



Chronic Pain Opioid-Maintained Patients Receive Less Analgesic Opioid Prescriptions

Jessica Delorme, Chouki Chenaf, Célian Bertin, Marie Riquelme, Alain Eschalier,
Denis Ardid, Nicolas Authier

► To cite this version:

Jessica Delorme, Chouki Chenaf, Célian Bertin, Marie Riquelme, Alain Eschalier, et al.. Chronic Pain Opioid-Maintained Patients Receive Less Analgesic Opioid Prescriptions. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 2018, 9, pp.335. <10.3389/fpsy.2018.00335>. <hal-04317238>

HAL Id: hal-04317238

<https://hal.science/hal-04317238v1>

Submitted on 6 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization



Chronic Pain Opioid-Maintained Patients Receive Less Analgesic Opioid Prescriptions

Jessica Delorme^{1,2*}, Chouki Chenaf^{1,2}, Celian Bertin^{1,2}, Marie Riquelme^{1,2}, Alain Eschalié^{1,3}, Denis Ardid^{1,3} and Nicolas Authier^{1,2,3}

¹ Université Clermont Auvergne, CHU Clermont-Ferrand, Inserm, Neuro-Dol, Service de Pharmacologie médicale, Centres Addictovigilance et Pharmacovigilance, Centre Evaluation et Traitement de la Douleur, Clermont-Ferrand, France,

² Observatoire Français des Médicaments Antalgiques/French Monitoring Centre for Analgesic Drugs, Université Clermont Auvergne – CHU Clermont-Ferrand, Clermont-Ferrand, France, ³ Faculté de Médecine, Institut Analgesia, Clermont-Ferrand, France

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Carlos Roncero,
Complejo Hospitalario de Salamanca,
Spain

Reviewed by:

Nelson Feldman,
Geneva University Hospitals (HUG),
Switzerland
Felix Henrique Paim Kessler,
Universidade Federal do Rio Grande
do Sul (UFRGS), Brazil

*Correspondence:

Jessica Delorme
jdelorme@chu-clermontferrand.fr

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Addictive Disorders,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Psychiatry

Received: 29 March 2018

Accepted: 02 July 2018

Published: 23 July 2018

Citation:

Delorme J, Chenaf C, Bertin C,
Riquelme M, Eschalié A, Ardid D and
Authier N (2018) Chronic Pain
Opioid-Maintained Patients Receive
Less Analgesic Opioid Prescriptions.
Front. Psychiatry 9:335.
doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2018.00335

Treating pain and opioid use disorder represents a clinical challenge. While most studies that have assessed opioid analgesic use in opioid substitution treatment (OST) patients primarily address opioid analgesic misuse (1, 2), only few studies focused on OST patients assessed the prescription of analgesic opioids for chronic pain. We sought to compare the prevalence of analgesic opioid prescription (AOP) in two groups of chronic non-cancer pain (CNCP) patients: OST patients vs. the general population. This was a population-based cross-sectional study based on the French national healthcare claims database SNIIRAM (Système National d'Informations Inter-Régimes de l'Assurance Maladie) covering over 66 million people (98.8% of the French population). Overall, 67,173 participants ≥ 15 years old undergoing continuous OST in 2015 ("OST patients" group) were included and age- and gender-matched by means of a 1:1 ratio with 67,173 patients without OST ("control" group). In each group, patients with cancer conditions were excluded and those having received opioid and non-opioid analgesics for at least 3 months were identified (CNCP patients). Compared to control patients, CNCP OST patients received less AOP (47.8 vs. 68.0%, $p < 0.0001$) and more often non-opioid prescription (52.2 vs. 32.0%, $p < 0.0001$). In multivariate analysis, CNCP OST patients were 2.7 times less likely to be prescribed analgesic opioids (adjusted odds ratio [OR] = 2.7 [2.42–3.01], $p < 0.0001$) than control patients. AOP correlated in CNCP OST patients with: age ≤ 40 years old, female gender, low-income status, methadone-maintained treatment, mental health disorder, hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection, and alcohol abuse disorder. Opioid analgesics were less often prescribed in CNCP OST patients. AOP prevalence was 2.7-fold lower than in the general population. Chronic pain management in OST patients needs to be reinforced through additional physician training and a multidisciplinary approach.

Keywords: opioid analgesics, chronic non-cancer pain, opioid-maintained patients, methadone, buprenorphine

INTRODUCTION

The use of analgesic opioids has dramatically increased over the last decade, particularly in North America, Europe, and Oceania (3–6). This increased prescription of analgesic opioids is partly related to the promotion of opioid use in chronic non-cancer pain (CNCP) management, despite the remaining controversy due to high-level scientific evidence demonstrating their weak long-term benefit (6–8). Worldwide, from 19 to 32% of general population suffered from chronic pain (9–15). Focusing on patients receiving opioid substitution treatment (OST), studies have revealed higher prevalence of chronic pain, reported in 29–68% of methadone patients (16–24) and 40–49% of buprenorphine patients (19, 23, 25).

Treating both pain and addiction proves to be a significant challenge, and caregivers commonly report that all their diagnostic and therapeutic decisions are subject to ambiguity (26). While most studies examining opioid analgesic use in OST patients have addressed opioid analgesic misuse (1, 2), only few have specifically focused on OST patients who are prescribed analgesic opioids for chronic pain; the prevalence in this opioid-dependent population of analgesic opioid prescription (AOP) ranged from 43 to 47% (17, 21, 27).

Pain management in OST patients is often stressful for primary care physicians (28), and they may be wary of prescribing opioid analgesics due to the potential risks of overdose (3, 29), diversion, and abuse (21). Inadequate AOP may both undertreat the pain and precipitate withdrawal symptoms (30). A recent study suggested that pain in methadone-maintained patients was undertreated: 54% of patients received no analgesic treatment, 24% analgesic opioids, and 22% non-opioid analgesics (31–33).

Furthermore, according to Whitehead et al. opioid prescriptions in patients with concomitant pain and substance abuse disorders were less frequent than in patients with pain only (34). As a result, OST patients are often unsatisfied with the insufficient healthcare they are receiving, which subsequently leads them to use licit or illicit opioids to relieve their pain properly (17, 25, 27, 35).

