-04328094>

Submitted on 6 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A. Bouchendouka, 1 Z.E.A. Fellah, 1 C.T. Nguyen, 2 E. Ogam, 1 C. Perrot, 2 A. Duval, 3 and C. Depollier⁴

¹Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, LMA UMR 7031, Marseille, France.

²Univ Gustave Eiffel, Univ Paris Est Creteil, CNRS, UMR 8208, MSME,

 $F-77454$ Marne-la-Vallée, France

 3 Trèves products, services and innovation, 2-4 rue Emile Arqu'es, CS 70017,

51686 Reims Cedex 2, France

⁴Acoustics Laboratory of the University of Le Mans (LAUM), UMR 6613,

Institut d'Acoustique - Graduate School (IA-GS), CNRS, Le Mans University, France.

(Dated: 6 December 2023)

Improving acoustic wave propagation models in highly attenuating porous materials This article presents an improved and extended modeling approach for acoustic wave propagation in rigid porous materials, focusing on examples such as plastic foams used for noise reduction in automotive applications. We demonstrate that the classical model (Johnson-Champoux-Allard) in the asymptotic high frequency limit, widely employed in the literature, fail to accurately reconstruct the transmitted acoustic signal through high absorbant porous materials characterized by significant wave attenuation. The study focuses on the airborne ultrasonic frequency range (30-200 kHz).

9 To address this limitation, we introduce new non-acoustic parameters Σ and V, and Σ' and V' for thermal effects, with surface and volumetric dimensions, respec- tively, allowing for the reconstruction of the transmitted signal and accurate modeling of the pronounced acoustic attenuation within the material. These parameters are is incorporated into the expansion on skin depths of the dynamic tortuosity $\alpha(\omega)$ and thermal tortuosity $\alpha'(\omega)$ response functions, which describe the inertial-viscous and thermal interactions between the fluid and the solid, respectively.

 This novel modeling approach enables a more comprehensive study of high atten- uating porous media, which are crucial for effective noise reduction. Additionally, it opens up new possibilities for characterization beyond the capabilities of current models.

I. INTRODUCTION

 The investigation of sound propagation in rigid porous media has garnered significant attention within the acoustic community due to its diverse applications in fields such as sound absorption (Zieli´nski, 2015), automotive industry (Nguyen, 2021), and industrial noise control (Allard and Atalla, 2009). These fields represent active areas of research. Understanding the inherent microstructural properties that contribute to the models used to describe the acoustic behavior of porous media (e.g., porosity, tortuosity, etc.) is cru- cial. Consequently, there has been considerable interest in the pursuit of this knowledge, as evidenced in the existing literature (for a comprehensive review, see Refs (Bonfiglio and Pompoli, 2013; Horoshenkov, 2017)).

 The equivalent fluid model (Allard and Atalla, 2009), which is derived from Biot's theory (Biot, 1956), is employed specifically when the fluid saturating the porous medium is air. Under this circumstance, the porous medium is considered rigid, indicating that the solid structure of the tionary and devoid of vibrations (Allard and Atalla, 2009). Consequently, treating the fluid and solid phases as an effective medium (fluid) in which the medium permittivities are two linear operators, one representing an effective density and the other an effective compressibility (Fellah *et al.*, 2002).

 The characterization of porous materials involves determining various parameters related to their inner structure. Many scientists have contributed to the development of models in acoustics of porous media, including Zwiker and Kosten (Zwikker and Kosten, 1949), Biot

 $_{41}$ (Biot, 1956), Delany and Bazley (Delany and Bazley, 1970), Johnson et al. (Johnson et al., ⁴² 1987), Mikki (Miki, 1990), Champoux and Allard (Champoux and Allard, 1991), Allard and 43 Champoux (Allard and Champoux, 1992), Pride *et al.* (Pride *et al.*, 1993), Lafarge *et al.* ⁴⁴ (Lafarge et al., 1997), and Wilson (Wilson, 1997). In their paper, Johnson et al. (Johnson ϵ_{t} et al., 1987) analyzed the analytic properties inherent in the response of linear functions, ⁴⁶ particularly focusing on the high and low frequency limits. This investigation notably in- μ_{47} troduced the parameter Λ , a critical element in the high-frequency (frozen limit) domain, ⁴⁸ referred to as the viscous characteristic length. Expanding upon these foundational insights, ⁴⁹ Champoux and Allard (Allard and Champoux, 1992; Champoux and Allard, 1991) embarked ⁵⁰ on an in-depth examination of thermal exchange processes within saturated fluid materi- 51 als, thereby introducing the concept of thermal characteristic length Λ' . Further advancing 52 the field, Lafarge et al. (Lafarge et al., 1997) contributed by identifying the thermal static \mathfrak{g}_3 permeability k'_0 , particularly relevant in the context of the relaxed limit (low frequency). $_{54}$ The works of Pride *et al.* (Pride *et al.*, 1993), and Lafarge (Lafarge, 1993) (denoted PL), ⁵⁵ contributed to a more nuanced comprehension of the low-frequency relaxed limit. Further ₅₆ refinement in the characterization of the low-frequency limit was achieved by Roncen *et al.* $57 \text{ (Roncen } et al., 2018),$ who introduced additional terms to the dynamic tortuosity, employing ⁵⁸ a Laurent-series expansion on frequency.

