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#### Abstract

We report on the interaction of the legs of the erupting filament of 2012 August 31 and associated prominent supraarcade downflows (P-SADs) as observed by the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly on board the Solar Dynamics Observatory. We employ a number of image processing techniques to enhance weak interacting features. As the filament erupts, both legs stretch outwards. The positive-polarity leg also untwists and splits into two parts. The first part runs into the conjugate (negative-polarity) leg, tearing it apart. The second part then converges into the remnant of the conjugate leg, after which both weaken and finally disappear. All these episodes of interaction of oppositely oriented filament legs are followed by the appearance of P-SADs, seen in the on-disk projection to be shaped as loop tops, along with many weaker SADs. All SADs are preceded by hot supra-arcade downflowing loops. This observed evolution is consistent with the three-dimensional $r r-r f$ (leg-leg) reconnection, where the erupting flux rope reconnects with itself. In our observations, as well as in some models, the reconnection in this geometry is found to be long lasting. It plays a substantial role in the evolution of the flux rope of the erupting filament and leads to prominent SADs.


Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Solar flares (1496); Solar filament eruptions (1981); Solar magnetic reconnection (1504); Solar x-ray flares (1816); Solar ultraviolet emission (1533)
Supporting material: animations

## 1. Introduction

Eruptive solar flares are long recognized to be consequences of magnetic reconnection of oppositely oriented field lines into new flux rope field lines and flare loops, as described by the standard solar flare model in two dimensions (2D; Carmichael 1964; Sturrock 1966; Hirayama 1974; Kopp \& Pneuman 1976), later extended to three dimensions (3D; Aulanier et al. 2012, 2013; Janvier et al. 2013, 2015); see also the reviews of Li et al. (2021a), Pontin \& Priest (2022), and Kazachenko et al. (2022). However, the observations of flares and eruptions revealed some problems with this picture. For example, Howard et al. (2017) found that cores of only two of the filament-associated three-part coronal mass ejections (CMEs) at $>5 R_{\odot}$ contain filaments that can be traced back to the Sun. The majority of the CMEs associated with a filament had cores that did not resemble the erupting filament. In the flare itself, the newly appearing flare loops move (shrink) far too slowly to constitute reconnection outflows (Warren et al. 2011; Liu et al. 2013). In the peak and gradual phases of the flare, some contracting flare loops create supra-arcade downflows (SADs), now known to be trailing density voids (e.g., Asai et al. 2004; Savage \& McKenzie 2011; Warren et al. 2011; Savage et al. 2012a, 2012b; Hanneman \& Reeves 2014; Innes et al. 2014; Chen et al. 2017; Reeves et al. 2017;

[^0]Longcope et al. 2018; Xue et al. 2020; Li et al. 2021b; Shen et al. 2022, and references therein). The formation of SADs and their predecessors was attributed to inhomogeneous magnetic fields (Warren et al. 2011) or bursty reconnection (Savage et al. 2012b; Xue et al. 2020). Shen et al. (2022) demonstrated that SADs are indeed indirect results of reconnection outflows, and that the preceding shrinking loops slow down in the "interface region" below the termination shock under the current sheet. Nevertheless, the question remains: what reconnection events are the sources of these supra-arcade downflowing loops (SADLs) and SADs in their wake, and which causes account for SADs of various sizes or speeds? And what happens if the filament material and parts of the flux rope get involved in the flare reconnection?
Topologically, the magnetic field lines involved in an eruptive flare belong either to the erupting flux rope $(r)$, arcades of loops in the surrounding corona (a), or the newly created flare loops ( $f$ ). Aulanier \& Dudík (2019) recognized that three reconnection geometries take place in their simulation, each resulting in a new flux rope field line and a flare loop. In addition to the $a a-r f$ reconnection present in the 2D standard model, the erupting flux rope can also reconnect either with the surrounding corona (ar-rf reconnection) or with itself ( $r r-r f$ reconnection). The former leads to drift of the flux rope footpoints. The latter increases the poloidal flux (twist) of the erupting flux rope (Aulanier \& Dudík 2019). Jiang et al. (2021) found that the $r r-r f$ reconnection can also decrease the toroidal flux of the rope, and that some field lines undergo $r r-r f$ reconnection multiple times, potentially making some
self-closed in the corona. Reconnection of flux rope field lines, called leg-leg reconnection, was also present in earlier simulations of eruptions (Török \& Kliem 2005; Gibson \& Fan 2006; Karlickyý \& Kliem 2010; Kliem et al. 2010).