This study sought to estimate and compare the prevalence of analgesic (opioid and non-opioid) prescription between CNCP OST patients and the non-opioid-dependent population with general CNCP, as well as to identify factors associated with AOP.

METHODS

Design

This was a population-based cross-sectional study using the French national claims database, SNIIRAM (*Système National d'Informations Inter-Régimes de l'Assurance Maladie*), covering almost 99% of the French population (36). This database is completely anonymous and each patient has a unique anonymized identification number.

Data

The SNIIRAM database contains: (1) demographic data: gender, date of birth, information on the complementary private insurance coverage indicating low-income status; (2) presence of

chronic disease, represented by a list of 30 long-term diseases (*Affection Longue Durée* [ALD]) with their associated ICD-10 (International Classification of Disease, version 10) codes; 3) medications, recorded as dispensed preparation packs including ATC (Anatomical Therapeutic Classification) codes, with pack descriptions including number of tablets, number of packs, dates of prescription and dispensation, as well as prescriber's specialty; (4) date and duration of hospital admissions, including both primary, related, and secondary diagnoses, with ICD-10 codes.

We were authorized to use the SNIIRAM database by the French national commission on information technologies and liberties (the French national data protection agency CNIL). As the SNIIRAM database is fully anonymized, no ethic committee approval is necessary.

Participants

We selected all opioid-maintained patients, male and female, aged ≥ 15 years old, having received at least one OST prescription (methadone [MTD] or high-dose buprenorphine [HDB] \pm naloxone) between January 1st and December 31st 2015. Among these patients, those receiving continuous HDB or MTD treatment throughout 2015 were included, composing the “OST patients” group. Continuous treatment was defined as an interval between two consecutive dispensations ≤ 35 days for HDB and MTD capsules and ≤ 18 days for MTD syrup.

This 35-day threshold corresponded to the sum of the 28-day maximum prescription duration for MTD capsule or HDB plus 7 days more to allow more precision for the identification of prescription interruption ($+25\%$ of 28 days) (37, 38). Similarly, the 18-day threshold corresponded to the sum of the 14-day maximum prescription duration for MTD syrup plus 4 days more ($+25\%$ of 14 days). The “OST patients” group was age- and gender-matched on a 1:1 ratio with a control group constituted of male and female patients aged ≥ 15 years old who had received no OST dispensation in 2015 (“General Population” control group). In each group, patients with cancer conditions were excluded and those treated continuously for at least 3 months with analgesics (interval between two consecutive opioid or non-opioid analgesic dispensations ≤ 45 days) were defined as CNCP patients.

Measures

Several socio-demographic information were retrieved from this database: year of birth, gender, low-income status, and date of death. OST patients were selected through specific ATC codes: N07BC01, N07BC51 for HDB and N07BC02 for methadone. Several comorbidities were recorded: human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection (ICD-10 code “B24”), hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection (ICD-10 codes “B182” and “B171”), and hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection (ICD-10 codes “B180,” “B181,” and “B16”). Alcohol use disorder was identified either by a specific ICD-10 code (“F10”) or at least one dispensation of acamprosate, disulfiram, or naltrexone (N07BB ATC code). Concomitant prescriptions of opioid analgesics were identified through specific ATC codes N02AA01 and N02AA51 (morphine), N02AB03 (fentanyl), N02AA05 and N02AA55 (oxycodone), N02AX02, and N02AX52 (tramadol), N02AA59 and N02AA79 (codeine), N02AA08, and

N02AA58 (dihydrocodeine), N02AA02 (opium). Concomitant prescription of non-opioid analgesics included nefopam (ATC code N02BG06), paracetamol (N02BE01), non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (M01A), and triptans (N02CC). ATC codes for psychotropic drugs were also recorded: N06A (antidepressants), N05A, except N05AN (antipsychotics), N05AN, N03AG01, and N03AG02 (mood stabilizers), N05B (anxiolytics), and N05C (hypnotics). The total quantity of analgesic opioids that were consumed was also calculated, each opioid converted to an oral morphine equivalent (OME) dose (39).

Analyses

Patients' characteristics were presented as mean \pm standard deviation [min-max] or as median [interquartile range] for continuous data and as the number of patients and associated percentages for categorical parameters. Comparisons of patient characteristics between the "CNCP OST patients" group and "the CNCP control" group were performed using the chi-squared test or the Fisher's test procedure test for categorical variables and *t*-test for quantitative variables, when appropriate.

To determine the influence of various factors associated with AOP in CNCP patients, a univariate logistic regression model was performed. The associated *p*-values were computed with their corresponding odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI).

To study the risk factors associated with AOP, a multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed. All clinically-relevant variables or other variables associated with *p* < 0.25 in univariate analysis were included in the model. The corresponding adjusted ORs were calculated with their 95% CIs. A second univariate and multivariate analysis was then conducted to determine the factors associated with AOP in the subgroup of CNCP OST patients. SAS software for Windows Version 9.3 (SAS Institute, North Carolina, USA) was used for all statistical analyses.

RESULTS

We identified 67,173 patients continuously treated with OST (OST patients group) (67% HDB and 33% MTD) in 2015, age- and gender-matching them with 67,173 patients from the general population without OST or any diagnosed opioid abuse disorder (control group) (Figure 1). After matching, the mean age was 40.3 ± 8.6 years [18–88] and the majority of patients were male (77.7%). Overall, 8,499 and 1,989 CNCP patients were identified from the OST patients group and control group, respectively (12.6 vs. 3.0%, *p* < 0.0001).

Patient Characteristics

Compared to the CNCP control group (Table 1), CNCP OST patients were younger (42.9 ± 8.6 yrs vs. 44.9 ± 8.8 yrs, *p* < 0.0001), more frequently male (71.3 vs. 65.1%, *p* < 0.0001), more frequently HIV-positive (2.4 vs. 1.0%, *p* < 0.001) or HCV infected (7.5 vs. 0.5%, *p* < 0.0001), and more often exhibited alcohol use disorders (7.9 vs. 4.0%, *p* < 0.0001) and mental health disorders (80.7 vs. 57.5%, *p* < 0.0001). The prevalence of low-income status

and HBV infection were not statistically different between the two groups.