⁵⁹ In the present study, we primarily concentrate on the high-frequency limit, wherein parame- ters such as tortuosity and viscous characteristic length come into play. Within this limit, the response functions exhibit expansion into integral power series of viscous and thermal skin depths. Notably, the expansion within the Johnson-Champoux-Allard (JCA) model at high

Improving acoustic wave propagation models in highly attenuating porous materials frequencies is limited to second-order terms Λ and Λ'. Advancing beyond this, Kergomard 64 et al. (Kergomard et al., 2013) introduced third-order terms in the model, denoted as Σ and Σ' , characterized by surface dimensions. The empirical measurement of these parameters 66 was accomplished by Roncen *et al.* (Roncen *et al.*, 2019) employing a statistical Bayesian approach. Their findings advocate that incorporating these terms into the characterization process enhances the precision in reconstructing experimental signals, especially in materials with high resistive properties.

 The objectives of our investigation are twofold. Firstly, we propose a novel model for re- τ_1 sponse functions that incorporates fourth-order terms, labeled as V and V', representing volume dimensions. These terms, to our knowledge, have not been previously considered. ⁷³ We provide a comprehensive sensitivity analysis for these fourth-order terms, along with Σ and Σ' , given the limited existing information regarding these parameters. Furthermore, we explain the rationale for integrating these terms, and their applicability. The second aim is to empirically validate the fourth-order model utilizing experimental data derived from π transmitted waves through foams exhibiting unusual amplitude attenuation. Our findings demonstrate that neither the high-frequency JCA model nor the third-order expansions suf- γ ⁹ ficiently predict the experimental signals from these highly attenuated foams, with accuracy achievable only through the inclusion of the fourth-order term.

 The paper is organized as follows: Section II delineates the experimental procedures and presents the measurements acquired from the transmitted signals of three distinct plastic foams. Subsequently, Section III elaborates on the general theoretical frameworks pertinent to this research, including the introduction of a novel model for the response functions.

Improving acoustic wave propagation models in highly attenuating porous materials $\overline{\mathbb{S}}_5$ In Section IV, a comprehensive sensitivity analysis is conducted, elucidating the impact of ⁸⁶ the higher-order parameters Σ and V on the model's efficacy. Following this, Section V is ⁸⁷ dedicated to validating the proposed model, particularly the inclusion of the fourth-order $\frac{1}{88}$ term V. This section demonstrates the necessity of this term for accurate characterization ⁸⁹ of certain samples utilized in the study. The paper concludes with Section VI, where the ⁹⁰ findings are summarized, and a conclusion is presented.

91 II. EXPERIMENTAL MEASUREMENTS

 Experiments were performed in air using a pair of broadband Ultran transducers, NCG100-D50 with a central frequency of 100 kHz. Pulses of 400 V are provided by a 5058PR Panametrics pulser/receiver. The received signals are filtered above 1 MHz to avoid high-frequency noise. Electronic interference is eliminated by 6000 acquisition averages. (Setup illustrated in Figure 1).

⁹⁷ In our investigation, we focus on three distinct plastic foams. The first, denoted as foam ϵ_{98} F, is characterized as a low attenuating material, as evidenced by Figures 2.(a) and 4.(a), 99 and possesses a thickness of $L = 0.025$ m. The latter two foams, named PU1 and PU2, have ¹⁰⁰ been integrated with carbon particles and are prevalent in the automotive sector for their ¹⁰¹ noise mitigating properties. For an exhaustive discourse on the attributes and applications 102 of these foams, the reader is directed to references (Gao *et al.*, 2016; Nguyen, 2021; Nguyen $103 \text{ et } al., 2020$; Tan Hoang and Perrot, 2013; Trinh et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2018; Xi et al., 2016; ¹⁰⁴ Zhai et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2013). Notably, both PU1 and PU2 manifest pronounced 105 absorbent characteristics, illustrated in Figures 2.(b), 4.(b) for PU1 and Figures 2.(c), 4.(c)

FIG. 1. (color online) Experimental setup

106 for PU2. Their respective thicknesses are quantified as $L = 0.026$ m for PU1 and $L = 0.03$ m for PU2.

 In the conducted experiment, the incident signal alongside its spectral representation is represented in Figure 3. The transmitted signals discerned from the various foams are depicted in Figure 4. With regard to foam F, as illustrated in Figure 4.(a), there is a discernible yet moderate attenuation. This is in contrast to the signals from foams PU1 $_{112}$ and PU2, as shown in Figures 4.(b) and 4.(c), respectively, where a marked attenuation is evident. This reduction in amplitude is further elucidated in Table I. For a more structured comparison, key parameters characterizing the measured signals have been tabulated in Table I.

(a) foam F (b) foam PU1

FIG. 2. (color online) Microscopic image of (a) Foam F, (b) foam PU1, and (c) foam PU2, taken by an inverted microscope model CKX53 with x4 objective.

 In materials exhibiting such pronounced attenuation, it is commonplace to observe ex- ceedingly low values of a specific pore characteristic size, denoted as Λ. This peculiarity often complicates their accurate characterization. As demonstrated in ensuing sections, 119 when Λ is particularly low, the theoretical transmitted signal produced via the Johnson- Champoux-Allard (JCA) model in high frequency regimes may not adequately replicate the experimental signal. This discrepancy suggests that the model does not sufficiently capture certain viscous or thermal effects inherent to these foams. Consequently, we introduce an

FIG. 3. Measured incident signal (a), and it's spectrum (b)

¹²³ enhanced version of the JCA in the HF model in the following section. This augmented $124 \mod 124$ model incorporates additional terms labled as Σ and V. A discussion on their consequential ¹²⁵ impact on the transmitted signal is subsequently presented.

