

Solubilization of Eucalyptus citriodora essential oil and citronellal in deep eutectic solvents:water:cyclodextrins mixtures

Lamia Nakhle, Miriana Kfoury, Isabelle Mallard, Hélène Greige-Gerges, David

Landy

▶ To cite this version:

Lamia Nakhle, Miriana Kfoury, Isabelle Mallard, Hélène Greige-Gerges, David Landy. Solubilization of Eucalyptus citriodora essential oil and citronellal in deep eutectic solvents:water:cyclodextrins mixtures. Journal of Molecular Liquids, 2022, 359, pp.119371. 10.1016/j.molliq.2022.119371. hal-04320446

HAL Id: hal-04320446 https://hal.science/hal-04320446v1

Submitted on 22 Jul2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 2	Solubilization of <i>Eucalyptus citriodora</i> essential oil and citronellal in deep eutectic solvents:water:cyclodextrins mixtures				
3 4 5	Lamia Nakhle ^{a,b} , Miriana Kfoury ^{a,b,*} , Isabelle Mallard ^b , Hélène Greige-Gerges ^a , David Landy ^b				
6 7 9 10 11 12 13	 ^a Bioactive Molecules Research Laboratory, Faculty of Sciences, Lebanese University, 90656 Jdeidet el-Metn, Lebanon ^b Unité de Chimie Environnementale et Interactions sur le Vivant (UCEIV, EA 4492), SFR Condorcet FR CNRS 3417, Université du Littoral Côte d'Opale (ULCO), 145 Av. M. Schumann, F-59140 Dunkerque, France * Corresponding author: miriana.kfoury@univ-littoral.fr 				
14	Abstract				
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	Essential oils (EOs) offer interesting biological properties that can be altered by their high volatility and low solubility. This study examined, for the first time, the effect of the combination of different choline chloride (ChCl) based-deep eutectic solvents (DESs), water and cyclodextrins (CDs) on the retention and solubilization of <i>Eucalyptus citriodora</i> EO and its major component, citronellal. The density, viscosity and polarity of the newly prepared DES:water:CD mixtures were measured. The influence of water content, DES type and composition as well as CD type and concentration on the retention capacity were investigated using static headspace-gas chromatography. Results showed that the mixture composed of choline chloride:urea (ChCl:U) (1:2 molar ratio) DES:water (70:30 wt%) in the presence of CD presented the highest retention capacity. Finally, the formation constant (K _f) values of CD/citronellal inclusion complexes and the vapor-liquid partition coefficients of citronellal were determined in ChCl:U DES:water 70:30 wt%.				
28 29	Keywords: citronellal, cyclodextrins, deep eutectic solvents, essential oil, solubility, volatility.				

31 **1. Introduction**

Essential oils (EOs) are natural multicomponent mixtures that have been widely used due to their various antibiotic, antioxidant, anti-quorum sensing, anti-inflammatory as well as digestive fluids stimulating activities. *Eucalyptus* EO ranks as the world's first traded oil and *Eucalyptus citriodora* EO is the major *Eucalyptus* oil with respect to the trade volume [1]. However, incorporating EOs in different formulations remains a challenge due to their low aqueous solubility, low stability and high volatility [2].

A recent strategy for enhancing EO solubility is the use of deep eutectic solvents (DESs) [3]. 38 DESs are generally defined as mixtures of two or more pure compounds, at specific 39 composition, that are able to associate mainly via hydrogen bonds [4]. This interaction causes 40 a great depression in the melting point of the mixture compared to its constituents and to their 41 ideal mixture [5]. Till present, most DESs use choline chloride (ChCl) as hydrogen bond 42 acceptor, due to its low toxicity. ChCl is widely used as a supplement in animal feeding and 43 its use is not expected to substantially increase the concentration in the environment. These 44 45 solvents have been consequently introduced to replace water and toxic organic solvents for the solvation of different active agents such as curcumin [6], trans-anethole as well as star 46 anise and fennel EOs [3]. 47

48 Another approach to overcome such problems is the use of cyclodextrins (CDs), oligosaccharides obtained from the enzymatic degradation of starch. The most common 49 50 native CDs are α -CD, β -CD, and γ -CD, made up of six, seven or eight (α -1,4)-linked Dglucopyranose units, respectively [7]. CDs possess a hydrophilic surface allowing them to be 51 52 soluble in water. Also, they have a hydrophobic cavity making them able to form inclusion complexes with lipophilic guests through non-covalent interactions [8]. This usually results in 53 54 an improvement of the physico-chemical properties of guests, mainly their aqueous 55 solubility. CDs contain several hydroxyl groups that are used for selective functionalization in order to obtain CDs derivatives with enhanced solubility and encapsulation capability, like 56 the hydroxypropylated- β -CD (HP- β -CD) [2]. Many studies focused on using native CDs as 57 well as their derivatives to improve the retention and solubilization of EOs and their 58 components [9-11]. As example, it was shown that native and modified CDs can 59 significantly reduce the volatility of EOs by efficiently binding their individual constituents 60 [12]. It was also proved that different CDs can greatly increase the EOs aqueous solubility 61 62 [13].

Interestingly, it was shown that poorly-soluble native β -CD can be solubilized in choline 63 chloride:urea (ChCl:U) DES up to 1 g.mL⁻¹ compared to its solubility in water of 0.018 g.mL⁻ 64 ¹ [14]. Also, it was recently demonstrated that CDs retain their encapsulation capacity in 65 DESs [15]. Thus, the combination of DESs and CDs could give rise to formulations with 66 67 higher potency of solubilization taking advantage of the encapsulation in CDs and the solvation in DESs. The aim of the present study is to develop new mixtures using CDs and 68 69 ChCl based-DESs to retain and solubilize E. citriodora EO and its major component, citronellal. The density, viscosity and polarity of the new prepared mixtures were determined. 70 Then, the retention and the solubilization potential of different DESs, CDs and the combined 71 DES:water:CD mixtures were studied using static headspace-gas chromatography (SH-GC). 72 Additionally, the influence of water content, DES type, DES composition and CD content on 73 the volatility of the EO were assessed. Finally, the formation constant (K_f) of CD/citronellal 74 inclusion complexes and the vapor-liquid partition coefficients of citronellal were 75 76 determined.

