



HAL
open science

Developing Employees' Trust in Management through Ethical Leadership

Damianus Dami Abun, Cumlat Jay G., Margarita Galat A.

► **To cite this version:**

Damianus Dami Abun, Cumlat Jay G., Margarita Galat A.. Developing Employees' Trust in Management through Ethical Leadership. Divine Word International Journal of management and Humanities, 2023, Divine Word International Journal of Management and Humanities, 2 (4), pp.552-565. hal-04325412

HAL Id: hal-04325412

<https://hal.science/hal-04325412>

Submitted on 6 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - ShareAlike 4.0 International License







Research in Management and Humanities

DWIJM VOL 2 No. 4 (2023), ISSN: 2980-4817

Available online at www.dwijmh.org
Journal homepage: <http://www.dwijmh.org>

Developing Employees' Trust in Management through Ethical Leadership

Damianus Abun ^(a)  Jay G. Cumlat, MAEd ^(b)  Margarita, A. Galat, MAEd ^(c)  Fredolin P. Julian, PhD ^(d) 

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: August 10, 2023

Received in rev. form: October 12, 2023

Accepted: December 09, 2023

Keywords: *Employees' trust, ethical leadership, integrity, competency, working relationship.*

JEL Classification:
M1 O15

ABSTRACT

The study aimed to explore the impact of ethical leadership on the level of trust employees place in their management and administrators. To substantiate the theoretical framework, an extensive review of relevant literature was conducted. Employing an assessment and correlational research design, the study encompassed the employee population of the Divine Word College of Laoag through a comprehensive surveying process. The data collection instruments comprised rigorously validated questionnaires sourced from reputable studies by Yukl et al. (2013) and Seok et al. (2015).

The findings revealed a notably high perception of ethical leadership and a strong level of trust among employees towards management. Moreover, the correlation coefficient analysis indicated a significant and positive relationship between ethical leadership and employees' trust in management.

© 2022 by the authors. Licensee DWIJMH. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Introduction

Managing an organization involves a range of skills, encompassing not just hard skills but also soft skills like fostering strong relationships with colleagues and management. Developing these soft skills is crucial for effective management. Central to these relationships is the foundation of trust. Smith (2019) notes that a lack of trust can harm the workplace severely, leading to dysfunction. Studies by Ning and Jin (2009), Botwe et al. (2016), Brown et al. (2015), Thielsch et al. (2018), Rahayuningsih (2019), and Joo et al. (2022) highlight the positive effects of trust on performance, communication, job satisfaction, and organizational behavior. Conversely, a deficit of trust, as noted by Smith (2019) and Thielsch et al. (2018), leads to dysfunctional and strained relationships, increased turnover intention, communication breakdown, and work disengagement.

Building organizational trust is fundamental to successful leadership, involving trust between leaders and employees and among peers. Leaders play a pivotal role in fostering this trust, with studies by Seok et al. (2015), Norman et al. (2010), Farmanesh and Zargar (2023), and Islam et al. (2020) emphasizing the importance of competence, positivity, integrity, and transparency in leaders. Ethical leadership, as suggested by Javed et al. (2018) and Zhang et al. (2018), significantly influences employees' trust, prompting investigations into its impact on trust levels within different organizational settings.

The current research focuses on assessing the level of ethical leadership and its effect on employees' trust in management, prompted by observations that management often overlooks the significance of ethical leadership and trust within the organization. The study's structure comprises an introduction, literature review discussing relevant theories, research methodology detailing the design and instruments, data presentation and analysis, results, implications, and a conclusion.

Literature Review

The Concept of Leadership and Management

The confusion between leadership and management often leads individuals to misuse these terms interchangeably. This confusion impacts behavior and roles within a workplace, affecting productivity and relationships. Distinguishing between the two is crucial. Leadership involves providing a vision, and implementing it through collaboration and empowerment, while management focuses on planning, organizing, and controlling to achieve set objectives (Abun, 2018).

Authors define leadership in various ways: from providing vision and direction (Bennis & Nanus, 1985), shaping, and sharing a vision (Handy, 1993), to establishing direction and motivating individuals (Conger, 1992). These definitions emphasize the leader's role in setting long-term direction and implementing it through collaboration and empowerment (Bennis & Nanus, 1985).

Similarly, management is defined diversely. Stoner (1995) sees it as the process of planning, organizing, leading, and controlling organizational efforts, while Koontz and Weihrich (1988) highlight getting things done through organized groups. Management involves planning, organizing, leading, and controlling work toward achieving organizational goals (Stoner, 1995), utilizing resources, and influencing human action for goal achievement (Fayol, 1984; Haimann & Scott, 1970).

The distinction between leadership and management is encapsulated in the notion that managers do things right while leaders do the right things (Bennis & Nanus, 1985). Managers focus on efficient operations, while leaders drive change by creating a vision and empowering others to achieve it. Both involve working with people and influencing them but with different emphases (Wajdi, 2017; Liphadzi et al., 2017).

Characteristics of effective leadership include having a clear vision, inspiring others, leading by example, stimulating ideas, and making changes (Boynton, 2016). Baker (2014) proposes a leadership/management continuum based on vision, strategy, operations, and tactics, where leaders create a shared vision while management translates strategies into operations.

Ethical Leadership and Its Dimensions to be Measured

The effectiveness of a leader extends beyond knowledge, skills, and financial capital; ethical behavior is equally crucial (Yukl, et al., 2013). However, defining ethical leadership varies among authors. Kanungo (2001) and Brown, Trevino, and Harrison (2005) emphasize ethical behavior's positive impact, focusing on good effects on others, integrity, fair treatment, and promoting ethical conduct. Ethical leaders serve as role models, guiding and rewarding ethical behavior (Brown, et al., 2005; Trevino, et al., 2003).

Dimensions of ethical leadership proposed by various authors (Kalshoven, et al, 2011, Wulumbawa, 2008, Barbuto & Wheeler, 2006, Craig and Gustafson,1998) diverge, creating conceptual confusion. De Hoogh and Den Hartog (2008) expanded dimensions to seven, including fairness, power sharing, role clarification, people orientation, ethical guidance, integrity, and concern for sustainability. However, Yukl, et al. (2011) and Yukl, et al. (2013) critiqued these dimensions, highlighting only three as relevant: fairness, integrity, and ethical guidance. They suggest a focus on honesty, consistency, ethical communication, fairness, altruism, and ethical guidance for accurate measurement.

