

# Century-scale carbon sequestration flux throughout the ocean by the biological pump

Florian Ricour, Lionel Guidi, Marion Gehlen, Timothy Devries, Louis Legendre

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Florian Ricour, Lionel Guidi, Marion Gehlen, Timothy Devries, Louis Legendre. Century-scale carbon sequestration flux throughout the ocean by the biological pump. Nature Geoscience, 2023, 16, pp.1105-1113. 10.1038/s41561-023-01318-9. hal-04321028

### HAL Id: hal-04321028 https://hal.science/hal-04321028v1

Submitted on 1 Feb 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## nature geoscience

**Article** 

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-023-01318-9

# Century-scale carbon sequestration flux throughout the ocean by the biological pump

In the format provided by the authors and unedited

- 1. Mechanisms involved in ocean carbon sequestration, including the ocean carbon pumps
- 2. Sequestration flux and inventory of sequestered carbon
- 3. Functioning of the biological carbon pump mechanisms
- 4. Comparisons between our calculations or results for the mixing and migrant pumps and values from the literature
- 5. Robustness of our results to changes in ocean circulation
- 6. Obtaining the  $f_{100}$  values to implement  $F_{seq}$  in models
- 7. Supplementary Tables S1 and S2
- 8. Supplementary Methods
- 9. Additional references

#### 1. Mechanisms involved in ocean carbon sequestration, including the ocean carbon pumps

Marine biogeochemists group carbon sequestration mechanisms into *carbon pumps*. This concept was originally created to explain the observed increasing DIC concentration with depth in the global ocean<sup>45</sup> and consequently did not consider the storage of organic carbon in the sediment. The carbon pumps were later applied to ocean carbon sequestration, in which case their definition included organic carbon transport to the ocean interior and possibly the sediment. Indeed, IPCC<sup>7</sup> definitions of the ocean carbon pumps are as follows: the *solubility pump* is "a physicochemical process that transports dissolved inorganic carbon from the ocean's surface to its interior [...] primarily driven by the solubility of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) [...] and the large-scale, thermohaline patterns of ocean circulation"; the *carbonate pump* consists of "the biological formation of carbonates, primarily by plankton that generate bio-mineral particles that sink to the ocean interior, and possibly the sediment [...] accompanied by the release of CO<sub>2</sub> to surrounding water and subsequently to the atmosphere"; and the *biological carbon pump*, which is the focus of this study, transports POC and DOC "to the ocean interior, and possibly the sediment".

Other biologically-driven sequestration mechanisms that are technically not part of the BCPs include: the *microbial carbon pump*, which consists of "microbial processes that transform organic carbon from rapidly-degradable states to biologically-unavailable forms, resulting in long-term carbon storage in the ocean, [...] and is the principal mechanism generating and sustaining refractory dissolved organic carbon in the ocean"<sup>16,33,34</sup>; and coastal *blue carbon*, which consists of "rooted vegetation in the coastal zone, such as tidal marshes, mangroves and seagrasses. The latter ecosystems have high carbon burial rates on a per unit area basis and accumulate carbon in their soils and sediments". Coastal blue carbon sequestration is actively debated in the literature<sup>46–49</sup>.

#### 2. Sequestration flux and inventory of sequestered carbon by the biological pump

The present work deals with the sequestration flux of biogenic carbon ( $F_{seq}$ ) in the ocean<sup>2</sup>. but some other studies address the inventory of sequestered DIC<sub>bio</sub> ( $C_{seq}^{-1}$  or  $C_{soft}^{-3}$ ). In the present study,  $F_{seq}$  is the flux of biogenic carbon leading to retention of DIC<sub>bio</sub> in the ocean for  $\geq 100$  years, whereas  $C_{seq}$  is the total inventory of DIC<sub>bio</sub> below the euphotic zone, assuming instantaneous equilibration of the ocean and atmosphere<sup>1</sup>, irrespective of the retention time. The  $C_{seq}$  values are generally accompanied by estimates of the corresponding mean sequestration (or residence) times<sup>1,3,5</sup>. The units of  $F_{seq}$  are mass per unit time (flux) and that of  $C_{seq}$  is mass (inventory), showing that the two quantities are different biogeochemical characteristics of ocean carbon sequestration, used in different types of published studies.

