

A general approach based on morphological thermodynamics for a fluid confined in various porous media

C.Z. Qiao, H.R. Jiang, S.L. Zhao, W. Dong

▶ To cite this version:

C.Z. Qiao, H.R. Jiang, S.L. Zhao, W. Dong. A general approach based on morphological thermodynamics for a fluid confined in various porous media. Journal of Molecular Liquids, 2023, 392, pp.123345. 10.1016/j.molliq.2023.123345 . hal-04309481

HAL Id: hal-04309481 https://hal.science/hal-04309481v1

Submitted on 27 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

| 1 | A general approach based on morphological thermodynamics for a fluid |
|----------|--|
| 2 | confined in various porous media |
| 3 | C. Z. Qiao, ^{1 †} H. R. Jiang, ^{1,2 †} S. L. Zhao, ^{1,3 *} and W. Dong ^{2 *} |
| 4 | ¹ State Key Laboratory of Chemical Engineering and School of Chemical Engineering, East |
| 5 | China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai 200237, China |
| 6 | ² Laboratoire de Chimie, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, CNRS, UMR 5182, 46, Allée |
| 7 | d'Italie, 69364 Lyon Cedex 07, France |
| 8 | ³ Guangxi Key Laboratory of Petrochemical Resource Processing and Process Intensification |
| 9 | Technology and School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Guangxi University, Nanning, |
| 10 | 530004. China |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| 29 20 | |
| 30 | |
| 32 | |
| 33 | |
| 34 | † Authors contribute equally to this work. |
| 35 | * Corresponding authors: wei.dong@ens-lyon.fr, szhao@ecust.edu.cn |
| 36 | |

Abstract

38 We propose a general approach based on morphological thermodynamics for determining 39 adsorption isotherms, i.e., the chemical potential of a confined fluid as a function of its density. The 40 validity of this approach and its versatility are established by its remarkable accuracy compared to 41 Monte-Carlo simulation results and its capability of accounting for a quite large variety of porous 42 media, ranging from a simple slit pore to a random sponge matrix. It is also revealed that the 43 contribution of the curvature terms to the chemical potential of the confined fluid is negligibly 44 small when the interface curvature is not too large. This finding is of a particular importance for 45 simplifying the treatment of experimental results of adsorption isotherms since no experimental 46 technique is currently available for determining the curvatures of the pore surface inside a porous 47 material.

48

37

49 **1. Introduction**

50 Porous materials are widely used in various domains, e.g., in chemical industry for molecular sieves 51 and supported catalysts, in new clean energy technology for storing hydrogen, in some new therapy 52 for long-lasting delivery of medicines by encapsulation. It is now well recognized that confinement 53 can modify drastically some properties of adsorbed fluids. Accompanying the advent of many high-54 performance functionalized nanoporous materials, a large number of experimental and theoretical 55 investigations have been made during the last decades. Nevertheless, a unifying picture 56 highlighting the behavior of confined fluids emerges quite slowly due to the large diversity of 57 structure and morphology for various porous materials. One salient characteristic of fluids confined 58 in porous media is the large interface between fluid and pore wall, which has usually a complex 59 morphology. Although one expects intuitively an important surface contribution to the 60 thermodynamic potentials of such systems, it is not obvious whether it is still possible to define a 61 meaningful surface tension when the characteristic pore size is of the order of a few molecular 62 diameters of the confined fluid. Even if an approach based on thermodynamics is possible, one still 63 needs to know which are the most relevant variables for characterizing the complex interface 64 landscape of fluids adsorbed in porous media. The theoretical study of fluids confined in porous 65 media is still carried out essentially in a case-by-case way. The morphological thermodynamics 66 proposed and advocated by K. Mecke, R. Roth and co-workers [1-8] provides a framework for a 67 general thermodynamic description of complex interfacial systems. Starting from Hadwiger's 68 theorem in integral geometry, morphological thermodynamics postulates that four geometrical 69 measures are enough to characterize the thermodynamic potential of a complex interfacial system, 70 i.e., volume, surface area, integrated mean curvature and integrated Gauss curvature [1]. Moreover, 71 Mecke et al assume that the thermodynamic variables conjugated to these geometrical measures, 72 i.e. pressure, surface tension, as well as two surface bending rigidities, can be determined for a 73 simple system then be used to describe systems with more complex morphology [1-3]. Although 74 morphological thermodynamics gives promising results for some systems [1-8], the validity of one 75 of its fundamental postulates has been questioned. Theoretical and simulation investigations have 76 provided evidence for the existence of non-Hadwiger terms, i.e., high-order curvature contributions 77 to surface tension, which are not taken into account in the morphological thermodynamics [9-12].

78 Moreover, these investigations have shown that the first non-Hadwiger term gives a contribution 79 much smaller than the Hadwiger terms, at least one order of magnitude smaller [9]. Hence, 80 morphological thermodynamics can allow for formulating useful approximations in practice even 81 when Hadwiger theorem does not hold rigorously. Fluids adsorbed in porous materials with a 82 complex morphology of pore space provide an interesting ground for further testing the 83 applicability of morphological thermodynamics. This constitutes the main objective of the present 84 work. Some of us (SLZ and WD) have collaborated during a long time with Myroslav Holovko in 85 the study of fluids confined in porous media [13-17]. It is our great pleasure to dedicate the present 86 article to this special issue for the eightieth anniversary of Professor Holovko.

Our presentation is organized as follows. A brief introduction of morphological thermodynamics and a general equation of state for a fluid confined in various porous media will be presented in the next section. The accuracy of the general equation of state in different confining media will be assessed in Section III. Some conclusions will be presented in the last section.

91 **2. Theory**

92 A. A brief recall of morphological thermodynamics

The morphological thermodynamics proposed by Mecke, Roth and coworkers is based on the fundamental assumption that the grand potential of a complex interfacial system is a linear combination of four morphological measures, i.e., the system's volume, V, the interface area, A, the integrated mean curvature, $C_{\rm M}$, and the integrated Gauss curvatures, $C_{\rm G}$. From this assumption, one has immediately the following expression for the grand potential,

98
$$\Omega = -p^{\text{bulk}}(T,\mu)V + \gamma_0(T,\mu)A + \gamma_{-1}(T,\mu)C_{\text{M}} + \gamma_{-2}(T,\mu)C_{\text{G}}, \qquad (1)$$

99 where T is temperature, μ the chemical potential. The coefficients of the morphological measures are respectively the pressure of the corresponding bulk system, p^{bulk} , the surface tension 100 on a flat surface, γ_0 , and the bending rigidities, γ_{-1} and γ_{-2} related to the integrated mean and 101 102 Gauss curvatures. Before applying it to study fluids confined in porous media, it is useful to clarify 103 further some implications of the morphological thermodynamics given in eq.(1). First, it is to note 104 that the morphological measures are completely decoupled with the properties of the fluid, i.e., the 105 four morphological measures being independent of the fluid state, i.e., T and μ . Such decoupling 106 allows for separating the study of a complex interfacial system into two simpler tasks, one for 107 determining the morphological measures in the absence of the fluid and the other for determining 108 the coefficients, γ_0 , γ_{-1} and γ_{-2} , from a simpler system, e.g., the considered fluid near a 109 spherical surface. On the other hand, the independence of the morphological measures on the fluid 110 state does not hold for fluid adsorption in very flexible porous materials since the adsorption can 111 induces large deformation of the materials and modify significantly their morphology. So, the 112 morphological thermodynamics as presented above cannot be applied for the fluid adsorption in 113 flexible porous materials. We can also rewrite eq.(1) as,