		Incident signal Transmitted signal by foam F Transmitted signal by PU1 Transmitted signal by PU2		
Maximum amplitude (V)	1,038	0,66	$2, 5 \times 10^{-3}$	$2, 9 \times 10^{-4}$
Decrease in amplitude $(\%)$		37,46	99,75	99,97
Energy (V^2)	77,48	29,40	$40, 6 \times 10^{-5}$	$0,64 \times 10^{-5}$
Delay Δt (μ s)		1, 24	10, 5	15
Front wave velocity c_{∞} (m/s)	343	333	301	293
Decrease in front wave velocity $(\%)$		3	12	15

TABLE I. Summary of the key parameters of the measured experimental incident and transmitted

signals

FIG. 4. Measured transmitted signal by foams (a) F, (b) PU1, and (c) PU2

126 III. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

 In instances where the solid framework remains stationary, unaffected by the acoustic wave, the propagation of the acoustic wave is solely facilitated by the fluid. Under such cir- cumstances, a specific subset of the Biot theory (Biot, 1956) becomes relevant, termed as the 'equivalent fluid model' (Allard and Atalla, 2009). Within this model, the traditional fluid 131 parameters (ρ_f and K_f) are substituted by their corresponding equivalent values ($\rho_f \alpha(\omega)$ 132 and $K_f/\beta(\omega)$, and the system, encompassing both solid and fluid phases, is conceptualized

Improving acoustic wave propagation models in highly attenuating porous materials as a singular equivalent fluid. The dynamic tortuosity $\alpha(\omega)$ and the dynamic compressibility $\beta(\omega)$ operate as response functions, epitomizing the visco-inertial and thermal interactions ¹³⁵ between the solid and fluid on a microscopic scale, respectively. For the thermal effects, the ¹³⁶ dynamic compressibility $β(ω)$ is directly related to a thermal dynamic tortuosity $α'(ω)$ as 137 (Lafarge *et al.*, 1997):

$$
\beta(\omega) = \gamma - \frac{\gamma - 1}{\alpha'(\omega)},\tag{1}
$$

138 where γ is the adiabatic index.

139 Both functions $\alpha(\omega)$ and $\beta(\omega)$ possess clear definitions at the low and high frequency ex-¹⁴⁰ tremes, as mentioned previously in the introduction. It is pertinent to note that the primary ¹⁴¹ emphasis of our investigation is centered on the high-frequency domain.

 Considering a macroscopically uniform porous medium saturated with a visco-thermal fluid, and assuming an elementary geometry such that the fluid movement at the pore scale is divergence-free, we can write the governing equations characterizing the acoustic wave's behavior within the frequency domain as follows (Allard and Daigle, 1994):

$$
\rho_f \alpha(\omega) i \omega \hat{v} = -\nabla \hat{p}, \qquad \frac{1}{K_f} \beta(\omega) i \omega \hat{p} = -\nabla \cdot \hat{v}.
$$
 (2)

146 In these equations, \hat{v} is the Fourier coefficient of macroscopic fluid velocity, and \hat{p} is the ¹⁴⁷ Fourier coefficient of acoustic pressure, obtained by averaging the microscopic velocity and ¹⁴⁸ pressure fields over a representative elementary volume.

¹⁴⁹ A. Transmission coefficient

¹⁵⁰ In cases where the incident pressure wave is normal to the material, the transmission ¹⁵¹ coefficient can be determined by solving a system of four equations, which are derived by ¹⁵² considering the continuity of pressure and velocity on both sides of the material. The solution 153 to this system (see ref (Roncen *et al.*, 2018)) provides the frequency-domain transmission 154 coefficient $T(\omega)$ for normal incidence.

$$
T(\omega) = \frac{2Z}{2Z\cosh(ik(\omega)L) + (1+Z)^2\sinh(ik(\omega)L)},
$$
\n(3)

 $_{155}$ with L being the material thickness, and:

$$
Z = \phi \sqrt{\frac{\beta(\omega)}{\alpha(\omega)}}, \qquad k(\omega) = \omega \sqrt{\frac{\rho_f \alpha(\omega)\beta(\omega)}{K_f}}, \tag{4}
$$

156 where ϕ is the porosity of the material.

¹⁵⁷ The mathematical formulation provided in Equation 3 for the transmission coefficient is a ¹⁵⁸ crucial component for characterizing the porous materials considered in this study.

¹⁵⁹ B. Proposed model for the response functions

¹⁶⁰ Assuming that the pore-surface interface appears locally plane at sufficiently high fre-161 quencies, that is, meaning that the viscous and thermal boundary layers $(\delta(\omega) = \sqrt{2\eta/\rho_f \omega})$ ¹⁶² and $\delta'(\omega) = \sqrt{2\eta/\rho_f \omega P_r}$ become negligible compared with a characteristic radius of curvature of the pore. Then, functions $\alpha(\omega)$ and $\alpha'(\omega)$ expand in integral power series of these 164 thicknesses (Kergomard *et al.*, 2013), which allows us to write:

$$
\alpha(\omega) = \alpha_{\infty} \left[1 + \frac{2}{\Lambda} \left(\frac{\delta(\omega)}{\sqrt{2i}} \right) + \frac{3}{\Sigma} \left(\frac{\delta(\omega)}{\sqrt{2i}} \right)^2 + \frac{4}{V} \left(\frac{\delta(\omega)}{\sqrt{2i}} \right)^3 \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{i\omega}\right)^2.
$$
 (5)

$$
\alpha'(\omega) = \alpha'_{\infty} \left[1 + \frac{2}{\Lambda'} \left(\frac{\delta'(\omega)}{\sqrt{2i}} \right) + \frac{3}{\Sigma'} \left(\frac{\delta'(\omega)}{\sqrt{2i}} \right)^2 + \frac{4}{V'} \left(\frac{\delta'(\omega)}{\sqrt{2i}} \right)^3 \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{i\omega}\right)^2. \tag{6}
$$

