

Associations between combined urban and lifestyle factors and respiratory health in European children

Alicia Guillien, Rémy Slama, Sandra Andrusaityte, Maribel Casas, Leda Chatzi, Montserrat de Castro, Blandine de Lauzon-Guillain, Berit Granum, Regina Grazuleviciene, Jordi Julvez, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Alicia Guillien, Rémy Slama, Sandra Andrusaityte, Maribel Casas, Leda Chatzi, et al.. Associations between combined urban and lifestyle factors and respiratory health in European children. Environmental Research, 2024, 242, pp.117774. 10.1016/j.envres.2023.117774. hal-04326473

HAL Id: hal-04326473 https://hal.science/hal-04326473

Submitted on 6 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Associations between combined urban and lifestyle factors and respiratory health in European children

Alicia Guillien¹, Rémy Slama¹, Sandra Andrusaityte², Maribel Casas^{3,4,5}, Leda Chatzi⁶, Montserrat de Castro^{3,4,5}, Blandine de Lauzon-Guillain⁷, Berit Granum⁸, Regina Grazuleviciene², Jordi Julvez^{3,4,5,9}, Norun Krog⁸, Johanna Lepeule¹, Léa Maitre^{3,4,5}, Rosemary McEachan¹⁰, Mark Nieuwenhuijsen^{3,4,5}, Bente Oftedal⁸, Jose Urquiza^{3,4,5}, Marina Vafeiadi¹¹, John Wright¹⁰, Martine Vrijheid^{3,4,5}, Xavier Basagaña^{*3,4,5}, Valérie Siroux^{*1}

¹University of Grenoble Alpes, French National Institute of Health and Medical Research, French National Center for Scientific Research, Team of Environmental Epidemiology Applied to Reproduction and Respiratory Health, Institute for Advanced Biosciences, Grenoble, France

²Department of Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Vytautas Magnus University, 53361 Academia, Lithuania

³Barcelona Institute for Global Health (ISGlobal), Barcelona, Spain

⁴Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF), Barcelona, Spain

⁵CIBER Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Spain

⁶Department of Preventive Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA

⁷Université Paris Cité and Université Sorbonne Paris Nord, Inserm, INRAE, Center for Research in Epidemiology and StatisticS (CRESS), Paris, France

⁸Division of Climate and Environmental Health, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway

⁹Clinical and Epidemiological Neuroscience Group (NeuroÈpia), Institut d'Investigatió Sanitària Pere Virgili (IISPV), Reus, Spain

¹⁰Bradford Institute for Health Research, Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Bradford, UK

¹¹Department of Social Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Crete, Heraklion, Crete, Greece

*These authors contributed equally.

Correspondence:

Alicia Guillien Institut pour l'Avancée des Biosciences Équipe d'épidémiologie environnementale appliquée au développement et à la santé respiratoire Centre de Recherche UGA / Inserm U 1209 / CNRS UMR 5309 Site Santé – Allée des Alpes 38700 La Tronche Tel: +33 4 76 54 94 69 Email: <u>alicia.guillien@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr</u>

Sample CRediT author statement

Alicia Guillien, Xavier Basagaña and Valérie Siroux: Conceptualization, Methodology, Resources, Software, Writing – Original Draft. Rémy Slama, Sandra Andrusaityte, Maribel Casas, Leda Chatzi, Montserrat de Castro, Blandine de Lauzon-Guillain, Berit Granum, Regina Grazuleviciene, Jordi Julvez, Norun Krog, Johanna Lepeule, Léa Maitre, Rosemary McEachan, Mark Nieuwenhuijsen, Bente Oftedal, Jose Urquiza, Marina Vafeiadi, John Wright and Martine Vrijheid: Resources, Writing – Review & Editing.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Abstract

Introduction: Previous studies identified some environmental and lifestyle factors independently associated with children respiratory health, but few focused on exposure mixture effects. This study aimed at identifying, in pregnancy and in childhood, combined urban and lifestyle environment profiles associated with respiratory health in children.

Methods: This study is based on the European Human Early-Life Exposome (HELIX) project, combining six birth cohorts. Associations between profiles of pregnancy (38 exposures) and childhood (84 exposures) urban and lifestyle factors, identified by clustering analysis, and respiratory health were estimated by regression models adjusted for confounders.

Results: Among the 1,033 included children (mean \pm standard-deviation (SD) age: 8.2 \pm 1.6 years old, 47% girls) the mean \pm SD forced expiratory volume in 1s (FEV₁) and forced vital capacity (FVC) were 99 \pm 13% and 101 \pm 14%, respectively, and 12%, 12% and 24% reported everasthma, wheezing and rhinitis, respectively. Four profiles of pregnancy exposures and four profiles of childhood exposures were identified. Compared to the reference childhood exposure profile (low exposures), two exposure profiles were associated with lower levels of FEV₁. One profile was characterized by few natural spaces in the surroundings and high exposure to the built environment and road traffic. The second profile was characterized by high exposure to all other exposures and was also associated with an increased risk of ever-asthma and wheezing. A pregnancy exposure profile characterized by high exposure levels to all risk factors, but a healthy maternal lifestyle, was associated with a lower risk of wheezing and rhinitis in children, compared to the reference pregnancy profile (low exposures).

Conclusion: This comprehensive approach revealed pregnancy and childhood profiles of urban and lifestyle exposures associated with lung function and/or respiratory conditions in children.

Our findings highlight the need to pursue the study of combined exposures to improve prevention strategies for multifactorial diseases such as asthma.

Keywords: environment; exposome; respiratory health; asthma; children

1 1. Introduction

A growing body of evidence suggests that prenatal and childhood windows of exposure are a cornerstone in the development of chronic diseases over the life course (Mastorci et al., 2021). Lungs develop until early adulthood, but important structural developments take place in earlylife, including fetal life. During this vulnerable period for the development of organs, harmful factors may influence life-long risks for impaired lung function and respiratory diseases, including asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (Bui et al., 2018; Sanna et al., 2022).

9 The prevalence and incidence of respiratory and allergy-related diseases widely increased in 10 the second half of the last century. As an example, the prevalence of asthma has doubled (or 11 even tripled) in developed countries in less than 50 years (Eder et al., 2006). While genetic 12 predispositions are known to be involved in allergic diseases, this huge increase in a relatively 13 short period of time points out the role of environmental and lifestyle factors, in particular those 14 that have changed in this period. In recent decades, a large number of studies have demonstrated associations between some environmental factors and respiratory diseases, such as exposure to 15 16 tobacco (Burke et al., 2012; Sunde et al., 2022), air pollution (Lu et al., 2023; W. Zhang et al., 17 2022; Y. Zhang et al., 2022) and aeroallergens (house-dust mites, molds, ...) (Wright and 18 Phipatanakul, 2014). Other factors such as climate factors (Guilbert et al., 2023; Li et al., 2014), 19 greenness (Cavaleiro Rufo et al., 2021) and diet (Garcia-Marcos et al., 2013) are suspected to 20 be involved in the development of respiratory diseases and need to be further investigated. Most 21 of the studies conducted to date considered a limited number of exposures, while these 22 exposures are potentially correlated and could have combined effects on health (Robinson et 23 al., 2018; Tamayo-Uria et al., 2019).

The concept of exposome, defined as all environmental exposures from conception onwards
(Wild, 2005) emphasizes the need of more holistic methods to characterize environmental

exposures to improve our understanding of the etiology of complex multifactorial diseases. In 26 particular, because people are continuously exposed to a wide range of environmental factors, 27 28 considering simultaneously a large set of exposures in exposure-health association studies is 29 warranted to better reflect real-life exposure patterns. Among existing statistical methods able 30 to simultaneously account for multiple exposures, clustering analyses that identify subgroups 31 of individuals sharing the same exposure profiles have previously been applied to identify 32 environmental and behavioral factors associated with asthma in adults (Guillien et al., 2022) 33 and with blood pressure in children (Warembourg et al., 2019). To the best of our knowledge, 34 no study applied clustering analysis to specifically identify early-life urban and lifestyle 35 environmental profiles associated with child respiratory health. This method could shed more 36 light on respiratory risk factors and may help decision makers to identify potential interventions 37 that jointly address the impact of several exposures on child health.

The aim of the present study was to identify profiles of pregnancy and childhood urban and lifestyle factors and to further assess their association with respiratory conditions (asthma, wheezing, rhinitis) and lung function parameters (forced expiratory volume in 1s (FEV₁) and forced vital capacity (FVC)) in a population of European children.