Some recent papers/reviews refer to either metric, i.e., the carbon sequestration flux<sup>2,43</sup> ( $F_{seq}$ ) or the carbon sequestration inventory ( $C_{seq}$ ) and timescale<sup>1,3</sup>. Most previous  $F_{seq}$  studies have implicitly assumed that DIC<sub>bio</sub> above a fixed depth or isopycnal surface – generally located between 1,000 and 2,000 – does not remain in the ocean for  $\geq$ 100 years<sup>2,10,13,18</sup>, hence null or negligible  $F_{seq}$  above this "sequestration depth". On the contrary, our study shows that remineralization is a <u>source of carbon</u> for  $F_{seq}$  in the whole water column.

#### 3. Functioning of the biological carbon pump mechanisms

Six BCP mechanisms contribute to global ocean carbon sequestration<sup>11</sup>. In the following bullets, we briefly describe the functioning of the six mechanisms, and Fig. 3a (main text) illustrates the maximum depth to which each of them transports POC and/or DOC. Organic carbon

is progressively remineralized to CO<sub>2</sub> in the water column, and part of the resulting DIC<sub>bio</sub> is sequestered at different depths (Fig. 3).

The remineralization processes of POC transported by BCPs include ingestion by heterotrophs, which respire part of their food to CO<sub>2</sub>, and transformation of POC into DOC by various foodweb processes such as solubilization by bacterial exoenzymes and excretion by microbes and animals. Most of the DOC, either remineralized *in situ* or transported downwards by BCP mechanisms, is used and respired to CO<sub>2</sub> by heterotrophic bacteria. Respiratory CO<sub>2</sub> fuels DIC<sub>bio</sub>.

The different BCP mechanisms are grouped into pumps, which are known under different names proposed by various authors. The settling of organic particles is known as *biological gravitational pump*<sup>11</sup>, the three physically-driven mechanisms (mixed layer, eddy subduction, and large scale advection and overturning) as *physical (injection) pumps*<sup>25</sup> or *mixing pump*<sup>28</sup>, the diel vertical migrations as *mesopelagic migrant pump*<sup>29</sup>; the seasonal vertical migrations as *seasonal lipid pump*<sup>37</sup>, and all mechanisms other than gravitational as *particle injection pumps*<sup>11</sup>.

- *Biological gravitational mechanism*. Part of the organic particles produced by photosynthesis and other processes of the pelagic food web in the upper ocean's layer continuously sinks downwards. The sinking POC is progressively remineralized to CO<sub>2</sub> during its downward journey, and the non-remineralized POC may be sequestered in sediment for times reaching millions of years<sup>16</sup>.
- *Mixed layer mechanism*. During the seasonal transition from the deepest winter mixed layer to summer stratification at high and mid-latitudes, there are variations in the depth of the mixed layer. These variations cause the downward injection, by deep mixing, of POC and DOC produced in the upper layer, and their isolation at depth when a new shallower mixed layer is formed. The succession of shoaling and deepening of the mixed layer injects POC and DOC to depths between 200 and 1000 m<sup>23</sup>.
- *Eddy subduction mechanism*. Frontal circulation with horizontal dimensions ranging from the mesoscale (10–100 km) to the sub-mesoscale (1–10 km) creates "hotspots" of downward vertical transport (i.e. subduction). In these hotspots, POC and DOC are injected down to depths of 150 to 400 and even 500 m<sup>24,25</sup>.
- Large-scale advection and overturning (or Ekman) mechanism. Large-scale wind-driven circulation causes the injection of POC and DOC from the seasonal mixed layer into the ocean interior, down to depths of 500 to 1000 m<sup>26-28</sup>.
- Diel (nycthemeral) vertical migrations. During their diel vertical migrations, zooplankton and also fish, jellies and other animals larger than zooplankton<sup>31</sup> ingest organic particles at surface, generally at night time, and release part of the ingested material at depth, a few hours later, under the form of faecal material (POC, mostly zooplankton faecal pellets), excreted DOC and respiratory CO<sub>2</sub>. Migrating animals also defecate, excrete and respire during their vertical journeys. The depth of these migrations does not generally exceed 200 m, but can reach a maximum of 600 m in some cases<sup>35,36</sup>.
- Seasonal (ontogenic) vertical migrations. At high latitudes, some zooplankton taxa spend several months of the year at depths >600 and even 1000 m or more, where they release part of the carbon they had acquired at surface under the form of faecal pellets, excreted DOC, respiratory CO<sub>2</sub> and carcasses of dead organisms. The carbon transported by these organisms is

