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Abstract

The North Anatolian Fault is the ~1200-km-long active continental transform boundary between Anatolia and Eurasia. This strike-slip system initiated around 10-12 Ma and experienced diachronous episodes of strain localization along its strike. The structural evolution of the ~350-km-long fault segments crossing the North Aegean Sea remains to be accurately investigated. There, the modern North Anatolian Fault is localized along two main branches: the northern branch ends at the North Aegean Trough and the southern branch ends at the Edremit-Skyros
The Evia Basin is located in the North Aegean Domain between the North Anatolian Fault and the Corinth Rift. This study presents seismic reflection lines crossing the aforementioned structures of the North Aegean Domain, which document their subsurface structure and the sedimentary record of their activity since the Messinian. The seismic-reflection dataset is tied to regional-scale stratigraphic markers, which constrains the age of main tectonic events related to the formation of the North Anatolian Fault. The seismic-reflection lines show that the two main branches of the North Anatolian Fault became localized structures at 1.3-2 Ma, coevally with the formation of the Evia Basin. Since 2 Ma, the North Aegean Troughs developed as a series of horsetail basins propagating westwards at the termination of the branches of the North Anatolian Fault. On a regional scale, the wide and diffuse North Anatolian transtensive shear zone active from Serravalian to Late Pliocene turned into a narrower shear zone at the two main branches of the North Anatolian Fault since the Early Pleistocene. This abrupt episode of strain localization occurred in the frame of the major Early Pleistocene change in stress regime from NE-SW to N-S extension, which has been observed throughout the Aegean Sea.

**Keywords:** Continental tectonics: strike-slip and transform; Transform faults; Normal faulting; Tectonics and landscape evolution; Europe; Crustal imaging.

### 1- Introduction

Transform faults are major lithospheric-scale tectonic structures acting as plate boundaries (Woodcock, 1986; Mann, 2007), along which relative plate motion occurs horizontally along the fault’s strike. The accumulated relative plate motion along transform boundaries juxtaposes sections of the lithosphere with different histories, ages, and hence, mechanical properties (Ben Zion & Sammis, 2003). The complex rheology of the continental domain results in both localized (e.g. the Dead Sea Fault; Garfunkel & Ben-Avraham, 1996) and diffuse continental transform systems (e.g. the Trans-Alboran Shear Zone; Lafosse et al., 2020). Some transform systems have also being shown to alternate localized and diffuse strain along their strike (e.g. the San Andreas Fault; Wesnousky, 2005; and the North Anatolian Fault; Sengör et al., 2019).
Field studies reveal that continental transforms initiate as several hundreds of kilometers-wide distributed areas of deformation, forming a shear zone that is composed of scattered oblique en-échelon strands (Tchalenko & Ambraseys, 1970; Sengör et al., 2005; Wesnousky, 2005; Mann, 2007; Sengör et al., 2014). During fault initiation, motion is distributed over several individual fault segments. As finite relative motion increases, fault strands progressively connect into continuous and localized strike-slip fault segments. The increased connection of fault strands shapes narrower shear zones (<100-km- wide) with local structural complexities in stepover (releasing or restraining bends). The localization of strike-slip segments leaves some initial oblique strands deactivated. The timing of strain localization within the wide shear zone may differ from one fault segment to another. The lifetime of such shear systems in the continental setting is in the order of $10^7$ yrs (Sengör et al., 2019). Analog models reproduce the transition from an initial diffuse shear zone composed of Riedel faults to a localized fault formed by the linkage of shear segments (Tchalenko, 1970; Dooley & Schreurs, 2012; Lefevre et al., 2020).

The North Anatolian Fault system, located in the Eastern Mediterranean domain (Fig. 1, 2), is the 1200-km-long dextral strike-slip boundary between the Anatolian and Eurasian tectonic plates (Fig. 1, 2), which connects the Anatolia-Arabia-Eurasia triple junction in the East (Hubert Ferrari et al., 2010) to the Hellenic Subduction Zone (Flerit et al., 2004; Sakellariou et al., 2018; Ferentinos et al., 2018). The North Anatolian Fault triggers frequent earthquakes above Mw ~7 (e.g. Izmit and Duzce events in 1999; Hubert-Ferrari et al., 2000; Bulut et al., 2018) and its submarine segments are a potential source of tsunamis (Hébert et al., 2005; Reicherter et al., 2010; Janin et al., 2019).

The North Anatolian Fault transects continental lithosphere with significant along-strike strength variations, inherited from the successive geological events that shaped the Hellenides mountain belt prior to its collapse. As such, the North Anatolian Fault is a relevant case-study of a post-orogenic transform fault (Le Pourhiet et al., 2014; Jolivet et al., 2021).

The objective of this study is to constrain the structural evolution of the ~350-km-long segment of the North Anatolian Fault crossing the North Aegean Sea (Fig. 3, 4), on the basis of a set of multibeam data (Ypother cruises, 2013-2016; Sakellariou et al., 2018) and seismic-reflection profiles (NAFAS cruise, 2017; Rodriguez et al., 2018; vintage seismic lines published in Beniest et al., 2016).
The present dataset covers some of the major tectonic structures encountered in the North Aegean Domain, namely the North Aegean Trough, the Edremit-Skyros Trough and the Evia Basin (Fig. 2-4). There, the different steps of formation of the North Anatolian Fault remained poorly constrained due to the lack of seismic-reflection and stratigraphic data (Krijgsman et al., 2022). We define some regional stratigraphic markers for the period spanning the Messinian to the present-day (Laigle et al., 2000; Beniest et al., 2016; Ferentinos et al., 2018) to reach a precision in the ages of tectonic events comparable to the segments of the North Anatolian Fault observed in the Marmara Sea (Le Pichon et al., 2014). Finally, we provide structural maps of the post-Messinian evolution of the North Aegean Domain, from the Yeniçaga Fork east of Marmara to the Evia Basin in Greece (Fig. 2). Overall, our structural reconstructions of the North Anatolian Fault document the strain localization within a post-orogenic continental shear zone and the time of effective formation of such tectonic plates.

2- Geological Background

2-1-Present-day configuration of the North Anatolian Fault in the North Aegean Domain

The North Anatolian Fault is the plate boundary between Anatolia and Eurasia, with a current dextral strike-slip rate of 23 mm.yr\(^{-1}\) (Le Pichon et al., 2003; Reilinger et al., 2006; Le Pichon & Kreemer, 2010; Pérouse et al., 2012; Müller et al., 2013). The finite amount of dextral motion along the North Anatolian Fault is estimated to 85±5 km, with some ambiguities in areas where slip is distributed over several fault strands (Sengör et al., 2005). The finite offset results from strike-slip rates that grew from ~3 mm.yr\(^{-1}\) in the earliest stages of formation of the fault system to near-current slip rates since the Early Pleistocene (Hubert Ferrari et al., 2010).