The ar-rf and the associated drift of flux rope footpoints have been observed in many events (Lörinčík et al. 2019b; Aulanier \& Dudík 2019; Dudík et al. 2019; Zemanová et al. 2019; Lörinčík et al. 2021). Contrary to that, the only direct observational evidence for $r r-r f$ reconnection to date was presented by Dudík et al. (2019), even though the process has likely been witnessed in $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ filament eruptions before (Kotrč et al. 1998). Here, we report on protracted occurrence of $r r-r f$ reconnection in the well-known filament eruption of 2012 August 31. Observations and image processing techniques are summarized in Section 2, while the filament eruption and the associated flare are described in Sections 3 and 4, respectively. Finally, interpretation is given in Section 5.

## 2. SDO/AIA Data and Image Processing

The Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA; Lemen et al. 2012) on board the Solar Dynamics Observatory images the Sun in 10 UV and EUV channels with a cadence as low as 12 s and spatial resolution of $1!5$. Here, we use the data obtained in the 131 and $304 \AA$ channels, although observations in other channels were reviewed as well. During flares, the 131 Å channel is dominated by bright Fe XXI emission, mostly in the form of flare loops, but also contains contributions from coronal Fe VIII emission (O'Dwyer et al. 2010; Del Zanna 2013). The $304 \AA$ channel is dominated by transitionregion He II Ly $\alpha$ emission, with minor contributions from Si XI and Ca XVIII (O’Dwyer et al. 2010).

To enhance weak features such as newly reconnected flare loops and filament legs, we employ several image processing methods. The original AIA data are enhanced using the noiseadaptive fuzzy equalization method (NAFE; Druckmüller 2013, see also Figure 2 therein). NAFE ${ }^{7}$ calculates a linear combination of a gamma-transformed image with a fuzzyequalized image, significantly enhancing local contrast of individual structures.

The weakest but dynamic structures at the limit of detection by AIA were also enhanced using the running difference (RD) method, where one image in a series is subtracted from a preceding one using a predefined temporal delay. We employ the 72 s delay for 304 A and 48 s delay for 131 A . The shorter delay for $131 \AA$ is necessitated by presence of fast-contracting flare loops and SADs (see Section 4). To suppress the otherwise dominant noise, three RD images are averaged in time and additionally smoothed by a $3 \times 3(1.18 \times 1.18)$ boxcar. The RD 131 and $304 \AA$ images shown in Figures $1-5$ are saturated to $\pm 10$ and $\pm 3 \mathrm{DN} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, respectively, to enhance weak evolving structures.

Finally, the off-limb 304 Å images were also enhanced using the radial filter of Masson et al. (2014). To keep the cadence and spatial resolution, we chose not to average or bin the individual input images. Instead, the radially filtered AIA images are smoothed by a $3 \times 3$ boxcar, which suppresses noise, but not structures. We note that the radial filtering briefly fails around 21:00 UT due to the $304 \AA$ Amages being saturated by another flare on the Sun.

[^1]
## 3. The 2012 August 31 Filament Eruption

The spectacular long-duration eruption of a quiescent filament of 2012 August 31 (SOL2012-08-31T19:45:00) is fairly well known (see the cover image of Priest 2014). It is one of the only two filament eruptions where the filament constituting the core of the CME (at $>5 R_{\odot}$ ) can be traced back to the Sun (Howard 2015b; Howard et al. 2017). The prominence mass was detected all the way out to 1 au (Wood et al. 2016), with some mass loss (Howard 2015b). The accompanying solar flare was studied by Lörinčík et al. (2019a) and Lörinčík et al. (2019b), who focused on slipping flare loops and fast kernels moving along flare ribbons (Lörinčík et al. 2019a) and the $a r-r f$ reconnection (Lörinčík et al. 2019b).

The overview of the eruption is shown in Figure 1. The accompanying long-duration flare began at roughly 19:40 UT and reached its peak about 1 hr later (panel (a)). Shortly after being initiated, the erupting filament shows brightenings along its inner edge (panels (b), (f)), with bright threads extending to the chromosphere along both filament legs. The filament legs in negative and positive polarities (see Figure 1(f), and the magnetogram in Figure 1(b) of Lörinčík et al. 2019a) are denoted as FLN and FLP, respectively.