The mean buprenorphine dose administered was significantly higher in CNCP OST patients than in non-CNCP OST patients (11.3 ± 11.5 mg [0.1–296.6] vs. 9.2 ± 9.3 mg [0.1–237.3], *p* < 0.0001), as was the mean methadone dose administered (syrup: CNCP OST: 59.8 ± 36.9 mg vs. non-CNCP OST: 52.7 ± 30.5 mg, *p* < 0.0001; capsule: CNCP OST: 61.2 ± 49.1 mg vs. non-CNCP OST: 53.6 ± 45.2 mg, *p* < 0.0001).

Opioid and Non-opioid Analgesic Prescription

Compared to CNCP control patients, CNCP OST patients were significantly less likely to be prescribed opioid analgesics (47.8 vs. 68.0%, *p* < 0.0001), both in terms of strong opioids (4.6 vs. 8.5%, *p* < 0.0001, with less frequent prescription of fentanyl, morphine, and oxycodone) and weak opioid prescriptions (46.1 vs. 65.4%, *p* < 0.0001, with less frequent prescription of codeine, tramadol, and opium) (Table 2). The median OME cumulative dose was significantly greater in CNCP control patients than in CNCP OST patients (1800 mg [450–6768] vs. 968 mg [300–4350], respectively, *p* < 0.0001).


Conversely, CNCP OST patients more often received non-opioid analgesics compared to CNCP control patients (52.2 vs. 32.0%, *p* < 0.0001), including more frequent prescriptions of NSAIDs, paracetamol, and nefopam. Triptans were less frequently employed in CNCP OST patients.

Different prescribers for OST and opioid analgesics were found in 81.7% (82.9% for weak opioids and 98% for strong opioids) and 47.1% for OST and non-opioid analgesic prescription. Compared to CNCP control patients, CNCP OST patients received a lower median number of different opioid prescriptions [4 (1–11) vs. 6 (2–12), *p* < 0.0001].

Factors Associated With Opioid Analgesic Prescription

In univariate analysis, the factors associated with AOP were female gender (OR: 1.17 [95% CI: 1.07–1.27]), alcohol use disorder (OR: 1.44 [1.24–1.67]), mental health disorder (OR: 1.32 [1.20–1.44]), and OST (OR: 2.32 [2.09–2.57]). Other covariates that were found to be significant at the *p* < 0.25 level were: low-income status (OR: 0.93 [0.85–1.02]), HCV infection (OR: 1.12 [0.95–1.31]), HIV infection (OR: 0.84 [95% CI: 0.64–1.09]), and age ≤ 40 years (OR: 1.05 [0.97–1.14]). Conversely, HBV infection (OR: 1.22 [95% CI: 0.59–2.52], *p* = 0.59), was not significantly associated with AOP.

On multivariate analysis (Figure 2), there was still significant correlation with age ≤ 40 years (OR: 1.13 [1.04–1.23]), female gender (OR: 1.11 [1.02–1.20]), low-income status (OR: 0.87 [0.79–0.95]), mental health disorder (OR: 1.60 [1.46–1.76]), HCV infection (OR: 1.29 [1.09–1.52]), and alcohol use disorder (OR: 1.42 [1.22–1.65]). Compared to CNCP control patients, CNCP OST patients were 2.7 times less likely to be prescribed analgesic opioids (adjusted OR = 2.7 [2.42–3.01]).



Factors Associated With Opioid Analgesic Prescription in the Subgroup of CNCPT OST Patients

In multivariate analysis, age ≤40 years (OR: 1.12 [1.02–1.22]), female gender (OR: 1.13 [1.03–1.24]), low-income status (OR: 0.85 [0.77–0.94]), methadone treatment (OR: 1.36 [1.23–1.50]), mental health disorder (OR: 1.49 [1.33–1.67]), HCV infection (OR: 1.21 [1.02–1.43]), and alcohol use disorder (OR: 1.48 [1.26–1.74]), were significantly correlated with AOP in chronic pain opioid-maintained patients (Figure 2).

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, the present nationwide study is the first that specifically compared opioid and non-opioid analgesic prescription in CNCPT patients with or without OST. The prevalence of AOP was lower in the CNCPT OST patients compared to CNCPT control patients (47.8 vs. 68.0%). We observed similar prevalence of AOP in CNCPT OST patients

as that reported in prior studies, where prevalence ranged between 43 and 47% (17, 21, 27). Furthermore, following adjustment for age, gender, low-income status, mental health disorder, HCV infection, and alcohol use disorder, our findings revealed a 2.7-fold decrease in the prevalence of AOP in CNCPT OST patients compared to CNCPT control patients. Conversely, our study demonstrated analgesic non-opioids were more frequently administered in CNCPT OST patients (52.2%) compared to CNCPT control patients (32.0%). These findings were also consistent with prior studies, where this prevalence ranged from 48.9 to 64.1% in opioid-maintained patients (17, 25, 27).

Overall, chronic pain opioid-maintained patients received less AOPs and a number of hypotheses may be assumed.

Opiophobia

The first hypothesis may be linked to the reluctance to prescribe analgesic opioids which is known as “opiophobia,”

TABLE 1 | Comparison of characteristics between CNCP OST patients group and CNCP control group in 2015.

	CNCP OST patients group	CNCP control group	P-value
	N = 8,499 % (N)	N = 1,989 % (N)	
Age (years), mean (±SD)	42.9 ± 8.6	44.9 ± 8.8	<0.0001
AGE CLASS			
≤ 40	37.9 (3,218)	30.6 (608)	<0.0001
> 40	62.1 (5,281)	69.4 (1,381)	
Male gender	71.3 (6,059)	65.1 (1,295)	<0.0001
Low-income status	23.7 (2,017)	25.7 (511)	0.07
HIV infection	2.4 (200)	1.0 (20)	0.0002
HCV infection	7.5 (634)	0.5 (10)	<0.0001
HBV infection	0.3 (22)	0.4 (8)	0.28
Alcohol use disorder	7.9 (670)	4.0 (79)	<0.0001
Mental health disorder	80.7 (6,857)	57.5 (1,143)	<0.0001
Number of different OST prescribers, median [IQR] ^a	2 [1–2]	–	–
Buprenorphine	68.9 (5,857)	–	–
Buprenorphine ± naloxone	4.1 (346)	–	–
Methadone	30.9 (2,617)	–	–
Capsule	66.5 (1,510)	–	–
Syrup	33.5 (761)	–	–
Switch buprenorphine/methadone	0.2 (25)	–	–

CNCP, chronic non-cancer pain; OST, opioid substitution treatment; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; HBV, hepatitis B virus; IQR, interquartile range (25th and 75th percentiles).