In this study, the North Aegean Domain is defined as the area bounded to the south by the North Cycladic Detachment System, to the north by the Rhodope Detachment System, to the west by the Vardar Suture Zone and to the East by the Yeniçağa fork (Fig. 1, 2). The total amount of slip-rate along the North Anatolian Fault is accommodated by its northern and southern branches in the North Aegean Domain (Fig. 1, 2; Le Pichon et al., 2003).

The northern branch of the North Anatolian Fault crosses the Marmara Sea (i.e. the Main Marmara Fault; Le Pichon et al., 2001), then runs along the Gelibolu Peninsula and the Gulf of Saros until it...
connects the North Aegean Trough (Fig. 2). The North Anatolian Fault makes a ~30° bend at the connection between the Saros Gulf and the North Aegean Trough (Roussos & Lyssimachou, 1991; Koukouvelas & Aydin, 2002). The strike-slip rate of relative motion along the northern branch decreases from 21.2 mm yr\(^{-1}\) at the Gulf of Saros to ~5 mm yr\(^{-1}\) at the Sporadhes archipelago (Müller et al., 2013).

The southern branch of the North Anatolian Fault crosses the Biga Peninsula and connects the Edremit-Skyros Trough in the Aegean Sea (Fig. 2). The strain distribution of the southern branch of the North Anatolian Fault (Fig. 2-4) is diffuse onland in Turkey (Sümer et al., 2018), whereas it is expressed as a localized structure offshore (Papanikolaou et al., 2019). The strike-slip rate along the southern branch is on the order of 10 mm.yr\(^{-1}\) (Müller et al., 2013).

Both the North Aegean and the Edremit-Skyros Troughs reveal horsetail structures (Fig. 3-4). Horsetail structures are commonly observed at the termination of strike-slip faults (Basile & Brun, 1999): they consist in numerous oblique splays rooting on the main strike-slip faults and isolating a series of transtensive basins. On one hand, the North Aegean Trough is ~150-km-long, up to 80-km-wide and 1600-m-deep (Brooks & Ferentinos, 1980; Papanikolaou et al., 2002; Sakellariou et al., 2018; Ferentinos et al., 2018), running from the Lemnos Deep to the Sporadhes archipelago (Fig. 3, 4). On the other hand, the Edremit-Skyros Trough is 70-km-long, up to 50-km-wide, and 1050-m-deep (Fig. 3, 4; Papanikolaou et al., 2019). There, the southern branch of the North Anatolian Fault acts as a marginal structure, which bounds the southern flank of the trough over its entire length and splits into two 45 to 66-km-long oblique splays isolating sub-basins.

**2-2- Tectonic configuration of the North Anatolian Fault in the North Aegean Domain since the Middle Miocene**

The formation of the North Anatolian Fault results from the influence of several geodynamic drivers, including Arabia-Eurasia collision, the dynamics of the Hellenic trench retreat and the resulting differential in gravitational potential between the Anatolian plateau and the Aegean Sea (Jolivet & Faccenna, 2000; Faccenna et al., 2006; Brun & Faccenna, 2008; Le Pourhiet et al., 2012; Jolivet et al., 2015; Brun et al., 2016; England et al., 2016).
Most of the constraints on the history of the North Anatolian Fault in the North Aegean Domain are based on the study of the Marmara Sea and its surroundings. At least three successive major strike-slip systems, evolving into a continuous frame of shearing of the continental lithosphere, have been identified on the basis of seismic data tied to industrial wells (Sengör et al., 2014; Le Pichon et al., 2014; 2015).

A first diffuse strike-slip system emplaced in Late Serravalian-Tortonian (12-10 Ma), in the area that is now enclosed between the Thrace basin and the Sakarya suture (Fig. 1, 2). A part of this first strike-slip system is still active as the southern branch of the North Anatolian Fault, where the pattern of drainage networks recorded a structural reorganization around 0.5-1.3 Ma (Demoulin et al., 2013).

A second strike-slip system is evidenced at the South Marmara Fault and the Ganos segment, both corresponding to positive flower structures formed at a restraining bend (Fig. 2; Le Pichon et al., 2014; 2015; Karakas et al., 2018). These structures record the beginning of the localization of the North Anatolian Shear System in the Pliocene (Armijo et al., 1999; Le Pichon et al., 2014; 2015). The South Marmara Fault goes extinct around 3.5 Ma, while the Ganos segment is still active and forms a well-localized, >130-km-long dextral strike-slip fault (Armijo et al., 1999).

The third, localized strike-slip system corresponds to the northern branch of the North Anatolian Fault, expressed as the Main Marmara Fault in the Marmara Sea (Le Pichon et al., 2001; 2003; Carton et al., 2007). Estimates of the age of the Main Marmara Fault range between 0.5 and 2.5 Ma (Rangin et al., 2004; Grall et al., 2012, 2013; Le Pichon et al., 2015). The Main Marmara Fault crosses the Gelibolu Peninsula and connects the Gulf of Saros at the entrance of the Aegean Sea through the Ganos strike-slip segment (Fig. 2; McNeill et al., 2004).

The age of formation of the North Aegean Trough and the Skyros-Edremit Trough is roughly constrained in the Late Pliocene-Early Pleistocene (Laigle et al., 2000; Beniest et al., 2016), a period which encompasses several stages of evolution of the North Anatolian Fault.

Onland, a series of sedimentary basins (Fig. 2; namely the Strymon, Orfanos, Prinos, Drama, Sandanski, Mygdonia basins and grabens) formed in the Serravalian segmenting the Rhodope Metamorphic Core Complex until the Early Pliocene (Brun & Sokoutis, 2018). Traces of Late Miocene extension and
differential subsidence are further observed in the Gulf of Thermaïkos and offshore the Chalkidiki peninsula (Varesis & Anastasakis, 2021).

2-3- **Structure of the lithosphere in the North Aegean Domain**

In the North Aegean Domain, the continental lithosphere keeps the record of a complex geological history, from the closure of Mesozoic Oceans (i.e. the Vardar and Pindos Oceans, Schettino & Turco, 2011; Okay & Tüysuz, 1999) to the building of the Hellenides Mountain Belt and its subsequent collapse in the wake of the Early Cenozoic collision between Adria and Pelagonia domains (Handy et al., 2010).

