



HAL
open science

Effects of atmospheric stratification and jet position on the properties of early aircraft contrails

Pierre Saulgeot, Vincent Brion, Nicolas Bonne, Emmanuel Dormy, Laurent Jacquin

► **To cite this version:**

Pierre Saulgeot, Vincent Brion, Nicolas Bonne, Emmanuel Dormy, Laurent Jacquin. Effects of atmospheric stratification and jet position on the properties of early aircraft contrails. 23e colloque ODAS - Technologies aérospatiales et problématique du climat, May 2023, Paris, France. hal-04326021

HAL Id: hal-04326021

<https://hal.science/hal-04326021>

Submitted on 6 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Copyright

Effects of atmospheric stratification and jet position on the properties of early aircraft contrails.

Pierre Saulgeot
DAAA
ONERA, Université Paris-Saclay
F-92190 Meudon - France
pierre.saulgeot@onera.fr

Vincent Brion
DAAA
ONERA, Université Paris-Saclay
F-92190 Meudon - France
vincent.brion@onera.fr

Nicolas Bonne
DMPE
ONERA, Université Paris-Saclay
F-91123 Palaiseau - France
nicolas.bonne@onera.fr

Emmanuel Dormy
Département de Mathématiques et Applications, UMR-8553
École Normale Supérieure, CNRS
75005 Paris, France
emmanuel.dormy@ens.fr

Laurent Jacquin
DSG
ONERA, Université Paris-Saclay
F-91123 Palaiseau - France
laurent.jacquin@onera.fr

Abstract—The net impact of aircraft contrails on global climate change is a matter of controversy today. Among the many parameters potentially influencing this issue, the role played by the aircraft wake has received only little attention so far. Yet the interaction between the jets causing these contrails and the aircraft wake leads to large modifications in the contrail’s altitude (ranging in hundreds of meters). This change in altitude heavily influences the net impact of contrails to global climate change, since the related change in temperature affects the ice content and the radiative properties of these contrails. This vortex entrainment strongly depends on the relative positioning of the jet with respect to the tip vortices. Furthermore, after the formation of the tip vortices and the turbulent diffusion of the jet, the jet dispersion is also driven by buoyant forces associated with atmospheric stratification. Here we focus on the vortex entrainment and buoyancy effects by running a large number of two-dimensional simulations, scaled by the Brunt-Väisälä frequency and jet to tip vortex spacing, of the flow from the aftermath of the jet turbulent diffusion, vortex roll-up and initial ice formation up to the vortex destabilization stage. The very near wake dynamics are not simulated and instead replaced by an analytical description for the vortex, the jet and the ice plume. Ice water content is determined from the offset to ice saturation, given prescribed ambient conditions. The jet lateral spacing is considered in the range from fuselage to wing tip. The potential radiative impact of the early wake is calculated using the total extinction induced by the ice plume. The results are indicative of the impact of older contrail cirrus clouds, the largest proportion in the whole contrail radiative impact. The parametric mapping (stratification, jet spacing) highlights the important role played by the jet position on the opacity of early contrails, for regular stratification levels. In particular, a jet located closer to the wing tip results in contrails located at lower altitudes and reduced optical thickness, suggesting that jet positioning could be an interesting mean of contrail mitigation. Eventually the results also explain several real life observations.

I. INTRODUCTION

Civil aircraft contrails are an increasingly troublesome problem due to their potentially high impact on the aviation radiation budget. In supersaturated regions of the atmosphere, they can degenerate from initial line contrails into high-level

cirrus clouds and last several hours. A recent study from [1] suggests that the impact of contrails on climate change could be larger than that of the release of CO₂ by the worldwide aircraft fleet: the radiative forcing caused by contrails could account for more than half of the radiative forcing of civil aviation while CO₂ one third. However these estimates remain very uncertain, with an uncertainty in the range 30 to 170%.

Contrails form under conditions of pressure, temperature and humidity that are often encountered at cruise altitude. For example, at an altitude of 11 000 m, the standard pressure and temperature are 250 hPa and 217 K respectively, and the homogeneous nucleation threshold of water is about $RH_i \approx 170\%$. Aircraft engine exhaust contains additional water vapor compared to ambient air, typically 1.23 kg per kilogram of fuel burnt (called Emission Index, and hereafter denoted EI). This moist air, initially warm at the jet exit, cools down very rapidly as it mixes with cold ambient air due to turbulent diffusion at the jet interface. During this mixing, air can reach conditions of temperature and moisture that are above the saturation curve of liquid or solid water. A simple model of these effects is implemented in the Schmidt-Appleman criterion [2]. In this criterion, the exhaust flow follows an isobaric dilution from its thermodynamic state $(T_{en}, p_{v,en})$ at the exit of the engines to that of the atmosphere $(T_{atm}, p_{v,atm})$, where p_v denotes vapor pressure. The trajectory of the exhaust gases in the (T, p_v) plane is then a straight line. In order to predict contrail formation and persistence, this line can be compared to the saturation curves of liquid water (for an appearance criterion) and ice (for a persistence criterion [3]). However, the formation of ice in the aircraft wake cannot be described only by this thermodynamic reasoning. The complete picture requires micro-physical phenomena that are not fully understood at the moment, but, for instance, condensation on soot from kerosene combustion seems to be the dominant factor for current jet engines. At present, sustainable aviation fuels (SAF) as well as hydrogen are being investigated to reduce CO₂ emission.

These alternative fuels have different EI and will produce a different mixture of soot and other aerosols that act as ice nucleating particles. More importantly, this model does not account for changes in atmospheric conditions around the jet plume induced by its interaction with the descending aircraft wake.