largely under the form of lipid reserves. This pump transports POC down to depths that can reach 1400 m<sup>37</sup>.

# 4. Comparisons between our calculations or results for the mixing and migrant pumps and values from the literature

Our F<sub>seq</sub> for the gravitational pump in CONVERSE 1-3 are compared with flux values from the literature Extended Data Table 3, and this comparison is included in the main text. As a complement, our CONVERSE calculations or results for the two other pumps are compared with values from the literature in the following paragraphs.

- Mixing pump. We use eq. 13 to estimate the remineralization flux of DOC driven by the mixing pump ( $F_{reminDOC}$ ). In the field, the downward mixing flux of DOC and total export flux below 50 m were estimated to be 15 and 92 mg C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, in the eastern North Atlantic Ocean<sup>50</sup>. Assuming that the two fluxes were entirely remineralized below 50 m, then  $F_{reminDOC}$  accounted for ~15% of all  $F_{remin}$  ( $F_{reminAll}$ ). This does not necessarily mean that  $F_{seqDOC}$  accounted for ~15% of  $F_{seqAll}$ , as differential sequestration of  $F_{reminDOC}$  and  $F_{reminAll}$  may have happened depending on their remineralization depths. Nevertheless, our CONVERSE 1-7 estimates of 11-23% of the biological pump  $F_{seq}$  accounted for by the mixing pump  $F_{seq}$  (Supplementary Tables S3 and S4) are of the same order as the above field ~15% for  $F_{remin}$ , which suggests that our estimates are realistic.
- *Migrant pump: diel vertical migrations*. Our eq. 16 computes F<sub>remin</sub> for diel vertical migrations by all animals as follows:

$$F_{\text{reminMigrD}} = 0.25 F_{\text{expPOC}}, \text{ at } z_{\text{exp}} = 100 \text{ m}$$
(16)

Hence:

$$F_{\text{reminMigrD}} / F_{\text{expPOC}} = 0.25 \tag{16a}$$

A synthesis of literature values for zooplankton diel migrations<sup>51</sup> provides the following relationship (parameters of the regression equation derived from the line drawn on Fig. 5b in that review):

$$F_{\text{reminMigrD}} / F_{\text{expPOC}} \simeq 0.1 + 0.017 F_{\text{reminMigrD}}, \text{ at } z_{\text{exp}} = 100-200 \text{ m}$$
 (16b)

where the units of  $F_{reminMigrD}$  and  $F_{expPOC}$  are mg C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. According to eq. 16b, our eq. 16 corresponds to  $F_{reminMigrD} \simeq 9$  mg C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. This  $F_{reminMigrD}$  is in the bulk of values used to derive eq. 16b, indicating that our CONVERSE  $F_{seqMigrD}$  values (eq. 17) are realistic.

