

Scaling of convection in high-pressure ice layers of large icy moons and implications for habitability

Laëtitia Lebec, Stéphane Labrosse, Adrien Morison, Paul J Tackley

▶ To cite this version:

Laëtitia Lebec, Stéphane Labrosse, Adrien Morison, Paul J Tackley. Scaling of convection in high-pressure ice layers of large icy moons and implications for habitability. Icarus, 2023, 396, pp.115494. 10.1016/j.icarus.2023.115494 . hal-04311717

HAL Id: hal-04311717 https://hal.science/hal-04311717v1

Submitted on 28 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Highlights

- Scaling of convection in high-pressure ice layers of large icy moons and implications for
 habitability
- 4 Laëtitia Lebec, Stéphane Labrosse, Adrien Morison, Paul J. Tackley
- We model convective heat and mass transfer through high-pressure ice layers in icy moons.
- The solid-liquid phase change at the boundary between the ice and the ocean greatly eases convection in the ice and increases the efficiency of heat and mass transfer.
- Applications of our scaling laws to large icy moons and ocean worlds show that salts should be readily transported
- across high-pressure ice layers.

Scaling of convection in high-pressure ice layers of large icy moons and implications for habitability

¹² Laëtitia Lebec^{*a*,*}, Stéphane Labrosse^{*a*}, Adrien Morison^{*b*} and Paul J. Tackley^{*c*}

13 ^aLGLTPE, ENS Lyon, Université Claude Bernard, 46 allée d'Italie, Lyon, 69364, France

¹⁴ ^bPhysics and Astronomy, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK

¹⁵ ^cDepartment of Earth Sciences, ETH-Zurich, Sonneggstrasse 5, Zurich, 8092, Switzerland

ARTICLE INFO

16

38

ABSTRACT

The existence of a high-pressure (HP) ice layer between the silicate core and the liquid ocean in large icy moons and ocean worlds is usually seen as a barrier to habitability, preventing a direct contact and therefore transfer of nutrients from the core to the liquid ocean. More recently, several studies challenged that hypothesis and showed that exchanges were possible under specific conditions, allowing transport of salts toward the ocean. In our study, we consider an effect not taken into account in the previous works, which is the dynamical implications of the phase equilibrium at the ice-ocean interface allowing a non-zero vertical velocity at the surface of the HP ice layer. This effect, which can be modeled as a phase change boundary condition for the ice layer, has a significant impact on the flow dynamics and enables exchanges with the ocean by fusion and crystallization at the top interface of the HP ice layer, even without partial melting in the bulk of the ice layer. For the same conditions as standard convective systems, it also leads to faster mass transfer in the bulk. These exchanges are directly linked to the melting capacity of the ice at the interface between the HP ice layer and the core, depending on the efficiency of convection in the liquid ocean. This is controlled by a dimensionless coefficient noted Φ . Considering this boundary condition at the interface between the HP ice layer and the liquid ocean, we propose a scaling of the bottom temperature and the top vertical velocity as function of the Rayleigh number, in the case of a fixed heat flux from the core, a rigid or free-slip bottom boundary and various values of Φ .

39 1. Introduction

The internal structure of large icy moons, as Ganymede or Titan, differs from that of smaller ones as Enceladus 40 or Europa for which a direct contact exists between the core and the ocean under the icy surface (Hussmann et al., 41 2015). Their composition has been studied from the data of Cassini-Huygens which explored Saturn's moons from 42 2004 to 2017 and Galileo missions which explored Jupiter's moons from 1995 to 2003. Currently, Juno is orbiting 43 Jupiter and performed one close flyby of Ganymede (Ravine et al., 2022), which will also be one of the targets of the JUICE mission (Grasset et al., 2013) around 2030 in order to better constrain several parameters of this moon. For 45 large icy moons, as Ganymede, Callisto and Titan, owing to the phase diagram of water, a high-pressure (HP) ice layer 46 of tetragonal ice VI and ice V, depending on the assumed thickness of the ice mantle (Vance et al., 2018), may exist 47 between the internal liquid ocean and the rocky core (Hussmann et al., 2015), in addition to the ice Ih at the surface of 48 the moon. The consequences of this internal structure is that the core and the ocean are not in direct contact, which has 49 long been considered as an obstacle to their habitability by strongly limiting or even removing all interactions between 50 these two layers. Efficient exchanges of nutrients between the core and the ocean being one of the necessary conditions 51 for life to appear at high-pressure in a deep water ocean (Picard and Daniel, 2013), these moons were considered less 52 favorable to habitability than smaller moons like Europa (Noack et al., 2016). 53

In recent years, several studies showed that, under certain conditions depending on the thickness of the HP ice layer, the viscosity of the ice and the heat flux from the core, periodically indirect contacts, or even direct contacts, could still be possible by convection through the HP ice layer. Choblet et al. (2017) described a 3D spherical model of the HP ice shell including partial melting at the bottom of the ice layer, in contact with the core, the melt being extracted

^{*}Corresponding author

[😫] laetitia.lebec@ens-lyon.fr(L.Lebec)

ORCID(s): 0000-0001-8362-5142 (L. Lebec); 0000-0002-7620-4363 (S. Labrosse); 0000-0002-8261-9143 (A. Morison); 0000-0003-4878-621X (P.J. Tackley)

instantly to the ocean. Kalousová and Sotin (2018) and Kalousová et al. (2018) described a 2D cartesian two-phase 58 convection model including partial melting and melt transport through the HP ice layer. These studies consider the 59 pressure and temperature dependence of the viscosity. In addition, Kalousová and Sotin (2018) and Kalousová et al. 60 (2018) also include viscosity variations owing to the presence of melt. All those studies concluded that for various 61 values of ice viscosity, shell thickness and heat flux from the core, mass transfers is possible between the core and 62 the ocean through the HP ice layer by convection in a two-phase medium. A key aspect common to these models is 63 the possibility of melting of the HP ice at the rock interface and transfer trough the ice layer, as liquid channels or by 64 solid-state convection. 65

While these previous papers consider the possibility of melting at the bottom of the HP ice layer and partial 66 melting in the bulk, with various levels of approximation, they do not consider the dynamical effect of the solid-liquid 67 phase equilibrium at the upper boundary of the HP ice layer. Indeed, several studies showed that a melting-freezing 68 boundary drastically changes the condition for convection in a solid layer and the resulting convection characteristics at 69 finite amplitude (Deguen, 2013; Labrosse et al., 2018; Agrusta et al., 2020; Morison, 2020). Applied to our study, the 70 interface between the liquid ocean and the HP ice layer can be set as a phase change boundary condition. Physically, 71 convective stresses in the solid create a topography of the interface which can be erased by melting and freezing. It 72 implies a non-zero radial velocity at the surface of the HP ice layer, which significantly increases the efficiency of the 73 mass transfer through the HP ice layer. This effect can be modeled as a boundary condition for the ice layer controlled 74 by the phase change coefficient Φ (Deguen, 2013; Labrosse et al., 2018, and references therein). The aim of this paper 75 is to evaluate the implications of the liquid-solid phase change boundary condition for convection in high pressure ice 76 layer of large icy satellites and water planets. 77

To this end, we used a numerical model solving the convection in the HP ice layer subject to the phase change 78 boundary condition. We explored systematically the numerical solution depending on the dimensionless control 79 parameters (mainly the Rayleigh number and the phase change number) and obtained scaling laws relating output 80 parameters (bottom temperature, flow velocity) as function of these input dimensionless numbers. We show in this 81 paper how these scaling laws can be used to make prediction on specific planetary objects, like Titan and Ganymede. 82 We do not consider two-phase flow in the bulk of the ice layer, as done in some previous studies (Choblet et al., 2017; 83 Kalousová et al., 2018; Kalousová and Sotin, 2018) to isolate the effect of the phase change boundary condition. We 84 nevertheless compute, as a post-treatment, the amount of partial melting that we would expect if it was permitted, 85 which allows us to compare our results to these previous studies. 86

In section 2 we present the physical and numerical models used to solve the convection problem. The results are described in section 3. These generic results are then applied to several bodies in subsection 3.3. In section 4 we discuss the limitations of the results and the possible future work. A summary and conclusion are provided in section 5.

90 2. Model

91 2.1. Physical model



Figure 1: Model illustration for the interior of an ocean world with an HP ice layer in direct contact with a liquid ocean at top and a rocky core/mantle at the bottom (type IV or V of Lammer, 2013, and type H3 of Noack et al., 2016). Our numerical models treat convection in the HP ice layer.

92 2.1.1. Governing equations

We want to quantify the heat and mass transfer by convection in HP ice layers of various planetary objects, from large icy satellites like Ganymede and Titan, to water exoplanets. The large number of control parameters with wide ⁹⁵ ranges of possible values among these objects can be reduced by use of dimensionless equations and numbers and the ⁹⁶ principle of physical similarity (Barenblatt, 1996). We use the thickness *d* of the layer as length scale, the diffusion time ⁹⁷ d^2/κ as time scale, with κ the thermal diffusivity. For an ice layer subjected to an imposed heat flux *q* at the bottom, the ⁹⁸ relevant temperature scale is qd/k, *k* being the thermal conductivity. Because we consider an incompressible model ⁹⁹ using the Boussinesq approximation, the actual value of the temperature \tilde{T} (dimensional) is irrelevant to the dynamics ¹⁰⁰ and we set the surface temperature \tilde{T}_{top} to be equal to the melting temperature. The dimensionless temperature is

$$T = k \frac{\tilde{T} - \tilde{T}_{top}}{qd}.$$
(1)

With the dynamic viscosity η , which is considered constant with pressure and temperature through the HP ice layer in our model, the thermal expansion coefficient α , the gravity acceleration g, the shell inner radius R^- (the upper radius being $R^+ = R^- + d$, see fig 1), the reference density ρ , we complete the set of input parameters except for that relevant to the phase change boundary condition that is introduced below (§ 2.1.2). Table 2 gives numerical values of these parameters for Ganymede and a water exoplanet. As is well known (e.g. Ricard, 2015), Rayleigh-Bénard convection in the Boussinesq approximation (and with the previous variables considered constant) is controlled by two dimensionless parameters (aside from the one associated with the phase change boundary), the Rayleigh number,

$$Ra_q = \frac{\alpha g q \rho d^4}{k \kappa \eta},\tag{2}$$

and Prandtl number,

дt

1

116

$$Pr = \frac{\eta}{\rho\kappa}.$$
(3)

The definition of the Rayleigh number given in eq. 2 differs from the classical one obtained for an imposed temperature difference and is relevant to the case of an imposed heat flux (Choblet et al., 2017), as considered here.