As the filament erupts, the FLP fans out and then splits into two parts, FLP1 and FLP2. The FLP1 constitutes the bulk of the leg, with multiple twisted threads rooted in the extensive positive-polarity ribbon hook (see Figure 1(b); also Figures 1(b)-(c) of Lörinčík et al. 2019b). The FLP2 splits from FLP as early as 19:35 UT (see animation accompanying Figure 1) as the lower part of the leg untwists during the eruption. Interestingly, the FLP2 is relatively weak in coronal AIA channels, and nearly absent in 131 A (panel (f)), highlighting the importance of $304 \AA$ observations. Overall, both the filament legs are noticeably thicker in $304 \AA$, which shows much more filament material (compare panels (c) and (f)).

### 3.1. Reconnection of FLN and FLP1

As the eruption progresses, the filament legs FLN and FLP1 are stretched outwards, coming into contact for the first time at around 19:50 below the apex of the filament (Figure 1, panels (b) and (f) and the accompanying animation). Following that, the FLP1 bends and moves northwards, toward FLN (panels (c) and (g)). Some interaction between oppositely oriented FLN and FLP1 is seen again at 20:10 UT high above the limb, producing bright clumps (Figure 1, panels (c) and (g)) that move upwards with the eruption.

Later, at 20:26 UT, the bulk of FLP1 runs into FLN, tearing it apart (Figures 1(d) and (h) and 2). The torn FLN shows an arch-like opening above the FLP1, while the lower portions of the corresponding FLN threads disappear (Figures 2(b) and (f)). The arch-like opening subsequently stretches and moves outward with the eruption (panels (c) and (g)), leaving behind only a weak remnant of FLN. Meanwhile, the threads of FLP1 weaken in intensity and disperse (panels (d) and (h)). The existence of the arch-like opening above the locus of FLN and FLP1 interaction suggests that we are indeed observing leg-leg reconnection, and not just the two legs sliding past each other in projection.


Figure 1. The 2012 August 31 event. (a) GOES X-ray flux. (b)-(e) Filament eruption as observed in the NAFE-processed AIA $304 \AA$ A. (f) Flare loops below the filament seen in 131 A. Dominant polarities on both sides of flare are indicated. (g)-(i) Running difference 304 A observations. Evolving features discussed in the text are indicated. An animation of panels (b)-(e), (f), and (g)-(i) is available, spanning 19:30-22:00 UT. Its real-time duration is 42 s .
(An animation of this figure is available.)


Figure 2. Evolution of the filament at around 20:28 UT. Top row (a)-(d) shows the NAFE $304 \AA$ images, while the bottom row (panels (e)-(h)) show the 72 s running difference (RD) $304 \AA$ images. An animation is available, spanning 20:20-20:40 UT. Its real-time duration is 5 s.
(An animation of this figure is available.)


Figure 3. Evolution of the filament at around 21:00 UT. Top row (a)-(d) shows radially filtered AIA $304 \AA$ images, while the bottom row (panels (e)-(h)) show the 72 s running difference (RD) $304 \AA$ images. Panel (i) shows the time-distance plot along the cut shown in panels (a)-(d). An animation is available, spanning 20:50-22:00 UT. Its real-time duration is 14 s .
(An animation of this figure is available.)

### 3.2. Convergence and Interaction of FLN Remnant and FLP2 after 21:00 UT

The northward motion of FLP1 is followed later by northward motion of FLP2, whose emission is faint, but nevertheless visible
in both the RD $304 \AA$ images (Figure 1, panels (e) and (i)) and radially filtered images (Figure 3). The FLP2 is about $100^{\prime \prime}$ wide and composed of several threads. The leading and trailing threads are most readily visible (Figure 3, panels (e)-(f)). The remnant of


D spanning the time interval 19:50-22:00 UT. Its real-time duration is 32 s .
(An animation of this figure is available.)


Figure 5. Details of supra-arcade downflows. Top row (a)-(d): SADs, including double P-SAD1, around 20:30 UT. Bottom row (e)-(h): P-SAD2 after 21:00 UT. The P-SAD2 itself is the apex part of a very faint loop-like intensity depression (panel (g)).
the FLN remains visible and largely stationary until the northward-moving FLP2 converges toward it.