78 **2. Experimental section**

79 2.1. Materials

Choline chloride (ChCl, 98%) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, China. Urea (U, 99%) and 80 lactic acid were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, USA. Levulinic acid was purchased from 81 Sigma-Aldrich, France. Citronellal was provided by Merck group, Germany. Propane-1,3-82 diol, L(+)-tartaric acid, glycerol, D(-)-sorbitol, D(-)-fructose and D(+)-glucose anhydrous 83 were provided by VWR Chemicals, Belgium. Xylitol and (±)-butane-1,3-diol were provided 84 by Alfa Aesar, Germany. Nile red was provided by Acros, Belgium. β-CD was provided by 85 Wacker-Chemie, Lyon, France. Hydroxypropyl-β-CD (HP-β-CD, MS=0.65) was provided by 86 Roquette Frères, Lestrem, France. E. citriodora EO was provided by Herbes et Traditions, 87 Comines, France. The main constituents (> 1%) of the EO are: citronellal (74.77%), 88 citronnellol (4.84%), isopulegol (4.30%), neoisopulegol (2.17%), citronnellate of 89 citronnellyle isomers (2.07%), citronnellyle acetate (1.26%), α -pinene (1.32%), β -pinene 90 (1.04%) and ε -cadinene (1.22%) (data provided by Herbes et Traditions). ChCl was dried at 91 60 °C for at least 2 weeks before use. All other compounds were used as received. Distilled 92 water was used throughout this work. 93

94 2.2. Preparation of deep eutectic solvents

95 DESs were prepared using the heating method by mixing ChCl as the hydrogen bond 96 acceptor (HBA) with different hydrogen bond donors (HBDs), selected to cover different 97 chemical classes (amines, carboxylic acids, alcohols and sugars); this could help in a better 98 understanding of the EO solubilization in different DESs types. Each ChCl:HBD DES was 99 prepared based on literature data. The mixtures were kept under stirring until the formation of 100 a clear and homogenous liquid (**Table 1**). The water content of all the prepared DESs was 101 determined using the Karl Fisher titration method (Mettler Toledo DL31).

- 102
- 103
- 104
- 105
- 106
- 107

108

HBD in ChCl-based DES	Abbreviation	Molar ratio	Heating temperature (°C)	Water content (%)
Urea	ChCl:U	1:2	60	0.05
Levulinic acid	ChCl:Lev	1:2	RT	0.31
Tartaric acid	ChCl:Tar	1:1	80	0.16
Lactic acid	ChCl:Lac	1:2	RT	8.07
Glycerol	ChCl:Gly	1:2	RT	0.20
Xylitol	ChCl:Xyl	1:2	80	0.07
Sorbitol	ChCl:Sor	1:1	80	0.36
Butane-1,3-diol	ChCl:But	1:2	40	0.08
Propane-1,3-diol	ChCl:Pro	1:1	80	0.21
Glucose	ChCl:Glu	2:1	80	0.26
Fructose	ChCl:Fru	2:1	80	2.15

Table 1- Composition of the studied deep eutectic solvents.

112 ChCl: Choline chloride; DES: Deep Eutectic Solvent; HBA: Hydrogen bond acceptor; HBD:

113 Hydrogen bond donor; RT: Room temperature

114 **2.3.** Density measurements

110

115 Density (ρ) measurements of the studied mixtures were carried out using a U-shaped 116 vibrating tube densimeter (Anton Paar, model SVM 3001) operating in a static mode at 117 atmospheric pressure, at 30 or 60 °C. The factory calibration was used and verified before 118 and after each measurement with air. The densimeter performs an analysis with an estimated 119 uncertainty in density and temperature of \pm 0.0001 g.cm⁻³ and \pm 0.005 °C, respectively. For 120 ChCl:U DES:water mixtures at low DES wt%, density values were fitted using a linear 121 equation (1):

122
$$\rho = b + (a \times w)$$

With w representing the wt% of ChCl:U DES, a and b representing the fitting parameters ofthe equation (listed in Table S1).

(1)

125 2.4. Viscosity measurements

126 The viscosity (η) of the studied mixtures was determined using a Stabinger viscometer 127 (Anton Paar, model SVM 3001) at atmospheric pressure, at 30 or 60 °C. The temperature was 128 controlled to within 0.005 °C. The overall uncertainty on the viscosity was estimated to be 129 2%. For ChCl:U DES:water mixtures at low DES wt%, results were fitted to an exponential 130 equation (2), with a Chi-square up to 0.09.

131
$$\eta = \left(A_1 \times e^{\frac{w}{t_1}}\right) + \left(A_2 \times e^{\frac{w}{t_2}}\right) + y_0 \tag{2}$$

With w representing the wt% of ChCl:U DES, y_0 , A_1 , t_1 , A_2 and t_2 representing the fitting parameters of the equation (listed in **Table S2**).

134 2.5. Polarity measurements

Polarity measurements were carried out for various ChCl:U DES:water mixtures and for the 135 different ChCl-based DES:water mixtures (70:30 wt%). A stock solution of Nile Red (NR) 136 was prepared in ethanol with a concentration of 10^{-4} mol.L⁻¹. A volume of 30 µL of NR 137 solution was added to 1470 µL of mixture placed in vials as described previously [16]. Then, 138 solutions were stirred at 20 °C for a few minutes, to ensure the solubilization of NR. UV-Vis 139 spectra were recorded with a PerkinElmer Lambda 2S spectrophotometer, between 400 and 140 700 nm. The wavelength, at which the maximum visible-light absorption of NR occurred 141 (λ_{max}) , was determined for each mixture, and the NR polar parameter E_{NR} (in kcal.mol⁻¹) was 142 calculated using equation (3). 143

$$E_{NR} = \frac{28591.44}{\lambda_{max} (nm)} \tag{3}$$

145 **2.6.** Solubility studies

The solubilization ability of the different DESs, CDs and the combined DES:water:CD
mixtures towards *E. citriodora* EO and citronellal was evaluated using SH-GC.