The interaction between the wake and the exhaust plume is generally divided into five phases [4]–[6]: the jet phase, the deflection phase, the vortex phase, the dissipation phase and the diffusion phase. The aircraft wake is dynamic until the dissipation phase, whereas in the diffusion phase, the wake has lost all its dynamics and the plume evolves under atmospheric effects only. During the jet phase, the dynamics of the jets and the wake are almost independent. The vorticity sheet coming from the different wings of the airplane rolls up in a vortex wake [7] and the engine jets undergo isobaric dilution and strong turbulent diffusion, owing to the velocity difference at the jet periphery (roughly 200 m s^{-1} initially). Condensation of water vapor occurs during this phase, if any. Their possible sublimation takes place during the following phases [8]. The deflection phase corresponds to the moment when the axial and longitudinal moments of the plume have the same order of magnitude, where the interaction between the driving jets and the wake can no longer be neglected. This phase occurs in a zone between 1 and 10 wingspans behind the aircraft [4], depending on the aircraft type and on the lateral position of the engines. Although it has been the subject of experimental studies [9], [10], this phase is often neglected in numerical studies [11]–[14] because of its complexity and high computational cost. The vortex phase begins when the specific dynamics of the jets becomes negligible [9], [15]. During this phase, the engine plumes are dragged by the wake. An order of magnitude of the thresholds between these different phases is obtained by the ratio R_3 introduced in [4]. This ratio compares the axial momentum of the jet to the induction effect by the tip vortices. Then, $R_3 \gg 1$ during the jet phase (the vortex induction on the jet is negligible compared to the jet dynamics), $R_3(x_{\text{jet}}) \sim 1$ during the deflection phase (the vortex induction and the jet dynamics have the same order of magnitude) and $R_3 \ll 1$ during the vortex phase (the vortex induction on the jet is dominant compared to the jet dynamics). Typically $x_{\text{jet}} \in [1, 7]$ depending of the jet position. Beyond this approximate distance, the evolution of the jet is mostly dictated by the effect of entrainment by the vortex flow. At such distances behind the aircraft, the vortex wake emanating from the wing has mostly rolled-up into two trailing vortices that are close to an axisymmetric configuration [10], [16]. The vortices are characterized by their radius r_0 and circulation Γ_0 , the latter being proportional to the ratio of the aircraft weight to the initial vortex spacing b_0 . Their natural motion is a descent at constant speed W_0 caused by mutual induction which can be estimated using a point vortex model $W_0 \simeq \Gamma_0/2\pi b_0$. The vortex dipole has a lifetime of a few minutes before the onset of three-dimensional instabilities that lead to its destruction – this is the dissipation phase. According to [17] the maximum lifetime of such a vortex pair is about

5 to 6 characteristic time units, defined as $\tau_0 = b_0/W_0$. This corresponds typically to a time of 60 to 360 s or a distance between 15 and 90 km behind the aircraft, depending on its size and weight. Instabilities (e.g., Crow instability [18]) can occur and dissipate the vortices [19]. High external turbulence levels can accelerate the start of the diffusion phase [20]. The diffusion phase starts when the wake has lost all its momentum and the plume becomes fully governed by the dynamics of the atmosphere.

The contrail to cirrus transition that may occur at the end of this process is dependent on many parameters. [21] showed a great dependence of the evolution of contrails on the aircraft type, as well as a good correlation in the total extinction between the cirrus phase and the early phases. Moreover, due to the combined effects of atmospheric stratification on buoyancy forces, the vortex phase plays a particular role in the vertical dispersion of contrails which will then influence their radiative impact in the diffusion phase. Therefore the aim of this study is 1) to describe the influence of the position of the jet on the wake dynamics during the vortex phase in a stratified atmosphere and 2) to evaluate the various scenario of optical impact of the early contrails.

In the present setup, the vortex is located at $s = \pi/4$ of the wingspan according to the lifting line theory for an elliptically loaded wing [22], which is a good model of a generic wing, owing to its induced drag optimality. Then, it is the jet position along the wing that needs to be changed to explore the various physics. There are three variants on current aircraft: at the center plane of the aircraft or along the fuselage, at the first third of the wing for twin-engined aircraft, and finally for four-engined aircraft, the first jet is again at about one third and the second at about two thirds along the wing. Our analysis explores these different lateral positions, and other positions, including between the middle of the aircraft (at the fuselage) and at the wing tip.

An important factor leading to this result is atmospheric stratification, which strongly modifies the behavior of the wake. The vertical movement of the wake creates buoyant forces upon it that alter its descent and shape. This evolution depends at first order on the product of the Brunt-Väisälä frequency and wake time scale τ_0 . Typical values range between 0.1 (e.g., fully loaded B737 with weak stratification) and 1.8 (e.g., empty A330 with strong stratification). In a highly stratified atmosphere, the downward motion of the wake is overwhelmed by buoyancy, causing the wake to stagnate at flight altitude. Otherwise, the wake has a downward motion whose velocity and maximum height depend on the level of stratification [23]. Wake descent creates a density gradient at the edge of the Kelvin oval that causes the formation of secondary vorticity by the baroclinity [24]. Once created, this secondary vorticity is drawn towards the top of the oval. This movement leads, by Biot-Savart’s law, first to a slow down of the descent of the vortices and then to their convergence [25]. The vortices can also transiently move up in this phase. The vortices then accelerate downward because of the implied increase of the induction between the two vortices. At the top

of the oval, the secondary vorticity receives an upward motion along the symmetry axis of the flow and rises, generally to flight altitude [26], due to buoyancy and the induction of each half of the secondary vorticity onto the other. There, it accumulates and forms a secondary wake which considerably increases the vertical extent of the wake.