114
$$\Omega = \Omega^{\text{bulk}} + \hat{\gamma}A \quad , \tag{2}$$

115 where

116
$$\hat{\gamma} = \gamma_0(T,\mu) + \gamma_{-1}(T,\mu)\frac{c_{\rm M}}{A} + \gamma_{-2}(T,\mu)\frac{c_{\rm G}}{A}$$
 (3)

117 Recently, one of us has shown that it is necessary to introduce the concepts of differential and 118 integral surface tensions for strongly confined fluids, i.e., pore size being sufficiently small so that 119 γ_0 , γ_{-1} and γ_{-2} in eq.(3) can also depends on the pore size in addition of *T* and μ [18,19]. 120 Although $\hat{\gamma}$ defined from eq.(2) is an integral surface tension, the assumption that γ_0 , γ_{-1} and 121 γ_{-2} are only functions of temperature and chemical potential is too restrictive to account for more 122 complex situations. Therefore, we can anticipate that the morphological thermodynamics can 123 become less and less accurate when confinement becomes stronger and stronger.

124 B. Adsorption isotherms based on morphological thermodynamics

The morphological thermodynamics extends Gibbs surface thermodynamics by proposing a concrete recipe to account for the curvature contributions in the grand potential. It is straightforward to show that the surface tension given in eq.(3) satisfies Gibbs adsorption equation, i.e.,

129
$$\left(\frac{\partial \hat{\gamma}}{\partial \mu}\right)_{T,C_{M},C_{G}} = \frac{1}{A} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \mu}\right)_{T,C_{M},C_{G}} - \left(\frac{\partial \Omega^{\text{bulk}}}{\partial \mu}\right)_{T} \right] = -\frac{N_{1}-N^{b}}{A} = -\Gamma \quad , \tag{4}$$

130 where Γ is defined as adsorption, N_1 and N^b are respectively the particle number of the 131 confined fluid and that of the corresponding bulk fluid in the same volume with the same 132 temperature and chemical potential. This equation provides a relation between the density of the 133 confined fluid, $\rho_1 = N_1 V^{-1}$, and that of the corresponding bulk fluid, $\rho^b = N^b V^{-1}$,

134
$$\rho^{b} = \rho_{1} + \left(\frac{\partial \hat{\gamma}}{\partial \mu}\right)_{T,C_{M},C_{G}} \frac{A}{v} \qquad (5)$$

Now, from the equation of state of the bulk fluid and the relation given by eq.(5), we obtainimmediately the following equation of state for the confined fluid,

137
$$\mu(\rho_1) = \mu^{\text{bulk}} \left(\rho_1 + \left(\frac{\partial \hat{\gamma}}{\partial \mu} \right)_{T, C_M, C_G} \frac{A}{v} \right) \quad . \tag{6}$$

138 **3. Results and discussion**

139 The theoretical framework presented in the last section is a quite general one which can be applied 140 for studying a large variety of confined fluids. We illustrate this by considering some benchmark 141 model systems.

142 A. A hard sphere fluid confined in a slit pore with hard walls

We consider first a hard sphere (HS) fluid confined in a slit pore formed with two parallel hard walls. A schematic presentation of such a system is given in Figure 1. The morphological thermodynamic approach described in Section 2.B is based on two prerequisites: i) an equation of state for the bulk fluid; ii) the surface tension for the considered interface. For a HS fluid confined in a slit pore with two hard walls, scaled particle theory (SPT) [20-22] provides both the equation of state of the bulk fluid and the surface tension of a HS fluid near a flat hard wall.



149

Figure 1. Schematic presentation of a fluid of hard spheres (blue spheres) confined in a slit pore with two walls (grey) separated by a distance of *L* (surface normal along the *z*-direction). The dashed lines mark the closest accessible plans for the hard-sphere centers, $\ell = L - \sigma$ being the accessible width (σ : fluid hard sphere diameter).

154 The SPT equation of state for a bulk HS fluid is given by,

155
$$\beta \mu^{\text{SPT}} = \ln(\Lambda^3 \rho^b) - \ln(1 - \eta^b) + \frac{7\eta^b}{1 - \eta^b} + \frac{15}{2} \left(\frac{\eta^b}{1 - \eta^b}\right)^2 + 3 \left(\frac{\eta^b}{1 - \eta^b}\right)^3 \quad . \tag{7}$$

156 where Λ is the thermal wavelength, $\beta = (k_B T)^{-1}$ (k_B : Boltzmann constant), $\eta^b = \pi \sigma^3 \rho^b 6^{-1}$ 157 is the packing fraction of the bulk fluid. The surface tension for a fluid-wall interface and the 158 adsorption depend on the choice of Gibbs dividing surface. For the present work, we choose the 159 wall surfaces located at $z = \pm L/2$ as the dividing surfaces (see Fig. 1). For this choice, the SPT 160 gives the following result for the surface tension,

161
$$\pi \sigma^2 \beta \gamma_0^{\text{SPT}} = \frac{3\eta^b}{1-\eta^b} + \frac{9}{2} \left(\frac{\eta^b}{1-\eta^b}\right)^2 \quad . \tag{8}$$

162 From the Gibbs adsorption equation, i.e., eq.(4), and the results given in eqs.(7) and (8), we obtain163 straightforwardly the adsorption on one pore wall,

164
$$\pi\sigma^{2}\Gamma_{0}^{\text{SPT}} = -\pi\sigma^{2}\left(\frac{\partial\gamma_{0}^{\text{SPT}}}{\partial\mu^{\text{SPT}}}\right)_{T} = -\pi\sigma^{2}\left(\frac{\partial\gamma_{0}^{\text{SPT}}}{\partial\eta^{b}}\right)_{T} / \left(\frac{\partial\mu^{\text{SPT}}}{\partial\eta^{b}}\right)_{T} = -\frac{3\eta^{b}(1-\eta^{b})}{1+2\eta^{b}} \quad . \tag{9}$$

Since the slit pore is composed of two hard walls, we have the following relation between the packing fraction of the confined fluid, $\eta_1 = \pi \sigma^3 \rho_1 6^{-1}$, and that of the bulk one,

167
$$\eta_1 = \eta^b + \frac{2\pi\sigma^2 \Gamma_0^{\text{SPT}}}{6L^*} = \eta^b - \frac{\eta^b (1-\eta^b)}{L^*(1+2\eta^b)} \quad , \tag{10}$$

168 where $L^* = L\sigma^{-1}$ is the pore width measured with the HS diameter. Since eq.(10) is a second 169 order polynomial, we obtain the following explicit expression of η^b in terms of η_1 ,