A series of metamorphic core complexes emplaced in the North Aegean Domain from the Late Eocene to the Middle Miocene (Rhodope Core complex, 45-Myrs-old; North Cycladic Detachment System, 15-20 Myrs-old; Jolivet & Brun, 2010; Le Pourhiet et al., 2012; Jolivet et al., 2013).

As a result, the North Aegean lithosphere displays an unusual layering, with a shallow brittle ductile transition (<10 km, Brun & Sokoutis, 2018) and a thinned lithospheric mantle. The Moho depth ranges between 20 and 30 km (Sodoudi et al., 2006). Rayleigh wave anisotropy reveals the existence of a thermal anomaly located right in between the two segments of the North Anatolian Fault in the North Aegean domain, within the lower crust and the lithospheric mantle (Endrun et al., 2011).

3- **Material and Methods**

3-1- **Topography & seafloor bathymetry**

The Digital Elevation Model used for the maps of the North Aegean Domain (Fig. 1-4) combines data from the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) at 3 seconds and the multibeam dataset acquired during the Ypother oceanographic cruises between 2013-2016 (Sakellariou et al., 2018), here gridded at 25 m.

3-2- **Seismic profiles**

In this study, we present a new seismic-reflection dataset collected during the NAFAS (North Anatolian Fault in the North Aegean Sea) expedition in summer 2017, onboard the R/V Tethys II. The expedition focused on the North Aegean Trough, the Edremit-Skyros Trough and the Evia Basin. The NAFAS
dataset is complementary documented by a set of vintage seismic-reflection dataset collected in the 70’s and partly explored in Beniest et al. (2016). All the seismic-reflection profiles are displayed on the figures with a vertical exaggeration of 14, with their related simplified cross-section at 1:1 scale.

Seismic reflection profiles were shot using a GI airgun and a 24-trace streamer with 400-m maximum offset. The GI gun was triggered in harmonic mode (2 x 24 cubic inches) every 6s, with an acquisition speed of 4.1 knots, leading to a shot interval of 12.5 m. The trace record length is 5500 ms with a 1-ms sample interval. Only 8 out of 24 traces were working with a maximum offset of 200-m and a maximum CDP (Common Depth Point) fold of 4. The depth of penetration of the signal reaches about 3 seconds two-way travel time (TWT). The processing workflow consists in geometry setting, water-velocity normal move-out, stacking, deconvolution and Kirchhoff finite difference post-stack migration.

3.3-Multibeam and interpretation of geological structures on seismic-reflection profiles

The geological mapping of tectonic structures is based on the seafloor signature of the structure on the multibeam data and the expression of these features on the seismic-reflection lines (Fig. 5). The offshore structural maps provided in this study (Fig. 4-5) are slightly modified from Papanikolaou et al. (2002; 2019) and Sakellariou et al. (2018), considering our new seismic dataset.

The North Aegean Domain is dominated by a large variety of strike-slip structures (Fig. 5):

-Negative flower structures: Most of the sub-basins isolated by the oblique splays within the horsetail terminations correspond to negative flower structures. The negative flower structures are bounded by normal faults of opposite dip and connect into a single strike-slip fault at depth.

-Transpressive structures: They correspond to positive flower structures and push-up structures, formed by a set of strike-slip faults with a reverse component.

Tectonic processes control the distribution of clastic sedimentary deposits in the study area (Fig. 6). Prior to the onset of the North Anatolian Fault, scattered distal deltaic rivers flood the area, marked by moderate incision along the thalweg. Since the onset of tectonic subsidence, the rivers form channel-levee systems close to the slope break and evolve downslope into canyons with V-shape morphologies (Fig. 6a). The complex distribution of faults and basins within the North Aegean Trough results in a scattered distribution of submarine landslides, identified according to their multibeam signature (arcuate
scar and block falls at the edge of the slope, fig. 6c) and their related mass transport deposits (marked a
chaotic-to-transparent seismic facies). Some of the steepest slopes display undulated seafloor (fig. 6b),
derlain by a series of wavy reflectors, which could be interpreted as sediment waves resulting from
creeping of sediments in interaction with bottom-current controlled deposition (Faugères et al., 2002;
Shillington et al., 2012). The tectonic structures also influence the circulation of oceanic bottom-
currents. Where the current intensity is strong, the axis of the current is associated with a rough seafloor,
which is an indicator of the strong sediment sorting. The attenuation of the intensity of the oceanic
currents promotes the building of a series of fault-controlled contourite drifts (sensu Rebesco et al.,
2014). The architecture of these contourite drifts display typical sigmoid to mounded configurations
(Fig. 6e), characterized by important lateral thickness variations of the sedimentary layers, with pinched-
out reflectors close to the current axis and thicker deposits away from it. Fluid escape features are
commonly observed close to the main faults and on the extrados of the main rollover structures (Fig. 4).
Fluid escape features are expressed as dense networks of conduits leading to small offsets of the
sedimentary layers or undulated to chaotic series of reflectors on the seismic dataset (Fig. 6d). At the
seafloor, the area of fluid escape form fields of coalescing circular depressions (Fig. 5e, Papatheodorou
et al., 1993).

The clastic input to the North Aegean Sea implies that most of the structures related to the North
Anatolian Fault are growth structures. The timing of formation of the strike-slip structures is constrained
from the age of onset of the fanning of the sediments. Although many second-order unconformities
linked to periodic sea-level and climatic variations are encountered in the study area (Lykousis, 2009;
Piper & Anastasakis, 2013), we here focus on the major unconformities corresponding to the main
tectonic episodes related to the evolution of the North Anatolian Shear Zone, i.e. the unconformities
corresponding to a significant tilt of the seafloor.

4- Stratigraphy of the North Aegean Domain

4.1. The pre-Messinian period and the Messinian Event

Field studies in the Thermaïkos, Thrace and Saros Basins document two Eocene to Oligocene silici-
clastic units ontop of the metamorphic basement (Turgut & Eseller, 2000; Siyako & Huvaz, 2007;
Seismic profiles tied to wells evidence the continuity of these units offshore in the North Aegean Domain, with a major angular unconformity at the Oligocene-Miocene boundary (Beniest et al., 2016; Varesis & Anastasakis, 2021). Industrial wells located in the Prinos Basin (Proedrou & Papaconstantinou, 2004) provide precise constraints on the ages of the geological events related to the Messinian Salinity Crisis, with the salt mobile unit dated between 5.97 and 5.33 Ma, and earliest traces of the Messinian stage dated around 7.15 Ma (Karakitsios et al., 2017).