From the point of view of ice formation in the exhaust plume, its altitude is important as the phenomenon is related to the value of ambient temperature. Two extreme cases of the jet position can be considered, as a first analysis. The two situations correspond to when the jets are fully entrained in the wake and, conversely, when they are not. In the former case, the jets evolve in an external environment made of variable thermodynamic conditions and condensation is affected. If the ice plume is entrained to a lower altitude, one expects ice sublimation because of the higher temperature. This would be beneficial from the radiative impact point of view. In the latter case, the jets remain at flight altitude and external conditions are stable and as cold as they could be. This configuration, which agrees then with the Schmidt-Appleman criterion, appears worst. Some sensitivity studies [13], [27] already report on such an influence of the initial jet distribution on the plume evolution, especially for extreme values. The sensitivity of the jet entrainment process has also been described experimentally by [10] within a few wing spans downstream of an idealized aircraft configuration.

The outline of the paper is the following: section II describes the model of the flow and ice, section III analyses the influence of the jet positioning and stratification on the flow and the plume evolutions, and section IV describes the optical features of the ice plume and concludes on their dependence on the two parameters.

II. MODEL

A. Flow

The model consists of a two-dimensional pair of counter-rotating vortices and two engines exhaust plumes. This represents a cross section of the aircraft wake during the vortex phase. During this phase, the atmosphere and the wake interact via buoyancy effects that oppose the downward momentum of the vortices and modify the temperature distribution. Buoyant forces result from the vertical non-uniformity of a quantity called potential temperature $\Theta = T (p_0/p)^{R/c_p}$, which corrects the thermodynamic temperature for adiabatic evolution. The atmosphere is stratified when $d\Theta/dz \neq 0$. In this relation, R is the perfect gas constant of dry air and c_p its heat capacity at constant pressure. The Brunt-Väisälä frequency $N = \sqrt{\frac{g}{\Theta} \frac{d\Theta}{dz}}$ gives the frequency of the vertical oscillations of air particles when they are disturbed in such an environment (g is the standard gravity). The wake imposes τ_0 as the reference time scale and the vortex separation $b_0 = (\pi/4)b$ as the reference distance, with b being the wingspan. In this scaled framework, stratification is effectively measured by the inverse Froude number $Fr^{-1} = N\tau_0$. For a single aircraft, τ_0 can vary by almost a factor two as a consequence of the weight decrease

TABLE I
VORTEX WAKE AND STRATIFICATION PARAMETERS FOR A COMMON AIRCRAFT. THE RANGE OF Fr^{-1} IS FOR N BETWEEN 0.01 AND 0.03 s^{-1} . b (m): WINGSPAN, b_0 (m): VORTEX SEPARATION, Γ_0 ($m^2 s^{-1}$): VORTEX CIRCULATION, W_0 ($m s^{-1}$): VORTEX DESCEND SPEED, τ_0 (s): VORTEX TIME SCALE, b_{jet} (%): JET SEPARATION.

	CRJ-200	A320	B737	A330	B777	A380
b	21.2	35.8	35.8	64.0	64.8	79.7
b_0	16.7	28.1	28.1	50.3	50.9	62.6
$\Gamma_{0,min}$	93.3	161	161	266	326	430
$\Gamma_{0,max}$	162	296	298	506	683	893
$W_{0,min}$	0.89	0.91	0.91	0.84	1.02	1.09
$W_{0,max}$	1.55	1.67	1.68	1.60	2.14	2.27
$\tau_{0,min}$	10.7	16.8	16.7	31.4	23.8	27.6
$\tau_{0,max}$	18.7	30.8	30.9	59.6	49.9	57.3
Fr_{min}^{-1}	0.107	0.168	0.167	0.313	0.238	0.276
Fr_{max}^{-1}	0.560	0.923	0.927	1.79	1.50	1.72
b_{jet}/b	20	32	28	33	31	40 ^a 67 ^b

^aFor the inboard engine; ^bFor the outboard engine

during flight time. τ_0 is also a function of the wingspan. The natural Brunt-Väisälä frequency of the atmosphere at flight altitude lies generally between 0.01 and 0.03 s^{-1} . As a consequence, the possible values for Fr^{-1} range from 0 to 2. The Froude number is set to be uniform in the present setup. In reality, it varies temporally and spatially but with sufficiently slow and large scales so that a constant approximation is locally adequate. Table I displays wake and stratification data for a selection of aircraft.

In a linearly stratified medium, the Navier-Stokes equations simplify into the Boussinesq approximation, in which the flow is considered as a superposition of a fixed atmospheric state and a perturbation state, which here refers to the wake flow. For a detailed derivation of this approximation and further discussion on the assumptions, see [28].

Soot and water vapor concentrations emitted by the engines are integrated into the model by considering the concentration c of a passive scalar and its transport law. The dimensionless governing equations for the perturbation variables are [29]

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad (1a)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{u}}{dt} = -\nabla p + \theta \mathbf{e}_z + \frac{1}{Re} \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}, \quad (1b)$$

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = -\frac{1}{Fr^2} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_z + \frac{1}{Pr Re} \nabla^2 \theta, \quad (1c)$$

$$\frac{dc}{dt} = \frac{1}{Sc Re} \nabla^2 c. \quad (1d)$$

where $Re = W_0 b_0 / \nu$, Pr and Sc are the Reynolds, Prandtl and Schmidt numbers of water vapor respectively, with $\nu = 3.9 \times 10^{-5} m^2 s^{-1}$ the kinematic viscosity. They are set to $Pr = 0.7$ [30], $Sc = 1.3$ [31] and $Re = 10^4$. The diffusivity κ of soot depends on the diameter d of the particles: $\kappa = d^{-1} \times 10^{-13} m^2 s^{-1}$ [32]. The typical size of particles from jet engines is $d = 10^{-8} m$ [33] leading to $Sc_{soot} = \nu / \kappa = 4$. However, on account of the high Reynolds number (i.e., diffusion is negligible compared to advection) and to simplify the setup, we take it equal to that of water vapor: $Sc_{soot} = Sc$.




Fig. 1. Setup of the initial flow.