170
$$\eta^{b} = \frac{1 - L^{*} + 2L^{*} \eta_{1} + \sqrt{(1 - L^{*} + 2L^{*} \eta_{1})^{2} + 4L^{*}(1 + 2L^{*}) \eta_{1}}}{2(1 + 2L^{*})} \quad .$$
(11)

171 Now, the adsorption isotherm for a HS fluid confined in a slit pore is given by,

172
$$\beta \mu(\eta_1) = \beta \mu^{\text{bulk}}(\eta^b) \quad . \tag{12}$$

173 The right-hand-side of eq.(12) is given by substituting eq.(11) into eq.(7). Eq. (10) shows clearly 174 that with the increase of L^* , the difference between η_1 and η^b becomes smaller and smaller and 175 $\lim_{L^*\to\infty} \eta_1 = \eta^b$, one recovers the bulk result from eq.(12) for $L^* \to \infty$ as one can expect. For point 176 particle, i.e. $\sigma = 0$, eqs. (7) and (12) gives the same exact result for the confined and the bulk ideal 177 gas as we expect.

In Figure 2, some results given by eq. (12) are presented for a few pore widths and compared to the results of Monte-Carlo (MC) simulations. Since the adsorption for this system is negative, the adsorption isotherms of the confined fluid are always above the isotherm of the bulk fluid. As the surface contribution decreases when the pore width becomes larger, the isotherm of the confined fluid approaches more and more the isotherm of the bulk fluid. The agreement between the results



Figure 2. Chemical potential of a hard sphere fluid confined in a slit pore as a function of fluid density from morphological thermodynamics, i.e., eq. (12) (continuous line) and *NVT*-ensemble Monte-Carlo simulations (symbols, see Appendix B for the details about simulation method and computation conditions). 1) $L^* = 3.5$ (red); 2) $L^* = 5.0$ (blue); 3) $L^* = 7.5$ (yellow); 4) $L^* \rightarrow$ 89 ∞ (black).

Figure 3 shows the results for some narrower pores, $L^* \leq 3.0$. Up to the moderate fluid density, i.e., $\rho_1 \sigma^3 \leq 0.6$, morphological thermodynamics gives accurate results. For higher densities, the



192

Figure 3. Chemical potential of a hard sphere fluid confined in a narrow slit pore as a function of fluid density from morphological thermodynamics, i.e., eq. (12) (continuous line) and *NVT*ensemble Monte-Carlo simulations (symbols, see Appendix B for the details about simulation method and computation conditions). 1) $L^* = 2.5$ (purple); 2) $L^* = 3.0$ (green); 3) $L^* \rightarrow \infty$ (black).

results of morphological thermodynamics deviate more and more from those of the MC simulations.
For very narrow pores, a disjoining pressure [23, 24] arises in the confined fluid. One of us (WD)

has shown that due to the contribution of the disjoining pressure, the surface tension for very narrow pores is no longer equal to that for the fluid near one single wall [18]. However, the contribution of the disjoining pressure is not accounted for by the morphological thermodynamics. We believe this is the main reason for the failure of morphological thermodynamics in describing accurately the strongly confined fluids at high densities in very narrow slit pores.

205 Slit pore is a model extensively used for studying confined fluids. Many simulations have been 206 carried out for fluids confined in a slit pore. Labik and Smith reported NVT-ensemble Monte Carlo 207 simulation results for hard spheres in a hard slit pore [25] and our simulation results are in good 208 agreement with theirs. Smith and coworkers [26] and Alejandre et al [27] have used integral 209 equations to study a hard sphere fluid in a slit pore. Many investigations on a variety of fluids 210 confined in a slit pore have been made with the help of density functional theory (DFT) and many 211 of them aim at determining the fluid distribution inside the pore (see e.g., [28], an exhaustive review 212 is beyond the scope of the present article). The theoretical approaches based on DFT or integral 213 equations requires first determining the one- and two-body distribution functions. Our approach in 214 this work focuses only on the thermodynamic properties, which requires only the equation of state 215 of the considered fluid in the bulk phase and the surface tension for the considered interface. When 216 SPT is used for these properties, we obtain a totally analytical result for the adsorption isotherms 217 of a hard sphere fluid confined in a slit pore.

218 B. A hard sphere fluid confined in an ordered or a disordered hard sphere matrix

219 The porous matrix model with quenched matrix particles proposed by Madden and Glandt [29] 220 accounts for more characteristics of porous media than the simple slit pore model, e.g., pore 221 connectivity, curved pore surface, variation of pore size inside a porous material. Figure 4 illustrates 222 three types of porous matrices: i) ordered hard sphere matrix with matrix particles fixed on a lattice; 223 ii) disordered hard sphere matrix with matrix particles quenched from an equilibrium configuration 224 of a fluid [29]; iii) overlapping hard sphere matrix with matrix particles distributed totally randomly 225 in space. By construction, the morphological thermodynamics cannot distinguish the matrices of 226 types i and ii. When the dividing surface is chosen as the surface of HS matrix particles, the fluid-227 matrix interface area of type i or ii matrices can be calculated easily and we have,

 $228 \qquad A = \pi \sigma_0^2 N_0$

(13)

229 where N_0 and σ_0 are respectively the number and diameter of the matrix particle.



230

Figure 4. Schematic presentation of a fluid of hard spheres (blue spheres) confined in different porous matrices composed quenched matrix particles (grey). a) ordered porous matrix with hard sphere matrix particles fixed on a simple cubic lattice; b) hard sphere matrix quenched from an equilibrium liquid configuration; c) overlapping hard sphere matrix with matrix particles distributed totally randomly in space.

The fundamental assumption of the morphological thermodynamics for treating the type i and type ii matrices is to describe the adsorption as the sum of adsorption around each matrix particle. SPT gives the following result for the adsorption around the surface of one matrix particle,

239
$$\pi \sigma_0^2 \Gamma_s^{\text{SPT}} = -\pi \sigma^2 \left(\frac{\partial \gamma^{\text{SPT}}}{\partial \mu^{\text{SPT}}} \right)_{T,\sigma_0} = -\pi \sigma^2 \left(\frac{\partial \gamma^{\text{SPT}}}{\partial \eta^b} \right)_{T,\sigma_0} / \left(\frac{\partial \mu^{\text{SPT}}}{\partial \eta^b} \right)_{T,\sigma_0}$$
240
$$= -\frac{3\eta^b (1-\eta^b)}{1+2\eta^b} \left[\tau^2 + \frac{\tau (1-\eta^b)}{1+2\eta^b} + \frac{(1-\eta^b)^2}{3(1+2\eta^b)} \right] , \qquad (14)$$

241 where $\tau = \sigma_0/\sigma$ is the size ratio between the matrix and fluid particles (σ_0 : matrix particle 242 diameter). Besides the contribution given by eq.(14), there is also an additional contribution to the adsorption given by,