42

43 **2. Methods**

44 2.1. Study population

This study is based on the European Human Early-Life Exposome (HELIX) project, which is a collaborative project combining six existing longitudinal birth cohorts: BiB (Born in Bradford) in United Kingdom, EDEN (Etude des Déterminants pré et postnatals du développement et de la santé de l'Enfant) in France, INMA (Infancia y Medio Ambiente) in Spain, KANC (Kaunas Cohort) in Lithuania, MoBa (Norwegian Mother, Father and Child Cohort Study) in Norway and RHEA (Mother-Child Cohort in Crete) in Greece. Briefly, children born in 2007-08 for 51 BiB and RHEA, 2003-05 for EDEN, 2005-07 for INMA and MoBa and 2007-09 for KANC 52 were recruited and follow-ups are still ongoing. Overall, the six cohorts recruited a total of 53 31,472 mother-child pairs during pregnancy. In the frame of the Helix project, a new follow-up 54 was organized in 2013-2016 (children were between 6 and 12 years of age), based on a 55 standardized protocol across the 6 cohorts. During this follow-up, 1,301 mother-child pairs 56 were selected to be fully characterized for a broad suite of environmental exposures and to be 57 clinically examined. This subcohort was composed of about 200 children from each of the 6 58 cohorts, randomly selected from individuals of the entire cohort who met the eligibility criteria, 59 which were described in a previous study (Maitre et al., 2018). From this subcohort, only children with acceptable and reproducible spirometry test were selected (n=1,033). Informed 60 61 consent was obtained from all participants or their legal referee.

62 2.2. Respiratory outcomes

During the follow-up (i.e. 6-12 years of the children), all included children performed a spirometry test (EasyOne spirometer; NDD [New Diagnostic Design], Zurich, Switzerland) according to a common standardized protocol across the six cohorts to assess FEV₁ and FVC. Data quality control was applied to retain only the curves with valid criteria, as described in a previous study (Agier et al., 2019). Finally, we used the Global Lung Initiative 2012 reference equations to compute the FEV₁ and FVC in percent of the predicted value (ie, standardized for child age, height, gender and ethnicity) (Quanjer et al., 2012).

Regarding respiratory conditions of children, occurrence of asthma, wheezing and rhinitis were assessed through questionnaires adapted from the International Study on Asthma and Allergy in Childhood (ISAAC) and Mechanisms of the Development of ALLergy projects (MeDALL) (Bousquet et al., 2011) projects during the interview with the mother at the follow-up examination, the same day as the spirometry test. Ever asthma was defined by a positive answer to "Has your child ever been diagnosed by a doctor as having asthma?"; wheezing in the last 12 months was defined by a positive answer to: "Has your child had wheezing or whistling in the chest in the last year?"; and rhinitis in the last 12 months was defined by a positive answer to: "In the last year, has your child had problems with sneezing, or a runny, or blocked nose when he/she did not have a cold or the flu?".

80 2.3. Characterization of the exposome

81 A wide range of exposure variables were collected in the frame of the HELIX project. For this 82 study, we focused on urban environmental and lifestyle factors assessed twice, first during the 83 pregnancy and secondly in childhood (from the Helix study visit). Briefly, environmental 84 variables were assessed with geographic information system-based models at residential 85 address (during pregnancy and during childhood) and school address (only for childhood 86 exposures) of the children. Pregnancy exposures were average on the entire pregnancy period 87 and childhood exposure were collected during the study visit (children were 6-12 years old, 88 depending of the cohort). Environmental variables covered atmospheric pollutants (nitrogen 89 dioxide (NO₂), particulate matter of less than 2.5 μ m in aerodynamical diameter (PM_{2.5}), 90 particulate matter of less than 10 µm in aerodynamical diameter (PM₁₀), absorbance of PM_{2.5} 91 (PM_{abs}) filters; 16 variables), built environment (building density, street connectivity, 92 accessibility, facilities, land use evenness index, walkability index, population density; 26 93 variables), indoor air (NO₂, PM_{2.5}, PM_{abs}, benzene and TEX (toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene); 5 94 variables), meteorological variables (mean air temperature, humidity, atmospheric pressure; 9 95 variables), ultraviolet (UV) (vitamin-D UV levels; 3 variables), road traffic (traffic load, traffic 96 density and distance to nearest road; 8 variables), road traffic noise (night-time and 24h road 97 noise levels; 5 variables), surrounding natural space (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index 98 (NDVI), major green space and major blue space; 9 variables) and water disinfection by-99 product (DBPs) (Trialometanes, Chloroform and Bromodichloromethane; 3 variables). Lifestyle (alcohol, diet, physical activity, sleep and pets; 38 variables) were collected through 100

standardized and validated questionnaires. In total, 122 exposures were assessed, 38 during the pregnancy period and 84 during the childhood period, as presented in Table 1. The detailed assessment methods, correlations between exposures and descriptive statistics of all exposures are described in previous studies (Maitre et al., 2018; Robinson et al., 2018; Tamayo-Uria et al., 2019) and in Appendices.

106 2.4. Covariates

107 Information about children and mothers' sociodemographic characteristics as well as pregnancy 108 parameters were collected through questionnaires based and mother's interview. Covariates 109 included center of recruitment, child's sex, age and height, ethnicity defined by both parents 110 native to the cohort country (yes, no), breastfeeding duration, season of conception, presence 111 of older siblings (none, one, two or more), parental education level (primary school, secondary 112 school, university degree or higher), maternal age, maternal pre-pregnancy body mass index 113 (BMI), postnatal passive smoking status and prenatal maternal active and passive smoking 114 status, as identified in a previous study based on exposome-respiratory health associations in 115 the Helix population (Agier et al., 2019). Additionally, socioeconomic factors (family affluence 116 score, family contact, participation in organizations and house crowding) and season of 117 examination were used as covariates in the present study.

118 2.5. Statistical analyses

All continuous variables were transformed to approach normality, or categorized when it was not possible. Exposure variables and covariates were imputed using the method of chained equations and 20 complete datasets were computed (White et al., 2011). All continuous exposure variables were centered and standardized by cohort to overcome the different distribution between the cohorts.

124 The following analyses were performed independently on pregnancy exposures and childhood 125 exposures. First, the kproto method, an extension of the kmeans for mixed (including both

126 continuous and categorical) data, was fitted to identify profiles of pregnancy and childhood 127 exposures (Huang, 1998). Then, we used a previously defined framework to combine multiple 128 imputation with cluster analysis (Basagaña et al., 2013). The framework implemented in the 129 miclust R package for the kmeans algorithm was modified to use kproto (Huang, 1998) and is 130 detailed in the Appendices. This algorithm also included a variable selection step to reduce the 131 dimension of the exposure dataset. The profiles identified from pregnancy and childhood 132 exposures were named "profile Px" and "profile Cx", respectively. The description of each 133 identified profile was presented through barplots where each bar relates to one exposure factor 134 and represents the difference between the exposure mean level in the corresponding profile with 135 the exposure mean level in the whole population for continuous exposure. Same methodology 136 was used for categorical exposures, using the prevalence instead of the mean. Some exposures 137 (walkability index, index of greenness, presence of blue space and green space, intakes of fish, 138 fruits, legumes, vegetables, folic acid, physical activity, presence of pets, sleep duration and 139 social factors) have been inverted so that a bar going to the left is *a priori* expected to be 140 associated with a protective effect on respiratory health, and a bar going to the right is a priori 141 expected to be associated with deleterious effect on respiratory health.

Secondly, for each health outcome, regression-based models (linear for FEV₁ and FVC, logistic for presence of asthma, wheezing and rhinitis) were fitted to assess the association between the mutually-adjusted exposure profiles and the outcome variables. The reference profile was chosen as the one having the lowest prevalence of *a priori* deleterious exposures. All of these models were adjusted for the covariates defined above. All analyses were performed using the R software (version 3.4) and *mice* package for multiple imputation and *clustMixType* for clustering analyses.

149

150 **3. Results**

151 *3.1. Description of the population*

152 Among the 1,301 children examined in the frame of the Helix project, 1,033 had valid 153 spirometry values and were selected for this analysis. Briefly, 53% of children were boys and 154 the mean age \pm standard deviation (SD) of children was 8.1 \pm 1.6 years old when respiratory 155 outcomes were collected (Table 2). The distribution of children per cohort was overall 156 homogenic with about 15% for most of the cohort and slightly more for INMA (18%) and 157 MOBA (23%). The mean maternal age was 30.9 ± 4.8 years and 15% of mothers were active 158 smokers during pregnancy. The characterization of the exposome was detailed in a previous 159 study (Agier et al., 2019) and in Appendices.

160 Regarding respiratory parameters, children had a mean \pm SD of FEV₁% at 99 \pm 13% (range: 161 61-139) and a mean \pm SD FVC% of 101 \pm 14% (range: 60-147). Finally, 123 (12%) children 162 had ever asthma, 124 (12%) reported wheezing in the last 12 months and 246 (24%) reported 163 rhinitis in the last 12 months.

164 3.2. Identification of pregnancy and childhood exposure profiles

165 Four exposure profiles were identified from the 38 pregnancy exposures, each including from 166 197 (19%) to 335 (32%) children, and each including children from all cohorts (Table A.1). 167 The distribution of pregnancy exposures in each profile is presented in Figure 1 and Table A.2. 168 Briefly, profile P1 (reference profile) was mainly characterized by children with low levels of 169 exposure to all risk factors except for water DBPs and high temperature during the prenatal 170 period. The profile P2 was mainly characterized by children with high levels of exposure to all 171 risk factors, but an overall healthy lifestyle (high practice of physical activity and high intake 172 of fruit, vegetables and fish) of their mothers during pregnancy. Children belonging to profile 173 P3 had overall low levels of exposure to all risk factors but moderate exposure to unhealthy 174 lifestyle habits during the prenatal period. Finally, the profile P4 was mainly characterized by 175 children with highest exposure to almost all exposures during the prenatal period.

