



## A major 6 Mb superlocus is involved in pyrethroid resistance in the common bed bug *Cimex lectularius*

Chloé Haberkorn, Jean-philippe David, Hélène Henri, Jean-marie Delpuech,  
Romain Lasseur, Fabrice Vavre, Julien Varaldi

### ► To cite this version:

Chloé Haberkorn, Jean-philippe David, Hélène Henri, Jean-marie Delpuech, Romain Lasseur, et al.. A major 6 Mb superlocus is involved in pyrethroid resistance in the common bed bug *Cimex lectularius*. *Evolutionary Applications*, 2023, 16 (5), pp.1012 - 1028. 10.1111/eva.13550 . hal-04306739

**HAL Id: hal-04306739**

**<https://hal.science/hal-04306739>**

Submitted on 25 Nov 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Evolutionary Applications

Open Access

WILEY

# A major 6 Mb superlocus is involved in pyrethroid resistance in the common bed bug *Cimex lectularius*

Chloé Haberkorn<sup>1,2</sup>  | Jean-Philippe David<sup>3</sup>  | Hélène Henri<sup>1</sup>  | Jean-Marie Delpuech<sup>1</sup> | Romain Lasseur<sup>2</sup> | Fabrice Vavre<sup>1</sup>  | Julien Varaldi<sup>1</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>CNRS, VetAgro Sup, UMR 5558, Laboratoire de Biométrie et Biologie Évolutive, Université de Lyon, Université Lyon 1, Villeurbanne, France

<sup>2</sup>IZInnovation, Lyon, France

<sup>3</sup>Laboratoire d'Écologie Alpine, UMR UGA-USMB-CNRS 5553 Université Grenoble Alpes CS 40700, Grenoble cedex 9, France

## Correspondence

Chloé Haberkorn, CNRS, VetAgro Sup, UMR 5558, Laboratoire de Biométrie et Biologie Évolutive, Université de Lyon, Université Lyon 1, Villeurbanne, France. Email: [chloehbk@gmail.com](mailto:chloehbk@gmail.com)

## Funding information

Association Nationale de la Recherche et de la Technologie, Grant/Award Number: 2019/0800; Université de Lyon, Grant/Award Number: ANR-11-IDEX-0007 and ANR-16-IDEX-0005

## Abstract

In the last few years, the bed bug *Cimex lectularius* has been an increasing problem worldwide, mainly due to the development of insecticide resistance to pyrethroids. The characterization of resistance alleles is a prerequisite to improve surveillance and resistance management. To identify genomic variants associated with pyrethroid resistance in *Cimex lectularius*, we compared the genetic composition of two recent and resistant populations with that of two ancient-susceptible strains using a genome-wide pool-seq design. We identified a large 6 Mb “superlocus” showing particularly high genetic differentiation and association with the resistance phenotype. This superlocus contained several clustered resistance genes and was also characterized by a high density of structural variants (inversions, duplications). The possibility that this superlocus constitutes a resistance “supergene” that evolved after the clustering of alleles adapted to insecticide and after reduction in recombination is discussed.

## KEYWORDS

bed bug, *Cimex lectularius*, duplication, insecticide resistance, inversion, pool-seq, SNP, superlocus

## 1 | INTRODUCTION

The common bed bug *Cimex lectularius* (Hemiptera) is a blood-sucking human parasite that can cause both physical and psychological disorders, such as allergic reactions (Alexander, 1984), sleep deprivation (Susser et al., 2012) or even paranoia (Goddard & Deshazo, 2009). Although the massive use of insecticides in the 20th century helped to regulate their populations, a phenomenon of resurgence of the species has been observed since the late 1990s (Potter, 2011). This population boom in bed bugs is thought to be due to the increase in international travel, the growing popularity of second-hand market (Doggett et al., 2004), and the spread of insecticide resistance (Davies et al., 2012). Pyrethroid insecticides are widely used against bed bugs, thereby exercising a strong selection pressure. Hence, the

current rise of bed bugs is believed to be mainly imputable to the emergence of pyrethroid resistance (Romero et al., 2007).

Insecticide resistance mechanisms have long been studied in a variety of insects, and are divided into two types: behavioral resistance, and physiological resistance (Lockwood et al., 1984). Behavioral resistance is defined as the ability to avoid or reduce lethal exposure to an insecticide. Physiological resistance consists in decreasing insecticide intake by preventing its entry, with decreased cuticular penetration (Balabanidou et al., 2018), or limiting its effect, through increased detoxification metabolism and decreased target site sensitivity (Feyereisen, 1995).

To date, no convincing evidence of behavioral resistance has been found in *C. lectularius* (see review Dang et al., 2017), although some behaviors that may limit the effect of the insecticide have been

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2023 The Authors. *Evolutionary Applications* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

observed in both resistant and susceptible strains. Pyrethroid insecticide could indeed have an excitatory-repulsive effect on bed bugs, with increased movement whenever in contact, or the choice of an untreated place to hide (Romero et al., 2009a).

Cuticular resistance can be the consequence of thickening or change in cuticular structure that decreases the rate of insecticide penetration. A morphological study confirmed that cuticle was thicker in a pyrethroid-resistant strain of bed bugs collected in Australia, compared to a susceptible one (Lilly et al., 2018). An increased cuticle thickening was correlated with a longer time-to-knockdown after insecticide exposure. Further studies based on RT-qPCR assays showed that cuticular protein genes, such as chitin synthase (CHS) or larval/pupal cuticle protein (L/PCP), had higher transcript levels in resistant bed bug strains (Koganemaru et al., 2013; Mamidala et al., 2012).

Following penetration of the cuticular barrier, detoxification metabolism may be mobilized to degrade, sequester, and enhance the excretion of the insecticide. In resistant populations of bed bugs, an increased activity of several detoxification enzymes such as cytochromes P450s (Romero et al., 2009b) and esterases (Lilly et al., 2016) has been observed. Higher expressions of glutathione-S-transferases (GSTs) have also been detected in resistant bed bug immature stages, but this mechanism is yet to be identified in adults (Mamidala et al., 2011). Some studies also used specific enzymatic inhibitors to determine the involvement of particular detoxification enzyme families in the resistant phenotype. Piperonyl butoxide (PBO), an inhibitor of P450s, significantly decreased resistance against the pyrethrinoid deltamethrin (Romero et al., 2009b). Similarly, an inhibitor of esterases increased bed bug mortality to the pyrethroid lambda-cyhalothrin (Lilly et al., 2016).

Pyrethroid being the main chemical insecticide used today against bed bugs, its target protein site is also particularly studied (Akhoundi et al., 2015; Balvín & Booth, 2018; Dang et al., 2015). Pyrethroids target the voltage-gated sodium channels (VGSC) in the nervous system of the insect. When pyrethroids bind to the VGSC, they induce paralysis of the insects by maintaining the channels in an open state (knock-down effect). A single nucleotide non-synonymous mutation can alter VGSC conformation, and therefore hinder pyrethroid binding, thus conferring knock-down resistance (*kdr* mutations).

Three *kdr* mutations have been associated with pyrethroid resistance in *C. lectularius*: V419L (valine in position 419 to leucine), L925I (leucine in position 925 to isoleucine), and I936F (isoleucine at position 936 to phenylalanine). V419L and L925I *kdr* mutations were detected in Eurasia and USA in early 2000s, while the I936F mutation was detected in Australia during the same period (Dang et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2010, 2013). According to Durand et al. (2012), all bed bugs sampled in Paris ( $n=124$ ) during 2011 were homozygous for the L925I mutation.

In bed bugs, many reports showed resistance through phenotypic assays to various insecticides (Lilly et al., 2018; Romero & Anderson, 2016). Using knowledge obtained on other insect systems, the association between resistance phenotype and genes has been

explored for a few candidate genes, such as VGSC (Zhu et al., 2013). Other wider approaches identified genes potentially involved in resistance by comparing the transcriptomic profile of resistant and susceptible lines (Adelman et al., 2011; Mamidala et al., 2012).