For the solid HP ice layer, the Prandtl number is large enough (of order 1×10^{20} , see table 2) to be considered infinite, meaning the inertia of the ice can be neglected in front of viscous forces. Then, the dimensionless conservation equations of mass, momentum and energy for thermal convection in the spherical HP ice shell under the Boussinesq approximation for a infinite Prandtl number are the following (e.g. Ricard, 2015):

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{0},\tag{4}$$

$$0 = -\nabla p + \nabla^2 u + Ra_q (T - T)\hat{\mathbf{r}},$$
(5)
$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + u \cdot \nabla T = \nabla^2 T,$$
(6)

with
$$u = (v, w)$$
 the velocity, p the dynamic pressure, T and \overline{T} the temperature and steady-state conductive temperature
(see section 2.2.1) and \hat{r} the radial unit vector.

117 2.1.2. Solid/Liquid phase change at top boundary

The existence of a solid/liquid phase equilibrium at the top of the ice layer leads to a specific mechanical boundary condition in place of the classical non-penetrative one usually considered in convection models. This boundary condition and its implications for Rayleigh-Bénard convection has been the subject of a few previous papers (Deguen, 2013; Deguen et al., 2013; Labrosse et al., 2018; Agrusta et al., 2020; Bolrão et al., 2021) where interested readers will find all the details of the derivation of this boundary condition. Here, we only recall the basic ideas leading to this boundary condition and its implications.

At the interface between the HP ice layer and the ocean, a phase change can occur in either direction, melting or freezing. The secular evolution of the planetary object leads to a net motion of the interface, which we do not consider here since it would require a full thermal evolution model that goes beyond the scope of this paper. On the other hand, the ice flowing vertically toward the interface can cross it by melting and, conversely, liquid water can solidify and accrete to the HP ice layer above down-welling currents. In practice, this happens because the convective stresses in the ice layer generate a topography of the ice-water interface. Assuming thermal equilibrium at the interface makes its temperature equal to that of melting everywhere, but at different depth. This is equivalent to creating lateral temperature differences at the same depth in the liquid layer. Convection in the liquid layer act against these lateral variations to
erase them which in turn tends to erase the interface topography by melting and freezing. This requires transporting
latent heat from regions of freezing that provide it to regions of melting that consume it. The behaviour of the boundary
depends therefore on the competition between two processes, topography building by convection in the ice layer and
its erasing by convective transfer in the liquid. Each process has its own timescale and their ratio decides which wins.
The timescale to build the topography at the interface is dictated by the equilibrium between the viscous stress in
the ice layer and the weight of the topography and is

$$\tau_{\eta} = \frac{\eta}{\Delta \rho g d},\tag{7}$$

with $\Delta \rho = \rho_s - \rho_l$ the density difference across the interface, ρ_s and ρ_l being the density of the solid and the liquid, respectively. Using parameter values for Ganymede given in table 2 gives a timescale of order 1 to 125 yr depending on the value of η .

The timescale for erasing the topography is associated to convection in the liquid layer, with a typical flow velocity u_l , that transports latent heat L. A detailed analysis of this process (Labrosse et al., 2018; Deguen, 2013; Deguen et al., 2013) gives

$$\tau_{\phi} = \frac{\rho_s L}{\rho_l c_{pl} u_l \left| \frac{\partial T_m}{\partial r} \right|},\tag{8}$$

with c_{pl} the specific heat of the liquid. This timescale is difficult to estimate but assuming a flow velocity of about 144 3×10^{-3} m s⁻¹ (Computed using Gastine et al. (2016); Soderlund (2019)) and using parameter values from table 2 145 gives a timescale of order 15 yr. Note that this theory assumes a turbulent flow in the ocean, u_l being its typical RMS 146 value. Considering τ_{ϕ} to be constant in time and uniform in space amounts to two assumptions. The typical timescales 147 for the fluctuations in the liquid are assumed small compared to that for the dynamics in the solid. In addition, the 1/8 turbulence is assumed isotropic. This second assumption could be relaxed by considering a laterally varying value of 149 τ_{ϕ} to take into account the effect of rotation on the dynamics of the ocean. However, a proper theory is still lacking for 150 this type of effect to be included. 151

152 The ratio of the two timescales,

$$\Phi = \frac{\tau_{\phi}}{\tau_{\eta}},\tag{9}$$

is called the phase change number and controls the behaviour of the boundary. For Ganymede, from the previous calculations, Φ would be in the range [0.1; 15]. This value is a very rough estimate and this range could be larger. The key point here is that the phase change at the top interface must be efficient. A detailed analysis combining the traction continuity across the boundary, the energy balance associated with latent heat and thermodynamic equilibrium at the boundary leads to a single boundary condition for the radial velocity which, in dimensionless form, is (Labrosse et al., 2018; Deguen, 2013; Deguen et al., 2013; Bolrão et al., 2021)

$$\Phi w + 2\frac{\partial w}{\partial r} - p = 0,\tag{10}$$

with w the radial velocity. The vertical velocity varies along the boundary, depending on the convection pattern, as can 159 be seen on fig 2.c, but the mean radial velocity at the interface is null due to mass conservation. For this reason, in the 160 following, we use the root-mean-square (RMS) of w to evaluate the efficiency of the mass transfer at the top boundary. 161 Varying the value of Φ between 0 and ∞ makes the behaviour of the boundary evolve between end-members. In the 162 limit case of $\Phi \to \infty$, the radial velocity at the boundary, w_{top} must tend to 0 (fig 3.b) and we recover the classical 163 non-penetration boundary condition used in all previous studies of convection in icy satellites. This happens if heat 164 transfer is inefficient in the ocean so that the weight of the topography limits its building and therefore the radial motion, 165 as shown on fig. 2.a. On the other hand, for $\Phi \to 0$ fig. 2.b–c, the radial velocity is unconstrained and its radial gradient 166 is set. This happens if the topography is erased by phase change faster than it is built so that its weight is never limiting 167 radial flow. The boundary is then permeable, which is known to drastically change the convective regime (e.g. Ricard 168 et al., 2014; Monnereau and Dubuffet, 2002; Agrusta et al., 2020) compared to the other end-member that is usually 169 considered. With the estimates discussed above, we expect a rather low value of the phase change parameter but we 170 explore systematically the effects of changing its value, in the range $[10^{-2}, \infty)$, since it is rather ill-constrained. 171



Figure 2: Snapshots of 1/5 of the HP ice shell for a given $Ra_q/Ra_c = 10^5$ for $\Phi \to \infty$ (a) and $\Phi = 10^{-2}$ (b). (c) is a zoom of (b) with the top radial velocity displayed in green, varying from ~ -1300 to ~ 3350 along the top boundary. The temperature scale on the right is common to all three panels.

172 2.1.3. Other boundary conditions

As can be seen on figure 1 and described by equation 2, our model is based on a fixed flux at the bottom boundary between the core and the HP ice layer and a fixed temperature at the upper boundary between the HP ice layer and the liquid ocean, which is set to 0 by rendering the temperature dimensionless. It leads to the following temperature boundary conditions:

$$T^+ = 0, \tag{11}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial r}\right) = -1,\tag{12}$$

the ⁺ and ⁻ exponents referring to, respectively, the top and bottom boundaries. As the ice VI is in direct contact with a
 rocky core/mantle whose viscosity is orders of magnitudes larger than that of ice, we normally impose a non-penetrative
 no-slip (rigid) condition:

$$u^- = w^- = 0.$$
 (13)

However, since the heat flux is fixed at the bottom, the temperature T^- varies along the bottom boundary, allowing, in some cases, pockets or even a global film of melt to form at the interface between the core and the HP ice layer, which could lubricate the boundary and lead to a free-slip BC, which would imply that:

$$\frac{\partial^2(rw)}{\partial r^2} = 0. \tag{14}$$

In practice, where melt is present at the bottom, the situation is likely intermediate between the free-slip and no-slip boundary condition, depending on the thickness of the melt layer and the roughness of the interface. For the sake of simplicity, we consider the two end-member situations of a free-slip or no-slip bottom boundary condition.

186 2.2. Numerical method

The first step of this study, for each choice of input parameters as listed in table 1, consists in finding the critical Rayleigh number Ra_c for the onset of convection and the associated mode. For a Rayleigh number lower than Ra_c , the heat transfer within the HP ice layer occurs only by conduction. The conditions for the onset of convection are computed using a linear stability analysis described in subsection 2.2.1. Having determined the critical Rayleigh number, we use a mantle convection code to compute finite amplitude solutions, as explained in §2.2.2.



Convection in HP ice layer for large icy moons

Figure 3: Figure of **a**) the minimum (dashed lines), maximum (dashdot lines) and horizontal average (solid lines) temperatures and **b**) the radial velocity through the HP ice layer for a given $Ra_q/Ra_c = 10^5$ for $\Phi = 10^{-2}$ (blue lines) and $\Phi \rightarrow \infty$ (orange lines). The grey line in **a**) is for a null temperature.

192 2.2.1. Linear stability analysis

The convection equations and their boundary conditions always admit a steady motionless solution in which heat is transferred by conduction only. In the present case, the steady conduction temperature profile is:

$$\overline{T} = \frac{r^{-2}}{r} - r^{-\gamma},\tag{15}$$

where $\gamma = r^{-}/r^{+}$, r^{+} (resp. r^{-}) the dimensionless radius of the upper (resp. lower) boundary of the HP ice layer, with $r^{+} - r^{-} = 1$ by our choice of the thickness of the layer as length scale to render the equations dimensionless.