148 **2.6.1.** Preparation of different mixtures

149 **2.6.1.1. Influence of water content**

150 ChCl:U DES:water mixtures were prepared in various water wt% ranging from 0 to 100 wt%

- 151 in the absence or presence of 10 wt% HP- β -CD (previously weighted in vials). The final HP-
- 152 β -CD concentration increased from 73 to 87 mM along with DES wt%.

153 **2.6.1.2.** Influence of DES composition

154 Screening of different DESs

155 DES:water (70:30 wt%) mixtures were prepared using different ChCl-based DESs in the 156 absence and presence of 10 wt% HP- β -CD. The studied DESs are listed in **Table 1**.

157 Influence of the mass fraction of DES components

30 wt% of water and 70 wt% of ChCl:U DES components were mixed using different ChCl
and urea (U) mass fractions (0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9 and 1 ChCl/U) in the
absence and presence of 10 wt% HP-β-CD.

161 **2.6.1.3.** Chromatographic conditions

In all experiments, prepared mixtures (3 mL) were placed in 20 mL vials. Then, appropriate 162 163 amounts of an ethanolic solution of EO or citronellal were added. In each experiment, EO 164 and citronellal concentrations were optimized in order to have satisfying chromatographic signal/noise ratio, thus allowing an accurate detection of the influence of each studied 165 parameter. Vials were subsequently sealed using silicone septa and aluminium foil and 166 thermostated at 30 °C (or 60 °C when varying the mass fractions of DES components) for 24 167 hours under stirring to reach the equilibrium between liquid and gas phases. Then, 1 mL of 168 169 the headspace was withdrawn from the vial and injected in the chromatographic system for analysis via a heated transfer line (250 °C). Measurements were carried out with a Varian 170 Genesis headspace sampler coupled with a Perkin Elmer Autosystem XL gas 171 chromatography (GC) equipped with a DB624 and a flame ionization detector column using 172 nitrogen as carrier gas. The GC column temperature conditions were set as mentioned before 173 [9]. 174

175 Results for EO were expressed as:

176 Normalized area (%) =
$$\frac{\sum A_m}{\sum A_0} \times 100$$
 (4)

where ΣA_m and ΣA_0 stand for the sum of peak areas of the EO components in the presence of the studied mixture and the corresponding reference, respectively.

179 Results for citronellal were expressed as:

180 Normalized area (%) =
$$\frac{A_m}{A_0} \times 100$$
 (5)

where A_m and A_0 stand for the peak area of citronellal in the presence of the studied mixture and the corresponding reference, respectively.

183 **2.6.2.** Determination of formation constants

184 The formation constant (K_f) of the complexes were determined using a SH-GC titration 185 method developed in our laboratory for volatile organic compounds and using an algorithmic calculation [17,18]. Increasing concentrations of CDs (β-CD or HP-β-CD) ranging from 0 to 10 wt% of CDs were prepared in ChCl:U DES:water 70:30 wt% mixtures. Then, 40 μ L of the ethanol solutions of EO (0.02 g.mL⁻¹) or citronellal (0.015 g.mL⁻¹) were then added to all mixtures. Vials, equilibrated at 30 °C, were then subjected to SH-GC analysis. The chromatographic conditions were set as in 2.6.1.3.

191

192 **2.6.3.** Determination of vapor-liquid partition coefficients of citronellal

193 The vapor-liquid partition coefficient (K) is the ratio of the concentration of the volatile 194 compound in the vapor phase to its concentration in the liquid phase, when the equilibrium is 195 reached, expressed as [19]:

196
$$K = \frac{c_G}{c_L} \tag{6}$$

197 Where C_G and C_L are the concentrations of the volatile compound in the gas phase and the 198 liquid phase at equilibrium, respectively.

199 *K* of citronellal was determined in water and in ChCl:U DES:water 70:30 wt% mixture 200 prepared in the absence or the presence of 10 wt% HP-β-CD or 2 wt% β-CD at 30 °C using 201 the phase ratio variation (PRV) method in water (10 μ L of citronellal at 0.005 g.mL⁻¹ were 202 added to different volumes of water in vials) and the vapor phase calibration (VPC) method 203 in the other mixtures (different volumes of citronellal at 0.1 g.mL⁻¹ were added to 3 mL of 204 mixtures in vials) as reported earlier [20].

205

206

208 **3. Results**

This study was performed to evaluate the solubilization capacities of solutions combining DESs, CDs and water. Different formulations were prepared by varying water content, DESs type and composition as well as CDs content. All formulations were then subjected to physico-chemical characterization. Solubility studies were carried out using SH-GC. When a decrease in the peak areas of the EO or citronellal is observed, this corresponds to a decrease in their volatility, meaning an increase in their solubility in the studied mixture.

215 **3.1.** Characterization of the prepared mixtures

216 **3.1.1. Density measurements**

217 Different wt% of water were added to ChCl:U DES in the absence and presence of 10 wt%
218 HP-β-CD. These solutions were characterized first by measuring their densities. The density

of ChCl:U DES decreased linearly when increasing the amount of water in the media in the

absence and the presence of CDs (Figure S1). Results are coherent with those found in

literature with other DESs (ChCl:glucose, ChCl:sucrose, and ChCl:propane-1,2-diol) [21].

The influence of ChCl mass fraction variation in ChCl:U DES:water 70:30 wt% was also studied. Results proved that decreasing the ChCl mass fraction in the mixture, meaning increasing the U mass fraction, led to the increase in the density of the mixture (**Table S3**).