In an attempt to further identify the underlying genetic factors of resistance, Fountain et al. (2016) went through a RAD-tag-based QTL mapping of resistance to deltamethrin by crossing a susceptible strain with a pyrethroid-resistant one, both originating from London. Using 334 SNP markers, they found a major QTL associated with insecticide resistance on a Linkage Group (LG 12). Interestingly, this 10Mb region contains several genes considered as valuable candidates for pyrethroid resistance, such as the VGSC, a carboxylesterase, and several P450s. However, identified genes alone failed to fully explain variations in resistance phenotype, with the major QTL detected explaining only 64.2% of phenotypic variation. Moreover, since this study was based on RAD-tags only, it did not provide the full sequences of these putative resistance variants. Nor did this analysis provide any information on structural variants.

Indeed, structural variants such as duplications and inversions have been shown to be potentially involved in insecticide resistance, as in the mosquito *Anopheles gambiae* (Assogba et al., 2015; Brooke et al., 2002). Inversions can inhibit recombination between standard and inverted regions in the heterozygote state, thus having a potential protective effect on a large number of genes (Ayala et al., 2014; Mérot et al., 2020). Other structural variants, as gene copy number, could also lead to insecticide resistance. Changes in gene copy number (Copy Number Variation, or CNV), and specifically duplications, can lead to overexpression of genes through a "gene-dosage" effect (Kondrashov, 2012). Amplification of genes encoding detoxification enzymes can enhance insecticide metabolism in many insects, and most notably via three gene families: esterases, glutathione S-transferases (GSTs), and cytochrome P450 mono-oxygenases (P450s) (Bass & Field, 2011). However, the role of structural variants in the capacity of bed bugs to resist insecticides has not yet been investigated.

In this study, we aim to provide a genome-wide analysis of the genetic factors underlying deltamethrin resistance in bed bugs. We performed DNA pool-sequencing on four strains of *C. lectularius* with different insecticide resistance status. Based on both single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and structural variants (SVs) detection, we used population genomics to identify allelic variants and genomic regions associated with the resistance phenotype.

## 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 | Insects

The four strains used in this study were provided by CimexStore Ltd (Chepstow, UK). Two of these strains were susceptible to pyrethroids (S), as they were collected before their massive use and have been maintained under laboratory condition without insecticide exposure for more than 40 years: German Lab (GL,

collected in Monheim, Germany) and London Lab (LL, collected in London, Great Britain). The other two resistant (R) populations were London Field (LF, collected in 2008 in London) moderately resistant to pyrethroids, and Sweden Field (SF, collected in 2015 in Malmö, Sweden), with a moderate-to-high resistance level, according to CimexStore statement. These statutes were confirmed by our bioassays (see below).

Insects were kept isolated before imaginal moult in 24-wells Petri dishes containing accordion-folded blotting papers, serving as harborage. Bed bugs were maintained at 25°C, 40% relative humidity (RH), and a photoperiod of 12:12h. Since males perform the so-called traumatic insemination to copulate, which can be costly for their partners (Stutt & Siva-Jothy, 2001), bioassays were conducted only on 7-day-old unfed virgin females. Insecticide resistance is thought to be autosomal in bed bugs (Feroz, 1969; Fountain et al., 2016).

## 2.2 | Topical assay

The insecticide resistance level of the “field” strains and the susceptibility of the “lab” strains was first confirmed by determining the resistance ratio between these strains. Topical insecticide bioassays were carried out with deltamethrin (98% purity, Cluzeau, Sainte-Foy-La-Grande, France), a pyrethroid, as it remains the most used insecticide family recently for bed bug control. Three replicates of 10 insects were used both for control and per insecticide dose, ranging from 0.01 ng to 120 ng per L. Bed bugs were previously immobilized by placing them in a Petri dish on ice for 5 min. Topical applications were then made onto the ventral surface of the thorax, between the coxae, with a 50-L glass syringe attached to a repeating dispenser (Hamilton Co.). Treated insects were exposed to 1 L of insecticide diluted in acetone, whereas control insects received 1 L of acetone only. Mortality was assessed after 24 h by flipping each insect on dorsal side with a feather-weight forceps, to see if it was able to reverse on the ventral side (alive) or if its movements were not coordinated enough to do so (moribund, considered as dead).

Dose-mortality data were analyzed by using binomial Generalized Linear Models with a probit transformation using the R/ecotox package v1.4.4 (Hlina et al., 2021). Statistical tests and resistance ratios were computed on lethal doses for 95% of individuals ( $LD_{95}$ ). Resistant ratios were calculated on pairs as follows: highest  $LD_{95}$  (i.e. of the more resistant population of the pair) divided by the lowest  $LD_{95}$  (more susceptible population).

## 2.3 | DNA extraction and sequencing

For each strain, genomic DNA was extracted from 30 individual females (except for London Lab which had only 28) using NucleoSpin 96 Tissue Kit (Macherey Nagel) and eluted in 100 L of BE buffer. DNA concentration of these samples was measured using Quant-iT

PicoGreen Kit (ThermoFisher) according to manufacturer's instructions.

Samples were then gathered with an equal DNA quantity into pools. DNA purification was performed for each pool with 1.8 times the sample volume in AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter). Purified DNA was retrieved in 100 L of ultrapure water. Pool concentrations were measured with Qubit using DNA HS Kit (Agilent). Final pool concentrations were as follows: 38.5 ng/L for London Lab, 41.6 ng/L for London Field, 40.3 ng/L for German Lab and 38 ng/L for Sweden Field.

Sequencing was performed using TruSeq Nano Kit (Illumina) to produce paired-end read of 2 × 150 bp length and a coverage of 25× for London Lab, 32× for London Field, 39.5× for German Lab and 25.4× for Sweden Field by Genotoul.

## 2.4 | Pool-seq data processing

The whole pipeline with the detail of parameters used is available on GitHub (<https://github.com/chaberko-lbbe/clec-poolseq>). Quality control analysis of reads obtained from each line was performed using FastQC (<http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc>). The raw data have been submitted to the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database of NCBI under BioProject PRJNA826750. Sequencing reads were filtered using Trimmomatic software v0.39 (Bolger et al., 2014), which removes adaptors. FastUniq v1.1 was then used to remove PCR duplicates (Xu et al., 2012). Reads were mapped on the *C. lectularius* reference genome (Clec\_2.1 assembly, Harlan strain) performed as part of the i5K project (Poelchau et al., 2015), with an estimated size of 510.83 Mb. Mapping was performed using BWA mem v0.7.4 (Li & Durbin, 2009). Sam files were converted to bam format using samtools v1.9, and cleaned of unmapped reads (Li et al., 2009). The 1573 nuclear scaffolds were kept in this analysis, while the mitochondrial scaffold was not considered.

## 2.5 | Detecting single nucleotide polymorphisms

### 2.5.1 | Overall SNPs analysis

Bam files corresponding to the four populations were converted into mpileup format with samtools v1.9. The mpileup file was then converted to sync format by PoPoolation2 version 1201 (Kofler et al., 2011). 8.03 million (M) SNPs were detected on this sync file using R/poolfstat package v2.0.0 (Hivert et al., 2018) and the following parameters: coverage per pool between 10 and 50. In parallel, nucleotidic diversity ( $n$ ) was computed using PoPoolation2 Variance-sliding.pl (default parameters).

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was performed using R/pcadapt package v4.3.3 and “pool” type parameter (Privé et al., 2020). Fixation indexes ( $F_{ST}$ ) were computed with R/poolfstat for each pairwise population comparison of each SNP.

Global SNP pool was then trimmed on minor allele frequency (MAF) of 0.2 (computed as  $MAF = 0.5 - |p - 0.5|$ ), with  $p$  being the average frequency across all four populations. This relatively high MAF value was chosen in order to remove loci for which we have very limited power to detect any association with the resistance phenotype in the BayPass analysis. BayPass v2.3 (Olazcuaga et al., 2020) was performed with the standard covariate model, considering covariate data such as strain resistance status. The final dataset was thus reduced to 2.92M SNPs located on 990 scaffolds (Dang et al., 2017) and has been deposited on Dryad ([doi.org/10.5061/dryad.9cnp5hqp6](https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.9cnp5hqp6)).

