

Amylose and amylopectin in starch by asymmetric flow field-flow fractionation with multi-angle light scattering and refractive index detection (AF4–MALS–RI)

Eija Chiaramonte, L Rhazi, Thierry Aussenac, D Richard White

▶ To cite this version:

Eija Chiaramonte, L Rhazi, Thierry Aussenac, D Richard White. Amylose and amylopectin in starch by asymmetric flow field-flow fractionation with multi-angle light scattering and refractive index detection (AF4–MALS–RI). Journal of Cereal Science, 2012, 56, pp.457 - 463. 10.1016/j.jcs.2012.04.006 . hal-04310654

HAL Id: hal-04310654 https://hal.science/hal-04310654

Submitted on 29 Apr 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Amylose and Amylopectin in Starch by Asymmetric Flow Field-Flow
2	Fractionation with Multi-Angle Light Scattering and Refractive Index
3	Detection (AF4-MALS-RI)
4	Eija Chiaramonte ^a , L. Rhazi ^b , T. Aussenac ^b , D. Richard White, Jr. ^{a*}
5	
6	^a The Procter & Gamble Company, 8700 Mason-Montgomery Rd., Mason, Ohio, USA
7	^b Institut Polytechnique LaSalle Beauvais, 19 rue Pierre Waguet, 60026 Beauvais, France.
8	
9	*Corresponding author: Tel.:513-622-1624; Fax; 866-676-1730
10	E-mail address: white.dr.2@pg.com
11	
12	Keywords: Starch, Amylose, Amylopectin, AF4-MALS
13	
14	Abbreviations: AF4, asymmetric flow field flow fractionation; FFF, field-flow fractionation;
15	AMP, amylopectin; AMY, amylose; DMSO, dimethylsulphoxide; MALS, multi-angle light
16	scattering; M_w , weight-average molar mass; M_w/M_n , polydispersity; $\langle r_g^2 \rangle$ mean-square radius,
17	gyration radius; R_z , z-average root-mean-square radius; RI, refractive index; SEC, size-exclusion
18	chromatography.
19	

20 Abstract

The rheological and functional properties of starch are influenced by the size and molar mass 21 distribution of the polymer, the ratio of amylose (AMY) to amylopectin (AMP), and branching 22 characteristics. Asymmetric Flow Field-Flow Fractionation (AF4) was applied to fractionate five 23 different starch hybrids of varying AMY:AMP ratio. When coupled to detection by multi-angle 24 light scattering and refractive index (MALS-RI), it was possible to determine mass percentage 25 and the average weight-average molar mass (M_w) without the need for calibration standards. 26 Sufficient resolution of amylose and amylopectin was achieved by applying a gradient cross-27 flow on a 17 cm trapezoidal channel with a 350 μ m spacer. The observed M_w ranged from about 28 $2x10^5$ to $4x10^5$ for amylose and from 1 $x10^8$ to 4 $x10^8$ for amylopectin. The corresponding z-29 average root mean-square radii (R_z) for AMP ranged from 145 to over 300 nm. Low recoveries 30 from the AF4 channel were found to be due primarily to the focusing step. The calculated mass 31 percent of AMY and AMP from integrated RI peak areas agreed well with nominal values for the 32 individual starch hybrids. Both qualitative and quantitative data were reproducible. The results 33 show AF4-MALS-RI method to be well suited for routine molecular characterization of starch. 34

35 **1. Introduction**

In addition to being the most common source of digestible carbohydrate in the diet, starch is 36 a naturally abundant, non-toxic, relatively inexpensive raw material. Starch and starch-derived 37 materials find use in a variety of industrial applications including foods and beverages, 38 pharmaceuticals, household products, cosmetics, paper and packaging, etc., where they may be 39 employed as adhesives, absorbents, encapsulates, additives or binders. Starch and modified 40 starch materials also show promise as low-cost replacements for synthetic polymers in some 41 applications. Starch consists of large polydisperse glucose homopolymers of amylopectin (AMP) 42 and amylose (AMY) that are arranged in crystalline and amorphous regions in granules within 43 plant cells. The rheological and functional properties of starch are influenced by the ratio and 44 45 average molar masses of these macromolecular constituents. Studies of AMP have shown it to be a highly branched structure containing a mixture of $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - α -D-glucose and $(1 \rightarrow 6)$ - α -D-glucose 46 linkages with molecular weights that can reach up to 10⁸. AMY is more linear, consisting 47 primarily of long chains of $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - α -D-glucose linked residues and molecular weights in the 10⁵ 48 to10⁶ range (Aberle et al., 1994; Whistler and BeMiller, 1997). The AMY:AMP ratio differs 49 among starches; levels of AMY and AMP in normal corn (Zea mays L.) starch are 25-28 and 50 72–75%, respectively. However, the starches of some mutant plants contain either an increased 51 AMY content (e.g., high amylose or amylostarch with up to 70% AMY) or an increased AMP 52 content (e.g., waxy starch with 99-100% AMP). The different AMY:AMP ratios of these 53 starches lead to variations in granular structure, physicochemical properties and quality of end-54 use products. For example, the AMY content of rice (Oryza sativa L.) starch ranges from 0 to 55 30% (w/w). Cooked rice texture and rice starch functional properties are reported to be primarily 56 impacted by AMY content (Bhattacharya et al., 1982). However, evidence is building that 57