• *Migrant pump: seasonal vertical migrations*. The study<sup>38</sup> from which we derived our eq. 18 was conducted in the northern North Atlantic and concerned the seasonal vertical migrations of the copepod *Calanus finmarchicus*, which were estimated to export 2 to 6 g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>. Similar values for other cold waters are<sup>51</sup>: 3.1 g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> (Arctic Ocean, mostly large copepods *C. hyperboreus* and *C. glacialis*), 2.0 to 4.3 g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> (subarctic North Pacific, *Neocalanus* copepods), and 1.7 to 9.3 g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> (subantarctic Southern Ocean, *N. tonsus*). These values are in the same range as those of the study on which we based our CONVERSE calculations, which suggest that our approach could also be used in other cold-water areas than the northern North Atlantic Ocean.

#### 5. Robustness of our results to changes in ocean circulation

In the present study, we are estimating the biological pump mean state in the contemporary ocean, and transient changes in this or future scenarios are an important consideration, although there are outside the scope of this study. Indeed, future changes could affect the sequestration flux of biogenic carbon. For example, the overturning circulation may weaken as predicted by some models (examples<sup>52,53</sup>), which would affect  $f_{100}$  and thus  $F_{seq}$ . Future changes could also affect carbon export (example<sup>43</sup>), and the change in  $F_{exp}$  would affect  $F_{seq}$ . Most models predict a slowdown in overturning circulation in the future, while the remineralization of organic carbon in the upper ocean is expected to be enhanced by warming ocean temperatures These effects would increase sequestration times and  $f_{100}$  values and thereby increase  $F_{seq}$ , particularly above 1000 m.

In addition, the sequestration values above 2,000 and 1,000 m account for  $\geq$ 50% of the global flux in 63% and 92% of the ocean pixels, respectively, across the seven CONVERSE versions (Supplementary Table S3), and thus cover a wide range of ocean circulation. This indicates that the high fraction of the sequestration flux above 2,000 and 1,000 m is robust to future changes. Our result that the sequestration flux above 2,000 and 1,000 m accounts for a high fraction of the global flux is thus robust to changes in ocean circulation.

#### 6. Obtaining the $f_{100}$ values to implement $F_{seq}$ in models

It is suggested in the main text to compute the 100-year sequestration flux in biogeochemical models. For this purpose, the  $f_{100}$  values from a previous model<sup>5</sup> can be used as we have done in the present study, or modellers can calculate  $f_{100}$  in their own models using the procedures outlined in a previous publication<sup>5</sup>. In the first case, the  $f_{100}$  values may be affected by changes in ocean circulation due to climate change and variability (Supplementary Information 5). In the second case, the  $f_{100}$  values could be calculated in a model by implementing a single tracer in the model that tracks carbon from its point of injection to its re-exposure at the surface, i.e., a "resurfacing age" tracer. This has been done for steady circulation models, and also for variable circulation models<sup>42</sup>.

#### 7. Supplementary Tables

Table S1. Median F<sub>TOC</sub> in pixels of the world ocean<sup>44</sup> and number of observations in different depth bins. Pixel depths were obtained via the function getNOAA.bathy using the *marmap* package on Rstudio at <a href="https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/marmap/marmap.pdf">https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/marmap/marmap.pdf</a>

Depth bin	Median F <sub>TOC</sub> (g C m <sup>-2</sup> y <sup>-1</sup> )	Number of observations
<2000 m*	0.13	1625
2000 – 3000 m	0.123	3832
3000 – 3500 m	0.0542	3814
3500 – 4000 m	0.044	5570
4000 – 4500 m	0.0397	6228
4500 – 5000 m	0.0305	4788
>5000 m	0.0305	5756

<sup>\*</sup>In the publication<sup>42</sup>, there are no  $F_{TOC}$  values in pixels <1000 m.

Table S2. Median and lower and upper quartiles of  $[F_{TOC} / F_{SeqAll}]$  in pixels, in the seven CONVERSE versions and all versions together.