## 2.5.2 | Selecting outlier SNPs

Several filters were combined in order to detect SNPs potentially associated with insecticide resistance, hereafter called outlier SNPs. We first used R/poolfstat to identify the most differentiated alleles between the two most closely related populations, namely London Lab and London Field. Then, BayPass was used, as it takes into account the covariance of allelic frequencies between populations, arising because of common demographic history, and enabled to identify SNPs potentially under selection (i.e. SNPs showing extreme genetic differentiation). Thirdly, we selected alleles in a derived state compared to the allele carried by the reference Harlan strain, and in higher frequency in London Field than in London Lab. These steps are described more in detail below.

At first, to identify mutations potentially involved in resistance, we used fixation index ( $F_{ST}$ ) calculated with R/poolfstat for each pairwise population comparison for each SNP. SNPs with  $F_{ST}$  values belonging to the top 5% of the whole genome  $F_{ST}$  distribution computed between London Lab and London Field strains were considered as "outliers". This comparison between London strains was chosen because of their high genetic proximity (see 'Section 3').

In the second step, we analyzed the whole dataset (the four strains) using the package BayPass. This package aims at identifying genetic markers associated with covariates (in our case, the resistance phenotype), while taking into account the shared history of populations. The contrast statistic C2 was computed to compare allele frequencies between resistant (London Field and Sweden Field) and susceptible strains (London Lab and German Lab). We corrected the  $p$ -values associated with C2 using  $R/q$  value version 2.26.0 to compute a local false discovery rate (lfr) for each  $p$ -value. SNPs were first selected when having a lfr below 0.2.

For each SNP across the four populations, the likelihood of the data was then estimated under a first model without selection, as well as under a second alternative model invoking selection. Bayes factor (BF) was computed to represent the ratio of these two likelihoods. A ratio equal to 2 indicates that the data favor the second model (with selection) twice as much as the first model (without selection). According to Kass and Raftery (1995), we considered SNPs having a  $\log_{10}(\text{Bayes factor}) > 0.5$  as valuable candidates. In deciban (dB) units, used in BayPass (i.e.  $10 \times \log_{10}(\text{BF})$ ), this corresponds to a threshold of 5.

Finally, we are assuming that the reference genome strain (Harlan strain), which is insecticide susceptible, carries the ancestral alleles for genes involved in insecticide resistance. Indeed, the Harlan strain was collected back in 1973 in the field, and thus has never been in contact with modern insecticides (Dang et al., 2017). From this perspective, we expected the frequency of alternative alleles (i.e. different from the reference genome allele at a position) to have increased over time under insecticide selective pressure. Therefore, only derived alleles with higher frequency in London Field were kept in the outlier list. SNPs that passed all combined filters described above were further considered as valid outliers, hence as associated with the resistance phenotype. A sliding window was computed for the number of outlier SNPs in 100kb with a step of 10kb, using `sw` function from the R package `pegas` v.1.1 (Paradis, 2010).

To locate SNPs in genes, we used the GFF3 file from NCBI (*C. lectularius* RefSeq genome, assembly accession GCF\_000648675.2). It includes the type of feature and the coordinates of each genomic region (promoter, 3' or 5' UTRs, intronic or exonic regions, and intergenic). Variants and their impact on protein sequences were analyzed using R/VariantAnnotation v1.34.0 (Obenchain et al., 2014) and R/GenomicFeatures v1.40.1 (Lawrence et al., 2013).

Among the 2.92M SNPs, 1.52M (53%) were located within genes, with a huge majority inside intronic regions (1.45M, i.e. 51%). We identified 576 outlier SNPs (0.02%), 369 of which were located within genes (0.01% of all SNPs).

## 2.6 | Mapping scaffolds on linkage groups

Based on a QTL RAD-seq analysis, Fountain et al. (2016) constructed a genetic map for *C. lectularius* assembly Clec\_1.0. Nevertheless, the genome assembly of *C. lectularius* has been updated in the meantime (v1.0 to 2.1). In order to improve the genetic map produced, we decided to use Fountain's RAD-seq data and R scripts to generate a genetic map based on the latest genome assembly. In order to assemble scaffolds into putative autosomes, hereafter called linkage groups (LG), we re-aligned Fountain's RAD sequencing tags (available here <https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.d4r50>) with GSNAP version 2020-06-01 on the RefSeq genome version 2.1, rather than version 1.0 used by Fountain. By following the same pipeline, we were able to keep 338 out of 441 RAD markers, distributed over 14 linkage groups, as in Fountain analysis. Finally, out of 1573 nuclear scaffolds, 151 were kept, as containing uniquely mapped markers. The 14 putative autosomes obtained had an overall similar gene content as in Fountain et al. (2016) (Figure S6). While Fountain managed to map >65% of the genome on linkage groups, we only obtained 46% (i.e. 234.7Mb out of 510Mb). One explanation could reside in the higher fragmentation of the new genome version. Indeed, the latest version of the genome was split into more scaffolds (Clec\_1.0: 1402 scaffolds, Clec\_2.1: 1574 scaffolds – see [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/annotation\\_euk/Cimex\\_lectularius/101/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/annotation_euk/Cimex_lectularius/101/)). RAD markers therefore potentially fall into smaller scaffolds, which can lead to this loss of information.



An analysis of enrichment in outlier SNPs was performed on LG using R/stats default package (binomial test with Bonferroni correction for multiple testing). Then, to further identify smaller genomic regions of interest, enrichment tests were done at the scaffold level.

## 2.7 | Functional analysis

In order to test whether some relevant biological functions were enriched in a set of candidate genes, we defined 10 categories of genes potentially involved in resistance, similar to what was done by Faucon et al. (2015), and benefiting from the extensive literature on the topic in several insects. Six of them corresponded to metabolic resistance: "Binding/Sequestration" (6 genes), "GST" (14), "CCE" (39), "UDPGT" (39), "ABC transporter/MRP" (55), and "P450" (56). The category "Other detox" (64) includes transcription factors, which could modulate detoxification enzyme expressions (Amezian et al., 2021). While "Cuticle" (113 genes) encompasses cuticular resistance genes, "Insecticide target and nervous system" (31) covers all the targets of the different insecticide families, as well as genes that could contribute to maintain neurological activity. Finally, bed bugs being hematophagous insects, they are subject to oxydative stress after a blood meal, and therefore adapted to deal with this stress. This feature could be advantageous for their defense against oxidative stress caused by pyrethroid exposure (Wang, 2016). We thus defined a "Redox homeostasis" category (14 genes). Based on gene annotation extracted from the GFF3 file (GCF\_000648675.2), 431 out of the 13,208 genes (without tRNA and mitochondrial scaffold) were assigned to one of these categories (Table S1). Analysis of enrichment of candidate genes in each resistance category were performed by comparing their proportions in genomic regions of interest with whole genome, using R/stats default package (proportion test with FDR correction for multiple comparisons).

## 2.8 | Structural variants

Emphasis was placed on two types of structural variants (SVs), duplications and inversions. London Field population was compared to the susceptible reference genome, and to the susceptible London Lab population, in order to detect SVs that could have been selected and underlie the resistance phenotype.

### 2.8.1 | Combining read depth and pairs orientation to detect SVs

The presence of SVs was inferred based on abnormal read pair orientation and/or distance (insert size), and read depth variation. We used poolCNVcomp (North et al., 2020; Schrider et al., 2013; Schrider et al., 2016), a series of scripts designed for the detection of tandem duplications in pool-seq data, and adapted them to detect inverted duplications and simple inversions as well. The first step

identifies read pairs having abnormal orientation and extreme insert size (top 1% distribution) in each population. In a tandem duplication, read pairs that are falling between the two copies will both map on the reference genome in a reversed way (i.e. in opposite direction). For inverted duplication, one of the two paired reads will be in the wrong orientation. The 2nd step groups them together if they fall inside the same genomic region, using parameter insertSizeDiffCutoff (standard deviation of insert sizes distribution respectively to each scaffold, multiplied by four). At the end of these two first steps, candidate structural variants have been delineated, in comparison to the reference genome. The 3rd step then matched corresponding structural variants between population pools, using the parameter distancecutoff (redefined as the sum of insertSizeDiffCutoff from populations A and B), to determine if the coordinates are close enough to represent the same event in resistant and susceptible populations.