Using StabLinRB (https://github.com/amorison/stablinrb), a free computational tool for linear stability 197 analysis developed by Stéphane Labrosse and Adrien Morison (Labrosse et al., 2018; Morison et al., 2019; Morison, 198 2020), we looked for the stability of the conductive solution for each study case. Infinitesimally small perturbations are 1 9 9 written as spherical harmonics with coefficient whose radial dependency is written as a sum of Chebyshev polynomials. 200 Using differentiation matrices for this Chebyshev collocation approach, the linearized problem for each mode is 201 transformed into a generalized eigenvalue problem. The critical Rayleigh number is the one that makes the real part of 202 the eigenvalue change sign and the mode which has the minimum critical Ra is the most unstable one. You can refer 203 to the studies of Morison (2020); Labrosse et al. (2018); Deguen (2013) and Deguen et al. (2013) for further details on 204 the method used in our study for linear stability analysis and associated spherical harmonics. 205

206 2.2.2. Finite amplitude models

The convection equations (4-6) subject to the boundary conditions described in § 2.1.2 and 2.1.3 are solved using 207 the mantle convection code StagYY (Tackley, 2008) in the spherical annulus two-dimensional geometry (Hernlund and 208 Tackley, 2008). The runs have been divided into four main categories, low super-criticality ($Ra_c \leq Ra_q \leq 10Ra_c$) or 200 high super-criticality $(Ra_a > 10Ra_c)$ for both free-slip and rigid mechanical boundary condition at the bottom. In each 210 category, values of the phase change parameter Φ was varied systematically in the range 10^{-2} to ∞ (no phase change), 211 with two values of the aspect ratio, $\gamma = 0.9$ and 0.95 (see table 1). The runs are pursued until a statistically steady-state 212 is reached, which is the case when all global diagnostics (mean temperature, heat fluxes at both boundaries, RMS 213 velocity) only fluctuate around a time-independent mean. The chosen grid size depends on the simulation study-case 214 and boundary conditions, in the form of $ny_{tot} \times nz_{tot}$, being the number of points in the HP ice shell respectively in 215 the horizontal and vertical directions. The resolution is deemed sufficient when the global energy balance is satisfied. 216 We performed calculations with increasing values of nz_{tot} until this criterion was satisfied, with ny_{tot} chosen such 217 that the cells are nearly square (detailed values can be found in the table captions of the supplementary material (see 218 appendix A)). A vertical grid refinement depending on the study case is also applied at top and bottom boundaries. The 219 geometry of the problem is adapted to the need of each simulation in order to capture the relevant flow structure: the 220 convection equations can be solved either on the entire ice shell or only a part of it (see \S 3.2 for detailed explanations). 221

As shown by Agrusta et al. (2020), the phase change boundary condition drastically changes the behaviour of 222 the boundary layer (and therefore the convective dynamics) compared to the classical non-penetration BC. While in 223 the classical situation, plumes originating from the opposite boundary have to turn when reaching a non-penetration 224 boundary, which builds a boundary layer, they can here flow directly through the boundary by melting. This process 225 still requires to adjust the temperature to that of the boundary but this happens on a distance much smaller than the 226 thickness of a classical boundary layer. The thickness of a classical boundary layer is set by its stability, and typically 227 scales as $Ra^{-1/3}$ for a fixed temperature free-slip situation. On the other hand, the thickness of a phase-change boundary 228 layer is simply set by the rate of flow toward it $\delta \sim 1/w_{top}$ and we will show below that it therefore scales as $Ra_q^{-1/2}$. 229 This boundary layer is not driving any dynamics but needs to be resolved to balance heat correctly, which rapidly 230 becomes prohibitive (in terms of grid spacing and therefore time-step) at high Ra_a . 231

For this reason, while the fixed temperature boundary condition (eq. 11) is applied at the top boundary for runs close to the critical value of the Rayleigh number, we adopted another thermal boundary condition for cases with a high Rayleigh number and small value of Φ , as initially introduced by Agrusta et al. (2020). The basic idea is to remove the very thin boundary layer from the calculation and apply a boundary condition relevant to what happens physically on the edge of that boundary layer. Mathematically, it is described as an intermediate condition between Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions, which respectively corresponds to a fixed temperature or flux condition. The intermediate Robin boundary condition is defined by the following equation (Agrusta et al., 2020):

$$\Gamma\theta + (1 - \Gamma)\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial r} = 0,$$
(16)

where θ is the lateral deviation of temperature compared to the mean and Γ is an approximation of the Heaviside function:

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \tanh\left(\pi \frac{\frac{w_0}{2} - w_{top}}{\frac{w_0}{2}}\right) \right]$$
(17)

with w_0 a threshold velocity. In our study, we used $w_0 = 5.10^{-2} R a_q^{\frac{2}{3}}$, in order to be about half the typical scaling of the RMS velocity in convection (Agrusta et al., 2020). This function allows the boundary condition to switch smoothly between the Neumann and Dirichlet options, depending on the flow velocity. For rapidly up-welling flows, $w_{top} > w_0$, $\Gamma \simeq 0$ and we apply $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial r} = 0$, which is expected for a hot plume rapidly melting in contact with the liquid. For downwelling or slowly upwelling ones, $w_{top} \le w_0$, the normal fixed temperature condition can be imposed, $\theta = 0$. The validity of this approach has been checked by comparing the results to high resolution calculations using the Dirichlet BC (eq. 11).

248 3. Results

249 3.1. Onset of convection

Let us first discuss the results of the linear stability analysis that give the conditions for the onset of convection. 250 The figure 4 shows the convective solution at onset (linear) in the HP ice layer for various values of Φ and different 251 bottom boundary conditions. The linear problem depends on the degree of spherical harmonics but is degenerate in 252 terms of order. For ease of representation and for comparison with the spherical annulus geometry used for the finite 253 amplitude calculations, we represent the sectoral harmonics (i.e. with order equal to degree) in the equatorial plane. 254 For a free-slip BC the critical Rayleigh number Ra_c and the number of convective rolls (spherical harmonics degree at 255 onset) l_c of the first unstable mode are always lower than for a rigid BC. Also, both Ra_c and l_c increase with Φ (fig 5). 256 It is particularly interesting to note that the most unstable mode for a free-slip BC and small values of Φ ($\Phi \leq 4$) is a 257 degree 1 mode. A similar result was obtained in previous studies with an imposed temperature at the bottom (Deguen, 258 2013; Morison et al., 2019) and the present calculations extend that finding to the situation of an imposed bottom heat 259 flux 260

The impact of the boundary conditions can also be observed directly on the shape of flow lines. For small values of Φ , the phase change at the top is efficient and the flow lines are open at the top interface, while they are closed when $\Phi \rightarrow \infty$. Also, for a rigid BC at the bottom and a free-slip one at the top, the convective circulation is concentrated near the top interface since horizontal motion is not limited by that type of boundary.

Then, left and middle panels of figure 5 show respectively the evolution of the critical Rayleigh number Ra_c and 265 critical wave-number l_c with the phase change number at the top boundary, Φ , depending on the bottom BC and the 266 aspect ratio γ for the HP ice shell. In all cases the critical Rayleigh number for the onset of convection increases 267 with Φ , with important variations in the range $\Phi = 1-100$. For a free-slip BC the convection starts for lower values 268 of Ra_c compared to a rigid BC, meaning that the convection is easier to start in that configuration. While the effect 269 of the aspect ratio γ on Ra_c is limited, it is important for the wave-number, which is increasing with γ , except for a 270 free-slip BC with $\Phi \lesssim 4$. The effect of the aspect ratio on the wave-number for classical non-penetrating BC is well 271 documented (Chandrasekhar, 1961) and is related to the linear stability in the case of planar layers. The large values 272 of γ considered here makes the shell close to being planar but changing γ modifies the number of convective rolls of a 273 given aspect ratio (the one obtained for plane layers) that can fit in. The degree 1 mode obtained for low values of Φ and 274 a free-slip bottom boundary condition can be understood when considering the flow presented on figure 4. In this case, 275 down-welling occurs on the hemisphere where freezing happens, while up-welling occurs on the other hemisphere, 276 where melting occurs. The return flow entirely happens in the liquid state, which is not treated explicitly. Such a flow in 277 the solid minimizes the amount of deformation and, therefore, viscous resistance. For this reason, its critical Rayleigh 278 number is much smaller than the one obtained at large values of Φ . This mode requires free-slip around the core and 279 is suppressed when using rigid boundary conditions. 280

Applying the linear stability analysis to a specific ocean world as Ganymede, the critical thickness d_c of the HP ice 281 layer for the onset of convection can be computed. The right panel of figure 5 shows the evolution of this parameter 282 with Φ , depending on the bottom BC and the aspect ratio γ , using values listed in table 2, when considering reference 283 values for the heat flux from the core q = 10 mW m⁻² and for the viscosity of the ice $\eta = 10^{15}$ Pa s. Then, to start the 284 convection on Ganymede considering these reference values, the minimum thickness has to be in 1.5-2.1 km range 285 for a rigid BC and in 1–1.7 km range for a free-slip BC. For the reference HP ice thickness of 100 km, the Rayleigh is close to $Ra_a = 5 \times 10^8$ (see eq. 28), which is far above the critical value to onset the convection. However, in the 287 context of planetary evolution, the thickness of the HP ice layer is expected to evolve and it is interesting to consider 288 a full range, from the critical value to the large nominal value just mentioned. This is done using the finite amplitude 289 convection code StagYY (§2.2.2). 290

²⁹¹ 3.2. Finite amplitude calculations and scaling laws

We ran calculations systematically exploring a wide range of values of the main dimensionless input parameters, the Rayleigh number and the phase change number, with two values of the shell aspect ratio and two mechanical boundary conditions at the bottom. The longitudinal aperture of the HP ice layer on which the convection equations are solved is chosen carefully for each simulation in order to get at least one horizontal period. As can be seen on fig 5, in the case of a free-slip BC and a low value of Φ , the most unstable mode is of degree 1. Then, for low super-criticality cases, the runs have been done on the entire ice shell to capture the relevant flow structure. The figure 6 shows the perturbation temperature along the HP ice layer for two low super-criticality study-cases. When $Ra_q = 1.1Ra_c$ (left), a large scale



Figure 4: First unstable convective modes for $Ra_q = Ra_c$ as function the bottom boundary condition (Free-slip or rigid) and the value of the phase change parameter Φ . The color gives the temperature anomaly with respect to the steady conduction profile (arbitrary units, amplitude not constrained by the linear stability analysis) and the contours give the stream function. The input parameters and output critical Ra and wavenumber l_c are provided inside each shell.

flow is dominant and the simulation has to be solved on the entire ice shell. The fact that the dominant degree is not 299 1 but rather a combination of 2 and 3, contrary to the prediction of the linear stability analysis, can be explained by 300 the initial conditions chosen for this calculations, a random white noise added to the steady conduction solution. Even 301 though the degree 1 mode has a larger linear growth rate, if other modes with only slightly lower growth rates have a 302 larger initial amplitude, they can take over and dominate in the non-linear calculation. When $Ra_q = 2Ra_c$ (right), the 303 solution is already dominated by higher degree perturbations. In that case, as in the ones for $\Phi \ge 10$ or a rigid BC 304 for which the critical harmonics l_c is at least around 10 (see fig 5), the simulations can be performed on a part of the 305 shell to reduce the computational resources (detailed values can be found in the table captions of the supplementary 306 material (see appendix A)). 307

The first targets of our study concern the mass flux between the ice layer and the overlying ocean and heat transfer efficiency by convection in the ice layer. The former is quantified by the root-mean-square (RMS, the mean being null by virtue of mass conservation) of the radial velocity at the top surface, w_{top} , while the latter is measured by the



Figure 5: The three panels are showing, from left to right respectively, the critical Rayleigh number, critical wave-number and the minimum thickness d of the HP ice layer for the onset of convection as function of Φ , depending on the bottom boundary condition (BC) and the shell aspect ratio γ .