We identify on the seismic dataset the contact between the Late Miocene Unit and the Messinian Event according to the following criteria:

**-Late Miocene Unit:** During the Miocene, fluvial and floodplain deposits cover the North Aegean Domain (Melinte-Dobrinescu et al., 2009; Suc et al., 2015). Drilling sites in the Prinos Basin that reach Tortonian layers document an alternation of marine shales and turbidites in a distal marine environment, followed by sandstone with marl and coal intercalations (Karakitsios et al., 2017; Varesis & Anastasakis, 2021). On seismic data, the Late Miocene Unit is expressed by a series of interbedded channel systems (Fig. 7).

**-Messinian Event:** The Messinian is dominantly expressed as an erosive surface in the North Aegean Domain (Fig. 7), with a few evaporitic units scattered in some basins (e.g. Prinos; Mascle & Martin, 1990; Proedrou & Sidiropoulos, 1992; Proedrou & Papaconstantinou, 2004). Offshore Theraïkos, the thickness of the Messinian Unit displays important lateral variations, culminating at 0.8 s TWT (Varesis & Anastasakis, 2021).

### 4.2. Plio-Pleistocene Period

The Pleistocene stratigraphy has mainly been constrained on the basis of sequence stratigraphy studies, investigating the influence of sea level variations and oceanic current activity using the architecture of sedimentary bodies (Sakellariou & Galanidou, 2017; Tripsanas et al., 2016). In the North Aegean Domain, sequence stratigraphy studies in the Theraïkos Gulf (Lykousis, 2009) and offshore the Biga Peninsula (Isler et al., 2008) identified the reflectors corresponding to MIS (Marine Isotopic Stage) 2 (18 ka) to MIS 12 (430 ka). Additional stratigraphic constraints are obtained from the study of contourite drifts in the Southern Aegean Domain since MIS 11 (420 ka), with an increased current intensity, and
hence, erosive events during interglacial periods (Tripsanas et al., 2016). In the vicinity of the North Aegean Trough, some coring documented pro-delta formations during the Late Quaternary (last ∼150 kyrs; Piper & Perissoratis, 1991; Lykousis et al., 2002).

Unfortunately, the published reports of the Prinos wells (Proedrou & Papaconstantinou, 2004) do not provide stratigraphic details for the detritic Plio-Pleistocene sequence.

The only available stratigraphic constraints in the offshore Aegean Domain prior MIS12 are located at the Myrtoon Basin (Anastasakis & Piper, 2005; Anastasakis et al., 2006), which is about 250-km away from our study area (Fig. 1). There, the age of the sedimentary layers is fairly well constrained as far as 2.8 Ma on the basis of correlation with DSDP Site 378 in the nearby Cretan Basin (Hsu et al., 1978) and the age of volcanic layers coming from Milos Island (Fytikas et al., 1976, 1986; Anastasakis & Piper, 2005; Calvo et al., 2012). This set of reflectors is also observed offshore the Evoïkos Gulf (about 100 to 150 km away from our study area, Fig. 1) and their age is confirmed at 2 Ma upon the base of the sea-level dependance of progradational packages of fluvial deposits (Anastasakis & Piper, 2013). However, the Cycladic Plateau (Fig. 1, 7) has isolated the Myrtoon and Evoïkos area (Fig. 1) from the North Aegean Domain at various periods of sea-level lowstands (Sakellariou & Galanidou, 2017).

In order to investigate whether the stratigraphic constraints obtained at the Myrtoon Basin can be correlated as far north as the North Aegean Domain, we compare a seismic-reflection profile collected in the Myrtoon Basin (from Anastasakis & Piper, 2005) with a profile collected at the southern termination of the Evia Basin, i.e. north of the Cycladic Plateau, here considered as the key feature of the boundary between the north and south Aegean domains (Fig. 7). Despite a difference of resolution between the two datasets, both profiles share similarities with respect to the seismic facies of the Pliocene series up to a key reflector dated at 1.3 Ma (Fig.7).

Based on sequence stratigraphy studies and correlation with the Myrtoon Basin, we consider that four Plio-Pleistocene key reflectors, corresponding to regional volcanic deposits and sapropel events (Anastasakis & Piper, 2005), can be defined in the North Aegean Domain (Fig. 7), separating four main seismic units:

- **Reflector D** (2.8 Ma): In the wake of the Messinian event, numerous Gilbert deltas developed in the surroundings of the Aegean Domain, followed by marine clastic sediments throughout the Pliocene,
characterized by distal channel systems (Proedrou & Papaconstantinou, 2004; Anastasakis & Piper, 2005). Anastasakis & Piper (2006) show the regional transition from terrestrial to full marine facies occurred regionally at the boundary between the middle and upper Pliocene. A key reflector, labeled D, seals the seismic unit interpreted as the episode of delta fan supply in the Aegean Sea (Fig. 7; Anastasakis & Piper, 2005). This seismic unit is characterized by the signature of distal channel-levee systems, marked by an alternation of minor downlap and onlap that reflects their successive avulsions. Reflector D is the top reflector of a series of three high-amplitude reflectors sealing the systems of delta fans. The reflector D is dated at ~2.8 Ma from Milos volcanics (Anastasakis & Piper, 2005).

- **Reflector C (~2 Ma):** Reflector C corresponds to an erosive surface, dated between 1.6 and 2.1 Ma from the volcanic deposits related to the Oros eruption (Pe-Piper et al., 1983; Dietrich et al., 1988).

Sequence stratigraphy studies in the Evoikos Gulf suggest the age of reflector C to be closer to 2 Ma than 1.6 Ma (a reflector distinct from C being dated at 1.6 Ma, Piper & Anastasakis, 2013).

- **Reflector B (~1.3 Ma):** Reflector B marks the onset of progradational wedges in the Aegean Sea, dated around 1-1.4 Ma with lava flows (Anastasakis & Piper, 2005). The age has been refined at 1.3-1.4 Ma from sequence stratigraphy studies in the Evoikos Gulf (Piper & Anastasakis, 2013).