^aTotal number of different OST prescribers used by opioid-maintained patients during 2015.

and many caregivers refuse to prescribe opiates at all, or limit prescriptions so far as to never provide enough to effectively relieve pain, and this phenomena is widespread across the world (40–43). Recognizing the potential risk from opioids, an international committee of pain specialists including physicians and healthcare policy specialists concluded that “*irrational fear of the drugs often impedes their appropriate use*” (44). Many physicians have admitted they were uncomfortable with the safe prescription of opioids (28) and have questioned their own practice of analgesic opioid prescribing. There are several reasons why physicians might not prescribe analgesic opioids in OST patients.

First, the use of analgesic opioids in addition to an OST may result in opioid abuse disorder relapse. A recent study showed that the risk of reporting cravings in the preceding week was associated with a 3-fold increase in chronic pain and characterized this population as potentially at risk of relapse (45). However, it is worth noting that underdiagnosed or undertreated pain could also result in an imbalance and even an aggravation of opioid abuse disorders.

Secondly, the increase of pain sensitivity (hyperalgesia) induced by long-term opioid use could also form a barrier

TABLE 2 | Comparison of analgesic drug prescription between chronic non-cancer pain opioid substitution treatment (CNCP OST) patients group and CNCP control group.

	CNCP OST patients group	CNCP control group	P-value
	N = 8,499 % (N)	N = 1,989 % (N)	
Opioid analgesic use	47.8 (4,065)	68.0 (1,352)	<0.0001
Strong opioids	4.6 (394)	8.5 (169)	<0.0001
Fentanyl	0.6 (49)	1.4 (28)	<0.0001
Morphine	3.2 (273)	5.2 (103)	<0.0001
Oxycodone	1.3 (106)	3.4 (67)	<0.0001
Weak opioids	46.1 (3,921)	65.4 (1,300)	<0.0001
Codeine	19.0 (1,618)	32.1 (638)	<0.0001
Tramadol	28.3 (2,403)	39.7 (790)	<0.0001
Opium	13.0 (1,107)	21.4 (425)	<0.0001
Exclusive non-opioid analgesic use	52.2 (4,434)	32.0 (637)	<0.0001
NSAIDs	35.8 (3,044)	20.7 (412)	<0.0001
Paracetamol	48.0 (4,073)	29.1 (579)	<0.0001
Nefopam	1.6 (132)	0.4 (8)	<0.0001
Triptans	8.1 (687)	10.0 (200)	0.004

CNCP, chronic non-cancer pain; OST, opioid substitution treatment; NSAIDs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

against opioid prescription in OST patients (46–48). An Australian study has demonstrated that methadone-maintained patients with chronic pain treated with analgesic opioids presented an hyperalgesia when submitted to the cold pressor test (48).

Thirdly, there is wide abuse in terms of diversion associated with analgesic opioids in OST patients, where pain may be reported solely to manipulate prescribers to obtain opioid medication. An American study showed that 57.5% of analgesic opioid misuse occurred in methadone-maintained patients with chronic pain (21). Two recent studies also highlighted that the major source of diverted opioids was physician prescriptions (49, 50).

Finally, some doctors may use methadone and buprenorphine both as substitution therapy and as analgesics. This may partly account for the lack of supplemental opioid analgesic prescription. Moreover it is well known that the concomitant use of high-dose analgesic opioids and OST can lead to increased risk of accidental and fatal overdoses (3, 29). Between 2013 and 2014 in the US, the synthetic opioids overdose-related deaths almost doubled (51). From 1999 to 2015, prescribed opioid overdoses involved more than 183,000 deaths in the U.S (51, 52). In OST patients, overdose mortality accounted for 12.7 overdose deaths per thousand people per year in the U.S.A. (53). The overdose risks are also related to the well acknowledged phenomenon of opioid tolerance that occurs when opioid analgesics are taken over an extended period of time. Patients with opioid tolerance actually require higher opioid dosages to achieve the same analgesic effect, which may




FIGURE 2 | Forest plot of factors associated with analgesic opioid prescription in chronic non-cancer pain opioid substitution treatment (CNCP OST) patients. OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; AOP, analgesic opioid prescription; MTD, methadone; HDB, buprenorphine, HCV, hepatitis C virus; OST, Opioid Substitution Treatment.

increase the risk of accidental overdoses (54–56). Consequently, these potential overdose risks may encourage doctors to avoid adding up opioid drugs or increasing opioid analgesic doses.

Non-or undertreated pain may have negative consequences, including searches for ways to manage pain illegally. Many studies have found that OST patients often felt that their pain was not well managed, and the absence of pain management encouraged them to use illicit opioids for pain relief (25, 27, 35). Many recent studies have reported OST patients using over-the-counter drugs (from 37.7 to 75%) (17, 27), heroin (25%) (27), and non-pharmacological strategies (19% meditation, 12–18% relaxation) (17, 23) to relieve their pain themselves (19–22, 25, 27, 35, 57, 58). Chronic pain should be routinely assessed in OST patients since it constitutes a significant component in the global patient management. The lack of specific recommendations in the assessment and treatment of chronic pain in these patients makes a proper management more complex. It has now been recognized that clinicians require educating about this topic. Despite progress in their training, there are still misconceptions and fears that prevent clinicians from prescribing analgesic opioids in dependent patients that would indeed clearly benefit clinically (44).