Table 1

Detailed parameters applied to all simulations for each study-case. When several numbers are written in cells, the model has been run for all possible combinations.

Study case	Ra_q/Ra_c	Bottom boundary	Phase change at top	Φ	γ	
SC1	1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 0 10	Freeslin	True	$10^{-2}, 10^{-1}, 1, 10, 10^{2}$	0 0 0 05	
		Treesilp	False	00	0.9,0.95	
SC2	1.1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 0, 7, 6, 9, 10	Rigid	Rigid True 10 ⁻² , 10 ⁻¹ , 1, 10, 1		0 0 0 05	
502		Trigiu	False	00	0.9,0.95	
SC3	5 101 1 102 5 102 1 103 5 103 1 104 1 105	Freeslin	True	$10^{-2}, 10^{-1}, 1, 10, 10^{2}$	0 0 0 05	
		rieesiip	False	00	0.5,0.95	
SC4	- 5.10, 1.10, 5.10, 1.10, 5.10, 1.10, 1.10	Pigid	True	$10^{-2}, 10^{-1}, 1, 10, 10^{2}$	0.9,0.95	
		i vigiu	False	00		

dimensionless mean temperature difference across the ice layer, $\Delta \bar{T}$, or its inverse, which, in our case of an imposed heat flux at the bottom, is precisely the Nusselt number, i.e. the ratio between the heat flux and what would be transported by steady-state conduction, $Nu = 1/\Delta \bar{T}$. In the following, we consider the lateral mean temperature \bar{T} , because as can be seen on fig.3a, its variation along the shell can be important. Fully detailed results tables and figures corresponding to the exploration of the parameters space for all cases described in table 1 can be found in the supplementary material (see appendix A).

Starting with the low super-criticality cases $(Ra_q \le 10Ra_c)$, figure 7 shows the evolution of both diagnostics, w_{top} and Nu, as function of Ra_q for both choices of bottom BC and various values of Φ . As expected, the values of w_{top} and Nu rapidly increase with Ra_q from their values at onset ($Ra_q = Ra_c$) of convection, 0 and 1, respectively. The increase rate with Ra_q gets larger when smaller values of Φ are considered. This behaviour is similar to the one obtained in a plane layer with different boundary conditions (Agrusta et al., 2020).

Figure 8 shows the global diagnostics w_{top} and Nu for both choices of bottom BC and various values of Φ for larger 322 values of Ra_q (SC3 and SC4, see table 1). Since these diagnostics and Ra_q vary on several orders of magnitude, the 323 plots use log-log scales. As expected, the values of w_{top} and Nu increase with Ra_q as power laws. We can also see 324 that, for a given value of Ra_q , w_{top} and Nu increase when decreasing Φ , as the top boundary becomes progressively 325 more permeable. The evolution of the Nusselt number saturates at some point which seems to depend on the value of 326 Ra_{q} and the mechanical boundary condition at the bottom: for a rigid BC, the value for $\Phi = 100$ is similar to that for 327 lower values at large values of Ra_a but is intermediate for small values of Ra_a . For this reason, the exponent of the 328 $Nu = f(Ra_a)$ law is different for $\Phi = 100$ than for other choices of that parameter. 320

Convection in HP ice layer for large icy moons



Figure 6: Snapshot of the perturbation temperature along the entire HP ice layer for $Ra_q = 1.1Ra_c$ (left) and $Ra_q = 2Ra_c$ (right) in the case of a free-slip BC for $\gamma = 0.9$ and $\Phi = 10^{-2}$.

330

Each combination of choice of BC and Φ value leads to a power law relationship of the form:

$$w_{top} = a_w R a_q^{\beta_w}, \tag{18}$$

$$Nu = \frac{1}{\Delta \bar{T}} = a_T R a_q^{\beta_T},\tag{19}$$

 (a_w, β_w) being the scaling coefficients for the top radial velocity and (a_T, β_T) being those for the Nusselt number. These scaling coefficient depend on the value of the phase change number Φ and the mechanical boundary condition at the bottom.

As can be seen on figure 8, the exponents β_x depend on the choice of boundary condition but weakly on Φ . On 334 the other hand, the coefficients a_x depend strongly on Φ , as shown on fig. 9 on which a_w is plotted as function of Φ . 335 In the case of a rigid BC, we get $\beta_w = 0.47-0.53$, i.e. close to 1/2, and $\beta_T = 0.2-0.22$, i.e. close to 1/5. In the case 336 of a free-slip BC, we obtain $\beta_w = 0.45-0.55$ which is also similar to 1/2 but $\beta_T = 0.22-0.24$ is close to 1/4. The 337 differences in exponents between the free-slip and no-slip BCs have been explained with some theoretical models in 338 a different context, notably using a fixed boundary condition on both boundaries (e.g. Roberts, 1979). Adapting that 339 theory to the present situation falls beyond the scope of this paper but we can explain in a simpler way how β_T can be 340 justified. 341

The β_T exponents can be obtained theoretically using the argument of the stability of boundary layers (Malkus, 1954): the thickness of the boundary layer is set by its stability, therefore its Rayleigh number, Ra_{δ} . The existence of a boundary layer at the top interface depends on the value of Φ . As shown on the average temperature profiles on figure 3a, when $\Phi \to 0$, the boundary layer disappears at the top interface and only one boundary layer of thickness δ' has to be considered at the bottom interface, while there are two boundary layers of thickness δ' in the case $\Phi \to \infty$. For a free-slip BC at the bottom interface, when $\Phi \to 0$,

$$Ra_{\delta} = Ra_{a}\Delta\bar{T}\delta^{3} = A \tag{20}$$

with Ra_{δ} the Rayleigh number of the boundary layer, $\delta = \delta'/d$ the dimensionless thickness of the boundary layer and *A* a constant related but not exactly equal to the critical Rayleigh number for the instability of the boundary layer (Howard, 1964; Sotin and Labrosse, 1999). As $q' = k \frac{\Delta \bar{T}'}{\delta'}$, primed variables being dimensional, is used to scale temperature, its dimensionless value is equal to 1 and $1 = \frac{\Delta \bar{T}}{\delta}$. Combining with equation 20 gives

$$Nu = A^{-\frac{1}{4}} R a_q^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$
 (21)



Figure 7: Cases $Ra_q \sim Ra_c$ for a rigid bottom BC (a)&(b) and a free-slip bottom BC (c)&(d). Left panels (a)&(c) show the dimensionless radial velocity at the top boundary of the HP ice layer, w_{top} . Right panels (b)&(d) show the dimensionless heat flux (Nusselt number). Both parameters are shown as functions of the Rayleigh Number Ra_q and for various values of Φ .

With this simple argument, we obtain the exponent $\beta_T = 1/4$. The coefficient $a_T = A^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ cannot easily be predicted from first principles (Sotin and Labrosse, 1999).

On the other hand, when $\Phi \to \infty$, the coefficient β_T should be the same, but the variation of the a_T coefficient can be computed in order to better understand the figure 8. The derivation is similar but the temperature jump is now split between the two boundary layers. Neglecting the effect of curvature for simplicity, since the aspect ratio of the shell is large (see Sotin and Labrosse, 1999, for a more complete derivation), we can assume that each boundary layer takes



Figure 8: Cases $Ra_q \ge 50Ra_c$ for a rigid bottom BC (a)&(b) and a free-slip bottom BC (c)&(d). Left panels (a)&(c) show the dimensionless radial velocity at the top boundary of the HP ice layer. Right panels (b)&(d) show the dimensionless heat flux (Nusselt number). Both parameters in function of the Rayleigh Number and for various values of Φ .

an equal share of the total temperature jump, therefore

a)

$$Ra_{\delta} = Ra_q \frac{\Delta \bar{T}}{2} \delta^3 = A \tag{22}$$

and the dimensionless heat flux from the core is $1 = \frac{\Delta \bar{T}}{2\delta}$. Then,

$$Nu = \frac{1}{2}A^{-\frac{1}{4}}Ra_q^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$
(23)

Convection in HP ice layer for large icy moons



Figure 9: Variation of the coefficient a_w of the scaling law for the radial velocity at the top boundary as function of the phase change number Φ (See eq. 18). For each value of Φ , it is computed as the mean of $w_{top}/Ra_a^{\frac{1}{2}}$ over values of Ra_a .

As expected for such a reasoning, we get the same exponent but a different expression for the coefficient, $a_T = \frac{1}{2}A^{-\frac{1}{4}}$. 360 We can assume that the dynamics of the bottom boundary layer is entirely determined by its own stability, which 361 implies that the value of A is independent from Φ . In that case, we expect the Nusselt number to change by a factor of 362 2 between the $\Phi \to 0$ and $\Phi \to \infty$ limits, which is larger but similar to what can be seen on figure 8 for a free-slip BC. 363 Using a more sophisticated theory, Roberts (1979) obtained scaling laws for convection with both free-slip and no-364 slip boundary conditions, both considering fixed temperature thermal boundary conditions. In both cases, he obtained 365 a scaling relationship $Nu = aRa^{\beta}$, with $\beta = 1/3$ for free-slip BCs and $\beta = 1/5$ for rigid BCs. In order to compare 366 these scaling laws to our results, we need to account for the change of definition for the Rayleigh number: 367

$$Ra_q = Ra \frac{q'd}{k\Delta \bar{T}'}.$$
(24)

368 Then,

$$q' = Nu \frac{k\Delta \bar{T}'}{d} = a \frac{k\Delta \bar{T}'}{d} \left(\frac{\alpha g \rho \Delta \bar{T}' d^3}{\kappa \eta}\right)^{\beta}$$
(25)

which gives us $1/\Delta \bar{T}'$ and

$$Nu = \frac{1}{\Delta \bar{T}} = \frac{1}{\Delta \bar{T}'} \frac{q'd}{k} = a^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}} R a_q^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}.$$
(26)

³⁷⁰ Finally, for a rigid BC,

$$Nu = a_T R a_q^{\frac{1}{6}},\tag{27}$$

 a_T being different than previously (See figure 8.d for values).

372 3.3. Numerical applications to planetary objects

In this section, we show how the dimensionless results obtained in our study can be applied to various planetary objects using numerical values listed in table 2 to get dimensional results regarding the mass flux across the ice-ocean interface and the bottom temperature. Some parameters are assumed to be known, essentially because they are not expected to be too much in error with respect to the listed values. On the other hand, we express our results in a way that permits to see the effects of a change in the heat flux from the core, the thickness of the HP ice layer and the ice viscosity, over reference values, all having highly uncertain values.