As discussed earlier, the (turbulent) dynamics of the jet is negligible during the vortex phase. Moreover, trailing vortices have a very low amount of turbulence because the rotational flow is stabilizing according to the Bradshaw-Richardson criterion [34]. Thus, it is the fluid viscosity that dictates the diffusion of the vortices [35]. Furthermore, in the vortex jet interaction in a stratified atmosphere, the dominant dynamical mechanisms are the jet entrainment, stirring by the vortices and the baroclinic vorticity production, much more than turbulent or viscous diffusion. This justifies the 2D laminar model used in the present study.

The flow initialization follows the symmetric scheme presented in fig. 1. We introduce two Gaussian vortices of radius $r_0 = 0.05b$ [36]. The initial distribution of passive scalar and temperature follow a tanh distribution at the location of the jet [11]. The turbulence of the first stage of the jets is taken into account in the radius r_{jet} of the initial conditions of the passive scalar, i.e., it is enlarged compared to the engine exit size by the amount caused by turbulent diffusion. We set $r_{\text{jet}} \simeq 0.15b$ while the jet exhaust half diameter is $D(x_{\text{out}})/2 \simeq 0.01b$. Such an initial condition is commonly used in contrail analysis [11], [14]. The initial jet lateral position $\bar{b}_{\text{jet}} = b_{\text{jet}}/b$ varies in the range 0 (mid plane) to 1 (wingtip). Their vertical position relative to flight level is fixed at $-0.081b$ [14]. It is further assumed that stratification has not yet affected the flow at the initial time of the present simulations. A quick calculation allows the estimation of the distance behind the aircraft from which the influence of the buoyant forces becomes significant $x = U_0^2/g$, which corresponds to a hundred aircraft wingspans for a typical cruising speed $U_0 = 250 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ i.e., within the vortex phase.

The reference equations are solved using the Nek5000 spectral element code in a two-dimensional setup with polynomials of order 12. The size of the numerical domain is between 100 and 200 elements in y and between 100 and 400 elements in z , depending on the stratification level. The size of the computational domain is between $[-4, 4]$ and $[-6, 6]$ in y , and $[-4, 4]$ and $[-24, 6]$ in z . The large number of elements is explained by the fine discretization of the mesh that is required at the mid-plane between the vortices, due to the strong fluid strains that occur at the upper hyperbolic point of the Kelvin oval and the possible close proximity of the vortices. The cost of one simulation is about 100 days of CPU time. The parametric study evaluates 22 b_{jet} values between 0 and 1, and 20 Fr^{-1} values between 0 and 2 amounting to 440 calculations and 120 years of (sequential) CPU time. Using about 3000 processors, the calculations can be done in two weeks.

B. Ice plume

The humidity in the system comes from the natural humidity in the atmosphere which adds to the humidity released by the aircraft engines. One can then write the decomposition of the partial density of vapor $\rho_v = \rho_{v,\text{atm}} + \rho_{v,\text{jet}}$ as the sum of the contributions of the atmosphere and the jets. The present model follows the approach of [11], [14] and makes several assumptions: (i) surface effects (Kelvin effects) are neglected; (ii) soot act as nuclei for water vapor and there are as many ice particles as there are soot particles; and (iii) phase changes are instantaneous and without feedback to the flow and temperature.

In this framework, the condensation of vapor to ice in the jet plume is calculated using an Eulerian description of the distribution of effective radius r_e , mass ρ_i and density ρ_{soot} of ice crystals.

Assuming that at equilibrium the vapor pressure is equal to the saturation vapor pressure (i.e., the mass of ice, when present, is such that the vapor pressure is equal to the saturation vapor pressure), the local density of ice (total mass of ice particles in a volume of air) is derived from the perfect gas equation

$$\rho_i = \frac{p_v - p_{\text{sat},i}}{R_v T} \mathbb{1}_{p_v \geq p_{\text{sat},i}} = (\rho_v - \rho_{\text{sat},i}) \mathbb{1}_{\rho_v \geq \rho_{\text{sat},i}} \quad (2)$$

where we denote by analogy $p_{\text{sat},i}(T) = \rho_{\text{sat},i}(T) R_v T$. We denote $\mathbb{1}_A$ the indicator function of the set A . As the expected size of the ice crystals is about 10 to 20 nm [37], we assume that they can be represented approximately by randomly oriented hexagonal-based cylinders whose size can be characterized by an effective radius r_e [38]. For a detailed derivation and some comments on the assumptions, see [28].

While being simple, the present physical model offers the main ingredients for the evaluation of the plume evolution and does so at an acceptable computational cost, hence allowing the present parametric study.

III. FLOW EVOLUTION

A. Influence of stratification on the vortex wake

This section is dedicated to the evolution of the vortex flow and effect of the Brunt-Väisälä frequency. Similar simulations were carried out by [24]–[26], [39]. One difficulty is to run the simulation over a long time ($t = 8.5 \tau_0$) when the Froude number is close to 1. Indeed in these cases the flow has small spatial scales that require a particularly fine mesh. We provide novel results for these conditions.

The evolution of the wake altitude for various stratification levels is shown in fig. 2 using the vorticity extrema as the vortex locator. Note that there were no hot jets in these simulations. When there is no stratification, the vortex pair descends at constant velocity W_0 , the distance between the vortices varies marginally and their circulation decreases only by diffusion, which is weak. When the stratification is small ($\text{Fr}^{-1} \leq 0.9$), the descent of the wake slows down at around $t = 1$, then accelerates sharply at around $t = 4$. For intermediate levels ($0.9 \leq \text{Fr}^{-1} \leq 1.2$), the descent of the




Fig. 2. Altitude of the vortices as a function of time for different values of Fr^{-1} . Distances are normalized by b_0 and time by τ_0 .

wake stops at $t = 2$, the wake then rises again somewhat, and then finally descends again at a much higher speed. The comparison between the descent velocity and the distance between the vortices allows one to notice that the increase of the descent speed is synchronous with the increased proximity of the vortices. This can be explained by the fact that the mutual induction of the two vortices is inversely proportional to their separation. Above the threshold value $Fr^{-1} = 1.2$, the wake stays at flight altitude.