Here, α_{∞} denotes the ideal fluid tortuosity, whereas $\alpha'_{\infty} = 1$ segnifies the high frequency 166 limit of the the thermal tortuosity. Both Λ and Λ' are representative of the viscous and ther-¹⁶⁷ mal characteristic lengths, respectively. In the high frequency limit, the Johnson-Champoux-168 Allard (JCA) model stops at the second-order terms Λ and Λ' . The third order parameters $_{169}$ Σ and Σ' , with dimensions representative of a surface, were initially introduced by Kergor- $170 \text{ mard } et \text{ al.}$ (Kergomard *et al.*, 2013) and subsequently explored experimentally by Roncen 171 et al. (Roncen et al., 2019). Their findings indicated that for materials with low values of Λ , ¹⁷² denoted as resistive materials, these third order terms play a role in the reconstructed signal and can not be neglected. The sensitivity analysis was premised on the assumption $\Sigma \propto \Lambda^2$ 173 and $\Sigma' \propto \Lambda'^2$, drawing its roots from the observation that for cylindrical pores, $\Sigma = \Lambda^2 = R^2$ 174 $_{175}$ with R denoting the radius of the cylindrical pore's cross section. Nonetheless, our exam-¹⁷⁶ ination will perceive these parameters as variables that can function independently, thus ₁₇₇ allowing for a comprehensive insight into their impact. Any further understanding regard- μ ₁₇₈ ing these parameters requires further research. The fourth order parameters (V and V') ¹⁷⁹ are innovative, possessing dimensions of a volume. As of our current understanding, they ¹⁸⁰ remain unexplored and form the epicenter of this study.

¹⁸¹ In the forthcoming sections, a detailed exploration of the sensitivity of these novel pa-¹⁸² rameters, focusing predominantly on amplitude, phase velocity and attenuation, will be

Improving acoustic wave propagation models in highly attenuating porous materials undertaken. Before embarking on this particular task, it is pertinent to mention the as- sumption that the viscous and thermal effects are interdependent, which can be expressed as follows:

$$
\frac{\Lambda'}{\Lambda} = 3, \quad \frac{\Sigma'}{\Sigma} = 9, \quad \frac{V'}{V} = 27. \tag{7}
$$

¹⁸⁶ The ratio for the viscous/thermal characteristic lengths, generally varies from 1.5 to 3 ¹⁸⁷ (Dauchez, 1999; Mareze and Lenzi, 2011). Choosing a value of 3 is known to be a credible 188 approximation for plastic foams such as the ones we're using in this study (Brown *et al.*, 1996; 189 Fellah et al., 2003). The designated ratios for Σ , Σ' and V, V' align with their corresponding ¹⁹⁰ exponents, specifically 3^2 and 3^3 .

191 IV. SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS:

 In this section, our objective is to discern the impact of the newly introduced parameters on the theoretical signal. We initiate our analysis by examining the variations in amplitude 194 in relation to variation in the values of Σ and V. The goal is to derive a proximate range for these parameters and ascertain the interval where their influence is at its max. Subsequently, we analyze the effect on the phase velocity as well as the attenuation of the wave for analogous 197 reasons. Concluding this section, we compare the JCA_{HF} , 3rd order, and 4th order models, and comment on the enhancements perceived upon the integration of higher order terms.

199 For the entirety of this section, our focus remains confined to the variations of Σ , and ²⁰⁰ V against varying values of Λ. Concurrent parameters, namely ϕ and α_{∞} , shall remain ²⁰²¹ invariant, aligning with the values in Table II.

		Tortuosity α_{∞} Porosity ϕ Thickness L (cm)
1.3	0.95	2.5

TABLE II. Parameters summary for the sensitivity analysis

²⁰³ A. Sensitivity on the amplitude:

204 Conducting a sensitivity analysis on amplitude with respect to the parameters Σ and V can be a challenge due to the complexities involved in assessing their influence over a substantial range. A simple methodology we can adopt is encapsulating the entire signal 207 using a singular metric. In this context, the peak-to-peak amplitude, denoted as a_{pp} , serves 208 as an apt representative. This is mathematically expressed as: $a_{pp} = Max(S) - Min(S)$, where S represents the theoretical transmitted signal. This signal is derived utilizing the transmission coefficient (see Equation 3), the empirical incident signal as depicted in Figure 3, and computational processing via MATLAB.

212 To ensure clarity in the plotted results, we adopt normalized metrics of a_{pp} , given by:

$$
A_{pp} = s \times a_{pp},\tag{8}
$$

 $_{213}$ where s is a scaling factor.

²¹⁴ In Figure 5 we present a semilogarithmic plot (semi-log x axis) of the variations of the 215 peak to peak value A_{pp} with respect to (a) the parameter Σ utilizing the 3rd order model 216 and (b) the parameter V using 4th order model with Σ fixed at 4000 μ m², and (c) Σ is ²¹⁷ fixed at 40000 μ m², for different values of Λ. These plots help in determining the effective

FIG. 5. (color online) Variation of A_{pp} defined by equation 8, (a) with respect to Σ using 3rd order model, (b) with respect to V using 4th order model for a fixed value of $\Sigma = 4000 \ \mu \text{m}^2$, and (c) with respect to V using 4th order model for $\Sigma = 40000 \ \mu \text{m}^2$, for different values of Λ .