Further, preparing different types of DESs can give rise to solvents with different properties including their densities [22]. The obtained results (**Table S4**) confirmed that the density of the different types of DES:water 70:30 wt% depended on the nature of the HBD of the DESs,

in agreement with literature [23].

For all studied formulations, the presence of 10 wt% of HP- β -CD led to an increase in the density of the mixture compared to CD-free formulations (**Figure S1**). Additionally, the density increased when increasing the wt% of CDs (either HP- β -CD or β -CD) (**Table S5**). Hence, the increase in the density in the presence of CDs can be attributed to the large hydrodynamic volume of CDs.

234

235 **3.1.2.** Viscosity measurements

The viscosity (η) of all formulations was then measured. A dramatic decrease in the viscosity was observed upon the addition of a small percentage of water to ChCl:U DES either in the absence or the presence of CDs (**Figure 1**), which is in agreement with the literature [24]. This proved the interest of using water to obtain solutions with appropriate viscosity for different applications. In addition, the viscosity of the solutions was higher in the presence of 10 wt% HP- β -CD than in its absence. This could be due to the presence of additional hydrogen bonds in the presence of CDs leading to a higher viscosity of the mixture [25].

243 The viscosity of the different ChCl-based DES:water 70:30 wt% mixtures (Table S4) was

affected by the HBD type of DES, which was always higher in the presence of CDs.



Figure 1: Viscosity variation as function of the ChCl:U DES content (wt%) in water in the absence and the presence of 10 wt% of HP- β -CD at 30 °C. The dashed curves are the fitted curves obtained from the experimental values.

249

250 **3.1.3. Polarity measurements**

For a better understanding of the mechanism of solubilization, polarity measurements were conducted for the prepared formulations starting by the different ChCl:U DES:water mixtures. Because of the aggregation phenomena of the Nile red (NR) probe in water, the polarity of only 100, 90, 80, 70, 60, 50 and 40 wt% ChCl:U DES in water mixtures was measured. λ_{max} and E_{NR} values are depicted in **Table S6**. Polarity values showed that adding water to the media led to the increase in the polarity of the mixture as indicated by the redshift of the λ_{max} , in agreement with the literature [26].

In addition, polarity measurements of the different synthesized ChCl-based DES:water 70:30 wt% mixtures were done (**Table S7**). Even if weak polarity differences between the different mixtures were observed, some trends could be extracted. The least polar mixture was with ChCl:Butane-1,3-diol, while the most polar one was with ChCl:Tartaric acid. This can be explained by the fact that butane-1,3-diol possess a long hydrocarbon chain which explains its lower polarity. Additionally, adding alcoholic and acidic functional groups increase the
polarity of the solvent [27]. For example, ChCl:Sorbitol DES:water 70:30 wt% was more
polar than ChCl:Xylitol DES:water 70:30 wt%. This could be explained by the presence of an
additional alcohol function in sorbitol as compared to xylitol.

267

268 **3.2.** Solubilization studies

269 **3.2.1. Influence of water content**

Firstly, the influence of water addition on ChCl:U DES on the volatility of the E. citriodora 270 EO was studied. Figure S2, shows the overlapping chromatograms obtained in water and 271 pure ChCl:U DES. The peaks corresponding to the volatile compounds found in the EO are 272 clearly visible in water but they almost disappeared in the pure DES in accordance with 273 274 literature [3]. This was obviously observed for the major compound of the EO, citronellal that was eluted at 21 min approximately. This observation led us to optimize the EO and 275 276 citronellal concentration in each experiment independently in order to obtain satisfying signal/noise ratio allowing accurate evaluation of the influence of each studied parameter. 277

Figure 2 depicts the evolution of the total area of the EO when increasing the DES wt% in the mixture in the absence and the presence of 10 wt% HP- β -CD.



280

Figure 2: Plots of the EO normalized area, obtained from SH-GC analysis, as a function of the ChCl:U DES content (wt%), a) in the absence of CD where the reference mixture was water (EO concentration = 8.7×10^{-5} g.mL⁻¹) and b) in the presence of 10 wt% of HP- β -CD where the reference mixture was the water containing 10 wt% HP- β -CD, at 30 °C (EO concentration = 1.34×10^{-3} g.mL⁻¹).

In the absence of HP- β -CD (Figure 2a), a linear decrease in the total area was observed when increasing the wt% of DES, which indicated a decrease in the volatility of EO due to its increased solubilization. A 5-fold decrease in the volatility was observed in the presence of

100 wt% DES as compared to water. The same tendency was observed in literature, where 289 the increase of water content led to a relatively linear decrease in the solubility of four 290 phytochemicals in DESs [26]. A correlation between the polarity of ChCl:U DES:water 291 mixtures and the total areas was observed (Figure 3). As can be seen, decreasing DES 292 content, meaning adding water to the mixture, led concomitantly to the increase in the 293 polarity of the mixture and to the increase in the EO volatility. This indicated that increasing 294 295 the polarity of the mixture led to a decrease in the EO solubility in accordance with the literature [26]. Therefore, it can be confirmed that the polarity constituted a fundamental 296 297 solubilization mechanism herein.



298

Figure 3: Correlation between the EO normalized area, using water as reference, obtained from SH-GC analysis, and the maximum wavelength (λ_{max}) of Nile red probe in the corresponding ChCl:U DES content (wt%). These data follow the normality test, and possess a correlation coefficient of 0.9857 with *p*-value <0.01.

304 As the addition of HP- β -CD to DES:water mixtures resulted in a dramatic decrease in the 305 total chromatographic peak area of the EO (a 33-fold decrease in the EO total peak area was 306 observed when adding HP- β -CD to water), a higher EO concentration was used in order to 307 obtain significant chromatographic peak areas.