When the atmosphere is stratified, the vorticity distribution separates into two parts: the two patches of vorticity associated with the initial vortices (that is called primary vorticity) and the vorticity generated by buoyancy (that is called secondary vorticity), of opposite sign, which is created at the boundary of the Kelvin oval of the primary vortex pair. The secondary vorticity does not circulate around the vortices but is diverted upwards and rises along the axis of symmetry (fig. 3 (a)). The source term $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y}$ in this equation results from the baroclinic term $\frac{1}{\rho^2} \nabla \rho \times \nabla p$ derived from the Boussinesq approximation. The temperature differential at the boundary of the Kelvin oval results from the combined effects of stratification and wake descent. It creates a horizontal potential temperature gradient, as shown in fig. 3 (b). Secondary vorticity of opposite sign is thus generated at the boundary of the Kelvin oval, as shown in fig. 3 (c). This can be understood by writing the Helmholtz equation for the axial vorticity $d_t \omega = \partial_y \theta + Re^{-1} \nabla^2 \omega$. This appearance coincides with the inflection of the descent of the primary vortices. Indeed, the action induced by the secondary vorticity on the primary vortices is a vertical velocity directed upwards. Furthermore, as noted by [26], secondary vorticity forms along the streamline that bounds the Kelvin oval, and is therefore stronger above the vortices than below, leading to the contraction of the vortex pair. In fig. 3 (a), one can see that the primary vortices have significantly moved closer together and that the secondary vorticity has escaped from the oval along the axis of symmetry. It then forms a so-called secondary wake at the flight altitude where the width of its





Fig. 3. (a) Vorticity field at $t = 4.5 \tau_0$ for $Fr^{-1} = 1$. (b) Vorticity and (c) perturbation of potential temperature fields at $t = 2 \tau_0$ for $Fr^{-1} = 1$.

horizontal distribution increases.

B. Influence of the hot plume on the vortex wake

The presence of a hot jet can increase the influence of stratification on the motion of the primary vortices [25], in particular by increasing their approach and descent speed. Fig. 4 shows the influence of the jet position on the altitude of the primary vortices at $t = 5 \tau_0$. The presence of a hot jet strengthens the descent of the vortices, but this effect depends on its position. When it is at the level of the plane of symmetry of the aircraft ($\bar{b}_{jet} = 0$), it does not affect the primary vortices. When it is closer to the core of the vortices ($0.4 \leq \bar{b}_{jet} \leq 1$), it has two effects. First, when Fr^{-1} is close to 1, the vortices descend lower. Moreover, the threshold between the intermediate (slight rise of the vortices and then faster descent) and high (no descent of the vortices) values of stratification is at higher Fr^{-1} . Between the two regimes ($0 \leq \bar{b}_{jet} \leq 0.4$), the altitude to which the wake descends increases with \bar{b}_{jet} as does the threshold between the




Fig. 4. Altitude modification of the vortex dipole due to hot jets as a function of Fr^{-1} and \bar{b}_{jet} .

intermediate and high values of Fr^{-1} . One can notice that the value of the threshold admits a maximum around $\bar{b}_{jet} = 0.33$ before decreasing slightly.

C. Jet exhaust evolution

The evolution of the engine plume is governed by the initial rolling around the primary vortices. Fig. 5 shows the time sequence of the field of passive scalar c , which models the plume, for several values of Fr^{-1} and b_{jet} . The spiral of the winding can be seen in the first column of these figures. Stratification has no effect at this stage since the descent is of low amplitude (fig. 2). The plume then forms a ring around each vortex (second column). As long as the Kelvin oval is large enough to contain the plume, it descends along with the vortices. This is the case for weak stratification levels (first row) or when the plume is initially very close to the vortices (last row). For intermediate levels, most or all of the jet plume escapes from the oval, becomes diverted across the top of the oval boundary and is then driven upwards with the secondary vorticity. This brings part of the plume back to flight altitude (middle row and last row for $t = 3.5 \tau_0$). For high levels of stratification, the vortex pair does not descend and the plume maintains its ring shape around the vortices (as for the weak stratification case but without descending).

The oval thus separates two regions of the plane where the flow, and therefore the plume, behave very differently. Everything inside descends with the main vortices, while everything outside extends vertically and rises towards flight altitude with the secondary wake. This behavior depends on stratification and initial jet position, which can be assessed using the mean plume altitude, defined as the average of the altitudes weighted by the amount of passive scalar. The result is shown in fig. 6 (a). Three distinct regions can be identified. When $Fr^{-1} \geq 1.3$ the plume is always located at flight altitude. When $Fr^{-1} \leq 0.5$ and $\bar{b}_{jet} \geq 0.4$ the plume

descends by a distance that mostly depends on the Froude number. The lowest altitude is reached for $Fr^{-1} = 0.7$ and $\bar{b}_{jet} = 0.8$. In the intermediate regime, there is a critical threshold $Fr^{-1} \simeq \alpha(\bar{t} - 5)\bar{b}_{jet} + \beta(\bar{t} - 5)$, with $\alpha(\bar{t}) = 0.75 - 0.07\bar{t}$ and $\beta(\bar{t}) = 0.25 - 0.07\bar{t}$, above which the plume exceeds flight altitude and below which it descends by a distance that depends on both parameters. These values are obtained by calculating the same quantities for different time steps and then interpolating linearly. Fig. 6 (b) shows these regimes using the difference between the average altitude of the plume and the altitude of the primary wake. In the first and second cases, the plume and the vortices are at the same altitude. In the intermediate regime, all or part of the plume is driven by the secondary vorticity away from the main wake. In summary stratification and jet to vortex distance are determining factors on the evolution of the altitude and the distribution of the plume. The outcome of the optical properties of the ice plume is explored in the final section.