	$\mathbf{F_{seqSed}}$ / $\mathbf{F_{seqAll}}$					
CONVERSE	Median	Lower quartile	Upper quartile			
1	0.03	0.01	0.07			
2	0.02	0.01	0.04			
3	0.03	0.01	0.06			
4	0.01	0.01	0.02			
5	0.01	0.01	0.02			
6	0.02	0.01	0.05			
7	0.02	0.07	0.05			
All	0.02	0.08	0.04			

Table S3. Number of pixels with  $\geq$ 50% of the sequestration flux above 1,000 and 2,000 m in each of the seven converse versions and in all versions together.

CONVERSE	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1-7
Total number of pixels where the deepest $f_{100}$ is at								
$\geq$ 1,000 m	9,619	9,619	9,619	9,574	9,574	9,574	8,648	66,227
$\geq$ 2,000 m	8,663	8,663	8,663	8,628	8,628	8,628	7,952	59,825
Fraction (%) of pixels where								
$\frac{\text{All}_{\leq 1000}}{\text{All}_{\text{ewc}}}^* \geq 50\%$	60	39	53	73	71	70	78	63
$\frac{\mathrm{All}_{\leq 2000}}{\mathrm{All}_{\mathrm{ewc}}}^* \geq 50\%$	98	65	86	100	100	100	99	92

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{\ }^*$  All<sub>owc</sub>:  $F_{seq}$  for all pumps over the entire water column, not including  $F_{seq}$  in sediment

#### 8. Supplementary Methods

We described in the main text the calculations for CONVERSE 1. We provide here the differences between CONVERSE 2 to CONVERSE 7 and CONVERSE 1 or other versions.

#### Continuous vertical estimation of pixel sequestration fluxes in CONVERSE 2

There was one difference between CONVERSE 2 and CONVERSE 1, i.e. b values in eqs. 10 and 18 were geographically variable values from a model<sup>14</sup> instead of fixed  $b = 0.86^{40}$ .

#### Continuous vertical estimation of pixel sequestration fluxes in CONVERSE 3

There was one difference between CONVERSE 3 and CONVERSE 1, i.e. b values in eqs. 10 and 18 were regionalized estimates in biogeochemical provinces<sup>17</sup> instead of fixed  $b = 0.86^{40}$ .

#### Continuous vertical estimation of pixel sequestration fluxes in CONVERSE 4

There were five differences between CONVERSE 4 and CONVERSE 1.

First, we used  $z_{exp} = z_{eu}$ . Hence, the shallowest  $\Delta z$  considered in each pixel was  $z_{eu}$  (eq. 3).

Second, we did not calculate  $F_{reminPOC}(\Delta z)$  with eqs. 10 and 11, but instead used the concentrations of POC ([POC]; [M L<sup>-3</sup>]) and its remineralization rates (k; [T<sup>-1</sup>]) in two POC fractions (slow-sinking and fast-sinking) within each depth interval  $\Delta z$  [L] from SIMPLE-TRIM. With these data, we computed  $F_{POC}(\Delta z)$  as follows:

$$F_{\text{reminPOC}}(\Delta z) = [([POC]_{\text{slow}} \times k_{\text{slow}}) + ([POC]_{\text{fast}} \times k_{\text{fast}})] \times \Delta z$$
(23)

We calculated  $F_{\text{seqPOC}}(\Delta z)$  with eq. 1.

We treated  $F_{orgPOC}$  remaining in the deepest  $\Delta z$  as done for CONVERSE 1, i.e. we first computed  $F_{reminPOC}$  (deepest  $\Delta z$ ) with eq. (23), and then  $F_{seqPOC}$  (deep) with eq. 12 replacing  $F_{org-POC}$  (deepest  $\Delta z$ ) by  $F_{reminPOC}$  (deepest  $\Delta z$ ).