Improving acoustic wave propagation models in highly attenuating porous materials 218 range of Σ and V where they significantly influence the wave amplitude, and in identifying ²¹⁹ a threshold beyond which additional increases in these parameters have a minimal effect. 220 When altering Λ, the resulting graphs consistently show an initial increase in A_{pp} as Σ and ²²¹ V increase, followed by stabilization after reaching a certain critical point. The key effective ²²² range for Σ is found to be between 80 μ m² and $2 \times 10^5 \mu$ m², and for V, it lies approximately 223 between 700 μ m³ and 10⁷ μ m³. It seems that the interval of V is not influenced by Σ. These $_{224}$ ranges, however, are subject to change with different Λ values, as is apparent in Figure 5. 225 A comparison of the rate of change in relation to both parameters (Σ and V) reveals that $_{226}$ V has a considerably greater influence on amplitude than Σ .

²²⁷ B. Sensitivity on phase velocity and attenuation of the wave:

 In a porous medium, the wave number can be a complex quantity because of the presence of attenuation and dispersion effects. The real part is directly related to the phase velocity of the wave, and the imaginary part represents the attenuation due to the medium (Allard and Daigle, 1994). The complex wave number, can be represented as follows(Allard and Daigle, 1994):

$$
k(\omega) = \sqrt{\frac{K_f}{\rho_f}} (\alpha(\omega)\beta(\omega))^{1/2} = \frac{\omega}{V_p(\omega)} - iA(\omega),\tag{9}
$$

²³³ where $V_p(\omega)$ represents the phase velocity of the wave, and $A(\omega)$ is the attenuation of the ²³⁴ wave.

²³⁵ In Figure 6 we present a graphical representation of the (a) phase velocity, and (b) 236 attenuation of the wave using 3rd and 4th order models at a fixed frequency of $f = 100$

(b) Attenuation $A(f = 100 \text{ kHz})$

FIG. 6. (color online) Representation of the (a) phase velocity and (b) Attenaution with respect to Σ using 3rd order model (blue line), and V using 4th order model (red line)

²³⁷ kHz. The phase velocity (V_p) and attenuation (A) are depicted as functions of the parameter 238 Σ (bottom axes) for the 3rd order model (illustrated by the blue curve). For 4th order model ²³⁹ (red curve), variations are observed with respect tp V (top axes), while maintaining $Λ$ at a ²⁴⁰ constant value of 100 μm. For the phase velocity, as shown in Figure 6.(a), the parameter Σ ²⁴¹ appears to exert no influence, which aligns with theoretical expectations, given its exclusive ²⁴² association with the imaginary component of the response functions (refer to Equations $_{243}$ 5 and 6), which limits its influence. The parameter V exhibit a significant effect on the $_{244}$ velocity, especially for lower values of V, where a decrease in V corresponds to an increase ²⁴⁵ in wave velocity.

 $_{246}$ When examining attenuation in Figure 6.(b), it is evident that the inclusion of parameters ²⁴⁷ Σ and V contributes to the wave's attenuation. However, the impact of Σ is marginal when 248 contrasted with the effects V, thus ascribing limited significance to the third-order term Σ ²⁴⁹ in both the phase velocity and attenuation of the wave.

²⁵⁰ C. Comparison between JCA in high frequency limit model with higher order ²⁵¹ models:

 In the investigation of the theoretical signal transmission models, the rationale for the in- $_{253}$ tegration of the novel parameters Σ and V becomes evident upon comparative analysis. This comparison is predicated upon the distortion of the simulated transmitted signal, which, in this context, refers to the deviation of the signal's waveform from its original (incident) con-figuration upon the variations of the parameter Λ. To quantitatively assess this distortion,

Improving acoustic wave propagation models in highly attenuating porous materials ²⁵⁷ the autocorrelation function of the predicted transmitted signal will be employed as a metric 258 across a spectrum of $Λ$ values:

$$
R(\Lambda, k) = \sum_{n} S(\Lambda, n) . S(\Lambda, n + k), \qquad (10)
$$

259 where $R(\Lambda, k)$ is the autocorrelation function, S the predicted transmitted signal, n the 260 discrete time index, and k represents the time lag between the two signals being correlated. ²⁶¹ The choice of the autocorrelation function is simply because of its interesting symmetry ²⁶² property, facilitating a better visualization of the signal shape alterations. For the sake of ²⁶³ clarity, we use scaled values of $R(Λ, k)$:

$$
R_s(\Lambda, k) = sR(\Lambda, k),\tag{11}
$$

²⁶⁴ where s is a scaling factor. In this context, since scaled values are employed, the absolute 265 magnitudes of R_s are of secondary interest compared to the contour of the autocorrelation ²⁶⁷⁶ function, which serves as the primary indicator of signal integrity.

268 In Figure 7 we represent the results of the scaled autocorrelation function R_s for (a) JCA_{HF} model, (b) 3rd order model, and (c) 4th order model. The gradation from blue to yellow represents a range from low or negative correlation to high or positive correlation, $_{271}$ respectively. An undistorted signal is anticipated to exhibit periodic peaks at varying k values, which do not necessarily have to be of equal magnitude. A signal not exhibiting such features is considered distorted.