variations in other aspects of starch are also main determinants of cooking and processing 58 quality, such as the molar mass of AMY, the weight- and molar-based distributions of degree of 59 polymerization (DP) of AMY, and the fine structure of AMP (Tsai and Lii, 2000; Umemoto et 60 al., 2002; Vandeputte et al., 2003a; Vandeputte et al. 2003b; Bergman et al., 2004; Chen and 61 Bergman, 2007). It is known that the physical characteristics of starch can vary with botanical 62 63 source, geographical origin, crop year and environmental conditions. Therefore, it is important to be able to determine the values of certain quality indicators, AMP:AMY ratio and molar mass 64 distribution, for example. 65

Since many of the applications of starch involve dissolution or dispersion in aqueous systems, 66 analytical investigation of starch properties in aqueous solution is especially relevant for 67 understanding structure-function in these systems (Fishman and Hoagland, 1994). However, 68 there are difficulties associated with the separation and characterization of the macromolecular 69 components of starch in aqueous systems. These include, but are not limited to, solubilization, 70 71 degradation, and the potential for aggregation and retrogradation. Dissolution procedures should dissolve all of the starch material without degradation and checks need to be made to ensure that 72 neither aggregation nor retrogradation occurs. Any viable separation technique has to be able to 73 74 provide resolution over a broad molar mass range without the loss of significant amounts of material or changes induced by the separation method itself (Gidley et al., 2010). 75

A dissolution method whereby starches are first dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), precipitated with ethanol, followed by dissolution in water with the aid of microwave heating under pressure, has been shown to provide nearly complete solubilization without degradation under optimized conditions (Bello-Perez et al., 1996; Bello-Perez et al., 1998; Fishman and Hoagland, 1994; Fishman et al., 1996; Roger et al., 1999; Roger et al. 2001; Rolland-Sabate et

al., 2007; Tetchi et al., 2007). This dissolution technique was applied earlier for the preparation 81 of starch solutions for subsequent analysis by size-exclusion chromatography (SEC). While SEC 82 is well established as a method for the analysis of polymers, problems associated with its use for 83 very large macromolecules such as AMP (You et al., 2002) can include exceeding the exclusion 84 limit of the column packing, irreversible interaction with the column and subsequently low 85 86 recovery, and shear degradation (Cave et al, 2009; Gidley et al., 2010). For this reason, fieldflow fractionation (FFF) methods have been investigated for the separation of intact starch 87 polysaccharides. In the first investigation applying flow FFF to starch (Roger et al., 2001), corn 88 starches of varying amylose content were separated on a symmetrical 30 cm channel equipped 89 with a frit outlet, the purpose of which was to reduce the effect of sample dilution and increase 90 detection signal to noise ratio. 91

In this paper, we report on a practical application of asymmetric flow field-flow fractionation 92 with multi-angle light scattering and refractive index detection (AF4-MALS-RI) for the 93 94 separation and characterization of AMY and AMP in commercial corn starch using water as eluent. AF4 is a sub-type of the larger family of FFF techniques (Giddings, 1993) and offers the 95 advantage of an open-channel separation without shear degradation and/or column adsorption 96 97 effects that can arise with SEC. Unlike SEC, resolution can be controlled by varying the crossflow. Once sufficient resolution is achieved, detection by MALS-RI enables calculation of the 98 99 mass percent of AMY and AMP and determination of weight-average molar mass (M_w) and root 100 mean-square radii (R_z) without the need for calibration standards. AF4 has been applied to the separation and characterization of starch previously (Roger et al., 2001; van Bruijnsvoort et al., 101 102 2001; You et al., 2002; Kim et al., 2007). Resolution could potentially be improved by using an 103 asymmetric channel of enhanced design and flow control. Higher resolution would enable more

reliable molecular characterization and differentiation of cultivars where variations may be small. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the capability of AF4-MALS-RI to resolve AMY and AMP for both qualitative and quantitative determination of AMY:AMP mass ratio, as well as other molecular characteristics for different starch hybrids.