176 Regarding childhood exposures, four exposure profiles were identified from the 84 exposures, 177 each including 191 (18%) to 322 (31%) children, and each including children from all cohorts 178 (Table A.1). The distribution of childhood exposures in each profile is presented in Figure 2 179 and Table A.3. The profile C1 (reference profile) was mainly characterized by children with 180 lower mean exposure levels to all risk factors during childhood as compared to children from 181 other profiles except for humidity. The profile C2 was also characterized by children with 182 globally low exposure to all exposures during childhood except high exposure to high UV dose 183 and high temperatures. Children belonging to profile C3 were characterized by the most adverse 184 levels of exposure to air pollutants (both indoor and outdoor), unhealthy lifestyle habits and low 185 exposure to high UV doses and high temperatures during childhood. Finally, children from 186 profile C4 had the most deleterious exposure to natural spaces (e.g. lack of green and blue 187 spaces in their surroundings) and highest exposure to the built environment (e.g. high 188 population density and high building density) and road traffic during childhood.

189 The median [interquartile] probability of attribution of individuals to the same profile in all 190 imputed dataset (n=20) was 80% [65-69] for the pregnancy exposures and 100% [100-100] for 191 the childhood exposures, illustrating the low uncertainty introduced by missing data imputation. 192 There was an association between pregnancy and childhood exposure profiles (Figure 3; Chi-193 squared test, p < 0.001). Briefly, as compared to children belonging to childhood exposure 194 profile P2 and P4, children belonging to pregnancy exposure profile P1 and P3 were less often 195 assigned to childhood exposure profile C4, but more often assigned to childhood exposure 196 profile C1 and C2.

197 *3.3. Association between exposure profiles and respiratory health*

198 All profile-outcomes association studies were presented in Tables 3 and 4 for the lung function

and respiratory conditions, respectively.

200 No association was found between pregnancy profiles and children lung function. One 201 childhood profile, the profile C4, characterized by children with the most deleterious exposure 202 to natural spaces (e.g. poor number of green and blue spaces in their surrounding) and highest 203 exposure to the built environment and road traffic presented lower levels of FEV_1 (beta [95%CI] 204 = -2.55 [-5.04:-0.07], p=0.04), compared to the reference (low exposure) profile. Moreover, 205 children in the childhood profile C2, characterized by globally low exposure to all exposures 206 except high exposure to high UV dose and high temperatures also tended to have lower level 207 of FEV₁ (beta [95% CI] = -2.34 [-5.06;0.38], p=0.09).

208 Regarding respiratory conditions, this last profile, the profile C2, was also associated with 209 increased risk of ever-asthma for children (OR [95%CI] = 2.93 [1.36;6.31], p=0.01). Profile P2, 210 characterized by high levels of exposure to all risk factors, but an overall healthy lifestyle of 211 the mothers (high practice of physical activity and high intakes of fruits, vegetables and fish), 212 during pregnancy, was associated with a lower risk of wheezing and rhinitis in the last 12 213 months (OR [95%CI] = 0.38 [0.18;0.77], p=0.01 and 0.64 [0.39;1.05], p=0.08, respectively), 214 compared with children from the reference profile. Finally, a non-significant trend for an 215 association was observed between profile C3, characterized by children with the most adverse 216 levels of exposure to air pollutants (both indoor and outdoor), unhealthy lifestyle habits and low 217 exposure to high UV doses and high temperatures, and a lower risk of rhinitis (OR [95% CI] =218 0.65 [0.39;1.07], p=0.09).

219 Similar patterns of association between exposure profiles and respiratory health were observed 220 when pregnancy and childhood exposure profiles were considered independently in the 221 regression models (see additional Tables A.4 and A.5).

Finally, similar patterns of associations were found when analyses were stratified by child sex(see additional Tables A.6 and A.7).

225 **4. Discussion**

226 The present study is the first to comprehensively investigate effects of early-life (prenatal and 227 childhood) urban and lifestyle exposure through exposure profiles on children respiratory 228 health. Results showed that one childhood profile, mainly characterized by low number of 229 natural spaces in the surrounding and high exposure to the built environment and road traffic, 230 was associated with lower levels of FEV_1 in children. A second childhood exposure profile, 231 globally characterized by low exposure to all exposures except high exposure to high UV dose 232 and high temperatures, was associated with higher risk of ever-asthma and lower level of FEV₁ 233 in children. Finally, one pregnancy profile, mainly characterized by high levels of exposure to 234 all risk factors, but a healthy maternal lifestyle, and one childhood profile, characterized by 235 high exposure to air pollutants, unhealthy lifestyle and lowest exposure to high temperatures 236 and UV doses, was associated with decreased risk of rhinitis in children.

237 4.1. The benefit of combining exposures through exposure profiles

238 Two previous studies, also based on the Helix population, assessed the associations between 239 pregnancy and childhood exposures and rhinitis (Granum et al., 2020) and FEV1 (Agier et al., 240 2019) by considering independent association of each exposure. The Exposome Wide 241 Association Studies (ExWAS) identified few urban and lifestyle exposures, and none of the 242 association resisted to correction of the p-value for multiple testing. Using variable selection 243 algorithm, the previous Helix study on rhinitis selected two pregnancy urban exposures, PM_{abs} 244 and inverse distance to nearest road, which were respectively associated with an unexpected 245 decreased risk (OR [95%CI] = 0.5 [0.3;0.9]) and increased risk (OR [95%CI] = 1.3 [1.1;1.6]) 246 of rhinitis. In this study, we go a step further than individual associations by considering the 247 joint effect of exposures on children respiratory health. For that, we identified profiles of 248 exposure able to simultaneously account for multiple exposures, which a priori better reflect 249 human exposures in their daily life. In environmental epidemiology, a few studies have used 250 clustering method to assess the association between urban exposome and health outcomes 251 (Guillien et al., 2022; Warembourg et al., 2019; Guillien et al., 2020; de Bont et al., 2021). In 252 all these studies, the authors identified some exposure profiles associated with the health 253 outcome while single-exposure association studies reported only a few or sometimes no 254 association when correcting p-value for the multiple testing hypothesis. This observation 255 supports that clustering analysis, where only k-1 tests (where k is the number of clusters) are 256 performed for each health outcome, is a way to overcome the statistical power issue raised in 257 ExWAS analysis. Moreover, by considering all exposures simultaneously through profiles of 258 exposure, the clustering analysis is able to account for complex synergistic effects between 259 exposures, that cannot be assessed though regression based-model, especially when considering 260 multiple exposome domains such as urban environment and lifestyle.

261 *4.3 The choice of the clustering method*

262 There is an increasingly rich literature on clustering methods, divided in two categories. The 263 determinist clustering, which includes the kproto method used here, assign each individual to a unique cluster while probabilistic approaches assign a probability to belong to each cluster for 264 265 each individual. These approaches also differ in terms of assumptions. Determinist clustering 266 relies on a geometric approach where all individuals are assigned to the nearest cluster center, 267 according to a defined metric, and where the cluster centers are firstly randomly assigned and 268 then recalculated for each new assignment. Probabilistic methods assume that the distribution 269 of the overall population hides several distributions corresponding to subpopulations and aim 270 to estimate the parameters of these distributions, most often considered as Gaussian. In this 271 study, we chose to apply the kproto because most of model-based methods rely on supervised 272 methods, meaning that both the exposures and the outcome are considered to identify clusters, 273 which would mean identifying different exposure profiles for each of the 5 health outcomes 274 considered here. Moreover, we were not able to identify any studies comparing deterministic to probabilistic clustering methods, thus it remains unclear which method outperforms the other.
We acknowledge that other methods can provide different partitions, which can also be
informative on the health outcomes, and we do not claim having found the best one.

278 4.4. Interpretation of results

279 One pregnancy profile, characterized by high levels of exposure to all risk factors, especially 280 air pollutants and road traffic, but an overall healthy lifestyle (high practice of physical activity 281 of mothers during pregnancy and high maternal intakes of fruits, vegetables and fish), was 282 associated with a lower risk of wheezing and rhinitis compared with children from the reference 283 profile. Although direct comparisons with previous single-exposure association studies are not 284 possible, these results seem partly contradictory with the large literature supporting increased 285 risk of respiratory diseases and asthma-related symptoms with exposure to air pollutants 286 (Bowatte et al., 2015), especially among children living in areas with high traffic-related air 287 pollution (Delfino et al., 2014). This unexpected result could be driven by the fact that this 288 profile is also characterized by the largest proportion of mothers with healthy diet during 289 pregnancy. The literature about maternal diet and respiratory health in children is still scarce 290 (Donovan et al., 2020), but there are growing evidences to indicate associations. A previous 291 study based on 460 children-mothers pairs in a Mediterranean island in Spain, Menorca, 292 reported a protective effect of healthy diet on asthma-related symptoms on children at age 6.5 293 years (Chatzi et al., 2008). For example, children with mother having high maternal adherence 294 to a Mediterranean diet (vs. low adherence) during pregnancy had less persistent wheeze at 6.5 295 years (OR [95% CI] = 0.23 [0.09-0.60]). Indeed, diet composed of antioxidants and anti-296 inflammatory nutrients may help reducing the impact of air pollution on health, that is mediated 297 by oxidative stress (Péter et al., 2015; Saenen et al., 2019).