²⁷⁴ Within the confines of the JCA_{HF} model (Figure 7.(a)), three distinct zones are discernible. ²⁷⁵ Initially, an undistorted (baseline) zone is observed, characterized by clear periodic peaks

FIG. 7. (color online) Color-scaled image of Rs (Equation 11) using (a) JCA_{HF} , (b) 3rd order, and (c) 4th order models. 21

276 indicative of signal constancy. This is followed by a transition zone, spanning from $\Lambda \approx 50$ $277 \mu m$ to $\Lambda \approx 75 \mu m$, where these periodic peaks are somewhat less visible. Finally, a distorted ²⁷⁸ zone is identified, in which periodicity is absent, and signal deformation is evident. Regard-²⁷⁹ ing the 3rd order model (Figure 7.(b)), computed at a constant $\Sigma = 4000 \ \mu m^2$, there appears ²⁸⁰ to be no substantial enhancement in signal integrity, which is expected, as we have seen in 281 Section IV that the influence of Σ is marginal. Conversely, the 4th order model (Figure ²⁸² 7.(c)), calculated for $\Sigma = 4000 \ \mu m^2$ and $V = 2000 \ \mu m^3$, manifests a notable augmentation 283 in the signal's integrity, with the distorted zone compressed to $\Lambda \approx 24 \ \mu \text{m}$.

²⁸⁴ This demonstration is critical as it underpins the limitation of the JCA_{HF} and 3rd order ²⁸⁵ models for applications wherein the viscous characteristic length of a porous medium en- $_{286}$ croaches upon the distorted zone, thereby mandating the inclusion of the term V .

287 It is imperative for the reader to note that the Λ values representing the different zones for 288 the JCA_{HF} and higher-order terms are not general but are contingent upon the specific val-289 ues of α_{∞} , ϕ , the material thickness L, along with the characteristics of the incident signal, ²⁹⁰ such as its frequency, used in this research. For illustrative purposes, Figure 8 delineates ²⁹¹ the same R_s function albeit utilizing a non-resistive material parameter set with $\phi = 0.99$, ²⁹² $\alpha_{\infty} = 1.03$, and $L = 1$ cm.

²⁹³ The observations from Figure 8 suggest a contracted distorted zone, specifically around ²⁹⁴ $\Lambda \approx 18 \ \mu \text{m}^2$ for both JCA_{HF} and $3rd$ order models. However, an incremental enhancement 295 is noted upon the introduction of the term V ($\Lambda \approx 11 \mu m$). This implies that in the ²⁹⁶ context of materials with pronounced resistive characteristics, the term V is essential for 297 accurate characterization. The forthcoming section will substantiate the efficacy of the $4th$

FIG. 8. (color online) Color-scaled image of Rs (Equation 11) using (a) JCA_{HF} , (b) 3rd order, and (c) 4th order models, for $\phi = 0.99$, $\alpha_{\infty} = 1.03$, and $L = 1$ cm. 23

Improving acoustic wave propagation models in highly attenuating porous materials ²⁹⁸ order model by contrasting it with empirical data obtained from signals transmitted through ²⁹⁹ highly attenuative materials.

³⁰⁰ V. EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION:

 $_{301}$ In line with the previous discussions, the application of the 4th order model becomes per- 302 tinent in cases involving porous materials whose viscous characteristic length, Λ , is situated 303 within the distorted zone delineated in Figure $7(a)$ -(b). This is particularly true for foams 304 PU1 and PU2, which we will demonstrate possess Λ values that reside within this zone, 305 thereby rendering the JCA_{HF} and $3rd$ order models inadequate for their characterization. 306 Initially, our analysis begins with foam F, demonstrating that the JCA_{HF} model suffices ³⁰⁷ for precise characterization, obviating the need for higher-order terms. Subsequently, we 308 encounter the issue when characterizing foams PU1 and PU2 with the JCA_{HF} and 3rd or- $\frac{309}{209}$ der models, underscoring the necessity to incorporate the term V into the characterization 310 process. This requirement arises from the fact that the viscous characteristic length Λ for μ ₃₁₁ these foams is approximately 30 μ m, placing them squarely within the distorted zone.

312 **Foam F**

 $\text{In Figure 9, the results of the } JCA_{HF} \text{ model's application for the acoustic characterization}$ 314 of Foam F are shown. The signal reconstruction was predicated for the values $\phi = 0.99$, 315 $\alpha_{\infty} = 1.028$, and $\Lambda = 214.71 \,\mu$ m. The adequacy of the JCA_{HF} model is substantiated by its ³¹⁶ precision in describing the acoustic properties of the foam. This precision is attributable to

Improving acoustic wave propagation models in highly attenuating porous materials $_{317}$ the value of Λ being within the designated unaltered region, thereby negating the necessity ³¹⁸ for integrating higher-order terms into the model for this specific material characterization.

FIG. 9. (color online) Reconstructed signal using JCA_{HF} model, compared with the experimental signal aqcuired from foam F

³¹⁹ Foams PU1 and PU2

³²⁰ In Figure 10 we compare the experimental signal aqcuired from foam PU1 with simulated 321 signals generated using the JCA_{HF} (Figure 10.(a)) and 3rd order (Figure 10.(b)-(c)) models 322 for viscous characteristic lengths $\Lambda = 25\mu$ m and $\Lambda = 40\mu$ m. The selection of these specific Λ values is necessary to account for the notably low amplitude observed in the experimental 324 transmitted signal, which implicates the need for lesser Λ values. The graphical representa- 325 tion illustrates that both models, JCA_{HF} and $3rd$ order, are ineffectual in replicating the ³²⁶ experimental signal's characteristics.