Table 2

Detailed numerical application parameters for Ganymede from Kalousová et al. (2018) and a water-rich exoplanet from Hernandez et al. (2022).

 * Are the reference values used in the numerical application in section 3.3.1

- ^b Valid for the reference thickness d of the HP ice layer chosen for Ganymede.
- ^c Bridgman (1912, 1937) (Valid for the water-rich exoplanet at the ice/ocean interface).
- ^{*d*} Valid at ice/ocean interface (Tchijov, 2004).

Parameter	Symbol	Ganymede	Water-rich exoplanet	Unit
Thermal expansivity	α	1.5×10^{-4}	2×10^{-4}	K ⁻¹
Gravity	g	1.6	8.4	m s ⁻²
Thermal conductivity	k	1.6	1.6	$Wm^{-1}K^{-1}$
Thermal diffusivity	κ	4.3×10^{-7}	4.3×10^{-7}	$m^2 s^{-1}$
Reference density	ρ	1390	2×10^{3}	kgm⁻³
Density difference at R^+	Δho	160	-	kgm ⁻³
HP ice viscosity	η	*10 ¹⁵ - 10 ¹⁷	10 ¹⁷	Pa s
Heat flux for silicate core	q	*10 - 40	30	mWm ⁻²
HP ice thickness	d	*100 - 400	260	km
Core radius	R^{-}	1 700 – 2 000 ^a	5 000	km
Melting temperature at R^+	T_m^+	321 ^{<i>b</i>}	367	К
Melting temperature at R^-	T_{m}^{m}	332^{b}	800	К
Pressure at R^+	P^{m}	1 378 ^b	2×10^{3}	MPa
Pressure at R^-	P^-	1 600 ^b	$30 \times 10^3 - 40 \times 10^3$	MPa
Latent heat	L	334 ^{<i>c</i>}	350 ^c	kJ kg ^{−1}
Heat capacity	C_{n}	2 850	2 850 ^d	$J kg^{-1} K^{-1}$

379 3.3.1. Application to Ganymede

Ganymede is the largest moon of the solar system, with a water-ice shell thickness in the 600–900 km range (Hussmann et al., 2015). The HP ice layer thickness is estimated between 100 and 400 km (Kalousová et al., 2018). For this numerical application we consider a HP ice layer reference thickness of 100 km, a reference heat flux from the core of 10 mW m⁻² and a reference ice VI viscosity of 10^{15} Pa s (Kalousová et al., 2018). With these parameters, the Rayleigh number can be computed as

$$Ra_q = \frac{\alpha g q \rho d^4}{k \kappa \eta} = 4.85 \times 10^8 \left(\frac{q}{10 \text{ mW m}^{-2}}\right) \left(\frac{d}{100 \text{ km}}\right)^4 \left(\frac{\eta}{10^{15} \text{ Pa s}}\right)^{-1}$$
(28)

It shows that the reference values give a large value of the Rayleigh number, of order 5×10^8 , and larger values can be obtained for a thicker layer, a larger heat flow from the core or a lower ice viscosity. The reference value is already quite large, in particular compared to the critical value for the onset of convection for $\gamma = 0.9$, which is in range $Ra_c = 65 - 915$, depending on the bottom BC and the value of Φ (see fig 4). All other parameters being kept identical, the critical value $Ra_c = 292$ for a rigid bottom BC when $\Phi = 10^{-2}$ is reached for a thickness of the ice layer around 1.6 km (see fig 5).

³⁹¹ Considering first the situation for which the bottom boundary of the HP ice shell of Ganymede is a no-slip one (i.e. ³⁹² in the absence of liquid water to lubricate that boundary) and the phase change at the top boundary is fast, $\Phi = 10^{-2}$, ³⁹³ with an aspect ratio $\gamma = 0.95$, from the scaling laws obtained in § 3.2 on figure 8:

$$w_{top} = 0.262 R a_q^{0.47} \frac{\kappa}{d} = 42.9 \text{ cm yr}^{-1} \left(\frac{q}{10 \text{ mW m}^{-2}}\right)^{0.47} \left(\frac{d}{100 \text{ km}}\right)^{0.88} \left(\frac{\eta}{10^{15} \text{ Pa s}}\right)^{-0.47},$$
(29)

394

$$\frac{1}{\Delta \bar{T}} = 0.531 R a_q^{0.2} \frac{k}{qd} = 4.6 \times 10^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1} \left(\frac{q}{10 \text{ mW m}^{-2}}\right)^{-0.8} \left(\frac{d}{100 \text{ km}}\right)^{-0.2} \left(\frac{\eta}{10^{15} \text{ Pa s}}\right)^{-0.2}.$$
(30)

The scaling relationship for the temperature difference across the ice layer can be used to compute the mean temperature at the ice-rock interface \bar{T}^- from the one at the ice-ocean interface T^+ as:

$$\bar{T}^- = \Delta \bar{T} + T^+ = 343 \mathrm{K} \tag{31}$$

^a Hussmann et al. (2015).

Table 3				
Results to the numerical	applications to Ganyme	de using parameters	from table 2. for	$r \Phi = 10^{-2}$ and $\gamma = 0.95$

Bottom BC	Rigid	Free-slip
Ra _a	4.85×10^{8}	4.85×10^{8}
w_{top} (cm yr ⁻¹)	42.9	47.6
$1/\Delta \bar{T} (\mathrm{K}^{-1})$	$4.6 imes 10^{-2}$	0.1
\bar{T}^- (K)	343	331

with $T^+ = T_m^+ = 321$ K (see table 2) the melting temperature of ice VI at the pressure relevant for the ice-ocean 397 boundary (Kalousová et al., 2018; Bridgman, 1912, 1937). This temperature, obtained for our reference parameters 308 (eq. 30), should be compared to the melting temperature of ice VI at the pressure relevant for the ice-rock boundary 300 $T_m^- = 332$ K (Kalousová et al., 2018; Bridgman, 1912, 1937). This means that taking the nominal values for all the 400 parameters implies a large amount of melting at the bottom of the ice layer. All these parameters being quite uncertain, 401 we can instead compute the value of any chosen parameter such that the bottom temperature is equal to the melting 402 one, all other parameters being kept the same. The melting temperature values T_m^- and T_m^+ respectively at the bottom 403 and the top of the HP ice layer depend on the pressure at these corresponding depths and, then, for a fixed rocky core 404 radius, on the thickness d of the ice shell. For example, still in the case of a rigid bottom BC, for a fast phase change 405 $\Phi = 10^{-2}$ at the top boundary and taking the reference values for d and η , it is possible to compute the minimum value 406 q_1 of the heat flux for which $\overline{T}^- = T_m^-$ as follow: 407

$$q_l = \frac{k\Delta T_m}{d} a_T^{1/(1-\beta_T)} \left(\frac{\alpha g \rho d^3 \Delta T_m}{\kappa \eta}\right)^{\beta_T/(1-\beta_T)} = 4.3 \text{ mW m}^{-2}$$
(32)

with $\Delta T_m = T_m^- - T_m^+$. Note that the expression in brackets is simply the Rayleigh number of the layer based on the temperature difference ΔT_m . For a rigid BC, even a heat flux from the core as small as 4.3 mW m⁻² could be sufficient to melt the bottom of the HP ice layer in Ganymede. This is further discussed in §4.

These calculations have also been performed for a free-slip BC and the results can be seen in table 3 for comparison. 411 As expected, the free-slip situation leads to a convection that is faster, more efficient to transfer heat and therefore to 412 a cooler bottom temperature. Then, \bar{T}^- does not reach the melting temperature when using the reference values of 413 table 2 for d, q and η . Indeed, a minimum heat flux of 11.4 mW m⁻² would be necessary in the case of a free-slip BC 414 for the mean temperature to equal the melting temperature. However, the obtained temperature is the lateral mean one, 415 which varies a lot along the shell (See fig.3a), and is only one Kelvin under T_m^- , meaning that pockets of melt likely 416 exist along the bottom boundary. In addition, the parameters chosen here for Ganymede are rather conservative and it 417 is in fact likely that significant melting actually occurs. 418

419 3.3.2. Application to a water-rich exoplanet

To compare with a larger planetary object, a numerical application has been performed on an hypothetical ocean 420 exoplanet modelled as described on figure 1, with a surface ocean instead of ice Ih. All the values considered for this 421 application are coming from the study of Hernandez et al. (2022) with some adjustments to match the conditions used 422 here. We consider a rocky core/mantle of density $\rho_c = 6 \times 10^3$ kg m⁻³ with a radius $R_c = 5000$ km and a HP ice 423 shell thickness of around d = 260 km in order to have an aspect ratio $\gamma = 0.95$ as the scaling laws of part § 3.2 are 424 computed for this value. The aspect ratio considered by Hernandez et al. (2022) is ~ 0.6 and the HP ice layer thickness 425 considered in their study is much larger than the one used for the current numerical application. Therefore the melting 426 temperature at the top boundary has to be adjusted and is computed as 427

$$T_m = T_m^+ + (T_m^- - T_m^+) \frac{P - P^+}{P^- - P^+},$$
(33)

428 with

1

$$P = P^{-} - \rho g z. \tag{34}$$

The pressure considered in Hernandez et al. (2022) at the bottom of the HP ice layer is in the range 30 - 40 GPa for a melting temperature $T_m^- = 800$ K, which corresponds to ice VII. The melting temperature at the top of their HP

Table 4						
Results to the numerical	applications to an oce	ean exoplanet using	parameters from	table 2, f	for $\Phi = 10^{-2}$ and	$d \gamma = 0.95$

Bottom BC	Rigid	Free-slip
Ra _a	6.7 × 10 ⁹	6.7 × 10 ⁹
w_{top} (cm yr ⁻¹)	57	61
$1/\Delta \bar{T} (K^{-1})$	1×10^{-2}	$2.4 imes 10^{-2}$
$ar{T}^-$ (K)	830	772

ice layer is $T_m^+ = 367$ K, which implies a pressure of about 2 GPa for ice VII. Then, we can compute the pressure 260 km above the ice/core boundary, which is the top of the HP ice layer in our case. This value should be in the range 25 - 35 GPa and the melting temperature associated is around 730 K. The gravitational acceleration is computed as function of R_c and ρ_c as $g = 4\pi G \rho_c R_c/3 = 8.4$ m s⁻² with $G = 6.67 \times 10^{-11}$ m³ kg⁻¹ s⁻².