Yukl, et al. (2006) developed a unidimensional Ethical Leadership Questionnaire (ELQ) encompassing honesty, integrity, fairness, altruism, consistency of behavior, ethical communication, and providing ethical guidance, consolidating relevant dimensions found in previous studies. They argue against a multidimensional approach and recommend a more focused measure for ethical leadership.

In agreement with Yukl, et al. (2013), the current study adopts the unidimensional Ethical Leadership Questionnaire by Yukl, et al. (2006), acknowledging its concise representation of relevant dimensions of ethical leadership. The effectiveness of a leader extends beyond knowledge, skills, and financial capital; ethical behavior is equally crucial (Yukl, et al., 2013). However, defining ethical leadership varies among authors. Kanungo (2001) and Brown, Trevino, and Harrison (2005) emphasize ethical behavior's positive impact, focusing on good effects on others, integrity, fair treatment, and promoting ethical conduct. Ethical leaders serve as role models, guiding and rewarding ethical behavior (Brown, et al., 2005; Trevino, et al., 2003).

Dimensions of ethical leadership proposed by various authors diverge, creating conceptual confusion. De Hoogh and Den Hartog (2008) expanded the dimensions to seven, including fairness, power sharing, role clarification, people orientation, ethical guidance, integrity, and concern for sustainability. However, Yukl, et al. (2011) and Yukl, et al. (2013) critiqued these dimensions, highlighting only three as relevant: fairness, integrity, and ethical guidance. They suggest a focus on honesty, consistency, ethical communication, fairness, altruism, and ethical guidance for accurate measurement. Yukl, et al. (2006) developed a unidimensional Ethical Leadership Questionnaire (ELQ) encompassing honesty, integrity, fairness, altruism, consistency of behavior, ethical communication, and providing ethical guidance, consolidating relevant dimensions found in previous studies. They argue against a multidimensional approach and recommend a more focused measure for ethical leadership.

In agreement with Yukl, et al. (2013), the current study adopts the unidimensional Ethical Leadership Questionnaire by Yukl, et al. (2006), acknowledging its concise representation of relevant dimensions of ethical leadership.

The Effect of Ethical Leadership on the Organization

Numerous studies explore the impact of ethical leadership on organizational outcomes. Bhatti et al. (2021) confirm that ethical leadership influences project success through trust and knowledge sharing. Ashfaq et al. (2021) and Chinwe et al. (2017) highlight how ethical leadership affects employee work engagement mediated by self-efficacy and organizational commitment. Similar findings by Malik et al. (2016) and Nauman and Qamar (2018) support the idea that ethical leadership enhances employee performance and productivity.

Qing et al. (2020) and Yozgat and Mesikiran (2016) echo this positive correlation, linking ethical leadership to job satisfaction and organizational commitment. Kim and Brymer (2011) as well as Guo (2022) emphasize how ethical leadership influences manager satisfaction, commitment, and firm performance. Rantika and Yustina (2017) reveal a connection between ethical leadership and employee well-being, mediated by psychological empowerment.

Conversely, studies like Schyns and Schilling (2013) show the detrimental impact of destructive leadership on counterproductive employee behavior. This behavior, noted by Shen & Lei (2022), Sypniewska (2020), and Bagyo (2018), has negative consequences for organizations, employees, and stakeholders. Bagyo (2018) specifically links counterproductive behavior to reduced employee engagement and performance, while Kilic and Gonsel (2019) emphasize how poor leadership diminishes workplace performance and productivity, impacting employee behavior and abilities.

The Concept of Interpersonal Trust Collective Trust

Understanding trust involves recognizing its various dimensions. Definitions from sources like Merriam-Webster and McLeod (2020) align in describing trust as reliance on someone's competence and reliability. Trustworthiness, distinct from trust, embodies the qualities that make an individual trustworthy.

Trust, as Mishra (1996) explains, involves vulnerability based on belief in another's competence, openness, concern, and reliability. It's not solely about competence but also about honesty and the absence of harm. Such trust is established through proven competence, honesty, and reliability.

In interpersonal relations, trust unfolds in cognitive and affective dimensions (McAllister, 1995). Cognitive trust stems from rational reasons and consistency in behavior and words. Affective trust evolves from emotional involvement developed over time. Trust in workplace teams fosters cooperation, enabling open communication and acceptance of mistakes within a safe environment (Edmondson, 1999). It encompasses both cognitive and affective dimensions, crucial for effective teamwork (Jones & George, 1998; Erdem & Ozen, 2003).

Management faces the challenge of fostering collective trust, an organizational perspective that complements interpersonal trust (Rousseau et al., 1998). Collective trust emerges from shared perceptions and affect about trustworthiness rooted in multiple social exchanges within a group (Forsyth et al., 2015).

Building a culture of trust involves providing credible evidence for decision-making (Bucero, 2012). Collective trust impacts organizational effectiveness (Holm & Nystedt, 2010; Gray, 2016), significantly influencing school effectiveness in contexts like that of teachers (Tarter & Hoy, 2004; Hoy et al., 1992).

The Importance of Interpersonal and Collective Trust on the Organizational Outcomes

Interpersonal trust and collective trust significantly impact organizational dynamics. While trust lacks a universally agreed-upon definition, it fundamentally involves believing in someone or a group's reliability (Gambetta, 1988). Interpersonal trust refers to vulnerability and belief in another individual (Ma et al., 2019), which, when shared collectively, becomes organizational and sociological (Lewis & Weigert, 1985).

Management is advised to cultivate both interpersonal and collective trust for enhanced performance (Yuan et al., 2021). Research demonstrates the influence of interpersonal trust on workgroup performance and job satisfaction. Dirks (1999) highlighted trust's motivational impact on work processes, while Bakiev (2013) and Ugwu and Maduagwu (2018) found a significant correlation between interpersonal trust and workgroup performance. Interpersonal trust extends beyond job satisfaction, affecting decision-making, feedback receptiveness, and employee empowerment (Guinot et al., 2014; Ul Hassan et al., 2012), suggesting trust-building practices to boost productivity and organizational commitment (Six, 2007).

Developing interpersonal trust involves strategies like competency enhancement, team interdependence, and group rewards, fostering trust-based teams that drive cooperation and organizational behavior (Bulinska-Stangrecka & Bagienska, 2019; Asamani, 2015).

Similarly, collective trust significantly influences individual and organizational performance. Studies indicate that collective trust fosters high-responsibility norms among employees, improving customer service and sales performance (Deutsch-Salamon & Robinson, 2011; 2008).

It influences team performance and organizational effectiveness, highlighting the importance of nurturing trust climates within organizations for favorable outcomes (Morrissette & Kisamore, 2019; Gray, 2016; Dirks & Ferrin, 2001). Improving workplace trust emerges as a crucial means to enhance organizational performance (Buenaventura-Vera & Gudziol-Vidal, 2020).