Factors Associated With Analgesic Opioids Prescriptions

In multivariate analysis, our study identified several correlations with AOPs in OST patients: female gender, younger age, low-income status, mental health disorder, HCV infection, alcohol use disorder, and MTD treatment. The literature has amply demonstrated that the prevalence of pain is higher in women than in men (12, 59–61). Similarly to our findings, Nosyk et al. found that female gender was associated with significantly higher odds AOP in methadone maintenance treatment (OR = 1.42 [1.31–1.55]) (62). Another recent study found that women were at higher risk of chronic analgesic opioid use following total hip arthroplasty (63). Disparities in healthcare have also been documented (64, 65), with patients of lower incomes more often reporting pain (12, 59, 61, 65) and developing substance abuse disorders (66). Several studies among HCV+ patients reported a high prevalence of pain in this population (34, 67, 68). An American study found that HCV+ patients were frequently diagnosed with pain and substance abuse disorder and were frequently prescribed analgesic opioids (34). More recently, another study showed that the risk of chronic pain in HCV+ patients was doubled (albeit non-significant) (69). Previous studies have identified associations between chronic pain and alcohol dependence (70, 71). According to a recent

Australian study, “drinkers” took higher opioid doses, reported more multiple pain conditions, and had higher pain severity than “non drinkers” (70). Some studies reported that patients suffering from depression were more prone to receive chronic opioid therapy, suggesting that mental health disorder might represent a risk factor for opioid misuse (72–76). Grattan et al. reported that there was a 1.8- to 2.4-fold increased risk of opioid medication abuse in patients with depression (77). Moreover, it is well known that mental health disorders are classically associated with chronic pain in substance abuse disorders (19, 23, 24, 78). The coexistence of both a mental health disorder and substance abuse disorder is currently acknowledged to be a co-occurring disorder and refers to the concept of “dual diagnosis” (79, 80). Originally, since the 90s, the term of “triple diagnosis” has been recognized and arose from 1/ a “dual diagnosis”, which is largely acknowledged in the psychiatry field, and refers to a patient with a severe mental illness and a substance use disorder, plus 2/ a “human immunodeficiency virus infection”. Nevertheless, this term of “triple diagnosis” does not have a unique and generally agreed-upon usage since it has also been used in other settings: a few authors introduced the notion of chronic pain as a co-occurring disorder with the earlier “dual diagnosis”. In our study, we are specifically referring to the very same latter meaning. Chronic pain adds a third potential clinical problem in OST patients with mental health disorders and represent the third pathologic dimension of the “triple diagnosis”. These three pathological conditions are so closely intertwined that any positive progression or aggravation of one condition will affect the other two. Overall, this term emphasizes the need to consider treating a patient as a whole and not his component parts separately. The management of this “triple diagnosis” presents a considerable and real challenge for caregivers since its symptoms are often complex and severe.

In our study, 81% of OST patients were prescribed opioids by physicians other than their OST prescriber. These findings are in line with a recent study conducted among methadone-maintained patients, which found that 74% and 67.7% of opioid co-prescriptions with OST originated from non-methadone physicians (62, 81). The reasons behind this disproportion remains unclear; whether opioid prescriptions are obtained for legitimate pain conditions (e.g., dental procedures, chronic pain, trauma, or so on) or for non-medical reasons (e.g., abuse or diversion) cannot be definitively answered and needs further investigation.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS

This study represents an original approach in that it is the first to focus on OST patients whose CNCP was treated by analgesic opioids. Furthermore, we used the largest French continuous nationwide claims database (covering 99% of the French population), enabling an exhaustive identification of the OST population in France. Moreover, this is the first European study that provides valuable data about AOPs in OST patients, as France accounts for almost one quarter of all opioid-maintained patients in Europe. In addition, our findings contribute to current

knowledge with specific data on prescribed analgesic opioids in CNCP buprenorphine-maintained patients, given that the majority of previous studies were carried out in methadone-maintained patients. Interestingly, unlike others countries, HDB is the most frequent prescribed OST in France, while MTD is the most common treatment elsewhere in Europe and the rest of the world.

Nevertheless, several limitations inherent to claims database are present. First, our data does not include detailed clinical information on for example, severity of addiction, other risks behaviors, or other detailed related to mental disorders that could prevent opioid prescription by doctors. Importantly, characteristics of chronic pain are lacking and particularly no information on pain intensity was available.

The main result of our study shows that OST patients were less frequently prescribed analgesic opioids, compared to a general chronic pain population, a result which may actually simply reflect more severe pain in the latter group. However, this seems unlikely since the literature widely reports higher prevalence of pain in patients with opioid use disorders (31–41 vs. 12–30% in the general population) (15, 16, 24, 27, 82). In this context, we can reasonably assume that the underprescription of analgesic opioids in OST patients may be related to a reluctance of prescribers. In this context of inadequate pain relief, several studies have showed that a significant proportion of OST patients use street-bought or illicit drugs to effectively alleviate their pain.

CONCLUSION

AOPs were significantly less frequent and at lower dosages in CNCP OST patients compared to a CNCP control group. We proposed a number of hypotheses that might account for these differences, but we have to remain cautious since confounding bias cannot be totally ruled out. Proper management of patients with a “triple diagnosis” (mental disorders, addiction, and chronic pain), is challenging, and such patients should have access to a multidisciplinary care model thereby avoiding the so-called “wrong door syndrome.” If undiagnosed or untreated, one of these conditions could result in an imbalance and even an aggravation of the other two. The real challenge in OST patients, therefore, is to guide the management of chronic pain through a multidisciplinary approach involving general practitioners, addictologists, psychiatrists, and pain specialists, all working in concert using a syndrome-based approach.