5- RESULTS

5-1- Structure of the North Aegean Trough (Northern Branch of the North Anatolian Fault)

5-1-1- The connection between the Gulf of Saros and the North Aegean Trough

At the northeastern entrance of the North Aegean Trough, the Main Splay of the North Anatolian fault makes a bend, which is expressed on the seafloor by a series of push-up ridges (Fig. 3-4). The Main Splay forms a steep, ~5 to 10° slope, which is covered by an undulated seafloor. There, a set of secondary fault splays connects along the main fault. A 15-km-long ridge, referred to as the Medusa High (Mascle & Martin, 1990; Sakellariou et al., 2018), runs parallel to the North Anatolian Fault in the area of
bending (Fig. 3-4). A second splay, referred to as the Athos Splay, runs at 40° with respect to the North Anatolian Fault and terminates at the tip of the Athos Peninsula (Fig. 3-4).

Seismic line 701 is perpendicular to the Athos splay (Fig. 8) and seismic line 702 crosses the area between the main splay and the Medusa High (Fig. 9). The Main Splay runs across an area of chaotic reflectors and forms a flower structure. The Athos Splay is an apparent normal fault dipping at 55° southward, consistent with focal mechanisms at this location (Kiratzi & Louvari, 2003). The footwall reveals a buried tilted block (Fig. 8). A dense network of normal faults, most of them being blind on the seafloor, connects into a set of three flower structures in the basin, located at the footwall of the Athos Splay (Fig. 8). The Medusa High (Fig. 9) corresponds to an elongated push-up structure (Mascle & Martin, 1990; Sakellariou et al., 2018).

5-1-2- The central segment of the North Aegean Trough offshore the Chalkidiki Peninsula

The Main Splay of the North Anatolian Fault runs within a 40-km-long, 15-km-wide, asymmetric spindle-shaped trough (Fig. 3-4). Focal mechanisms confirm the strike-slip motion along the strike of the Main Splay and suggest a minor component of transtension locally (Kiratzi & Louvari, 2003; Kourouklas et al., 2022). The Main Splay is sub-vertical and blind on the seafloor due to mass-wasting sedimentation rates higher than its vertical slip-rate (~0.4-s TWT -thick package of mass transport deposits on Line 603; Fig. 11). It forms a growth-synform structure on Line 602 (Fig. 10) and promotes the uplift of the basement on line 603 (Fig. 11). The sedimentary cover of both flanks of the spindle-shape basin displays an undulated configuration upslope, which becomes more chaotic downslope.

The Sithonia Splay is a second strike-slip fault trending 60°NE. To the west, a network of normal faults, dipping 20° to the North, roots diagonally to the Sithonia Splay and forms a horsetail structure offshore the Kassandra Peninsula, where focal mechanisms indicate normal faulting (Kourouklas et al., 2022). The multibeam map reveals two sedimentary basins along the Sithonia Splay: a first one, located on the southern flank of the eastern segment of the fault and a second one, located on the northern flank of the western segment of the fault (Fig. 5). The Sithonia Splay is subvertical in its central part, forming a short-wavelength, symmetric growth-synform structure on Line 602 (Fig. 10). Line 603 indicates a strong component of subsidence with a well-marked fanning of the sediments on the northern side of
the Sithonia Splay (Fig. 11). The westward increase of the subsidence corresponds to the transition
toward the transtensional regime of the horsetail termination (Fig. 5).

The Main Splay of the North Anatolian Fault and the Sithonia Splay are separated by a Central Structural
High (Fig. 3-4). The Central Structural High is a ~50-km-long elongated feature, with two highs
culminating at 700-m and 550-m depth. The Central Structural High appears as an antiform structure,
with undulations in the sedimentary cover (Fig. 10-11). The part of the antiform which is still exposed
at the seafloor corresponds to a basement high. A field of apparent normal faults with short and uneven
offsets is observed between the Central Structural High and the Sithonia Splay (Fig.10-11).

5-1-3- Termination of the horsetail in the Thermaïkos-Skopelos area

Offshore Skopelos, the geometry of the Main Splay of the North Anatolian Fault changes from a strike-
slip fault to a low-angle normal fault (Fig. 3-4), which runs along Pelion and the Thermaïkos Gulf
(Lai̇gle et al., 2000). The seismic reflection profile 103 (Fig. 12) crosses all the splays from Skopelos
Island to Kassandra Peninsula. We observe a large-scale rollover structure in the hanging wall of the
North Anatolian Fault, bending along the Main Splay, acting here as a north-dipping low-angle (~20°)
normal fault. Focal mechanisms however indicate pure strike-slip motion in this area (Kourouklas et al.,
2022), which suggests that the normal fault is turning into a strike-slip fault. The configuration of the
low-angle normal fault isolates a 20-km long, 10-km-wide trough along the Main Splay, filled-in by
mass transport deposits (Fig. 12). The hinge of the rollover is dissected by numerous synthetic and
antithetic normal faults. These fault systems cross a field of coalescing circular depressions spreading
over ~200-km², which corresponds to a series of short-wavelength undulated reflectors on the seismic,
focused on the uppermost ~0.4 s TWT (Fig. 12). The field of circular depressions is interpreted as the
result of fluid escape (Fig. 3-4).

The Central Splay (Fig. 3-4), roots on the Central Structural High, then bends across the extrados of the
rollover into a 25-km-long, 15-km-wide, 1450-m-deep trough, formed by a system of normal faults. The
normal fault scarps reach 350-m-high at the seafloor along the slope of the Gulf of Thermaïkos and
localize a system of slope-apron canyons.
The seismic line 201 (Fig. 13) crosses the area between the major low-angle (~35° dip) normal fault where the North Anatolian Fault ends and the termination of the Sithonia Splay. The hanging wall forms a syncline basin, filled-in by sediments characterized by the short-wavelength undulated facies formed by fluid escape. The system of normal faults crossing the slope of the Gulf of Thermaïkos forms a series of horst and graben (Fig. 13). The termination of the Sithonia Splay is a set of two sub-parallel listric faults, dipping 20° to 30° to the north, with their associated rollover structures dissected by synthetic and antithetic normal faults (Fig. 13), consistent with the focal mechanisms at this location (Kourouklas et al., 2022).