Regarding childhood exposures, one profile (C4), characterized by the most deleteriousexposure to natural spaces (e.g. low number of green and blue spaces in their surrounding) and

300 highest exposure to the built environment and road traffic, was associated with lower FEV₁ 301 level which demonstrates the deleterious impact of combined risk factors. Even if not 302 statistically significant, the childhood profile C2, characterized by globally low exposure to all 303 exposure families but high exposure to high UV dose and high temperatures, also had lower 304 level of FEV₁. Children from this profile also had increased risk of ever asthma and wheezing, 305 providing additional support for a deleterious impact of this exposure profile on respiratory 306 health. These results suggest a short and mid-term effects of meteorological factors (assessed 307 during the day, the week and the month prior to clinical examination in this study) on respiratory 308 health, that might be easier to evidence in the absence of other risk factors such as air pollution. 309 Finally, the profile C3, characterized by the most adverse levels of exposure to air pollutants 310 (both indoor and outdoor), unhealthy lifestyle habits and low exposure to high UV doses and 311 to high temperatures shows a trend for a decreased risk of rhinitis in children. These results, 312 which seems controversial regarding air pollutants and lifestyle, support the potential existing 313 interactions between exposures and the need to use more comprehensive and holistic methods 314 that consider all exposures as a whole to better understand exposome-health associations. Here, 315 this association may be driven by the low exposure to high temperature and UV doses. Even if 316 the impact of these meteorological factors on respiratory health in children is not clearly 317 established, there are growing evidence for a beneficial effect of moderate exposure to UV on 318 asthma (Cherrie et al., 2021; Hart et al., 2011) and for deleterious respiratory health effects 319 associated with increased ambient temperature, including lower lung function (Li et al., 2014), 320 higher exacerbation rate in children with asthma (Schinasi et al., 2022) and rhinitis (Norbäck et 321 al., 2018).

322 *4.5. Strengths and weaknesses*

Our study has several strengths. First, the multi-center design of this study encompassing 6
 European countries, led to a study population covering a wide range of outdoor exposures and

325 lifestyle habits, which is a strength in terms of the generalizability of the results. Secondly, this 326 study covers a wide range of exposures at several time points. Here, we considered pregnancy 327 and childhood which are critical windows of exposure where lungs are particularly vulnerable 328 with long lasting consequences of noxious exposures (Barouki et al., 2012; Bousquet et al., 329 2015). By analyzing five respiratory outcomes, including two objective lung function 330 parameters (FEV₁ and FVC) and three prevalent respiratory conditions (ever asthma, wheezing 331 and rhinitis), the consistency of associations across the different respiratory health outcomes 332 was used in results interpretation. Finally, as previously discussed, the methodology performed 333 in this study overcame many of the issues associated with exposome studies, especially the 334 unstraightforward issue of multiple comparisons, while providing results on exposures that are 335 more representative of real life.

336 Nevertheless, this study also suffers from some limitations. First, the number of participants 337 remains limited (n=1,033) and could imply a lack of statistical power. Then, we acknowledge 338 that some measurement errors, possibly different from one cohort to another, is unavoidable in 339 environmental epidemiology studies. The methodological complexity related to the 340 consideration of multiple centers and the potential confounding by center when it comes to 341 assessing associations with health outcomes has already been addressed (Basagaña et al., 2018). 342 Here, we standardized all continuous variables within cohort to limit this issue. In that way, we 343 removed the between-center differences and focused on the variation of the levels of exposure 344 within each cohort. Moreover, although the spirometry tests were performed by trained 345 technicians, following the same standardized protocols defined from current guidelines 346 (Quanjer et al., 2012), and an extensive quality control has been applied (Agier et al., 2019), 347 some measurement errors in FEV1 and FVC could have arose as spirometry, which is a forced 348 expiratory test, is often difficult to perform in children. Then, as demonstrated in a previous 349 study (Maitre et al., 2018), the excluded participants of this study presented some differences 350 from the included participants which could affect the representativeness of the studied 351 population, but should not affect the association between the identified exposure profiles and 352 respiratory outcomes. Furthermore, avoidance of exposure in the presence of child specific 353 disorder can't be totally excluded and could lead to a reverse causality phenomenon. Here, this 354 potential selection bias is limited because the study is based on population-based cohorts and 355 not on a population of moderate-to-severe patients with asthma where avoidance of 356 environmental triggers is more often implemented. Then, we simultaneously considered a wide 357 range of exposures while avoidance would be specific to a single exposure or family of 358 exposure. In addition, despite a large set of urban exposures collected in this study, some 359 relevant exposures are missing. For example, indoor exposures and aeroallergens such as 360 pollens were not available while it would be of interest in the context of allergy-related 361 outcomes (De Roos et al., 2020; Lu et al., 2022; Mattila et al., 2021). The chemical exposome 362 was not considered in this outdoor-lifestyle exposome study, but because chemical exposures 363 are not related to most of the outdoor exposome (Tamayo-Uria et al., 2019) this should not 364 impact the association estimates of the outdoor exposome profile. Also, genetic factors, that are 365 involved in asthma and allergic diseases susceptibility (Stikker et al., 2023), were not 366 considered in our study. Indeed, we aimed at identifying environmental and lifestyle factors 367 that might be responsible of the huge increase of asthma and allergic diseases prevalence 368 observed since the second half of the last century. Once environmental and lifestyle factors 369 associated with respiratory health will be well identified, further studies investigating 370 gene*environment interactions might be of great interest. An additional limitation is related to 371 the challenge of interpreting associations with exposure profiles accounting for many exposures 372 and to compare these associations with results of single-exposure association studies where 373 potential confounding by co-exposures and synergistic effects are not considered. Finally, 374 analyses regarding childhood exposure-child health associations presented here are cross375 sectional. This issue mainly impacts the associations studied with ever-asthma when the 376 timeline between exposure in childhood and child health outcomes can't be defined. Further 377 projects considering multiple timepoints for exposure and respiratory health are needed to yield 378 more insights into the presumed causal relationships.

379

380 **5. Conclusions**

381 This study is among the firsts to use a holistic method that simultaneously considers a large 382 range of environmental factors through pregnancy and childhood exposure profiles, to further 383 investigate the effect of combined environmental exposures on children respiratory health. The 384 results highlighted two childhood exposure profiles associated with lower levels of FEV₁, one 385 of which was also associated with a higher risk of ever-asthma and wheezing. This study also 386 identified a prenatal exposure profile associated with a lower risk of wheezing and rhinitis in 387 children. In terms of public health, these results suggest that a better understanding of combined 388 exposures associated with health might shed light on the etiology of complex multifactorial 389 diseases, which in turn can provide clues for more efficient preventive measures.

391 Acknowledgments

- 392 The authors are grateful to all the participating families in the six countries who took part in
- this study and to all the field workers for their dedication and efficiency.

394 Funding

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Commission Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007–2013) under grant agreement no 308333 – the HELIX project and by the European Commission's Horizon 2020 Framework Program for Research and Innovation, under grant 874583 – the ATHLETE project. This project benefited from a funding from FRM, the Foundation for medical research (EPIMEX project, grant #ENV202004011870).

401 Jose Urquiza is supported by Catalan program PERIS (Ref.: SLT017/20/000119), granted by
402 Departament de Salut de la Generalitat de Catalunya (Spain).

RM and JW receive funding from the NIHR Applied Research Collaboration for Yorkshire and
Humber (NIHR200166). The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and
not necessarily those of the National Institute for Health Research or the Department of Health
and Social Care.

407 The Norwegian Mother, Father and Child Cohort Study is supported by the Norwegian

408 Ministry of Health and Care Services and the Ministry of Education and Research.

The EDEN study was supported by the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (FRM), French Ministry of Research: Federative Research Institutes and Cohort Program, INSERM Human Nutrition National Research Program, and Diabetes National Research Program (by a collaboration with the French Association of Diabetic Patients [AFD]), French Ministry of Health, French Agency for Environment Security (AFSSET), French National Institute for Population Health Surveillance (InVS), Paris- Sud University, French National Institute for Health Education (INPES), Nestlé, Mutuelle Générale de l'Education Nationale (MGEN),

416 French- speaking Association for the Study of Diabetes and Metabolism (ALFEDIAM),
417 National Agency for Research (ANR non- thematic programme), and National Institute for
418 Research in Public Health (IRESP: TGIR 2008 cohort in health programme).