The interaction of FLN remnant and FLP2 starts at about 21:00 UT (after the peak of the flare) and happens above the
disk near the limb, at $[X, Y] \approx\left[-800^{\prime \prime},-400^{\prime \prime}\right]$, where the two legs approach (Figures 3(b) and (f), and the accompanying animation). The convergence lasts during next several tens of minutes, with the convergence point seemingly moving slowly
upwards. During this process, both legs gradually weaken and disappear (panels (e)-(h)). According to the time-distance plot (Figure 3(i)), the leading thread of FLP2 is observed to last until about 21:15 UT, while the weaker trailing thread becomes difficult to detect after about 21:35 UT even in radially filtered images. In RD 304 A images, its signal drops below $1 \mathrm{DN} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. After 21:45 UT, well into the flare gradual phase, no trace of the filament legs is present, and only the fading flare arcade remains (see the animation of Figure 1).

## 4. Flare Supra-arcade and Downflows

The filament eruption is accompanied by an M1.2-class flare (Figure 1(a)) according to the updated GOES -15 data. ${ }^{8}$ The evolution of the flare arcade in $131 \AA$ is shown in Figures 4(a)(d) and the accompanying animation.

### 4.1. The Supra-arcade Region

The flare arcade attains maximum brightness in $131 \AA$ around 20:40 UT (Figures 4(c), (f)). This includes significant brightening in the supra-arcade region. This brightening and extension of the supra-arcade region during 20:00-20:25 UT is well visible in the time-distance plots, especially in RD $131 \AA$ (white area in Figure 4(h)), which were constructed along a horizontal cut placed at $Y=-450^{\prime \prime}$ (Figures 4(e), (g)).

Figure 4 shows that the supra-arcade region appears to be in its entirety composed of closed flare loops (see also Figures 3(n)-(x) and Figure 6(a) of Lörinčík et al. (2019b) and also compare with Figure 1 of Shen et al. 2022). Figure 4(f) shows that the supra-arcade loops are shrinking, with typical velocities of about $15 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ along the cut.

## 4.2. $S A D s$

As soon as $20: 26$ UT, that is, immediately after the supraarcade region reaches its maximum extent, it gets permeated by dark, downflowing blobs (Figures $4(\mathrm{~g})-(\mathrm{h})$ and 5(b)-(d)). These are almost invisible in the NAFE $131 \AA$, but are apparent in the RD of the original data (Section 2). Their properties and dynamics (see below) mean that these are SADs (Savage \& McKenzie 2011; Warren et al. 2011). While the SADs occur throughout the supra-arcade region, several prominent ones (hereafter P-SADs) move along the horizontal cut at $Y=-450^{\prime \prime}$ shown in Figure $4(\mathrm{~g})$. The first one, P-SAD1 (Figure 4(h)), appears at around 20:28 UT as an intensity depression shaped as a loop top (Figures 5(b)-(d)). It is closely followed by another one, forming a double SAD (panel (c)). Another prominent SAD (P-SAD2) occurs after 21:00 UT, again resembling a loop apex at first (Figure 5(f)). A few minutes later, the P-SAD2 is clearly a top part of a dark looplike structure in the RD 131 £̊ image (Figure 5(g)). Note that some SADs were indeed observed to be loop-shaped before (Savage \& McKenzie 2011; Warren et al. 2011). Finally, a third prominent SAD (P-SAD3) occurs at about 21:35 UT. These P-SADs move at sub-Alfvénic velocities, which decrease over time (as noted in Warren et al. 2011). The P-SAD1 starts its retraction at $140 \pm 20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, while the P-SAD2 is faster at $300 \pm 40 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and later slows down to about $50 \pm 7 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The P-SAD3 is relatively slower, with an initial velocity of

[^2]about $95 \pm 10 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and subsequently slowing down (Figure 4(h)).

We note that no absorption feature, such as filament plasma, is seen in the P-SADs in any AIA EUV images. Comparison of the RD and NAFE time-distance plots shows that each SAD, and P-SADs in particular, are preceded by thin supra-arcade downflowing loops in the NAFE 131 A (white arrows in Figure 4(f)). In the RD images, these thin loops are obscured by the P-SADs due to the averaging employed (Section 2 ).