5-2-Structure of the Edremit-Skyros Trough (Southern Branch of the North Anatolian Fault)

The structure of the Edremit-Skyros horsetail is described in details in Papanikoalou et al. (2019). In this study, we present three new seismic profiles, which cross all the key structures of the Edremit-Skyros Trough. The seismic profile 801 (Fig. 14) crosses the Venus plateau, an oblique splay of the horsetail, the deepest basin of the trough, here expressed as a growth syncline, and the main system of sub-parallel low-angle (~25° dip) normal faults bounding the Skyros Island, which isolates a half-graben. The seismic line 802 (Fig 15) crosses the southern branch of the North Anatolian Fault and the southern edge of the Edremit-Skyros Trough. It reveals a series of angular unconformities associated to tilted blocks. On the seismic profile 803 (Fig. 16), the oblique splays of the horsetail appear as normal faults isolating either half-grabens or growth synclines, dominantly filled-in by mass transport deposits. The subsidence increases towards the Skyros Island. Normal faults segment the bulge of the growth-synclines. In this area, sedimentation rates exceed the vertical slip-rate at the faults, resulting in their smooth aspect on the seafloor.

5-3- Structure of the Evia Basin

The Evia basin consists in a 90-km-long series of three subsiding basins, up to 1000-m deep, separated by structural highs (Fig 3-4). On the seismic lines (Fig 17), all the Evia sub-basins appear as a series of tilted half grabens bounded by a major normal fault on their SW flank. The maximum thickness of the
post-Messinian sediments reaches ~1 s (TWT). The series emplaced before the formation of the structure display seismic facies typical of distal detritic sedimentation, while the syn-tectonic series display a fanning configuration recording the progressive subsidence of the structure. Only minor Mass Transport Deposits are observed within the growth structures, which results from the ~20° steep slope formed by the main normal fault that does not allow the storage of sediments. The structural thresholds appear as positive flower structures in line with the trend of the North Anatolian Fault, with uplifted segments of the basement and a dense pattern of faults on their flanks.

5-4- A diffuse fault system buried in the offshore North Aegean Domain

The seismic lines collected during the NAFAS cruise in 2017 together with the compilation of vintage seismic lines (Mascle & Martin, 1990; Beniest et al., 2016) reveal fossil structures buried under the sediments of the North Aegean Domain and locally crosscut by the horsetail structures. The first structure is encountered in the area of the connection between the Saros Gulf and the North Aegean Trough, at the -W-E trending Athos splay (Fig 3-4). There, the seismic line 701 (Fig 8) reveals a buried tilted block sealed by a series of onlap terminations of the sedimentary layers. The second structure also corresponds to a tilted graben, located at the plateau isolated between the North Aegean Trough and the Edremit-Skyros Trough (Fig. 18). This graben is referred to as the Venus graben.

5-5- Chronology of tectonic events in the North Aegean Sea

The series of angular unconformities labeled from A to D records the main tectonic events that shaped the North Aegean Domain since the Messinian (Fig 7).

5-5-1. The North Aegean Trough

Unconformity D, dated at 2.8 Ma, records the end of the tectonic episode expressed by the series of tilted blocks composed of the Athos (Fig. 8) and Venus (Fig. 18) grabens. This unconformity also marks the deactivation of a series of push-up structures located in the area of the Central Structural High (line 603, Fig 11). At the termination of the Sithonia Splay (line 201, Fig 13) offshore Kassandra Peninsula,
this unconformity marks the onset of a fanning configuration of the sediments and, therefore, the onset of the tilt of the graben.

The second key angular unconformity C, dated at 2 Ma, is well identified by onlap terminations over the underlying, tilted deposits in the North Aegean Trough (e.g. in the vicinity of Skopelos at line 103, Fig 12). This unconformity marks the onset of the fanning configuration of the sediments observed all along the Sithonia Splay (line 201, Fig 13; line 602-603, Fig 10-11), and hence, the formation of this still-active splay within the North Aegean Trough. The amplitude of slope incision features remains poorly disturbed by this tectonic episode (e.g. at the edge of the Thermaïkos Gulf, line 201, Fig 13), which indicates a still rather low subsidence rate.

These unconformities D and C record the Late Pliocene-Early Pleistocene change in the pattern of strain localization within the North Anatolian Shear Zone. These unconformities also pre-date the first stage of the formation of the North Aegean horsetail structure, with the activation of the Sithonia Splay.

The third angular unconformity B, dated at ~1.3 Ma, records the formation of the termination of the central splay (observed at line 103, Fig 12). Across the edge of the Thermaïkos Gulf, the 1.3 Myrs-old unconformity corresponds to an increase in the amplitude of the slope incisions features (line 201, Fig 13), which indicates a major increase in the overall subsidence, the channels digging deeper to catch their equilibrium line. The Mass Transport Deposits associated to this episode are the thickest (~0.2-0.3 s TWT) encountered within the North Aegean Domain since the Messinian. At the connection between the North Aegean Trough and the Saros Gulf, the 1.3 Myrs-old unconformity records the formation of the Athos Splay and the main uplift of the Medusa High (Fig 8-9). There, the mean vertical slip-rate of the Athos normal fault increased from a 0.13 mm.yr$^{-1}$ during the 2-1.3 Ma interval to 0.22 mm.yr$^{-1}$ since 1.3 Ma. Overall, this set of observations indicates a major structural reorganization of the northern branch of the North Anatolian Fault at 1.3 Ma, marked by a drastic increase in subsidence rates within the sub-basins of the North Aegean Trough.

Finally, unconformity A, dated at ~0.5 Ma, records the onset of the Main Splay of the North Anatolian Fault all across the North Aegean Trough (Ferentinos et al., 2018). The formation of the Main Splay is best recorded offshore Skopelos (line 103, Fig 12), the segment of the fault running at the edge of the Central High being affected by current and gravity-driven erosion (line 602, Fig 10). The activation of
the Main Splay induced a change in the activity of the Sithonia Fault, with an increase of the vertical slip-rate from 0.16 mm.yr\(^{-1}\) during the 1.3-0.48 Ma interval to 0.3 mm.yr\(^{-1}\) since 0.48 Ma. However, the vertical slip-rate of the faults related to the Central Splay remained steady.

5-5-2. The Skyros-Edremit Trough

The unconformity C (2 Ma) is onlapped by a tilted series of reflectors on the southern edge of the trough (line 803, Fig. 16). This unconformity marks the first stages of the formation of the Southern branch of the North Anatolian Fault offshore Skyros. Unconformity B (1.3 Ma) corresponds to the increase in the subsidence rate (up to 0.45 mm.yr\(^{-1}\)) at the hanging wall of the normal fault system running along Skyros Island (Fig. 14, 15, 16). Unconformity A (~0.5 Ma) is well expressed close to the oblique splay 1 and marks an increase in subsidence at the hanging wall of this splay (Fig. 15). Unconformity A therefore records the formation of the oblique splay 1 (Fig. 16).