Employees' trust in management

Employees' trust in management, also known as organizational trust, is the collective faith employees have in the reliability, honesty, and fairness of management (Wang et al., 2018). Trust is defined as employees' willingness to align their attitudes and actions with organizational leaders (Mayer et al., 1995). It is built over time through consistent and respectful behavior that benefits employees (Taylor, 1989, in Baird & St-Amand, 1995).

Collective trust in management yields positive outcomes. Studies by Deutsch-Salamon and Robinson (2008, 2011) and Dirks and Ferrin (2001) emphasize the impact on the development of high-responsibility norms and accountability, influencing organizational performance. Research by Amoah-Binfoh et al. (2016) and Rahman et al. (2021) highlights the connection between low trust, managerial practices, and overall performance.

Seok et al. (2014) identified factors contributing to employee trust: status privileges, competency, benevolence, worker-leader relationships, and department head integrity. In their subsequent study, Seok et al. (2015) emphasized competency, integrity, and work relationships as crucial elements affecting trust. Hill and Lineback (2019) argue that trust is built on managerial competency, technical knowledge, operational expertise, and political acumen. Additionally, trust is influenced by character, integrity, and good intentions (Covey, 2009).

Conceptual Framework




Figure 1: the conceptual framework explains the correlation between ethical leadership and employees' trust in management. Ethical leadership affects the trust of employees

Statement of the Problems

The study examined the effect of ethical leadership on the employees' trust in the management. It specifically seeks to answer the following questions:

1. **What is the ethical leadership of administrators?**
2. **What is the employees' trust in management in terms of:**
 - a. **trust in management competency;**
 - b. **trust in management integrity;**
 - c. **Trust in a working relationship?**
3. **Is there a relationship between ethical leadership and employees' trust in management?**

Hypotheses

Studies have shown that ethical leadership affects the organization. It establishes a positive environment with productive relationships among the individuals, teams, and the overall organization. Establishing an ethical relationship with these groups will produce positive outcomes (Buye, 2021) and it also affects the job commitment and job satisfaction of the employees (Elci, et al. 2012) and behavioral changes of the employees (Yang, 2022). Based on these findings, the current study hypothesizes that ethical leadership affects employees' trust in management.

Scope and Delimitation of the Study

The study limits its investigation to the effect of ethical leadership on the employees' trust related to three dimensions namely trust in management competency, trust in management integrity and trust in working relationships. The respondents are taken from all employees of the Divine Word College of Laoag, Ilocos Norte.

Research Methodology

The foundation of any scientific research hinges on a well-chosen research methodology. This study meticulously detailed its research design, data gathering tools, target population, study location, data collection methods, and statistical data analysis techniques to ensure precision and reliability.

Research Design

The study adopted a quantitative approach, employing a descriptive and correlational research design. Descriptive research focuses on characterizing phenomena without delving into causation, aiming to outline the "what" rather than the "why" of the subject being studied (Baht, 2020). This method was utilized to gauge the level of ethical leadership and its impact on individual work performance among employees at Divine Word College of Laoag Ilocos Region. It serves to profile, detail frequency distributions, and describe characteristics of individuals, situations, or relationship variables, essentially capturing the essence of "what is" about the data (Ariola, 2006, as cited by Abun, 2021).

The Locale of the Study

The locale of the study was Divine Word College of Laoag. The college is in the province of Ilocos Norte.

Population

The population of the study was composed of all employees and faculty of Divine Word College of Laoag. The total enumeration sampling was used and 132 faculty and employees were taken as respondents of the study.

Data Gathering Instruments

The study adopted validated questionnaires of Yukl, et al. (2013) on ethical leadership and Sheok, et al. (2015) on employees' trust in management.

Data Gathering Procedures

During data collection, the researcher sought permission from the president of the college to distribute the questionnaires on-site. They personally met with the president and employees to request their participation in answering the questionnaires. Retrieval of the completed questionnaires was coordinated between the President's representative, the researcher, and the college faculty and employees.

Ethics Review

The study does not involve human subjects. Nonetheless, the researcher followed appropriate procedures prior to distribution of questionnaires

Statistical Treatment of Data

Aligned with the study's descriptive and correlational design, both descriptive and inferential statistics were employed. The weighted mean gauged the level of ethical leadership and employees' trust in management, while Pearson r measured the correlation between ethical leadership and employees' trust.

The following ranges of values with their descriptive interpretation will be used:

<i>Statistical Range</i>	<i>Descriptive Interpretation</i>
4.21-5.00	<i>Strongly agree/Very High</i>
3.41-4.20	<i>Agree/High</i>
2.61-3.40	<i>Somewhat agree/Moderate</i>
1.81-2.60	<i>Disagree/Low</i>
1.00-1.80	<i>Strongly disagree/Very Low</i>

Data Presentation and Analysis

The data are presented in the tables and follow the sequence of the statement of the problems and followed by analysis.

Problem 1: What is the Ethical leadership of administrators?

Table 1: Ethical Leadership of Administrators

<i>Indicators: Ethical Leadership</i>	Weighted Mean	Descriptive Interpretation
1. Shows a strong concern for ethical and moral values	3.99	H
2. Communicates clear ethical standards for members.	3.96	H
3. Sets an example of ethical behaviour in his/her decisions and actions	3.87	H
4. Is honest and can be trusted to tell the truth	4.06	H
5. Insists on doing what is fair and ethical even when it is not easy	4.02	H
6. Talks about the importance of honesty and integrity	4.02	H
7. Can be trusted to carry out promises and commitments.	3.99	H
8. Holds members accountable for using ethical practices in their work	3.96	H
Composite Mean	3.98	H

Source: Yukl, et al., (2013).

Legend:

Range of Mean Values

4.21 - 5.00

3.41 - 4.20

2.61 - 3.40

1.81 - 2.60

1.00 - 1.80

Descriptive Interpretation

strongly agree/Very High/VH

Agree/High/H

somewhat agree/Moderate/SWM

Disagree/Low/L

strongly disagree/Very low/VL

The data shows administrators' ethical leadership got an overall mean rating of 3.98, indicating an "agree/high" level. This means it's neither very low nor moderate, but rather high. Even individually, each indicator rates at this "agree/high" level. Past studies suggest that strong ethical leadership encourages good employee behavior, enhances organizational commitment and performance (Guo, 2022; Malik et al., 2016; Bahadori et al., 2021). It also influences organizational identity, seen in employees feeling free to share ideas, discuss issues, and boosts creativity (Hosseini & Ferreira, 2023).