## 5. Interpretation

In all three cases, the prominent SADs 1-3 occur shortly after the interaction of the conjugate filament legs, whose magnetic fields are oppositely oriented (Section 3.2): The P-SAD1 follows the interaction of FLN with FLP1, the P-SAD2 occurs after interaction of FLN remnant with leading thread of FLP2, and the P-SAD3 corresponds in time to the disappearance of the trailing FLP2 thread, which also converged to an FLN remnant. These close temporal associations point to a causal relationship involving the $r r-r f$ reconnection, i.e., reconnection between flux rope legs, producing a new flux rope field line and a new flare loop (Karlickyý \& Kliem 2010; Kliem et al. 2010; Aulanier \& Dudík 2019).

Here, the $r r-r f$ reconnection occurs after the impulsive phase, and well into the peak and gradual phases of the flare. It lasts a long time, from formation of clumps at 20:10 UT to about 21:35 UT, and leads to a near-complete annihilation of the visible filament legs. The 3D extension to the standard flare model (where the leg-leg reconnection falls into the $r r-r f$ nomenclature; Aulanier \& Dudík 2019) does not cover the gradual phase of the flare, as it stops earlier due to numerical instability during the fast eruption. Therefore, our observations mean that the $r r-r f$ reconnection likely plays a substantially larger role in the evolution of solar eruptions and CMEs than the model of Aulanier \& Dudík (2019) revealed. In other models though, the leg-leg reconnection (Karlickyý \& Kliem 2010; Kliem et al. 2010), plays a more prominent role. In our observations, the $r r-r f$ reconnection occurs during the late stage of the shrinkage of the respective negative ribbon hook (denoted NRH and studied by Lörinčík et al. 2019b, see Figures 2(f)-(l) therein). Some of the observed $r \rightarrow f$ conversions of filament threads to flare loops reported there (footpoint F5 in Lörinčík et al. 2019b) appear to be in fact due to $r r-r f$, not $a r-r f$ reconnections. Finally, the occurrence of $r r-r f$ reconnection in shrinking hooks after the flare peak and the disappearance of the filament legs reaching the Sun are both consistent with the model of Jiang et al. (2021). However, quantifying the role of $a r-r f$ and $r r-r f$ reconnections in shrinking hooks remains a subject for future study.

We attempted to find supporting X-ray and radio evidence for reconnection during filament leg interactions after 20:26 and 21:00 UT, but we did not succeed. The available radio emission in the MHz to GHz ranges is weak. This is perhaps not surprising, given that the reconnecting structures in AIA can be weak, indeed at the limit of detection. The hard X-ray emission observed by RHESSI (Lin et al. 2002) or Fermi (Meegan et al. 2009) shows peaks, but cannot be disambiguated from other events occurring in the neighboring active regions 11560 and 11563.

Do our observations mean that the $r r-r f$ reconnection is always responsible for prominent SADs? Theoretically, during
the gradual phase, magnetic fields of both the flux rope and the surrounding corona become highly stretched in the radial direction. Shen et al. (2022) showed that outflows from the current sheet leads to SADs, but their model did not distinguish between $a a-r f$ and $r r-r f$ reconnection geometries. Nevertheless, stronger magnetic fields in the erupting flux rope compared to the surrounding corona (see Figure 11 in Barczynski et al. 2019) should lead to stronger magnetic tension in postreconnection flare loops originating in the $r r-r f$ reconnection, and thus to their faster contraction through the supra-arcade and prominent SADs.

Finally, we reviewed the AIA observations of 15 other SAD events (C- to X-class; see Warren et al. 2011; Savage et al. 2012a, 2012b; Hanneman \& Reeves 2014; Innes et al. 2014; Chen et al. 2017; Reeves et al. 2017; Xue et al. 2020; Li et al. 2021b), and found that about two thirds are not associated with filament eruptions. For example, the well-studied event of 2011 October 22 (see, e.g., Savage et al. 2012b; Hanneman \& Reeves 2014; Reeves et al. 2017; Xue et al. 2020; Li et al. 2021b) or the 2011 May 9 one (Warren et al. 2011; Lörinčík et al. 2021) are eruptions of hot flux ropes. Even though prominent SADs do occur there, they happen long after the hot flux rope has erupted. Direct imaging the rr-rf (leg-leg) reconnection in such events will require novel instruments for observing the far off-limb corona.

To summarize, our observations strongly suggest that the $r r-$ $r f$ (leg-leg) reconnection can be persistent throughout the peak and gradual phases of a flare and causes strong changes in evolution of the erupting filament, and presumably the CME. In the low corona, it also leads to occurrence of prominent supraarcade downflows (P-SADs).
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