108 **2. Materials and methods**

109 2.1 Asymmetric flow field-flow fractionation (AF4)-multi-angle light scattering (MALS)110 refractive index (RI)

AF4 separation occurs in an open channel as shown in Figure 1. Two plates are separated by a 111 spacer that determines the channel thickness and breadth. The upper plate is impermeable, while 112 the bottom plate is constructed of a porous frit. An ultrafiltration membrane covers the bottom 113 plate and serves as the accumulation wall to prevent penetration of sample through the bottom of 114 the channel. Asymmetric flow FFF differs from symmetric flow in that channel flow is 115 constantly lost through the single porous wall of the channel, thus there is a decrease in 116 117 longitudinal flow velocity along the channel, compensated in part by the trapezoidal shape of the channel spacer (Litzén and Wahlund, 1991). The inflow solvent is introduced at the channel inlet 118 at a volumetric flow rate, V_{in} . This inflow rate is divided into the channel or outlet flow rate, V_{c} . 119 120 and the cross-flow rate, V_x , such that $V_{in} = V_x + V_c$. The cross-flow is thus the excess flow created by limiting the channel outflow to the detectors so that $V_c < V_{in}$. The cross-flow velocity 121 is zero at the upper wall and increases nonlinearly toward the accumulation wall (Wahlund and 122 Giddings, 1987). This cross-flow exits the channel through the porous accumulation wall 123 creating a flow field perpendicular to the longitudinal flow and forcing analyte molecules 124 downward. Under laminar flow conditions the channel flow has a parabolic profile where the 125 velocity is greatest at the center of the channel and lowest at the walls (Giddings, 1993). The 126 downward force induced by the cross-flow is balanced by diffusional forces and differences in 127

diffusion coefficients of analyte molecules are the basis for the technique's selectivity. Lower masses with higher diffusion coefficients establish a steady state closer to the center of forward flow and thus elute first, while higher masses traverse more slowly.

 $\frac{t_r}{t_0} = \frac{w^2 V_x}{6DV^0}$

(1)

131 The retention time, t_r , of a polymer in field-flow fractionation is approximated by,

- 132
- 133
- 134

135 where *w* is the channel thickness, V_x and V^0 are the volumetric cross-flow and channel volume, 136 respectively, and *D* is the diffusion coefficient of the polymer. Greater retention, and thus 137 increased resolution, can be achieved by increasing cross-flow. Note that retention time 138 decreases with increasing diffusion coefficient. The relationship between the diffusion 139 coefficient and the hydrodynamic radius, r_h , is given by the Stokes-Einstein expression,

- 140 $D = \frac{kT}{6\pi\eta r_h}$ (2)
- 141

that when substituted into (1) shows that t_r and r_h are in direct proportion. The AF4 technique is capable of fractionating a broad molar mass range. The lower mass limit is defined by the porosity of the ultrafiltration membrane at the accumulation wall (typically 10,000 Da) and a practical upper limit is an r_h of about 20 µm.

Molar mass and radius can be obtained by MALS combined with RI concentration
measurements by applying the Rayleigh-Gans-Debye approximation (Wyatt, 1993),

- 148
- 149

$$\frac{K^*c_i}{R_{\theta}} = \frac{1}{M_i P(\theta)} + 2A_2 c$$

151

150

where R_{θ} is the excess Rayleigh scattering over and above that of the solvent due to a solute of concentration c_i for a slice *i* of the fractionated sample. K^* is an instrumental constant dependent on the wavelength and the refractive index increment (dn/dc) of the solute in the particular solvent. M_i is the weight-average molar mass for the solute. $P(\theta)$ is the scattering function that describes the angular dependence of the scattered light intensity from which the mean-square radius $\langle r_g^2 \rangle$ is derived. A_2 is the second virial coefficient and captures non-ideality due to solvent-solute interactions; it is expected to be vanishingly small in a dilute fractionated sample.

The average weight-average molar mass $(M_w = \sum c_i M_i / \sum c_i)$ is derived by measuring R_θ at multiple angles for each slice *i* of the fractionated sample. The concentration of each slice, c_i , is conveniently measured by RI detection. The z-average root mean-square radius (R_z = $\sqrt{\Sigma} < r_g^2 > c_i M_i / \sum c_i M_i$) is derived from the mean-square radii.

163 *2.2 Apparatus and procedures*

We carried out AF4 separation on a 17 cm trapezoidal channel mounted on a PEEK 164 (polyetheretherketone) lower block with a stainless steel frit (Wyatt Technology, Santa Barbara 165 CA). The upper block was aluminum with a polycarbonate window. The channel thickness 166 167 spacer was 350 µm with a breadth of 22 mm at the inlet and 2 mm at the outlet. The accumulation wall was a regenerated cellulose membrane with a cut-off molecular weight of 168 10,000 Da (Wyatt). Flows and injection were accomplished with an Agilent 1100 HPLC 169 170 equipped with a degasser, isocratic pump and autosampler. AF4 flow control was maintained 171 with a Wyatt Eclipse 3 AF4 Separation System controller. Detection was accomplished with a Wyatt DAWN HELEOS 18-angle MALS and Optilab REX RI detectors, both with a 172 wavelength, $\lambda = 690$ nm. Data were collected and processed with ASTRA Software (v. 5.3.4.13). 173 174 The MALS detector was calibrated with toluene. The RI detector was calibrated with sodium chloride and the temperature was set at 35°C. A dn/dc value of 0.147 ml/g was determined for 175 the waxy maize starch Hylon VII in our carrier liquid. This value was obtained experimentally 176

by direct injection into the RI detector of five different concentrations of Hylon VII using an external 2 ml loop. The latter allowed us to recover all of the injected mass. The consistency of our experimentally determined dn/dc with the generally accepted value of 0.146 ml/g for starch (Roger et al., 2001; Bello-Perez et al., 1998) verified complete dissolution.