The heat flux from the core depends on the composition of the star, which will imply more or less radioactivity inside the planet. If we consider a star similar to ours, the heat flux is function of the core radius. For a given concentration in heat producing elements, the total radiogenic heating in the core scales as R_c^3 while the surface scales as R_c^2 and, therefore, its contribution to the heat flux density scales as R_c . As the value of R_c chosen for our application is ~ 2.5–3 times higher than the one of Ganymede, the heat flux should be about 3 times higher. For this numerical application we consider a reference heat flux from the core of 30 mW m⁻² and a reference ice VI viscosity of 10¹⁷ Pa s. With these parameters, the Rayleigh number Ra_q , the radial velocity at ocean/ice interface w_{top} and the Nusselt number $\frac{1}{\Delta T}$ for a rigid BC and a fast phase change $\Phi = 10^{-2}$ at the top boundary have been computed as follows:

$$Ra_{q} = \frac{\alpha g q \rho d^{4}}{k \kappa \eta} = 6.7 \times 10^{9} \left(\frac{R_{c}}{5\ 000\ \text{km}}\right) \left(\frac{q}{30\ \text{mW}\ \text{m}^{-2}}\right) \left(\frac{d}{260\ \text{km}}\right)^{4} \left(\frac{\eta}{10^{17}\ \text{Pa}\ \text{s}}\right)^{-1},\tag{35}$$

443

$$w_{top} = \frac{\kappa}{d} 2.62 \times 10^{-1} \times Ra_q^{0.47} = 57 \text{ cm yr}^{-1} \left(\frac{R_c}{5\,000 \text{ km}}\right)^{0.47} \left(\frac{q}{30 \text{ mW m}^{-2}}\right)^{0.47} \left(\frac{d}{260 \text{ km}}\right)^{0.88} \left(\frac{\eta}{10^{17} \text{ Pa s}}\right)^{-0.47},$$
(36)

444

$$\frac{1}{\Delta \bar{T}} = \frac{k}{qd} 5.31 \times 10^{-1} R a_q^{0.2} = 1 \times 10^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1} \left(\frac{R_c}{5\ 000\ \text{km}}\right)^{0.2} \left(\frac{q}{30\ \text{mW}\ \text{m}^{-2}}\right)^{-0.8} \left(\frac{d}{260\ \text{km}}\right)^{-0.2} \left(\frac{\eta}{10^{17}\ \text{Pa s}}\right)^{-0.2}.$$
(37)

The results can be found in table 4 for comparison between rigid and free-slip boundary conditions and with 445 Ganymede numerical application given in table 3. Obviously, the reference Rayleigh number is higher in the case 446 of a large ocean exoplanet than for a Ganymede-like body, meaning a more efficient convection leading to a higher 447 Nusselt number and a higher dimensionless $\Delta \overline{T}$, but a larger dimensional temperature gap between the two HP ice layer 448 boundaries. But, the layer being ~ 2.5 times thicker, the melting temperature gap between boundaries is also important 449 and this should not have a huge impact on the melt production at the core/ice interface. For the same efficiency of the 450 phase change at the top, the melting ability at the bottom of the HP ice layer, looking at the temperatures \bar{T}^- obtained, 451 are comparable to the Ganymede case, but the difference of bottom temperature between rigid and free-slip BC seems 452 to be more pronounced in the case of a large water-rich exoplanet. In fact, for both objects, the temperature difference 453 across the ice layer is roughly twice larger for a rigid boundary condition than for a free-slip one. Scaling back to 454 dimensional units for a larger object leads however to larger dimensional differences. In the case computed here, \bar{T}^- 455 does not reach T_m^- for a free-slip BC but it does for a rigid boundary condition. First of all, as already discussed above for 456 the application to Ganymede, the exact parameters for this application are subject to discussion and higher temperatures 457 are possible. But the fact that a free-slip boundary condition leads to a lower value of the bottom temperature than a 458

rigid one remains and this could lead to a conundrum: the free-slip BC that is justified by the presence of melt could
predict its absence while the rigid BC that results from the absence of melt could predict its presence. In this case, we
would expect a mixed situation with the presence of melt where the temperature is high and its absence elsewhere with
a non-uniform boundary condition that depends on the local temperature. Such a situation could be the topic of future
studies.

Finally, the vertical velocity at the top of the HP ice layer, w_{top} , is larger for this study case, meaning that the mass transfer between the core and the ocean should be more important.

466 4. Discussion

The model and results presented above are simple and concentrate on one effect not considered before, the phasechange boundary condition at the upper boundary. We showed that this effect alone is sufficient to considerably alter the solution, its structure and the efficiency of heat and mass transfer. Interestingly, the temperature profiles we obtain are similar to the ones Kalousová et al. (2018) and Kalousová and Sotin (2018) obtained with a mechanical conditions that is non-penetrative for the solid but considering the possibility of partial melting in the bulk of the ice layer and extraction of the liquid.

Ar3 As mentioned before, in this study we considered the HP ice layer as an isoviscous material, for the sake of simplicity, while the viscosity is expected to depend on pressure, temperature and melt fraction (Choblet et al., 2017; Kalousová and Sotin, 2018; Kalousová et al., 2018). To get a hint on the potential effect of viscosity variations, we performed a single simulation with a depth- (pressure-) and temperature-dependent viscosity following the dimensionless Arrhenius law established so that the surface viscosity serves as reference in the Rayleigh number:

$$\eta = \exp\left(\frac{E + (1 - z)V}{T + 1} - E\right),\tag{38}$$

with E and V the dimensionless activation energy volume. The values E = 230 and V = 4.6 are chosen for the 478 simulation to be comparable with the ones of Choblet et al. (2017). This simulation has been ran for a rigid bottom 479 boundary condition, $\Phi = 10^{-2}$ and $Ra_a = 10^6$. As can be seen on fig 10, the lowest viscosity is located at the 480 bottom boundary and inside the up-welling hot plumes. This is consistent with the fact that the temperature is higher in 481 these locations and could reach the melting temperature, implying the formation of melt and a lower viscosity. Beside 482 that obvious difference in viscosity, the thermal structure of the solution is similar to that obtained with a constant 483 viscosity, with a boundary layer at the bottom from which hot plumes originate and a passive downward return flow 484 whose temperature is that of the upper boundary. Comparing fig 11.a) and fig 11.b), we can see that our chosen viscosity 485 law (eq.38) makes it increase by 10^2 with depth and vary by more than six orders of magnitude with temperature. Also, 486 we can observe on fig 11.c) that the RMS radial velocity curve shape is slightly different than the one for an isoviscous 487 calculation (as can be seen on the blue curve of fig 3.b). In both cases, the radial velocity increases with height in the 488 layer, but the curvature are somewhat different. Of particular interest here are the local maximum reached in the bottom 489 boundary layer, where the viscosity is lowest and the increase when getting close to the upper boundary, because of the 490 decrease of the viscosity with height. When the statistically steady-state is reached, the time-averaged Nusselt number 491 is Nu = 12.8 and the time-averaged radial velocity at the top is $w_{top} = 264.9$. From the scaling obtained in § 3.2 for a 492 constant viscosity and the same boundary conditions (See fig 8.a and fig 8.b), the Rayleigh number corresponding to 493 the radial velocity at the top is $Ra_w = 2.5 \times 10^6$ and the one corresponding to the Nusselt number is $Ra_{Nu} = 8.1 \times 10^6$, 494 compared to the nominal value of 10⁶ used for this calculation. It means that the calculation with this type of viscosity 495 variation leads to results similar to those obtained with a constant viscosity but a slightly larger Rayleigh number. This 496 is not surprising since the average viscosity is lower than one. It would however be worth exploring these effects more 497 systematically in the future, especially if we take into account partial melting. 498

As already mentioned (§3.2), for some values of the input parameters, we predict a temperature at the bottom of 100 the ice layer that is larger than the melting temperature. This should result in the presence of melt, either everywhere 500 or restricted to melt pockets, since the temperature is laterally variable. This melt, containing salts by interacting with 501 the core, could be transported to the ocean by various processes, as modeled by Kalousová et al. (2018). This partial 502 melting process has not been considered in this preliminary study, which only takes into account solid-state convection 503 through the HP ice layer, but could have an important impact on the efficiency of the mass transfer. To justify the need 504 of adding this property in future models, we computed the regions where melting would happen and the amount of 505 melt produced in considering Ganymede's HP ice layer if the temperature field we obtained was not too affected by the 506

Convection in HP ice layer for large icy moons



Figure 10: Snapshots of 1/14 of the HP ice shell for $Ra_q = 10^6$, $\Phi = 10^{-2}$ and a rigid bottom boundary condition. The left (respectively right) panel shows the viscosity variations (respectively temperature) through the HP ice layer.

presence of melt. This is obviously a very crude assumption but it can be useful to provide a first order estimate. For this calculation, we use the same reference values than previously used in § 3.3.1 and other parameters from table 2. The variations of the melting temperature T_m of ice VI as function of pressure *P* has been computed from eq. 33, with $P = P^+ + \rho g z$, using values given in table 2.

⁵¹¹ Comparing the actual temperature at each point to the melting value at the same position allows to find places ⁵¹² that would be expected to partially melt. For regions where the temperature $T > T_m$, the melting temperature at this ⁵¹³ depth, partial melting should occur and this should limit the temperature to the melting one, at least as long as the melt ⁵¹⁴ fraction is lower than 1. We compute the expected melt fraction by equating the energy associated with the temperature ⁵¹⁵ in excess to the melting temperature, $\Delta T = T - T_m$, to latent heat of melting. The melt fraction is then

$$f_M = \frac{C_p \Delta T}{L} \tag{39}$$

with C_p the heat capacity and L the latent heat as defined in table 2. Since this calculation does not account for porous flow, the melt is assumed to stay in place, even for high fractions. In reality, differential motion of liquid and solid resulting from gravity would act to limit this melt fraction within the ice layer. The results presented in this part are therefore only indicative of the relative importance of melt as function of the input parameters.

Figure 12 shows the horizontal average of the melt mass fraction produced into the HP ice layer as function of 520 the radius for various values of Ra_q , considering a rigid BC at the bottom of the ice shell, a phase-change number 521 $\Phi = 10^{-2}$ and the reference values of q and d identified in table 2, implying a viscosity in the range $10^{16} - 10^{18}$ Pa s 522 which corresponds more or less to the ranges defined for Ganymede. For all values of the Rayleigh number considered, 523 the melt fraction profiles are similar to the mean temperature profile as shown on figure 3. The melt fraction is largest 524 at the bottom boundary and decays with radius in the boundary layer until it reaches a nearly constant value in the 525 bulk. The cases with the lowest values of the Rayleigh number show a slight increase when getting close to the upper 526 boundary. 527



Figure 11: Radial profiles of the minimum (orange), maximum (green) and horizontal average (blue) viscosity (a) and temperatures (b). c) shows the RMS radial velocity profile through the HP ice layer. All the panels come from a varying viscosity simulation made with $Ra_a = 10^6$, $\Phi = 10^{-2}$ and a rigid bottom boundary condition.