References

- Agier, L., Basagaña, X., Maitre, L., Granum, B., Bird, P.K., Casas, M., Oftedal, B., Wright, J., Andrusaityte, S., de Castro, M., Cequier, E., Chatzi, L., Donaire-Gonzalez, D., Grazuleviciene, R., Haug, L.S., Sakhi, A.K., Leventakou, V., McEachan, R., Nieuwenhuijsen, M., Petraviciene, I., Robinson, O., Roumeliotaki, T., Sunyer, J., Tamayo-Uria, I., Thomsen, C., Urquiza, J., Valentin, A., Slama, R., Vrijheid, M., Siroux, V., 2019. Early-life exposome and lung function in children in Europe: an analysis of data from the longitudinal, population-based HELIX cohort. Lancet Planet Health 3, e81–e92. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(19)30010-5
- Barouki, R., Gluckman, P.D., Grandjean, P., Hanson, M., Heindel, J.J., 2012. Developmental origins of non-communicable disease: Implications for research and public health. Environ Health 11, 42. https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-11-42
- Basagaña, X., Barrera-Gómez, J., Benet, M., Antó, J.M., Garcia-Aymerich, J., 2013. A Framework for Multiple Imputation in Cluster Analysis. Am J Epidemiol 177, 718– 725. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kws289
- Basagaña, X., Pedersen, M., Barrera-Gómez, J., Gehring, U., Giorgis-Allemand, L., Hoek, G., Stafoggia, M., Nieuwenhuijsen, M.J., Brunekreef, B., Slama, R., ESCAPE Birth Outcomes working group, Ballester, F., Cesaroni, G., Charles, M.-A., Chatzi, L., Danileviciute, A., de Hoogh, K., Dedele, A., Estarlich, M., Fernández-Somoano, A., Forastiere, F., Grazuleviciene, R., Gruzieva, O., Heude, B., Iñiguez, C., Jaddoe, V.W.V., Lepeule, J., Lerchundi, A., Andersen, A.-M.N., Oftedal, B., Porta, D., Raaschou-Nielsen, O., Rudnai, P., Schikowski, T., Schwarze, P., Sørensen, M., Sunyer, J., Pershagen, G., Kogevinas, M., 2018. Analysis of multicentre epidemiological studies: contrasting fixed or random effects modelling and metaanalysis. Int J Epidemiol 47, 1343–1354. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyy117
- Bousquet, J., Anto, J., Auffray, C., Akdis, M., Cambon-Thomsen, A., Keil, T., Haahtela, T., Lambrecht, B.N., Postma, D.S., Sunyer, J., Valenta, R., Akdis, C.A., Annesi-Maesano, I., Arno, A., Bachert, C., Ballester, F., Basagana, X., Baumgartner, U., Bindslev-Jensen, C., Brunekreef, B., Carlsen, K.H., Chatzi, L., Crameri, R., Eveno, E., Forastiere, F., Garcia-Aymerich, J., Guerra, S., Hammad, H., Heinrich, J., Hirsch, D., Jacquemin, B., Kauffmann, F., Kerkhof, M., Kogevinas, M., Koppelman, G.H., Kowalski, M.L., Lau, S., Lodrup-Carlsen, K.C., Lopez-Botet, M., Lotvall, J., Lupinek, C., Maier, D., Makela, M.J., Martinez, F.D., Mestres, J., Momas, I., Nawijn, M.C., Neubauer, A., Oddie, S., Palkonen, S., Pin, I., Pison, C., Rancé, F., Reitamo, S., Rial-Sebbag, E., Salapatas, M., Siroux, V., Smagghe, D., Torrent, M., Toskala, E., van Cauwenberge, P., van Oosterhout, A.J.M., Varraso, R., von Hertzen, L., Wickman, M., Wijmenga, C., Worm, M., Wright, J., Zuberbier, T., 2011. MeDALL (Mechanisms of the Development of ALLergy): an integrated approach from phenotypes to systems medicine. Allergy 66, 596–604. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1398-9995.2010.02534.x
- Bousquet, J., Anto, J.M., Berkouk, K., Gergen, P., Antunes, J.P., Augé, P., Camuzat, T.,
 Bringer, J., Mercier, J., Best, N., Bourret, R., Akdis, M., Arshad, S.H., Bedbrook, A.,
 Berr, C., Bush, A., Cavalli, G., Charles, M.A., Clavel-Chapelon, F., Gillman, M.,
 Gold, D.R., Goldberg, M., Holloway, J.W., Iozzo, P., Jacquemin, S., Jeandel, C.,
 Kauffmann, F., Keil, T., Koppelman, G.H., Krauss-Etschmann, S., Kuh, D., Lehmann,
 S., Carlsen, K.C.L., Maier, D., Méchali, M., Melén, E., Moatti, J.P., Momas, I., Nérin,
 P., Postma, D.S., Ritchie, K., Robine, J.M., Samolinski, B., Siroux, V., Slagboom,
 P.E., Smit, H.A., Sunyer, J., Valenta, R., Van de Perre, P., Verdier, J.M., Vrijheid, M.,
 Wickman, M., Yiallouros, P., Zins, M., 2015. Developmental determinants in non-

communicable chronic diseases and ageing. Thorax 70, 595–597. https://doi.org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2014-206304

- Bowatte, G., Lodge, C., Lowe, A.J., Erbas, B., Perret, J., Abramson, M.J., Matheson, M., Dharmage, S.C., 2015. The influence of childhood traffic-related air pollution exposure on asthma, allergy and sensitization: a systematic review and a meta-analysis of birth cohort studies. Allergy 70, 245–256. https://doi.org/10.1111/all.12561
- Bui, D.S., Lodge, C.J., Burgess, J.A., Lowe, A.J., Perret, J., Bui, M.Q., Bowatte, G., Gurrin, L., Johns, D.P., Thompson, B.R., Hamilton, G.S., Frith, P.A., James, A.L., Thomas, P.S., Jarvis, D., Svanes, C., Russell, M., Morrison, S.C., Feather, I., Allen, K.J., Wood-Baker, R., Hopper, J., Giles, G.G., Abramson, M.J., Walters, E.H., Matheson, M.C., Dharmage, S.C., 2018. Childhood predictors of lung function trajectories and future COPD risk: a prospective cohort study from the first to the sixth decade of life. Lancet Respir Med 6, 535–544. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(18)30100-0
- Burke, H., Leonardi-Bee, J., Hashim, A., Pine-Abata, H., Chen, Y., Cook, D.G., Britton, J.R., McKeever, T.M., 2012. Prenatal and Passive Smoke Exposure and Incidence of Asthma and Wheeze: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Pediatrics 129, 735–744. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2011-2196
- Cavaleiro Rufo, J., Paciência, I., Hoffimann, E., Moreira, A., Barros, H., Ribeiro, A.I., 2021. The neighbourhood natural environment is associated with asthma in children: A birth cohort study. Allergy 76, 348–358. https://doi.org/10.1111/all.14493
- Chatzi, L., Torrent, M., Romieu, I., Garcia-Esteban, R., Ferrer, C., Vioque, J., Kogevinas, M., Sunyer, J., 2008. Mediterranean diet in pregnancy is protective for wheeze and atopy in childhood. Thorax 63, 507–513. https://doi.org/10.1136/thx.2007.081745
- Cherrie, M.P.C., Sarran, C., Osborne, N.J., 2021. Climatic factors are associated with asthma prevalence: An ecological study using English quality outcomes framework general practitioner practice data. Sci Total Environ 779, 146478. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146478
- de Bont, J., Márquez, S., Fernández-Barrés, S., Warembourg, C., Koch, S., Persavento, C., Fochs, S., Pey, N., de Castro, M., Fossati, S., Nieuwenhuijsen, M., Basagaña, X., Casas, M., Duarte-Salles, T., Vrijheid, M., 2021. Urban environment and obesity and weight-related behaviours in primary school children. Environ Int 155, 106700. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2021.106700
- De Roos, A.J., Kenyon, C.C., Zhao, Y., Moore, K., Melly, S., Hubbard, R.A., Henrickson, S.E., Forrest, C.B., Diez Roux, A.V., Maltenfort, M., Schinasi, L.H., 2020. Ambient daily pollen levels in association with asthma exacerbation among children in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Environ Int 145, 106138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.106138
- Delfino, R.J., Wu, J., Tjoa, T., Gullesserian, S.K., Nickerson, B., Gillen, D.L., 2014. Asthma Morbidity and Ambient Air Pollution: Effect Modification by Residential Traffic-Related Air Pollution. Epidemiology 25, 48–57. https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.00000000000016
- Donovan, S., Dewey, K., Novotny, R., Stang, J., Taveras, E., Kleinman, R., Raghavan, R., Nevins, J., Scinto-Madonich, S., Butera, G., Terry, N., Obbagy, J., 2020. Maternal Diet during Pregnancy and Lactation and Risk of Child Food Allergies and Atopic Allergic Diseases: A Systematic Review. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion, Nutrition Evidence Systematic Review. https://doi.org/10.52570/NESR.DGAC2020.SR0207
- Eder, W., Ege, M.J., von Mutius, E., 2006. The Asthma Epidemic. N Engl J Med 355, 2226–2235. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra054308