 Conversely, Figure 11 extends this comparison to foam PU2, arriving at a similar conclusion regarding the performance of the aforementioned models. However, an interesting outcome is presented in Figure 12, where the adoption of the 4th order model yields a simulation that closely aligns with the experimental signals for both foam specimens, PU1 and PU2. This improved correlation substantiates the 4th order model's efficacy in the accurate sim- ulation of transmitted signals for these particular foam materials. The values obtained for the parameters for all three foams are tabulated in Table III

Foam specimen	\mathbf{F}	PU1	PU2
Model used		JCA_{HF} 4th order 4th order	
ϕ	0,99	0,98	0,98
α_{∞}	1,028	1,13	1.18
Λ (μ m)	214,71	38,7	36,7
Σ (μ m ²)	Т	6470	4076
$V~(\mu \text{m}^3)$		7790	5717

TABLE III. Summary of the results obtained for the different foam specimens

334 VI. CONCLUSION

³³⁵ A new model has been proposed that extends the high-frequency expansion on the skin ³³⁶ depths of response functions by incorporating higher-order terms. This model introduces two

FIG. 10. (color online) Reconstructed signal for values of $\Lambda = 25 \mu m$ and 40 μm compared with experimental signal for foam PU1 using models: (a) JCA_{HF} , (b) $3rd$ order for $\Sigma = 4000 \mu \text{ m}^2$, and (c) 3rd order for $\Sigma = 40000 \ \mu m^2$

337 non-acoustical parameters, Σ and V. It has been observed that V exerts a more substantial ³³⁸ effect on wave amplitude, phase velocity, attenuation, and overall signal integrity compared 339 to Σ. Particularly under low values of Λ , the simulated transmitted signal using both ³⁴⁰ the Johnson-Champoux-Allard (JCA) model at high frequencies and the third-order model 341 (which only includes up to Σ) shows distortion. Conversely, incorporating the V term

FIG. 11. (color online) Reconstructed signal for values of $\Lambda = 25 \mu m$ and 40 μm compared with experimental signal for foam PU2 using models: (a) JCA_{HF} , (b) $3rd$ order for $\Sigma = 4000 \ \mu \text{m}^2$, and (c) 3rd order for $\Sigma = 40000 \mu \text{ m}^2$

 $_{342}$ significantly improves signal integrity, leading to more precise material characterization. This model's effectiveness was confirmed through experiments on two plastic foams, PU1 and PU2, which possess high attenuation properties. The proccess of characterizing these two foams highlighted the importance of including the fourth-order term V and demonstrated the limitations of both the JCA model at high frequencies and the third-order model.

FIG. 12. (color online) Reconstructed signal using 4th order model compared with experimental signal for foams (a) PU1 and (b) PU2.

³⁴⁷ In summary, incorporating these terms is crucial for accurately characterizing rigid porous ³⁴⁸ materials with high attenuation properties and should not be overlooked.

 The implications of these findings are far-reaching, impacting various industrial sectors such as engineering, manufacturing, performance optimization, product development, and innovation. Moreover, they extend to acoustic applications, broadening the study's practical significance and potential influence.

353 VII. AUTHOR DECLARATIONS

³⁵⁴ The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest to disclose in the context of ³⁵⁵ this research. They also affirm that their work adheres to ethical approval, indicating that ³⁵⁶ their research does not involve experiments on animal subjects and/or human participants.

VIII. DATA AVAILABILITY

 Data is available upon request from the authors. The information supporting the findings of this study is accessible from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

- $_{361}$ Allard, J., and Atalla, N. (2009). Propagation of sound in porous media: modelling sound absorbing materials (John Wiley & Sons).
- Allard, J.-F., and Champoux, Y. (1992). "New empirical equations for sound propagation $_{364}$ in rigid frame fibrous materials," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America $91(6)$,
- 3346–3353.
- Allard, J.-F., and Daigle, G. (1994). "Propagation of sound in porous media: Modeling sound absorbing materials" .
- Biot, M. A. (1956). "Theory of propagation of elastic waves in a fluid-saturated porous solid. ii. higher frequency range," The Journal of the acoustical Society of america $28(2)$, 179–191.
- $_{371}$ Bonfiglio, P., and Pompoli, F. (2013). "Inversion problems for determining physical param- eters of porous materials: Overview and comparison between different methods," Acta Acustica united with Acustica $99(3)$, $341-351$.
- Brown, N., Melon, M., Montembault, V., Castagn`ede, B., Lauriks, W., and Leclaire, P.
- (1996). "Evaluation of the viscous characteristic length of air-saturated porous materials
- from the ultrasonic dispersion curve," Comptes rendus dAcademie des sciences. Serie IIb,
- Mecanique $322(2)$, $122-127$.
- Champoux, Y., and Allard, J.-F. (1991). "Dynamic tortuosity and bulk modulus in air- $_{379}$ saturated porous media," Journal of applied physics $70(4)$, 1975–1979.
- 380 Dauchez, N. A. R. (1999). *Etude vibroacoustique des matériaux poreux par éléments finis.*