244
$$\pi \sigma_0^2 \Gamma_0 = \frac{(\phi_0^{\text{HS}} - 1)\rho^b V}{N_0}$$
, (15)

where *V* is the volume of the matrix sample and ϕ_0^{HS} the geometric porosity for a hard sphere matrix,

247
$$\phi_0^{\text{HS}} = 1 - \frac{\pi \sigma_0^3 N_0}{6V} = 1 - \eta_0 \tau^3$$
, (16)

248 with $\eta_0 = \pi \sigma^3 N_0 V^{-1} 6^{-1}$. Now, the relation between the packing fraction of the confined fluid 249 and that of the bulk fluid with the same chemical potential is given by,

250
$$\eta_1 = \phi_0^{\text{HS}} \eta^b - \frac{3\eta_0 \eta^b (1 - \eta^b)}{(1 + 2\eta^b)} \left[\tau^2 + \frac{\tau (1 - \eta^b)}{1 + 2\eta^b} + \frac{(1 - \eta^b)^2}{3(1 + 2\eta^b)} \right] \quad . \tag{17}$$

251 Unlike the case of a slit pore, it is not possible to solve eq.(17) for obtaining an analytical expression of η^b in terms of η_1 like eq.(11). Nevertheless, it is still quite easy to obtain the adsorption 252 isotherm of the confined fluid with the following procedure. With a given ρ^b , one obtains a value 253 254 of chemical potential, μ , and the fluid density of the confined fluid having the same chemical 255 potential is given by eq.(17), thus the relation between μ and η_1 is found. When the size of the fluid HS shrinks to zero, i.e., $\sigma = 0$, eq.(17) becomes $\rho_1 = \phi_0^{\text{HS}} \rho^b$ and this allows for obtaining 256 the exact result for an ideal gas confined in a HS matrix, i.e., $\beta \mu = \ln(\Lambda^3 \rho_1 / \phi_0^{\text{HS}})$. So, without 257 258 the additional contribution to the adsorption given in eq.(15), it is impossible to recover the exact 259 ideal gas results in the limit of point particles. A more detailed discussion about this point is 260 presented in Appendix A.

261 The results for a HS fluid confined in two ordered HS matrices with different matrix particle 262 sizes and matrix densities are presented in Fig. 5. The analytical approach by combining 263 morphological thermodynamics and SPT gives excellent results for the chemical potential, as 264 evidenced by the comparison with the results of the Monte-Carlo simulations we performed. It is 265 to note that we define the density of a fluid confined in a porous matrix with respect to the sample volume which contains fluid and matrix particles. Due to this definition, the range of fluid densities 266 267 considered for fluids confined in porous matrices appears smaller than that considered for a fluid 268 confined in a slit pore. For example, in Figs. 2 and 3 the considered fluid density goes up to 0.8 269 while the fluid density considered in Fig. 5 goes only to 0.6. In fact, to make a more plausible 270 comparison between the fluid density in a porous matrix and that in a slit pore, we should take into account the porosity of the porous matrix. For the matrix with $\sigma_0/\sigma = 10$ and $\rho_0\sigma^3 = 5.7804 \times 10^{-4}$ in Fig. 5, the porosity is given by $\phi_0 = 1 - \pi \rho_0 \sigma_0^3/6 = 0.69734$. Dividing the fluid density defined with respect to the sample volume by the porosity give the fluid density defined with respect to the void volume. So, $\rho_1 \sigma^3 = 0.6$ corresponds in fact to a fluid density defined with respect to void volume equal to $\rho_1 \sigma^3/\phi_0 = 0.86$.



276

Figure 5. Chemical potential of a hard sphere fluid confined in an ordered porous matrix, with hard sphere matrix particles fixed on a simple cubic lattice, as a function of fluid density. Matrix to fluid particle size ratio: $\sigma_0/\sigma = 5, 10$; Matrix density: $\rho_0\sigma^3 = 0.001, 5.7804 \times 10^{-4}$; Red lines: Morphological thermodynamics combined with SPT; Black squares: *NVT*-ensemble Monet-Carlo simulation (see Appendix B for the details about simulation method and computation conditions).

282 We consider next the effect of matrix disorder on the chemical potential of the confined fluid. The results for a HS fluid confined in a disordered HS matrix are presented in Fig. 6. By 283 284 construction, the morphological thermodynamics does not take the effect of matrix disorder into 285 account. However, our Monte-Carlo simulation results given in Fig. 6 are performed for disordered 286 HS matrices (see Appendix B for simulation methods and computation conditions). The very good 287 agreement between the results of the morphological thermodynamics and the simulation ones show 288 that the effect of matrix disorder on the chemical potential is negligibly small. This conforms also 289 to the same finding of our recent work [30]. In Fig. 6, the results of the morphological 290 thermodynamics are also compared to those of SPT2b1 theory we proposed previously [16], which 291 is an approach developed specifically for disordered porous matrices while the morphological 292 thermodynamics is a general approach applicable for a larger variety of inhomogeneous fluids.



294 Figure 6. Chemical potential of a hard sphere fluid confined in a disordered hard sphere matrix as 295 a function of fluid density. a) Matrix to fluid particle size ratio: $\sigma_0/\sigma = 2$; Matrix density: $ho_0\sigma^3 = 0.05$; b) Matrix to fluid particle size ratio: $\sigma_0/\sigma = 3$; Matrix density: 296 $\rho_0 \sigma^3 = 0.0149208$, 0.019397; c) Matrix to fluid particle size ratio: $\sigma_0 / \sigma = 5$; Matrix density: 297 $\rho_0 \sigma^3 = 0.0044421$, 0.0057473; Red lines: Morphological thermodynamics combined with SPT; 298 299 Blue dashed lines: SPT2b1 theory [16]; Black squares: Monte-Carlo simulation (Results in (a) from 300 our NVT-ensemble Monte-Carlo simulations with the details of simulation method and 301 computation conditions given in Appendix B, those in (b) and (c) from the μVT -ensemble Monte-302 Carlo simulations given in Table III of [15]).

303 C. A hard sphere fluid confined in an overlapping hard sphere matrix

The application of morphological thermodynamics to this case follows the general procedure described above. So, we need first determine the porosity of the matrix for a point particle, ϕ_0 , which is required for determining ideal gas contribution to the chemical potential.