The mobile phase was de-ionized water with 0.02% NaN₃ added as preservative. The eluent 181 182 was passed through a degasser and a 0.22 µm inline filter (Millipore, Billerica, MA) then into the AF4 channel. The sample injection volume was varied between 10 and 50 µL, depending on the 183 184 amount of AMP in the sample. The sample was injected at 0.2 ml/min for 1 min, followed by a 1 min focusing step just prior to elution. At the start of elution, the detector flow and cross-flow 185 were at 1.0 ml/min. The cross-flow rate was decreased linearly from 1.0 to 0.1 ml/min over a 10 186 minute period, after which the detector flow was maintained at 1.0 ml/min with a cross-flow of 187 0.0 ml/min. 188

189 *2.3 Sample Preparation*

190 Pullulan (Polymer Laboratories, Amherst, MA) solutions, used for initial operational testing of the AF4 system, were prepared by dispersing approximately 0.2 g of the solid material in 100 191 mL water to prepare solutions at about 2 mg/mL. Samples of five different maize hybrids 192 193 (National Starch Food Innovation, Plant Genetics Group, Indianapolis, IN) covering a range of AMY:AMP mass ratios were shared between laboratories (The Procter & Gamble Co., Mason, 194 195 Ohio and Institut Polytechnique LaSalle Beauvais, France) for the AF4 experiments. A sample 196 preparation procedure was adapted from the literature (Bello-Perez et al., 1998; Roger et al., 1999), whereby the starch samples were first treated with 95 % dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO) for 197 198 1 h at 100 °C, precipitated with 5 volumes of ethanol, filtered and washed with acetone, diethyl 199 ether, and finally solubilized in de-ionized water with the aid of microwave heating (900 W, 2 to

200 10 minutes at 130°C) in a pressurized vessel, followed by dilution to 2 mg/ml (Bello Perez et al.,

1998). The solutions were filtered through a 5 μ m cellulose nitrate filter before injection.

202 **3. Results and discussion**

203 *3.1 System suitability*

In order to verify that the AF4 system was operating properly, pullulans covering molar mass 204 range from 47 to 788 kDa were used in this study. Pullulan is a linear polysaccharide of α -(1 \rightarrow 4) 205 and α -(1 \rightarrow 6) linked maltotriose units synthesized by the fungus Aureobasidium pullulans. It is 206 available in monodisperse fractions over a range of molar mass. The 112 kD pullulan was used 207 to normalize the diodes of the MALS detector. Figure 2 and Table 1 summarize AF4 results for 208 209 five pullulans of different molar mass eluted with water as the mobile phase. Elution behavior 210 was satisfactory with the exception of the highest molar mass (788 kD) which shows delayed 211 retention, possibly due to interaction with the membrane material. Generally, the calculated M_{ψ} 212 were reasonably close to nominal values. The slightly high bias in the lower M_w samples is possibly due to loss of low molar mass (<10 kD) material through the membrane. 213

214 *3.2 Solubilization and fractogram analysis*

215 The treatment with 95% DMSO followed by dispersion with the aid of microwave heating in a pressurized vessel allowed us to obtain the maximum solubilization of starch in water. This 216 217 was verified by direct injection of the starch solutions into the RI detector, measuring Δn and calculating concentration from the known dn/dc (= 0.146 mL/g) for starch. The dissolution 218 recovery was near 100% for all of the starch hybrids used in this investigation, covering a range 219 220 in AMY from 1 to 75%. This is in accordance with results obtained in literature (Rolland-Sabate et al., 2007; Tetchi et al., 2007). Several methods have been tested previously using autoclave, 221 boiling water or oven at high temperature after pre-gelatinization (Vorwerg and Radosta, 1995; 222

van Bruijnsvoort et al., 2001; Yoo and Jane, 2002). None of these achieved a total dissolution ofstarch. The technique used here appears to be the most efficient, rapid and reproducible method.