Problem 2: What is the employees' trust in management/administrators?

Table 2: Employees' trust in management in terms of management competency.

Indicators: Employees trust in Management Competency	Weighted Mean	Descriptive Interpretation
1. My head of department shows confidence in task performance and administration	4.05	H
2. The ability of the department head is undeniable	4.00	H
3. My department head brings development to the department	4.02	H
4. I have confidence in the ability of my department head	4.04	H
5. My department head is my source of reference	3.98	H
6. My department head can make quick decisions	4.02	H
7. My department head is good at administration	4.02	H
8. My department head has a convincing appearance.	4.00	H
9. My department head has great experience in performing his/her tasks.	4.04	H
10. My department head is capable of delegating tasks to his/her employees.	4.04	H
Composite Mean	4.02	H

Source: Source: Seok, et al. (2015)

Legend:**Range of Mean Values**

4.21 - 5.00
 3.41 - 4.20
 2.61 - 3.40
 1.81 - 2.60
 1.00 - 1.80

Descriptive Interpretation

strongly agree/Very High/VH
 Agree/High/H
 somewhat agree/Moderate/SWM
 Disagree/Low/L
 strongly disagree/Very low/VL

The data highlights that overall; employees' trust in management regarding their competency achieved a composite mean rating of 4.02, indicating an "agree/high" level. This suggests that the trust isn't very low or moderate but rather high. Even when considered individually, all indicators maintain this "agree/high" rating. Hall (2021) emphasized that trust in management drives productivity, fosters collaboration, creativity, innovation, and aids conflict resolution. Giraldo (2021) also suggested a positive connection between trust in management and managerial competencies, stressing the need for management to develop and exhibit these competencies to earn employees' trust.

Table 3: Employees' Trust in Management in terms of Integrity

Indicators: Trust in Management in terms of Integrity	Weighted Mean	Descriptive Interpretation
1. My department head is very sincere in performing tasks and in making decisions for the department.	4.02	H
2. My department head is a disciplined person in task performance and administration	4.04	H
3. I like the ethical values department head	4.12	H
4. My department head has high integrity.	4.10	H
5. My department head always shows a good example to his/her employees	4.02	H
6. My department head is a person with high principles	4.06	H
7. The management department head is honest and truthful.	4.03	H
8. My department head respects his/her employees	4.00	H
Composite Mean	4.04	H

Source: Source: Seok, et al. (2015)

The data illustrates that overall, employees' trust in management's integrity scored a composite mean rating of 4.04, signaling an "agree/high" level. This suggests that management's integrity isn't very low, moderate, or very high but falls within the high range. Even when viewed separately, all indicators maintained the same "agree/high" rating. According to Simons (2002), leadership integrity instills followers with confidence and credibility. Amann and Stachowicz-Stanusch (2013) highlighted integrity as vital in creating people-focused organizations. Serrat (2017) stressed the role of managers in fostering integrity, as they serve as the architects of trust.

Table 4: Trust in Working Relationship

Indicators: Trust in Working Relationship	Weighted Mean	Descriptive Interpretation
1. My department head has good knowledge of my background	3.96	H
2. My department head spends time with his/her/her employees	4.06	H
3. My department head understands me well	3.98	H
4. My department head always discusses work-related issues with his/her employees.	4.03	H
Composite Mean	4.00	H
Overall Mean Rating for Trust in Management	4.02	H

Source: Source: Seok, et al. (2015).

The data in the table shows that overall; employees' trust in working relationships earned a composite mean of 4.02, signaling an "agree/high" level. This rating indicates that trust in working relationships isn't very low, moderate, or very high but falls within the high range. When examined separately, each item received the same "agree/high" rating. Employees believe that their department head knows, understands, engages, and discusses work issues with them. Gerbasi et al. (2023) highlighted that workplace relationships significantly impact organizational achievement. Yan et al. (2021) noted that strong working relationships with leadership lead to energized, motivated, and focused employees dedicated to achieving goals.

Problem 3: Is there a Relationship between Ethical Leadership and Employees' Trust in Management?

Table 3. Coefficients of correlation on the tests of relationships between ethical leadership and employees' trust in management (n=132)

EMPLOYEES' TRUST IN MANAGEMENT		ETHICAL LEADERSHIP
Trust in Management Competency	r	.813**
	(Sig. 2 -tailed)	.000
Trust in Management Integrity	r	.788**
	(Sig.2-tailed)	.000
Trust in a Working Relationship	r	.740**
	(Sig.2-tailed)	.000

* Significant at .05 level of significance (2-tailed)

** Significant at .01 level of significance (2-tailed)

The data shows a strong, positive correlation between ethical leadership and employees' trust in management competency, integrity, and working relationships. For every rise in ethical leadership, there is a parallel increase in trust across these aspects of management. Specifically:

Ethical Leadership and Employees' Trust in Management Competency

The correlation coefficient of .813 suggests a strong, positive relationship between ethical leadership and employees' trust in management competency. This means that an increase in ethical leadership directly corresponds to an increase in trust in management competency, unit

Ethical Leadership and Employees' Trust in Management Integrity

The correlation of .788 between ethical leadership and employees' trust in management integrity is highly significant, suggesting a strong, positive relationship. An increase in ethical leadership corresponds to increased trust in management integrity.

Ethical Leadership and Employees' Trust in a Working Relationship

The correlation coefficient of .740 between ethical leadership and employees' trust in a working relationship indicates a highly significant, positive relationship. An increase in ethical leadership corresponds to a parallel increase in trust within working relationships.

Results and Discussion

The study reveals a strong correlation between ethical leadership and employees' trust in management, underscoring the crucial role of ethical conduct in organizational success. Ethical leadership, defined by moral decision-making and integrity, significantly impacts employees' satisfaction and behavior (Moon & Jung, 2018; Abdullah et al., 2018; Freire & Bettencourt, 2020; Charoensap et al., 2019; Sarfraz et al., 2022). Conversely, unethical leadership leads to turnover, behavioral issues, and diminished well-being among employees (Smith et al., 2020; Bamberger & Bacharach, 2006; Fehr et al., 2019). Ethical leadership isn't merely a choice but a requisite for leaders aiming to advance organizational objectives (Imm, 2023; Terzieva, 2023; Brown & Mitchell, 2010; Resick et al., 2006).

Conclusion

The study aimed to assess how ethical leadership influences employees' trust in management. It discovered a strong correlation between high ethical leadership among administrators and trust in management among employees. This correlation confirms a significant relationship, affirming the study's hypothesis.