307
$$\phi_0^{\text{OHS}} = \frac{1}{VV^{N_0}} \int d\mathbf{r} \prod_{i=1}^{N_0} \int \mathbf{q}_i \, \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \sum_{j=1}^{N_0} u_{\text{fm}}(|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{q}_j|)} = \frac{1}{V^{N_0}} \left(V - \frac{4\pi R_0^3}{3} \right)^{N_0}$$

308 $= \exp\left(-\frac{4\pi R_0^{3}\rho_0}{3}\right) = \exp(-\eta_0 \tau^3)$,

309 where r is the position vector of the point particle and q_i the position vector of the *i*-th matrix 310 particle. The interaction between the point particle and a matrix particle is given by,

(18)

311
$$u_{\rm fm}(|\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{q}_j|) = \begin{cases} \infty & , & |\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{q}_j| < R_0 \\ 0 & , & |\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{q}_j| \ge R_0 \end{cases}$$
 (19)

312 with $R_0 = \sigma_0/2$. The thermodynamic limit is taken, i.e., $\lim_{N_0 \to \infty, V \to \infty} N_0/V = \rho_0$, when going to the

314
$$A^{\text{OHS}} = \frac{d(V - \phi_0^{\text{OHS}}V)}{dR_0} = V 4\pi R_0^2 \rho_0 \exp\left(-\frac{4\pi R_0^3 \rho_0}{3}\right) = V 4\pi R_0^2 \rho_0 \phi_0^{\text{OHS}} \quad .$$
(20)

315 The integrated mean and Gauss curvatures are given respectively by,

316
$$C_{-1}^{\text{OHS}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{dA}{dR_0} = V 4\pi R_0 \rho_0 \left(1 - 2\pi R_0^{-3} \rho_0\right) \exp\left(-\frac{4\pi R_0^{-3} \rho_0}{3}\right)$$

317
$$= V4\pi R_0 \rho_0 \left(1 - \frac{3}{2}\eta_0 \tau^3\right) \phi_0^{\text{OHS}} \quad , \tag{21}$$

318
$$C_{-2}^{\text{OHS}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 A}{dR_0^2} = V 4\pi \rho_0 \left(1 - 12\pi R_0^3 \rho_0 + 8\pi R_0^6 \rho_0^2\right) \exp\left(-\frac{4\pi R_0^3 \rho_0}{3}\right)$$

319
$$= V4\pi\rho_0 \left(1 - 9\eta_0\tau^3 + \frac{9}{2}\eta_0^2\tau^6\right)\phi_0^{\text{OHS}} \qquad (22)$$

Finally, the morphological thermodynamics combined with SPT gives the following relation between the density of the confined fluid to that of the bulk fluid which has the same chemical potential,

323
$$\eta_1 = \phi_0^{\text{OHS}} \eta^b - \frac{3\eta_0 \eta^b (1-\eta^b)}{(1+2\eta^b)} \phi_0^{\text{OHS}} \left[\tau^2 + \frac{\tau(1-\eta^b)}{1+2\eta^b} \left(1 - \frac{3}{2} \eta_0 \tau^3 \right) \left[+ \frac{(1-\eta^b)^2}{3(1+2\eta^b)} \right]$$

324
$$+\frac{(1-\eta^b)^2}{3(1+2\eta^b)} \left(1-9\eta_0\tau^3+\frac{9}{2}\eta_0^2\tau^6\right)\right] \quad . \tag{23}$$

The results for a HS fluid confined in an overlapping hard sphere matrix are presented in Fig.7. The results given by morphological thermodynamics are again in excellent agreement with the simulation ones under all the conditions we have studied.



Figure 7. Chemical potential of a hard sphere fluid confined in an overlapping hard sphere matrix 329 330 as a function of fluid density. Red lines: Morphological thermodynamics combined with SPT; Blue 331 dashed lines: SPT2b1 theory [16]; Black squares: Monte-Carlo simulation (Results in (a) from our 332 NVT-ensemble Monte-Carlo simulations with the details of simulation method and computation 333 conditions given in Appendix B, those in (b) and (c) from μVT -ensemble Monte-Carlo simulations of [15]). a) Matrix to fluid particle size ratio: $\sigma_0/\sigma = 1$; Matrix density: $\rho_0\sigma^3 = 0.1590$ (the red 334 line and the blue dashed lines nearly overlap each other); b) Matrix to fluid particle size ratio: 335 $\sigma_0/\sigma = 3$; Matrix density: $\rho_0 \sigma^3 = 0.020683$, 0.031328; c) Matrix to fluid particle size ratio: 336 $\sigma_0/\sigma = 5$; Matrix density: $\rho_0 \sigma^3 = 0.006128$, 0.0092824. 337

338 D. A hard sphere fluid confined in a hard sponge matrix

The last system we consider is a hard sphere fluid confined in a hard sponge model proposed by
two of us (SLZ and WD) with Q. H. Liu [31]. Figure 8 gives a schematic presentation of this model.

341 In this case, the interaction potential between a fluid particle and the matrix is a non-additive n-342 body one given by,

343
$$v_{\rm fm}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q}_1, \boldsymbol{q}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{q}_{N_0}) = -k_{\rm B}T \ln \left[1 - e^{-\beta \sum_{j=1}^{N_0} u_{\rm fm}(|\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{q}_j|)}\right] ,$$
 (24)

where $u_{\rm fm}(|\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{q}_j|)$ is given by eq.(19) and now σ_0 is the diameter of a spherical cavity in the 344 sponge matrix. Although this fluid-matrix interaction potential is non-additive, it is still possible to 345 346 obtain an analytical result for the porosity, i.e.,

347
$$\phi_0^{\text{HSG}} = \frac{1}{VV^{N_0}} \int d\mathbf{r} \prod_{i=1}^{N_0} \int \mathbf{q}_i \, \mathrm{e}^{-\beta v_{\text{fm}}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \mathbf{q}_{N_0})}$$

348
$$= \frac{1}{VV^{N_0}} \int d\mathbf{r} \prod_{i=1}^{N_0} \int \mathbf{q}_i \left[1 - e^{-\beta \sum_{j=1}^{N_0} u_{\text{fm}}(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{q}_j|)} \right]$$

349
$$= 1 - \frac{1}{V^{N_0}} \left(V - \frac{\pi \sigma_0^3}{6} \right)^{N_0} = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\pi \sigma_0^3 \rho_0}{6} \right) = 1 - \phi_0^{\text{OHS}} \quad . \tag{25}$$

The thermodynamic limit is taken, i.e., $\lim_{N_0 \to \infty, V \to \infty} N_0 / V = \rho_0$, when going to the fourth equality 351 of eq.(25). It is not difficult to see that if the cavity diameter is the same as that of the matrix particle 352 in an OHS matrix and their number densities are also equal, the following relations hold between 353 the interface area, integrated mean and Gauss curvatures of the hard sponge matrix and those of 354 the OHS matrix,

356
$$C_{-1}^{\text{HSG}} = -C_{-1}^{\text{OHS}}$$
, (27)

357
$$C_{-2}^{\text{HSG}} = C_{-2}^{\text{OHS}}$$
 (28)

The relation given in eq.(27) reflects the simple fact that the confined fluid is adsorbed on a convex 358 359 surface in a OHS matrix but on a concave surface in a hard sponge matrix.



360

350

Figure 8. Schematic presentation of a fluid of hard spheres (blue spheres) confined in a hard sponge 361

362 matrix (grey).

In figure 9, the results of the morphological thermodynamics for a HS fluid in a hard sponge matrix are presented along with simulation results under different conditions. The accuracy of our approach based on the morphological thermodynamics is again remarkable.