- Garcia-Marcos, L., Castro-Rodriguez, J.A., Weinmayr, G., Panagiotakos, D.B., Priftis, K.N., Nagel, G., 2013. Influence of Mediterranean diet on asthma in children: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Pediatr Allergy Immunol 24, 330–338. https://doi.org/10.1111/pai.12071
- Granum, B., Oftedal, B., Agier, L., Siroux, V., Bird, P., Casas, M., Warembourg, C., Wright, J., Chatzi, L., de Castro, M., Donaire, D., Grazuleviciene, R., Småstuen Haug, L., Maitre, L., Robinson, O., Tamayo-Uria, I., Urquiza, J., Nieuwenhuijsen, M., Slama, R., Thomsen, C., Vrijheid, M., 2020. Multiple environmental exposures in early-life and allergy-related outcomes in childhood. Environ Int 144, 106038. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.106038
- Guilbert, A., Hough, I., Seyve, E., Rolland, M., Quentin, J., Slama, R., Lyon-Caen, S., Kloog, I., Bayat, S., Siroux, V., Lepeule, J., 2023. Association of Prenatal and Postnatal Exposures to Warm or Cold Air Temperatures With Lung Function in Young Infants. JAMA Netw Open 6, e233376. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.3376
- Guillien, A., Bédard, A., Dumas, O., Allegre, J., Arnault, N., Bochaton, A., Druesne-Pecollo, N., Dumay, D., Fezeu, L.K., Hercberg, S., Le Moual, N., Pilkington, H., Rican, S., Sit, G., Szabo de Edelenyi, F., Touvier, M., Galan, P., Feuillet, T., Varraso, R., Siroux, V., 2022. Exposome Profiles and Asthma Among French Adults. Am J Respir Crit Care Med rccm.202205-0865OC. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.202205-0865OC
- Guillien, A., Lepeule, J., Seyve, E., Le Moual, N., Pin, I., Degano, B., Garcia-Aymerich, J., Pépin, J.-L., Pison, C., Dumas, O., Varraso, R., Siroux, V., 2020. Profile of exposures and lung function in adults with asthma: An exposome approach in the EGEA study. Environ Res 110422. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2020.110422
- Hart, P.H., Gorman, S., Finlay-Jones, J.J., 2011. Modulation of the immune system by UV radiation: more than just the effects of vitamin D? Nat Rev Immunol 11, 584–596. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3045
- Huang, Z., 1998. Extensions to the k-Means Algorithm for Clustering Large Data Sets with Categorical Values. Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery 2, 283–304. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009769707641
- Li, S., Baker, P.J., Jalaludin, B.B., Marks, G.B., Denison, L.S., Williams, G.M., 2014. Ambient temperature and lung function in children with asthma in Australia. Eur Respir J 43, 1059–1066. https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00079313
- Lu, C., Liu, Z., Liao, H., Yang, W., Li, Q., Liu, Q., 2022. Effects of early life exposure to home environmental factors on childhood allergic rhinitis: Modifications by outdoor air pollution and temperature. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 244, 114076. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2022.114076
- Lu, C., Wang, F., Liu, Z., Li, B., Yang, W., Liao, H., 2023. Intrauterine and early postnatal exposure to air pollution associated with childhood allergic rhinitis. Chemosphere 336, 139296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2023.139296
- Maitre, L., de Bont, J., Casas, M., Robinson, O., Aasvang, G.M., Agier, L., Andrušaitytė, S., Ballester, F., Basagaña, X., Borràs, E., Brochot, C., Bustamante, M., Carracedo, A., de Castro, M., Dedele, A., Donaire-Gonzalez, D., Estivill, X., Evandt, J., Fossati, S., Giorgis-Allemand, L., R Gonzalez, J., Granum, B., Grazuleviciene, R., Bjerve Gützkow, K., Småstuen Haug, L., Hernandez-Ferrer, C., Heude, B., Ibarluzea, J., Julvez, J., Karachaliou, M., Keun, H.C., Hjertager Krog, N., Lau, C.-H.E., Leventakou, V., Lyon-Caen, S., Manzano, C., Mason, D., McEachan, R., Meltzer, H.M., Petraviciene, I., Quentin, J., Roumeliotaki, T., Sabido, E., Saulnier, P.-J., Siskos, A.P., Siroux, V., Sunyer, J., Tamayo, I., Urquiza, J., Vafeiadi, M., van Gent, D., Vives-Usano, M., Waiblinger, D., Warembourg, C., Chatzi, L., Coen, M., van den Hazel, P., Nieuwenhuijsen, M.J., Slama, R., Thomsen, C., Wright, J., Vrijheid, M.,

2018. Human Early Life Exposome (HELIX) study: a European population-based exposome cohort. BMJ Open 8, e021311. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-021311

- Mastorci, F., Linzalone, N., Ait-Ali, L., Pingitore, A., 2021. Environment in Children's Health: A New Challenge for Risk Assessment. Int J Environ Res Public Health 18, 10445. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph181910445
- Mattila, T., Santonen, T., Andersen, H.R., Katsonouri, A., Szigeti, T., Uhl, M., Wąsowicz, W., Lange, R., Bocca, B., Ruggieri, F., Kolossa-Gehring, M., Sarigiannis, D.A., Tolonen, H., 2021. Scoping Review—The Association between Asthma and Environmental Chemicals. Int J Environ Res Public Health 18, 1323. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18031323
- Norbäck, D., Lu, C., Wang, J., Zhang, Y., Li, B., Zhao, Z., Huang, C., Zhang, X., Qian, H., Sun, Y., Sundell, J., Deng, Q., 2018. Asthma and rhinitis among Chinese children — Indoor and outdoor air pollution and indicators of socioeconomic status (SES). Environ Int 115, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2018.02.023
- Péter, S., Holguin, F., Wood, L.G., Clougherty, J.E., Raederstorff, D., Antal, M., Weber, P., Eggersdorfer, M., 2015. Nutritional Solutions to Reduce Risks of Negative Health Impacts of Air Pollution. Nutrients 7, 10398–10416. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu7125539
- Quanjer, P.H., Stanojevic, S., Cole, T.J., Baur, X., Hall, G.L., Culver, B.H., Enright, P.L., Hankinson, J.L., Ip, M.S.M., Zheng, J., Stocks, J., the ERS Global Lung Function Initiative, 2012. Multi-ethnic reference values for spirometry for the 3–95-yr age range: the global lung function 2012 equations. Eur Respir J 40, 1324–1343. https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00080312
- Robinson, O., Tamayo, I., de Castro, M., Valentin, A., Giorgis-Allemand, L., Hjertager Krog, N., Marit Aasvang, G., Ambros, A., Ballester, F., Bird, P., Chatzi, L., Cirach, M., Dėdelė, A., Donaire-Gonzalez, D., Gražuleviciene, R., Iakovidis, M., Ibarluzea, J., Kampouri, M., Lepeule, J., Maitre, L., McEachan, R., Oftedal, B., Siroux, V., Slama, R., Stephanou, E.G., Sunyer, J., Urquiza, J., Vegard Weyde, K., Wright, J., Vrijheid, M., Nieuwenhuijsen, M., Basagaña, X., 2018. The Urban Exposome during Pregnancy and Its Socioeconomic Determinants. Environ Health Perspect 126, 077005. https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP2862
- Saenen, N.D., Martens, D.S., Neven, K.Y., Alfano, R., Bové, H., Janssen, B.G., Roels, H.A., Plusquin, M., Vrijens, K., Nawrot, T.S., 2019. Air pollution-induced placental alterations: an interplay of oxidative stress, epigenetics, and the aging phenotype? Clin Epigenetics 11, 124. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13148-019-0688-z
- Sanna, F., Locatelli, F., Sly, P.D., White, E., Blake, D., Heyworth, J., Hall, G.L., Foong, R.E., 2022. Characterisation of lung function trajectories and associated early-life predictors in an Australian birth cohort study. ERJ Open Res 8, 00072–02022. https://doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00072-2022
- Schinasi, L.H., Kenyon, C.C., Hubbard, R.A., Zhao, Y., Maltenfort, M., Melly, S.J., Moore, K., Forrest, C.B., Diez Roux, A.V., de Roos, A.J., 2022. Associations between high ambient temperatures and asthma exacerbation among children in Philadelphia, PA: a time series analysis. Occup Environ Med 79, 326–332. https://doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2021-107823
- Stikker, B.S., Hendriks, R.W., Stadhouders, R., 2023. Decoding the genetic and epigenetic basis of asthma. Allergy 78, 940–956. https://doi.org/10.1111/all.15666
- Sunde, R.B., Thorsen, J., Pedersen, C.-E.T., Stokholm, J., Bønnelykke, K., Chawes, B., Bisgaard, H., 2022. Prenatal tobacco exposure and risk of asthma and allergy

outcomes in childhood. Eur Respir J 59, 2100453. https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.00453-2021