References

- Abdullah M. I., Sarfraz M., Qun W., Javaid N. (2018). *Drivers of green supply chain management*. *Log Forum* 14 437–447.
- Abun, D. (2018). *Leader-Manager Who Cares*. Germany: OmniScriptum
- Abun, D. Basilio, G.J.Q., Magallanes, T., Encarnacion, M.J. & Sallong, M. (2021). *Examining the link between organizational citizenship behaviour and work performance of employees in the private schools, mediated by the workplace environment*. *International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science*, 10 (4), 85-98. <https://doi.org/10.20525/ijrbs.v10i4.1229>
- Addison, J.T. & Teixeira, P. (2019). *Trust and Workplace Performance*. IZA Discussion Papers, No. 12216, Institute of Labor Economics (IZA), Bonn. Retrieved from <https://www.econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/196714/1/dp12216.pdf>
- Amann, W. & Stachowicz-Stanusch, A. (2013). *Integrity in Organization*. London Borough of Camden: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Amoah-Binfoh, K., Botwe, P.B. & Masih, E. (2016). *Concept of Trust and Its Effect on Performance in an Organization*. *The International Journal of Business & Management*, 4(2).
- Ariola, M.M. (2006). *Principles and Methods of Research*. Manila: Rex Book Store
- Aronson, E. (2001). *Integrating leadership styles and ethical perspectives*. *Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences*, 18, 244–256. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1936-4490.2001.tb00260.x>
- Asamani, L. (2015). *Interpersonal Trust at Work and Employees' Organizational Citizenship Behavior*. *International Journal of Business and Management Review*, 3(11), 17-29.
- Ashfaq, F., Abid, G., & Ilyas, S. (2021). *Impact of Ethical Leadership on Employee Engagement: Role of Self-Efficacy and Organizational Commitment*. *European Journal of Investigation in Health, Psychology and Education*, 11(3), 962-974. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ejihpe11030071>.
- Bagyo, Y. (2018). *The Effect of Counterproductive Work Behavior (CWB) And Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB) on Employee Performance with Employee Engagement as an Intervening Variable*. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management*, 20(2), 83-89.
- Bahadori, M., Ghasemi, M., Hasanpoor, E., Hosseini, S.M. & Alimohammadzadeh, K. (2021). *The influence of ethical leadership on the organizational commitment in fire organizations*. *International Journal of Ethics and Systems*, 37(1), 145-156. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOES-04-2020-0043>
- Baht, A. (2020). *Descriptive Research: Definition, Characteristics, Methods, Examples, and Advantages*. Question Pro. Retrieved from <https://www.questionpro.com/blog/descriptive-research/>.
- Baird, A. & St-Amand, R. (1995). *Trust within the organization*. Public Service Commission of Canada, 1. Retrieved July 1, 2022, from http://www.pscfc.gc.ca/publications/monogra/mono1_e.htm
- Baker, Edward L. (2014). *Leadership and Management—Guiding Principles, Best Practices, and Core Attributes*. *Journal of Public Health Management and Practice*, 20(3), 356-357. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PHH.0000000000000063>
- Bakiev, E. (2013). *The Influence of Interpersonal Trust and Organizational Commitment on Perceived Organizational Performance*. *International Journal of Economics and Business Research* 3(3),166-180
- Bamberger, P. A., & Bacharach, S. B. (2006). *Abusive supervision and subordinate problem drinking: Taking resistance, stress and subordinate personality into account*. *Human Relations*, 59, 723–752. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726706066852>
- Barbuto, J. E., & Wheeler, D. W. (2006). *Scale development and construct clarification of servant leadership*. *Group & Organization Management*, 31, 300-326. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1059601106287091>
- Bennis, G.W. & Nanus, B. (1985). *The Strategies for Taking Charge*. New York: Harper Business.
- Bhatti, S.H., Kiyani, S.K., Dust, S.B. and Zakariya, R. (2021). *The impact of ethical leadership on project success: the mediating role of trust and knowledge sharing*. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, 14 (4), 982-998. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJMPB-05-2020-0159>
- Botwe, P.B., Kenneth, A.B. & Masih, E. (2016). *Concept of Trust and Its Effect on Performance in an Organization*. *The International Journal of Business & Management*, 4(2).
- Boynton, A. (2016). *Nine Things that Separate the Leaders from the Managers*. Forbes. Retrieved from <https://www.forbes.com>
- Brown, M. E., Treviño, L. K., & Harrison, D. A. (2005). *Ethical leadership: A social learning perspective for construct development and testing*. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 97, 117–134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2005.03.002>