The 4th step aims at computing read depth in each pool. During this process, repeated regions were masked. To obtain those repeated regions, we performed a blast of transposable elements detected in *C. lectularius* by Petersen et al. (2019) on the latest genome assembly, i.e. *Clec\_2.1*. All hits with more than 80% nucleotidic identity were considered as repeated regions and masked.

Next, in North et al. (2020) 4pt5 step, we used the coverage data calculated for each pool to test whether London Field had more copies compared to London Lab in putative duplications. Under this hypothesis, the coverage ratio London Field/London Lab on a locus of interest should be disproportionately high compared to a null expectation. To determine a threshold, we estimated empirically the read depth ratio London Field/London Lab under the null hypothesis of no amplification between London Field and London Lab strains. This expectation was computed for a set of windows of different sizes (500bp to 2.5Mb,  $n=10,000$ ), randomly sampled in the genomes. We then compared observed values with the corresponding null distribution (for a given size class), and considered that events with ratios falling above the 75% upper quantile were valid candidates for high copy number amplifications.

We finally filtered out events smaller than the size class of 3.5 kb (half the median size of genes, being 7kb), leading to our global set of events. We used the same script to identify inversions not associated with duplications. The single difference with the previous pipeline was that the coverage ratio had to be between the 25% and 75% quantiles.

The presence of transposable elements may interfere with the calling of SVs due to multiple mapping. We therefore removed structural variants if their coordinates on extremities were overlapping for more than 100bp with TE (on 150 pb).

### 2.8.2 | Identifying highly differentiated SVs

A rough estimate of the allelic frequency of each event was done by computing the coverage in the 150bp inward flanking regions of each event for each strain, using samtools v1.9. For each pool, we

then estimated the frequency of reads supporting the SV by dividing the number of reads with peculiar orientation by the mean value of the coverage in the flanking regions. Events showing a higher frequency in London Field than in London Lab were selected.

We then calculated the  $F_{ST}$  index of differentiation based on these estimated frequencies.  $F_{ST}$  falling in the top 10% were considered as valid candidates ( $q_{90}=0.040$  for inversions, 0.040 for inverted duplications and 0.036 for tandem duplications).

We tested whether scaffolds were enriched with structural variants or not, by comparing the observed number of SV per scaffold with the expected one under the hypothesis of homogeneous distribution among the scaffolds (expected number being the total number of SV divided by the total size of scaffolds, multiplied by each scaffold size). Scaffolds having <5 expected events were then removed. We then computed a  $\chi^2$  test for each scaffold (1 d.f.), and corrected the associated  $p$ -values using Bonferroni method. The same method was used to test whether scaffolds were enriched in a specific type of structural variant (tandem duplications, inverted duplications, or inversions).

Finally, we detected for each category events that were overlapping with genes. To do so, we extracted all genes falling into windows encompassing an event and 1 kb further upstream and downstream. We also looked at SVs affecting candidate resistance genes.

### 3 | RESULTS

#### 3.1 | Resistance phenotype of the four strains

We tested the resistance status of the four *C. lectularius* strains to the pyrethroid insecticide deltamethrin. As expected, the two recently collected field strains showed higher deltamethrin resistance as compared to the two ancient lab strains (Figure 1a).

German Lab and London Lab had the lowest  $LD_{95}$  values, respectively 0.4 ng and 1.3 ng of deltamethrin per bed bug. On the contrary, London Field, and Sweden Field had higher  $LD_{95}$ , respectively


21.0 ng and 69.2 ng (Table S2). Resistance ratios to this dose ( $RR_{95}$ ) between field and lab strains were all >10 $\times$  (Table 1), which is usually considered as high resistance ratio (Mazzarri & Georgiou, 1995).

Comparisons revealed that all strains had significantly different phenotypes in terms of insecticide resistance, exception made of Sweden Field versus London Field and London Lab. Overall, these results confirmed the resistance status of the two field strains (R) compared to the two susceptible lab strains (S).

#### 3.2 | Genome-wide genetic differentiation

8.03 million (M) SNPs, distributed over 1077 scaffolds, were detected. The genetic diversity, measured as  $n$ , was very similar across the four populations (Table 2). After PCA, the four populations were projected onto two principal components, PC1 and PC2, explaining respectively 42.71% and 34.34% of variance (Figure 1b). The four strains clustered according to their geographic origin, rather than their age or their deltamethrin resistance status. The historical and modern strains collected in London were noticed to be very close to each other on the 2D plot, whereas strains collected in different countries were distant (respectively Germany, Sweden, and United Kingdom). This observation suggests that London Lab and London Field shared a common genetic background comparatively to the other strains.

Average fixation indices ( $F_{ST}$ ) were then computed to provide a measure of pairwise population genetic differentiation. As expected from the PCA analysis (Figure 1b), the mean  $F_{ST}$  calculated between London Field and London Lab was the lowest ( $F_{ST}=0.018$ , i.e. 1.8%), compared to the other comparisons which revealed much higher levels of differentiation (between 4.8% and 8.9%, Table 2). It therefore confirmed the weak genetic differentiation between the two strains from London. Both PCA and  $F_{ST}$  analysis thus indicate that the comparison between London Lab and London Field is particularly relevant to identify candidates potentially involved in insecticide resistance.



**FIGURE 1** Analysis of the four-bed bug strains used (German Lab: red square, London Lab: red round, London Field: green round, Sweden Field: green triangle). (a) Dose–response curves to the insecticide deltamethrin exposure in bed bug populations German Lab (dashed red line), London Lab (red line), London Field (green line), and Sweden Field (dashed green line). Average mortality percentages are shown for each log10-transformed insecticide dose (in ng/L) with standard deviation across replicates. Lines correspond to probit transformations of the data. (b) Projection of four-bed bug populations on the top two principal components using PCA on 8.03 million SNPs.

Population comparison	Statistical pgof test	Standard error	Resistance ratio
London Lab – London Field	2.95 (*)	0.414	17x
German Lab – London Field	4.34 (**)	0.411	60x
London Lab – Sweden Field	1.48 (-)	1.17	55x
German Lab – Sweden Field	1.96 (*)	1.17	199x
German Lab – London Lab	6.81 (**)	0.082	4x
London Field – Sweden Field	0.42 (-)	1.24	3x

Note: Significance levels for statistical tests are indicated, based on  $p$ -values (not significant (-), <0.05 (\*), <0.001 (\*\*)).

**TABLE 2** Mean  $F_{ST}$  values for pairwise comparisons of *Cimex lectularius* strains, and within-strain nucleotide diversity ( $\pi$ , in bold).

Strains	German lab	London lab	London field	Sweden field
German lab	<b>0.0048</b>	0.059	0.065	0.089
London lab	–	<b>0.0045</b>	0.018	0.061
London field	–	–	<b>0.0045</b>	0.048
Sweden field	–	–	–	<b>0.0050</b>

### 3.3 | Overview of SNPs

Within the 2.92M SNPs that passed the QC filters, 576 outlier SNPs were identified (using top 5%  $F_{ST}$  LL-LF, BayPass metrics, and  $F_{alt}LF > F_{alt}LL$ , see 'Section 2' for detail). Among them, 369 were detected within genes. With only 7 outliers in putative resistance genes, there was no significant overall enrichment. Only one non-synonymous outlier SNP was found out of 10,318 non-synonymous SNPs. This non-synonymous mutation was detected in a homeobox protein one cut (LOC106666929) located in a scaffold not included in any linkage groups and not particularly enriched for outlier SNPs (NW\_019392707.1, Figure S3).