A filtered solution containing solubilized starch was injected into the AF4 system and 225 analyzed with MALS-RI detectors. The resulting fractograms shown in Figure 3 are typical of 226 AF4-MALS-RI fractograms. The LS and RI peaks are not comparable and do not superimpose 227 228 due to the polydisperse nature of the polysaccharide. The RI peak is seen to be comprised of two polysaccharide fractions while the LS peak is dominated by one fraction. The first fraction seen 229 230 in the RI signal eluted rapidly just after the end of focusing/relaxation steps and corresponds to 231 AMY. The second fraction, corresponding to AMP, begins to elute just after 15 min, the time about which the cross flow was reduced to 0.0 ml/min. The small spike in both detector signals 232 observed at about 6 min is an artifact caused by the pressure drop at the start of elution. This 233 peak, corresponding to the void time, was excluded from the analysis. The fractograms clearly 234 show the separation of the AMY and AMP components in the five starch hybrids. It has been 235 236 noted that higher cross-flows delay the elution of high molecular weight starch polymers (You et al., 2002). Thus, we found that we could optimize separation of the starch macromolecules by 237 applying a linearly decreasing cross-flow gradient, thereby delaying the elution of the higher 238 239 molar mass AMP until the cross-flow approached zero. The normal AF4 elution, as well as nearly Gaussian peak shapes and reasonably good conformation plots for AMP ($\log \langle r_g \rangle$ vs. 240 241 $\log M_i$, Figure 4) led us to conclude that there was adequate resolution across the AMP peak. 242 There was no evidence of steric mode of elution or abnormally high MALS signal that would 243 suggest higher-order aggregates in solution. (Giddings, 1993). Our separation is comparable to that obtained previously (Roger et al., 2001), although with noticeably better resolution. The use 244

of a single solvent pump, and motor driven, software-controlled valves for precise flow controlundoubtedly provided enhanced system performance.

247 *3.3 AF4-RI data analysis and recovery*

Integration of RI peak areas and applying the dn/dc enabled determination of the recovery of 248 injected mass and calculation of AMY:AMP ratios. In this investigation, the elution recovery 249 250 was low (~60%) compared to results from literature. Rolland-Sabate et al. (2007) reported 81.7 to 100% recovery from different starch sources while Roger et al. (2001) obtained 84 to 100% 251 252 recovery from maize starch. We attempted to explain the poor recovery observed at both 253 laboratories (LaSalle Beauvais France, Procter & Gamble Company USA) by using different elution protocols while injecting constant amounts of the same sample. First, the AF4 separation 254 cell was replaced by an external 2 ml loop and sample was injected and eluted with a flow rate of 255 1.0 ml/min. In this case, the recovery was 100%. This experiment verified that analysis system in 256 general and, more specifically, the injector were not implicated in the loss of material. Secondly, 257 258 sample was injected into the AF4 channel and eluted at 1.0 ml/min without a focusing step and with cross-flow maintained at 0.0 ml/min for the duration of the experiment. Again, 100% 259 recovery was obtained. In a third trial, the sample was analyzed without a focusing step and with 260 261 cross flow decreased gradually from 1.0 to 0.0 ml/min over a 10 min period. The mass recovery obtained in the absence of a focusing step was 86%. Finally, sample was eluted using our 262 263 standard method as described, yielding a mass recovery of about 60%. Therefore, it was 264 concluded that the poor recovery was due to the loss of injected material during focusing and elution with cross-flow, with the majority of mass being lost during focusing. This phenomenon 265 266 could be explained by increased interactions between sample and membrane during focusing, the 267 purpose of which is to concentrate the sample into a narrow zone prior to elution. It is known

that concentration effects can lead to aggregation and interaction with the membrane at the accumulation wall (Schimpf and Wahlund, 1997). Better control over focusing conditions to allow for control of zone width, reduced concentration effects, and minimal sample interactions would be a significant improvement (Johann et al., 2011). It is noteworthy that we obtained the same results with both regenerated cellulose and polysulphone membranes. Blank injections before and after sample injections were superimposable, regardless of membrane used, demonstrating that the membranes did not release material retained from previous injections.

Despite a low mass recovery, we injected the waxy maize starch (Hylon VII) several times 275 (n=5) in order to further examine the system performance and its capability to produce reliable 276 277 qualitative and quantitative data. The mass percentage of AMY was calculated by ratio of the integrated RI peak area for AMY relative to the RI signal for the entire fractogram. The within-278 day coefficient of variation (CV) was below 2%, while intermediate precision for different days 279 and between laboratories was better than 4% CV. Thus, although recoveries were poor, the AF4 280 281 technique was very reproducible. Furthermore, the AMY mass percentage was in good agreement with the nominal value of 75%, verifying that the loss of starch material during 282 analysis did not affect the qualitative nor quantitative nature of the data. Concentration effects, 283 and the resulting loss of mass during focusing, were apparently non-selective as the low recovery 284 285 did not bias one fraction over the other. No observable bias for AMP suggests that selective 286 retrogradation of AMY did not occur during the course of analysis. Both AMY and AMP apparently lost the same fraction of material during the focusing and elution steps. In order to 287 288 verify that the AF4-MALS-RI system could be reliably used for the determination of AMY:AMP ratios, all five hybrids of varying composition were tested with results shown in Table 2. The 289 nominal AMY:AMP ratios were provided by the National Starch Plant Genetics Group 290

(Indianapolis, IN). They were determined by quantifying AMY according to an iodine-binding colorimetric method (Zhu et al., 2008) whereby the absorbance (610 nm) was measured and compared against a calibration curve constructed from solutions prepared from purified AMY fractions from regular corn, Hylon V, or Hylon VII types, as appropriate. The nominal AMY:AMP ratios and those obtained here by AF4 were in good agreement.