- Brown, S., Gray, D., McHardy, J. & Taylor, K. (2015). *Employee trust and workplace performance*. Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization, 116, 361-378. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2015.05.001>.
- Brown, M.E., & Mitchell, T.R. (2010). Ethical and unethical leadership: exploring new avenues for future research. Business Ethics Quarterly, 20,583–616.
- Bucero, A. (2012). Collective Trust. *PM Network*, 26(8), 29.
- Buenaventura-Vera, G., & Gudziol-Vidal, J. A. (2020). *Trust as a mechanism to improve organisational performance*. Cuadernos de Administración, 36(66), 53-63. <https://doi.org/10.25100/cdea.v36i66.7897>.
- Bulinska-Stangrecka, H. & Bagienska, A. (2019). *HR Practices for Supporting Interpersonal Trust and Its Consequences for Team Collaboration and Innovation*. Sustainability, 11, 4423. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11164423>
- Buye, R. (2021). *Ethical leadership in Public Management: The importance of ethical principles and standards to improve performance of public sector organizations*. Retrieved from <https://www.researchgate.net/>
- Charoensap, A., Virakul, B., Senasu, K., & Ayman, R. (2019). *Effect of ethical leadership and interactional justice on employee work attitudes*. Journal of Leadership Studies, 12 7–26. 10.1002/jls.21574
- Chinwe, A.N., Chukwuma, N.K., & Richard, E.O. (2017). *The Impact of Ethical Leadership on Employees' Commitment in Nigeria*. International Journal of Development and Management Review (INJODEMAR), 12(1).
- Conger, J.A. (1992). *Learning to Lead*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Covey, S.M.R. (2009). *How the Best Leader Builds Trust*. SHRM: Better Workplaces, Better World. Retrieved from <https://www.shrm.org>
- Craig, S.B. & Gustafson, S.B. (1998). *Perceived Leader Integrity Scale: An Instrument for Assessing Employee Perception of Leader Integrity*. Leadership Quarterly, 9(2), 127-145
- De Hoogh, A. H. B., & Den Hartog, D. N. (2008). *Ethical and despotic leadership, relationships with leader's social responsibility, top management team effectiveness and subordinates' optimism: A multi-method study*. Leadership Quarterly, 19, 297–311
- De Hoogh, A. H. B., & Den Hartog, D. N. (2009). *Ethical leadership: The positive and responsible use of power*. In D. Tjsoveld, & B. Van Knippenberg (Eds.), Power and interdependence in organizations. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511626562>
- De Hoogh, A. H. B., Den Hartog, D. N. & Kalshoven, K. (2011). *Ethical leadership at work questionnaire (ELW): Development and validation of a multidimensional measure*. The Leadership Quarterly, 22(1), 51-69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2010.12.007>
- Deutsch-Salamon, S. & Robinson, S.L. (2008). *Trust That Binds: The Impact of Collective Felt Trust on Organizational Performance*. Journal of Applied Psychology 93(3), 593-601. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.93.3.593>
- Deutsch-Salamon, S. & Robinson, S.L. (2011). *The Impact of Trust on Organizational Performance*. HRMA: Research Briefing. Retrieved from <https://cphr.ca/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/Trust-and-Performance-Research-Results.pdf>
- Dirks K. T. (1999). *The effects of interpersonal trust on work group performance*. The Journal of Applied Psychology, 84(3), 445–455. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.84.3.445>
- Dirks, K. T., & Ferrin, D. L. (2001). *The role of trust in organizational settings*. Organization Science, 12(4), 450–467. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.12.4.450.10640>
- Dirks, K. T., & Ferrin, D. L. (2001). The Role of Trust in Organizational
- Edmondson, A. (1999). *Psychological Safety and Learning Behavior in Work Teams*. Administrative Science Quarterly, 44(4), 350-383. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2666999>
- Elci, M., Sener, I., Aksoy, S. & Alpan, L. (2012). *The Impact of Ethical Leadership and Leadership Effectiveness on Employees' Turnover Intention: The Mediating Role of Work-related Stress*. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences 58 (2012) 289 – 297. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.09.1003>
- Erdem, F. & Ozen, J. (2003). *Cognitive and affective dimensions of trust in developing team performance*. Team Performance Management: An International Journal, 9(5/6),131 – 135. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/13527590310493846>
- Farmanesh, P., & Zargar, P. (2023). *Trust in Leader as a Psychological Factor on Employee and Organizational Outcome*. IntechOpen. doi: 10.5772/intechopen.100372
- Fayol, H. (1984). *General and Industrial Management*. London: Pitman

- Fehr, R., Fulmer, A., & Keng-Highberger, F. T. (2019). *How do employees react to leaders' unethical behaviour? The role of moral disengagement*. *Personnel Psychology*, 73(1), 73-93. <https://doi.org/10.1111/peps.12366>
- Freire C., Bettencourt C. (2020). *Impact of ethical leadership on job satisfaction: the mediating effect of work-family conflict*. *Leadership and Organization Development Journal*, 41 319–330. <https://doi.org/10.1108/LODJ-07-2019-0338>
- Forsyth, P., Adams, C.M. & Hoy, W.K. (2015). *Collective Trust: Why Schools Can't Improve Without It*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Gambetta, D. (1988). Can we trust? In *Trust: Making and Breaking Cooperative Relations*. New York, NY: Blackwell, 213–237.
- Gerbasi, A., Emery, C. & Parker, A. (2023). *Understanding Workplace Relationship*. London Borough of Camden: Springer
- Giraldo, L.M.C. (2021). *Management Competencies and Trust in a Private Service Company*. *Revista Industrial Data*, 24(1), 212-137. <https://doi.org/10.15381/idata.v24i1.17700>
- Goldberg, S. C., (2020). *Trust and Reliance*. Oxfordshire: Routledge
- Golembiewski, R.T., & Mcconkie, M. (1975). *The centrality of interpersonal trust in group processes*. Retrieved from <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:140955217>
- Gray, J. (2016). *Investigating the Role of Collective Trust, Collective Efficacy, and Enabling School Structures on Overall School Effectiveness*. *NCPEA Education Leadership Review*, 17(1).
- Guinot, J., Chiva, R. & Roca-Puig, V. (2014). *Interpersonal Trust, Stress and Satisfaction at Work: An Empirical Study*. *Personnel Review*, 43(1). <https://doi.org/10.1108/PR-02-2012-0043>
- Guo, K. (2022). *The Relationship Between Ethical Leadership and Employee Job Satisfaction: The Mediating Role of Media Richness and Perceived Organizational Transparency*. *Frontier Psychology*, 13, 885515. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.885515>. PMID: 35664219; PMCID: PMC9158423
- Haimann, T. & Scott, W.G. (1970). *Management in Modern Organization*. California: Houghton Mifflin
- Hall, J. (2021). *Why a Focus on Employee Trust is Essential*. *Forbes*. Retrieved from <https://www.forbes.com/>
- Handy, C. (1993). *Understanding Organizations*. London: Penguin Books.
- Herrenkohl, R. C., Judson, G. T., & Heffner, J. A. (1999). *Defining and Measuring Employee Empowerment*. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 35(3), 373–389. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0021886399353008>
- Hill, L. & Lineback, K. (2012). *To Build Trust, Competence is Key*. *Harvard Business Review*. Retrieved from <https://hbr.org/2012/03/to-build-trust-competence-is-k>
- Holm, H. J., & Nystedt, P. (2010). *Collective Trust Behavior*. *The Scandinavian Journal of Economics*, 112(1), 25–53. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40587795>
- Honold, L. (1997). *A review of the literature on employee empowerment*. *Empowerment in Organizations*, 5(4), 202-212. <https://doi.org/10.1108/14634449710195471>
- Hosseini, E., Ferreira, J.J. (2023). *The impact of ethical leadership on organizational identity in digital startups: does employee voice matter?* *Asian Journal of Business Ethics*. Springer Link. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13520-023-00178-1>
- Hoy, W. K., Tarter, C. J., & Wiskowskie, L. (1992). *Faculty trust in colleagues: Linking the principal with school effectiveness*. *Journal of Research and Development in Education*, 26, 38–58.
- Imm, J. (2023). *What is Ethical Leadership?* North Central College. Retrieved from <https://www.northcentralcollege.edu/>
- Islam, M.N., Furuoka, F. & Aida, I. (2020). *The impact of trust in leadership on organizational transformation*. *Global Business and Organizational Excellence*, 39(4). <https://10.1002/joe.22001>
- Javed, B., Rawwas, M., Khandai, S., Shahid, K., & Tayyeb, H. (2018). *Ethical leadership, trust in leader and creativity: The mediated mechanism and an interacting effect*. *Journal of Management & Organization*, 24(3), 388-405. <https://doi.org/10.1017/jmo.2017.56>
- Joo, B.-K.(B)., Yoon, S.K. and Galbraith, D. (2023). *The effects of organizational trust and empowering leadership on group conflict: psychological safety as a mediator*. *Organization Management Journal*, 20 (1), 4-16. <https://doi.org/10.1108/OMJ-07-2021-1308>
- Kalshoven, K., Den Hartog, D.N., De Hoogh, A.H.B. (2011). *Ethical leadership at work questionnaire (ELW): Development and validation of a multidimensional measure*. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 22, 51-69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2010.12.007>

