

Peripheral positioning of lysosomes supports melanoma aggressiveness

K. Jerabkova-Roda, A. Mousson, M. Peralta, R. Karali, H. Justiniano, L.M.

Lisii, P. Carl, N. Asokan, I. Busnelli, A. Larnicol, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

K. Jerabkova-Roda, A. Mousson, M. Peralta, R. Karali, H. Justiniano, et al.. Peripheral positioning of lysosomes supports melanoma aggressiveness. 2023. hal-04308597

HAL Id: hal-04308597 https://hal.science/hal-04308597

Preprint submitted on 27 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Peripheral positioning of lysosomes supports melanoma aggressiveness

K. Jerabkova-Roda^{1-5@}, A. Mousson^{2,6}, M. Peralta¹⁻⁴, R. Karali^{2,6}, H. Justiniano^{2,6}, L.M. Lisii^{2,6}, P. Carl^{2,6}, N. Asokan¹⁻⁴, I. Busnelli¹⁻⁴, A. Larnicol¹⁻⁴, O. Lefebvre¹⁻⁴, H. Lachuer^{5,£}, A. Pichot^{2,3,8}, T. Stemmelen^{2,3,8}, A. Molitor^{2,3,8,9}, A. Hirschler¹⁰, F. Delalande¹⁰, E. Sick^{2,6}, R. Carapito^{2,3,8,9}, C. Carapito¹⁰, V. Hyenne^{1-4,11*}, K. Schauer^{5,7*@}, P. Rondé^{2,6*@}, and J.G. Goetz^{1-4*}

 1 Tumor Biomechanics, INSERM UMR $_{S}1109, Strasbourg, France$

²Université de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France

³Fédération de Médecine Translationnelle de Strasbourg (FMTS), Strasbourg, France ⁴Équipe labellisée Ligue Contre le Cancer

⁵Institut Curie, PSL, CNRS, UMR144, Paris, France

⁶CNRS UMR7021, Faculté de Pharmacie, Illkirch, France

⁷Institut Gustave Roussy, INSERM UMR1279, Université Paris-Saclay, Villejuif, France

⁸Plateforme GENOMAX, Institut thématique interdisciplinaire (ITI) de Médecine de Précision de Strasbourg Transplantex NG, Fédération Hospitalo-Universitaire OMICARE Service d'Immunologie Biologique, Plateau Technique de Biologie, Pôle de Biologie, Nouvel Hôpital Civil, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg, 1 Place de l'Hôpital,

67091, Strasbourg, France

¹⁰CNRS, Laboratoire de Spectrométrie de Masse Bio-Organique (LSMBO), IPHC, UMR 7178, Université de Strasbourg, Strasbourg 67087, France

¹Current address : Université de Paris, CNRS, Institut Jacques Monod, 75013 Paris, France

Equal contribution

56

57

58

59

60

61

[@]Corresponding authors

Emerging evidences suggest that both function and position of 37 organelles are pivotal for tumor cell dissemination. Among 38 2 them, lysosomes stand out as they integrate metabolic sensing with gene regulation and secretion of proteases. Yet, how the function of lysosomes is linked to their position and how 5 this controls metastatic progression remains elusive. Here, 6 we analyzed lysosome subcellular distribution in micropatterned patient-derived melanoma cells and found that lysosome 44 spreading scales with their aggressiveness. Peripheral lysosomes promote invadopodia-based matrix degradation and in- 45 10 vasion of melanoma cells which is directly linked to their lysoso- 46 11 mal and cell transcriptional programs. When controlling lyso- 47 12 somal positioning using chemo-genetical heterodimerization in 48 13 melanoma cells, we demonstrated that perinuclear clustering 49 14 impairs lysosomal secretion, matrix degradation and invasion. 50 15 Impairing lysosomal spreading in a zebrafish metastasis model 51 16 significantly reduces invasive outgrowth. Our study provides a 52 17 mechanistic demonstration that lysosomal positioning controls 18 cell invasion, illustrating the importance of organelle adaptation 19 54 in carcinogenesis. 20 55

Keywords: lysosome, invasion, metastasis 21

Correspondence: katerina.jerabkova@inserm.fr kris-22 tine.schauer@gustaveroussy.fr philippe.ronde@unistra.fr 23 24 jacky.goetz@inserm.fr

Main 25

Metastases are responsible for the majority of cancer-related 62 26 deaths (Dillekås et al., 2019). Melanoma shows strong 63 27 negative correlation between cancer stage and 5-year pa- 64 28 tient survival, making it an ideal model to study phenotypic 65 29 changes leading to cancer cell invasion, adaptation and sur- 66 30 vival. Melanoma progression consists of multiple sequen- 67 31 tial events. First, melanocytes are transformed and grow in 68 32 the epidermis during radial growth phase (RGP), forming a 69 33 premalignant lesion. Changes in their transcription program 70 34 lead to expression of matrix-degrading enzymes and to inva-71 35 sion through the dermis during vertical growth phase (VGP) 72 36

followed by cancer dissemination through vascular and lymphatic routes, progressing into metastatic stages (Braeuer et al., 2011). To colonize secondary organs during metastasis, melanoma cells sense their microenvironment and react by locally degrading and remodeling the extracellular matrix (ECM). Invading melanoma cells frequently form dynamic actin-rich protrusive structures with high proteolytic activity, called invadopodia, that mediate ECM degradation (Augoff et al., 2020), . Invadopodia formation involves the local docking of endosomal compartments, such as multivesicular bodies, and their fusion with the plasma membrane (Hoshino et al., 2013). This suggests that melanoma metastasis requires specific invasion programs for an efficient and targeted delivery of ECM-degrading enzymes to highly-specialized structures. Yet how this is orchestrated in invasive cells remains unclear. Over the past decade, several reports indicated that lysosomes constitute novel regulators of invasion by allowing cells to sense their microenvironment and trigger adapted responses, notably through the exocytic release of their content (Ballabio and Bonifacino, 2020). For instance, lysosomal exocytosis drives the formation of invasive protrusions resulting in basement membrane breaching in C. elegans (Naegeli et al., 2017). In addition, secretion of lysosomal cathepsin B promotes cancer cell invasion and metastasis (Bian et al., 2016). Besides, lysosome secretion contributes to the repair of plasma membrane damages occurring during cell migration and results in better cell survival under mechanical stress (Corrotte and Castro-Gomes, 2019). Importantly, lysosomal activity is regulated by their subcellular location (Johnson et al., 2016; Korolchuk et al., 2011). Peripheral lysosomes are prone to exocytosis and drive growth factor signaling (Jia and Bonifacino, 2019), while perinuclear lysosomes have a decreased pH and higher proteolytic activity (Johnson et al., 2016). Lysosome distribution is in turn directly impacted by the cellular microenvironment (Steffan et al., 2009). Lysosomes are transported to the plasma membrane via kinesins

(anterograde transport) in response to growth factors and nu- 130 73 trients presence, conversely, during starvation and in alka-131 74 line environment, lysosomes are transported to the perin- 132 75 uclear region (retrograde transport) in a dynein-dependent 133 76 manner (Ballabio and Bonifacino, 2020). While the molec- 134 77 ular mechanisms driving lysosomal positioning have been 135 78 partially elucidated, it remains unclear whether it can con- 136 79 trol invasion programs of melanoma cells. A parallel study 137 80 by Marwaha and colleagues (Marwaha et al., 2023) demon-138 81 strates that peripheral lysosomes control the emergence of 139 82 leader cells during epithelial collective migration events, sug- 140 83 gesting that lysosomal positioning is likely a universal mech-141 84 anism controlling cell invasion within tissues. Here, we in- 142 85 vestigated how lysosome dynamics contribute to cancer ag- 143 86 gressiveness and metastatic progression. We reveal a phe-144 87 notypic switch concerning lysosome positioning in aggres- 145 88 sive melanoma that is supported by distinct transcriptional 146 89 programs and controls migration and invasion. Our study il- 147 90 lustrates the importance of organelle adaptation in carcino-148 91 genesis by providing mechanistic evidences that lysosomal 149 92 positioning controls secretory pathways of malignant trans- 150 93 formation. 151 94

Melanoma invasiveness scales with lysosome spread-153 95 ing Cells progressing through the metastatic cascade dis-96 play tremendous phenotypic plasticity in the benefit of in-97 creased invasion and ECM degradation potential. Transi-98 tion of melanoma cells from RGP to VGP involves a series 157 99 of invasion-promoting programs, particularly the concerted 158 100 expression of matrix-degrading enzymes (Braeuer et al., 159 101 2011) and the maturation of invadopodia (Weidmann et al., 160 102 2016). In order to investigate which organelles control such 161 103 invasion-promoting programs, we first characterized the in-104 vasive properties of a collection of patient-derived melanoma 163 105 cells from different stages (RGP: WM1552c, WM1862, 164 106 VGP: WM115, WM983A and metastatic: WM983B, A375 165 107 cells). Using collagen invasion assay (Fig 1a), we identified 166 108 three patient-derived cell lines with low, medium and high in-109 vasion potential (Fig 1b,c), which correlated with their can-110 cer progression state (RGP, VGP, metastatic). Using gelatin 169 111 degradation assay (Fig 1d), we further showed that cells with $_{170}$ 112 high invasion index displayed significantly increased gelatin 113 degradation frequency and area (Fig 1e,f) confirming previ-114 ous observations (Mousson et al., 2021). Notably, gelatin 173 115 degradation areas were mainly located at invadopodia iden-174 116 tified by the invadopodia markers, actin and cortactin (Fig 175 117 1e). Because ECM degradation is mostly mediated by en_{176} 118 zymes, such as metalloproteases (MMPs) or cathepsins, con-119 tained in lysosomes, and released by exocytosis at invadopo-120 dia (Jacob and Prekeris, 2015), we tested whether lysoso-179 121 mal marker LAMP1 co-localizes with active invadopodia. 122 We found that LAMP1 colocalized with actin and cortactin 180 123 in a subset of degradation areas (Fig S1 a-d) suggesting at 181 124 least transient presence of lysosomes at podosomes as re- 182 125 ported previously in v-Src transformed fiboblasts (Tu et al., 183 126 2008). We accessed transcriptional programs of the three 184 127

representative patient-derived cell lines with graded invasion 185

index (WM1862, WM983A, WM983B) using RNAseq and 186

Gene Ontology analysis. This showed an overrepresentation of metabolism, actin cytoskeleton and cell migration pathways associated with a concomitant reduction of transcripts linked to the lysosomal pathway (Fig.1g and Table 1). We thus hypothesized that increased invasion occurs through a phenotypic switch from a lysosomal signature characteristic of RGP cells (WM1862) to a migratory signature found in metastatic cells. We next investigated the sub-cellular localization of LAMP1, a marker of late endosomes and lysosomes (referred to as lysosomes hereafter) in these cell lines. Micropatterning allows for high-throughput study of cells with reproducible shapes (Fig 1h) and facilitates the comparison and quantitative positional analysis of lysosomes in 2D (Figure 1i) or in full cell volume (Figure 1j). While RGP cells had mostly perinuclear lysosomes, metastatic cells showed significant dispersion of LAMP1-compartments towards the cell periphery (Fig 1h-j) characterized by a significant increase in the mean inter-organelle distance and the mean distance to the barycenter (Figure 1k,l). Moreover, LAMP1compartments were smaller and more numerous in metastatic cells (Fig S1e,f), revealing that the observed transcriptional changes in melanoma cells correlate with changes in LAMP1 distribution. Our results identify and characterize a remarkable cellular phenotype of scattered lysosomes associated with aggressive malignancy in melanoma. Since peripheral lysosome positioning has been reported earlier in other cancer types (breast cancer (Ping-Hsiu Wu et al., 2020) and bladder cancer (Mathur et al., 2023)), it is tempting to speculate that peripheral lysosome repositioning could represent a universal feature of cancer progression. Indeed, our transcriptomics data demonstrate that genes known to promote perinuclear localization of lysosomes, such as RILP (Pu et al., 2016) or RNF167 (Nair et al., 2020), show decreased expression, and conversely, genes linked to anterograde transport, such as KIF1B and KIF5B (Moamer et al., 2019), are overexpressed in metastatic cells. Interestingly, lysosomal genes previously associated with cancer progression such as LAMP1 (Machado et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2017), lysosomal Ca2+ channel MCOLN1 (Medina et al., 2011) and several MMPs (Hua et al., 2011) (MMP2, MMP15, MMP16, MMP17) are upregulated in metastatic cells, besides an overall loss of lysosomal signature in these cells. Yet, lysosomal dispersion could be uncoupled from lysosome biogenesis as some studies report its induction in highly metastatic breast cancer cells (Glunde et al., 2003) or during pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma progression (Perera et al., 2015). Further work is therefore needed to identify what are the molecular programs controlling the positioning and the biogenesis of lysosomes in invasive melanomas cells and what triggers their activation.

Forcing lysosome perinuclear clustering in melanoma cells We next engineered melanoma cells employing a chemo-genetic strategy based on the heretodimerization of the FKBP-FRB domains by Rapalog or derivatives (Kapitein et al., 2010) for lysosome positioning control. We chose WM983A and WM983B cell lines, derived from the primary tumor and metastatic site of the same patient, respectively.

128

129

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.07.07.548108; this version posted July 9, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Fig. 1. Melanoma invasiveness correlates with lysosome spreading a-c) Invasive potential of human melanoma cells (WM1552c, WM1862, WM115, WM983A, WM983B, A375) was analyzed using collagen invasion assay. b) Cells were seeded into a collagen plug, cultured for 24 hours and their spreading at distance of the seeding position was analyzed by confocal imaging. c) For each cell line, the invasion index equals the number of nuclei above 10 µm distance divided by the total number of cells. Invasion index (Mean ± SD) = 0.1213 ± 0.070, 0.1334 ± 0.089, 0.2500 ± 0.080, 0.1036 ± 0.060, 0.5562 ± 0.125, 0.5070 ± 0.117, respectively, in triplicate, one dot represents 1 field of view. Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparison post-hoc test. d-f) Degradation capacity of the melanoma cell lines was assessed using gelatin degradation assay allowing to visualize actin, cortactin and degradation spots. e) Cells were seeded on FITC-gelatin for 24 hours, fixed and stained with Cortactin antibody and Phalloidin to label invadopodia and imaged using confocal microscopy. f) Degradation frequency (DF) was calculated as a percentage of cells displaying gelatin degradation activity. DF (Mean ± SD) = 0.00, 0.00, 6.19 ± 2.26, 4.00 ± 2.94, 26.08 ± 16.52, 20.08 ± 4.79, respectively, in triplicate one dot represents 1 field of view. For cells displaying degradation activity, the degradation area (DA, total area per cell) was quantified. DA (Mean ± SD) = 0.00, 0.00, 11.13 ± 11.00, 3.19 ± 2.76, 7.48 ± 5.59, 3.99 ± 2.97, respectively, in triplicate one dot represents 1 cell. Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparison post-hoc test. g) Transcriptomics analysis of WM1862, WM983A and WM983B cell lines was performed in quadruplicate, genes showing statistically significant differential expression (pAdj<0,01) were analyzed using gene ontology (GO). Selected GO terms of differentially regulated pathways are listed showing their fold enrichment and log10 FDR in paired comparisons (left panel) and heatmaps are shown for the two main pathways identified (right panel). h-j) WM1862, WM983A, WM983B cells were analyzed using micropatterning. Cells were seeded on 36µm crossbow micropatterns, let spread for 4 hours, fixed and stained with LAMP1 antibody and cell spreading was controlled by actin staining (Phalloidin). 2D (i) and 3D (j) density maps of LAMP1 staining were calculated using R software, displaying the smallest area that can be occupied by 25, 50 and 75per cent of all compartments. k) Inter-organelle distance (IOD) represents average of distances between all lysosomes. IOD (Mean ± SD) = 10.30 ± 0.94, 11.56 ± 1.15, 13.99 ± 1.40, respectively. One dot represents 1 cell, I) Distance to barycenter (DB) represents the distance from each lysosome to the center of mass. DB (Mean ± SD) = 7.40 ± 0.69, 8.21 ± 0.93, 10.03 ± 1.07, respectively. One dot represents 1 cell. Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparison post-hoc test. (i,j,k,l) WM1862, n= 182 cells, WM983A, n= 231 cells, WM983B, n= 82 cells, in triplicate.*p<0,05; ** p<0,01; *** p<0,001; **** p<0,0001.

We stably expressed FKBP domain fused to LAMP1 and the 244 187 FRB domain fused to dynein adaptor BicD2. Rapalog treat- 245 188 ment forced binding of BicD2 and recruitment of Dynein to 246 189 LAMP1 for the movement towards the minus end of mi- 247 190 crotubules, and thus perinuclear clustering of the LAMP1 248 191 compartment around the microtubule organizing center (Fig. 249 192 3a, S2d). Clustering was fast, dose-dependent (Figure 2a,b) 250 193 and persistent in time (Figure 2b, c, Figure S2c), as previ-251 194 ously described (Kapitein et al., 2010), allowing precise con- 252 195 trol of lysosomal positioning in vitro and in vivo investiga- 253 196 tions. Engineered control cells expressing only single domain 254 197 FKBP (FKBP only) did not display lysosome clustering upon 255 198 Rapalog treatment (Fig 2b, c, S2A). Correlative light and 256 199 electron microscopy (CLEM) of control- or Rapalog-treated 257 200 WM983B-LAMP1-mCherry cells showed colocalization be- 258 201 tween LAMP1-mCherry and vesicular compartments, which 259 202 clustered as expected in the perinuclear region upon Rapalog 260 203 treatment (Fig 2d). Notably, Rapalog had no effect on the 261 204 colocalization between LAMP1-mCherry and the BODIPY- 262 205 Pepstatin A (Fig S2e), which is delivered to lysosomes via 263 206 endocytic pathway (Chen et al., 2000) and binds to the ac-264 207 tive site of cathepsin D under acid condition. Thus, clus- 265 208 tering by Rapalog did not disrupt cargo delivery and lyso-266 209 somal catabolic activity in melanoma cells, indicating that 267 210 we could control lysosomal positioning in relevant patient- 268 211 derived cell lines, without interfering with basic lysosomal 269 212 functions. While our study exploited a chemo-genetic model 270 213 of forced lysosome clustering, the study by (Marwaha et al., 271 214 2023) built on the induction of lysosomal spreading demon- 272 215 strating that fine control or organelle positioning is now at 273 216 reach. Both approaches require cell engineering and would 274 217 benefit from the complementary use of small molecules that 275 218 regulate lysosome positioning, particular for clinical appli- 276 219 cations. We previously identified PI3K inhibitors as potent 277 220 lysosome clustering agents in bladder cancer (Mathur et al., 278 221 2023). Indeed, lysosome positioning, and more general or- 279 222 ganelle topology (Wang et al., 2023), were used as a readout 280 223 in a screen for novel therapeutic drugs and targets (Circu et 281 224 al., 2016). This opens an exciting area of research leading to 282 225 a wider drug discovery approach centered on organelle posi-283 226 tioning. 227 284

285 Peripheral lysosomes promote secretion and matrix 286 228 degradation Lysosome exocytosis of different proteases and 229 subsequent extracellular matrix degradation promotes inva-287 230 sion to adjacent tissues (Monteiro et al., 2013; Naegeli et 288 231 al., 2017). We thus first investigated how altering position-289 232 ing of the LAMP1 compartment impacts the cell secretome. 290 233 We analyzed the concentrated cell supernatant of WM983B 291 234 cells in the presence and absence of Rapalog treatment (Fig 292 235 3a) by mass spectrometry and identified a significant de-293 236 crease of several lysosome-associated proteins upon clus-294 237 tering (Fig 3a), including Cathepsins. These enzymes con-295 238 tribute to ECM degradation (Vidak et al., 2019) and have 296 239 been linked with metastatic progression, for instance in the 297 case of Cathepsin S or B in gastric and colorectal cancers 298 241 respectively (Bian et al., 2016; da Costa et al., 2020). To 299 242 confirm that clustering inhibits lysosome secretion, we im- 300 243

4 | bio<mark>R</mark>χiv

aged VAMP7-pHluorin, a v-SNARE involved in the fusion of lysosome with plasma membrane (Chaineau et al., 2009) using TIRF microscopy (Fig 3b). We mixed cells expressing either FKBP only or FKBP-FRB and treated them with 25nM Rapalog (Fig 3c). Cells with clustered LAMP1 compartment showed significantly reduced numbers of VAMP7 secretory events (Fig 3d left, Fig 3e), with no impact on the duration of the secretion process (Fig 3d right). Together, these experiments demonstrated that perinuclear localization of lysosomes strongly decreased lysosome secretion in melanoma cells. These results are in line with a previous observation of peripheral lysosomes promoting their fusion with plasma membrane and thus exocytosis in a model of lysosomal storage disease (Medina et al., 2011). Next, we investigated whether lysosome clustering impairs invadopodia-based ECM degradation (Fig 3f). In both cell lines (WM983A, WM983B), we observed a significant decrease in the degradation area (Fig 3g top) upon LAMP1clustering. While degradation frequency in VGP WM983A cells remained unaltered, metastatic WM983B cells showed a significant decrease (Fig 3g bottom). These results further confirmed that peripheral lysosome positioning promotes lysosome exocytosis and ECM degradation. Forcing perinuclear lysosome localization rescues this phenotype. suggesting that that lysosome position and subsequent secretion promotes ECM remodeling, feature often seen in aggressive cancers (Winkler et al., 2020). More globally, cancer cell secretion is likely to further shape pro-metastatic features of the tumor microenvironment, and favor the emergence of, for example, cancer associated fibroblasts, whose ECM remodeling expertise is pivotal during tumor progression (Kalluri, 2016; Sahai et al., 2020) Our results further suggest that the formation of functional invadopodia requires local lysosome exocytosis. Similarly, targeted secretion of CD63-positive multi-vesicular bodies promotes invadopodia formation. Indeed, other secretory organelles are relocalized to the cell periphery and protrusive structures, and favor, for example, the secretion of pro-tumorigenic extracellular vesicles (Ghoroghi et al., 2021) as it has been shown for CD63+ endo/lysosomes (Hoshino et al., 2013). Whether distinct types of late endosomes act in concert to favor invadopodiamediated ECM degradation, or whether it involves hybrid late endo-lysosome compartments remains to be determined.

Forcing lysosomal clustering impairs invasion potential of melanoma cells Building on the stability of Rapalogmediated lysosomal clustering in patient-derived cells and the demonstration that it impairs ECM degradation, we sought to monitor if lysosome position impacted the cancer cell dissemination in vivo. To do so, we intravenously injected melanoma cells with different clustering status in two days post-fertilization (dpf) zebrafish embryos (Follain et al., 2018) to probe lysosomal clustering while assessing the metastatic and invasive potential of melanoma cells over time (Fig 4a). Rapalog treatment (5nM) had no effect on cell proliferation or cell viability (Fig S3a, b) during the 3-days time course. Lysosome clustering was stable in vivo and visible in round circulating tumor cells that had just performed



Fig. 2. Induced lysosome clustering in melanoma cells a) Lysosomes in WM983A or WM983B cells stably expressing LAMP1-mCherry-FKBP and BicD2-FRB can be clustered using the compound Rapalog (Rap) which induces FKBP-FRB rapid heterodimerization. b) Cells were seeded in glass-bottom dishes, treated with 5nM or 25nM Rapalog and imaged at 24 hours and 72 hours timepoints. Washout condition – cells were treated for 1 hour with Rapalog, washed 3x in PBS and cultured in normal growth medium. WM983B FKBP-FRB = cells expressing both heterodimerizing domains, lysosomes can cluster. WM983B FKBP only = cells expressing only one heterodimerizing domain, clustering is not achieved. Yellow square delineates the zoomed region shown below; red dotted line shows the cell contour. c) Percentage of cells displaying clustered lysosomes was quantified for each condition at 72 hours timepoint. Percentage = 0.0, 73.3 ± 3.6, 78.5 ± 5.1, 77.7 ± 8.3, 76.4 ± 6.2, 0.0, 0.0 respectively. Data come from five randomly selected fields of view per condition. One dot represents 1 field of view. Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparison post-hoc test, adjusted p-value: WM983A Rap vs. washout, p > 0.9999. WM983B Rap vs. washout, p > 0.9999. d) Correlative light and electron microscopy. WM983B cells expressing LAMP1-mCherry-FKBP and BicD2-FRB were cultured in control medium, or in medium supplemented with 25 nM Rapalog for one hour and imaged by spinning disc microscope. Samples were processed for electron microscopy and imaged by TEM. Representative images with high magnification of spread or clustered lysosomes and overlay of fluorescent and electron microscopy images (LAMP1 appears in pink, nucleus in blue) are shown for each condition.

arrest in the vasculature after injection (Fig 4b). Melanoma 313 301 cells with spread lysosomes efficiently extravasated, and dis- 314 302 played high metastatic potential in vivo (Fig 4c, left). On 315 303 the contrary, when lysosomes were clustered in melanoma 316 304 cells before injection, their ability to invade from the pri-317 305 mary tumor site was strongly impaired (Fig 4c, right) al-318 306 though they had similarly completed intravascular arrest and 319 307 extravasation as quantified by their post-extravasation inva- 320 308 sion potential (Figure 4d, Figure S4a, b). Collectively, these 321 309 data show that lysosome positioning is an important driver 322 310 of cell aggressiveness, contributing to the ECM remodeling 323 311 and to cell invasiveness. As seen in our study, cells with 324 312

peripheral lysosomes have higher invasion potential in vitro as well as in vivo, which can be rescued by promoting the perinuclear lysosome clustering and thus reducing their malignancy, providing the first in vivo evidence for the role of lysosome positioning in metastatic progression. Organelles are dynamic, self-organized structures whose specific function is inevitably linked to their position and morphology (Schauer et al. 2010), in space and time within cells (Ballabio and Bonifacino, 2020; van Bergeijk et al., 2016). In this study, we provide the first mechanistic demonstration that the position of lysosomes within cells tightly controls the targeted secretion of matrix-degrading enzymes which subse-



Fig. 3. Lysosome clustering inhibits lysosome secretion and matrix degradation a) Differential quantitative mass spectrometry analysis of proteins secreted in the cell medium by WM983B cells expressing LAMP1-mCherry-FKBP and BicD2-FRB. Cells were cultured in serum-free medium for 24 hours in the absence or presence of 5nM Rapalog. Each dot represents a protein. Proteins known to be lysosome-associated are labelled with their name. Magenta = proteins upregulated in cells with spread lysosomes, blue = proteins upregulated in cells with clustered lysosomes (p<0,05). b-e) Lysosome secretion was assessed by TIRF microscopy using VAMP7-pHluorin probe, which is quenched in lysosome acidic environment and has bright fluorescence once exposed to the alkaline pH of the extracellular space. c) WM983B-LAMP1-mCherry cells expressing single heterodimerizing domain (FKBP only) and both the domains (FKBP-FRB) were co-cultured, treated with 25nM Rapalog for 1 hour and analyzed by TIRF microscopy. d) Number of secretion events (left graph, one dot represents one cell) and event duration (right graph, one dot represents one event) were manually counted in five different cells of each type. Events per cell, spread = 27.80 ± 7.19, clustered = 14.40 ± 8.23, p value = 0.0397, Mann-Whitney test. Event duration, spread = 2.46 ± 2.36, clustered = 2.56 ± 2.20 seconds, p value = 0.3518, Mann-Whitney test. e) TIRF movie was divided into three time-segments (black: 0-25 seconds, blue: 25-50 seconds, magenta: 50-75 seconds) and displayed as maximum projection showing the number of events per each time-segment. Two representative examples from panel c are shown, ROI 1 = spread lysosomes, ROI 2 = clustered lysosomes. f-g) WM983A or WM983B cells expressing LAMP1-mCherry-FKBP and BicD2-FRB were cultured on FITC-gelatin in presence or absence of 25nM Rapalog for 24 hours, fixed and stained with cortactin antibody and Phalloidin and imaged by confocal microscopy. g) Degradation area (DA) per cell and degradation frequency (DF) were quantified from the immunofluorescence images. DA (Mean ± SD) = 16.94 ± 11.71 n= 36 cells, 9.38 ± 13.47 n= 45 cells, 24.75 ± 23.29 n= 73 cells, 9.41 ± 9.94 n= 50 cells, respectively, in triplicate. DF (Mean ± SD) = 3.62 ± 9.73 n= 57 cells, 1.49 ± 3.44 n= 58 cells, 10.14 ± 10.45 n= 138 cells, 4.43 ± 6.04 n= 102 cells, respectively, in triplicate, Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparison post-hoc test. One dot represents one cell. *p<0,05; ** p<0,01; **** p<0,001; **** p<0.0001.

412

413

quently promotes melanoma cell invasion and metastatic pro- 381 325 gression. This echoes a parallel study showing that relocal- 382 326 ization of lysosomes to the cell periphery promotes the emer- 383 327 gence of leader cells in collective epithelial cell migration 384 328 (Marwaha et al., 2023). While more work is required to un- 385 329 derstand the switches that relocalize lysosomes, both studies 386 330 demonstrate that peripheral lysosome positioning can impact 331 cell migration, through different mechanisms, either through 332 lysosomal secretion (our study) or through a Rac1-dependent 333 control of actin polymerization and lamellipodium formation 334 (Marwaha et al., 2023). In addition, lysosome reposition-335 ing could potentially alter tumor progression by mediating 336 202 nutrient sensing (Korolchuk et al., 2011) or chemoresistance 337 through the secretion of chemotherapeutics stored in lyso-338 somes (Machado et al., 2015). Probing of spatial distribution 395 and morphology of lysosomes in tumors could constitute a 340 novel indicator of tumor progression, as it is the case for cell $_{_{397}}$ 341 shape or additional morphometric analysis (Sero et al., 2015; $_{_{398}}$ 342 Pei-Hsun Wu et al., 2020). Several other organelles, such as 343 399 mitochondria, are intimately linked to cancer progression and 344 probing simultaneously all organelles, as it can be done using 401 345 whole-cell segmentation of high-resolution images (Heinrich 346 et al., 2021), could document precisely which organelles, and 347 their contacts, are repositioned during melanoma progres-403 348 sion. Interestingly, deep learning-based approaches recently $\frac{1}{405}$ 349 demonstrated the power of correlating breast cancer status 350 with organelle topology, which out-performed morphology- 406 351 based features, further highlighting the need to consider or-407 352 ganelles positioning, and their interactome, as a new cancer 408 353 rheostat that could be exploited for better diagnosis (Wang et 409 354 al., 2023). 410 355

356 Methods

Antibodies Anti-Cortactin (p80/85) clone 4F11 (ref. n°05-414 357 180-I) and Anti-LAMP1 (ref. n°L1418) are from Merck 415 358 (Sigma-Aldrich). Alexa FluorTM 488 Phalloidin (ref. n° 416 359 A12379), Alexa FluorTM 568 Phalloidin (ref. n°A12380), 417 360 Alexa FluorTM 647 Phalloidin (ref. n°A22287) and Alexa 418 361 Fluor-conjugated secondary antibodies, are all from Thermo 419 362 Fisher Scientific. Secondary antibodies include Alexa Flu- 420 363 orTM 405 conjugated Goat anti-Rabbit (ref. n°A-31556), 421 364 Alexa FluorTM 488 conjugated Goat anti-Rabbit (ref. n°A-422 365 11034), Alexa FluorTM 555 conjugated Goat anti-Rabbit 423 366 (ref. n°A21429) Alexa FluorTM 647 conjugated Goat anti-424 367 Rabbit (ref. n°A-21244). 368 425

Cell culture Primary human melanoma cell lines were 426
purchased from Rockland and cultured in MCDB153 427
(ref. n°M7403, Merck/Sigma-Aldrich) and Leibovitz's L-15 428
medium (ref. n°11415064, Thermo Fisher Scientific) in a 4 429
to 1 ratio, supplemented with 2 per cent foetal bovine serum, 430
1.68 mM CaCl2 and 1 per cent penicillin/streptomycin. 431

Lentivirus transduction and plasmid transfection Trans- 432
duction: pLSFFV-LAMP1-mCherry-FKBP (FK506 bind- 433
ing protein), pLSFFV-BicD-FRB (FKBP-rapamycin bind- 434
ing domain) and pLSFFV-LifeActin-miRFP lentivirus were 435
produced in HEK293T cells using JetPRIME® transfec- 436
tion reagent (Polyplus). WM983B cells were infected by 437

lentivirus in the presence of 5µg/mL polybrene (ref. n°TR-1003, Merck/Sigma-Aldrich) followed by antibiotic selection (puromycin 1µg/mL, blasticidin 5µg/mL, hygromycin 200µg/ml). Transfection: Cenexin-GFP plasmid was transfected using JetOPTIMUS® transfection reagent (Polyplus) in a live-video dish, 46h prior experiment.

Invasion assay The 3D collagen invasion assay was adapted from (Sadok et al., 2015). Briefly, melanoma cell lines at 3x105 cells/ml were labelled with Hoechst 33342 and suspended in 3mg/mL of serum free solution of neutralized Type I Bovine Collagen (PureCol® 5005-B, Advanced Biomatrix). Then, 600µL were distributed into black 24 well plates (ref. n°058062, Dutscher) coated with bovine serum albumin. The plates were centrifuged at 1500 rpm at 4°C for 5min and incubated at 37°C for 3 hours. Once collagen had polymerized, medium supplemented with 10 per cent foetal bovine serum, 100ng/mL EGF was added on top of the collagen. 24h after, cells were observed using a Leica TSC SPE confocal microscope (x20 HCX Pl Apo 0.7 NA objective, Wetzlar, Germany) and z-stacks were acquired. Four fields per sample were imaged. Nuclear localization was quantified by IMARIS (interactive microscopy image analysis software) at each plane. The invasion index was calculated by reporting the number of cells above 10µM on the total number of cells by field.

Matrix degradation assay and immunofluorescence Glass coverslips were coated with fluorescent-labelled-FITC gelatine or Cy3 gelatine as described previously (Kolli-Bouhafs et al., 2014). Then, previously starved melanoma cells expressing or not LAMP1-mCherry-FKBP and BicD2-FRB were plated on fluorescent gelatine and incubated at 37°C for 6 to 24h in medium supplemented with 10 per cent foetal bovine serum with or without Rapalog. Cells were fixed using 4 per cent paraformaldehyde permeabilized using triton-X-100 at 0,1 per cent and incubated in 2 per cent of bovine serum albumin at room temperature. Cells were then labelled for 1h with Anti-Cortactin (1/250). After three washes with PBS, cells were incubated with either Alexa FluorTM 405 conjugated Goat anti-Rabbit (1/1000), Alexa FluorTM 488 conjugated Goat anti-Rabbit (1/1000) or Alexa FluorTM 647 conjugated Goat anti-Rabbit (1/1000) and Alexa FluorTM 568 Phalloidin (1/250) or Alexa FluorTM 647 Phalloidin (1/250) for 1h, washed and mounted in ProLongTM Gold Antifade Mountant (ref. n°P10144, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cells were imaged using Leica TSC SPE or SP8 confocal microscope (x63 HCX Pl Apo 1.40 NA or x20 HCX Pl Apo 0.7 NA objective, Wetzlar, Germany). Invadopodia were identified as actin and cortactin rich punctate structure. Areas of degradation were identified as "black holes" within the fluorescent gelatine. Invadopodia and areas of degradations were quantified using ImageJ software. Degradation areas measurements were based on cells displaying degradation activity, and the frequency of degradation was based on randomly selected cells. Maximum filter, background subtraction and gaussian blur filters were then applied to extract the gel degradation areas by thresholding. Then, M1 Manders coefficients between Lamp1 and inverted Gelatin inten-



Fig. 4. Lysosome clustering impairs cancer cell invasion in vivo a) Fli1a:GFP (green endothelium) zebrafish embryos (48 hpf) were injected intra-vascularly with WM983B cells having either spread or clustered lysosomes and imaged by confocal microscopy at day 0 and day 3 post injection to assess the post-extravasation invasion potential of cancer cells with different lysosomal positioning. b) WM983B cells stably expressing LAMP1-mCherry-FKBP, BicD2-FRB and LifeActin-miRFP were cultured in medium or in medium supplemented with 5nM Rapalog for 1 hour before injection. Representative confocal images at 3 hours post-injection are shown as maximum z projection for each condition, control = spread lysosomes, 5nM Rapalog = clustered lysosomes. c) Zebrafish embryos were imaged at day 0 (3 hours after cell injection) and at day 3 (72 hours post injection) using a spinning disc microscope. Representative images are shown as a maximum z projection. d) Post-extravasation invasion (PEI) potential was calculated as a proportion of cells (area) that migrated outside of the vasculature region to the total area of cells. PEI (Mean ± SD), control = 1.000 ± 0.3491 n = 29 embryos, clustered = 0.696 ± 0.285 n = 12 embryos, in triplicate, p value = 0.0090, Mann-Whitney test. One dot represents one fish, normalized to control.

sity pictures for these selections were calculated using the 459
 colocalization finder plugin. 460

461

Clustering dynamics, washout experiments proliferation 462 440 assay Mechanism of lysosome clustering: clustering was per-463 441 formed by heterodimerization between LAMP1-mCherry-464 442 FKBP (lysomes) and BicD-FRB (dynein adaptor) by the 465 443 use of Rapalog (A/C Heterodimerizer ref. n°635057, from 444 Takara Bio Inc.). Cells were cultured in glass bottom dishes 466 445 and imaged at different time points (24, 48 and 72 hours) 467 446 using Olympus Spinning Disk (60X objective, N.A. 1.2). 468 447 To establish time needed for lysosome clustering, WM983A 469 448 and WM983B cells expressing both heterodimerizing do- 470 449 mains (FKBP-FRB) were treated with 5nM or 25nM Ra- 471 450 palog and followed in time. Time to appearance of lyso-472 451 some cluster was counted. Washout experiments: WM983B 473 452 cells expressing either one (FKBP only) or two (FKBP-FRB) 474 453 heterodimerizing domains were cultured in medium supple- 475 454 mented with 5nM or 25nM Rapalog. To assess the stability of 476 455 clustering, cells were treated for 1h with 5nM or 25nM Rapa- 477 456 log, washed 3x in PBS and cultured in normal medium for the 478 457 duration of the experiment. Cells cultured in normal growth 479 458

medium were used as a control. Proliferation assay: proliferation rates of WM983B cells treated with increasing concentrations of Rapalog were analyzed using the Incucyte® Live-Cell Analysis System. Confluences were automatically calculated by the Incucyte® software based on bright field images, all values are normalized to time zero. Acquisition was performed for 96h.

Micropatterning Immunofluorescence Micropatterns were prepared using photo-lithography methods as previously described (Duong et al., 2012; Schauer et al., 2010). Briefly, cover slides were cleaned in EtOH, dried, cleaned with UV for 10 minutes, coated in PLL-PEG and exposed to UV 10 minutes through a Photomask with 36µm crossbow micropatterns. Coverslips were coated in Fibronectin (40µg/ml – ref. n°F1141 Merck/Sigma-Aldrich). WM1862, WM983A, WM983B cells were trypsinized, resuspended in 5ml culture medium and seeded an micropatterned coverslips. Cells were let to spread for 4 hours before fixing them in 4 per cent PFA. For micropatterning, cells were fixed in 4 per cent PFA, washed 3x in PBS, permeabilized in saponin 0.5 per cent / 2 per cent BSA in PBS for 20 minutes, blocked 30 minutes

in 2 per cent BSA, stained with Anti-LAMP1 (ref. n°L1418) 537 480 primary antibody for 1 hour, washed 3x in PBS, stained with 538 481 Alexa FluorTM 555 secondary Goat anti-Rabbit (1:500) and 539 482 Alexa Fluor[™] 488 Phalloidin (1:200) 45 minutes. Mounted 540 483 in Fluoromount-GTM mounting medium (ref. n°00-4958-541 484 02, Thermo Fisher Scientific with DAPI. Z stacks were ac- 542 485 quired for the full cell volume (0.2µm between layers) using 543 486 an Olympus Spinning Disk (60X objective, N.A. 1.2). Image 544 487 analysis and processing were performed using the Fiji (2.0.0) 545 488 (Schindelin et al., 2012), Cell Profiler (4.2.1) (Stirling et al., 546 489 2021), Metamorph and R software. 547 490

Live cell imaging For live cell imaging, Lamp1-mCherry and ⁵⁴⁸ 491 Lifeact-miRFP expressing cells were plated on fluorescent 549 492 gelatin-coated coverslips and mounted in a Ludin Chamber 550 493 (Life Imaging Services). The cells were then placed at 37°C, ⁵⁵¹ 494 5 per cent CO2 on an iMIC microscope equipped with a ⁵⁵² 495 multi-LED Lumencor Spectra X. Images were acquired with 553 496 an Olympus 60x TIRFM (1.45 NA) objective every 2.5min⁵⁵⁴ 497 during 4h and a Hamamatsu Flash 4 V2+ camera (Iwata) pi-555 498 loted by the Live Aquisition software (Till Photonics). Ex-556 499 pressing cells were initially located via both the mCherry 557 500 and Lifeact-miRFP signals, and were subsequently followed 558 501 via dual phase contrast/fluorescent signal together with the 559 502 FITC-coupled gelatin substrate. 10 to 20 different fields 560 503 were sequentially recorded during each experiment using a 561 504 Marzhauser Motorized Stage piloted by the iMIC software. 562 505 JeasyTFM software were then used for automatic selection 563 506 and repositioning of the best focused images in all channels 564 507 and time-points. Mean fluorescence intensity of actin, Lamp1 565 508 and the underlying substrate at each time points were calcu-566 509 lated at invadopodia identified using gelatin degradation. 510 567

TIRF microscopy WM983B cells expressing FKBP only 568 511 (spread) and WM983B cells expressing both FKBP and FRB 569 512 (clustered) were mixed in an 1:1 ratio, seeded in fibronectin- 570 513 coated low glass bottom µ-Dish 35mm (ref. n°80137, Ibidi) 571 514 48h prior to imaging, treated with 25nM Rapalog for 1h. 572 515 Imaging was performed in culture medium using an inverted 573 516 Leica DMI8 microscope (objective 100X HC PL APO 1,47 574 517 oil). Recording was done with an Evolve® 512 camera (for 575 518 TIRF-HILO), at 512X512 pixels resolution, at an acquisition 576 519 rate of 250ms between frames, for a total duration of 90 sec- 577 520 onds, with AFC (Adaptive Focus Control). Exocytosis events 578 521 were identified based on VAMP7-pHluorin signal, marking 579 522 lysosome exocytosis (Lachuer et al., 2023). Secretion events 580 523 were detected and counted manually. 581 524

Experimental metastasis assay in zebrafish All zebrafish 525 (ZF) procedures were performed in accordance with French $^{\scriptscriptstyle 583}$ 526 and European Union animal welfare guidelines and super-584 527 vised by local ethics committee (Animal facility A6748233; 585 528 APAFIS 2018092515234191). Tg(fli1a:eGFP) (Lawson and 586 529 Weinstein, 2002) embryos were maintained in Danieau 0.3X 587 530 medium (17.4mM NaCl, 0.2mM KCl, 0.1mM MgSO4, 588 531 0.2mM Ca(NO3)2) buffered with HEPES 0.15mM (pH=7.6), 589 532 supplemented with 200µM of 1-phenyl-2-thiourea (PTU, 590 533 ref. n°P7629, Merck/Sigma-Aldrich) to avoid pigmentation. 591 534 Two days post-fertilization (2dpf) embryos were mounted in 592 535 0.8 per cent ultrapure low melting point agarose (Invitro- 593 536

gen) containing 0.17mg/ml tricaine (ethyl-3-aminobenzoatemethanesulfonate, ref. n°E10521, Merck/Sigma-Aldrich). WM983B cells of different lysosome clustering status (spread, clustered) were injected with a Nanoject II Auto-Nanoliter Injector (Drummond Scientific Company) and microforged glass capillaries (25 to 30µm inner diameter) filled with mineral oil (ref. n°M5904, Merck/Sigma-Alrich). 13.8nL of cell suspension from confluent T25 flasks (50x106 cells per ml approx.) were injected in the duct of Cuvier under a M205 FA Fluorescence stereomicroscope (Leica), as previously described (Stoletov et al., 2010). Embryos were injected with WM983B cells or with WM983B cells treated with 5nM rapalog 1h prior to injection, and then kept in Danieau with PTU. Caudal plexus was recorded at day 0 (injection day) and 3dpi using the inverted spinning-disk Olympus IXplore Spin, 30x / 1.05 NA (silicone) objective. Zstacks of the caudal plexus were acquired for each embryo (3µm or 5µm between layers, at day 0 and 3dpi respectively) with the following settings: 488nm laser at 2 per cent for 100ms / 561nm laser at 15 per cent for 300ms / 640nm laser at 15 per cent for 300ms). Detailed lysosome status (Fig.4b) was imaged at 3 hpi using a 60x/1.2 NA (water) objective.

Correlative Light and Electron Microscopy (CLEM) WM983B cells expressing LAMP1-mCherry-FKBP and BicD2-FRB were cultured in control medium, or in medium supplemented with 25nM Rapalog for one hour and imaged with an Olympus Spinning Disk (60X objective, N.A. 1.2). The samples were then chemically fixed right after the photonic acquisition with 0,05 per cent malachite green, 2.5 per cent glutaraldehyde in 0.1M sodium cacodylate buffer (NaCac), pH7.4 during 30min in an ice bath. Subsequently the samples were post-fixed in 1 per cent OsO4 - 0.8 per cent K3[Fe(CN)6] - 0.1M NaCac buffer pH7.4 (under a fume hood) kept in an ice bath for 50 min, and then washed 2 times with in ice-cold 0.1M NaCac. Then the samples were incubated in 1 per cent aqueous tannic acid solution for 25 min in an ice bath and finally washed 5 times with distilled water. Samples were then kept in 1 per cent uranyl acetate aqueous solution overnight at 4°C sheltered from the light. The samples were serial dehydrated with ethanol solutions (25 per cent, 50 per cent, 70 per cent 95 per cent and 100 per cent). Subsequently the samples were incubated in a serial resin-ethanol 100 per cent mix (1:3; 1:1; 3:1), ending with an incubation in 100 per cent Epon resin 3 times 1h at room temperature. The samples were allowed to polymerize in an oven at 60°C for 48h. The resin blocks were trimmed by ultramicrotomy, 90nm thin sections were collected and placed in copper/formvar slot grids. The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) data sets were acquired with a Hitachi 7500 TEM, with 80 kV beam voltage, and the 8-bit images were obtained with a Hamamatsu camera C4742-51-12NR. Correlative light and electron images were obtained/combined using Adobe Photoshop v.24.4.

Image analysis Organelles segmentation was performed using MetaMorph Microscopy Automation and Image Analysis Software (Molecular Devices) and the ImageJ Modular Image Analysis (MIA) plugin. Segmentation on LAMP1

images were performed to get coordinates for individual 651 594 LAMP1+ objects and their number. 3D Density maps and 652 595 inter-organelle distance and distance to barycenter were ob- 653 596 tained through the use of R software. Cell invasion in ze- 654 597 brafish was performed by ImageJ Cell Profiler (Molecular 655 598 Devices) software. Zebrafish images were divided into 6 re- 656 599 gions – plexus and 5 regions outside (bins). Area of cells was 657 600 quantified for each region using Cell Profiler and percentage 658 601 of cells (from total) was calculated per each region and plot- 659 602 ted in a graph. Invasion potential was calculated as area under 660 603 the curve for all cells that extravasated and invaded outside of 661 604 the vasculature region. 662 605

663 A 664 Mass Spectrometry - Quantitative Proteomics 606 PierceTM 660nm protein assay quantification (ref. n°22660, 665 607 Thermo Fisher Scientific), 2µg of each protein extract 608 were digested using the automated Single Pot Solid Phase 667 609 enhanced Sample Preparation (SP3) protocol as described 6668 610 in (Hughes et al., 2019) on the Bravo AssayMAP plat-611 form (Agilent Technologies). Extracted peptides were 670 612 cleaned-up using automated C18 solid phase extraction on 671 613 the same platform and analysed by nanoLC-MS/MS on a_{672} 614 nanoUPLC system (nanoAcquityUPLC, Waters) coupled 673 615 to a quadrupole-Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Q-Exactive 674 616 HF-X, Thermo Scientific). Chromatographic separation was 675 617 conducted over a 60 minutes linear gradient from 2 to 40 per 618 cent of solvent B (0.1 per cent formic acid in acetonitrile) 677 619 at a flow rate of 350 nL/min. A Top 10 method was used 678 620 with automatic switching between MS and MS/MS modes 679 621 to acquire high resolution MS/MS spectra. To minimize 680 622 carry-over, a solvent blank injection was performed after 681 623 each sample. NanoLC-MS/MS data was interpreted to do 682 624 label-free extracted ion chromatogram-based differential 683 625 analysis. Searches were done using Mascot software (version 684 626 2.5.1, MatrixScience) against a composite database including 685 627 Homo Sapiens and Bos Taurus protein sequences, which see 628 were downloaded from UniProtKB-SwissProt (28-07-2021; 687 629 26.031 sequences, Taxonomy ID: 9913 and 9606 respec-630 tively) to which common contaminants and decoy sequences 689 631 were added. One trypsin missed cleavage was tolerated. 690 632 Carbamidomethylation of cysteine residues was set as a fixed 691 633 modification. Oxidation of methionine residues and acetyla-634 tion of proteins n-termini were set as variable modifications. 635 Identification results were imported into the Proline software 694 636 (version 2.2.0) (Bouyssié et al., 2020) and validated. The Register of the Reg 637 maximum false discovery rate was set at 1 per cent at peptide 638 and protein levels with the use of a decoy strategy. Peptides 639 abundances were extracted with cross assignment between 640 all samples. Protein abundances were computed using the 697 641 best ion of the unique peptide abundances normalized at the 698 642 peptide level using the median. To be considered, proteins 699 643 must be identified in at least three out of the four replicates in 700 644 at least one condition. The imputation of the missing values 701 645 and differential data analysis were performed using the 702 646 open-source ProStaR software (version 1.30.7) (Wieczorek 703 647 et al., 2017). Imputation of missing values was done using 704 648 the approximation of the lower limit of quantification by 705 649 the 2.5 per cent lower quantile of each replicate intensity 706 650

10 | bio $R\chi$ iv

distribution ("det quantile"). A Limma moderated t-test was applied on the dataset to perform differential analysis. The adaptive Benjamini-Hochberg procedure was applied to adjust the p-values and False Discovery Rate. The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository (Perez-Riverol et al., 2022) with the dataset identifier PXD042007.

Transcriptomic analysis RNA integrity was assessed by Bioanalyzer (total RNA Pico Kit, 2100 Instrument, Agilent Technologies, Paolo Alto, CA, USA). All samples had RNA integrity numbers above 9.5. Sequencing libraries were prepared using "NEBNext Ultra II Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina" combined with "NEB Ultra II polyA mRNA magnetic isolation" for mRNA enrichment (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA). Libraries were pooled and sequenced (single-end, 100bp) on a NextSeq2000 according to the manufacturer's instructions (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). For each sample, quality control was carried out and assessed with the NGS Core Tool FastQC (Andrews S, 2010). Sequence reads (minimum 33 Million per sample) were mapped to Homo Sapiens genome version GRCh38 using STAR (Dobin et al., 2013) to obtain a BAM (Binary Alignment Map) file. An abundance matrix was generated based on read counts identified by Featurecounts (Liao et al., 2014) using default parameters. At last, differential expression analyses were performed using the DEseq2 (Love et al., 2014) package of the Bioconductor framework for RNASeq data (Gentleman et al., 2004). Up- and downregulated genes were selected based on the adjusted p-value (< 0.05) and the fold-change (> 1.5). Functional enrichment analyses were performed using STRING v11 (Szklarczyk et al., 2019) and Gene Ontology (Carbon et al., 2021). Raw data (FASTQ files) are available at the EMBL-EBI ArrayExpress archive (Accession number E-MTAB-13165).

Statistical analyses Statistical analysis of the results was done using GraphPad Prism 9 (version 9.5.1 for Windows). Mann-Whitney (two groups) or Kruskal-Wallis (>2 groups) statistical tests were performed as specified in the figure legends, to the exception of quantitative proteomics and transcriptomic analysis which have dedicated statistical methodologies specified above. Illustrations of the statistical analyses are displayed in the figures as the mean +/- standard deviation (SD). p-Values smaller than 0.05 were considered as statistically significant. *, p<0.05, **, p<0.01, ****, p<0.001.

Acknowledgements We thank all members of J.G. Goetz's and K. Schauer teams for discussions on this topic. J.G. Goetz is the coordinator of the NANOTUMOR Consortium, a program from ITMO Cancer of AVIESAN (Alliance Nationale pour les Sciences de la Vie et de la Santé, National Alliance for Life Sciences Health) within the framework of the Cancer Plan (France) that has mostly supported this work, including the teams of KS and PR. Work and people in the lab of J.G. are also supported by the INCa (Insti-

tut National Du Cancer, French National Cancer Institute), 761 707 charities (La Ligue contre le Cancer, which also supports 762 708 PR, and ARC (Association pour la Recherche contre le Can-763 709 cer), FRM (Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale)), the Na-764 710 tional Plan Cancer initiative, the Region Est, INSERM and 765 711 the University of Strasbourg. Proteomics experiments were 766 712 supported by the French Proteomic Infrastructure (ProFI 767 713 FR2048, ANR-10-INBS-08-03). K. Jerabkova-Roda is sup-768 714 ported by a post-doctoral fellowship SPF202004011876 from 769 715 FRM and the NANOTUMOR consortium. HL was sup-770 716 ported by ARC (Association pour la Recherche contre le 771 717 Cancer) and FRM (Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale). 772 718 We are also thankful to recent donators (Rohan Athlétisme 773 719 Saverne) to support our work. The imaging was supported 774 720 by CRBS imaging platform PIC-STRA with assistance from 775 721 P. Kessler, by the Imaging Center of IGBMC with assistance 776 722 from E. Grandgirard and E. Guiot and by the PIQ Platform 777 723 with assistance from R. Vauchelles.. We acknowledge the 778 724 Cell and Tissue Imaging Platform (PICT-IBiSA), member of 779 725 the national infrastructure France-BioImaging supported by 780 726 the French National Research Agency (ANR-10-INBS-04). 781 727 We are grateful to T.Galli (IPNP, INSERM U1266, Paris) 728 for sharing the VAMP7-pHLuorin constructs. We thank A-783 729 C. Reymann and R. Benoit for sharing their resources, D. 784 730 Sampaio Goncalves for help with ImageJ macro writing and 785 731 M. Durik for valuable feedback on the work. 732 786

733

Author Contributions KJR, VH, KS, PR and JGG con-734 ceived project and designed the experiments. KJR performed $^{^{790}}$ 735 most of the experiments and analysis. AM, RK, HJ, LML, 736 792 PC, ES and PR performed collagen gel invasion assays and 737 793 invadopodia experiments. KJR, NA and MP performed ze-738 794 brafish experiments. KJR and IB performed CLEM experi-739 ments. AL and OL performed molecular biology. KJR per-795 740 formed and analyzed (with help from HL) micropatterning 796 741 experiments. AP, TS, AM and RC performed and analyzed 797 742 RNAseq experiments. AH, FD and CC performed and ana-798 743 lyzed mass spectrometry experiments. VH, KS, PR and JGG 799 744 provided funding. VH and JGG supervised the study. KS⁸⁰⁰ 745 and PR co-supervised the study. KJR, VH and JGG wrote the 801 746 manuscript, with input from KS and PR. All authors proof-802 747 803 read the manuscript. 748 804

749 Bibliography

805 806

787

788

Andrews S, 2010. FastQC: a quality control tool for high 807
 throughput sequence data.

Augoff, K., Hryniewicz-Jankowska, A., Tabola, R., 809 752 2020. Invadopodia: clearing the way for cancer 810 753 cell invasion. Ann. Transl. Med. 8. 902. 811 754 https://doi.org/10.21037/atm.2020.02.157 812 755 Ballabio, A., Bonifacino, J.S., 2020. Lysosomes as 813

⁷⁵⁶ Ballabio, A., Bonitacino, J.S., 2020. Lysosomes as ⁸¹³
⁷⁵⁷ dynamic regulators of cell and organismal homeosta- ⁸¹⁴
⁷⁵⁸ sis. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 21, 101–118. ⁸¹⁵
⁷⁵⁹ https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-019-0185-4

760 Bian, B., Mongrain, S., Cagnol, S., Langlois, M.-J., 817

Boulanger, J., Bernatchez, G., Carrier, J.C., Boudreau, F., Rivard, N., 2016. Cathepsin B promotes colorectal tumorigenesis, cell invasion, and metastasis. Mol. Carcinog. 55, 671–687. https://doi.org/10.1002/mc.22312

Bouyssié, D., Hesse, A.-M., Mouton-Barbosa, E., Rompais, M., Macron, C., Carapito, C., Gonzalez de Peredo, A., Couté, Y., Dupierris, V., Burel, A., Menetrey, J.-P., Kalaitzakis, A., Poisat, J., Romdhani, A., Burlet-Schiltz, O., Cianférani, S., Garin, J., Bruley, C., 2020. Proline: an efficient and user-friendly software suite for large-scale proteomics. Bioinformatics 36, 3148–3155. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa118

Braeuer, R.R., Zigler, M., Villares, G.J., Dobroff, A.S., Bar-Eli, M., 2011. Transcriptional Control of Melanoma Metastasis: The Importance of the Tumor Microenvironment. Semin. Cancer Biol. 21, 83–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2010.12.007

Chaineau, M., Danglot, L., Galli, T., 2009. Multiple roles of the vesicular-SNARE TI-VAMP in post-Golgi and endosomal trafficking. FEBS Lett. 583, 3817–3826. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2009.10.026

Chen, C.-S., Chen, W.-N.U., Zhou, M., Arttamangkul, S., Haugland, R.P., 2000. Probing the cathepsin D using a BOD-IPY FL-pepstatin A: applications in fluorescence polarization and microscopy. J. Biochem. Biophys. Methods 42, 137–151. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-022X(00)00048-8

Circu, M.L., Dykes, S.S., Carroll, J., Kelly, K., Galiano, F., Greer, A., Cardelli, J., El-Osta, H., 2016. A Novel High Content Imaging-Based Screen Identifies the Anti-Helminthic Niclosamide as an Inhibitor of Lysosome Anterograde Trafficking and Prostate Cancer Cell Invasion. PLOS ONE 11, e0146931. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0146931

Corrotte, M., Castro-Gomes, T., 2019. Chapter One - Lysosomes and plasma membrane repair, in: Andrade, L.O. (Ed.), Current Topics in Membranes, Plasma Membrane Repair. Academic Press, pp. 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.ctm.2019.08.001

da Costa, A.C., Santa-Cruz, F., Mattos, L.A.R., Rêgo Aquino, M.A., Martins, C.R., Bandeira Ferraz, Á.A., Figueiredo, J.L., 2020. Cathepsin S as a target in gastric cancer. Mol. Clin. Oncol. 12, 99–103. https://doi.org/10.3892/mco.2019.1958

Dillekås, H., Rogers, M.S., Straume, O., 2019. Are 90 per cent of deaths from cancer caused by metastases? Cancer Med. 8, 5574–5576. https://doi.org/10.1002/cam4.2474

Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson, M., Gingeras, T.R., 2013. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics 29, 15–21. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635

Duong, T., Goud, B., Schauer, K., 2012. Closed-form density-based framework for automatic detection of cellular morphology changes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 109, 8382–8387. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1117796109

Follain, G., Osmani, N., Azevedo, A.S., Allio, G., Mercier, L., Karreman, M.A., Solecki, G., Garcia Leòn, M.J., Lefebvre, O., Fekonja, N., Hille, C., Chabannes, V., Dollé, G., Metivet, T., Hovsepian, F.D., Prudhomme, C., Pichot, A.,

915

818 Paul, N., Carapito, R., Bahram, S., Ruthensteiner, B., Kemm- 875

819 ling, A., Siemonsen, S., Schneider, T., Fiehler, J., Glatzel, M., 876

Winkler, F., Schwab, Y., Pantel, K., Harlepp, S., Goetz, J.G., 877

⁸²¹ 2018. Hemodynamic Forces Tune the Arrest, Adhesion, and ⁸⁷⁸

Extravasation of Circulating Tumor Cells. Dev. Cell 45, 33-879 52.e12. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.devcel.2018.02.015

⁸²³ 52.e12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2018.02.015

Gentleman, R.C., Carey, V.J., Bates, D.M., Bolstad, B., Det-₈₈₁

⁸²⁵ tling, M., Dudoit, S., Ellis, B., Gautier, L., Ge, Y., Gentry, ⁸⁸²

J., Hornik, K., Hothorn, T., Huber, W., Iacus, S., Irizarry, 883 R., Leisch, F., Li, C., Maechler, M., Rossini, A.J., Sawitzki, 884

R., Leisch, F., Li, C., Maechler, M., Rossini, A.J., Sawitzki, 884
G., Smith, C., Smyth, G., Tierney, L., Yang, J.Y., Zhang, J., 885

2004. Bioconductor: open software development for compu-

tational biology and bioinformatics. Genome Biol. 5, R80. 887

https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2004-5-10-r80

Ghoroghi, S., Mary, B., Larnicol, A., Asokan, N., Klein, A., 889

833 Osmani, N., Busnelli, I., Delalande, F., Paul, N., Halary, 890

S., Gros, F., Fouillen, L., Haeberle, A.-M., Royer, C., 891

⁸³⁵ Spiegelhalter, C., André-Grégoire, G., Mittelheisser, V., De-

tappe, A., Murphy, K., Timpson, P., Carapito, R., Blot-893

⁸³⁷ Chabaud, M., Gavard, J., Carapito, C., Vitale, N., Lefeb-₈₉₄

vre, O., Goetz, J.G., Hyenne, V., 2021. Ral GTPases 895 promote breast cancer metastasis by controlling biogene-

sis and organ targeting of exosomes. eLife 10, e61539.

https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.61539

Glunde, K., Guggino, S.E., Solaiyappan, M., Pathak, A.P., 899

⁸⁴³ Ichikawa, Y., Bhujwalla, Z.M., 2003. Extracellular Acidifi-

cation Alters Lysosomal Trafficking in Human Breast Cancer ₉₀₁

Cells. Neoplasia 5, 533–545. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1476-902
 5586(03)80037-4

Heinrich, L., Bennett, D., Ackerman, D., Park, W., Bogovic, 904

J., Eckstein, N., Petruncio, A., Clements, J., Pang, S., Xu, 905

⁸⁴⁹ C.S., Funke, J., Korff, W., Hess, H.F., Lippincott-Schwartz, ₉₀₆

J., Saalfeld, S., Weigel, A.V., 2021. Whole-cell organelle ₉₀₇ segmentation in volume electron microscopy. Nature 599, ₉₀₈

141–146. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03977-3

909 Hoshino, D., Kirkbride, K.C., Costello, K., Clark, 910 853 S., Grega-Larson, E.S., Sinha, N., Tyska, M.J., 911 854 Weaver, 2013. Exosome secretion is en-912 A.M., 855 hanced by invadopodia and drives invasive behav-913 856

⁹¹³ ⁸⁵⁷ ior. Cell Rep. 5, 10.1016/j.celrep.2013.10.050. ₉₁₄

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2013.10.050

⁸⁵⁹ Hua, H., Li, M., Luo, T., Yin, Y., Jiang, Y., $2011_{.916}$ ⁸⁶⁰ Matrix metalloproteinases in tumorigenesis: an evolving ₉₁₇ ⁸⁶¹ paradigm. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. CMLS 68, 3853–3868. ₉₁₈

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-011-0763-x

Hughes, C.S., Moggridge, S., Müller, T., Sorensen, P.H., 920

Morin, G.B., Krijgsveld, J., 2019. Single-pot, solid-phase-₉₂₁ enhanced sample preparation for proteomics experiments.₉₂₂

enhanced sample preparation for proteomics experiments. $_{922}$ Nat. Protoc. 14, 68–85. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-018- $_{923}$

867 0082-x 924

Jacob, A., Prekeris, R., 2015. The regulation of MMP target-₉₂₅

⁸⁶⁹ ing to invadopodia during cancer metastasis. Front. Cell Dev. ₉₂₆ Biol. 3.4. https://doi.org/10.3380/fooll.2015.00004

⁸⁷⁰ Biol. 3, 4. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2015.00004

Jia, R., Bonifacino, J.S., 2019. Lysosome Positioning Influ-

ences mTORC2 and AKT Signaling. Mol. Cell 75, 26-38.e3. 929

⁸⁷³ https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2019.05.009

874 Johnson, D.E., Ostrowski, P., Jaumouillé, V., Grinstein, 931

S., 2016. The position of lysosomes within the cell determines their luminal pH. J. Cell Biol. 212, 677–692. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201507112

Kalluri, R., 2016. The biology and function of fibroblasts in cancer. Nat. Rev. Cancer 16, 582–598. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc.2016.73

Kapitein, L.C., Schlager, M.A., van der Zwan, W.A., Wulf, P.S., Keijzer, N., Hoogenraad, C.C., 2010. Probing Intracellular Motor Protein Activity Using an Inducible Cargo Trafficking Assay. Biophys. J. 99, 2143–2152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2010.07.055

Kolli-Bouhafs, K., Sick, E., Noulet, F., Gies, J.-P., De Mey, J., Rondé, P., 2014. FAK competes for Src to promote migration against invasion in melanoma cells. Cell Death Dis. 5, e1379. https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2014.329

Korolchuk, V.I., Saiki, S., Lichtenberg, M., Siddiqi, F.H., Roberts, E.A., Imarisio, S., Jahreiss, L., Sarkar, S., Futter, M., Menzies, F.M., O'Kane, C.J., Deretic, V., Rubinsztein, D.C., 2011. Lysosomal positioning coordinates cellular nutrient responses. Nat. Cell Biol. 13, 453–460. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb2204

Lachuer, H., Le, L., Lévêque-Fort, S., Goud, B., Schauer, K., 2023. Spatial organization of lysosomal exocytosis relies on membrane tension gradients. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 120, e2207425120. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2207425120

Lawson, N.D., Weinstein, B.M., 2002. In vivo imaging of embryonic vascular development using transgenic zebrafish. Dev. Biol. 248, 307–318. https://doi.org/10.1006/dbio.2002.0711

Liao, Y., Smyth, G.K., Shi, W., 2014. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics 30, 923–930. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656

Love, M.I., Huber, W., Anders, S., 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNAseq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 15, 550. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

Machado, E., White-Gilbertson, S., van de Vlekkert, D., Janke, L., Moshiach, S., Campos, Y., Finkelstein, D., Gomero, E., Mosca, R., Qiu, X., Morton, C.L., Annunziata, I., d'Azzo, A., 2015. Regulated lysosomal exocytosis mediates cancer progression. Sci. Adv. 1, e1500603. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1500603

Marwaha, R., Rawal, S., Khuntia, P., Banerjee, S., Manoj, D., Jaiswal, M., Das, T., 2023. Mechanosensitive dynamics of lysosomes along microtubules regulate leader cell emergence in collective cell migration. https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.08.03.502740

Mathur, P., De Barros Santos, C., Lachuer, H., Patat, J., Latgé, B., Radvanyi, F., Goud, B., Schauer, K., 2023. Transcription factor EB regulates phosphatidylinositol-3phosphate levels that control lysosome positioning in the bladder cancer model. Commun. Biol. 6, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-023-04501-1

Medina, D.L., Fraldi, A., Bouche, V., Annunziata, F., Mansueto, G., Spampanato, C., Puri, C., Pignata, A., Martina, J.A., Sardiello, M., Palmieri, M., Polishchuk, R., Puertollano,

1044

R., Ballabio, A., 2011. Transcriptional Activation of Lysoso-989 932 mal Exocytosis Promotes Cellular Clearance. Dev. Cell 21, 990 933

421-430. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2011.07.016 934

Moamer, A., Hachim, I.Y., Binothman, N., Wang, N., Le- 992 935

brun, J.-J., Ali, S., 2019. A role for kinesin-1 subunits 993 936

KIF5B/KLC1 in regulating epithelial mesenchymal plastic- 994 937

ity in breast tumorigenesis. EBioMedicine 45, 92-107.995 938

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2019.06.009 996 939

Monteiro, P., Rossé, C., Castro-Castro, A., Irondelle, 997 940 M., Lagoutte, E., Paul-Gilloteaux, P., Desnos, C., Form-998

941 stecher, E., Darchen, F., Perrais, D., Gautreau, A., 999 942

Hertzog, M., Chavrier, P., 2013. Endosomal WASH1000 943

and exocyst complexes control exocytosis of MT1-MMP1001 944

at invadopodia. J. Cell Biol. 203, 1063-1079.1002 945 https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201306162 1003 946

Mousson, A., Legrand, M., Steffan, T., Vauchelles, R., Carl,¹⁰⁰⁴ 947

P., Gies, J.-P., Lehmann, M., Zuber, G., De Mey, J., Dujardin,1005 948

D., Sick, E., Rondé, P., 2021. Inhibiting FAK-Paxillin In-1006 949

teraction Reduces Migration and Invadopodia-Mediated Ma-1007 950

trix Degradation in Metastatic Melanoma Cells. Cancers 13,1008 951

1871. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13081871 952 1009

Naegeli, K.M., Hastie, E., Garde, A., Wang, Z., Keeley, D.P.,1010 953

Gordon, K.L., Pani, A.M., Kelley, L.C., Morrissey, M.A.,1011 954

Chi, Q., Goldstein, B., Sherwood, D.R., 2017. Cell inva-1012 955

sion in vivo via rapid exocytosis of a transient lysosome-1013 956

957 derived membrane domain. Dev. Cell 43, 403-417.e10.1014 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2017.10.024

1015 958 Nair, S.V., Narendradev, N.D., Nambiar, R.P., Kumar, R., 1016 959

Srinivasula, S.M., 2020. Naturally occurring and tumor-1017 960 associated variants of RNF167 promote lysosomal exocvtosis1018 961 and plasma membrane resealing. J. Cell Sci. 133, jcs239335.1019 962 1020

https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.239335 963

Perera, R.M., Stoykova, S., Nicolay, B.N., Ross, K.N., Fita-1021 964

mant, J., Boukhali, M., Lengrand, J., Deshpande, V., Selig,1022 965

M.K., Ferrone, C.R., Settleman, J., Stephanopoulos, G.,1023 966

Dyson, N.J., Zoncu, R., Ramaswamy, S., Haas, W., Bardeesy,1024 967 N., 2015. Transcriptional control of autophagy-lysosome1025 968

function drives pancreatic cancer metabolism. Nature 524,1026 969

361-365. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14587 970 1027

Perez-Riverol, Y., Bai, J., Bandla, C., García-Seisdedos,1028 971

D., Hewapathirana, S., Kamatchinathan, S., Kundu, D.J., 1029 972

Prakash, A., Frericks-Zipper, A., Eisenacher, M., Walzer, M., 1030 973

Wang, S., Brazma, A., Vizcaíno, J.A., 2022. The PRIDE1031 974

database resources in 2022: a hub for mass spectrometry-1032 975

Nucleic Acids Res. based proteomics evidences. 50,1033 976

D543-D552. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab1038 1034 977

Pu, J., Guardia, C.M., Keren-Kaplan, T., Bonifa-1035 978 cino, J.S., 2016. Mechanisms and functions of lyso-1036 979 some positioning. J. Cell Sci. 129, 4329-4339.1037 980

https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.196287 981 1038

Sadok, A., McCarthy, A., Caldwell, J., Collins, I., Garrett,1039 982

M.D., Yeo, M., Hooper, S., Sahai, E., Kuemper, S., Mar-1040 983

dakheh, F.K., Marshall, C.J., 2015. Rho Kinase Inhibitors₁₀₄₁ 984

Block Melanoma Cell Migration and Inhibit Metastasis.1042 985

Cancer Res. 75, 2272–2284. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-1043 986

5472.CAN-14-2156 987

Sahai, E., Astsaturov, I., Cukierman, E., DeNardo, D.G.,1045 988

Egeblad, M., Evans, R.M., Fearon, D., Greten, F.R., Hingorani, S.R., Hunter, T., Hynes, R.O., Jain, R.K., Janowitz, T., Jorgensen, C., Kimmelman, A.C., Kolonin, M.G., Maki, R.G., Powers, R.S., Puré, E., Ramirez, D.C., Scherz-Shouval, R., Sherman, M.H., Stewart, S., Tlsty, T.D., Tuveson, D.A., Watt, F.M., Weaver, V., Weeraratna, A.T., Werb, Z., 2020. A framework for advancing our understanding of cancerassociated fibroblasts. Nat. Rev. Cancer 20, 174-186. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41568-019-0238-1

Schauer, K., Duong, T., Bleakley, K., Bardin, S., Bornens, M., Goud, B., 2010. Probabilistic density maps to study global endomembrane organization. Nat. Methods 7, 560-566. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1462

Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., Tinevez, J.-Y., White, D.J., Hartenstein, V., Eliceiri, K., Tomancak, P., Cardona, A., 2012. Fiji: an opensource platform for biological-image analysis. Nat. Methods 9, 676-682. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019

Sero, J.E., Sailem, H.Z., Ardy, R.C., Almuttaqi, H., Zhang, T., Bakal, C., 2015. Cell shape and the microenvironment regulate nuclear translocation of NF-xB in breast epithelial and tumor cells. Mol. Syst. Biol. 11, 790. https://doi.org/10.15252/msb.20145644

Steffan, J.J., Snider, J.L., Skalli, O., Welbourne, T., Cardelli, J.A., 2009. Na+/H+ exchangers and RhoA regulate acidic extracellular pH-induced lysosome trafficking in prostate cancer cells. Traffic Cph. Den. 10, 737-753. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0854.2009.00904.x

Stirling, D.R., Swain-Bowden, M.J., Lucas, A.M., Carpenter, A.E., Cimini, B.A., Goodman, A., 2021. CellProfiler 4: improvements in speed, utility and usability. BMC Bioinformatics 22, 433. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-021-04344-9 Stoletov, K., Kato, H., Zardouzian, E., Kelber, J., Yang, J., Shattil, S., Klemke, R., 2010. Visualizing extravasa-

tion dynamics of metastatic tumor cells. J. Cell Sci. 123, 2332-2341. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.069443

Tu, C., Ortega-Cava, C.F., Chen, G., Fernandes, N.D., Cavallo-Medved, D., Sloane, B.F., Band, V., Band, H., 2008. Lysosomal cathepsin B participates in the podosomemediated extracellular matrix degradation and invasion via secreted lysosomes in v-Src fibroblasts. Cancer Res. 68, 9147-9156. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-5127

van Bergeijk, P., Hoogenraad, C.C., Kapitein, L.C., 2016. Right Time, Right Place: Probing the Functions of Organelle Positioning. Trends Cell Biol. 26, 121-134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2015.10.001

Vidak, E., Javoršek, U., Vizovišek, M., Turk, B., 2019. Cysteine Cathepsins and Their Extracellular Roles: Shaping the Microenvironment. Cells 8, 264. https://doi.org/10.3390/cells8030264

Wang, L., Goldwag, J., Bouyea, M., Barra, J., Matteson, K., Maharjan, N., Eladdadi, A., Embrechts, M.J., Intes, X., Kruger, U., Barroso, M., 2023. Spatial topology of organelle is a new breast cancer cell classifier. iScience 107229. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2023.107229

- Wang, Q., Yao, J., Jin, Q., Wang, X., Zhu, H., Huang, F.,
- 1047 Wang, W., Qiang, J., Ni, Q., 2017. LAMP1 expression is
- associated with poor prognosis in breast cancer. Oncol. Lett.
 14, 4729–4735. https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2017.6757
- 1050 Weidmann, M.D., Surve, C.R., Eddy, R.J., Chen, X.,
- 1051 Gertler, F.B., Sharma, V.P., Condeelis, J.S., 2016.
- 1052 MenaINV dysregulates cortactin phosphorylation to pro-
- mote invadopodium maturation. Sci. Rep. 6, 36142.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep36142
- Wieczorek, S., Combes, F., Lazar, C., Giai Gianetto,
 Q., Gatto, L., Dorffer, A., Hesse, A.-M., Couté, Y.,
 Ferro, M., Bruley, C., Burger, T., 2017. DAPAR
 ProStaR: software to perform statistical analyses in quantitative discovery proteomics. Bioinformatics 33, 135–136.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btw580
- ¹⁰⁶¹ Winkler, J., Abisoye-Ogunniyan, A., Metcalf, K.J., Werb, Z.,
- ¹⁰⁶² 2020. Concepts of extracellular matrix remodelling in tu-
- mour progression and metastasis. Nat. Commun. 11, 5120.
- 1064 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18794-x
- ¹⁰⁶⁵ Wu, Pei-Hsun, Gilkes, D.M., Phillip, J.M., Narkar, ¹⁰⁶⁶ A., Cheng, T.W.-T., Marchand, J., Lee, M.-H., Li,
- ¹⁰⁶⁷ R., Wirtz, D., 2020. Single-cell morphology en-
- ¹⁰⁶⁸ codes metastatic potential. Sci. Adv. 6, eaaw6938. ¹⁰⁶⁹ https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaw6938
- ¹⁰⁷⁰ Wu, Ping-Hsiu, Onodera, Y., Giaccia, A.J., Le, Q.-T.,
- ¹⁰⁷¹ Shimizu, S., Shirato, H., Nam, J.-M., 2020. Lysosomal traf-
- ficking mediated by Arl8b and BORC promotes invasion of
- ¹⁰⁷³ cancer cells that survive radiation. Commun. Biol. 3, 620.
- 1074 https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-020-01339-9



Fig. S1. Lysosomal marker LAMP1 colocalizes with active invadopodia a) WM983B cells stably expressing lysosome marker LAMP1-mCherry and LifeActin-miRFP were seeded on gelatin 24 hours prior to the experiment. Time-lapse movies were acquired during one hour and the signal intensity was quantified in five invadopodia-rich regions (red) and in two regions outside of active invadopodia (gray), blue rectangle marks the duration of active invadopodia. b) Intensity profiles over time are plotted for actin (invadopodia), gelatin (degradation) and LAMP1 (lysosomes). c) WM983B cells were seeded on gelatin 24 hours prior to the experiment, fixed and stained with cortactin, and LAMP1 antibody and with phalloidin (actin). d)The level of colocalization was quantified by line profiling in ImageJ. e-f) Cells were grown on crossbow micropatterns for 4 hours, fixed and stained with LAMP1 antibody. Images were segmented in Metamorph. R software was used to quantify total lysosome number cell and the average volume of lysosome per cell. One dot represents 1 cell. Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparison post-hoc test. WM1862, n= 182 cells, WM983A, n= 231 cells, WM983B, n= 82 cells, in triplicate. e) Lysosome number (Mean ± SD) = 405.9 ± 68, 341.9 ± 74, 479.7 ± 99, respectively. f) Lysosome volume = 0.2211 ± 0.023, 0.2035 ± 0.021, 0.2071 ± 0.034, respectively. *p<0.05; ** p<0.001; **** p<0.001.

- ¹⁰⁷⁶ **Supplementary material** Table 1: Lysosome genes differentially expressed (refers to Fig1g)
- ¹⁰⁷⁷ Table 2: Postitive Regulation of cell migration genes differentially expressed (refers to Fig1g)



Fig. S2. Dynamics of Rapalog-induced lysosome clustering a) WM983A and WM983B cells expressing two heterodimerizing domains (FKBP-FRB) and WM983B cells expressing only one heterodimerizing domain (FKBP only) were seeded in glass bottom dishes and 1-hour time-lapse movies were acquired using spinning disc. After acquiring the first time-frame, cells were treated with 25 nM Rapalog (left) or with 5 nM Rapalog (right). b) Cluster formation was quantified as the time needed until a visible cluster appeared. Time [min] = 2.6 ± 1.0, 7.0 ± 2.8, 25.7 ± 6.8, respectively. One dot represents 1 cell. c) WM983A (FKBP-FRB) cells were treated with 25 nM Rapalog and imaged at 48 hours and 72 hours timepoints. Washout condition – cells were treated for 1 hour with Rapalog, washed 3x in PBS and cultured in normal growth medium for the duration of the experiment. d) WM983B (FKBP-FRB) cells were seeded in glass bottom dishes and 45 minutes time-lapse movies were acquired using spinning disc. Rapalog induces lysosome clustering around the microtubule organizing center, labelled by cenexin-GFP. e) WM983B (FKBP-FRB) cells expressing LAMP1-mCherry (red) were cultured in medium or in medium with 25 nM Rapalog for 1 hour and then treated with PepstatinA-BODIPY (white) probe for 45 minutes. Cells were imaged live using spinning disc.



Fig. S3. Low dose of Rapalog treatment does not inhibit cell proliferation a-b) Proliferation rate of WM983B cells treated with increasing concentrations of Rapalog was analyzed using incucyte. a) Cell confluency was quantified for each time-point, all values were normalized to time 0 and the growth curves were plotted. Colored lines depict different culture conditions: medium (black), 2 nM (blue), 5 nM (green), 25 nM (orange), 500 nM Rapalog (red) and are representing average value of three experiments \pm SD. b) Slopes were calculated for the different growth conditions. Slope (Mean \pm SD) = 0.0552 \pm 0.02, 0.0261 \pm 0.01, 0.0348 \pm 0.01, 0.0486 \pm 0.02, 0.0507 \pm 0.02, respectively. Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparison post-hoc test, respective adjusted p-values are shown in the graph. One dot represents one experiment (performed in technical triplicate).

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.07.07.548108; this version posted July 9, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Fig. S4. Monitoring cell invasion in vivo a-b) Quantification of the post-extravasation invasion potential at 72 hours post injection. a) Representative example of the segmented image. Vasculature (blue) and WM983B melanoma cells (red) were segmented using ImageJ. Segmented images were further analyzed using CellProfiler: Image was divided into five regions (bins) with increasing distance from the vasculature region and the total cell are in each region was quantified. b) Percentage of cells (measured from their area) present in each bin was calculated and plotted for both conditions. Magenta = cells with spread lysosomes, blue= cells with clustered lysosomes. Each line represents mean value from three independent experiments \pm SEM. Percentage (scattered) = 23.5 \pm 2.0, 6.4 \pm 0.9, 3.5 \pm 0.5, 0.9 \pm 0.2, 0.2 \pm 0.1, respectively, n= 29 embryos. Percentage (clustered) = 20.9 \pm 2.9, 3.4 \pm 0.7, 1.6 \pm 0.5, 0.74 \pm 0.2, 0.2 \pm 0.1, respectively, n = 12 embryos.