

Market power in Power-to-Gas and related markets? Preliminary insights for the upcoming interrelated power, gas, and hydrogen industries.

Camille Megy

► To cite this version:

Camille Megy. Market power in Power-to-Gas and related markets? Preliminary insights for the upcoming interrelated power, gas, and hydrogen industries.. Journée ED INTERFACES 2022, Dec 2022, Saclay, France. hal-04325987

HAL Id: hal-04325987 https://hal.science/hal-04325987

Submitted on 6 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Market power in Power-to-Gas and related markets?

Preliminary insights for the upcoming interrelated power, gas, and hydrogen industries.

MEGY Camille¹, MASSOL Olivier^{1,2,3}



Journée ED Interface 14/12/2022

Motivation

POWER-TO-GAS?

Currently produced from gas, hydrogen can be produced from renewable electricity by electrolysis of water. This technology, called Power-to-Gas (PTG), is one solution considered to:

Provide flexibility to the electricity system

Replace coal, oil, natural gas, and conventional hydrogen for uses where energy needs cannot economically be met by electricity

PTG is an early technology with high investment costs. First movers in PTG are firms with a strong oligopolistic presence in either the power, gas, or H2 markets

Do Industrial Organization considerations matter for the operation of Power-to-Gas?

This study aims at comparing the market outcomes obtained under <u>different asset-ownership structures for PTG</u> in an imperfect



icons are from Flaticon

Model

Our analysis is based on a stylized partial equilibrium model that simulates the interactions between the markets for natural gas, power and hydrogen.

The model is formulated as a deterministic, discrete-time, finite-horizon oligopoly model that explicitly considers the imperfectly competitive structures prevailing in these three sectors.

The model is solved as an instance of a Mixed Complementarity Model (MCP)

Agents' maximization problems Max. Profits s.t. constraints (capacity, efficiency, ramp-up constraints...) Market Clearing condition

power, gas and hydrogen system.

Scenarios

Alongside our baseline scenario without PTG, we define a series of alternative scenarios that represent various PTGownership structures.

Scenario	PTG ownership			
No PTG (Base case)	No PTG			
H-New Prod	New PTG player			
H-SMR	Pure SMR player			
G-Gas	Pure Gas midstreamer			
G-Gas+SMR	Gas midstreamer + SMR			
E-CCGT	Elec. Producer (CCGT)			
E-VRE	Elec. Producer (VRE)			



- Linear H2, power & gas demand
- Generation data
- Storage data
- Agents' behavior
- PTG ownership



OUTPUT



Key Findings

PTG ownership structure matters

VRE-electricity producers tend to use PTG more than other agents as they own the VRE capacities needed to produce renewable-based hydrogen

Social, because even if , the change in **short-term** welfare associated with the addition of PTG is

Environmental, because PTG use could indirectly lead to an increase in carbonbased electricity generation



positive, its distribution is unequal.

		No PTG	H NewProd	H SMR	G Gas	G Gas+SMR	E CCGT	E VRE
Elec	E-VRE	/	+0.55	+0.56	+0.55	+0.44	+0.36	+0.84
	E-CCGT	/	+0.00	+0.00	+0.00	+0.00	+0.17	+0.00
	E-Fringe	/	+0.45	+0.45	+0.45	+0.34	+0.27	+0.73
Gas	G-Full Gas	/	-0.04	-0.05	+0.13	-0.01	-0.02	-0.01
	G-Gas+SMR	/	-0.17	-0.15	-0.17	+0.03	-0.11	-0.26
H2	H-Full SMR	/	-0.02	+0.14	-0.02	-0.00	-0.02	-0.03
	H-NewProd	/	+0.17	/	/	/	/	/
Total Producer rent change		/	+0.95	+0.95	+0.95	+0.79	+0.65	+1.27
Electricity welfare		/	-0.81	-0.81	-0.81	-0.61	-0.50	-1.39
Gas Welfare		/	+0.03	+0.05	+0.03	+0.01	+0.02	+0.01
H2 Welfare		/	+0.10	+0.08	+0.10	+0.00	+0.06	+0.21
Total Consumer welfare change		/	-0.68	-0.68	-0.68	-0.60	-0.42	-1.17
Overall Welfare change		/	+0.27	+0.26	+0.27	+0.20	+0.23	+0.09
		/	+0.27	+0.20	TU.27	+0.20	+0.23	_ T

Impact of PTG on welfare (Bn €)



CO2 emission H2 🖾 CO2 emission gas ■ CO2 emission electricity

DIW BERLIN

Change in CO2 emissions by sector compared to the "No PTG" case (MM tCO2)

Contact: camille.megy@centralesupelec.fr

1 Université Paris-Saclay, CentraleSupélec, Laboratoire Génie Industriel

2 IFP Energies Nouvelles, IFP School

3 City, University of London

Chaire The Economics of Gas



An extensive use of PTG may raise social and environmental concerns