Figure 12: This plot shows the horizontal average melt fraction f_M produced in the HP ice layer as function of the radius for a rigid bottom boundary with $\Phi = 10^{-2}$, $\gamma = 0.95$, for various values of Ra_q in the case of Ganymede, using reference parameters values given in table 2 for d & q, implying a viscosity in the range $10^{16}-10^{18}$ Pa s which corresponds more or less to the ranges defined for Ganymede. Note that these figures are an a posteriori prediction from calculations that do not consider partial melting in a self-consistent way (see text for details).



Figure 13: Maps of the temperature field (left) and melt fraction (right) for a snapshot at statistically steady-state from the following simulation: $\Phi = 10^{-2}$, $\gamma = 0.95$, $Ra_q \sim 2.8 \times 10^5$, rigid bottom boundary and applied to Ganymede for d = 100 km and various values of the heat flux from the core, $q = 1 \text{ mW m}^{-2}$, $q = 5 \text{ mW m}^{-2}$, $q = 40 \text{ mW m}^{-2}$, as labelled. As the Rayleigh number and the thickness of the HP ice layer are fixed while the heat flux is varying, it means that the viscosity is varying in the range $10^{15}-10^{17}$ Pa s as considered for Ganymede (See table 2). The yellow line on left panels shows the points where $\overline{T} = T_m$, which delimits regions of partial melting. Each panel shows the whole computational domain $(1/5'^h)$ of the shell) as well as a zoom on a small fraction of the domain. Note that these figures are an a posteriori prediction from calculations that do not consider partial melting in a self-consistent way (see text for details).

The melt fraction decreases with the Rayleigh number and reaches zero in the bulk of the layer for $Ra_a \gtrsim 10^7$. In 528 that case, the melt would refreeze during its ascent before remelting upon reaching the ice/ocean interface. As a mass 529 transfer mechanism from the core to the ocean, this situation is likely less efficient than the cases with lower Rayleigh **F30** number which allow partial melt to be maintained in the whole layer. The amount of melt produced for low Ra_a cases 631 in fig 12 reaches very high values which clearly falls out of the range where the assumption of no motion between 532 phases fails. However, the Rayleigh number expected for Ganymede is at least $Ra_a \sim 5 \times 10^8$ as computed in § 3.3.1, 533 for which the maximum melt mass fraction produced at the bottom of the HP ice layer would be smaller than for the 534 ones shown in fig 12. 535

Figure 13 shows spherical representations of $1/5^{th}$ of the HP ice shell, with a zoom on several plumes. The left panels depict the temperature field for various values of the heat flux coming from the core, the yellow line being the contour on which $\overline{T} = T_m$. The expected melt fraction is shown on the right panels of the figure. Each panel is in fact based on the same snapshot of the results obtained for a rigid BC at the bottom of the HP ice layer when $Ra_q = 10^3 \times Ra_c \sim 2.8 \times 10^5$ and $\Phi = 10^{-2}$ and differ only by the choice of the heat flux to render the results dimensional. It shows that three options are conceivable depending on the heat flux.

In the first case, obtained for small values of the heat flux ($q = 1 \text{ mW m}^{-2}$ on fig 13), partial melting only occurs in hot plumes close to the upper boundary where it can reach a fraction of at most 0.5%. Actually taking into account partial melting in the model would make this melt rise faster to the ocean but would probably not change the overall dynamics. Salts can enter the ice layer by contact with the rocky core but is limited by their partitioning behaviour and by diffusion in both solids, a rather inefficient process. We expect therefore that the salt concentration is rather small in the ice layer and its effect on the dynamics should be limited (Hernandez et al., 2022). However small in quantity, all the salts introduced at the bottom should be efficiently transported by convection in the solid.

For an intermediate value of the heat flux $q = 5 \text{ mW m}^{-2}$ on fig. 13, we obtain localised melt pockets in the vicinity 549 of hot plumes, both at their roots and close to the upper boundary, while these are not generally connected with each 550 other. It means the liquid water would refreeze upon ascending in the ice layer and remelt again before reaching the 551 overlying ocean. We expect that the liquid in contact with the rocky core would get enriched in salts, which would help 552 keep it liquid while ascending and potentially making a continuous path toward the ocean, possibly as an independent 553 porous flow (Kalousová and Sotin, 2018; Kalousová et al., 2018; Choblet et al., 2017). If it crystallises on the way up, 554 the ice-salt mixture is likely to be denser than pure ice, which could slow down the up-welling flow, depending on the 555 relative effects of salt and temperature on the density. A full treatment of that case requires a model that includes both 556 a two-phase-flow approach (Kalousová and Sotin, 2018; Kalousová et al., 2018) and a proper treatment of composition 557 variations. This will be the topic of our future studies.

Finally, for a large heat flux $q = 40 \text{ mW m}^{-2}$ on fig 13, we get melt everywhere at the bottom that even reaches 559 complete melting at places (melt fraction equal to 1). If the melt is so rich in salts that it is denser than pure ice, we 560 expect a full layer of liquid below the ice layer, which would require applying a phase change boundary condition 561 there as well as at the surface. Previous studies (Deguen, 2013; Morison et al., 2019; Morison, 2020) show that we 562 should expect a totally different flow pattern dominated by a degree one mode of convection, a translation of the shell. 563 This is a very efficient heat transport mechanism that would act to cool down the core very efficiently and freezing the 564 dense water. Clearly, a more complete study of that scenario is needed to conclude. On the other hand, in the likely 666 situation where the liquid water contains a small enough fraction of salt to stay less dense than the ice above, it is 566 likely to transport it very efficiently toward the ocean as a porous flow. Even with our purely solid calculations, we 567 predict a continuous connection between the melt layer at the bottom and the upper boundary, a situation that would 568 be reinforced by the presence of salts in the water. We therefore expect this situation to be the most efficient one to 569 transport salts from the core to the ocean. 570

Several choices have been made for the numerical applications and need to be discuss (See § 3.3). First, despite 571 the range estimated for the value of Φ for Ganymede in § 2.1.2, we chose $\Phi = 10^{-2}$ for the numerical application (See 572 § 3.3.1). This range is a very rough estimate and according to figure 9, between $\Phi = 10^{-2}$ and $\Phi \sim 10$, the coefficient 573 a_w of the scaling law for the radial velocity hardly varies. Therefore, we chose the most extreme case among those we 574 have studied for perform these calculations. Also, the scaling laws in § 3.2 are established for an aspect ratio in the 575 range 0.9-0.95 (See table 1). For Ganymede, this ratio is not yet well constrained, with a value varying from 0.8 to 576 0.95 depending on the core radius, the thickness of the HP ice layer and the period considered in the different studies. 577 From the study of Bland et al. (2009), in the thermal history of Ganymede, the thickness of the HP ice layer could 578 have vary between 100 km for a heat flux of about 25 mW m⁻² and 500 km (\sim 700 km if the ocean is closed) for a heat 579 flux of about 5 mW m⁻². The aim of this first numerical application was not to conclude about the actual efficiency of 580

heat and mass transfers from the core to the ocean on Ganymede, but to give an idea of what kind of exchanges could 581 happen through an HP ice layer for that type of planetary objects. Then, we chose to base this numerical application 582 on the study of Kalousová et al. (2018), which considers a large range of combinations between the heat flux from the 683 core and the thickness of the HP ice layer and we decided to represent the extreme case with the smallest values of q 684 and d, which means a minimum of melt at the bottom, in order to observe if melt could occur at the interface between 585 the core and the HP ice shell even in this specific case. In addition, the main parameters currently not well constrained 586 $(q, d \text{ and } \eta)$ are kept in clear in the application equations (See eq. 28, eq. 29 and eq. 30) and can be quickly modified to 587 fit another planetary object, as Titan. Finally, future missions should one day allow to better constrain these parameters 588 for various bodies and this study will allow to quickly conclude about the presence of melt at the bottom and the mass 589 transfer efficiency through the HP ice layer. 590

591 5. Conclusions

This paper addresses the possibility of convection in a high pressure ice layer between a solid core and a liquid 592 water ocean on icv/water-rich bodies and its heat and mass transfer efficiency. We include an effect not considered 593 previously in this context: the solid-liquid phase change at the upper boundary and its implications for the mechanical 594 boundary condition. As shown by previous papers in other contexts (Deguen, 2013; Deguen et al., 2013; Labrosse et al., 2018; Morison et al., 2019; Agrusta et al., 2020), this leads to a non-zero vertical velocity at the ocean/ice 596 interface, a decreased value for the critical Rayleigh number, a markedly different thermal structure and an enhanced 607 heat and mass transfer efficiency. In order to conclude about the effect of this only aspect of the problem, convection 598 of constant-viscosity pure solid water ice has been considered. Compared to previous papers that consider the effect 599 of the phase change boundary condition, we consider here several aspects that are specific to the application of the HP 600 ice layers in contact with a rocky core: we consider a thin spherical shell, with boundary conditions at the bottom not 601 considered before, an imposed heat flux and either a rigid or free-slip mechanical condition. On the other hand, for the 502 sake of simplicity, we have not included the effect of variable viscosity and partial melting that were considered by 603 some previous studies (Choblet et al., 2017; Kalousová et al., 2018; Kalousová and Sotin, 2018). Future studies should 604 be performed to combine all these effects. 605

First of all, our results largely confirm those obtained on the effect of the phase change boundary condition in other 606 contexts. The critical Rayleigh number decreases with a decrease of the phase change number, while the wavelength 607 of the most unstable mode increases (Deguen, 2013; Labrosse et al., 2018; Morison, 2020). The Rayleigh number 608 expected for the HP ice layer of most icy satellites and planets is expected to be largely supercritical and, in that 609 regime, the radial velocity at the upper boundary and heat transfer efficiency, as measured by the Nusselt number, 610 scale as power laws of the Rayleigh number (Agrusta et al., 2020). With the setup considered here, the exponents are 611 close to 1/2 and 1/5 for the velocity and the Nusselt number, respectively, for a rigid BC at the bottom and 1/2 and 612 1/4 for a free-slip BC. The coefficients of the scaling laws increase when the phase change number is decreased, which 613 eases the phase change, by roughly a factor 2 between end-members for the Nusselt number. 614