#### 3.3.1 | Distribution of outlier SNPs

Among the 576 outlier SNPs, 261 were located within linkage groups (LGs). To identify LG of particular interest, we first tested whether some were enriched with outlier SNPs, by comparing the proportion of outlier SNPs for each LG to the average value computed for the entire genome.

LG 8 and 11 were significantly enriched with outlier SNPs (indicated by a star next to LG number in Figure 2). In LG 8, despite a global 2.18x enrichment, no scaffold was significantly enriched for outlier SNPs, nor were any outliers detected in putative resistance genes.

Still, a large number of outliers were found on the second scaffold, namely NW\_019393011.1, mainly inside two uncharacterized genes (106,663,904 and 106,663,882) and a small conductance calcium-activated potassium channel protein (106663893). This last gene was not included in our pre-defined resistance genes

**TABLE 1** Pearson's chi-square goodness-of-fit (pgof) statistical test on insecticide deltamethrin LD95 for *Cimex lectularius* population comparison, with associated standard errors and resistance ratios.

categories, but can still be involved in insecticide resistance through its potential role in synaptic functioning (Vergara et al., 1998).


By far, the highest enrichment was observed for LG 11 with a 4.86x enrichment ( $p$  value =  $1.66 \times 10^{-34}$ , Figure S1). Interestingly, most of the outlier SNPs clustered within three consecutive scaffolds within this LG (NW\_019392721.1, NW\_019392763.1, and NW\_019942502.1.1). These three scaffolds were also significantly enriched for outlier SNPs (underlined in red in Figure 2). Within this approximately 6 Mb region, we found two outlier SNPs in the intronic regions of two putative insecticide resistance genes, both on scaffold NW\_019392721.1: a choline O-acetyltransferase (106664013), an enzyme that catalyzes the biosynthesis of acetylcholine, and an acid sphingomyelinase-like phosphodiesterase 3a (SMPDL3a, 106,664,028), which may be involved in insecticide detoxification (Zhang et al., 2012). However, many other candidate resistance genes were found inside this region, thus being further explored below (see 'Section 3.3.2').

Other scaffolds in LG detected as enriched were rather dispersed, without close proximity to putative resistance genes. Finally, two outlier SNPs were detected in an ABC transporter G, located on LG 5 which is not particularly enriched in outliers, neither at the scale of the whole LG nor at the scale of its scaffolds. ABC transporter G may be involved in the excretion of insecticides out of the cells (Dermauw & Van Leeuwen, 2014).

Eight scaffolds not included in the 14 linkage groups were significantly enriched for outlier SNPs (Figure S3). Two of these scaffolds carried outlier SNPs in putative resistance genes. A first outlier SNP was detected in an intronic region of a beta-14-galactosyltransferase 4-like on scaffold NW\_019392678.1. Galactosyltransferase is a type of glycosyltransferase, an enzyme capable of contributing to xenobiotic detoxification through the conjugation of an electrophilic group to xenobiotics or to their metabolites (Nagare et al., 2021).

Two intronic outlier SNPs were also detected in the voltage-gated sodium channel gene (VGSC, 106667833), on scaffold NW\_019392726.1, in close proximity with the *kdr* mutation. The *kdr* non-synonymous SNP of the VGSC L925I (leucine to isoleucine in position 925, i.e. position 148,668 on NW\_019392726.1), was indeed further identified. Frequencies of the derived allele were as follows: 0% in London Lab, 11.1% in German Lab, 44.4% in London Field, and 50% in Sweden Field. This locus was part of the top 1.6%  $F_{ST}$  in the London Lab versus London Field comparison. The *kdr* mutation






**FIGURE 2** Outlier SNPs in *Cimex lectularius* linkage groups. Each plot represents a linkage group (LG), exception made of scaffold NW\_019392726.1 (unplaced, zoomed on). Within a LG, scaffolds are delimited by different shades of grey. In the upper part of each plot, genetic differentiation is represented with empirical  $p$ -values, computed on  $F_{ST}$  index between London Lab and London Field populations for each SNP in  $-\log_{10}$  scale. Outlier SNPs (see 'Section 2') are distinguished by a darker grey. Square-shaped points are the outlier SNPs that fall within candidate resistance genes and are colored according to those genes' categories. Their protein products are labeled. Although not an outlier SNP, the location of the non-synonymous *kdr* L925I mutation was given as an indication. In the lower part, the number of outlier SNPs counted in each 100kb sliding window with a step of 10kb is represented. Dashed line stands for a threshold of 2 outlier SNPs, which delineates quantile 99%. The positions of structural variants (inverted duplications in black and inversions in grey) overlapping with resistance genes and with top 10%  $F_{ST}$  values are displayed on the x-axis ( $y=0$ ). Annotations of overlapping resistance genes are labeled and colored according to the resistance category.

has been extensively studied in insects, including bed bugs, and is associated with pyrethroid resistance (see review Dang et al., 2017). Although our analysis did not consider *kdr* mutation as an outlier per se, *kdr* mutation still belongs to a peculiar 1 Mb genomic region (i.e. the scaffold size) enriched for outlier SNPs.

### 3.3.2 | Detection of a superlocus enriched in both outlier SNPs and resistance genes

Three consecutive scaffolds enriched for outliers were detected on the LG 11 (NW\_019392721.1, NW\_019392763.1, and NW\_019942502.1, Figure 3). In the following, this 6 Mb genomic

region is referred to as a “superlocus”. Among the 138 genes located within this superlocus, nine were part of a resistance gene category, which represents a significant enrichment for resistance genes when compared to the entire genome (prop.test,  $p$ -value=0.027). More precisely, two categories of resistance genes were significantly enriched: GSTs (corrected  $p$ -value=3.0e-9, 3 genes in the superlocus out of 14 in the whole genome), and P450s (corrected  $p$ -value=0.029, 3 genes in the superlocus out of 56 in the whole genome). The same trend was observed for the category ‘Insecticide target and nervous system’, although this was only marginally significant (corrected  $p$ -value=0.063, 2 genes out of 31). This result suggests a clustering of genes potentially involved in insecticide resistance in this superlocus.



**FIGURE 3** Architecture of *Cimex lectularius* superlocus on LG 11. Within this superlocus, scaffolds NW\_019392721.1, NW\_019392763.1, and NW\_019942502.1.1 are delimited by different shades of light grey. Genetic differentiation is represented with empirical  $p$ -values, computed on  $F_{ST}$  index between London Lab and London Field populations for each SNP in  $-\log_{10}$  scale, depending on their position within each scaffold. Outlier SNPs (see ‘Section 2’) are distinguished by a darker grey. Square-shaped points are the outlier SNPs that fall within resistance genes and are colored according to those genes’ categories. Resistance gene positions are indicated on the x-axis and colored accordingly to their categories. Protein product of resistance genes is labeled, along with the NCBI accession number of the genes between brackets. One vesicular acetylcholine transporter, carrying outlier SNPs and in close proximity to choline-O-acetyltransferase, was also labeled likewise. The positions of structural variants were represented inside a light grey box under the x-axis. Inverted duplications are in black, inversions in grey, and tandem duplications in gold. A star of the same color was added next to the scaffold name if it was enriched in a type of event.

Indeed, the three *P450s* detected in the superlocus were located next to each other, all belonging to the sub-family *CYP6B* (106,663,981, 106,663,982, and 106,663,983). Members of the *CYP6B* *P450* sub-family have been shown to metabolize a wide range of natural and synthetic xenobiotics in insects, including pyrethroids, as in *Helicoverpa armigera* (Shi et al., 2021). The same pattern was observed for the three *GST* detected, clustered on scaffold NW\_019392763.1 (106,666,926, 106,664,023, and 106,663,963). *GST* have also been frequently associated with pyrethroid resistance, as for *Anopheles sinensis* (Tao et al., 2022).

Interestingly, despite none of these genes carried outlier SNP, they showed 23 non-synonymous SNPs with an alternative allele frequency higher in London Field than in London Lab (Table S3). Four of these non-synonymous SNPs were also part of the top 5%  $F_{ST}$ , with two for each *P450s* gene 106,663,983 and 106,663,982.