- Tamayo-Uria, I., Maitre, L., Thomsen, C., Nieuwenhuijsen, M.J., Chatzi, L., Siroux, V., Aasvang, G.M., Agier, L., Andrusaityte, S., Casas, M., de Castro, M., Dedele, A., Haug, L.S., Heude, B., Grazuleviciene, R., Gutzkow, K.B., Krog, N.H., Mason, D., McEachan, R.R.C., Meltzer, H.M., Petraviciene, I., Robinson, O., Roumeliotaki, T., Sakhi, A.K., Urquiza, J., Vafeiadi, M., Waiblinger, D., Warembourg, C., Wright, J., Slama, R., Vrijheid, M., Basagaña, X., 2019. The early-life exposome: Description and patterns in six European countries. Environ Int 123, 189–200. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2018.11.067
- Warembourg, C., Maitre, L., Tamayo-Uria, I., Fossati, S., Roumeliotaki, T., Aasvang, G.M., Andrusaityte, S., Casas, M., Cequier, E., Chatzi, L., Dedele, A., Gonzalez, J.-R., Gražulevičienė, R., Haug, L.S., Hernandez-Ferrer, C., Heude, B., Karachaliou, M., Krog, N.H., McEachan, R., Nieuwenhuijsen, M., Petraviciene, I., Quentin, J., Robinson, O., Sakhi, A.K., Slama, R., Thomsen, C., Urquiza, J., Vafeiadi, M., West, J., Wright, J., Vrijheid, M., Basagaña, X., 2019. Early-Life Environmental Exposures and Blood Pressure in Children. J Am Coll Cardiol 74, 1317–1328. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2019.06.069
- White, I.R., Royston, P., Wood, A.M., 2011. Multiple imputation using chained equations: Issues and guidance for practice. Stat Med 30, 377–399. https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.4067
- Wild, C.P., 2005. Complementing the Genome with an "Exposome": The Outstanding Challenge of Environmental Exposure Measurement in Molecular Epidemiology. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 14, 1847–1850. https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-05-0456
- Wright, L.S., Phipatanakul, W., 2014. Environmental remediation in the treatment of allergy and asthma: latest updates. Curr Allergy Asthma Rep 14, 419. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11882-014-0419-7
- Zhang, W., Ma, R., Wang, Y., Jiang, N., Zhang, Y., Li, T., 2022. The relationship between particulate matter and lung function of children: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Environ Pollut 309, 119735. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119735
- Zhang, Y., Yin, Z., Zhou, P., Zhang, Liansheng, Zhao, Z., Norbäck, D., Zhang, X., Lu, C., Yu, W., Wang, T., Zheng, X., Zhang, Ling, Zhang, Yunquan, 2022. Early-life exposure to PM2.5 constituents and childhood asthma and wheezing: Findings from China, Children, Homes, Health study. Environ Int 165, 107297. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2022.107297

List of figures

Figure 1. Description of pregnancy profiles

Barplots representing the distribution of continuous (at the top) and categorical (at the bottom) variables of each exposure family. Each bar represents the difference between the mean level of one exposure in the corresponding profile with the mean level of the same exposure in the whole population for continuous exposure. Same methodology was used for categorical exposures, using the prevalence instead of the mean. Exposures highlighted with a * at the end of their label have been inverted so that a bar going to the left is *a priori* expected to be associated with a protective effect on respiratory health, and a bar going to the right is *a priori* expected to be associated with deleterious effect on respiratory health.

NDVI: normalized difference vegetation index; $PM_{2.5}$: particulate matter of less than 2.5 µm in aerodynamical diameter; PM_{10} : particulate matter of less than 10 µm in aerodynamical diameter; PM_{abs} : absorbance of particulate matter of less than 2.5 µm in aerodynamical diameter; NO_2 : nitrogen dioxide.

Figure 2. Description of childhood profiles

Barplots representing the distribution of continuous (at the top) and categorical (at the bottom) variables of each exposure family. Each bar represents the difference between the mean level of one exposure in the corresponding profile with the mean level of the same exposure in the whole population for continuous exposure. Same methodology was used for categorical exposures, using the prevalence instead of the mean. Exposures highlighted with a * at the end of their label have been inverted so that a bar going to the left is *a priori* expected to be associated with a protective effect on respiratory health, and a bar going to the right is *a priori* expected to be associated with deleterious effect on respiratory health.

KIDMED: Mediterranean Diet Index; NO₂: nitrogen dioxide; BTEX: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene; NDVI: normalized difference vegetation index; UV: ultraviolet;

 $PM_{2.5}$: particulate matter of less than 2.5 µm in aerodynamical diameter; PM_{10} : particulate matter of less than 10 µm in aerodynamical diameter; PM_{abs} : absorbance of particulate matter of less than 2.5 µm in aerodynamical diameter.

Figure 3. Sankey diagram of distribution of children in pregnancy and childhood profiles Profile P1 (reference profile) was mainly characterized by children with low levels of exposure to all risk factors except for water DBPs and high temperature during the prenatal period. The profile P2 was mainly characterized by children with high levels of exposure to all risk factors, but an overall healthy lifestyle (high practice of physical activity and high intake of fruit, vegetables and fish) of their mothers during pregnancy. Children belonging to profile P3 had overall low levels of exposure to all risk factors but moderate exposure to unhealthy lifestyle habits during the prenatal period. Finally, the profile P4 was mainly characterized by children with highest exposure to almost all exposures during the prenatal period.

Profile C1 (reference profile) was mainly characterized by children with lower mean exposure levels to all risk factors during childhood as compared to children from other profiles except for humidity. The profile C2 was also characterized by children with globally low exposure to all exposures during childhood except high exposure to high UV dose and high temperatures. Children belonging to profile C3 were characterized by the most adverse levels of exposure to air pollutants (both indoor and outdoor), unhealthy lifestyle habits and low exposure to high UV doses and high temperatures during childhood. Finally, children from profile C4 had the most deleterious exposure to natural spaces (e.g. lack of green and blue spaces in their surroundings) and highest exposure to the built environment (e.g. high population density and high building density) and road traffic during childhood.

	Pregnancy		Childhood		Total	
	number of exposures	exposures	number of exposures	exposures	number of exposures	
Atmospheric pollutants	4	NO ₂ , PM _{abs} , PM ₁₀ , PM _{2.5}	12	NO_2 (one day, one week and one year before examination; at home), PMabs (one day, one week and one year before examination; at home), PM_{10} (one day, one week and one year before examination; at home), $PM_{2.5}$ (one day, one week and one year before examination; at home)	16	
Built environment	9	Building density (300m buffer), connectivity density (300m buffer), landuse Shannon's Evenness Index, meters of public transport mode lines (300m buffer), number of public transport mode stops (300m buffer), number of facilities (300m buffer), number of different type facilities (300m buffer), population density, walkability index	17	Building density (home and school), connectivity density (300m buffer, home and school), landuse Shannon's Evenness Index (home and school), meters of public transport mode lines (300m buffer, home and school), number of public transport mode stops (300m buffer, home and school), number of facilities (300m buffer, home and school), number of different type facilities (300m buffer, home and school), population density (home and school), walkability index (home)	26	
Indoor air		-	5	Concentrations of Benzene, BTEX (sum), NO ₂ , PM _{abs} and PM _{2.5} at home	5	

Meteorological variables	3	Relative humidity, pressure, temperature	9	Relative humidity (one day, one week and one month before examination; at home), temperature (one day, one week and one month before examination; at home), vitamin-D ultraviolet dose (one day, one week and one month before examination; at home)	12
Road traffic	3	Inverse distance to nearest road, traffic density on nearest road, total traffic load of all roads (100m buffer)	5	Inverse distance to nearest road (home), traffic density on nearest road (school), total traffic load of all roads (100m buffer; home), total traffic load of major roads (100m buffer; home and school)	8
Road traffic noise	1	Sound pressure level of 24h period	4	Sound pressure level during night (home and school), Sound pressure level of 24h period (home and school)	5
Surrounding natural space	3	NDVI (100m buffer), presence of bluespace (300m buffer), presence of greenspace (300m buffer)	6	NDVI (100m buffer; home and school), presence of bluespace (300m buffer; home and school), presence of greenspace (300m buffer; home and school)	9
Water disinfection by- products	3	Bromodichloromethane, chloroform, total trialometanes	0	-	3
Lifestyle	12	Alcohol, cereals, dairy, fast-food, fish, folic acid during 1st trimester, fruits, legumes, meat and vegetables intakes, moderate physical activity during third trimester, vigorous physical activity during third trimester	26	Added fat, bakery, bread, breakfast cereals, caffeinated drinks, cereals, dairy, fast-food, fish and seafood, fruits, meat, organic food, potatoes, processed meat, ready-made food, soda beverages, sweets, vegetables	38

		of pets at home, sleep whole week, moderate	duration the and vigorous ary behavior
Total	38	physical activity, seden	ary behavior

Pregnancy exposures were averaged during the whole pregnancy, and childhood exposures were averaged during the year before study visit (where children were 8-11, depending on the cohort), unless otherwise stated.

NO₂: nitrogen dioxide; PM_{abs}: absorbance of PM_{2.5} filters, PM₁₀: particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of less than 10µm, PM_{2.5}: particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of less than 2.5 µm; BTEX: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene; NDVI: Normalized Difference Vegetation Index.