296 3.4 Analysis of AF4-MALS data

A Debye Plot (Zimm fit) of R_{θ}/K^*c vs. $sin^2(\theta/2)$ for the AMY component enabled an estimate 297 of M_w , however a low signal to noise ratio precluded derivation of the root-mean-square radii, R_z , 298 with suitable precision. For the AMP, we employed a 2nd-order Berry fit to account for curvature 299 The Berry plot has been reported by other researchers to be the most appropriate fit for AMP 300 301 molecules (Bello-Perez et al., 1998; Rolland-Sabate et al., 2007). For the high AMP starches it was necessary to exclude low angle data points for which R_{θ} was off scale. This likely reduces 302 the precision of the calculated results and may introduce error. There is also the possibility of a 303 304 non-zero A_2 in the case of these large macromolecules. For these reasons, results should be taken as approximate. Notably however, the weight-average molar mass (M_w) ranged from 2×10^5 to 305 $4x10^5$ g/mol for amylose and from $1x10^8$ to $4x10^8$ g/mol for amylopectin. The R_z values for 306 307 amylopectin ranged from 145 to 316 nm. The values obtained agree reasonably with those cited in the literature for AMY and AMP (Banks and Greenwood, 1975; Aberle et al., 1994; Fishman 308 and Hoagland, 1994; Vorwerg and Radosta, 1995; Fishman et al., 1996; Hanselmann et al., 1996; 309 310 Bello-Perez et al., 1996; Bello-Perez et al., 1998; Millard et al., 1999; Roger et al., 1999; Murphy, 2000; Roger et al., 2001; Yoo and Jane, 2002; Elfstrand et al., 2004; Han and Lim, 311 2004a, 2004b; Han et al., 2005; Bowen et al., 2006; Yang et al., 2006; Tetchi et al., 2007; 312 Rolland-Sabate et al., 2007). Any discrepancies between our results and those published 313

previously could be due to the possible sources of error cited above and/or differences in the analytical system employed. For example, others have used an external column calibration for determining M_w or have employed different separation systems and detectors. Differences could also be attributed to the starch solubilization process and the source of starch material.

318 *3.5 Amylopectin conformation*

319 For further characterization of the five starches, structural conformation of AMP was obtained by plotting the logarithm of root-mean-square radii, $\log \langle r_g \rangle$, vs. the logarithm of the 320 corresponding molar mass, $\log M_i$, for each slice *i* of the fractogram for each of the samples. 321 322 Figure 4 shows the resulting conformation plot for the Hylon VII sample, from which a slope of 0.40 was obtained. The slopes of the log-log plots for the remaining samples ranged from 0.39 323 (Hi-Maize, Melojel) to 0.41 (Hylon V, Amioca), indicative of a highly branched structure. These 324 values are in good agreement with results reported in literature (Hanselmann et al., 1996; Roger 325 et al., 1999; Rolland-Sabate et al., 2007), with the average value (0.40) corresponding exactly to 326 327 that determined by Rolland-Sabate et al. (2007) for amylopectins from multiple starch sources.

328 **4. Conclusions**

Fractionation of starch by AF4 with MALS-RI detection enabled the qualitative 329 characterization of five different starch hybrids, as well as quantitation of the relative amounts of 330 331 AMY and AMP, and conformational information for the latter. Despite low recoveries, the 332 results were reproducible. The starch components were resolved by aqueous AF4 on a short (17cm) channel with a gradient cross-flow. Integration of RI peak areas enabled calculation of 333 AMY:AMP ratios, in excellent agreement with the nominal values. Low signal to noise of the 334 335 MALS data precluded estimation of R_z for AMY. Nonetheless, estimated values for M_w for AMY and AMP, as well as R_z values for AMP fall within the ranges previously reported. 336

337 Conformational plots ($\log \langle r_g \rangle$ *vs.* $\log M_i$) for the AMP component yielded slopes 0.39–0.41, 338 consistent with the branched nature of this macromolecule.

We have shown the AF4 system to be a practical analytical platform for purpose of starch characterization and quality control. In the future, this technique may find use in correlating molecular structure and function for the many end use applications of these important materials.

342

343

344 Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge National Starch Food Innovation, Plant Genetics Group fordonation of the starches used in this study.