Because the abundance of non-synonymous mutations may be the consequence of adaptive evolution, we calculated the ratio  $\pi_n/\pi_s$  for all the genes of the genome. The  $\pi_n/\pi_s$  for the three *CYP6B* genes were in the top 5% of the distribution (quantile 95%=0.88, mean=0.19, versus 1.07, 1.25, and 1.50 respectively for genes 106,663,981, 106,663,982, and 106,663,983). This result supports that adaptive evolution has shaped the polymorphism for these *P450s*.

### 3.4 | Structural variants associated with resistance

Structural variants (SVs) may play an important role in insecticide resistance (Assogba et al., 2015). We detected 2497 SVs with higher frequency in the resistant strain London Field compared to the susceptible London Lab, encompassing 1338 inversions, 650 inverted duplications, and 509 tandem duplications.

Of the 2497 SVs detected, 143 were overlapping with 130 putative resistance genes (out of 431 in the whole genome), which is more than what is expected by chance ( $p$ -value=3.53e-11). This indicates an enrichment of SVs in genes possibly related to insecticide resistance. Among SVs overlapping with candidate resistance genes, 80 genes were found within inversions, 50 in inverted duplications, and 30 in tandem duplications.

Seven scaffolds were significantly enriched in SVs compared to what was expected based on their respective lengths, if one assumes that events were equally distributed among scaffolds: NW\_019392673.1 (LG 1), NW\_019392754.1 (LG 7), NW\_019392785.1 (LG 10), NW\_019392721.1 (LG 11), NW\_019392782.1 (LG 12), NW\_019392665.1 (LG 13), and NW\_019392786.1 (unplaced) (details in Figure S2). Among them, the only scaffold that was significantly enriched both for outlier SNPs and SVs was the scaffold NW\_019392721.1 (Figure S2), located within the superlocus of LG 11 and encoding the three *CYP6B* with unusual high  $\pi_n/\pi_s$  ratios (Figure 3).

For each SV category (inversion, inverted duplication, tandem duplication), events falling above the 90% quantile of  $F_{ST}$  were retained, leading to 227 SVs overlapping with 281 different genes.

Among them, ten SVs overlapped with 18 resistance genes. Seven cuticular genes were identified (in three inversions and one inverted duplication), showing an enrichment in this resistance category ( $p$ -value=0.01). The largest event detected was a 1.5 Mb inverted duplication located on LG 1 (NW\_019392679.1). This event overlapped with four putative resistance genes: a sulfotransferase, a choline kinase, and two *P450s* (Table S4). The five other events overlapped genes possibly involved in redox homeostasis (SOD and NADPH-dependent oxidoreductase), in metabolic resistance (UDPGT on scaffold NW\_019392656.1) and in other detox (sulfotransferase).

## 4 | DISCUSSION

Insecticide resistance evolution seems to be the key factor in the re-emergence of the common bed bug *C. lectularius* (Davies et al., 2012). By using targeted sequencing (Zhu et al., 2010), transcriptomic analysis (Koganemaru et al., 2013; Mamidala et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2012), or QTL mapping (Fountain et al., 2016), several loci have been associated with insecticide resistance (reviewed in Dang et al., 2017). In this paper, we provide a genome-wide analysis to identify underlying sequences and additional regions of the genome possibly involved in insecticide resistance. By comparing the whole genomes of two susceptible and two resistant lines using pool-seq data, we identified outlier SNPs and structural variants (inversion, tandem duplications, and inverted duplications) highly differentiated in the resistance phenotype, in close proximity with candidate resistance genes. In addition to providing novel insecticide resistance data, the genomic characterization of CimexStore strains will hopefully benefit future customer-researchers that regularly use these strains for their research.

### 4.1 | Relatively low pyrethroid resistance level

Using topical application assays, we quantified the deltamethrin resistance level of the four strains. As expected from the collection dates, the two ancient strains (LL and GL) were highly susceptible to deltamethrin. In most studies, the Harlan strain is used as an insecticide susceptible reference strain (Dang et al., 2017). The susceptibility of the LL and GL strains was comparable to that of the Harlan strain (0.34 ng and 0.15 ng per insect respectively for London Lab and German Lab, compared to 0.15 ng for the Harlan strain, Cáceres et al., 2019).

This high sensitivity is expected since these two "lab" strains were collected before the re-emergence of bed bugs, as was the Harlan strain. Conversely, the two relatively-recent strains (LF and SF), respectively collected in 2008 and 2015 were much more resistant to deltamethrin. However, their resistance ratios were not particularly high (17 to 199 fold based on  $LD_{95}$ ), as compared to Cáceres et al. (2019), where field strains from Barracas and Pergamino were respectively 6945 and 10,178 times higher than their susceptible reference. Resistance ratio should be compared with caution, since

the bioassays or analytical methods can be widely different and have an impact. However, very high RR phenomena have frequently been observed for recently collected field strains (see review Dang et al., 2017), but often decline after rearing in the laboratory without insecticide exposure (Polanco et al., 2011). The existence of an evolutionary cost of resistance in the absence of insecticide exposure has been frequently described in insects, and may explain the decrease in frequency of resistance alleles since the establishment of the strains (Kliot & Ghanim, 2012). This phenomenon may explain the relatively low level of resistance for the two CimexStore “field” strains purchased, for which no information was provided regarding insecticide selection pressure during the breeding in laboratory conditions.

## 4.2 | Non-synonymous outlier SNP in a transcription factor

Adaptation of organisms to their environment may rely on protein evolution thanks to non-synonymous mutations, and/or thanks to expression changes involving mutations in regulatory sequences (Wang et al., 2005). Among the 576 outlier SNPs, only one was associated with an amino-acid change. The encoded protein, annotated as an homeobox protein onecut (106,666,929 on Figure S3), is composed of a onecut domain and one homeodomain which together confer DNA binding properties (Bürglin & Affolter, 2016). Homeobox proteins onecut are transcription factors involved in many pathways including nervous system differentiation (Nguyen et al., 2000). Several transcription factors have been associated with resistance to insecticide, as in *Plutella xylostella* (Zhao et al., 2018). Yet, how this particular transcription factor could be involved in insecticide resistance remains unclear.

## 4.3 | A superlocus involved in insecticide resistance

The most striking result obtained from this genome-wide analysis is the identification of a 6Mb genomic region, showing an unusual high genetic differentiation and associated with the resistance phenotype of the four strains (BayPass approach). Several phenomena may explain such local genetic differentiation. Large genetic differentiation islands may evolve because of a local reduction in recombination rate due to the effect of linked selection (Burri et al., 2015). However, if this factor was at play, then we would expect a higher genetic differentiation in this genomic region for all comparisons, including between the two “field” strains or between the two “lab” strains. This was not the case, since this genomic region was particularly differentiated in the London “Field” versus London “Lab” comparison, but not in other comparisons (Figure S5). High local genetic differentiation may thus be the consequence of differential selection. In this case, the selective factor is most likely the exposition to insecticides that recent field strains experienced, as compared to older “lab” ones. This region was part of LG 11 and was composed

of three scaffolds. Those scaffolds were enriched with outlier SNPs, and one of them was also enriched with structural variants.

The detection of this superlocus is consistent with a previous study from Fountain et al. (2016) who constructed a linkage map and performed a QTL mapping for pyrethroid resistance in *C. lectularius*. Their QTL analysis based on RAD tag sequencing identified a single peak associated with resistance. Due to the relatively low number of markers ( $n=334$ ), to the relative small number of recombinants screened ( $n=71$ ) and to the small number of generations allowing recombination (F2), the resolution achieved was relatively low and led to the identification of a large 10.8Mb region. This region was located on their LG 12 and contains the highly expected insecticide resistance candidate VGSC, as well as many other genes, including putative resistance genes (P450 and GSTs). This large locus explained most of the variance in insecticide resistance in their experimental display. Comparing the two LG belonging to the two linkage maps indicated that the majority of the genes found on our-LG 11 were shared with Fountain-LG 12 (Figure S6). However, the scaffold carrying the VGSC gene which was part of Fountain-LG 12 was not included in our-LG 11. Instead, the scaffold was left unplaced in any LG. The explanation for this discrepancy is simple. In the previous genome assembly Clec\_1.0, the VGSC gene belonged to a 21Mb scaffold (NW\_014465016.1) containing 6 RAD tags (See table S2 of Fountain et al., 2016), that allowed Fountain et al. (2016) to incorporate VGSC gene into their LG 12. This scaffold was then split in smaller pieces in Clec\_2.1 assembly, leaving VGSC in a 1Mb-scaffold not carrying any RAD marker anymore (NW\_019392726.1). This difference in assemblies thus prevented its integration into the new genetic map.