In the presence of 10 wt% HP- β -CD (Figure 2b), a relatively linear decrease in the EO total area was observed with increasing the wt% of ChCl:U DES in water from 0 to 70 wt%.

Surprisingly, an increase in the EO volatility was observed above DES:water 80:20 wt%.

The apparent HP- β -CD/EO complex formation constant (K_f) values in all DES:water mixtures were determined using the rapid method described earlier [13]. The apparent K_f were calculated using the approximate concentration of citronellal based on its percentage in the EO. Results showed that the K_f values decreased monotically from 470 to 37 M⁻¹ when

315 increasing the ChCl:U DES content in water (**Table S8**).

Based on these apparent K_f values, the amounts of free and complexed EO present in each

317 ChCl:U DES:water mixture at equilibrium were determined. Figure 4 shows the percentage

318 of the EO solubilized in each form.



319

Figure 4: Percentages of the amount of each solubilized form of EO at equilibrium in all
 ChCl:U DES:water mixtures. EO complexed with HP-β-CD (green histograms) and free EO
 (blue histograms).

As we can see, up to ChCl:U DES:water 80:20 wt% more than 93% of the solubilized EO was present in the complexed form. These high complexed amounts observed despite the reduction in the apparent K_f value (Table S8) could be contributed to the high amount of CD

present in the medium and the decrease in the polarity of the medium with the DES additionwas still not enough to strongly destabilize the inclusion complex.

329

Over DES:water 80:20 wt%, a concomitant decrease in the amount of complexed EO form and increase in the amount of free EO form were observed. This could be attributed to the large fall in the polarity of the medium detected when using these high percentages of DES (Figure 3). This led to a significant shift in the equilibrium towards the dissociation of the inclusion complex and thus a significant increase in the amount of free EO in good agreement with the very strong decrease in the apparent K_f values (Table S8). This, consequently, led to the increase in the amount of volatile EO in the gaseous phase (Figure 2b).

Altogether, these findings indicated the existence of an optimal DES:water medium observed experimentally in Figure 2b. This results from the fact that the solubilization of EO is governed by two different mechanisms, which follow opposite trends with regards to the solvent composition. Solubilization can occur either in the bulk (solvent) in the free form or as in the form of inclusion complex in the CD cavity. The polarity of the medium affects these two mechanisms in opposite ways. Indeed, decreasing the polarity of the medium increases the contribution of the first mechanism and lessens the latter.

Results also proved that, in the presence of more than 20 wt% water, CD could maintain 344 345 strong encapsulation ability in good agreement with literature. The beneficial effect of the presence of a small proportion of water was confirmed in a recent NMR study on the 346 347 complexation of piroxicam in β-CD (10 wt%) solubilized in ChCl:U DES. Authors concluded that, upon addition of 25 wt% of water to DES, water molecules tend to interact firstly with 348 349 the hydroxyl groups of the CDs through hydrogen bonding. This consequently increased the 350 molecular rotational motion of the CDs and allowed it experiencing an aqueous-like 351 environment capable of maintaining its encapsulation capacity [28]. This suggested that the contribution of CDs required a minimum presence of water in order to fully benefit from the 352 inclusion driven by hydrophobic forces. 353

It has also to be underlined that the presence of water improves the convenience of preparation and use of solvents, since water effectively reduces the high viscosity generally observed for DESs, while also improving the solubilization efficiency when using CDs. As the optimal decrease in the EO volatility, in the presence of HP- β -CD, was detected when the wt% of DES was in the range of 60 to 80 wt% (**Figure 2b**), the ChCl:U DES:water 70:30 wt% was used in the following investigations.

360

361 **3.2.2.** Influence of DES composition

362 **3.2.2.1. Screening of different DESs**

The solubilization capacity of different ChCl-based DES:water 70:30 wt%, in the absence and presence of 10 wt% HP- β -CD, was studied. Results are illustrated in **Figure 5**.



Figure 5: EO normalized area, obtained from SH-GC, for different ChCl-based DES:water 70:30 wt% mixtures a) in the absence of CDs where the reference mixture was ChCl:U DES:water 70:30 wt% (EO concentration = 6.7×10^{-5} g.mL⁻¹), and b) in the presence of 10 wt% HP- β -CD where the reference mixture was ChCl:U DES:water 70:30 wt% containing 10 wt% HP- β -CD at 30 °C (EO concentration = 1.07×10^{-3} g.mL⁻¹).

371

365

From **Figure 5a**, it can be shown that, in the absence of CDs, the lowest EO volatilities were obtained with ChCl:Levulinic acid and ChCl:Lactic acid DESs. Nevertheless, their acidic nature can therefore limit their applications.

When excluding acid-basic effect and thus the three acid-based DESs, a better understanding of the influence of the polarity on the volatility results can be obtained. A correlation was observed between the polarity of the DES:water 70:30 wt% mixtures and the obtained EO chromatographic normalized area for each mixture (**Figure 6**). It can be seen, that when increasing the polarity (a red-shift of the λ_{max}), a higher volatility of the EO was observed, which indicated a decrease in the solubility.



Figure 6: Correlation between the EO normalized area, obtained from SH-GC analysis, and the maximum wavelength (λ_{max}) of Nile red probe in the different DES:water 70:30 wt% mixtures. The reference solvent was the ChCl:U DES:water 70:30 wt%. These data follow the normality test, and possess a correlation coefficient of 0.8521 with *p*-value <0.01.

+ ChCl:But, ■ ChCl:Pro, ▲ ChCl:Gly, ● ChCl:U, ● ChCl:Fru, ★ ChCl:Glu, ◆ ChCl:Xyl, * ChCl:Sor

388

Figure 5b shows the reduction in EO volatility in the different ChCl-based DES in the presence of 10 wt% HP- β -CD. Among all, ChCl:U reduced the most the EO volatility and therefore possessed the best solubilizing ability. This suggests that this system affected the less the complexation phenomenon comparing to the other DESs. Thus, this optimal mixture ChCl:U DES:water 70:30 wt% was used in the following investigations.