ETHICS STATEMENT

No ethic committee approval was necessary since this study used anonymized data from the French national claims database.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JD wrote the first draft of the manuscript. The data were analyzed by JD and MR. NA, AE, DA, CB and CC provided revision of the intellectual content and final approval of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Brands B, Blake J, Sproule B, Gourlay D, Busto U. Prescription opioid abuse in patients presenting for methadone maintenance treatment. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* (2004) 73:199–207. doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2003.10.012
- Rosenblum A, Parrino M, Schnoll SH, Fong C, Maxwell C, Cleland CM, et al. Prescription opioid abuse among enrollees into methadone maintenance treatment. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* (2007) 90:64–71. doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2007.02.012
- Dart RC, Surratt HL, Cicero TJ, Parrino MW, Severtson SG, Bucher-Bartelson B, et al. Trends in Opioid Analgesic Abuse and Mortality in the United States. *N. Engl. J. Med.* (2015) 372:241–8. doi: 10.1056/NEJMsa1406143
- Fischer B, Nakamura N, Ialomiteanu A, Boak A, Rehm J. Assessing the prevalence of nonmedical prescription opioid use in the general Canadian population: methodological issues and questions. *Can. J. Psychiatry Rev. Can. Psychiatr.* (2010) 55:606–9. doi: 10.1177/070674371005500909
- Kuehn BM. Opioid prescriptions soar: increase in legitimate use as well as abuse. *JAMA* (2007) 297:249–51. doi: 10.1001/jama.297.3.249
- Manchikanti L, Helm S, Fellows B, Janata JW, Pampati V, Grider JS, et al. Opioid epidemic in the United States. *Pain Physician* (2012) 15, ES9–38.
- Franklin GM. Opioids for chronic noncancer pain A position paper of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology* (2014) 83:1277–84. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000000839
- Nadeau SE. Opioids for chronic noncancer pain. *Neurology* (2015) 85:646–51. doi: 10.1212/WNL.00000000000001766
- Bouhassira D, Lantéri-Minet M, Attal N, Laurent B, Touboul C. Prevalence of chronic pain with neuropathic characteristics in the general population. *Pain* (2008) 136:380–7. doi: 10.1016/j.pain.2007.08.013
- Breivik H, Collett B, Ventafridda V, Cohen R, Gallacher D. Survey of chronic pain in Europe: prevalence, impact on daily life, and treatment. *Eur. J. Pain Lond. Engl.* (2006) 10:287–333. doi: 10.1016/j.ejpain.2005.06.009
- Fayaz A, Croft P, Langford RM, Donaldson LJ, Jones GT. Prevalence of chronic pain in the UK: a systematic review and meta-analysis of population studies. *BMJ Open* (2016) 6:e010364. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2015-010364
- Johannes CB, Le TK, Zhou X, Johnston JA, Dworkin RH. The prevalence of chronic pain in united states adults: results of an internet-based survey. *J. Pain* (2010) 11:1230–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jpain.2010.07.002
- Nahin RL. Estimates of pain prevalence and severity in adults: United States, 2012. *J Pain Off J Am Pain Soc.* (2015) 16:769–80. doi: 10.1016/j.jpain.2015.05.002
- Schopflocher D, Taenzer P, Jovey R. The prevalence of chronic pain in Canada. *Pain Res Manag J Can Pain Soc.* (2011) 16:445–50. doi: 10.1155/2011/876306
- Steingrimsdóttir ÓA, Landmark T, Macfarlane GJ, Nielsen CS. Defining chronic pain in epidemiological studies - a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pain* (2017) 158:2092–107. doi: 10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001009
- Barry DT, Beitel M, Garnet B, Joshi D, Rosenblum A, Schottenfeld RS. Relations among psychopathology, substance use, and physical pain experiences in methadone-maintained patients. *J Clin Psychiatry* (2009) 70:1213–8. doi: 10.4088/JCP.08m04367
- Dhingra L, Masson C, Perlman DC, Seewald RM, Katz J, McKnight C, et al. Epidemiology of pain among outpatients in methadone maintenance treatment programs. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* (2013) 128:161–5. doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2012.08.003
- Dunn KE, Brooner RK, Clark MR. Severity and interference of chronic pain in methadone-maintained outpatients. *Pain Med. Malden Mass* (2014) 15:1540–8. doi: 10.1111/pme.12430
- Dunn KE, Finan PH, Tompkins DA, Fingerhood M, Strain EC. Characterizing pain and associated coping strategies in methadone and buprenorphine-maintained patients. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* (2015) 157:143–9. doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2015.10.018
- Eyler ECH. Chronic and acute pain and pain management for patients in methadone maintenance treatment. *Am J Addict Am Acad Psychiatr Alcohol Addict.* (2013) 22:75–83. doi: 10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.00308.x
- Glenn MC, Sohler NL, Starrels JL, Maradiaga J, Jost JJ, Arnsten JH, et al. Characteristics of methadone maintenance treatment patients prescribed opioid analgesics. *Subst Abuse* (2016) 37:387–91. doi: 10.1080/08897077.2015.1135225
- Jamison RN, Kauffman J, Katz NP. Characteristics of methadone maintenance patients with chronic pain. *J Pain Symptom Manage.* (2000) 19:53–62. doi: 10.1016/S0885-3924(99)00144-X
- Nielsen S, Larance B, Lintzeris N, Black E, Bruno R, Murnion B, et al. Correlates of pain in an in-treatment sample of opioid-dependent people. *Drug Alcohol Rev.* (2013) 32:489–94. doi: 10.1111/dar.12041
- Voon P, Hayashi K, Milloy MJ, Nguyen P, Wood E, Montaner J, et al. Pain among high-risk patients on methadone maintenance treatment. *J Pain Off J Am Pain Soc.* (2015) 16:887–94. doi: 10.1016/j.jpain.2015.06.003
- Stein MD, Herman DS, Bailey GL, Straus J, Anderson BJ, Uebelacker LA, et al. Chronic pain and depression among primary care patients treated with buprenorphine. *J Gen Intern Med.* (2015) 30:935–41. doi: 10.1007/s11606-015-3212-y
- Berg KM, Arnsten JH, Sacajiu G, Karasz A. Providers' experiences treating chronic pain among opioid-dependent drug users. *J Gen Intern Med.* (2009) 24:482–8. doi: 10.1007/s11606-009-0908-x
- Rosenblum AJH. Prevalence and characteristics of chronic pain among chemically dependent patients in methadone maintenance and residential treatment facilities. *JAMA* (2003) 289:2370–8. doi: 10.1001/jama.289.18.2370
- Keller CE, Ashrafioun L, Neumann AM, Van Klein J, Fox CH, Blondell RD. Practices, perceptions, and concerns of primary care physicians about opioid dependence associated with the treatment of chronic pain. *Subst Abuse* (2012) 33:103–13. doi: 10.1080/08897077.2011.630944
- Webster LR, Cochella S, Dasgupta N, Fakata KL, Fine PG, Fishman SM, et al. An analysis of the root causes for opioid-related overdose deaths in the United States. *Pain Med Malden Mass* (2011) 12 (Suppl. 2):S26–35. doi: 10.1111/j.1526-4637.2011.01134.x
- Donaher PA, Welsh C. Managing opioid addiction with buprenorphine. *Am. Fam. Physician* (2006) 73:1573–8.
- Hines S, Theodorou S, Williamson A, Fong D, Curry K. Management of acute pain in methadone maintenance therapy in-patients. *Drug Alcohol Rev.* (2008) 27:519–23. doi: 10.1080/09595230802245519
- Nordmann S, Vilotitch A, Lions C, Michel L, Mora M, Spire B, et al. Pain in methadone patients: Time to address undertreatment and suicide risk (ANRS-Methaville trial). *PloS ONE* (2017) 12:e0176288. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0176288
- Rowley D, McLean S, O'Gorman A, Ryan K, McQuillan R. Review of cancer pain management in patients receiving maintenance methadone therapy. *Am J Hosp Palliat Care* (2011) 28:183–7. doi: 10.1177/1049909110380897
- Whitehead AJ, Dobscha SK, Morasco BJ, Ruimy S, Bussell C, Hauser P. Pain, substance use disorders and opioid analgesic prescription patterns in veterans with hepatitis C. *J Pain Symptom Manage.* (2008) 36:39–45. doi: 10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2007.08.013
- St Marie B. Health care experiences when pain and substance use disorder coexist: “just because i'm an addict doesn't mean i don't have pain.” *Pain Med. Malden Mass* (2014) 15:2075–86. doi: 10.1111/pme.12493
- Bezin J, Duong M, Lassalle R, Droz C, Pariente A, Blin P, et al. The national healthcare system claims databases in France, SNIIRAM and EGB: powerful tools for pharmacoepidemiology. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.* (2017) 26:954–62. doi: 10.1002/pds.4233
- Pauly V, Pradel V, Frauger E, Micallef J, Thirion X. Evolution of opioids reimbursement since 2004 from the National Database of the General Health Insurance System. *Thérapie* (2011) 66:369–72. doi: 10.2515/therapie/2011037
- Pradel V, Frauger E, Thirion X, Ronfle E, Lapierre V, Masut A, et al. Impact of a prescription monitoring program on doctor-shopping for high dosage buprenorphine. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.* (2009) 18:36–43. doi: 10.1002/pds.1681
- Nielsen S, Degenhardt L, Hoban B, Gisev N. A synthesis of oral morphine equivalents (OME) for opioid utilisation studies. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.* (2016) 25:733–7. doi: 10.1002/pds.3945