- $_{381}$ Delany, M., and Bazley, E. (1970). "Acoustical properties of fibrous absorbent materials,"
- $\frac{382}{2}$ Applied acoustics 3(2), 105–116.
- Fellah, Z., Depollier, C., and Fellah, M. (2002). "Application of fractional calculus to the sound waves propagation in rigid porous materials: validation via ultrasonic measure-ments," Acta Acustica united with Acustica $88(1)$, $34-39$.
- Fellah, Z. E. A., Fellah, M., Lauriks, W., and Depollier, C. (2003). "Direct and inverse scattering of transient acoustic waves by a slab of rigid porous material," The Journal of $\frac{388}{100}$ the Acoustical Society of America 113(1), 61–72.
- Gao, K., Van Dommelen, J., and Geers, M. (2016). "Microstructure characterization and homogenization of acoustic polyurethane foams: Measurements and simulations," Interna-391 tional Journal of Solids and Structures 100, 536–546.
- Horoshenkov, K. V. (2017). "A review of acoustical methods for porous material character-
- 393 isation," Int. J. Acoust. Vib $22(1)$, 92–103.
- Johnson, D. L., Koplik, J., and Dashen, R. (1987). "Theory of dynamic permeability and
- tortuosity in fluid-saturated porous media," Journal of fluid mechanics 176, 379–402.
- Kergomard, J., Lafarge, D., and Gilbert, J. (2013). "Transients in porous media: Exact 397 and modelled time-domain green's functions," Acta Acustica united with Acustica $99(4)$, 557–571.
- ³⁹⁹ Lafarge, D. (1993). "Propagation du son dans les matériaux poreux à structure rigide ⁴⁰⁰ saturés par un fluide viscothermique: Définition de paramètres géométriques, analogie electromagn´etique, temps de relaxation," Ph.D. thesis, Le Mans.

- $_{402}$ Lafarge, D., Lemarinier, P., Allard, J. F., and Tarnow, V. (1997). "Dynamic compressibility
- ⁴⁰³ of air in porous structures at audible frequencies," The Journal of the Acoustical Society
- $_{404}$ of America 102(4), 1995–2006.
- ⁴⁰⁵ Mareze, P. H., and Lenzi, A. (2011). "Characterization and optimization of rigid-frame ⁴⁰⁶ porous material," ICSV18, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil 10–14.
- ⁴⁰⁷ Miki, Y. (1990). "Acoustical properties of porous materials-modifications of delany-bazley 408 models," Journal of the Acoustical Society of Japan (E) 11(1), 19–24.
- $_{409}$ Nguyen, C. T. (2021). "Acoustic foams with pore size distributions and controlled intercon-
- ⁴¹⁰ nections: Relationships between structures, properties, fabrication," Ph.D. thesis, Univer-⁴¹¹ sité Gustave Eiffel.
- ⁴¹² Nguyen, C. T., Guilleminot, J., Detrez, F., Langlois, V., Bornert, M., Duval, A., and ⁴¹³ Perrot, C. (2020). "Micro-macro acoustic modeling of heterogeneous foams with nucleation ⁴¹⁴ perturbation," SAE Technical Paper 01–1526.
- ⁴¹⁵ Pride, S. R., Morgan, F. D., and Gangi, A. F. (1993). "Drag forces of porous-medium 416 acoustics," Physical review B $47(9)$, 4964.
- ⁴¹⁷ Roncen, R., Fellah, Z. E., Lafarge, D., Piot, E., Simon, F., Ogam, E., Fellah, M., and ⁴¹⁸ Depollier, C. (2018). "Acoustical modeling and bayesian inference for rigid porous media
- ⁴¹⁹ in the low-mid frequency regime," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America $144(6)$, ⁴²⁰ 3084–3101.
- ⁴²¹ Roncen, R., Fellah, Z. E. A., Piot, E., Simon, F., Ogam, E., Fellah, M., and Depollier, C.
- ⁴²² (2019). "Inverse identification of a higher order viscous parameter of rigid porous media ⁴²³ in the high frequency domain," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America $145(3)$,

1629–1639.

- Tan Hoang, M., and Perrot, C. (2013). "Identifying local characteristic lengths governing ⁴²⁶ sound wave properties in solid foams," Journal of Applied Physics 113(8), 084905.
- Trinh, V. H., Langlois, V., Guilleminot, J., Perrot, C., Khidas, Y., and Pitois, O. (2019).
- "Tuning membrane content of sound absorbing cellular foams: Fabrication, experimental ⁴²⁹ evidence and multiscale numerical simulations," Materials & Design 162 , $345-361$.
- Wang, S., Qian, L., and Xin, F. (2018). "The synergistic flame-retardant behaviors of
- pentaerythritol phosphate and expandable graphite in rigid polyurethane foams," Polymer
- Composites $39(2)$, $329-336$.
- Wilson, D. K. (1997). "Simple, relaxational models for the acoustical properties of porous $_{434}$ media," Applied Acoustics $50(3)$, 171–188.
- Xi, W., Qian, L., Huang, Z., Cao, Y., and Li, L. (2016). "Continuous flame-retardant actions of two phosphate esters with expandable graphite in rigid polyurethane foams," Polymer Degradation and Stability 130, 97–102.
- Zhai, T., Li, D., Fei, G., and Xia, H. (2015). "Piezoresistive and compression resistance re- laxation behavior of water blown carbon nanotube/polyurethane composite foam," Com-posites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing 72, 108–114.
- Zhang, L., Zhang, M., Zhou, Y., and Hu, L. (2013). "The study of mechanical behavior and flame retardancy of castor oil phosphate-based rigid polyurethane foam composites containing expanded graphite and triethyl phosphate," Polymer Degradation and Stability $98(12), 2784-2794.$

- 445 Zieliński, T. G. (2015). "Normalized inverse characterization of sound absorbing rigid porous
- media," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 137(6), 3232–3243.
- Zwikker, C., and Kosten, C. W. (1949). Sound absorbing materials (Elsevier publishing company).