348 **References**

- Aberle, Th., Burchard, W., Vorwerg, W., Radosta, S. 1994. Conformational contributions of
 amylose and amylopectin to the structural properties of starches from various sources.
 Starch 46, 329-335.
- Banks, W. and Greenwood, C. T. 1975. In: Starch and its components. Edinburgh University
 Press, Edinburgh (UK).
- Bello-Perez, L. A., Paredes-Lopez, O., Roger, P., Colonna, P. 1996. Molecular Characterization
 of some amylopectins. Cereal Chemistry 73, 12–17.
- 356 Bello-Perez, L. A., Roger, P., Baud, B., Colonna, P. 1998. Macromolecular features of starches
- determined by aqueous high performance size exclusion chromatography. Journal of
 Cereal Science 27, 267–268.
- Bergman, C. J., Bhattacharya, K. R., Ohtsubo, K. 2004. Rice End-Use Quality Analysis. In: E. T.
- 360 Champagne (Ed.), Rice chemistry and technology. American Association of Cereal
- 361 Chemists, St. Paul, MN, pp. 415–472.
- Bhattacharya, K. R., Sowbhagya, C. M., Indudhara Swamy, Y. M. 1982. Quality profiles of rice:
 a tentative scheme for classification. Journal of Food Science 47, 564–569.
- Bowen, S. E., Gray, D. A., Griaud, C., Majzoobi, M., Testa, C. E. M., Bello-Perez, L. A., Hill, S.
- 365 E. 2006. Lipid oxidation and amylopectin molecular weight changes occurring during 366 storage of extruded starch samples. Journal of Cereal Science 43, 275–283.
- 367 Buleon, A. and Colonna, P. 2007. Physiochemical behaviour of starch in food applications.
- Pages 25-30 in: Chemical Physics of Food, 1st Ed. P.S. Belton, ed. Blackwell: Oxford.

369	Cave, R. A., Seabroo	ok, S. A., Gidley, M	. J., C	ilbert, R. G.	2009. Chara	acteriz	ation of	starch by
370	size-exclusion	chromatography:	the	limitations	imposed	by	shear	scission.
371	Biomacromolec	cules 10 (8), 2245–22	253.					

- Chen, M.-H. and Bergman, C. J. 2007. Method for determining the amylose content, molecular
 weights, and weight- and molar-based distributions of degree of polymerization of amylose
 and fine-structure of amylopectin. Carbohydrate Polymers 69, 562–578.
- Elfstrand, L., Frigård, T., Roger, A., Eliasson, A.-C., Jönsson, M., Reslow, M., Wahlgren, M.
 2004. Recrystallisation behaviour of native and processed waxy maize starch in relation to
 the molecular characteristics. Carbohydrate Polymers 57, 389–400.
- Fishman, M. L., and Hoagland, P. D. 1994. Characterization of starches dissolved in water by
 microwave heating in a high pressure vessel. Carbohydrate Polymers 23, 175–183.
- Fishman, M. L., Rodriguez, L., Chau, H. K. 1996. Molar masses and sizes of starches by
 HPSEC-MALS detection. Journal of Agricultural Food Chemistry 44, 3182–3188.
- 382 Giddings, J. C. 1993. Field-flow fractionation: analysis of macromolecular, colloidal, and
 383 particulate materials. Science 260, 1456-1465.
- Gidley, M. J., Hanashiro, I, Hani, N.M., Hill, S.E., Huber, A., Jane, J., Liu, Q, Morris, G.A.,
 Rolland-Sabate, A., Striegel, A. M., Gilbert, R.G. 2010. Reliable measurements of the size
- distributions of starch moleculars in solution: Current dilemas and recommendations.
 Carbohydrate Polymers 79, 255-261.
- Han, J.-A., and Lim, S.-T. 2004a. Structural changes of corn starches by heating in DMSO
 measured by SEC-MALLS-RI system. Carbohydrate Polymers 55, 265–272.
- Han, J.-A., and Lim, S.-T. 2004b. Structural changes in corn starches during alkaline dissolution
- by vortexing. Carbohydrate Polymers 55, 193–199.

392	Han, JA., Lim, H., Lim, ST. 2005. Comparison between Size Exclusion Chromatography and
393	Micro-Batch analyses of corn starches in DMSO using light scattering detector. Starch 57,
394	262–267.

- Hanselmann, R., Burchard, W., Ehrat, M., Widmer, H. M. 1996. Structural properties of
 fractionated starch polymers and their dependence of the dissolution process.
 Macromolecules 29, 3277–3282.
- Johann, C. Elsenberg, S., Roesch U., Rambaldi, D. C., Zattoni, A., Reschiglian, P. 2011. A novel
 approach to improve operation and performance in flow field-flow fractionation. Journal
 of Chromatography A 1218, 4126-4131.
- Kim, W., Eum, C., Lim, S., Han, J, You, S., Lee, S. 2007. Separation of amylose and
 amylopectin in corn starch using dual-programmed flow field-flow fractionation. Bulletin
 of the Korean Chemical Society 28, 2489-2492.
- Litzén, A., Wahlund, K-G. 1991, Zone broadening and dilution in rectangular and trapezoidal
 asymmetrical flow field-flow fractionation channels. Analytical Chemistry, 63, 10011007.
- Millard, M. M., Wolf, W. J., Dintzis, F. R., Willet, J. L. 1999. The hydrodynamic
 characterisation of waxy maize amylopectin in 90% dimethyl sulfoxide–water by
 analytical centrifugation, dynamic and static light scattering. Carbohydrate Polymers 39,
 315–320.
- 411 Murphy, P. In G. O. Phillips, P. A.Williams (Eds.) 2000. In: Handbook of hydrocolloids.
 412 Woodhead Publishers, Cambridge (UK).