40. Bruce RMJ. 'Opiophobia' Past and Present. Available online at: <https://www.practicalpainmanagement.com/treatments/pharmacological/opioids/opiophobia-past-present> (Accessed July 10, 2017) (2012)
41. Morgan JP. American opiophobia: customary underutilization of opioid analgesics. *Adv Alcohol Subst Abuse* (1985) 5:163–73. doi: 10.1300/J251v05n01_11
42. Motov SM, Khan AN. Problems and barriers of pain management in the emergency department: are we ever going to get better? *J Pain Res.* (2008) 2:5–11. Available online at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3004630/> (Accessed August 29, 2017).
43. Zenz M, Willweber-Strumpf A. Opiophobia and cancer pain in Europe. *Lancet Lond Engl.* (1993) 341:1075–6. doi: 10.1016/0140-6736(93)92425-S
44. Bennett DS, Carr DB. Opiophobia as a barrier to the treatment of pain. *J Pain Palliat Care Pharmacother.* (2002) 16:105–9. doi: 10.1080/J354v16n01_09
45. Tsui JJ, Lira MC, Cheng DM, Winter MR, Alford DP, Liebschutz JM, et al. Chronic pain, craving, and illicit opioid use among patients receiving opioid agonist therapy. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* (2016) 166:26–31. doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2016.06.024
46. Compton P, Charuvastra VC, Kintaudi K, Ling W. Pain responses in methadone-maintained opioid abusers. *J Pain Symptom Manage.* (2000) 20:237–45. doi: 10.1016/S0885-3924(00)00191-3
47. Doherty M, White JM, Somogyi AA, Bochner F, Ali R, Ling W. Hyperalgesic responses in methadone maintenance patients. *Pain* (2001) 90:91–6. doi: 10.1016/S0304-3959(00)00391-2
48. Hay JL, White JM, Bochner F, Somogyi AA, Semple TJ, Rounsefell B. Hyperalgesia in opioid-managed chronic pain and opioid-dependent patients. *J Pain Off J Am Pain Soc.* (2009) 10:316–22. doi: 10.1016/j.jpain.2008.10.003
49. Compton WM, Boyle M, Wargo E. Prescription opioid abuse: problems and responses. *Prev Med.* (2015) 80:5–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2015.04.003
50. Shei A, Rice JB, Kirson NY, Bodnar K, Birnbaum HG, Holly P, et al. Sources of prescription opioids among diagnosed opioid abusers. *Curr Med Res Opin.* (2015) 31:779–84. doi: 10.1185/03007995.2015.1016607
51. Rudd RA, Aleshire N, Zibbell JE, Gladden RM. Increases in drug and opioid overdose deaths—United states, 2000–2014. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* (2016) 64:1378–82. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm6450a3
52. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Wide-ranging online data for epidemiologic research (WONDER). Atlanta, GA: CDC, National Center for Health Statistics. Available online at: <https://wonder.cdc.gov/> (Accessed July 7, 2017) (2016).
53. Manhapra A, Rosenheck R, Fiellin DA. Opioid substitution treatment is linked to reduced risk of death in opioid use disorder. *BMJ* (2017) 357:j1947. doi: 10.1136/bmj.j1947
54. Savage SR, Kirsh KL, Passik SD. Challenges in using opioids to treat pain in persons with substance use disorders. *Addict Sci Clin Pract.* (2008) 4:4–25. doi: 10.1151/ascp08424
55. Volkow ND, McLellan AT. Opioid abuse in chronic pain — misconceptions and mitigation strategies. *N Engl J Med.* (2016) 374:1253–63. doi: 10.1056/NEJMr1507771
56. Wallwork RS, Chipidza FE, Stern TA. Obstacles to the prescription and use of opioids. *Prim Care Companion CNS Disord.* (2016) 18. doi: 10.4088/PCC.15f01900
57. Barry DT, Beitel M, Joshi D, Schottenfeld RS. Pain and substance-related pain-reduction behaviors among opioid dependent individuals seeking methadone maintenance treatment. *Am J Addict Am Acad Psychiatr Alcohol Addict.* (2009) 18:117–21. doi: 10.1080/10550490902772470
58. Trafton JA, Oliva EM, Horst DA, Minkel JD, Humphreys K. Treatment needs associated with pain in substance use disorder patients: implications for concurrent treatment. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* (2004) 73:23–31. doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2003.08.007
59. Hardt J, Jacobsen C, Goldberg J, Nickel R, Buchwald D. Prevalence of chronic pain in a representative sample in the United States. *Pain Med Malden Mass* (2008) 9:803–12. doi: 10.1111/j.1526-4637.2008.00425.x
60. Kennedy J, Roll JM, Schraudner T, Murphy S, McPherson S. Prevalence of persistent pain in the U.S. adult population: new data from the 2010 national health interview survey. *J Pain Off J Am Pain Soc.* (2014) 15:979–84. doi: 10.1016/j.jpain.2014.05.009
61. Riskowski JL. Associations of socioeconomic position and pain prevalence in the United States: findings from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Pain Med Malden Mass* (2014) 15:1508–21. doi: 10.1111/pme.12528
62. Nosyk B, Fischer B, Sun H, Marsh DC, Kerr T, Rehm JT, et al. High levels of opioid analgesic co-prescription among methadone maintenance treatment clients in British Columbia, Canada: results from a population-level retrospective cohort study. *Am J Addict.* (2014) 23:257–64. doi: 10.1111/j.1521-0391.2014.12091.x