Characteristic	N = 1,033
Cohort	
BIB	147 (14%)
EDEN	159 (15%)
INMA	185 (18%)
KANC	144 (14%)
MOBA	242 (23%)
RHEA	156 (15%)
Child sex	
Female	489 (47%)
Male	544 (53%)
Age of child (years)	8.07 (1.58)
Height (m)	1.29 (0.10)
Both parents native to the cohort country	868 (84%)
Breastfeeding duration (days)	4.95 (1.15)
Trimester of conception	
January-March	327 (32%)
April-June	213 (21%)
July-September	217 (21%)
October-December	276 (27%)
Presence of older siblings	
None	476 (46%)
One	375 (36%)
Two or more	182 (18%)
Highest parental education level	
Primary school	87 (8.4%)
Secondary school	301 (29%)
University degree or higher	645 (62%)
Maternal age (years)	30.9 (4.8)
Maternal pre-pregnancy BMI (kg/m2)	24.6 (4.8)
Postnatal passive smoking status of the child	
No exposure	663 (64%)
Exposure	370 (36%)
Maternal active Tobacco Smoke pregnancy	152 (15%)
Maternal active Tobacco Smoke pregnancy (cig/day)	0.54 (1.97)
Maternal passive Tobacco Smoke during pregnancy	408 (39%)
Season of exam	
Winter	315 (30%)
Spring	241 (23%)
Summer	241 (23%)
Autumn	236 (23%)
Contact with family	
Less than once a week	56 (5%)

 Table 2. Description of the population

Once a week	304 (29%)
(Almost) daily	673 (65%)
Social participation	
None	557 (54%)
1 organization	309 (30%)
≥ 2 organizations	167 (16%)
Family Affluence score	
Low	100 (10%)
Middle	380 (37%)
High	553 (53%)
House crowding (number of people)	4.2 (1.0)

Categorical variables are presented as n (%) and continuous variables are presented as mean (standard deviation). BIB: Born In Bradford; EDEN: Étude des Déterminants Pré et Postnatals du Développement et de la Santé de l'Enfant; INMA: Infancia y Medio Ambiente; KANC: Kaunas Cohort; MOBA: Norwegian Mother, Father and Child Cohort Study; RHEA: Mother-Child Cohort in Crete; BMI: body mass index.

	beta	95% CI	р
FEV1 (%)			
Pregnancy profiles			
Profile P1	0.00		
Profile P2	1.04	[-1.32; 3.39]	0.39
Profile P3	-0.04	[-2.11; 2.03]	0.97
Profile P4	0.25	[-2.09; 2.60]	0.83
Childhood profiles			
Profile C1	0.00		
Profile C2	-2.34	[-5.06; 0.38]	0.09
Profile C3	1.38	[-0.85; 3.60]	0.22
Profile C4	-2.55	[-5.04; -0.07]	0.04
FVC (%)			
Pregnancy profiles			
Profile P1	0.00		
Profile P2	0.77	[-1.71; 3.26]	0.54
Profile P3	-0.04	[-2.22; 2.15]	0.97
Profile P4	0.33	[-2.15; 2.80]	0.80
Childhood profiles			
Profile C1	0.00		
Profile C2	-0.89	[-3.75; 1.98]	0.55
Profile C3	1.24	[-1.11; 3.59]	0.30
Profile C4	-0.96	[-3.58; 1.66]	0.47

Table 3. Association between pregnancy and childhood exposure profiles and lung function

Models adjusted for the center of recruitment, the child's sex, age, and height and parental country of birth, breastfeeding duration, season of conception, presence of older siblings, parental education level, maternal age, maternal pre-pregnancy BMI, postnatal passive smoking status, and prenatal maternal active and passive smoking status, season of examination, Family Affluence score, social participation, contact with family and house crowding.

CI: confidence interval; p: p-value; FEV1: forced expiratory volume in 1s; FVC: forced vital capacity.

Profile P1 (reference profile) was mainly characterized by children with low levels of exposure to all risk factors except for water DBPs and high temperature during the prenatal period. The profile P2 was mainly characterized by children with high levels of exposure to all risk factors, but an overall healthy lifestyle (high practice of physical activity and high intake of fruit, vegetables and fish) of their mothers during pregnancy. Children belonging to profile P3 had overall low levels of exposure to all risk factors but moderate exposure to unhealthy lifestyle habits during the prenatal period. Finally, the profile P4 was mainly characterized by children with highest exposure to all most all exposures during the prenatal period.

Profile C1 (reference profile) was mainly characterized by children with lower mean exposure levels to all risk factors during childhood as compared to children from other profiles except for humidity. The profile C2 was also characterized by children with globally low exposure to all exposures during childhood except high exposure to high UV dose and high temperatures. Children belonging to profile C3 were characterized by the most adverse levels of exposure to air pollutants (both indoor and outdoor), unhealthy lifestyle habits and low exposure to high UV doses and high temperatures during childhood. Finally, children from profile C4 had the most deleterious exposure to natural spaces (e.g. lack of green and blue spaces in their surroundings) and highest exposure to the built environment (e.g. high population density and high building density) and road traffic during childhood.

conditions	OR	95% CI	р
Ever-asthma			•
Pregnancy profiles			
Profile P1	1.00		
Profile P2	0.74	[0.35; 1.58]	0.44
Profile P3	1.07	[0.62; 1.86]	0.81
Profile P4	0.98	[0.53; 1.82]	0.94
Childhood profiles			
Profile C1	1.00		
Profile C2	2.93	[1.36; 6.31]	0.01
Profile C3	1.26	[0.67; 2.36]	0.48
Profile C4	1.17	[0.56; 2.42]	0.68
Wheezing			
Pregnancy profiles			
Profile P1	1.00		
Profile P2	0.38	[0.18; 0.77]	0.01
Profile P3	0.77	[0.45; 1.32]	0.34
Profile P4	0.93	[0.50; 1.72]	0.82
Childhood profiles			
Profile C1	1.00		
Profile C2	1.79	[0.84; 3.81]	0.13
Profile C3	1.32	[0.72; 2.43]	0.37
Profile C4	1.11	[0.54; 2.30]	0.77
Rhinitis			
Pregnancy profiles			
Profile P1	1.00		
Profile P2	0.64	[0.39; 1.05]	0.08
Profile P3	0.81	[0.53; 1.24]	0.33
Profile P4	0.8	[0.49; 1.30]	0.36
Childhood profiles			
Profile C1	1.00		
Profile C2	1.33	[0.77; 2.29]	0.31
Profile C3	0.65	[0.39; 1.07]	0.09
Profile C4	1.27	[0.76; 2.12]	0.36

Table 4. Association between pregnancy and childhood exposure profiles and respiratory conditions

Models adjusted for the center of recruitment, the child's sex, age, and height and parental country of birth, breastfeeding duration, season of conception, presence of older siblings, parental education level, maternal age, maternal pre-pregnancy BMI, postnatal passive smoking status, and prenatal maternal active and passive smoking status, season of examination, Family Affluence score, social participation, contact with family and house crowding.

OR: odds-ratio; CI: confidence interval; p: p-value; FEV1: forced expiratory volume in 1s; FVC: forced vital capacity. Profile P1 (reference profile) was mainly characterized by children with low levels of exposure to all risk factors except for water DBPs and high temperature during the prenatal period. The profile P2 was mainly characterized by children with high levels of exposure to all risk factors, but an overall healthy lifestyle (high practice of physical activity and high intake of fruit, vegetables and fish) of their mothers during pregnancy. Children belonging to profile P3 had overall low levels of exposure to all risk factors but moderate exposure to unhealthy lifestyle habits during the prenatal period. Finally, the profile P4 was mainly characterized by children with highest exposure to all most all exposures during the prenatal period. Profile C1 (reference profile) was mainly characterized by children with lower mean exposure levels to all risk factors during childhood as compared to children from other profiles except for humidity. The profile C2 was also characterized by children with globally low exposure to all exposures during childhood except high exposure to high UV dose and high temperatures. Children belonging to profile C3 were characterized by the most adverse levels of exposure to air pollutants (both indoor and outdoor), unhealthy lifestyle habits and low exposure to high UV doses and high temperatures during childhood. Finally, children from profile C4 had the most deleterious exposure to natural spaces (e.g. lack of green and blue spaces in their surroundings) and highest exposure to the built environment (e.g. high population density and high building density) and road traffic during childhood.

Profile P1 Profile P2 Profile P3 Profile P4 n=274 n=197 n=335 n=227 Trialismetanies -Chlorofurm Bronodichleromethane Total traffic load of all roads (100 m traffier) 100 Traffic donaity on nearest road Inverse distance to nearest road NDVI (100m buffer)* Temperature Family of exposure Pressure Water DBPs Relative humshity. PMpr Read traffic PM.e Natural spaces PM_{de} 5001 Climate Walkahility index* 103 Air pollutants Population density Built environment Number of different facility types (360m buffer) Number of facilities (300m buffer) -Lifestyle Number of Itus public transport mode stops (360m buffor) Road traffic noise Landuse Shannan's Evenness Jodes 100 - 202 Connectivity density (300m baffer) -Building density (300m beffer) = Ξ 3 3 1 2 з 3 2 3 5 2 1 ÷ Vigorous physical activity (03)* Moderate physical activity (U)/* Maternal vegetables intake* Maternal meat intake Maternal legumes intake* Maternal fruits intake* Maternal folic acid intake* Maternal fish inteke* Maternal fastfood intake Maternal dairy intake Maternal cereal istaka Maternal alzohol consumption Presence of greenspace (300m huffer)* Presence of bluespace (300m baffar)* Noise during day, evening, night Meters of public transport mode lines (300m buffer)



Pregnancy	Childhood
profiles	profiles
Profile P3	Profile C1
n=335	n=315
Profile P1	Profile C2
n=274	n=322
Profile P2	Profile C3
n=197	n=191
Profile P4	Profile C4
n=227	n=205