5-5-3. The Evia basin

The onset of subsidence within the Evia Basin is dated between 2 and 1.3 Ma based on unconformities B and C (Fig. 17). This marks the base of the fanning configuration of the sedimentary infill. The main formation episode of the Evia Basins is therefore coeval with the increase in subsidence at Corinth and the first step of strain localization at both the northern and southern branches of the North Anatolian Fault.

6- Discussion

The present-day configuration of the North Aegean Domain shows the gradual kinematic transition from the strike-slip deformation that dominates in the northeastern Aegean Domain to the dip-slip deformation encountered in the Northwest Aegean Domain (Mouslopoulou et al., 2007a,b). Our new set of geological constraints allows us to refine the framework of strain localization of the North Anatolian Shear Zone in the North Aegean Domain and highlights the westward propagation of the strike-slip dominated area since the Late Miocene. The new geological constraints obtained from the...
seismic-reflection dataset are summarized in Figure 19 and integrated to the previously available constraints on the geological events of the area.

6-1. Mode of formation of horsetail structures: the North Aegean and Edremit-Skyros Troughs

The formation of the horsetail terminations of both the northern and southern branches of the North Anatolian Fault occurs in the frame of the westward propagation of the North Anatolian Fault within the prevailing NNE-SSW to N-S extensional conditions of the western North Aegean Domain. The horsetail configuration emplaces where the strike-slip fault connects a system of low-angle normal faults inherited from the extensive stage.

The North Aegean and the Edremit-Skyros Troughs are horsetail terminations that are currently at different steps of their development. Although the formation of both structures initiated at the same age (~2 Ma), the lower slip rate along the southern branch of the North Anatolian Fault leads the Edremit-Skyros to be structurally less mature. This differential stage of horsetail structural evolution allows us to investigate the tectonic and sedimentary processes at their origin.

On one hand, the Edremit-Skyros Trough corresponds to the evolution of a single horsetail structure. The oblique splays of the Edremit-Skyros Trough formed first along the main detachment fault, then migrated eastwards (oblique splay 1 formed at 0.5 Ma).

On the other hand, the North Aegean Trough consists in three horsetail basins (at the end of the Sithonia, Central and Main Splays) that merged in a single one. Our seismic dataset highlights the successive activation of the Sithonia Splay at 2 Ma, the Central Splay at 1.3 Ma and the Main Splay at 0.5 Ma, which corresponds to the westward propagation of the northern branch of the North Anatolian Fault (Fig 18). The distance between the negative flower structure at the end of each splay is on the order of 30 to 50-km. This corresponds to the distance added to the northern branch of the North Anatolian Fault every 0.7-0.8 Ma in the frame of its westward propagation. The three main splays of the North Aegean Trough have remained active since their inception. The decrease in slip rates observed in GPS measurements at the Sporadhes Archipelago (Müller et al., 2013) may be the result of slip partitioning over each major splay of the North Aegean Trough (Fig. 1).
This framework of structural evolution of the horsetail terminations encountered in the North Aegean Domain provides a ground-truth validation of analog modeling tests performed by Basile & Brun (1999). In their models (Fig 20), a horsetail termination initially consists in an en-échelon normal fault system trending perpendicular to the main strike-slip displacement zone, composed of oblique Riedel splays. As the relative motion between the adjacent blocks increases, the Riedel splays progressively connects the en-échelon normal fault system. For dextral motion, the first Riedel fault forms to the east of the horsetail and the subsequent splays propagate westwards. Accordingly, the en-échelon normal faults are first captured in the overall horsetail structure in the east. The western en-échelon normal faults are progressively captured within the horsetail structure during its maturation. While some grabens associated to the en-échelon normal faults are captured by the oblique splays, others are crosscut by the propagating main displacement zone. Once initiated, all the oblique splays remain active during the lifetime of the horsetail.

6-2. The Plio-Pleistocene transition from a wide North Anatolian Shear Zone to a localized North Anatolian Fault

Our structural analysis further highlights the Late Pliocene change in stress regime (Lyberis, 1984) at the scale of the North Aegean Domain (Fig. 21). From the Serravalian to the Late Pliocene, the North Anatolian Shear Zone consisted in a diffuse system of en-échelon normal faults connected through dextral transfer faults, thereby isolating a series of basins. These basins include a set of Late Miocene–Early Pliocene basins compartmentalizing the Rhodope Core complex (Brun & Sokoutis, 2018) and the series of basins identified at sea in the vicinity of the North Aegean Trough, including the Athos and Venus grabens, deactivated at 2.8 Ma (Fig. 21a).

Our reconstructions at 2 and 1.3-0.8 Ma (Fig. 21b-c) show the period of transition from the wide shear zone to the two main branches of the North Anatolian Fault; i.e. the period of effective propagation of the North Anatolian Fault in the North Aegean Sea. This transition is roughly coeval with the deactivation of the South Marmara Fault dated by Le Pichon et al. (2015) and the subsequent activation of the Main Marmara Fault. While propagating westward, the strike-slip segments of the North Anatolian Fault either connected or crosscut the Late Pliocene system of en-échelon grabens including
the Athos and Venus grabens. Unconformity C (Fig. 21c-d) records the first stage of formation of the northern and southern branches of the North Anatolian Fault at the North Aegean Trough and the Edremit-Skyros Trough, whereas unconformities B and A marks the successive steps of development of these basins.

If we consider the series of Serravalian-Pliocene basins dissecting the Rhodope Core complex (Brun & Sokoutis, 2018) as the earliest traces of the North Anatolian Shear Zone in the North Aegean Domain, then the North Anatolian Shear Zone remained a diffuse transtensive system for about 5 to 7 Myrs until the Messinian, with a first step of localization marked by the formation of the Ganos-Saros segment at ~5 Ma (Armijo et al., 1999). The Anatolia-Eurasia relative motion used to be distributed over this transtensive system composed of multiple en-échelon fault segments prior to Messinian. The enhanced localization of the North Anatolian Shear Zone in the North Aegean Domain evidenced here at 2-1.3 Ma coincides with the Early Pleistocene increase in slip-rates along the North Anatolian Fault (Hubert Ferrari et al., 2010). The localization of the North Anatolian Fault therefore contributed to the Early Pleistocene change in stress regime recorded over the entire Aegean, previously attributed to a change in the dynamics of the Hellenic Subduction Zone (Lyberis, 1984; Mascle & Martin, 1990; Armijo et al., 1992; Sakellariou & Tsampouraki-Kraounaki, 2016).