366

Figure 9. Chemical potential of a hard sphere fluid confined in a hard sponge matrix as a function of fluid density. a) Cavity to fluid particle size ratio: $\sigma_0/\sigma = 5$; Cavity center density: $\rho_0\sigma^3 = 0.020685$, 0.012855; b) Cavity to fluid particle size ratio: $\sigma_0/\sigma = 7$; Cavity center density: $\rho_0\sigma^3 = 0.006128$, 0.003809; c) Cavity to fluid particle size ratio: $\sigma_0/\sigma = 10$; Cavity center density: $\rho_0\sigma^3 = 0.001816$, 0.001129; Red lines: Morphological thermodynamics combined with SPT; Blue dashed lines: SPT2b1 theory [16]; Black squares: μVT -ensemble Monet-Carlo simulations (results from Fig. 4 of [16]).

374 D. Contribution of surface curvatures

375 The comparison of the respective results for OHS and hard sponge matrices indicates that the

- 376 contribution of the surface curvature terms to the adsorption isotherm can be quite small. More
- detailed analyses show that when the matrix particle is three times larger than the fluid particle, the
- 378 contribution of the surface curvatures is negligible. Figure 10 shows that even for the size ratio



Figure 10. Comparison of the results for the chemical potential of a confined fluid given by the full morphological thermodynamics (full orange lines) and by its simplified version without the contribution of curvature terms (dashed green lines). a) a HS fluid in a HS matrix with size ratio $\sigma_0/\sigma = 2$ and matrix density $\rho_0\sigma^3 = 0.05$; b) a HS fluid in a HS matrix with size ratio $\sigma_0/\sigma = 3$ and matrix density $\rho_0\sigma^3 = 0.0149208$, 0.019397; c) a HS fluid in an overlapping HS matrix with size ratio $\sigma_0/\sigma = 1$ and matrix density $\rho_0\sigma^3 = 0.1590$.

 $(\tau = \sigma_0/\sigma)$ equal to 1, 2 or 3, the contribution of the surface curvatures is quite moderate. Bryk et al have studied the adsorption of hard spheres confined between two uniaxial cylinders by using DFT. They compared the results for such a confined fluid with those for a HS fluid confined in a slit pore of two flat walls and found that the surface curvature effect is quite small [32]. Our results presented in Fig. 10 are consistent with their finding. To the best of our knowledge, there exist no experimental technique for measuring the interface curvatures of any porous materials. So, our finding here provides a simplified procedure to interpret the experimental results for adsorptionisotherms by neglecting the contribution of surface curvature terms.

4. Conclusion

395 In the present work, we propose a general approach based on the morphological thermodynamics 396 for determining the chemical potential of a fluid confined in a large variety of porous media, from 397 a simple slit pore to a random hard sponge matrix. Our approach requires an equation of state of 398 the considered fluid in a bulk phase and the surface tension of the fluid on a wall with a much 399 simpler morphology than the complex porous medium under consideration. For the hard sphere 400 fluid confined in the various hard porous media considered in this work, scaled particle theory 401 gives both the equation of state of the bulk fluid and the surface tension for a HS fluid on a hard-402 sphere wall. These are enough for constructing a totally analytical approach for all porous media 403 considered in the present work. The comparison with simulation results show that the overall 404 accuracy of our approach is excellent. Moderate discrepancies are found only for very narrow slit 405 pores ($L \leq 3\sigma$) and at high fluid densities.

406 Although previous investigations have shown that non-Hadwiger terms (high-order curvature 407 terms not included in morphological thermodynamics) do not vanish rigorously [9-12], their 408 contributions are usually smaller by one order of magnitude. In the present work, we find that even 409 the integrated mean and Gauss curvature terms have a negligible contribution to the chemical 410 potential of the confined fluid when the surface curvature is not too large. So, even the contribution 411 of the integrated mean and Gauss curvatures can be neglected in many cases. This simplify 412 significantly the treatment of the experimental results for the adsorption isotherms in practice since 413 the experimental technique is currently lacking for measuring the interface curvatures inside a 414 porous material. Thus, it is in principle possible to elaborate an experimental method for 415 determining the surface tension for the interface between a fluid and the pore wall inside a porous 416 material, with the help of its adsorption isotherms.

Appendix A. An ideal gas confined in a hard sphere matrix

In this appendix, we show how surface thermodynamics can be applied for an ideal gas adsorbed
in a hard sphere matrix and how the surface tension can be defined in this case. As in the main text,
we consider a grand canonic ensemble. The fluid-matrix interaction is given by,

421
$$\mathcal{V} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N_0} u_{\rm fm}(|\boldsymbol{r}_i - \boldsymbol{q}_j|)$$
, (A1)

422 where r_i is the position vector of *i*th fluid particle and q_j the position vector of *j*th matrix particle. 423 The interaction potential between a fluid particle and a matrix particle is given by,

424
$$u_{\rm fm}(|\boldsymbol{r}_i - \boldsymbol{q}_j|) = \begin{cases} \infty & , & |\boldsymbol{r}_i - \boldsymbol{q}_j| < R_0 \\ 0 & , & |\boldsymbol{r}_i - \boldsymbol{q}_j| \ge R_0 \end{cases}$$
(A2)

425 The partition is given by,

426
$$\Xi = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\beta \mu N}}{\Lambda^{3N} N!} \int_{V} \prod_{i=1}^{N} d\mathbf{r}_{i} e^{-\beta V} = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\beta \mu N}}{\Lambda^{3N} N!} \left(V - \frac{4\pi R_{0}^{3} N_{0}}{3} \right)^{N}$$
427
$$= \exp\left[\frac{e^{\beta \mu}}{\Lambda^{3}} \left(V - \frac{4\pi R_{0}^{3} N_{0}}{3} \right) \right] \qquad .$$
(A3)

428 One obtains straightforwardly following result for the grand potential,

429
$$\Omega = -k_B T \ln \Xi = -k_B T \frac{e^{\beta \mu}}{\Lambda^3} V \phi_0^{\text{HS}} \qquad (A4)$$

Eq.(A4) shows that the grand partition function does not depend on the configuration of the porous matrices. So, the disorder of the matrix configurations does not have any influence on the thermodynamics of this system. Moreover, the grand potential does not depend on the surface area of the matrix particle. Thus, the immediate consequence of this is that the differential surface tension is zero, i.e.,

435
$$\gamma = \left(\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial A}\right)_{T,V,\mu,\rho_0,R_0} = 0 \quad . \tag{A5}$$

At the first glance, this indicates that surface thermodynamics does not apply for such a system.
However, it is also straightforward to show that the adsorption in this hard sphere matrix is not zero.
The number of the confined fluid inside the matrix is given by,

439
$$N^{\rm cf} = -\left(\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\mu}\right)_{T,V,A,\rho_0,R_0} = \frac{e^{\beta\mu}}{\Lambda^3} V \phi_0^{\rm HS} \quad . \tag{A6}$$

However, the number of a bulk ideal gas occupying the same volume and having the sametemperature and the same chemical potential is given by,

442
$$N^{\text{bulk}} = \frac{e^{\beta\mu}}{\Lambda^3} V \quad . \tag{A7}$$

443 Eqs.(A6) and (A7) lead immediately to the following nonzero adsorption,

444
$$\Gamma = \frac{N^{\text{cf}} - N^{\text{bulk}}}{A} = \frac{e^{\beta\mu} V}{A^3} \left(\phi_0^{\text{HS}} - 1 \right) \quad . \tag{A8}$$

The well-known Gibbs adsorption equation implies that a nonzero adsorption should lead to a nonzero surface tension. So, this result seems to be in contradiction with that of eq.(A5) which shows the surface tension is zero.