63. Inacio MCS, Hansen C, Pratt NL, Graves SE, Roughead EE. Risk factors for persistent and new chronic opioid use in patients undergoing total hip arthroplasty: a retrospective cohort study. *BMJ Open* (2016) 6:e010664. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2015-010664
64. Braveman PA, Cubbin C, Egerter S, Williams DR, Pamuk E. Socioeconomic disparities in health in the United States: what the patterns tell us. *Am J Public Health* (2010) 100:S186–96. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2009.166082
65. Grol-Prokopczyk H. Sociodemographic disparities in chronic pain, based on 12-year longitudinal data. *Pain* (2017) 158:313–22. doi: 10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000762
66. Henkel D. Unemployment and substance use: a review of the literature (1990–2010). *Curr Drug Abuse Rev.* (2011) 4:4–27. doi: 10.2174/1874473711104010004
67. Barkhuizen A, Rosen HR, Wolf S, Flora K, Benner K, Bennett RM. Musculoskeletal pain and fatigue are associated with chronic hepatitis C: a report of 239 hepatology clinic patients. *Am J Gastroenterol.* (1999) 94:1355–60. doi: 10.1016/S0002-9270(99)00143-4
68. Silberbogen AK, Janke EA, Hebenstreit C. A closer look at pain and hepatitis C: preliminary data from a veteran population. *J Rehabil Res Dev.* (2007) 44:231–44. doi: 10.1682/JRRD.2006.05.0053
69. Tsui JJ, Herman DS, Kettavong M, Anderson BJ, Stein MD. Chronic pain and hepatitis C virus infection in opioid dependent injection drug users. *J Addict Dis.* (2011) 30:91–7. doi: 10.1080/10550887.2011.554775
70. Larance B, Campbell G, Peacock A, Nielsen S, Bruno R, Hall W, et al. Pain, alcohol use disorders and risky patterns of drinking among people with chronic non-cancer pain receiving long-term opioid therapy. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* (2016) 162:79–87. doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2016.02.048
71. Zale EL, Maisto SA, Ditre JW. Interrelations between pain and alcohol: An integrative review. *Clin Psychol Rev.* (2015) 37:57–71. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2015.02.005
72. Braden JB, Sullivan MD, Ray GT, Saunders K, Merrill J, Silverberg MJ, et al. Trends in long-term opioid therapy for noncancer pain among persons with a history of depression. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* (2009) 31:564–70. doi: 10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2009.07.003
73. Cowan DT, Wilson-Barnett J, Griffiths P, Allan LG. A survey of chronic noncancer pain patients prescribed opioid analgesics. *Pain Med Malden Mass* (2003) 4:340–51. doi: 10.1111/j.1526-4637.2003.03038.x
74. Sullivan MD, Edlund MJ, Fan MY, Devries A, Brennan Braden J, Martin BC. Risks for possible and probable opioid misuse among recipients of chronic opioid therapy in commercial and Medicaid insurance plans: The TROUP Study. *Pain* (2010) 150:332–9. doi: 10.1016/j.pain.2010.05.020
75. Dunn KM, Saunders KW, Rutter CM, Banta-Green CJ, Merrill JO, Sullivan MD, et al. Opioid prescriptions for chronic pain and overdose: a cohort study. *Ann Intern Med.* (2010) 152:85–92. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-152-2-201001190-00006
76. Edlund MJ, Martin BC, Devries A, Fan MY, Braden JB, Sullivan MD. Trends in use of opioids for chronic noncancer pain among individuals with mental health and substance use disorders: the TROUP study. *Clin J Pain* (2010) 26:1–8. doi: 10.1097/AJP.0b013e3181b99f35
77. Grattan A, Sullivan MD, Saunders KW, Campbell CI, Von Korff MR. Depression and prescription opioid misuse among chronic opioid therapy recipients with no history of substance abuse. *Ann Fam Med.* (2012) 10:304–11. doi: 10.1370/afm.1371
78. Dennis BB, Bawor M, Naji L, Chan CK, Varenbut J, Paul J, et al. Impact of chronic pain on treatment prognosis for patients with opioid use disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Subst Abuse Res Treat.* (2015) 9:59–80. doi: 10.4137/SART.S30120
79. Buckley PF. Prevalence and consequences of the dual diagnosis of substance abuse and severe mental illness. *J Clin Psychiatry* (2006) 67 (Suppl. 7):5–9. doi: 10.4088/JCP.0706e01

80. Drake RE, Mercer-McFadden C, Mueser KT, McHugo GJ, Bond GR. Review of integrated mental health and substance abuse treatment for patients with dual disorders. *Schizophr Bull.* (1998) 24:589–608. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.schbul.a033351
81. Kurdyak P, Gomes T, Yao Z, Mamdani MM, Hellings C, Fischer B, et al. Use of other opioids during methadone therapy: a population-based study. *Addict Abingdon Engl.* (2012) 107:776–80. doi: 10.1111/j.1360-0443.2011.03707.x
82. Landmark T, Romundstad P, Borchgrevink PC, Kaasa S, Dale O. Associations between recreational exercise and chronic pain in the general population: evidence from the HUNT 3 study. *Pain* (2011) 152:2241–7. doi: 10.1016/j.pain.2011.04.029

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Delorme, Chenaf, Bertin, Riquelme, Eschaliér, Ardid and Authier. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.