In this frame, the ‘wide shear-stage’ of the North Anatolian Shear Zone and the diachronous strain localization within it lasted several million years longer in the western North Aegean Domain than east of the Yeniçaga Fork (Fig. 21; Sengör et al., 2005). Some fault segments are localized since the Messinian (e.g. the Ganos-Saros fault) while others formed in the Early Pleistocene (e.g. the North Aegean and the Edremit-Skyros Troughs). This implies that some diffuse en-échelon systems (e.g. Chalkidiki or Marmara at 3.5-4 Ma) remain active while major, several hundred-km-long localized fault segments (e.g. Ganos-Saros segment) exist in between (Fig. 21). Further strain localization involved the abandonment of Late Miocene-Early Pliocene en-échelon faults (e.g. Strymon, Drama, Prinos) and major transfer strike-slip faults (e.g. transfer faults within the Thrace basin, the South Marmara Fault).

7-Conclusions
Our study documents multiple episodes of strain localization within the North Anatolian Shear Zone in the North Aegean Domain. During the Late Serravalian to the Early Pliocene, the North Anatolian Shear Zone was a diffuse transtensive fault zone. During the Late Pliocene, strike-slip strain localized along some fault segments (e.g. the Ganos-Saros segment) while remained diffuse in others (Prinos, Strymon, Drama, Thrace basins, Fig 21). Offshore sediments record the first abrupt step of strain localization along the northern and southern branches of the North Anatolian Fault in the North Aegean Domain at 2-1.3 Ma. This is coeval with the general increase of slip rate along the entire North Anatolian system and the regional change in stress regime over the Aegean Sea. Further westward propagation and localization along the northern and southern branches formed the North Aegean and Skyros-Edremit Troughs as horsetail structures. The North Aegean Trough results from the formation of three successive horsetails, formed at 2 Ma, 1.3 Ma and 0.5 Ma and propagating westward at a rate of 40 to 60 km.Myr
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Figures:

Figure 1: Tectonic framework of the Eastern Mediterranean Sea (active faults from Kreemer and Chamot-Rooke, 2004 and Chamot-Rooke et al., 2005). The tectonic escape of Anatolia results from interactions between Arabia-Eurasia and the Hellenic trench retreat. NCDS: North Cycladic Detachment System
Figure 2: a) Structural map of the segment of the North Anatolian Shear Zone in the North Aegean Domain, modified after Lyberis (1984), Koukouvelas and Aydin (2002), Yalçin et al. (2016); Sakellariou et al. (2018), Papanikolaou et al. (2002; 2019). The stress tensors are from Gürer et al. (2016); Sümer et al. (2018). The location of the Late Miocene-Late Pliocene basins (Strymon, Mygdonia, Drama, Orfanos, Prinos) is from Brun and Sokoutis (2018). b) Present-day stress tensors and c) strain rate, from Floyd et al. (2010) and Konstantinou et al. (2016).
Figure 3: Topographic and bathymetric map of the North Aegean Domain and location of the seismic lines published in this study (Sakellariou et al. 2018; Papanikolaou et al., 2002; 2019)
Figure 4: Structural map of the active faults of the North Aegean Trough and the Edremit-Skyros Trough and the main sedimentary features (modified after Sakellariou et al. 2018; Papanikolaou et al., 2002; 2019). CSH: Central Structural High
**Figure 5:** Criteria of identification of transtensive and transpressive strike-slip structures on the multibeam and seismic-reflection dataset, with the examples of a) the Sithonia Splay and b) the Athos Splay.
Figure 6: Classification of the facies of the main sedimentary features observed on the multibeam and seismic dataset in the study area.
Figure 7: Stratigraphic correlation between the Myrtoon basin (Anastasakis and Piper, 2005), the Evia Basin and the NW border of the Edremit-Skyros Trough.
Figure 8: Seismic line 701 from the NAFAS cruise, crossing the Athos Splay and the North Anatolian Fault at the entrance of the North Aegean Trough. See figure 3 for location.
**Figure 9:** Seismic line 702 from the NAFAS cruise, crossing the Medusa High and the North Anatolian Fault. See figure 3 for location.
Figure 10: Seismic line 602 from the NAFAS cruise, crossing the main splay of the North Anatolian Fault, the central high and the Sithonia splay within the North Aegean Trough. See figure 3 for location.
Figure 11: Seismic line 603 from the NAFAS cruise, crossing the main splay of the North Anatolian Fault, the central high and the Sithonia splay within the North Aegean Trough. See figure 3 for location.
**Figure 12:** Seismic line 103 from the NAFAS cruise, crossing the Main splay of the North Anatolian Fault, the central splay and the western termination of the Sithonia Splay within the North Aegean Trough. See figure 3 for location.
Figure 13: Seismic line 201 from the NAFAS cruise, crossing the series of oblique splays dissecting the slope at the edge of the Gulf of Thermaïkos and a field of fluid escape features. See figure 3 for location.
**Figure 14:** Seismic line 801 from the NAFAS cruise, crossing the Edremit-Skyros Trough. See figure 3 for location.
**Figure 15**: Seismic line 802 from the NAFAS cruise, crossing the Edremit-Skyros Trough. See figure 3 for location.
Figure 16: Seismic line 803 from the NAFAS cruise, crossing the Edremit-Skyros Trough. See figure 3 for location.
Figure 17: Seismic line 401 from the NAFAS cruise, crossing the main normal fault bounding the Evia Basin and seismic line 301 crossing one of the structural thresholds identified within the Evia basin. See inset and figure 3 for location.
Figure 18: Vintage seismic line, from Beniest et al. (2016), showing the Venus graben. See figure 3 for location.
Figure 19: Summary of the main steps of structural evolution of the North Anatolian Fault and the Gulf of Corinth in the North Aegean Domain.
Figure 20: Analog (sandbox) models for the evolution of horsetail termination, from Basile and Brun (1999), compared with the evolution of the North Aegean Trough horsetail (this study).
**Figure 21:** Structural evolution of the North Anatolian Fault in the North Aegean Domain, compiling field and offshore observations. This series of maps represents the present-day location of the faults that used to be active at 4-3.5 Ma, 2 Ma, 1.3-0.8 Ma, 0.5 Ma. The past shorelines are not reconstructed. Paleo-stress tensors from Sümer et al. (2018) and Lybéris (1984).
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