- Kalshoven, K., Den Hartog, D.N., De Hoogh, A.H.B. (2011). *Ethical leadership at work questionnaire (ELW): Development and validation of a multidimensional measure*. The Leadership Quarterly, 22, 51-69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2010.12.007>
- Kanungo, R. N. (2001). *Ethical values of transactional and transformational leaders*. Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences, 18, 257–265. <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1936-4490.2001.TB00261.X>
- Kanungo, R. N. & Conger, J.A. (1993). *Charismatic leadership in organizations: Perceived behavioural attributes and their measurement*. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 15(5), 439-452
- Kilic, M. & Günsel, A. (2019). *The Dark Side of the Leadership: The Effects of Toxic Leaders on Employees*. European Journal of Social Science, 2(2). <https://doi.org/10.26417/ejss-2019.v2i2-64>
- Kim, W.G., & Brymer R.A. (2011). *The effects of ethical leadership on manager job satisfaction, commitment, behavioural outcomes, and firm performance*. International Journal of Hospitality Management, 30(4), 1020-1026. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2011.03.008>
- Koontz, H. & Wehrich, H. (1988). *Management*. Europe: McGraw-Hill Education
- Laschinger, H.K, Finegan, J., Shamian, J. (2001). *The impact of workplace empowerment, organizational trust on staff nurses' work satisfaction and organizational commitment*. Health Care Management Review, 26(3):7-23. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00004010-200107000-00002>.
- Lewis, D. J., & Weigert, A. (1985). *Trust as a social reality*. Social Forces, 63(4), 967–985. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2578601>
- Liphadzi, M., Aigbavboa, C.O. & Thwala, W.D. (2017). *A theoretical perspective on the difference between leadership and management*. Procedia Engineering, 196, 478 – 482. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2017.07.227>
- Ma, J., Schaubroeck, J.M., & LeBlanc, C. (2019). *Interpersonal Trust in Organizations*. Oxford Research Encyclopedia: Business and Management. Retrieved from <https://oxfordre.com/business/view/10.1093/acrefore/9780190224851.001.0001/acrefore-9780190224851-e-167?print=pdf>. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190224851.013.167>
- Malik, M.S., Awais, M., Timsal, A. & Qureshi, U.H. (2016). *Impact of Ethical Leadership on Employees' Performance: Moderating Role of Organizational Values*. International Review of Management and Marketing, 6(3), 590-595. Retrieved from <http://econjournals.com/index.php/irmm/article/view/2288/pdf>
- Mayer, R. C., Davis, J. H., & Schoorman, F. D. (1995). *An Integrative Model of Organizational Trust*. The Academy of Management Review, 20(3), 709–734. <https://doi.org/10.2307/258792>
- McAllister, D.J. (1995). *Affect and Cognition Based Trust as Foundations of Interpersonal Cooperation in Organizations*. Academy of Management Journal, 38(1), 24-59. <https://doi.org/10.2307/256727>
- McLeod, C. (2020). *Trust*. Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. Retrieved from <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/trust/>
- Mishra, A.K. (1996). *Organizational Responses to Crisis: The Centrality of Trust*. In: Kramer, R.M. and Tyler, T.R., E., Eds., Trust in Organizations, 261-287. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Moon K.-K., Jung C. (2018). *Management representativeness, ethical leadership, and employee job satisfaction in the U.S. federal government*. Public Personnel Management, 47, 265–286. <https://doi.org/10.1177/009102601876748>
- Morrisette, A.M., & Kisamore, J.L. (2020). *Trust and performance in business teams: A metanalysis*. Team Performance Management: An International Journal, 26(5/6), 287-300. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TPM-02-2020-0012>.
- Nauman, R. & Qamar, A. (2018). *The Impact of Ethical Leadership on Employee Productivity*. Journal of Management and Human Resource (JMHR). Khadim Ali Shah Bukhari Institute of Technology (KASBIT), 1, 66-84.
- Ning, L. & Jin, Y. (2009). *The effects of trust climate on individual performance*. Frontier in Business Research in China, 3(1), 27-49. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11782-009-0002-6>
- Steven M. Norman, Bruce J. Avolio, Fred Luthans (2010). *The impact of positivity and transparency on trust in leaders and their perceived effectiveness*. The Leadership Quarterly, 21(3), 350-364. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2010.03.002>.
- Qing, M., Asif, M., & Hussain, A. (2020). *Exploring the impact of ethical leadership on job satisfaction and organizational commitment in public sector organizations: the mediating role of psychological empowerment*. Review of Management Science, 14, 1405–1432. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11846-019-00340-9>
- Rahayuningsih, I. (2019). *The Positive Impact of Organizational Trust: A Systematic Review*. Journal of Educational Health and Community Psychology, 8(1).