We have placed in fig. 6 (b) the possible parameter ranges for different twin-engined aircraft. We notice that most of them cross the aforementioned boundary between the intermediate and high levels of stratification, and are mostly in the upper part. It is then understood that the plume is no longer located in the vortices at the end of the vortex phase in many cases. Since the observation of early contrails is the only way to see the flow visually from the ground, this explains why it is so rare to observe Crow instability that affect the primary vortices behind airliners.

D. Comparison between the 2D and 3D dynamics

The two-dimensional constraint of the present simulations precludes the capture of the real turbulent dynamics of the secondary wake. Instead, the secondary wake sustains an inverse cascade that favors the formation of larger vortices in the last stages of the flow evolution displayed in the results. The small structures induced by the rising secondary wake and baroclinic torque in reality should induce smaller scale three-dimensional movements. Nevertheless the dynamics of the primary wake – and thus the vertical motion of the vortex dipole – and the entrainment of the plume by one or the other wake – and thus the evolution of its altitude – are intrinsically two-dimensional mechanisms.

IV. OPTICAL PROPERTIES

A. Optical thickness and total extinction

Calculations of the distributions of ice mass per volume ρ_i and crystal effective radius r_e provide an estimate of the energy absorbed by an ice cloud and thus of its potential effect on the radiative balance. For this we are interested in the relative variation in intensity across the entire transverse extent of the cloud, called total extinction and defined by $E = \int (\mathcal{I}_0 - \mathcal{I})/\mathcal{I}_0 dy$. In this relation, \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{I}_0 are the transmitted and incident intensities of a vertical ray of light passing through the medium respectively. Their ratio is called transmittance $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}_0$ and can be calculated from the optical thickness $\tau = -\ln \mathcal{T}$, which is the integral over




Fig. 5. Evolution of the passive scalar field over time. From top to bottom: $\text{Fr}^{-1} = 0.2$, $\bar{b}_{\text{jet}} = 0.16$; $\text{Fr}^{-1} = 1$, $\bar{b}_{\text{jet}} = 0.16$; $\text{Fr}^{-1} = 1$, $\bar{b}_{\text{jet}} = 0.79$. From left to right: $t = \tau_0$, $2.5 \tau_0$, $3 \tau_0$, $3.5 \tau_0$.

the vertical direction of the attenuation coefficient μ , i.e., $\tau = \int \mu(z) dz$. The attenuation coefficient is obtained from the crystal effective radius and ice mass distributions by the formula $\mu = \rho_i (a + b/r_e)$, where $a = 3.448 \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$ and $b = 2.431 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$. The values of a and b are independent upon wavelength for wavelengths between 250 and 3500 nm [38]. Therefore the total extinction yields

$$E = \int 1 - \exp\left(-\int \rho_i \left(a + \frac{b}{r_e}\right) dz\right) dy. \quad (3)$$

B. Analysis

The influence of stratification and vortex to jet distance on plume total extinction for $RH_i = 140\%$ at $t = 5$ is shown in fig. 7. The possible parameter regions for several aircraft are also indicated. The total extinction calculation requires the transform of the variables into physical units. The reference

values used for this are $b_0 = 50.9 \text{ m}$ (B777) and $\tau_0 = 27.6 \text{ s}$ (see table I).

We find that the total extinction remains low when Fr^{-1} is small. This corresponds to two possible and distinct situations. The first is when the plume is initially close enough to the vortex. The required proximity to the vortex is all the more strict as stratification is stronger. In this case, the plume descends and this leads to a weak and very local formation of ice crystals. As a result, the optical thickness has a reduced support and reaches small values, which leads to a low total extinction. This regime is illustrated by the cases $\text{Fr}^{-1} = 0.2$ and \bar{b}_{jet} set to 0.31 and 0.79 in fig. 8 that displays the spatial distribution of the attenuation coefficient for three stratification rates and three jet-to-vortex spacings.

The second situation is when the distance between the jets and the vortices is large (e.g., for $\text{Fr}^{-1} = 0.2$ and $\bar{b}_{\text{jet}} = 0.16$).




Fig. 6. (a) Mean altitude of the plume and (b) difference between the mean altitude of the plume and that of the vortices at $t = 5\tau_0$ as a function of Fr^{-1} and \bar{b}_{jet} . The vertical lines represent the range of possible parameters for a selection of twin-engine aircraft (from left to right): CRJ, B737, B777, A330, A320, B787. The purple dashed line on the right panel corresponds to the orange line on the left panel.




Fig. 7. Total extinction E for $RH = 140\%$. For the color line legend, see fig. 6

In this case a part of the plume stretches vertically along the symmetry axis above the primary vortices. However the extent of the plume is reduced in the horizontal direction and thus the total extinction remains low.

Conversely, total extinction is high when stratification is strong and the engine is initially not too close to the vortices. This region actually breaks into two sub-regimes, depending on the flow dynamics (fig. 6). In the first sub-region a primary and a secondary wake coexist, e.g., $Fr^{-1} = 1$ and \bar{b}_{jet} equal to 0.16 or 0.31. As the plume is not close to the vortex core it rises towards the secondary wake (right panel of fig. 8). This results in a large optical thickness both at the symmetry axis where ice mass is important, and more widely in the horizontal

extent of the secondary wake where the low temperature allows crystals to persist. In the second, e.g., $Fr^{-1} = 1.4$ and $\bar{b}_{jet} = 0.16$ or 0.31 , the entire wake is present at flight altitude, but the fairly large distance between the plume and the center of the vortices allows it to extend horizontally, resulting in a widely distributed attenuation coefficient. So does the optical thickness, leading to a high total extinction.