The second version of the assembly was obtained by using Redundans on top of the first assembly (Thomas et al., 2020). Redundans (Pryszcz & Gabaldón, 2016) is designed for solving problems linked to the presence of heterozygosity in genome sequencing projects. Based on read depth and paired-end information, it identifies putative “heterozygous contigs” which correspond to different allelic version of a single locus. They are then merged in order to produce as far as possible a homozygous version of the genome. Although the reason explaining the split of the initial 21Mb scaffold (NW\_014465016.1) into smaller pieces is unclear, we may hypothesize that some of the contigs that composed this scaffold were detected as “heterozygous contigs” ultimately leading to the observed split. Future investigations should certainly clarify this point and more generally clarify the structure of the haplotypes in this genomic region.

We note however that in the 21Mb scaffold NW\_014465016.1 of Fountain-LG 12, VGSC was flanked by two genes that are both included in our LG 11 (a carboxyl terminal-hydrolase on scaffold NW\_019942502.1, and a glutathione S-transferase on scaffold NW\_019392763.1). The position of the scaffold containing VGSC gene (NW\_019392726.1) may thus possibly be between the two scaffolds previously mentioned, in the middle of the superlocus. If this is the case, it would further reinforce the strong signal of genetic differentiation in this genomic area.



Independently of its exact genomic localization, we expected the mutant sites of the VGSC gene (with their so-called knockdown resistance -*knr*- alleles) to be part of our outlier list. This was expected since *knr* mutations are responsible for or are associated with resistance to pyrethroids in various arthropod pests (Dong et al., 2014), including bed bugs (Dang et al., 2017). Additionally, CimexStore website indicates the presence of the common *knr* mutant L9251 in the two field strains. Accordingly, we detected this mutation in our dataset. However, this particular site was not considered as an outlier SNP in our analysis. This site fulfilled only two out of three criterion used since (i) the  $F_{ST}$  associated with this site was part of the top 5% in the London strains comparison and (iii) the *knr* mutant was derived compared to the reference genome, but (ii) it was filtered out by the BayPass analysis, indicating an overall weak association with resistance phenotype in the four strains. Several scenarios may explain this unexpected result. First of all, we can hypothesize that this mutation is not as crucial for insecticide resistance in *C. lectularius* as previously thought. Under this scenario, other mechanisms such as detoxification, cuticle thickening, or behavioral avoidance would be more central in bed bug insecticide resistance. Importantly, however, since these strains have been reared in the lab since years by the private company CimexStore, we do not exactly know under which conditions they have been maintained. One crucial point to keep in mind is that *knr* mutants are highly counter-selected in the absence of insecticide (Freeman et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021) and may thus decrease in frequency if not regularly exposed to insecticides. We can therefore question whether the frequency estimated here reflects the true initial frequency of that mutation in the different strains. If present, this effect may thus reduce the genetic differentiation observed on this locus (and surrounding region) between field "resistant" and ancient lab "susceptible" strains. Despite the fact that our analysis did not consider *knr* mutant as part of the outlier list, we found two outlier SNPs in an intron of the VGSC gene. Additionally, VGSC was located next to a region containing the highest density of outlier SNPs, with up to 40 outlier SNPs per 100kb window (Figure 2). Altogether, these last observations indicate that VGSC belongs, at least, to a peculiar 1 Mb region in relation to insecticide resistance.

#### 4.4 | A peculiar cytochrome P450 cluster

A cluster of three P450 genes (two *CYP6B1*-like and one *CYP6B5*-like) was detected in scaffold NW\_019392721.1, in the middle of the LG11 superlocus. No outlier SNP were detected in any of these loci. However, four SNPs were part of the top 5%  $F_{ST}$  distribution for the London strains comparison. In addition, this cluster was characterized by an unexpectedly high level of non-synonymous mutations ( $n = 19$ ). Accordingly, the  $\pi_n/\pi_s$  ratio for all three genes was in the top 5% or the genome-wide distribution, suggesting that selection may have acted on these loci in a recent past. Similar increased rate of evolution has been observed for *CYP6B56* of the navel orangeworm *Amyelois transitella* (Calla et al., 2021). In this species, *CYP6B56* is

likely involved in the pyrethroid bifenthrin resistance, since elevated expressions of *CYP6B56* were observed after insecticide exposure in both resistant and susceptible populations (Calla et al., 2021).

#### 4.5 | Structural variants might contribute to shape resistance phenotype

Structural variants may contribute to adaptation, notably through inversions, or duplications. These structural changes can affect the coding sequences as well as the expression levels of the genes, thus playing a crucial role in evolution and adaptation (North et al., 2020). CNVs in particular may impact the level of expression of the genes through a dosage mechanism (Kondrashov, 2012). In addition, CNVs can allow alternative variants of a gene to appear in tandem, through gene duplication. This may be selected for, especially when the allele encoding resistance also carry a fitness cost in the absence of insecticide. In that case, the second nearby copy may compensate for this cost and "heterogeneous" tandem duplications may be selected for (Assogba et al., 2015; Labbé et al., 2014). Despite their potential importance, SVs have received less attention than SNPs, likely because they are harder to identify. Population-level genome-wide analyses of SVs are thus rare, and the extent of their impact on evolution remain overlooked (Lucas et al., 2019). However, some SVs have been associated with insecticide resistance in insects, such as in *Drosophila* (Schmidt et al., 2010), in several mosquito species (Cattell et al., 2020, 2021; Faucon et al., 2015; Lucas et al., 2019; Weetman et al., 2018), or in the fall armyworm with the identification of a CYP cluster (Gimenez et al., 2020). To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first to investigate SVs in an agnostic genome-wide fashion in bed bugs. We found that SVs are enriched in putative resistance genes. A similar test of enrichment focusing on copy number variants (CNVs) has been conducted on the mosquito *Anopheles gambiae*, using the Ag1000G Phase 2 dataset (1142 whole genome sequences of wild-caught mosquitoes collected from 16 populations) (Lucas et al., 2019). The authors found an enrichment for CNVs in gene-containing regions. More specifically, they found an enrichment in putative metabolic resistance gene families. Similar result has been observed in *Drosophila* (Schridder et al., 2016) and *Aedes aegypti* (Cattell et al., 2020, 2021; Faucon et al., 2015). Additionally, several independent amplification events were frequently found as covering the same genes, possibly segregating within the same populations (Lucas et al., 2019). We reached the same conclusion, with some genomic locations highly enriched with overlapping events. This was in particular the case for scaffold NW\_019392721.1 in LG 11 which contained several candidate genes, including a cluster of three P450, and that was enriched both with outlier SNPs and SVs. Furthermore, we found a few set of events overlapping resistance genes with high  $F_{ST}$  values (top 10%, max: top 1%, see Table S4). Among them, a significant enrichment for cuticle genes was detected. Although the functional importance of these SVs in the resistance phenotype remains to



be further investigated, these results support their potential role in adaptation to insecticides in bed bugs.