*Third,* for F<sub>reminDOC</sub>, we used directly the values that we had derived from SIMPLE-TRIM (eq. 13), i.e. without multiplying by the export ratio as done in eq. 14.

Fourth, for  $F_{reminMigrS}$ , we used values in the study cited above<sup>37</sup> whereby the copepod Calanus finmarchicus generated a seasonally remineralization flux  $F_{reminMigrS} = 1$  to 4 g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> at  $z_{migrS} = 600$  to 1,400 m, corresponding to  $F_{orgPOC}(z) = 2$  to 8 g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>. Hence,  $F_{reminMigrS} = 50\%$   $F_{orgPOC}(z)$  at  $z_{migrS} = 600$  to 1,400 m. We computed  $F_{reminMigrS}$  as follows:

$$F_{\text{reminMigrS}} = 0.5 F_{\text{orgPOC}}(z) \text{ at } z_{\text{migrS}}$$
(24)

We used  $F_{orgPOC}(z)$  from SIMPLE-TRIM at  $z_{migrS} = 619$  m, which was the depth closest to 597 m for which values were available in that database.

Fifth,  $F_{seqPOC}$  at two fixed depths ( $z_{fixed}$ ), we linearly interpolated  $F_{orgPOC}(z)$  from SIMPLE-TRIM at z = 919 and 1,104 m for the POC flux at 1,000 m, and at z = 1,833 and 2,141 m for the POC flux at 2,000 m

#### Continuous vertical estimation of pixel sequestration fluxes in CONVERSE 5

There was one difference between CONVERSE 5 and CONVERSE 4, i.e.  $z_{exp} = 100$  m. Hence, the shallowest  $\Delta z$  considered for each pixel in eq. 3 was 100 m.

#### Continuous vertical estimation of pixel sequestration fluxes in CONVERSE 6

CONVERSE 6 was a mix between CONVERSE 1 AND CONVERSE 5, which considered  $F_{expPOC} = 3.0 \text{ Pg C y}^{-1}$  at  $z_{exp} = 100 \text{ m}^{14}$  as in CONVERSE 1, but derived the fluxes of the gravitational and mixing pumps from CONVERSE 5.

We used the fluxes from CONVERSE 5 to compute all the pump fluxes of CONVERSE 6 (except one flux, below), and also the fluxes at, below and above 1,000 m and 2,000 m. We multiplied the fluxes from CONVERSE 5 by the export ratio used in eq. 14, i.e.  $3.0 \text{ Pg C y}^{-1} / 7.3 \text{ Pg C y}^{-1}$ . This was necessary because the values in CONVERSE 5 were derived from SIMPLE-TRIM where  $F_{expPOC} = 7.3 \text{ Pg C y}^{-1}$  at  $z_{exp} = 100 \text{ m}^4$  (see also the legend of Supplementary Fig. S2):

$$F_{\text{reminPOC}} = F_{\text{reminPOC}} \text{ from CONVERSE 5} \times (3.0 \text{ Pg C y}^{-1} / 7.3 \text{ Pg C y}^{-1})$$
(25)

We computed the fluxes of the diel migrant pump as in CONVERSE 1.

#### Continuous vertical estimation of pixel sequestration fluxes in CONVERSE 7

There were two differences between CONVERSE 7 and CONVERSE 1.

*First,* for  $F_{expDOC}$ , we did not use eqs. 13 and 14, but implemented information from the literature whereby the downward flux of DOC at  $z_{exp}$  accounts for ca. 20% of  $F_{exp(POC+DOC)}$ . Hence:

$$F_{\text{expDOC}} = 0.25 F_{\text{expPOC}} \tag{26}$$

where  $F_{expPOC}$  was the value at  $z_{exp} = 100$  m from CONVERSE 1. We assumed that the mixing pump transported DOC rapidly from above  $z_{exp}$  to  $z_{mix}$ , with the consequence that properties at  $z_{mix}$  were the same as those at  $z_{exp}$ , hence:

$$F_{\text{mixDOC}} = F_{\text{expDOC}} \tag{27}$$

In the mixing pump, both  $F_{reminDOC}(\Delta z)$  and  $f_{100}(\Delta z)$  may be > 0 in some  $\Delta z$  below  $z_{mix}$ . Because of the lack of information on the vertical distribution of  $F_{orgDOC}$  in the global ocean, we used the following bulk approach to obtain estimates of  $F_{seqDOC}(pixel)$  and  $F_{seqDOC}(global)$  from  $z_{mix}$  downwards.