Finally, when the stratification is very strong and the plume lies initially close to the vortices, e.g., $Fr^{-1} = 1.4$ and $\bar{b}_{jet} = 0.79$, the ice remains inside the vortices, as in the weakly stratified case, but this time at flight altitude. Due to lower temperatures at this higher altitude, optical thickness and thus total extinction are slightly higher than in the weakly stratified case.

V. CONCLUSION

A bi-dimensional multi-parametric study on the effects of stratification and engine jet position along the wingspan is carried out to evaluate the potential radiative impact of early contrails during the vortex phase. This phase has the particularity of exhibiting a purely two-dimensional dynamic. It has a particular role in the vertical dispersion of contrails due to the combined effects of stratification and jet-vortex interaction. A model is implemented in a normalized framework to account for the general behavior of the wake flow containing the contrails under these effects. The positions of the jets investigated range from the plane of symmetry of the aircraft to the wing tip. All possible values of stable stratification at flight altitudes are analyzed. Condensation is taken into account to assess the spatial distribution of ice mass and crystal radius and to describe the optical properties of the simulated contrails. This serves as a gauge to examine the radiative impact of the possibly formed cloud as a function of the initial wake and atmospheric properties. It must be denoted




Fig. 8. Attenuation coefficient μ at $t = 5\tau_0$ for $RH_i = 130\%$. From left to right $\bar{b}_{jet} = 0.16, 0.31$ and 0.79 . From top to bottom $Fr^{-1} = 0.2, 1$ and 1.4

that the normalization approach taken here makes the present study a large multidimensional analysis that encompasses the aerodynamic properties of the aircraft and the stratification of the atmosphere. The atmospheric stratification in itself is not enough as the behavior depends on the effective stratification which also accounts for the wake and, indirectly, the aircraft. A return to the physical units is performed for a selection of aircraft to provide real life diagnostics. Important results are found regarding the effects of stratification and jet position along the wing. Three main behaviors are observed. For low levels of stratification, the ice plume descends with the wake vortices, generating a small optical impact. This impact is smaller the closer the jets are to the wingtip vortices. For high levels of stratification and small jet spacing, the ice plume tends to remain at the flight altitude and to expand horizontally, generating a higher optical impact. The boundary between these two regimes is quite clear and lies at a threshold that depends on time, for instance $Fr^{-1} = 0.75\bar{b}_{jet} + 0.45$ for $t = 5\tau_0$. It results from the wake dynamics and is also

observable examining the altitude of the plume. In a nutshell, the plume is found at flight altitude (in the secondary wake) for high levels of stratification and small jet spacing, and at a lower altitude (in the primary wake) for lower levels of stratification. At the threshold, the plume is present in both the primary and secondary wake, as well as near the symmetry axis. This generates a larger optical impact than when it remains in the primary wake, but less than when it rises in the secondary wake. Finally, for high values of stratification and jet spacing, the plume remains concentrated in the vortices at flight altitude resulting in low optical impact. A comparison with the possible parameter ranges for current twin-engined aircraft shows that in most cases the ice plume is no longer present in the vortices at the end of the vortex phase. This dynamic is totally realistic, and in particular is not the consequence of the two-dimensional approximation, even if the description of the evolution of the secondary wake at flight altitude is distorted by the turbulence that develops there. A comparison with a 3D simulation confirms that the