394

395 3.2.2.2. Influence of the mass fraction of DES components

The influence of the ChCl mass fraction in the ChCl:U DES on the volatility of EO in DES:water 70:30 wt% mixtures was studied in the absence and in the presence of 10 wt% HP- β -CD. **Figure 7** shows the evolution of the EO volatility as a function of the ChCl mass fraction in the DES.



Figure 7: Plots of the EO normalized area, obtained from SH-GC, as a function of the ChCl mass fraction in ChCl:U DES:water 70:30 wt% a) in the absence of CDs where the reference mixture was water containing 1 ChCl mass fraction (EO concentration = 2.7×10^{-5} g.mL⁻¹) and b) in the presence of 10 wt% of HP-β-CD where the reference mixture was water containing 1 ChCl mass fraction and 10 wt% HP-β-CD at 60 °C (EO concentration = 1.33×10^{-4} g.mL⁻¹).

401

It should be noted that the ChCl mass fraction equal to 0.5 roughly corresponds to the 408 eutectic point 1:2 molar ratio [4]. From **Figure 7**, it can be noticed that increasing the ChCl 409 mass fraction from 0 to 0.7 (from ChCl:U 0:1 to 1:1 molar ratio, respectively) led to 410 insignificant volatility variation. Then, above 0.7 ChCl mass fraction, a gradual increase in 411 the EO volatility was observed. The EO volatility was found to be significantly lower in the 412 absence of ChCl than in the absence of U. The same tendency was observed in the presence 413 of 10 wt% HP- β -CD, even if a slightly higher slope was obtained between 0.0- 0.7 ChCl 414 415 mass fraction range. This confirms the importance of U for ensuring the best reduction in EO volatility. Still, the presence of ChCl was also required and was of great importance because 416 417 it allows solubilizing U in the solvating mixture and it was therefore necessary to ensure the liquid state at room temperature. This highlighted the importance of the synergetic effect of 418 419 the two DES components for both the solubilization of substances and the improvement of 420 each other effect in good agreement with literature [29,30]. In fact, U (70 wt%) was not 421 soluble when associated to 30 wt% of water at room temperature (which led us to carry out these experiments at 60 °C). To the contrary, a liquid mixture was obtained for 70 wt% 422 ChCl:U DES with 30 wt% of water. Thus, an effective volatility reduction was achieved in 423 ChCl:U DES:water 70:30 wt%. 424

As the eutectic point is close to optimal efficiency both in the absence and presence of CDs,such composition was kept in the following experiments.

428

429 **3.2.3. Determination of formation constants**

430 Different concentrations of native β -CD and HP- β -CD were added to ChCl:U (1:2) 431 DES:water 70:30 wt%. The evolution of volatility of citronellal and *E. citriodora* EO in the 432 different mixtures are illustrated in **Figure 8**.

433





435Figure 8: Complexation curves of a) β-CD/citronellal, b) HP-β-CD/citronellal, c) β-CD/E.436citriodora and d) HP-β-CD/E. citriodora in ChCl:U (1:2) DES:water 70:30 wt% at 30 °C. In437all these plots, the reference mixture was ChCl:U DES:water 70:30 wt% in the absence of438CDs (Citronellal and EO = 1.98×10^{-4} and 2.63×10^{-4} g.mL⁻¹, respectively).

An exponential decrease in the volatility of citronellal and EO was observed when increasing the wt% of both CDs. 2 wt% of CDs was sufficient to have an almost maximum retention capacity of the EO. Such an isothermal curve is typical of a complexation phenomenon [31,32]. This variation is in agreement with a 1:1 inclusion complex between citronellal and CDs dissolved in ChCl:U (1:2) DES:water 70:30 wt%. Formation constants (K_f) values for β - 444 CD/citronellal and HP-\beta-CD/citronellal inclusion complexes were calculated using an algorithmic treatment developed in our laboratory [17] and were equal to $177 \pm 15 \text{ M}^{-1}$ and 445 303 ± 28 M⁻¹, respectively. The obtained K_f in DES:water 70:30 wt% were lower than those 446 obtained in water; 1523 M⁻¹ and 815 M⁻¹ for β-CD/citronellal and HP-β-CD/citronellal, 447 respectively, at 25 °C [12]. The same tendency was observed in a previous article that 448 showed the decrease of K_f in the pure ChCl:U DES compared to water [15]. This confirms 449 450 the early stated hypothesis that the solubilization of guests in DESs lowers their affinity for the hydrophobic cavity of CDs, therefore lowers their encapsulation efficiency. 451

Then, the affinity of CDs towards the whole EO was evaluated. Given that the EO is a 452 mixture of different volatile components, one way to calculate an apparent molar 453 concentration of the EO is to use the percentage of citronellal in the EO (74.77%) and its 454 molar concentration in the vials. Thus, the apparent molar concentration of the EO was 455 calculated by dividing the concentration of citronellal by 0.7477. Apparent K_f of the EO were 456 then determined and were equal to $320 \pm 18 \text{ M}^{-1}$ and $433 \pm 33 \text{ M}^{-1}$ with β -CD and HP- β -CD, 457 respectively. The apparent K_f values obtained for HP-β-CD/EO via the rapid (Table S8) and 458 titration methods were coherent. 459

460 **3.2.4.** Determination of vapor-liquid partition coefficients of citronellal

461 As citronellal constitutes the major component of the studied EO, its vapor-liquid partition 462 coefficient (*K*) in water, ChCl:U DES:water 70:30 wt%, ChCl:U DES:water 70:30 wt% with 463 2 wt% β-CD and ChCl:U DES:water 70:30 wt% with 10 wt% HP-β-CD was determined at 30 464 °C *via* the PRV and VPC methods, respectively. The corresponding *K* values were of 465 $3.59 \times 10^{-2} \pm 0.18 \times 10^{-2}$, $1.05 \times 10^{-2} \pm 0.07 \times 10^{-2}$, $3.93 \times 10^{-4} \pm 0.43 \times 10^{-4}$ and $2.54 \times 10^{-4} \pm 0.08 \times 10^{-4}$ 466 ⁴, respectively. The lower the *K* value, the higher is the retention or solubilization capacity of 467 the mixture.