The scaling laws obtained in a dimensionless parameter space can be easily applied to any object by chosing 615 the relevant values of all parameters. Section 3.3 presents applications to Ganymede and a hypothetical large water 616 exoplanet, imposing values for the best constrained parameters and leaving the possibility of adjusting around reference 617 values for the others, in particular the heat flux from the core, the ice layer thickness and its viscosity. In both cases, 618 we find a typical RMS velocity across the upper boundary of the order of 50 cm yr⁻¹ in the limit of a small phase 619 change number. With such values, the mass exchange between the ice and the overlying ocean should be quite efficient 620 and any salt added to the ice layer by interacting with the underlying core should be easily transported to the ocean. 621 Conversely, if the ocean starts already salted, the efficient mass transfer at the top of the HP ice layer should lead to a 622 rapid chemical equilibrium (Bolrão et al., 2021). 623

Using the heat transfer scaling laws, we can predict the temperature at the bottom of the HP ice layer as function of the governing parameters. Using the reference values, we predict that the mean temperature at the bottom of the ice layer is close to the melting temperature, both for Ganymede and a large water planet. All parameters being equal, the temperature is lower for a free-slip boundary condition at the bottom than for a no-slip one but in both cases, it is close to the melting one. Considering the lateral variations of the temperature, it means that we should expect the presence of partial melt at least in some areas of the core-ice interface, and the mechanical boundary condition should be intermediate between the two end-members considered in this study. Chemical interaction with the rocky core by hydrothermal activity should enrich this melt in various salts, and its upward motion by porous flow should make it
 freeze and bring salts in the ice layer. The effect of this salt on convection remains to be studied in details.

Further studies focusing on salts and partial melting will complete the model in order to be more relevant to conclude about mass transfer efficiency through HP ice layer on icy/water-rich bodies. As several parameters are not well constraint yet, these studies will be useful when more information from future exploration missions will be available in order to conclude about the habitability of these bodies from this perspective.

637 6. Acknowledgments

This study benefited from discussion with Gaël Choblet and Thierry Alboussière and we gratefully acknowledge constructive comments from Gabriel Tobie and an anonymous reviewer. The support from the PSMN (Pôle Scientifique de Modélisation Numérique) of the ENS de Lyon for the computing resources is also acknowledged.

A. Supplementary material

The supplementary material containing the fully detailed results tables and figures corresponding to the exploration of the parameters space for all cases described in table 1 can be found at:

644 References

Agrusta, R., Morison, A., Labrosse, S., Deguen, R., Alboussière, T., Tackley, P.J., Dubuffet, F., 2020. Mantle convection interacting with magma oceans. Geophys. J. Int. 220, 1878–1892. doi:10.1093/gji/ggz549.

Barenblatt, G.I., 1996. Scaling, Self-Similarity, and Intermediate Asymptotics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Bland, M.T., Showman, A.P., Tobie, G., 2009. The orbital-thermal evolution and global expansion of Ganymede. Icarus 200, 207–221. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2008.11.016.

Bolrão, D.P., Ballmer, M.D., Morison, A., Rozel, A.B., Sanan, P., Labrosse, S., Tackley, P.J., 2021. Timescales of chemical equilibrium between
 the convecting solid mantle and over- and underlying magma oceans. Solid Earth 12, 421–437. doi:10.5194/se-12-421-2021.

Bridgman, P.W., 1912. Water, in the liquid and five solid forms, under pressure. Proc. Amer. Acad. Arts Sci. 47, 441–558. doi:10.2307/20022754.
 Bridgman, P.W., 1937. The phase diagram of water to 45,000 kg/cm². J. Chem. Phys. 5, 964–966. doi:10.1063/1.1749971.

Chandrasekhar, S., 1961. Hydrodynamic and hydromagnetic stability. Oxford university press.

Choblet, G., Tobie, G., Sotin, C., Kalousová, K., Grasset, O., 2017. Heat transport in the high-pressure ice mantle of large icy moons. Icarus 285, 252–262. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2016.12.002.

Deguen, R., 2013. Thermal convection in a spherical shell with melting/freezing at either or both of its boundaries. Journal of Earth Science 24, 669–682. doi:10.1007/s12583-013-0364-8, arXiv:1303.4513.

Deguen, R., Alboussière, T., Cardin, P., 2013. Thermal convection in Earth's inner core with phase change at its boundary. Geophys. J. Int. 194, 1310–1334. doi:10.1093/gji/ggt202, arXiv:1306.2482.

Gastine, T., Wicht, J., Aubert, J., 2016. Scaling regimes in spherical shell rotating convection. J. Fluid Mech. 808, 690–732. doi:10.1017/jfm.
 2016.659. publisher: Cambridge University Press.

Grasset, O., Dougherty, M., Coustenis, A., Bunce, E., Erd, C., Titov, D., Blanc, M., Coates, A., Drossart, P., Fletcher, L., Hussmann, H., Jaumann,
 R., Krupp, N., Lebreton, J.P., Prieto-Ballesteros, O., Tortora, P., Tosi, F., Van Hoolst, T., 2013. JUpiter ICy moons Explorer (JUICE): An ESA
 mission to orbit Ganymede and to characterise the Jupiter system. Planet. Spa. Sci. 78, 1–21. doi:10.1016/j.pss.2012.12.002.

Hernandez, J.A., Caracas, R., Labrosse, S., 2022. Stability of high-temperature salty ice suggests electrolyte permeability in water-rich exoplanet
 icy mantles. Nature Communications 13, 3303. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-30796-5.

Hernlund, J.W., Tackley, P.J., 2008. Modeling mantle convection in the spherical annulus. Phys. Earth Planet. Inter. 171, 48–54. doi:10.1016/j.
 pepi.2008.07.037.

Howard, L.N., 1964. Convection at high Rayleigh number, in: Gortler, H. (Ed.), Proceedings of the Eleventh International Congress of Applied
 Mechanics, Springer-Verlag, New York. pp. 1109–1115.

Hussmann, H., Sotin, C., Lunine, J.I., 2015. 10.18 - Interiors and Evolution of Icy Satellites. Treatise on Geophysics 10, 605–635. doi:10.1016/
 B978-0-444-53802-4.00178-0.

Kalousová, K., Sotin, C., 2018. Melting in High-Pressure Ice Layers of Large Ocean Worlds—Implications for Volatiles Transport. Geophys. Res.
 Lett. 45, 8096–8103. doi:10.1029/2018GL078889.

Kalousová, K., Sotin, C., Choblet, G., Tobie, G., Grasset, O., 2018. Two-phase convection in Ganymede's high-pressure ice layer — implications
 for its geological evolution. Icarus 299, 133–147. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2017.07.018.

Labrosse, S., Morison, A., Deguen, R., Alboussière, T., 2018. Rayleigh–Bénard convection in a creeping solid with melting and freezing at either
 or both its horizontal boundaries. J. Fluid Mech. 846, 5–36. doi:10.1017/jfm.2018.258.

Lammer, H., 2013. Origin and Evolution of Planetary Atmospheres - Implications for Habitability. Springer.

Malkus, W.V.R., 1954. The heat transport and spectrum of thermal turbulence. Proc. Roy. Soc. A 225, 196–212.

Monnereau, M., Dubuffet, F., 2002. Is Io's mantle really molten. Icarus 158, 450–459. doi:10.1006/icar.2002.6868.

Morison, A., 2020. Convection in the primitive mantle in interaction with global magma oceans. Ph.D. thesis. Université de Lyon - ENS de Lyon.
 URL: http://www.theses.fr/2019LYSEN061/document.

- Morison, A., Labrosse, S., Deguen, R., Alboussière, T., 2019. Timescale of overturn in a magma ocean cumulate. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 516, 25–36. doi:10.1016/J.EPSL.2019.03.037.
- Noack, L., Höning, D., Rivoldini, A., Heistracher, C., Zimov, N., Journaux, B., Lammer, H., Van Hoolst, T., Bredehöft, J.H., 2016. Water-rich
 planets: How habitable is a water layer deeper than on Earth? Icarus 277, 215–236. doi:10.1016/J.ICARUS.2016.05.009.
- Picard, A., Daniel, I., 2013. Pressure as an environmental parameter for microbial life A review. Biophysical Chemistry 183, 30–41.
 doi:10.1016/J.BPC.2013.06.019.
- Ravine, M.A., Hansen, C.J., Collins, G.C., Schenk, P.M., Caplinger, M.A., Lipkaman Vittling, L., Krysak, D.J., Zimdar, R.P., Garvin, J.B., Bolton,
 S.J., 2022. Ganymede Observations by JunoCam on Juno Perijove 34. Geophys. Res. Lett. 49. doi:10.1029/2022GL099211.
- Ricard, Y., 2015. 7.02 physics of mantle convection, in: Schubert, G. (Ed.), Treatise on Geophysics. 2 ed.. Elsevier, Oxford, pp. 23–71.
 doi:10.1016/B978-0-444-53802-4.00127-5.
- Ricard, Y., Labrosse, S., Dubuffet, F., 2014. Lifting the cover of the cauldron: Convection in hot planets. Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 15, 4617–4630. doi:10.1002/2014GC005556.
- Roberts, G.O., 1979. Fast viscous bénard convection. Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn 12, 235–272. doi:10.1080/03091927908242692.
- Soderlund, K.M., 2019. Ocean dynamics of outer solar system satellites. Geophys. Res. Lett. 46, 8700–8710. doi:10.1029/2018GL081880.
- Sotin, C., Labrosse, S., 1999. Three-dimensional thermal convection in an iso-viscous, infinite Prandtl number fluid heated from within and from below: applications to the transfer of heat through planetary mantles. Phys. Earth Planet. Inter. 112, 171–190. doi:10.1016/S0031-9201(99)
 00004-7.
- Tackley, P.J., 2008. Modelling compressible mantle convection with large viscosity contrasts in a three-dimensional spherical shell using the yin-yang grid. Phys. Earth Planet. Inter. 171, 7–18. doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2008.08.005.
- Tchijov, V., 2004. Heat capacity of high-pressure ice polymorphs. J. Phys. Chem. Solids 65, 851–854. doi:10.1016/j.jpcs.2003.08.019.
- Vance, S.D., Panning, M.P., Stähler, S., Cammarano, F., Bills, B.G., Tobie, G., Kamata, S., Kedar, S., Sotin, C., Pike, W.T., Lorenz, R., Huang,
 H., Jackson, J.M., Banerdt, B., 2018. Geophysical Investigations of Habitability in Ice-Covered Ocean Worlds. J. Geophys. Res. Planets 123,
- 707 180–205. doi:10.1002/2017JE005341.