dynamics of the primary wake – and thus the vertical motion of the vortex dipole – and the entrainment of the plume by one or the other wake – and thus the evolution of its altitude – are intrinsically two-dimensional mechanisms. Importantly, these results indicate the large variability of the contrail appearance depending on the atmospheric conditions but also on the specific aircraft configuration (wing/jet configuration and weight). In general, contrails do not lie with the primary vortices but with the secondary wake, between the flight altitude and the altitude of the vortices, or even at flight altitude. For an observer present at the ground or for automatic observation of contrails (by means of satellites or ground cameras), this sensitivity of the contrail properties must be taken into account to well correlate the relations between contrail, aircraft and atmosphere. Overall this shows that the near wake dynamics has a profound influence on the contrail issue. The results obtained are essentially dependent on the dynamics of the wake and in this sense are applicable to a large number of situations, in particular to different atmospheric or propulsion situations. For current aircraft, the total extinction of contrails, which is a measure of their optical impact, can be low or high. Among other things, these variations are dependent on the weight of the aircraft and stratification of the atmosphere, parameters that cannot be modified. Therefore, the effect of jet spacing is of particular interest as it could be used as a contrail mitigation strategy. From a purely aerodynamic point of view, placing the jet close to the location of the rolled-up vortex ($b_{\text{jet}} \simeq 0.8$) is on average beneficial to reduce total extinction and is not far off from the most outboard engines of current four-engined aircraft.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. S. Lee, D. W. Fahey, A. Skowron, M. R. Allen, U. Burkhardt, Q. Chen, S. J. Doherty, S. Freeman, P. M. Forster, and J. Fuglestedt, "The contribution of global aviation to anthropogenic climate forcing for 2000 to 2018," *Atmospheric Environment*, vol. 244, 2021.
- [2] H. Appleman, "The formation of exhaust condensation trails by jet aircraft," *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 14 – 20, 1953.
- [3] U. Schumann, "On conditions for contrail formation from aircraft exhausts," *Meteorologische Zeitschrift*, vol. 5, pp. 4–23, 1996.
- [4] L. Jacquin and F. Garnier, "On the dynamics of engine jets behind a transport aircraft," *ONERA-Publications-TP*, 1996.
- [5] T. Gerz, T. Dürbeck, and P. Konopka, "Transport and effective diffusion of aircraft emissions," *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, vol. 103, no. D20, pp. 25 905–25 913, 1998.
- [6] R. Paoli and K. Shariff, "Contrail modeling and simulation," *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 393–427, 2016.
- [7] P. G. Saffman, *Vortex dynamics*. Cambridge university press, 1995.
- [8] S. Unterstrasser, "Properties of young contrails—a parametrisation based on large-eddy simulations," *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 2059–2082, 2016.
- [9] S. Brunet, F. Garnier, and L. Jacquin, "Numerical/experimental simulation of exhaust jet mixing in wake vortex," *AIAA 30th Fluid Dynamics Conference*, 1999.
- [10] L. Jacquin, P. Molton, P. Loiret, and E. Coustols, "An experiment on jet-wake vortex interaction," *37th AIAA Fluid Dynamics Conference and Exhibit*, 2007.
- [11] R. Paoli, F. Laporte, B. Cuenot, and T. Poinso, "Dynamics and mixing in jet/vortex interactions," *Physics of Fluids*, vol. 15, no. 7, pp. 1843–1860, 2003.
- [12] S. Unterstrasser, K. Gierens, and P. Spichtinger, "The evolution of contrail microphysics in the vortex phase," *Meteorologische Zeitschrift*, vol. 17, pp. 145–156, 2008.
- [13] S. Unterstrasser, "Large-eddy simulation study of contrail microphysics and geometry during the vortex phase and consequences on contrail-to-cirrus transition," *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, vol. 119, no. 12, pp. 7537–7555, 2014.
- [14] R. Paoli, L. Nybelen, J. Picot, and D. Cariolle, "Effects of jet/vortex interaction on contrail formation in supersaturated conditions," *Physics of Fluids*, vol. 25, no. 5, 2013.
- [15] F. Garnier, S. Brunet, and L. Jacquin, "Modelling exhaust plume mixing in the near field of an aircraft," *Annales Geophysicae*, vol. 15, no. 11, pp. 1468–1477, 1997.
- [16] J.-C. Khou, W. Ghedhaïfi, X. Vancassel, and F. Garnier, "Spatial simulation of contrail formation in near-field of commercial aircraft," *Journal of Aircraft*, vol. 52, no. 6, pp. 1927–1938, 2015.
- [17] P. R. Spalart, "Airplane trailing vortices," *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 107–138, 1998.
- [18] S. C. Crow, "Stability theory for a pair of trailing vortices," *AIAA Journal*, vol. 8, no. 12, pp. 2172–2179, 1970.
- [19] L. Jacquin and C. Pantano, "On the persistence of trailing vortices," *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, vol. 471, p. 159–168, 2002.
- [20] S. C. Crow and E. R. Bate, "Lifespan of trailing vortices in a turbulent atmosphere," *Journal of Aircraft*, vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 476–482, 1976.
- [21] S. Unterstrasser and N. Görsch, "Aircraft-type dependency of contrail evolution," *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, vol. 119, no. 24, pp. 14,015–14,027, 2014.
- [22] G. K. Batchelor, *An introduction to fluid dynamics*. Cambridge university press, 1967.
- [23] T. Sarpkaya, "Trailing vortices in homogeneous and density-stratified media," *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, vol. 136, p. 85–109, 1983.
- [24] R. S. Scorer and L. J. Davenport, "Contrails and aircraft downwash," *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, vol. 43, no. 3, p. 451–464, 1970.
- [25] A. A. Shirgaonkar and S. K. Lele, "Interaction of vortex wakes and buoyant jets: A study of two-dimensional dynamics," *Physics of Fluids*, vol. 19, no. 8, p. 086601, 2007.
- [26] P. R. Spalart, "On the motion of laminar wing wakes in a stratified fluid," *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, vol. 327, pp. 139–160, 1996.
- [27] S. Unterstrasser, R. Paoli, I. Sölch, C. Kühnlein, and T. Gerz, "Dimension of aircraft exhaust plumes at cruise conditions: effect of wake vortices," *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 2713–2733, 2014.
- [28] P. Saulgeot, V. Brion, N. Bonne, E. Dormy, and L. Jacquin, "Effects of atmospheric stratification and jet position on the properties of early aircraft contrails," Mar. 2023, preprint.
- [29] S. Chandrasekhar, *Hydrodynamic and Hydromagnetic Stability*. Dover, 1981, first printed by Clarendon Press, 1961.
- [30] R. J. List, *Smithsonian Meteorological Tables 6th Revised Edition*. Smithsonian Institution Press, 1951, vol. 114.
- [31] G. K. Vallis, D. J. Parker, and S. M. Tobias, "A simple system for moist convection: the rainy-bénard model," *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, vol. 862, p. 162–199, 2019.
- [32] W. L. Flower, "Measurements of the diffusion coefficient for soot particles in flames," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 51, pp. 2287–2290, Dec 1983.
- [33] C. C. Wey, B. E. Anderson, C. Wey, R. C. Mlake-Lye, P. Whitefield, and R. Howard, "Overview on the aircraft particle emissions experiment (apex)," *Journal of Propulsion and Power*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 898–905, 2007.
- [34] C. Cambon, J.-P. Benoit, L. Shao, and L. Jacquin, "Stability analysis and large-eddy simulation of rotating turbulence with organized eddies," *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, vol. 278, p. 175–200, 1994.
- [35] S. P. Govindaraju and P. G. Saffman, "Flow in a turbulent trailing vortex," *The Physics of Fluids*, vol. 14, no. 10, pp. 2074–2080, 1971.
- [36] T. Gerz, F. Holzäpfel, and D. Darracq, "Commercial aircraft wake vortices," *Progress in Aerospace Sciences*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 181–208, 2002.
- [37] S. Unterstrasser and K. Gierens, "Numerical simulations of contrail-to-cirrus transition – part 1: An extensive parametric study," *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 2017–2036, 2010.
- [38] E. E. Ebert and J. A. Curry, "A parameterization of ice cloud optical properties for climate models," *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, vol. 97, no. D4, pp. 3831–3836, 1992.
- [39] S. E. Widnall, "The structure and dynamics of vortex filaments," *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 141–165, 1975.