468 *K* value in ChCl:U DES:water 70:30 wt% was approximately 3-times lower than in water, 469 which was similarly obtained in another study [20]. This proved the higher solubilization of 470 citronellal in the mixture. Besides, significantly lower apparent *K* values were obtained upon 471 the addition of CDs to DES:water mixture, as a consequence of the higher retention of 472 citronellal because of its encapsulation in the CDs. *K* values were 27 and 41-times lower in 473 the presence of 2 wt% of β-CD and 10 wt% of HP-β-CD, respectively, compared to the 474 DES:water mixture in the absence of CDs.

476 **4. Conclusion**

With the aim of improving the solubility of E. citriodora EO and its major constituent, 477 citronellal, new DES:water:CD mixtures were prepared and characterized by measuring their 478 densities, viscosities and polarities. Solubility studies were conducted by SH-GC and showed 479 that the presence of a minimal amount of water was highly favorable when combining DESs 480 and CDs in the mixture providing the highest solubilization ability compared to the use of 481 each system separately. ChCl:U DES:water 70:30 wt% was shown to be the most efficient 482 mixture for the solubilization of the EO among different ChCl-based DES:water mixtures, 483 when CDs was present. Also, the eutectic point of ChCl:U 1:2 molar ratio was considered as 484 one of the optimal ratios for solubilization purposes. The complexation of the EO and 485 citronellal with β-CD and HP-β-CD was proved in ChCl:U (1:2) DES:water 70:30 wt%. Even 486 if the K_f values of citronellal were lower in DES:water than in water, the contribution of CDs 487 in DESs mixtures was proved to be highly favorable. Lastly, the vapor-liquid partition 488 coefficients of citronellal were determined in ChCl:U (1:2) DES:water 70:30 wt% in the 489 490 absence and the presence of CDs and were significantly lower compared to water. All these 491 results proved that DES:water:CD mixtures could be efficiently used to solubilize volatile guests. Therefore, these new formulations should be taken into consideration for further 492 493 research implications in different domains.

494 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the National Council for Scientific Research of Lebanon (CNRS-L) and Université du Littoral Côte d'Opale (ULCO) for granting a doctoral fellowship to Lamia Nakhle. This work is a contribution to the CPER (Contrat de Plan Etat-Région) research project IRenE (Innovation et Recherche en Environnement) and is supported by the French Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur, the region Hauts-de-France and the European Regional Development Fund.

502 **References**

- A.K. Dhakad, V.V. Pandey, S. Beg, J.M. Rawat, A. Singh, Biological, medicinal and toxicological significance of *Eucalyptus* leaf essential oil: a review: Biological, medicinal and toxicological significance of *Eucalyptus* leaf essential oil, Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 98 (2018) 833–848. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.8600.
- 507 [2] M. Kfoury, N.G. Hădărugă, D.I. Hădărugă, S. Fourmentin, Cyclodextrins as
 508 encapsulation material for flavors and aroma, in: Encapsulations, Elsevier, 2016: pp.
 509 127–192. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-804307-3.00004-1.
- T. El Achkar, L. Moura, T. Moufawad, S. Ruellan, S. Panda, S. Longuemart, F.-X. 510 [3] 511 Legrand, M. Costa Gomes, D. Landy, H. Greige-Gerges, S. Fourmentin, New generation of supramolecular mixtures: characterization and solubilization studies, 512 International Journal of Pharmaceutics. (2020)119443. 513 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2020.119443. 514
- A.P. Abbott, G. Capper, D.L. Davies, R.K. Rasheed, V. Tambyrajah, Novel solvent
 properties of choline chloride/urea mixturesElectronic supplementary information (ESI)
 available: spectroscopic data. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b2/b210714g/,
 Chemical Communications. (2003) 70–71. https://doi.org/10.1039/b210714g.
- 519 [5] M.A.R. Martins, S.P. Pinho, J.A.P. Coutinho, Insights into the Nature of Eutectic and
 520 Deep Eutectic Mixtures, Journal of Solution Chemistry. 48 (2019) 962–982.
 521 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10953-018-0793-1.
- 522 [6] T. Jeliński, M. Przybyłek, P. Cysewski, Natural Deep Eutectic Solvents as Agents for
 523 Improving Solubility, Stability and Delivery of Curcumin, Pharmaceutical Research. 36
 524 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11095-019-2643-2.
- 525 [7] T. Loftsson, M.E. Brewster, Cyclodextrins as Functional Excipients: Methods to
 526 Enhance Complexation Efficiency, Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences. 101 (2012)
 527 3019–3032. https://doi.org/10.1002/jps.23077.
- 528 [8] G. Crini, Review: A History of Cyclodextrins, Chemical Reviews. 114 (2014) 10940–
 529 10975. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr500081p.
- M. Kfoury, L. Auezova, S. Ruellan, H. Greige-Gerges, S. Fourmentin, Complexation of
 estragole as pure compound and as main component of basil and tarragon essential oils
 with cyclodextrins, Carbohydrate Polymers. 118 (2015) 156–164.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2014.10.073.
- [10] M. Kfoury, D. Landy, S. Fourmentin, Characterization of Cyclodextrin/Volatile
 Inclusion Complexes: A Review, Molecules. 23 (2018) 1204.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23051204.
- 537 [11] L. Nakhle, M. Kfoury, H. Greige-Gerges, S. Fourmentin, Effect of dimethylsulfoxide,
 538 ethanol, α- and β-cyclodextrins and their association on the solubility of natural
 539 bioactive compounds, Journal of Molecular Liquids. 310 (2020) 113156.
 540 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2020.113156.
- [12] M. Kfoury, L. Auezova, H. Greige-Gerges, S. Fourmentin, Promising applications of
 cyclodextrins in food: Improvement of essential oils retention, controlled release and
 antiradical activity, Carbohydrate Polymers. 131 (2015) 264–272.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2015.06.014.