We assumed that the  $F_{orgDOC}$  injected at  $z_{mix}$  in a pixel was entirely remineralized at or below  $z_{mix}$ :

$$F_{\text{reminDOC}} = F_{\text{mixDOC}}$$
 (28)

We also assumed that remineralization occurred above the permanent pycnocline<sup>32</sup>, which is traced by isopycnal<sup>17</sup>  $\sigma_{\theta}$  =1027.6 kg m<sup>-3</sup>. To be certain of not overestimating  $F_{\text{reminDOC}}(\text{pixel})$ , we further assumed that all DOC remineralization occurred within the  $\Delta z$  that included  $z_{\text{mix}}$  = 500 m, so that the relevant  $f_{100}(\Delta z)$  was the value at z' = 530 m (depth for which there were values in the  $f_{100}$  database; Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. S1). We used eqs. 1 and 26-28 to calculate  $F_{\text{seqDOC}}$  at and below  $z_{\text{mix}}$ :

$$F_{\text{seqDOC}} = 0.25 F_{\text{expPOC}} \times f_{100}(531 \text{ m})$$
 (29)

Second, for the calculation of pixel  $F_{seqPOC} \le 2,000$  m (eq. 21), we considered that  $F_{seqDOC} = 0$  because we assumed above (eq. 28) that all DOC remineralization occurred within the  $\Delta z$  that included  $z_{mix} = 500$  m.

#### 9. Additional references

- 45. Volk, T. & Hoffert, M. I. Ocean carbon pumps: analysis of relative strengths and efficiencies in ocean-driven atmospheric CO2 changes. in *The carbon cycle and atmospheric CO2: natural variations Archean to present. Chapman conference papers, 1984* (eds. Sundquist, E. T. & Broecker, W. S.) 99–110 (American Geophysical Union; Geophysical Monograph 32, 1985).
- 46. Lovelock, C. E. & Duarte, C. M. Dimensions of Blue Carbon and emerging perspectives. *Biol. Lett.* **15**, 20180781 (2019).
- 47. Boyd, P. W. *et al.* Potential negative effects of ocean afforestation on offshore ecosystems. *Nat Ecol Evol* **6**, 675–683 (2022).
- 48. Gallagher, J. B., Shelamoff, V. & Layton, C. Seaweed ecosystems may not mitigate CO2 emissions. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* **79**, 585–592 (2022).
- 49. Williamson, P. & Gattuso, J.-P. Carbon Removal Using Coastal Blue Carbon Ecosystems Is Uncertain and Unreliable, With Questionable Climatic Cost-Effectiveness. *Frontiers in Climate* 4, (2022).
- 50. Giering, S. L. C. *et al.* Reconciliation of the carbon budget in the ocean's twilight zone. *Nature* **507**, 480–483 (2014).
- 51. Steinberg, D. K. & Landry, M. R. Zooplankton and the Ocean Carbon Cycle. *Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci.* **9**, 413–444 (2017).
- 52. Moore, J. K. *et al.* Sustained climate warming drives declining marine biological productivity. *Science* **359**, 1139–1143 (2018).
- 53. Li, Q., England, M. H., Hogg, A. McC., Rintoul, S. R. & Morrison, A. K. Abyssal ocean overturning slowdown and warming driven by Antarctic meltwater. *Nature* **615**, 841–847 (2023).