- Rahman, S.A.A., Wahba, M., Ragheb, M.A.S. and Ragab, A.A. (2021). *The Effect of Organizational Trust on Employee Performance through Organizational Commitment as a Mediating Variable (Applied Study on Mobile Phone Companies in Egypt)*. Open Access Library Journal, 8, 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1107806>.
- Rantika, S.D. & Yustina, A.I. (2017). *The Effect of Ethical Leadership on Employee Well-Being: The Mediating Role of Psychological Empowerment*. Journal of Indonesian Economy and Business, 32(2), 121-137. <https://doi.org/10.22146/jieb.22333>
- Resick, C. J., Hanges, P. J., Dickson, M. W., & Mitchelson, J. K. (2006). *A cross-cultural examination of the endorsement of ethical leadership*. Journal of Business Ethics, 63, 345–359.
- Rousseau, D., Sitkin, S. B., Burt, R., & Camerer, C. (1998). Not so different after all: A cross-discipline view of trust. The Academy of Management Review, 23(3), 393–404. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMR.1998.926617>
- Sarfraz, M., Hafeez, H., Abdullah, M. I., Ivascu, L., & Ozturk I. (2022). *The effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on healthcare workers' psychological and mental health: the moderating role of felt obligation*. Work, 71, 1–12
- Sagholi, Z. & Moayed, (2011). *The consequences of organizational commitment in education*. Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences 15 (2011) 246-250
- Schyns, B., & Schilling, J. (2013). *How bad are the effects of bad leaders? A meta-analysis of destructive leadership and its outcomes*. The Leadership Quarterly, 24(1), 138–158. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2012.09.001>
- Seok, C.B., Cosmas, G., Mutang, J.A., & Hashmi, S.I. (2015). *Development and Validation of Employee Trust Scale: Factor Structure, Reliability, and Validity*. International Journal of Economics and Management Engineering, 9(8). Retrieved from <http://waset.org/publications/10002708/development>.
- Seok, C.B., Lastar, A.I., Chiew, T.C., Mutang, J.A., Madlan, L. & Zhi, A.C.H. (2014). *Workers and Head of Departments: Investigating the Trust Factor*. International Journal of Information and Education Technology, 4 (3).
- Serrat, O. (2017). *Building Trust in the Workplace*. In: Knowledge Solutions. Singapore: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-0983-9_69
- Shen, Y. & Lei, X. (2022). *Exploring the Impact of Leadership Characteristics on Subordinates' Counterproductive Work Behavior: From the Organizational Cultural Psychology Perspective*. Frontier in Psychology, 13, 818509. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.818509>
- Silva, M.C. & Gomes, C.F.S. (2015). *Practices in project management according to Charles Handy's organizational culture typologies*. Procedia Computer Science, 55, 678-687. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2015.07.074>
- Simons T (2002). *Behavioural integrity: the perceived alignment between managers' words and deeds as a research focus*. Organization Science 13(1):18–35
- Six, F.E. (2007). *Building interpersonal trust within organizations: a relational signalling perspective*. Journal of Management Governance 11, 285–309. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10997-007-9030-9>
- Smith, S. (2019). *Lack of Trust can Make the Workplaces Sick and Dysfunctional*. Forbes. Retrieved from <https://www.forbes.com/>
- Smith, T. A., Boulamatsi, A., Dimotakis, N., Tepper, B. J., Runnalls, B. A., Reina, C., & Lucianetti, L. (2022). *How dare you?!": A self-verification perspective on how performance influences the effects of abusive supervision on job embeddedness and subsequent turnover*. Personnel Psychology, 75, 645-674. <https://doi.org/10.1111/peps.12494>
- Stoner, J. A. F., Freeman, R. Edward, G. & Daniel, R. (1995). *Management. 6th Ed*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall, Inc.
- Sypniewska, B. (2020). *Counterproductive Work Behavior and Organizational Citizenship Behavior*. Adv Cogn Psychol, 16(4):321-328. <https://doi.org/10.5709/acp-0306-9>. PMID: 33500742; PMCID: PMC7809919
- Tarter, C. J., & Hoy, W. K. (2004). *A systems approach to quality in elementary schools: A theoretical and empirical analysis*. Journal of Educational Administration, 42, 539-554. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09578230410554052>
- Taylor R.G (1989). *The Role of Trust in Labor-Management Relations*. Organizational Development Journal, 7, 85-89
- Terzieva, K. (2023). *The Rise of Ethical Leadership in Modern Business Enterprises*. Forbes. Retrieved from <https://www.forbes.com/>
- Thielsch, M., Meeßen, S.M., & Hertel, G. (2018). *Trust and distrust in information systems at the workplace*. Peer Journal. 6, e5483. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.5483>. PMID: 30225161; PMCID: PMC6139009.

- Treviño, L. K., Brown, M., & Hartman, L. P. (2003). *A qualitative investigation of perceived executive ethical leadership: Perceptions from inside and outside the executive suite*. *Human Relations*, 56, 5–37. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726703056001448>
- Ugwu, J.N. & Maduagwu, E.N. (2018). *Interpersonal Trust and Workgroup Performance in Selected Public Organizations*. *Asia Pacific Journal of Research in Business and Management*, 9(1).
- Ul Hassan, M., Vatansever, N., Semercios, F., & Aksel, I. (2012). *Interpersonal Trust and Its Role in Organizations*. *International Business Research*, 5(8). <https://doi.org/10.5539/ibr.v5n8p33>
- Wajdi, B.N. (2017). *The Difference between Leadership and Management*. *Sinergy*, 7 (2). <https://doi.org/10.25139/sng.v7i1.31>
- Walumbwa, F. O., Avolio, B. J., Gardner, W. L., Wernsing, T. S., & Peterson, S. J. (2008). *Authentic leadership: Development and validation of a theory-based measure*. *Journal of Management*, 34, 89-126. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206307308913>
- Wang, W., Mather, K. & Seifert, R. (2018). *Job insecurity, employee anxiety, and commitment: The moderating role of collective trust in management*. *Journal of Trust Research*, 8(2). <https://doi.org/10.1080/21515581.2018.1463229>
- Whitener E. M. (1997). *The impact of human resource activities on employee trust*. *Human Resource Management Review* 7:389-404. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1053-4822\(97\)90026-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1053-4822(97)90026-7)
- Yan, Y., Zhang, J., Akhtar, M.N., & Liang, S. (2023). *Positive leadership and employee engagement: The roles of state positive affect and individualism-collectivism*. *Current Psychology*, 42, 9109–9118 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-021-02192-7>
- Yozgat, U. & Mesekiran, G. (2016). *The Impact of Ethical Leadership and Trust in Leader on Job Satisfaction*. *Journal of Economics, Business and Management*, 4(2). <https://doi.org/10.7763/JOEBM.2016.V4.378>
- Yuan, H., Long, Q., Huang, G., Huang, L., & Luo, S. (2021). *Different roles of interpersonal trust and institutional trust in COVID-19 pandemic control*. *Social science & medicine (1982)*, 293, 114677. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2021.114677>
- Yukl, G. (2006). *Leadership in Organizations* (6th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education.
- Yukl, G., Mahsud, R., Hassan, S. & Prussia, G.E. (2013). *An Improved Measure of Ethical Leadership*. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, 20(38). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1548051811429352>
- Zhang, Y., Zhou, F., & Mao, J. (2018). *Ethical Leadership and Follower Moral Actions: Investigating an Emotional Linkage*. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9, 1881. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01881>

Publisher's Note: DWIJMH stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



© 2022 by the authors. Licensee DWIJMH. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Divine Word International Journal of Management and Humanities. DWIJMH is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.