- 545 [13] M. Kfoury, J.D. Pipkin, V. Antle, S. Fourmentin, Captisol®: an efficient carrier and
 546 solubilizing agent for essential oils and their components, Flavour Fragr. J. 32 (2017)
 547 340–346. https://doi.org/10.1002/ffj.3395.
- 548 [14] J.A. McCune, S. Kunz, M. Olesińska, O.A. Scherman, DESolution of CD and CB
 549 Macrocycles, Chemistry A European Journal. 23 (2017) 8601–8604.
 550 https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201701275.
- [15] T. Moufawad, L. Moura, M. Ferreira, H. Bricout, S. Tilloy, E. Monflier, M. Costa
 Gomes, D. Landy, S. Fourmentin, First Evidence of Cyclodextrin Inclusion Complexes
 in a Deep Eutectic Solvent, ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering. 7 (2019) 6345–
 6351. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b00044.
- 555 [16] A. Ray, S. Das, N. Chattopadhyay, Aggregation of Nile Red in Water: Prevention
 556 through Encapsulation in β-Cyclodextrin, ACS Omega. 4 (2019) 15–24.
 557 https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.8b02503.
- [17] P. Blach, S. Fourmentin, D. Landy, F. Cazier, G. Surpateanu, Cyclodextrins: A new efficient absorbent to treat waste gas streams, Chemosphere. 70 (2008) 374–380. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.07.018.
- [18] G. Decock, D. Landy, G. Surpateanu, S. Fourmentin, Study of the retention of aroma components by cyclodextrins by static headspace gas chromatography, Journal of
 Inclusion Phenomena and Macrocyclic Chemistry. 62 (2008) 297–302.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10847-008-9471-z.
- 565 [19] B. Kolb, L.S. Ettre, Static headspace-gas chromatography: theory and practice, 2nd ed,
 566 Wiley, Hoboken, N.J, 2006.
- [20] L. Moura, T. Moufawad, M. Ferreira, H. Bricout, S. Tilloy, E. Monflier, M.F. Costa
 Gomes, D. Landy, S. Fourmentin, Deep eutectic solvents as green absorbents of volatile
 organic pollutants, Environmental Chemistry Letters. 15 (2017) 747–753.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-017-0654-y.
- 571 [21] Y. Dai, G.-J. Witkamp, R. Verpoorte, Y.H. Choi, Tailoring properties of natural deep
 572 eutectic solvents with water to facilitate their applications, Food Chemistry. 187 (2015)
 573 14–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.03.123.
- 574 [22] S.C. Cunha, J.O. Fernandes, Extraction techniques with deep eutectic solvents, TrAC
 575 Trends in Analytical Chemistry. 105 (2018) 225–239.
 576 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2018.05.001.
- 577 [23] Q. Zhang, K. De Oliveira Vigier, S. Royer, F. Jérôme, Deep eutectic solvents:
 578 syntheses, properties and applications, Chemical Society Reviews. 41 (2012) 7108.
 579 https://doi.org/10.1039/c2cs35178a.
- [24] Y. Dai, J. van Spronsen, G.-J. Witkamp, R. Verpoorte, Y.H. Choi, Natural deep eutectic
 solvents as new potential media for green technology, Analytica Chimica Acta. 766
 (2013) 61–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2012.12.019.
- [25] S. Wu, C. Cai, F. Li, Z. Tan, S. Dong, Deep Eutectic Supramolecular Polymers: Bulk
 Supramolecular Materials, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 59 (2020) 11871–11875.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202004104.
- [26] J. Cao, J. Cao, H. Wang, L. Chen, F. Cao, E. Su, Solubility improvement of
 phytochemicals using (natural) deep eutectic solvents and their bioactivity evaluation,

- 588JournalofMolecularLiquids.318(2020)113997.589https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2020.113997.
- [27] C. Florindo, A.J.S. McIntosh, T. Welton, L.C. Branco, I.M. Marrucho, A closer look
 into deep eutectic solvents: exploring intermolecular interactions using solvatochromic
 probes, Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics. 20 (2018) 206–213.
 https://doi.org/10.1039/C7CP06471C.
- 594 [28] G.C. Dugoni, M.E. Di Pietro, M. Ferro, F. Castiglione, S. Ruellan, T. Moufawad, L.
 595 Moura, M.F. Costa Gomes, S. Fourmentin, A. Mele, Effect of Water on Deep Eutectic
 596 Solvent/β-Cyclodextrin Systems, ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering. 7 (2019)
 597 7277–7285. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b00315.
- [29] I. Shumilin, D. Harries, Cyclodextrin solubilization in hydrated reline: Resolving the
 unique stabilization mechanism in a deep eutectic solvent, J. Chem. Phys. 154 (2021)
 224505. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0052537.
- [30] A. Triolo, F. Lo Celso, O. Russina, Structural Features of β-Cyclodextrin Solvation in
 the Deep Eutectic Solvent, Reline, The Journal of Physical Chemistry B. 124 (2020)
 2652–2660. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.0c00876.
- [31] D. Landy, S. Fourmentin, M. Salome, G. Surpateanu, [No title found], Journal of
 Inclusion Phenomena and Macrocyclic Chemistry. 38 (2000) 187–198.
 https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1008156110999.
- [32] P. Saokham, C. Muankaew, P. Jansook, T. Loftsson, Solubility of Cyclodextrins and
 Drug/Cyclodextrin Complexes, Molecules. 23 (2018) 1161.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23051161.
- 610