Now, we will show that there is in fact no contradiction. It is recently revealed that two surface tensions can arises, one is the differential surface tension and the other is the integral surface tension[18, 19]. Moreover, it is the integral surface tension which satisfies a generalized Gibbs adsorption equation [18]. The integral surface tension includes a contribution from the differential surface tension and another contribution from the disjoining pressure. We calculate now the disjoining pressure and show it is indeed nonzero for the system considered here. The pressures of the confined and bulk fluids are given respectively by,

455
$$p = -\left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial V}\right)_{T,A,\mu,\rho_0,R_0} = k_B T \frac{e^{\beta\mu}}{A^3} \phi_0^{\text{HS}} , \qquad (A9)$$

456
$$p^{\text{bulk}} = -\left(\frac{\partial a^{\text{bulk}}}{\partial V}\right)_{T,\mu} = k_{\text{B}}T\frac{e^{\beta\mu}}{\Lambda^3}$$
 (A10)

457 Then, one obtains the following result for the disjoining pressure,

458
$$\Pi = p - p^{\text{bulk}} = k_{\text{B}} T \frac{e^{\beta \mu}}{\Lambda^3} (\phi_0^{\text{HS}} - 1) .$$
 (A11)

Disjoining pressure was discovered by Derjaguin in 1930's for a fluid confined between two closely approached flat solid surfaces [23, 24]. To the best of our knowledge, nonzero disjoining pressure has never been found for a fluid confined in a fluid confined in a porous matrix. The integral surface tension is given by,

463
$$\hat{\gamma} = \gamma - \Pi \frac{v}{A} = -k_{\rm B} T \frac{e^{\beta \mu}}{A^3} (\phi_0^{\rm HS} - 1) \frac{v}{A}$$
 (A12)

464 One readily check that this integral surface tension and the adsorption given in eq.(8) satisfies the
465 following generalized Gibbs adsorption equation [18, 19], i.e.,

466
$$\left(\frac{\partial \hat{\gamma}}{\partial \mu}\right)_{T,\hat{\ell}} = -\Gamma$$
, (A13)

467 where $\hat{\ell} = V/A$.

468 Finally, we show that accounting adequately for the integral surface tension and the related

adsorption allows for introducing properly the porosity, ϕ_0 , into the adsorption isotherms, i.e., the chemical potential as a function of the density of the confined fluid. From eq.(A7), one has the following equation of state for the bulk ideal gas,

472
$$\beta \mu^{\text{bulk}} = \ln \left(\Lambda^3 \rho^{\text{bulk}} \right) . \tag{A14}$$

473 From eqs.(A6) - (A8), we obtain,

474
$$\rho^{\text{bulk}} = \rho^{\text{cf}} - \Gamma \frac{A}{V} = \rho^{\text{cf}} - \rho^{\text{cf}} \frac{\phi_0^{\text{HS}} - 1}{\phi_0^{\text{HS}}} = \frac{\rho^{\text{cf}}}{\phi_0^{\text{HS}}} , \qquad (A15)$$

475 where $\rho^{\text{bulk}} = N^{\text{bulk}}V^{-1}$, $\rho^{\text{cf}} = N^{\text{cf}}V^{-1}$. Substituting eq.(A15) into eq.(A14), we obtain,

476
$$\beta \mu^{\text{bulk}} = \ln \left(\frac{\Lambda^3 \rho^{\text{cf}}}{\phi_0^{\text{HS}}} \right) = \beta \mu^{\text{cf}}$$
 (A16)

477 When going to the last equality of eq.(A16), eq.(A6) is used. This achieves the proof that the matrix

478 porosity enters into the isotherm of adsorption, i.e., the relation between the chemical potential and

the density of the confined fluid, through the adsorption due to the disjoining pressure.

Appendix B. Simulation method and computational conditions

In the main text, accompanying the presentation of the results given by morphological thermodynamics, Monte-Carlo simulation results are also presented to assess the accuracy of theoretical approach in each case. Except those results with their references being indicated, all the other ones are obtained from our own simulations. In this appendix, we summarize the simulation methods and the computation conditions for each porous medium.

486 1. Fluid in a slit pore

The Monte-Carlo simulation results presented in Figs. 2 and 3 are obtained by our own simulation in a *NVT*-ensemble. The chemical potential is calculated by using the test particle method based on Widom's potential distribution theorem [33]. The two pore walls are respectively placed at $z = \pm L/2$. The pore wall has a square shape of the size $10\sigma \times 10\sigma$. The periodic boundary condition is used in the two directions parallel to the pore walls. For each simulation run, 2×10^5 Monte-Carlo cycles are first performed to prepare the system to equilibrium, then 10^6 MC cycles are performed to calculate the chemical potential.

494 2. Fluid in various porous matrices

For the ordered porous matrix (results shown in Fig. 5), we studied only the case with matrix particles placed on a simple cubic lattice. A single matrix particle is placed at the center of the cubic simulation box. The periodic boundary condition is applied in three directions to generate a simple cubic lattice. The simulation box has respectively the size of $20\sigma \times 20\sigma \times 20\sigma$ and $36\sigma \times 36\sigma \times 36\sigma$ for the cases of $\sigma_0 = 5\sigma$ and $\sigma_0 = 10 \sigma$.

For the disordered HS matrix (results shown in Fig. 6), a matrix sample is first generated with an *NVT*-ensemble Monte-Carlo simulation for 50 hard spheres of diameter σ_0 in a simulation box of the size $10\sigma \times 10\sigma \times 10\sigma$. Then, fluid particles are introduced into the HS matrix and *NVT*ensemble Monte-Carlo simulations are performed for the confined fluid. The average over matrix configurations is realized with about 10-20 different matrix samples.

505 For the overlapping hard sphere matrix (results shown in Fig. 7a), 159 matrix particles with 506 the same diameter as the fluid particle, i.e. $\sigma_0 = \sigma$, are placed totally randomly in the simulation 507 box of the size, $10\sigma \times 10\sigma \times 10\sigma$. The average over matrix configurations is realized with 10 508 different matrix samples.

As for the slit pore, each simulation for a given matrix sample includes about 2×10^5 Monte-509 Carlo cycles for preparing the system to equilibrium and 10^6 production cycles for determining 510 511 the chemical potential. The simulation code can be found on GitHub 512 (https://github.com/qiaochongzhi/MC-for-ConfinedFluid).

513 Acknowledgement

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 22008063 and 22178072), the Guangxi Science and Technology Base and Talent Special Project (No. AD21220017). CZQ thanks the National Natural Science Foundation of China for the financial support (project No. 22008063). HRJ is grateful for the Eiffel scholarship of the French government and the CSC scholarship of the Chinese government.