413	Roger, P., Baud, B., Colonna, P. 2001. Characterisation of starch polysaccharides by flow field
414	flow fractionation-multi-angle laser light scattering-differential refractometer index.
415	Journal of Chromatography A 917, 179–185.
416	Roger, P., Bello-Perez, L. A., Colonna, P. 1999. Contribution of amylose and amylopectin to the
417	behaviour of starches in aqueous solution. Polymer 40, 6897–6909.
418	Rolland-Sabate, A., Colonna, P., Mendez-Montealvo, M. G., Planchot, V. 2007. Branching
419	features of amylopectins and glycogen determined by asymmetrical flow field flow
420	fractionation coupled with multiangle laser light scattering. Biomacromolecules 8, 2520-
421	2532.

- Schimpf, M. E, Wahlund, K. G. 1997. Asymmetrical flow field-flow fractionation as a method
 to study the behavior of humic acids in solution. Journal of Microcolumn Separations 9,
 535-543.
- Tetchi, F. A., Rolland-Sabate, A., Amani, G. N., Colonna, P. 2007. Molecular and
 physicochemical characterisation of starches from Yam, Cassava, Sweet potato and ginger
 produced in the Ivory Coast. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 87, 1906–
 1916.
- Tsai, M. L. and Lii, C. Y. 2000. Effect of hot-water-soluble components on the rheological
 properties of rice starch. Starch/ Stärke 52, 44–53.
- Umemoto, T., Yano, M., Satoh, H., Shomura, A., Nakamura, Y. 2002. Mapping of a gene
 responsible for the difference in amylopectin structure between japonica-type and indicatype rice varieties. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 104, 1–8.

- Vandeputte, G. E., Vermeylen, R., Geeroms, J., Delcour, J. A. 2003a. Rice starches. I. Structural
 aspects provide insight into crystallinity characteristics and gelatinisation behaviour of
 granular starch. Journal of Cereal Science 38, 43–52.
- Vandeputte, G. E., Vermeylen, R., Geeroms, J., Delcour, J. A. 2003b. Rice starches. III.
 Structural aspects provide insight in amylopectin retrogradation properties and gel texture.
 Journal of Cereal Science 38, 61–68.
- Van Bruijnsvoort, M. Wahlund, K. G., Nilsson, G., Kok, W. Th. 2001. Retention behaviour of
 amylopectins in asymmetrical flow field-flow fractionation studied by multi-angle light
 scattering detection. Journal of Chromatography A 925, 171-182.
- Vorwerg, W. and Radosta, S. 1995. Rheological investigation of starch polysaccharide.
 Macromolecular Symposia 99, 71–82.
- Wahlund, K. G., Giddings, J. C. 1987. Properties of an asymmetrical flow field-flow
 fractionation channel having one permeable wall. Analytical Chemistry 59, 1332-1339.
- Whistler, R. L., BeMiller, J. N., (Eds.), 1997. In: Carbohydrate Chemistry for Food Scientists,
 Eagan Press: St Paul. MN.
- Wyatt, P.J. 1993. Light scattering and the absolute characterization of macromolecules.
 Analytica Chemica Acta 272, 1-40.
- Yang, C., Meng, B., Chen, M., Liu, X., Hua, Y., Ni, Z. 2006. Laser-light-scattering study of
 structure and dynamics of waxy corn amylopectin in dilute aqueous solution. Carbohydrate
 Polymers 64, 190–196.
- Yoo, S.-H., and Jane, J.-L. 2002. Molecular weight and gyration radii of amylopectins
 determined by high-performance size-exclusion chromatography equipped with multi-

456	angle laser-light scattering and refractive index detectors. Carbohydrate Polymers 49, 307-
457	314.

You, S., Stevenson, S. G., Izydorczyk, M. S., Preston, K. R. 2002. Separation and
characterization of barley starch polymers by a flow field-flow fractionation technique in
combination with multiangle light scattering and differential refractive index detection.
Cereal Chemistry 79, 624-630.

Zhu, T.M., Jackson, D.S., Wehling, R.L., Geera, B., 2008. Comparison of amylose determination
methods and the development of a dual wavelength iodine binding technique. Cereal
Chemistry 85, 51-58.



Reprinted with permission from Wyatt Technology, Inc., Santa Barbara, CA.

Figure 1. Separation mechanism in AF4.



Figure 2. AF4-RI fractograms of five linear pullulan standards at constant cross flow, $V_x =$ 474 0.5ml/min. Effect of molecular weight (diffusion coefficient).



484 Figure 3. AF4-MALS-RI results for five starches of varying AMY:AMP ratio: AF4485 MALS(90°)-RI fractograms with molar mass distribution overlay.



Figure 4. Conformational plot (log $\langle r_{g.} \rangle$ vs. log M_i) for the AMP fraction of Hylon VII starch:

