



HAL
open science

Lemming winter habitat: the quest for warm and soft snow

Mathilde Poirier, Gilles Gauthier, Florent Domine, Dominique Fauteux

► **To cite this version:**

Mathilde Poirier, Gilles Gauthier, Florent Domine, Dominique Fauteux. Lemming winter habitat: the quest for warm and soft snow. *Oecologia*, 2023, 202 (2), pp.211-225. 10.1007/s00442-023-05385-y . hal-04308294

HAL Id: hal-04308294

<https://hal.science/hal-04308294>

Submitted on 28 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Copyright

1 **Title**

2 Lemming winter habitat: the quest for warm and soft snow

3 **Authors**¹

4 Mathilde Poirier^{1,2,3}, Gilles Gauthier^{1,2}, Florent Domine^{1,3,4}, Dominique Fauteux^{1,5}

5 **Affiliations**

6 ¹Centre d'Études Nordiques, Université Laval, 1045 av. de la Médecine, Québec, Québec,
7 Canada.

8 ²Department of Biology, Université Laval, 1045 av. de la Médecine, Québec, Québec, Canada.

9 ³Takuvik Joint International Laboratory, Université Laval (Canada) and CNRS-INSU (France),
10 1045 av. de la Médecine, Québec, Québec, Canada.

11 ⁴Department of Chemistry, 1045 av. de la Médecine, Québec, Québec, Canada.

12 ⁵Centre for Arctic Knowledge and Exploration, Canadian Museum of Nature, P.O. Box 3443
13 station D, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.

14 **Corresponding author**

15 Mathilde Poirier

16 E-mail: mathildepoirier05@gmail.com † Phone: +1 418-997-5417

17 Mailing address: Pavillon Alexandre-Vachon, 1045 av. de la Médecine, local 2042

We documented the favorable role of deep snow in lemming habitat use, as well as its tradeoff as harder snow was found in deeper snowpack, which has a negative impact on lemming winter reproduction.

Declaration of authorship: MP, FD, DF conducted fieldwork. MP, performed statistical analyses, with support from DF and GG. MP wrote the original manuscript draft with substantial contribution from GG, FD, DF. GG and FD co-supervised the project and obtained funding.

18 **Abstract (250 words)**

19 During the cold arctic winter, small mammals like lemmings seek refuge inside the snowpack to
20 keep warm and they dig tunnels in the basal snow layer, usually formed of a soft depth hoar, to
21 find vegetation on which they feed. The snowpack, however, is a heterogenous medium and
22 lemmings should use habitats where snow properties favor their survival and winter
23 reproduction. We determined the impact of snow physical properties on lemming habitat use and
24 reproduction in winter by sampling their winter nests for 13 years and snow properties for 6
25 years across 4 different habitats (mesic, riparian, shrubland, wetland) on Bylot Island in the
26 Canadian High Arctic. We found that lemmings use riparian habitat most intensively because
27 snow accumulates more rapidly, the snowpack is the deepest and temperature of the basal snow
28 layer is the highest in this habitat. However, in the deepest snowpacks, the basal depth hoar layer
29 was denser and less developed than in habitats with shallower snowpacks, and those conditions
30 were negatively related to lemming reproduction in winter. Shrubland appeared a habitat of
31 moderate quality for lemmings as it favored a soft basal snow layer and a deep snowpack
32 compared with mesic and wetland, but snow conditions in this habitat critically depend on
33 weather conditions at the beginning of the winter. With climate change, a hardening of the basal
34 layer of the snowpack and a delay in snow accumulation are expected, which could negatively
35 affect the winter habitat of lemmings and be detrimental to their populations.

36 **Key words (5)**

37 Climate change; habitat use; rodent; small mammals; snowpack

38 **Introduction**

39 The arrival of below-zero temperatures and of a snow-covered landscape in autumn marks the
40 beginning of a challenging period for boreal and arctic species. Snow, depending on its
41 properties, can either be an ally or a foe for animals living in these environments. For instance,
42 willow ptarmigans (*Lagopus lagopus*) can benefit from deep snow accumulation as it allows
43 them to browse buds higher up on shrubs and increase their food intake (St-Georges et al. 1995).
44 For other herbivores such as reindeer (*Rangifer tarandus*) and muskoxen (*Ovibos moschatus*),
45 hard snow or ice crusts resulting from rain-on-snow events can reduce access to ground
46 vegetation and lead to population-wide reduction in survival (Rennert et al. 2009, Hansen et al.
47 2011). Snow properties vary from year to year but also within the landscape. Depending on their
48 specific needs and characteristics, each species should theoretically use the habitat in a way that
49 maximizes snow attributes favoring them. For instance, mammals like red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*)
50 or mule deer (*Odocoileus hemionus*) minimize travelling in deep and soft snow, probably to
51 reduce their energy expenditure for locomotion (Halpin and Bissonette 1988, Coe et al. 2018).

52 Lemmings are small arctic rodents that benefit from the snowpack for thermoregulation and
53 protection against predators (Duchesne et al. 2011a). These small mammals are the main prey of
54 many predators and these interactions likely lead to their cyclic population fluctuation (Gilg et al.
55 2003, Fauteux et al. 2016). In winter, lemmings stay active in the snowpack where they dig a
56 network of tunnels to find plants on which they feed or to disperse. They build nests for warmth
57 where they can also reproduce if they have enough energy (Millar 2001, Duchesne et al. 2011a).
58 Lemmings typically build their nest within a deep snowpack (60 cm or more) where subnivean
59 temperatures reach an optimum (Duchesne et al. 2011a, Reid et al. 2012). However, in a collared
60 lemming (*Dicrostonyx groenlandicus*) population in Greenland, use of shallower snowpacks

61 increased as the population density increased, suggesting density-dependent habitat selection
62 resulting from resource competition (Schmidt et al. 2021). Most studies have focused on snow
63 depth to explain lemming distribution in the landscape, but other snow properties could also
64 influence their habitat use in winter. For instance, it has been shown that lemmings
65 predominantly use the soft, low-density basal snow layer to move through the snowpack (Poirier
66 et al. 2019), which can vary at the landscape level.

67 The High Arctic snowpack is shallow, typically 25 cm thick, but often as little as 15 cm (Sturm
68 and Benson 2004, Domine et al. 2012) and is generally comprised of two main layers: a basal
69 soft, fragile layer of low density snow topped by a hard dense wind slab (see Figure 1 of Domine
70 et al. 2018a). It should be noted that this description excludes arctic regions strongly affected by
71 oceanic currents. The basal layer mostly forms at the beginning of winter, when the high
72 temperature gradient between the ground which is still warm and the cold polar air generates
73 strong upward water vapor fluxes that lead to the formation of large, loosely bonded cup-shaped
74 crystals called depth hoar (Marbouty 1980). The decrease in temperature gradient throughout the
75 winter subsequently limits further depth hoar formation and snow evolution is instead governed
76 by wind effects. A greater depth hoar fraction in the snowpack is therefore favored by greater
77 soil moisture which delays freezing, a shallow snowpack, and low wind exposure (Domine et al.
78 2018a). In areas with a heterogeneous topography (e.g., hummocks, river banks), snow
79 accumulation is greater due to wind redistribution (Pomeroy and Brun 1990). The presence of
80 shrubs can also increase snow accumulation and depth hoar fraction (Sturm et al. 2001) because
81 branches trap wind-blown snow and limit erosion by strong winds (Domine et al. 2016).

82 Several variables characterize the quality of the different snow layers, as relevant to lemming
83 habitat. Snow density (ρ) is the mass/volume ratio of a snow layer (Conger and McClung 2009).

84 Snow thermal conductivity (k_{eff}) is inversely proportional to the thermal insulation properties of
85 the snow and is positively correlated to both density and hardness (Domine et al. 2011). Snow
86 specific surface area (SSA) is a measure of the surface/volume or surface/mass ratio of sampled
87 snow grains and is inversely related to grain size (Gallet et al. 2009). The basal depth hoar is
88 usually relatively soft ($\rho = 130\text{-}250 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$, $k_{\text{eff}} = 0.025\text{-}0.1 \text{ W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$, $\text{SSA} = 9\text{-}11 \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$;
89 Sturm and Benson 1997, Domine et al. 2018). However, when temperature increases above $0 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$,
90 partial melting and subsequent refreezing of the snow, or a rain-on-snow event, can occur and
91 create hard melt-freeze layers ($\rho = 364\text{-}480 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$, $k_{\text{eff}} = 0.11\text{-}0.39 \text{ W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$, $\text{SSA} = \sim 3 \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$;
92 Sturm et al. 1997, Domine et al. 2009). Although such layers can subsequently metamorphize
93 into depth hoar, it will be fairly hard. In regions where the climate is wetter and milder, melt-
94 freeze layers are more frequent, and warmer air temperature and deeper snowpack will slow
95 down the depth hoar metamorphism (Marbouty 1980, Sturm et al. 1995). Another mode of
96 formation of hard depth hoar is when a wind slab forms early in the season. A wind slab is
97 usually dense and hard ($\rho = 350\text{-}488 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$, $k_{\text{eff}} = 0.16\text{-}0.45 \text{ W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$, $\text{SSA} = 20\text{-}30 \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$;
98 Sturm et al. 1997; Domine 2016) but when formed early in the season and subjected to a high
99 temperature gradient, it will also metamorphize into hard depth hoar. Hard depth hoar, formed
100 from either refrozen layers or a wind slab, is called indurated depth hoar ($\rho = 250$ to
101 $>350 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$, $k_{\text{eff}} = 0.05$ to $> 0.30 \text{ W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$; Domine et al. 2018). Depth hoar with a SSA in the
102 lower range ($\sim 8 \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$) usually indicates formation from a melt-freeze layer while a SSA value
103 $\sim 12 \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$ usually indicates formation from a wind slab layer (Domine et al. 2009).

104 With climate change, a delayed onset of the snowpack is expected (Liston and Hiemstra 2011)
105 and may reduce the period when lemmings can benefit from it (Gilg et al. 2009). Global
106 warming also threatens to increase the frequency of rain-on-snow events, leading to a hardening

107 of the snowpack (Liston and Hiemstra 2011, Hansen et al. 2014). As lemmings have greater
108 difficulty digging in harder snow (Poirier et al. 2021), this could have population-wide impacts
109 by increasing their energy expenditure and reducing their chances of reproduction. Some studies
110 already reported negative consequences of rain-on-snow on lemming and vole populations (Ims
111 et al. 2008, Kausrud et al. 2008, Domine et al. 2018b), but a better understanding of their winter
112 habitat use is necessary to assess their vulnerability to future snow conditions.

113 In this study, we examined winter habitat use and the impact of habitat and snow properties on
114 the probability of reproduction of the brown (*Lemmus trimucronatus*) and northern collared
115 lemming in the High Arctic. Habitat use was determined by comparing density of lemming
116 winter nests among four habitats (mesic, riparian, shrubland and wetland) and their reproductive
117 activity by comparing the proportion of reproduction in those nests over 13 years. We
118 hypothesized that habitat use and reproduction rates of lemmings would be higher in habitats
119 where snow conditions are expected to facilitate their thermoregulation and locomotion. We
120 predicted that winter nest density and proportion of nests with reproduction would be higher (1)
121 in habitats with a deep snowpack and early snow accumulation because these conditions should
122 offer good thermal insulation, and (2) where the basal snow layer is characterized by a soft and
123 well-developed depth hoar layer because it facilitates locomotion through the snow. We also
124 hypothesized that winter nest density would increase in less favorable habitats in years of high
125 lemming abundance due to a density-dependent spillover effect. Finally, we hypothesized that
126 brown and collared lemmings would use winter habitats differently because collared lemmings
127 are more efficient at digging into the snow than brown lemmings (Poirier et al. 2021).

128 **Material and methods**

129 *Study area*

130 This study took place in the Qarlikturvik valley of Bylot Island, Nunavut (73°08'N, 80°00'W),
131 from 2007 to 2019 (Supplementary Material S1 Fig. S1). Winter, which is defined here as the
132 period with snow cover, typically starts in early October and ends in early June (Domine et al.
133 2021). The coldest month is February with a mean temperature of -36.7 °C (Domine et al. 2021).
134 Climate in this area is typical of cold and dry Arctic regions unaffected by oceanic currents. For
135 this study, we separated the study area (~51 km²) in four main habitats for lemmings in winter,
136 namely, mesic (67 %), wetland (17 %), riparian (10 %) and shrubland (6 %) (Supplementary
137 Material S1 Fig. S1-S2). The mesic habitat is mostly found upland, in sites with moderate to
138 good drainage. It is characterized by hummocky tundra and the main plant species encountered
139 are *Salix arctica*, *Cassiope tetragona*, graminoids and mosses (Audet et al. 2007). Wetland
140 habitat (wet) is found in low-lying areas close to sea-level and is characterized by shallow ponds
141 and polygon tundra created by the growth of ice wedges in the permafrost. The vegetation mostly
142 consists of graminoids, such as *Carex aquatilis*, *Eriophorum sheuchzeri* and *Dupontia fisheri*
143 growing through an extensive moss cover (Gauthier et al. 2011). Riparian habitat is restricted to
144 the area along streams or gullies with steep slopes on either side (i.e., 10-30 m from them),
145 running mostly through the mesic habitat or along hills, which allow the formation of snowdrifts
146 in winter (Pomeroy and Brun 1990). This habitat has a similar vegetation composition to mesic,
147 but near the center of gullies, vegetation becomes more similar to wetland due to higher ground
148 humidity. Finally, shrubland habitat (shrub) resembles mesic but is the only habitat with erect
149 vegetation, primarily *Salix richardsonii*, rising 10 to 40 cm above the ground (Domine et al.
150 2016). This habitat is very limited spatially and mostly occurs in the most inland part of the
151 valley, at the base of alluvial fans which provide abundant water supply by channeling snowmelt
152 water.

153 *Lemming demographic parameters*

154 Lemming winter nests were sampled along 20 permanent transects of 500 m each in mesic,
155 riparian and wet habitats between 2007 and 2019 (total of 60 transects each year; Supplementary
156 Material S1 Fig S1). For the shrub habitat, the sampling took place between 2017 and 2019 along
157 25 transects of 200 m (transects were shorter because that habitat occurred in small-size patches).
158 These transects were randomly positioned in the landscape from predetermined coordinates and
159 had a predominantly N-S orientation to avoid transects crossing each other. Most of them were
160 spaced by at least 200 m, which ensured spatial independence considering the small home range
161 of lemmings (Banks et al. 1975, Predavec and Krebs 2000). We walked all transects soon after
162 complete snow melt and noted every winter nest detected from the transect line. We measured
163 the perpendicular distance between each nest and the transect and destroyed them so only new
164 nests could be sampled the next year. We identified the lemming species that occupied every nest
165 found based on the size, shape and color of feces (MacLean et al. 1974, Soininen et al. 2015).
166 Feces were easy to identify because we only sampled fresh nests from the year. In some cases
167 (about 5 %), feces from both brown and collared lemmings were found, suggesting a mixed
168 occupation. In those cases, we duplicated the nest in our dataset so it would be considered twice
169 in the analysis, once for each species.

170 We estimated nest density of each species separately in each habitat and year with the distance
171 sampling method (Miller et al. 2019). Data from all transects were pooled within each habitat to
172 obtain a single estimate of nest density per habitat annually. We assumed that detection
173 probabilities decreased with distance separating the nest from the transect. For each habitat and
174 year, we modeled the detection probability with different probability distribution functions (half
175 normal, hazard rate, uniform; Buckland et al. 2004). It was also possible to specify an adjustment

176 factor (i.e., cosine, Hermite polynomial or simple polynomial) to improve the fit of the model.
177 We used the second-order Akaike criterion (AIC_c) to determine which probability distribution
178 function provided the best fit for each dataset. The model estimated the effective area surveyed
179 by the observer as well as the number of undetected nests based on the detection probability
180 function. Nest density was obtained by the sum of detected and undetected nests, divided by the
181 effective area surveyed in each habitat. Those analyses were performed with the *Distance*
182 package version 1.0.3. (Miller et al. 2019) implemented in the R software version 4.1.0 (R Core
183 Team 2021). When models could not be run or performed poorly due to low sample size (about
184 25% of the time), we obtained a nest density by dividing the number of nests found in the habitat
185 by the total area surveyed assuming a perfect detection 5 m on either side of the transect.

186 For each lemming nest, we determined the occurrence of reproduction based on the presence of a
187 high number of small-size feces (i.e., at least one third of all feces), which indicates that
188 juveniles once occupied the nest (Duchesne et al. 2011b). In cases of mixed nest occupation, the
189 layering order of feces in the nest indicated which species had reproduced. We estimated the
190 proportion of nests with reproduction for each species, year and habitat by dividing the number
191 of nests with presence of reproduction by the total number of nests found. In years of low
192 lemming densities, the number of winter nests found along transects was very low, which
193 reduced the precision of the proportions of nests with reproduction. In those years, we increased
194 sample size by including winter nests found opportunistically in the field. Opportunistic nests
195 were found while observers conducted other field activities across the study area and were
196 considered randomly sampled. The habitat where opportunistic nests were found was assigned in
197 the field and they were analyzed in the same way as those found along transects. However, they
198 were not used in the density estimation.

199 *Snow physical properties*

200 We sampled snow physical properties in the four habitats by digging snow pits in May before
201 snow melt from 2014 to 2019 except in 2016 due to logistical constraints. We dug between 1 to
202 11 snow pits in every habitat each year. It was not possible to perform snow pits at the exact
203 same location than the winter nests transects, but we selected sites with similar habitat
204 characteristics within the area covered by our transects (Supplementary Material S1 Fig. S1). In
205 every snow pit, we sampled physical properties of the basal layer of snow between 0 to 5 cm
206 from the ground level. We measured snow density by weighing a fixed volume of snow with a
207 100 cm³ box-cutter (Conger and McClung 2009). As a proxy for snow hardness, we measured
208 the thermal conductivity of snow (k_{eff}) with a TP02 heated needle probe (Hukseflux Thermal
209 Sensors, Delft, The Netherlands). A needle was inserted in the basal snow layer, avoiding
210 touching the ground, heated with a constant power during 100 s and the temperature at the center
211 of the needle was recorded. The relationship between temperature at the center of the needle and
212 time (on a log-scale) depends on heat dissipation in the environment and can be used to calculate
213 thermal conductivity (Morin et al. 2010, Domine et al. 2011). We used the DUFISSS instrument
214 (Dual Frequency Integrating Sphere for Snow SSA measurement) to measure the snow specific
215 surface area (SSA), which is the surface area per unit of mass (Gallet et al. 2009). The idea
216 consists in illuminating a given volume of snow with a laser diode at 1310 nm to measure the
217 reflected light with a photodiode, and to convert the reflectance measurement into SSA (more
218 details in Gallet et al. 2009, Domine et al. 2012). Finally, we visually determined the depth hoar
219 layer in the snowpack, and we measured the maximal height of this layer and the total height of
220 the snow pit.

221 We measured mean snow depth in each habitat in mid-May, which is usually the period of
222 maximum snow depth. In mesic, shrub and wet habitats, this was measured using a snow probe
223 along 4 random transects positioned in a square shape, totaling 100 to 200 points per habitat
224 spaced out by 2 m. For riparian habitat, because the snow depth varies considerably at the meter
225 scale within snow drifts, we used the maximum depth recorded in May at three permanent poles
226 centrally located in selected snowdrifts.

227 From 2016 to 2021, three automated stations (one per habitat) monitored snow temperature with
228 thermistors in riparian, shrub and wet habitats throughout the winter. Since the snow depth is
229 similar between wet and mesic habitats, we assumed that their thermal profiles are also relatively
230 similar even though we recognize that differences in slope, aspect, soil properties and vegetation
231 may affect them. The thermistors were installed at different heights in the snowpack between 0
232 and 35 cm. We used the temperature at 2 cm above the ground, obtained either from direct
233 measurement at that height or from linear interpolation using the nearest 2 thermistors. We
234 obtained the daily temperature as well as the daily temperature fluctuation (i.e., maximal –
235 minimal daily temperature) of the basal snow layer. Due to malfunction of the equipment in
236 some years, we could only compare the three habitats over three winters (2016-17, 2019-20 and
237 2020-21). Air temperature was measured at two automated stations (shrubs, wet) with a ventilated
238 sensor at 2.3 m height (Domine et al. 2021).

239 We obtained dates of permanent snow onset from 2017 to 2021 using automatic cameras
240 (Reconyx[®]) that recorded daily photographs of a representative area of each habitat. One camera
241 positioned about 10 m above the ground took pictures in mesic, riparian and wet habitats
242 simultaneously, and a second camera recorded pictures in the shrub habitat. Snow onset was
243 defined as the first day in autumn when snow cover reached or exceeded 80 % of the area and

244 did not return below 50 %. Note that throughout the paper, the year of a given winter is referred
245 to by the year of the spring when most measurements were taken (e.g., winter 2016-2017 is
246 referred to as winter 2017).

247 *Statistical analyses*

248 We analyzed lemming winter nest density with linear mixed-effects models to assess the
249 influence of either habitat or snow parameters, lemming species and their interactions when
250 relevant. The sampling unit used in this analysis was annual nest density estimated in each
251 habitat with the distance sampling method (see *Lemming demographic parameters* above). We
252 log-transformed (natural log) nest densities to meet normality and homoscedasticity assumptions.
253 The temporal autocorrelation in model residuals caused by the cyclic dynamics of these rodents
254 was removed by adding year as a random factor. To test for a density-dependent effect on habitat
255 use, we repeated the global model with data divided into years of low and high nest density and
256 compared the effect size and significance of the habitat covariates. The threshold used to
257 separate low and high density was the 2.9 nests/ha, which corresponds to the median of yearly
258 nest density for brown and collared lemmings combined (Supplementary Material S1 Fig. S4).
259 For datasets including the shrub habitat (available only for 2017-2019), we could not test for a
260 density-dependent effect due to the short time series. To test the influence of snow physical
261 properties on nest densities (2014-2019), we used different combinations of additive parameters
262 (i.e., density and thermal conductivity of the basal snow layer, maximal height of depth hoar,
263 snow depth) and we evaluated the strength of support of each model using the second-order
264 Akaike criterion (AIC_c). We used model-averaging when several had reasonable statistical
265 support ($\Delta AIC_c < 4$).

266 We analyzed the proportion of winter nests with reproduction with binomial models to examine
267 the influence of habitat and species. For the longest time series (2007-2019), we used generalized
268 linear mixed quasi-binomial models to overcome overdispersion in the data (MASS package
269 version 7.3-57.1; Ripley et al. 2022), with year included as random factor. For the dataset
270 including shrub (2017-2019), we used binomial models without year as a random effect due to
271 the small dataset. Similarly, we used binomial models to test for influence of species and snow
272 parameters on proportion of nests with reproduction (2014-2019). We evaluated the strength of
273 support of each model using $\Delta AICc$ and determined significance of relationships based on the
274 95% confidence interval of slope parameters.

275 To establish potential differences in snow properties between the four habitats, we used linear
276 mixed effects models with habitat as the fixed effect and year as a random effect (2014-2019).
277 Response variables were density, thermal conductivity and specific surface area (SSA) of the
278 basal snow layer, snow depth and maximal height of the depth hoar. We also examined the
279 differences in temperature (with and without correcting for differences in air temperature) and
280 daily temperature fluctuation of the basal snow layer between habitats with data from the
281 automated stations. To avoid autocorrelation problems of repeated measures, we used mean
282 monthly temperatures and mean of daily fluctuations in temperature calculated over 15-day
283 intervals (time intervals were determined to minimize autocorrelation in the data and to
284 maximize sample size). For the snow onset date, we examined the difference only between the
285 wet and riparian habitats because dates were the same for mesic and wet habitats and almost the
286 same for riparian and shrub habitats. In some models we had to perform a natural log, inverse or
287 square-root transformation of the response variable to improve normality and homoscedasticity.
288 Due to the different scales of variables, we could not use the same transformation consistently.

289 As a complementary analysis, we explored the degree of association amongst snow variables
290 through a principal component analysis (PCA) and examined variations in PC scores between
291 habitats (see details in Supplementary Material S2).

292 When relevant, the proportion of variation explained by the models were calculated with the
293 MuMIn package version 1.47.1 (Barton 2022) following Nakagawa and Schielzeth 2013, with
294 R^2_m being the amount of variation explained by fixed factors and R^2_c by both fixed and random
295 factors. In tables, slope parameters (β) are presented with their 95 % CI and differences between
296 groups are calculated with the Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference test (HSD). When log-
297 transformed data were used in the models, we obtained the mean estimates on the linear scale
298 by applying an exponential transformation of the sum of the estimate and half of the variance
299 (Feng et al. 2014) and we calculated the approximate 95% CI with the Cox's method (Chami et
300 al. 2007).

301 **Results**

302 *Winter nest density*

303 Nest density of both lemming species varied considerably among years, as expected based on
304 their known cyclic population fluctuations, and between habitats (Supplementary Material S1
305 Fig. S3, Fig. S4). We found evidence that nest densities were highest in the riparian habitat,
306 lowest in the wet habitat and intermediate in the mesic habitat (Fig. 1, Table 1a). Nest densities
307 of collared lemmings were also 2.6 times lower than that of brown lemmings ($\beta = -0.96$, CI =
308 [-1.38, -0.54]) and a model with an interaction between lemming species and habitat had less
309 support ($\Delta AICc = 1.2$). We did not find evidence of density-dependent effects in habitat use
310 since models where years were separated in low and high density yielded similar results (i.e.,
311 similar slopes) to the global model (Supplementary Material S1 Fig. S5, Table S1). Results of the

312 analysis using years with shrub habitat data were very consistent with the previous analysis
313 despite the smaller dataset (Table 1a). Nest density in the shrub habitat was 1.2 and 3.7 times
314 higher than in the mesic and wet habitats, respectively but 3.8 times lower than in the riparian
315 habitat (Fig. 1 c-d, Table 1a).

316 When examining the influence of snow parameters on nest density, we found support for a
317 positive effect of snow depth and maximal height of depth hoar on nest density and slightly
318 lower nest densities of collared than brown lemmings (Table 2a, Fig. 2, Supplementary Material
319 S1 Table S2). A model with an inverse transformation of snow depth had less support, providing
320 little evidence of a threshold effect of snow depth on lemming nest density.

321 *Winter reproduction*

322 The proportion of lemming winter nests with signs of reproduction also varied considerably
323 among years and habitats (Supplementary Material S1 Fig. S6). We found evidence that
324 proportion of nests with reproduction was 1.2 and 3.3 times higher in riparian compared to mesic
325 and wet habitats, respectively, (Table 1b) and was twice higher in collared than in brown
326 lemmings ($\beta = 0.69$, CI = [0.45, 0.94]; Fig. 3a). Results from the years when data from the shrub
327 habitat was available revealed the same trends and suggested a low reproductive activity in
328 shrubs although no significant difference was found, probably due to small sample size (Table
329 1b, Fig. 3b). However, reproductive rate was still 2 times higher in collared lemmings than in
330 brown lemmings in this time series ($\beta = 0.70$, CI = [0.11, 1.29]).

331 When examining the influence of snow parameters on lemming reproduction, we found support
332 for an increase in reproduction with greater snow depth and a reduction in reproduction as
333 maximal height of depth hoar increased (Table 2b, Fig. 4 a-b, Supplementary Material S1 Table
334 S2). A relationship with the inverse of snow depth was preferred over a linear one ($\Delta AICc =$

335 1.8), suggesting a positive effect on reproduction until ~60 cm, after which there was little
336 change (Fig. 4a). We also found evidence of a decrease in reproduction with an increase in
337 density and thermal conductivity of the basal snow layer (Fig. 4c-d; Table 2b).

338 *Variation in snow properties across habitats*

339 The density of the basal snow layer was highest in riparian habitat, lowest in shrub, and
340 intermediate in the other two habitats (Fig. 5a; coefficients of all models are shown in
341 Supplementary Material S1 Table S3). We did not find any evidence of differences in the snow
342 thermal conductivity (k_{eff}) across habitats, but we note a high residual variability of the random
343 variable ($SD_{\text{residual}} = 8.77$), suggesting large variations within years (Fig. 5b). Snow SSA was 1.2
344 to 1.4 times lower in the riparian habitat compared with the others (Fig. 5c). Snow depth differed
345 between all habitats and, as expected, it was 2.8 to 3.1 times deeper in riparian habitat compared
346 to the other three habitats (Fig. 5d). Maximal height of depth hoar was lowest in the wet habitat
347 and highest in riparian and shrub habitats (Fig. 5e). Finally, snow onset dates in the riparian
348 habitat were almost two weeks earlier than in the wet habitat (Fig. 5f).

349 We found strong evidence that temperature of the basal snow layer was warmest in the riparian
350 habitat, coldest in wet and intermediate in shrub ($\beta_{\text{riparian-shrub}} = 5.01$, CI = [1.7, 8.32]; $\beta_{\text{shrub-wet}} =$
351 4.53, CI = [1.21, 7.85]; $n = 72$; Fig 6a, Supplementary Material S1 Table S3, Fig S7). Similarly,
352 we found that daily temperature fluctuations were lowest in riparian habitat, highest in wet and
353 intermediate in shrub, suggesting a more insulative snowpack in riparian and shrub ($\beta_{\text{riparian-shrub}} =$
354 -1.70, CI = [-2.03, -1.37]; $\beta_{\text{shrub-wet}} = -1.04$, CI = [-1.36, -0.71]; $n = 144$; Fig 6b, Supplementary
355 Material S1 Table S3, Fig. S8). When correcting for the slight difference in air temperature
356 between the shrub and other habitats (1°C warmer in shrubs on average), we found similar
357 results (Supplementary Material S1 Fig. S9).

358 The principal component analysis (PCA) revealed an association between deep snow cover, thick
359 depth hoar and high density of the basal layer, for which riparian habitat globally had higher
360 scores than the other three habitats (Supplementary Material S2, Table S4, Fig. S11). There was
361 also an association between thermal conductivity and SSA, and scores of the riparian habitat on
362 this axis indicated a negative association with these variables (see Supplementary Material S2 for
363 more details on the results).

364 **Discussion**

365 Our results show that habitat use by lemmings in winter is not random and that snow properties
366 can explain some of the observed patterns. Reproductive activity also differs among habitats and
367 can also be partly explained by spatial variations in snow properties. Overall, riparian habitat
368 was the one with the highest quality in winter as revealed by the highest nest density and
369 reproductive rate, followed by shrub being intermediate, and wetland and mesic habitats being
370 the lowest quality. These patterns were broadly similar for both lemming species despite some
371 differences, and they did not change with population density.

372 *On the importance of a warm and soft snowpack*

373 The first weeks of the winter are thought to be a critical period for lemmings since temperature
374 drops rapidly and the thin, heterogenous snowpack does not provide a significant protection from
375 cold temperatures. Thus, lemming may seek habitats where snow accumulates first, as is the case
376 in riparian and shrub habitats. The riparian habitat also had the deepest snowpack and the
377 thickest depth hoar, two snow characteristics known to offer good insulating properties (Zhang
378 2005). Indeed, we observed more stable and warmer temperature in the basal snow layer of
379 riparian compared with other habitats (up to 17 °C warmer compared to wetland in the coldest
380 months). These conditions should decrease thermoregulatory costs for lemmings (Chappell

381 1980) and could therefore explain why riparian habitat had the highest use and reproductive
382 activity. These observations are consistent with previous studies highlighting the importance of
383 snow depth in lemming habitat use (Batzli et al. 1983, Duchesne et al. 2011a, Schmidt et al.
384 2021, Von Beckerath et al. 2021) but also in other mammal species like wolverine (*Gulo gulo*;
385 Glass et al. 2021) or brown bear (*Ursus arctos*; Sorum et al. 2019).

386 Use of the riparian habitat could nonetheless come with a cost as we found evidence for higher
387 snow density in its basal layer compared to other habitats. Since thermal conductivity and
388 density are correlated (Domine et al. 2011), we were surprised that thermal conductivity was not
389 higher in the riparian habitat, but this might be related to technical difficulties when taking this
390 measurement manually in friable depth hoar layers (Fourteau et al. 2022). We did find smaller
391 SSA values in this habitat, suggesting a greater extent of indurated depth hoar formed in melt-
392 freeze layers, which is consistent with our observations. As snow accumulates early in the
393 riparian habitat, this makes it more susceptible to melting episodes in early winter when
394 temperatures are fluctuating around 0 °C. Furthermore, the vertical temperature gradient is
395 reduced in deeper snowpacks, which slows down depth hoar formation and leads to a denser and
396 less developed depth hoar layer (Marbouty 1980). Therefore, the dense and relatively hard basal
397 layer found in deep snow could explain the reduced reproduction that we observed.

398 For lemmings, staying inside their nests in winter offers an energetic advantage (Chappell 1980),
399 so their foraging trips should be as quick and efficient as possible. Digging in hard and dense
400 snow increases their energy expenditure but also decreases their digging speed (Poirier et al.
401 2021), which may increase the time spent outside the nest and thus thermoregulatory costs. Our
402 results suggest that the complex spatial variability in snow properties may impose tradeoffs on
403 lemmings because even if deep snow areas provide the most favorable thermal environment, they

404 may not offer the best conditions to minimize travel costs. Ultimately, this may reduce their
405 energy available for reproduction. In the boreal forest, a similar tradeoff was observed in the
406 Pacific marten (*Martes caurina*), which prefers habitats with deep snow as a shelter against the
407 cold despite the increased locomotion costs associated with this type of habitat (Martin et al.
408 2020).

409 Despite the known preference of brown lemmings for wet habitats in summer (Batzli et al.
410 1983), our study shows that it is the least used habitat in winter, likely due to its thin snowpack
411 and high daily temperature fluctuations (Duchesne et al. 2011a). Nonetheless, this summer
412 preference could explain the trend for a greater use of this habitat by brown compared to collared
413 lemmings in winter. In addition, considering that lemmings favor horizontal movements over
414 vertical ones when digging tunnels in the snow (Poirier et al. 2019), the presence of sharp
415 mounds surrounding polygons in the wet habitat (Fig. S1) may impede lemming movements.

416 Our results suggest that the shrub is a relatively good habitat for lemmings in winter. Shrubs not
417 only favor early and relatively deep snow accumulation, but they also reduce densification due to
418 overburden compaction, therefore providing optimal conditions for the development of soft
419 depth hoar where movement is facilitated (Domine et al. 2016). In addition, food resources such
420 as willow buds may encourage lemmings to use this habitat (Soininen et al. 2015, Fauteux et al.
421 2017). We did not find evidence for a greater reproductive activity in this habitat, but this may be
422 related to the relatively small number of nests found during the 3 years of sampling the shrub
423 habitat. Nonetheless, the favorable snow conditions offered by this habitat will strongly depend
424 on the weather at the beginning of the winter. Indeed, if early winter warm spells lead to the
425 formation of a hard melt-freeze snow layer, this will preclude snow from drifting, preventing the
426 accumulation of a deep snow cover around shrubs (Barrere et al. 2018). It is worth noting that

427 the favorable subnivean temperature found in this habitat was enhanced by the slightly warmer
428 air temperature in that area of the study site compared to the other sites due to the reduced cool
429 katabatic flow (Domine et al. 2022). Moreover, because this habitat is spatially limited in the
430 High Arctic, it is difficult to extrapolate our results in this habitat to other systems.

431 It is also worth noting that our study focused only on snow properties but other factors such as
432 vegetation or soil characteristics could also influence lemming distribution and reproduction in
433 winter (Duchesne et al. 2011a). Nonetheless, experimental studies showed that snow per se is a
434 strong factor affecting lemming distribution independently of other landscape features (Reid et
435 al. 2012).

436 *Interspecific differences and density dependence*

437 We did not find any difference in habitat use between the two lemming species during winter
438 despite known differences in their summer habitat use (Batzli et al. 1983). One reason could be
439 that both lemmings have a relatively similar winter diet at our study site dominated by
440 dicotyledons, which are mainly found in riparian, shrub and mesic habitats (Soininen et al.
441 2015). We found a higher winter reproductive activity in collared lemmings compared to brown
442 lemmings, independently of the habitat. Collared lemmings are thought to be better adapted to
443 the extreme conditions of the High Arctic (Fuller et al. 1975) and to be more efficient at digging
444 in hard snow compared to brown lemmings (Poirier et al. 2021), which might help them save
445 energy for winter reproduction. Considering that winter reproduction is generally a key
446 determinant of lemming outbreaks (Millar 2001, Fauteux et la. 2015), it is surprising that it is the
447 brown and not the collared lemming that reaches the highest densities at our study site in those
448 years (Gauthier et al. 2013). This suggests that other demographic factors such as mortality may
449 limit population growth in collared lemmings. This species is known to be more vulnerable to

450 avian predators compared to brown lemmings during the summer (Seyer et al. 2020), and a high
451 reproductive activity may increase predation rate in winter nests (Fauteux and Gauthier 2022).
452 Contrary to Schmidt et al. (2021), we did not find evidence of density dependence in habitat use.
453 This suggests that when conditions are favorable for the development of a high-quality basal
454 snow layer (Domine et al. 2018), there may be enough refuges and resources to support both
455 lemming species even in peak years at our study site. Nonetheless, brown lemmings are thought
456 to be dominant over collared lemmings during competitive interactions and can force them to use
457 sub-optimal habitats (Morris et al. 2000). Brown lemmings may sometimes take over collared
458 lemming nests and even perform infanticide if young are present (M. Poirier, pers. obs.).
459 Therefore, considering the large overlap in habitat use in winter, we cannot exclude that more
460 subtle differences due to competitive interactions may still exist between the two species. We
461 should also bear in mind that this study focuses on habitats that act as shelter for lemmings (i.e.,
462 where they build their nests), which could differ in some cases from the habitats where they
463 forage.

464 *Conclusion*

465 Understanding the winter ecology of arctic species is a huge challenge, especially those living
466 within the snowpack. Our study differs from previous ones by directly assessing the links
467 between snow properties and lemming habitat use instead of relying on proxies of snow
468 conditions, like topographic features or habitat classes (Le Vaillant et al. 2018, Schmidt et al.
469 2021, Von Beckerath et al. 2021). We showed that spatial variation in snow properties can affect
470 lemming habitat use and reproduction, and thus could affect their demography, as previously
471 shown for annual variations in snow conditions (Bilodeau et al. 2013, Domine et al. 2018b).
472 Moreover, our results highlight the complex links between snow properties and the ecology of

473 animals living in this medium as we found that habitats offering the most favorable microclimate
474 (deep snow) may not offer the best conditions for reproduction due to the presence of harder
475 snow. The Arctic snowpack is expected to be strongly affected by climate change as rain-on-
476 snow and melt-freeze events should increase at higher latitudes and cause a hardening of the
477 basal snow layer, especially in critical habitats where snow accumulates early in winter (Hansen
478 et al. 2014). Arctic regions exposed to oceanic currents and with milder and wetter climate are
479 especially exposed to such events (Boonstra et al. 2016). In the worst cases, basal ice crust could
480 encapsulate vegetation and deprive herbivores from their food (Berteaux et al. 2017), leading to
481 massive mortality as was observed in reindeer populations (Stien et al. 2012, Langlois et al.
482 2017, Dolant et al. 2018). On the other hand, warming of the Arctic will lead to shrub expansion
483 (Tape et al. 2006), which could provide favorable habitats for small mammals in winter.
484 Researchers should aim to monitor snow properties in the long-term but also at a fine spatial
485 scale to better assess the determinants of the population dynamics of Arctic mammals.

486 **Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

487 **Availability of data:** The lemming data analysed in this study are available from the
488 NordicanaD repository (<https://doi.org/10.5885/45400AW-9891BD76704C4CE2>) and the other
489 datasets are available from the corresponding author.

490 **Acknowledgement:** We thank Gabriel Bergeron, James Akpalecapik, Madelaine Proulx,
491 Qalaapik Enookolo, Jaimie Vincent and all the other people involved in the long-term
492 monitoring of lemming winter nests in the field. We also thank Mathieu Barrère and Marianne
493 Valcourt for their help with snow sampling. We thank Marie-Christine Cadieux for her precious
494 help regarding database management. We thank Christophe Kinnard for sharing Reconyx data

495 with us and Denis Sarrazin for his technical support with automated weather stations. We also
496 thank David Bolduc for his help with the map in appendix.

497 **Funding:** This work was funded by Sentinel North program of the Canada First Research
498 Excellence Fund, the Fonds de recherche du Québec – Nature et technologies, the Natural
499 Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, the Polar Continental Shelf Program of
500 Natural Resources Canada, the Fondation de l'Université Laval and the W. Garfield Weston
501 Foundation.

502 **References**

503 Audet, B., G. Gauthier, and E. Lévesque. 2007. Feeding ecology of greater snow goose goslings
504 in mesic tundra on Bylot Island, Nunavut, Canada. *Condor* 109:361–376.

505 Banks, E. M., R. J. Brooks, and J. Schnell. 1975. A radiotracking study of home range and
506 activity of the brown lemming (*Lemmus trimucronatus*). *Journal of Mammalogy* 56:888–
507 901.

508 Barrere, M., F. Domine, M. Belke-Brea, and D. Sarrazin. 2018. Snowmelt events in autumn can
509 reduce or cancel the soil warming effect of snow-vegetation interactions in the arctic.
510 *Journal of Climate* 31:9507–9518.

511 Barton, K. 2022. Package MuMIn: Multi-model inference.

512 Batzli, G. O., F. A. Pitelka, and G. N. Cameron. 1983. Habitat use by lemming near Barrow ,
513 Alaska. *Holarctic Ecology* 6:255–262.

514 Von Beckerath, X., G. Benadi, O. Gilg, B. Sittler, G. Yannic, A.-M. Klein, and B. Eitzinger.
515 2021. Long-term monitoring reveals topographical features and vegetation explain winter
516 habitat use of an arctic rodent. *Arctic Science* 8:349–361.

517 Berteaux, D., G. Gauthier, F. Domine, R. A. Ims, S. F. Lamoureux, E. Lévesque, and N. Yoccoz.
518 2017. Effects of changing permafrost and snow conditions on tundra wildlife: critical places
519 and times. *Arctic Science* 3:65–90.

520 Bilodeau, F., G. Gauthier, and D. Berteaux. 2013. The effect of snow cover on lemming
521 population cycles in the Canadian High Arctic. *Oecologia* 172:1007–1016.

522 Boonstra, R., H. P. Andreassen, S. Boutin, J. Hušek, R. A. Ims, C. J. Krebs, C. Skarpe, and P.
523 Wabakken. 2016. Why do the boreal forest ecosystems of Northwestern Europe differ from
524 those of Western North America? *BioScience* 66:722–734.

525 Buckland, S. T., D. R. Anderson, K. P. Burnham, J. L. Laake, D. L. Borchers, and L. Thomas.
526 2004. *Advanced Distance Sampling: Estimating Abundance of Biological Populations*. Page
527 Oxford University Press, London.

528 Chami, P., R. Antoine, and A. Sahai. 2007. On efficient confidence intervals for the log-normal
529 mean. *Journal of Applied Sciences* 7:1790–1794.

530 Chappell, M. A. 1980. Thermal energetics and thermoregulatory costs of small arctic mammals.
531 *Journal of Mammalogy* 61:278–291.

532 Coe, P. K., D. A. Clark, R. M. Nielson, S. C. Gregory, J. B. Cupples, M. J. Hedrick, B. K.
533 Johnson, and D. H. Jackson. 2018. Multiscale models of habitat use by mule deer in winter.
534 *Journal of Wildlife Management* 82:1285–1299.

535 Conger, S. M., and D. M. McClung. 2009. Instruments and Methods: Comparison of density
536 cutters for snow profile observations. *Journal of Glaciology* 55:163–169.

537 Dolant, C., B. Montpetit, A. Langlois, L. Brucker, O. Zolina, C. A. Johnson, A. Royer, and P.

538 Smith. 2018. Assessment of the Barren Ground caribou die-off during winter 2015–2016
539 using passive microwave observations. *Geophysical Research Letters* 45:4908–4916.

540 Domine, F., M. Barrere, and S. Morin. 2016. The growth of shrubs on high Arctic tundra at
541 Bylot Island: impact on snow physical properties and permafrost thermal regime.
542 *Biogeosciences Discussions* 13:6471–6486.

543 Domine, F., M. Belke-Brea, D. Sarrazin, L. Arnaud, M. Poirier, and T. Joint. 2018a. Soil
544 moisture , wind speed and depth hoar formation in the Arctic snowpack. *Journal of*
545 *Glaciology* 64:1–29.

546 Domine, F., J. Bock, S. Morin, and G. Giraud. 2011. Linking the effective thermal conductivity
547 of snow to its shear strength and density. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*
548 116:1–10.

549 Domine, F., K. Fourteau, G. Picard, G. Lackner, D. Sarrazin, and M. Poirier. 2022. Permafrost
550 cooled in winter by thermal bridging through snow-covered shrub branches. *Nature*
551 *geoscience* 15:554–560.

552 Domine, F., J. C. Gallet, J. Bock, and S. Morin. 2012. Structure, specific surface area and
553 thermal conductivity of the snowpack around Barrow, Alaska. *Journal of Geophysical*
554 *Research Atmospheres* 117:1–12.

555 Domine, F., G. Gauthier, V. Vionnet, D. Fauteux, M. Dumont, and M. Barrere. 2018b. Snow
556 physical properties may be a significant determinant of lemming population dynamics in the
557 high Arctic. *Arctic Science* 4:813–826.

558 Domine, F., G. Lackner, D. Sarrazin, M. Poirier, and M. Belke-Brea. 2021. Meteorological,

559 snow and soil data (2013-2019) from a herb tundra permafrost site at Bylot Island,
560 Canadian high Arctic, for driving and testing snow and land surface models. *Earth System*
561 *Science Data* 13:4331–4348.

562 Domine, F., A. S. Taillandier, A. Cabanes, T. A. Douglas, and M. Sturm. 2009. Three examples
563 where the specific surface area of snow increased over time. *Cryosphere* 3:31–39.

564 Duchesne, D., G. Gauthier, and D. Berteaux. 2011a. Habitat selection, reproduction and
565 predation of wintering lemmings in the Arctic. *Oecologia* 167:967–980.

566 Duchesne, D., G. Gauthier, and D. Berteaux. 2011b. Evaluation of a method to determine the
567 breeding activity of lemmings in their winter nests. *Journal of Mammalogy* 92:511–516.

568 Fauteux, D., and G. Gauthier. 2022. Density-dependent demography and movements in a cyclic
569 brown lemming population. *Ecology and Evolution* 12:e9055.

570 Fauteux, D., G. Gauthier, and D. Berteaux. 2016. Top-down limitation of lemmings revealed by
571 experimental reduction of predators. *Ecology* 97:3231–3241.

572 Fauteux, D., G. Slevan-Tremblay, G. Gauthier, and D. Berteaux. 2017. Feeding preference of
573 brown lemmings (*Lemmus trimucronatus*) for plant parts of Arctic willow (*Salix arctica*).
574 *Polar Biology* 40:2329–2334.

575 Feng, C., H. Wang, N. Lu, T. Chen, H. He, Y. Lu, and X. M. Tu. 2014. Log-transformation and
576 its implications for data analysis. *Shanghai Archives of Psychiatry* 26:105–109.

577 Fourteau, K., P. Hagenmuller, J. Roulle, and F. Domine. 2022. On the use of heated needle
578 probes for measuring snow thermal conductivity. *Journal of Glaciology* 68:705–719.

579 Fuller, W. A., A. M. Martell, R. F. C. Smith, and S. W. Speller. 1975. High-arctic lemmings,

580 *Dicrostonyx groenlandicus*. II Demography. Canadian Journal of Zoology 53:867–878.

581 Gallet, J.-C. C., F. Domine, C. S. Zender, and G. Picard. 2009. Measurement of the specific
582 surface area of snow using infrared reflectance in an integrating sphere at 1310 and 1550
583 nm. The Cryosphere 3:167–182.

584 Gauthier, G., D. Berteaux, J. Bêty, A. Tarroux, J.-F. Therrien, L. McKinnon, P. Legagneux, and
585 M.-C. Cadieux. 2011. The tundra food web of Bylot Island in a changing climate and the
586 role of exchanges between ecosystems. Ecoscience 18:223–235.

587 Gauthier, G., J. Bêty, M.-C. Cadieux, P. Legagneux, M. Doiron, C. Chevallier, S. Lai, A.
588 Tarroux, and D. Berteaux. 2013. Long-term monitoring at multiple trophic levels suggests
589 heterogeneity in responses to climate change in the Canadian Arctic tundra. Philosophical
590 transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences 368:20120482.

591 Gilg, O., I. Hanski, and B. Sittler. 2003. Cyclic dynamics in a simple vertebrate predator-prey
592 community. Science (New York, N.Y.) 302:866–868.

593 Gilg, O., B. Sittler, and I. Hanski. 2009. Climate change and cyclic predator-prey population
594 dynamics in the high Arctic. Global Change Biology 15:2634–2652.

595 Glass, T. W., G. A. Breed, G. E. Liston, A. K. Reinking, M. D. Robards, and K. Kielland. 2021.
596 Spatiotemporally variable snow properties drive habitat use of an Arctic mesopredator.
597 Oecologia 195:887–899.

598 Halpin, M. A., and J. A. Bissonette. 1988. Influence of snow depth on prey availability and
599 habitat use by red fox. Canadian Journal of Zoology 66:587–592.

600 Hansen, B. B., R. Aanes, I. Herfindal, J. Kohler, and B.-E. Seather. 2011. Climate, icing, and

601 wild arctic reindeer: past relationships and future prospects. *Ecology* 92:1917–1923.

602 Hansen, B. B., K. Isaksen, R. E. Benestad, J. Kohler, Å. Pedersen, L. E. Loe, S. J. Coulson, J. O.
603 Larsen, and Ø. Varpe. 2014. Warmer and wetter winters: Characteristics and implications of
604 an extreme weather event in the High Arctic. *Environmental Research Letters* 9:114021.

605 Ims, R. A., J. A. Henden, and S. T. Killengreen. 2008. Collapsing population cycles. *Trends in*
606 *Ecology and Evolution* 23:79–86.

607 Kausrud, K. L., A. Mysterud, H. Steen, J. O. Vik, E. Østbye, B. Cazelles, E. Framstad, A. M.
608 Eikeset, I. Mysterud, T. Solhøy, and N. C. Stenseth. 2008. Linking climate change to
609 lemming cycles. *Nature* 456:93–97.

610 Langlois, A., C. A. Johnson, B. Montpetit, A. Royer, E. A. Blukacz-Richards, E. Neave, C.
611 Dolant, A. Roy, G. Arhonditsis, D. K. Kim, S. Kaluskar, and L. Brucker. 2017. Detection of
612 rain-on-snow (ROS) events and ice layer formation using passive microwave radiometry: A
613 context for Peary caribou habitat in the Canadian Arctic. *Remote Sensing of Environment*
614 189:84–95.

615 Liston, G. E., and C. A. Hiemstra. 2011. The changing cryosphere: Pan-Arctic snow trends
616 (1979-2009). *Journal of Climate* 24:5691–5712.

617 MacLean, S. F., B. M. Fitzgerald, and F. A. Pitelka. 1974. Cycles in arctic lemmings: winter
618 reproduction and predation by weasels. *Arctic and Alpine Research* 6:1–12.

619 Marbouty, D. 1980. An experimental study of temperature-gradient metamorphism. *Journal of*
620 *Glaciology* 26:303–312.

621 Martin, M. E., K. M. Moriarty, and J. N. Pauli. 2020. Forest structure and snow depth alter the

622 movement patterns and subsequent expenditures of a forest carnivore, the Pacific marten.
623 *Oikos* 129:356–366.

624 Millar, J. S. 2001. On reproduction in lemmings. *Ecoscience* 8:145–150.

625 Miller, D. L., E. Rexstad, L. Thomas, J. L. Laake, and L. Marshall. 2019. Distance sampling in
626 R. *Journal of Statistical Software* 89:1–28.

627 Morris, D. W., D. L. Davidson, and C. J. Krebs. 2000. Measuring the ghost of competition:
628 Insights from density-dependent habitat selection on the co-existence and dynamics of
629 lemmings. *Evolutionary Ecology Research* 2:41–67.

630 Nakagawa, S., and H. Schielzeth. 2013. A general and simple method for obtaining R² from
631 generalized linear mixed-effects models. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 4:133–142.

632 Poirier, M., D. Fauteux, G. Gauthier, F. Domine, and J. F. Lamarre. 2021. Snow hardness
633 impacts intranivean locomotion of arctic small mammals. *Ecosphere* 12:e03835.

634 Poirier, M., G. Gauthier, and F. Domine. 2019. What guides lemmings movements through the
635 snowpack? *Journal of Mammalogy* 100:1416–1426.

636 Pomeroy, J. W. W., and E. Brun. 1990. Physical properties of snow. *Snow Ecology: An*
637 *Interdisciplinary Examination of Snow-Covered Ecosystems* 97:45–126.

638 Predavec, M., and C. J. Krebs. 2000. Microhabitat utilisation, home ranges, and movement
639 patterns of the collared lemming (*Dicrostonyx groenlandicus*) in the central Canadian
640 Arctic. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 78.

641 R Core Team. 2021. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
642 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

643 Reid, D. G., F. Bilodeau, C. J. Krebs, G. Gauthier, J. Alice, B. S. Gilbert, M. C. Leung, D.
644 Duchesne, and E. Hofer. 2012. Lemming winter habitat choice : a snow-fencing experiment.
645 *Oecologia* 168:935–946.

646 Rennert, K. J., G. Roe, J. Putkonen, and C. M. Bitz. 2009. Soil thermal and ecological impacts of
647 rain on snow events in the circumpolar arctic. *Journal of Climate* 22:2302–2315.

648 Ripley, B., B. Venables, D. M. Bates, K. Hornik, A. Gebhardt, and D. Firth. 2022. Package ‘
649 MASS ’ version 7.3-58.

650 Schmidt, N. M., F. M. Van Beest, A. Dupuch, L. H. Hansen, J. Pierre, and D. W. Morris. 2021.
651 Long - term patterns in winter habitat selection, breeding and predation in a density -
652 fluctuating, high Arctic lemming population. *Oecologia* 195:927–935.

653 Seyer, Y., G. Gauthier, D. Fauteux, and J. F. Therrien. 2020. Resource partitioning among avian
654 predators of the Arctic tundra. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 89:2934–2945.

655 Soininen, E. M., G. Gauthier, F. Bilodeau, D. Berteaux, L. Gielly, P. Taberlet, G. Gussarova, E.
656 Bellemain, K. Hassel, H. K. Stenøien, L. Epp, A. Schröder-Nielsen, C. Brochmann, and N.
657 G. Yoccoz. 2015. Highly overlapping winter diet in two sympatric lemming species
658 revealed by DNA metabarcoding. *Plos One* 10:1–18.

659 Sorum, M. S., K. Joly, A. G. Wells, M. D. Cameron, G. V. Hilderbrand, and D. D. Gustine.
660 2019. Den-site characteristics and selection by brown bears (*Ursus arctos*) in the central
661 Brooks Range of Alaska. *Ecosphere* 10:e02822.

662 St-georges, M., S. Nadeau, and D. Lambert. 1995. Winter habitat use by ptarmigan, snowshoe
663 hares, red foxes, and river otters in the boreal forest - tundra transition zone of western

664 Quebec. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 73:755–764.

665 Stien, A., R. A. Ims, S. D. Albon, E. Fuglei, R. J. Irvine, E. Ropstad, O. Halvorsen, R. Langvatn,
666 L. E. Loe, V. Veiberg, and N. G. Yoccoz. 2012. Congruent responses to weather variability
667 in high arctic herbivores. *Biology Letters* 8:1002–1005.

668 Sturm, M., and C. Benson. 2004. Scales of spatial heterogeneity for perennial and seasonal snow
669 layers. *Annals of Glaciology* 38:253–260.

670 Sturm, M., and C. S. Benson. 1997. Vapor transport, grain growth and depth-hoar development
671 in the subarctic snow. *Journal of Glaciology* 43:42–59.

672 Sturm, M., J. Holmgren, M. König, and K. Morris. 1997. Thermal conductivity of seasonal
673 snow. *Journal of Glaciology* 43:26–41.

674 Sturm, M., J. Holmgren, and G. E. Liston. 1995. A seasonal snow cover classification system for
675 local to global applications. *Journal of Climate*:1261–1283.

676 Sturm, M., J. P. McFadden, G. E. Liston, S. Chapin III, C. H. Racine, and J. Holmgren. 2001.
677 Snow – shrub interactions in arctic tundra : A hypothesis with climatic implications. *Journal*
678 *of Climate* 14:336–344.

679 Tape, K., M. Sturm, and C. Racine. 2006. The evidence for shrub expansion in Northern Alaska
680 and the Pan-Arctic. *Global Change Biology* 12:686–702.

681 Le Vaillant, M., R. Erlandsson, B. Elmhagen, B. Hörnfeldt, N. E. Eide, and A. Angerbjörn. 2018.
682 Spatial distribution in Norwegian lemming *Lemmus lemmus* in relation to the phase of the
683 cycle. *Polar Biology* 41:1391–1403.

684 Venables, W. N., and B. D. Ripley. 2002. *Modern applied statistics with S*. Fourth Edi. Springer-

685 Verlag.

686 Zhang, T. 2005. Influence of the seasonal snow cover on the ground thermal regime: An
687 overview. *Reviews of Geophysics* 43:RG4002.

688

689 **Figure legends**

690 **Fig. 1** Lemming winter nest density in three different habitats (2007 – 2019) for (a) brown
691 lemming ($n_{\text{mesic}} = 431$, $n_{\text{riparian}} = 718$, $n_{\text{wet}} = 139$; total number of nests found) and (b) collared
692 lemming ($n_{\text{mesic}} = 200$, $n_{\text{riparian}} = 282$, $n_{\text{wet}} = 23$), and in four different habitats (2017 – 2019) for
693 (c) brown lemming ($n_{\text{mesic}} = 30$, $n_{\text{riparian}} = 70$, $n_{\text{shrub}} = 9$, $n_{\text{wet}} = 9$) and (d) collared lemming (n_{mesic}
694 $= 31$, $n_{\text{riparian}} = 58$, $n_{\text{shrub}} = 16$, $n_{\text{wet}} = 5$) at Bylot Island. Gray circles are individual years, black
695 circles are the mean and error bars represent SE

696 **Fig. 2** Relationship between winter nest density and (a) snow depth ($R^2_{\text{m}} = 0.29$, $R^2_{\text{c}} = 0.55$) and
697 (b) maximal height of depth hoar ($R^2_{\text{m}} = 0.34$, $R^2_{\text{c}} = 0.86$) for brown lemming (black circles) and
698 collared lemming (grey circle) at Bylot Island, 2014-2019. Top models were used (i.e., no model
699 averaging) and dashed lines are the 95% CI of the relationships. Outlier points showing high
700 brown lemming density at low values of snow depth and maximal height of depth hoar all belong
701 to the peak year of 2014

702 **Fig. 3** Proportion of winter nests with reproduction (mean + SE) in brown lemmings (black) and
703 collared lemmings (gray) in (a) three different habitats (2007 – 2019) and (b) four different
704 habitats (2017 – 2019) at Bylot Island. n = number of nests used to calculate the proportion, all
705 years confounded

706 **Fig. 4** Relationships between the proportion of winter nests with reproduction and (a) snow
707 depth, (b) maximal height of the depth hoar, (c) density of the basal snow layer and (d) thermal
708 conductivity (k_{eff}) of the basal snow layer in brown lemming (black circles) and collared
709 lemming (gray circles) at Bylot Island, 2014 – 2019. Top models were used (i.e., no model
710 averaging) and dashed lines are the 95% CI of the relationships

711 **Fig. 5** Snow properties of four winter habitats used by lemmings at Bylot Island, 2014 – 2019.
712 (a) basal density, (b) basal thermal conductivity (k_{eff}), (c) basal snow specific surface area (SSA),
713 (d) snow depth ($n_{\text{mesic}} = 342$, $n_{\text{riparian}} = 12$, $n_{\text{shrub}} = 527$, $n_{\text{wet}} = 397$), (e) maximal height of depth
714 hoar, and (f) date of permanent snow onset ($n_{\text{mesic}} = 5$, $n_{\text{riparian}} = 5$, $n_{\text{shrub}} = 5$, $n_{\text{wet}} = 5$). For a, b, c
715 and e; $n_{\text{mesic}} = 20$, $n_{\text{riparian}} = 28$, $n_{\text{shrub}} = 33$, $n_{\text{wet}} = 21$. Gray circles represent individual
716 measurements, black circles are the mean and error bars represent SE

717 **Fig. 6** (a) Daily temperature and (b) daily temperature fluctuations in the basal snow layer over
718 the winter 2017 in three winter habitats used by lemmings at Bylot Island. There is a data gap for
719 shrub between February 14 and March 2. Additional years (2020 and 2021) are presented in
720 Supplementary Material S1 Fig. S7




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig.5




Fig. 6

Table 1 (a) Coefficients of the models examining the influence of three (2007-2019) or four habitats (2017-2019) on lemming nest density (ln-transformed). (b) Coefficients of the models examining the influence of three (2007-2019) or four habitats (2017-2019) on proportion of nests with reproduction. Year was used as a random effect except for the reproduction rate analysis of the 2017-2019 dataset. Estimates in bold indicate that the 95% confidence interval did not include 0.

a) Nest density

Years	Habitat comparisons	β^1	95% CI	R^2_m	R^2_c
2007 - 2019	riparian - mesic	0.57	[0.07, 1.07]	0.41	0.72
	wet - mesic	-1.78	[-2.29, -1.28]		
	wet - riparian	-2.36	[-2.86, -1.85]		
2017 - 2019	riparian - mesic	1.71	[1.02, 2.41]	0.51	0.80
	shrub - mesic	0.85	[0.16, 1.54]		
	wet- mesic	-0.84	[-1.54, -0.15]		
	shrub - riparian	-0.86	[-1.56, -0.17]		
	wet - riparian	-2.56	[-3.25, -1.86]		
	wet - shrub	-1.69	[-2.39, -1.00]		

b) Proportion of nests with reproduction

Years	Habitat comparisons	β^1	95% CI	R^2_m	R^2_c
2007 - 2019	riparian - mesic	0.44	[0.22, 0.67]	0.36	0.67
	wet - mesic	-0.17	[-0.53, 0.19]		
	wet - riparian	-0.61	[-0.96, -0.27]		
2017 - 2019	riparian - mesic	0.42	[-0.24, 1.08]	$R^2 = 0.32$	
	shrub - mesic	-0.50	[-1.71, 0.70]		
	wet - mesic	-0.16	[-1.27, 0.96]		
	shrub - riparian	-0.92	[-2.08, 0.23]		
	wet - riparian	-0.58	[-1.64, 0.48]		
	wet - shrub	0.35	[-1.12, 1.81]		

¹ Coefficients are calculated from Tukey's HSD test.

Table 2 Model-averaged coefficient estimates of the effect of various snow parameters on (a) lemming nest density and (b) proportion of lemming nests with of reproduction (see models on Table S2). Estimates in bold indicate that the 95% confidence interval did not include 0.

a) Nest density

Parameter	β	95% CI
snow depth	0.02	[0.01, 0.03]
max height depth hoar	0.14	[0.02, 0.26]
basal k_{eff}	2.43	[-20.39, 25.26]
basal density	0	[-0.02, 0.02]
collared lemming	-0.65	[-1.3, 0]

b) Proportion of nests with reproduction

Parameter	β	95% CI
snow depth⁻¹	-24.22	[-42.77, -5.68]
max height depth hoar	-0.05	[-0.08, 0.02]
basal density	-0.01	[-0.01, 0]
basal k_{eff}	-19.5	[-35.01, -3.98]
collared lemming	0.73	[0.34, 1.12]