519 **References**

- 520 1. König, P. M.; Roth, R.; Mecke, K. R. Morphological Thermodynamics of Fluids: Shape
 521 Dependence of Free Energies. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2004, *93* (16), 160601.
- 522 2. Mecke, K.; Arns, C. H. Fluids in Porous Media: A Morphometric Approach. J. Phys. Condens.
 523 Matter 2005, 17 (9), S503–S534.
- 3. Roth, R.; Harano, Y.; Kinoshita, M. Morphometric Approach to the Solvation Free Energy of
 Complex Molecules. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2006, *97* (7), 078101.
- 526 4. Oettel, M.; Hansen-Goos, H.; Bryk, P.; Roth, R. Depletion Interaction of Two Spheres —Full
- 527 Density Functional Theory vs. Morphometric Results. *EPL Europhysics Lett.* **2009**, 85 (3), 36003.
- 528 5. Kodama, R.; Roth, R.; Harano, Y. and Kinoshita, M. Morphometric approach to thermodynamic
- 529 quantities of solvation of complex molecules: Extension to multicomponent solvent. J. Chem. Phys.
- 530 **2011**, *135*, 045103.
- 531 6. Jin, Z.; Kim, J.; Wu, J. Shape Effect on Nanoparticle Solvation: A Comparison of Morphometric
- 532 Thermodynamics and Microscopic Theories. *Langmuir* **2012**, *28* (17), 6997–7006.
- 533 7. Evans, M. E.; and Roth, R. Solvation of a sponge-like geometry. *Pure Appl. Chem.* 2014, 86,
 534 173.
- 535 8. Reindl, A.; Bier, M.; Dietrich, S. Implications of Interface Conventions for Morphometric

- 536 Thermodynamics. *Phys. Rev. E* 2015, *91* (2), 022406.
- 537 9. Laird, B. B.; Hunter, A.; Davidchack, R. L. Interfacial Free Energy of a Hard-Sphere Fluid in
- 538 Contact with Curved Hard Surfaces. *Phys. Rev. E* 2012, 86 (6), 060602(R).
- 539 10. Blokhuis, E. M. Existence of a Bending Rigidity for a Hard-Sphere Liquid near a Curved Hard
- 540 Wall: Validity of the Hadwiger Theorem. *Phys. Rev. E* **2013**, 87 (2), 022401.
- 541 11. Urrutia, I. Bending Rigidity and Higher-Order Curvature Terms for the Hard-Sphere Fluid near
- 542 a Curved Wall. *Phys. Rev. E* **2014**, 89 (3), 032122.
- 543 12. Hansen-Goos, H. Communication: Non-Hadwiger Terms in Morphological Thermodynamics
- 544 of Fluids. J. Chem. Phys. 2014, 141 (17), 171101.
- 545 13. Holovko, M.; Dong, W. A Highly Accurate and Analytic Equation of State for a Hard Sphere
- 546 Fluid in Random Porous Media. J. Phys. Chem. B 2009, 113 (18), 6360–6365.
- 547 14. W. Chen, W. Dong, M. Holovko and X. S. Chen, Comment on "A highly accurate and analytic
- 548 equation of state for a hard sphere fluid in random porous media". J. Phys. Chem. B 2010, 114,
 549 1225.
- 550 15. Patsahan, T.; Holovko, M.; Dong, W. Fluids in Porous Media. III. Scaled Particle Theory. J.
- 551 *Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *134* (7), 074503.
- 552 16. Holovko, M.; Patsahan, T.; Dong, W. Fluids in Random Porous Media: Scaled Particle Theory.
- 553 *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2013**, *85* (1), 115–133.
- 554 17. W. Chen, S.L. Zhao, M. Holovko, X.S. Chen and W. Dong, Scaled particle theory for
- multicomponent hard sphere fluids confined in random porous media. J. Phys. Chem. B 2016, 120,
 556 5491.
- 18. Dong, W. Thermodynamics of interface extended to nanoscales by introducing integral and
 differential surface tensions. *PNAS*, 2021, *118*, e2019873118.
- 559 19. Dong, W. Nanoscale thermodynamics needs the concept of a disjoining chemical potential.
 560 *Nature Communications*, **2023**, *14*, 1824.
- 20. Reiss, H.; Frisch, H. L.; Lebowitz, J. L. Statistical mechanics of rigid spheres. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1959**, *31*, 369.
- 563 21. Dong, W. and Chen, X. S.; Scaled particle theory for bulk and confined fluids: A review. Sci.
- 564 *China: Phys. Mech. Astron.* **2018**, *61*, 070501.

- 22. Qiao, C. Z.; Zhao, S. L.; Liu, H. L. and Dong, W. Augmented scaled particle theory. J. Phys. *Chem.* B 2020, 124, 1207.
- 567 23. Derjaguin, B. V., Rabinovich Y. I. & Churaev, N. V. Direct measurement of molecular forces.
 568 *Nature* 1978, 272, 313-318.
- 569 24. Derjaguin, B. V., Churaev, N. V. & Muller, V. M. *Surface forces*. (Springer Science+Business
 570 Media, LLC, 1987).
- 571 25. Labik, S. and Smith, W. R. Computer simultion of the chemical potential and adsorption
- isotherm of hard spheres in a hard slit-like pore. *Mol. Phys.* **1996**, 88, 1411.
- 573 26. Pospisil, R., Malijevsky, A., Jech, P. and Smith, W. R. Integral euqation and computer
- 574 simulation studies of hard spheres in a slit pore. *Mol. Phys.* **1993**, 78, 1461.
- 575 27. Alejandre, J., Lazasa-Cassou, M. and Degrève, L. Effect of pore geometry on a confined hard
- 576 sphere fluid. *Mol. Phys.* **1996**, *88*, 1317.
- 577 28. Yu, Y. X. and Wu, J. Z. Amodified fundamental measure theory for spherical particles in 578 microchannels. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2003**, *119*, 2288.
- 579 29. Madden, W. G.; Glandt, E. D. Distribution Functions for Fluids in Random Media. *J. Stat. Phys.*580 1988, *51* (3–4), 537–558.
- 581 30. Qiao, C. Z.; Zhao, S. L.; Liu, H. L.; Dong, W. Connect the Thermodynamics of Bulk and
- 582 Confined Fluids: Confinement-Adsorption Scaling. *Langmuir* **2019**, *35* (10), 3840–3847.
- 583 31. Zhao, S. L.; Dong, W.; Liu, Q. H. Fluids in Porous Media. I. A Hard Sponge Model. J. Chem.
- 584 *Phys.* **2006**, *125*, 244703.
- 585 32. Bryk., P., Lajtar, L., Pizio, O., Sokolowska, Z. and Sokolowski, S. Adsorption of fluids in pores
- 586 formed between two hard cylinders. J. Colloid and Interface Sci. 2000, 229, 526.
- 587 33. Widom B. Some topics in the theory of fluids. J. Chem. Phys. 1963, 39, 2808.