#### 4.6 | A supergene involved in insecticide resistance?

A significant enrichment for genes potentially involved in insecticide resistance was observed in the 6Mb superlocus. Colocalization of genes involved in detoxification in clusters is frequently observed in insects, including the mosquito *Anopheles gambiae* (Ranson et al., 2002). This clustering is probably the consequence of local duplications or of translocation of genes into the cluster. The discovery of a single large (at least 6Mb long) locus probably involved in insecticide resistance is uncommon in the literature. In most cases reported to date, the loci identified by population genomics analysis are relatively small (<200kb in Weedall, 2019) and numerous (Pélissier et al., 2022), and no evidence of genomic clustering among those loci is provided. However, a few exceptions exist. In the navel orangeworm (*Amyelois transitella*), an exceptionally large region with low polymorphism, extending to up to 1.3Mb was detected in a DDT and bifenthrin-resistant population (Calla et al., 2021). This region contained several genes likely involved in insecticide resistance, such as VGSC and a cytochrome P450 gene cluster. The genomic colocalization of genes that are selected for in the same environment (i.e. under insecticide exposition) may theoretically lead to the emergence of so-called “supergenes” if a subsequent reduction of recombination between beneficial alleles occurs (Schwander et al., 2014). In a spatially or temporally heterogeneous environment (in that case treated versus untreated households), co-transmission of alleles may be selected for. Several fascinating examples of such evolution have been reported, from the classical case of Butterfly mimicry in the genus *Heliconius* (Le Poul et al., 2014) to the evolution of prairie or forest ecotypes of deer mice *Peromyscus maniculatus* (Hager et al., 2022). Although our analysis does not provide any evidence of a reduction in recombination in this superlocus (Figure S6), it is intriguing to observe such enrichment for putative resistance genes in a highly differentiated and large genomic island. Additionally, our dataset suggests an enrichment for structural variants, especially inversions, in one of the three scaffolds composing this superlocus (Figures 3, S2). Since inversions may lead to reduced recombination and are known to be instrumental in the emergence of supergenes (Schwander et al., 2014), it is tempting to speculate that a supergene combining several beneficial alleles in relation to insecticide exposure segregate in *C. lectularius* populations. This hypothesis should be further tested in the future.

#### 5 | LIMITS OF THE PRESENT STUDY AND PERSPECTIVE

In this study, we focused our attention on selected variants that had particularly high frequency in both LF and SF strains compared to LL

and GL strains, while taking into account their common history. In species with large (recent) effective population size, the very same mutant may be repeatedly selected in different environments under similar selective pressure, through convergent evolution (Karasov et al., 2010). However, species with lower effective present-day population size are likely limited by the mutation rate, and such convergence is unlikely. It is unclear whether bed bug populations are limited by mutations or not. If they are, then we do not expect similar mutants to be selected in different environments, unless migration from one population brings the favorable allele in the second population. Additionally, since multiple pathways to pyrethroid resistance may evolve in different populations (Djouaka et al., 2008), we acknowledge that this analysis may miss insecticide resistance mutations that would be specific to one or another resistant population.

Another limit of our study relies on the fact that we considered “derived” alleles as opposed to “ancestral”, carried by the Harlan strain from which the reference genome was sequenced. Harlan strain was collected in Fort Dix, back in 1973. We thus tried to identify mutations involved in so-called hard selective sweeps. Many examples of hard sweeps have been described as being involved in insecticide resistance (Calla et al., 2021; Cohen et al., 1985; Hawkins et al., 2019). However, adaptation may also occur through soft sweeps involving standing genetic variation (Hawkins et al., 2019). In that case, the mutants segregated during some time in the population before the selective pressure started. If adaptation to pyrethroids involves standing genetic variation, then our pipeline may have filtered out some valid candidates. However, standing alleles that later increase in frequency due to positive selection are usually at low initial frequency (before the environmental shift). In the three-spined stickleback, where adaptation from standing genetic variation has been extensively studied (DeFaveri & Merilä, 2014; Heckwolf et al., 2020), marine populations adapted repeatedly through standing genetic variation to freshwater habitat. Most adaptive haplotypes in freshwater environment can be found at frequency <10% in marine environment (Bassham et al., 2018). Since the reference genome has a small probability of having a low-frequency allele, then our pipeline still has a high probability of considering it as “derived”. In addition, even in case, the Harlan strain carried a later on beneficial allele, our pipeline should at least identify linked “derived” (possibly neutral) variants, initially associated with the beneficial mutant through linkage disequilibrium. In conclusion, we acknowledge that our pipeline may have missed some adaptive alleles from standing genetic variation, although the effect may be limited.

Whether they arose through soft or hard selective sweeps, and whether they involve protein changes or not, it is crucial to identify insecticide resistance mutations in bed bug populations. As our study was restricted to the analysis of intra-scaffold SVs, thus preventing the detection of events spanning the entire superlocus, future efforts should be made to clarify the genomic structure and polymorphism of LG 11. This can be achieved using long-read sequencing and/or Hi-C data. This could clarify the structure of the haplotypes and may help to identify the genetic determinants underlying the resistance evolution. From an applied perspective,

knowing the genetic basis of such mutations can be used to design diagnostic tests, and thus to track insecticide resistance in the field (Van Leeuwen et al., 2020).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was performed using the computing facilities of the CC LBBE/PRABI.

## FUNDING INFORMATION

This work was supported by a CIFRE grant N° 2019/0800, funded by Association Nationale de la Recherche et de la Technologie, from the collaboration between the Laboratoire de Biométrie et Biologie Évolutive and IZInnovation SAS; and the Scientific Breakthrough Project Micro-be-have (Microbial impact on insect behavior) of Université de Lyon, within the programme 'Investissements d'Avenir' (ANR-11-IDEX-0007; ANR-16-IDEX-0005).

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Raw data that support the findings of this study are openly available on SRA under BioProject PRJNA826750. Global SNP pool described in Section 2.5.1 is available on Dryad ([doi.org/10.5061/dryad.9cnp5hqp6](https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.9cnp5hqp6)).

## ORCID

Chloé Haberkorn  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7371-9177>

Jean-Philippe David  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3950-5909>

Hélène Henri  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9609-1952>

Fabrice Vavre  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0909-2936>

Julien Varaldi  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2100-1542>

## REFERENCES

- Adelman, Z. N., Kilcullen, K. A., Koganemaru, R., Anderson, M. A., Anderson, T. D., & Miller, D. M. (2011). Deep sequencing of pyrethroid-resistant bed bugs reveals multiple mechanisms of resistance within a single population. *PLoS One*, 6(10), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0026228>
- Akhoundi, M., Kengne, P., Cannet, A., Brengues, C., Berenger, J. M., Izri, A., Marty, P., Simard, F., Fontenille, D., & Delaunay, P. (2015). Spatial genetic structure and restricted gene flow in bed bugs (*Cimex lectularius*) populations in France. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution*, 34, 236–243. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2015.06.028>
- Alexander, J. O. (1984). Infestation by Hemiptera. In *Arthropods and human skin* (pp. 57–74). Springer London. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4471-1356-0\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4471-1356-0_6)
- Amezian, D., Nauen, R., & Le Goff, G. (2021). Transcriptional regulation of xenobiotic detoxification genes in insects – an overview. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*, 174, 104822. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2021.104822>
- Assogba, B. S., Djogbénou, L. S., Milesi, P., Berthomieu, A., Perez, J., Ayala, D., Chandre, F., Makoutodé, M., Labbé, P., & Weill, M. (2015). An ace-1 gene duplication resorbs the fitness cost associated with resistance in *Anopheles gambiae*, the main malaria mosquito. *Scientific Reports*, 5(May), 19–21. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep14529>
- Ayala, D., Ullastres, A., & González, J. (2014). Adaptation through chromosomal inversions in anopheles. *Frontiers in Genetics*, 5(May), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2014.00129>
- Balabanidou, V., Grigoraki, L., & Vontas, J. (2018). Insect cuticle: A critical determinant of insecticide resistance. *Current Opinion in Insect Science*, 27, 68–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2018.03.001>
- Balvín, O., & Booth, W. (2018). Distribution and frequency of pyrethroid resistance-associated mutations in host lineages of the bed bug (Hemiptera: Cimicidae) across Europe. *Journal of Medical Entomology*, 55(4), 923–928. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jme/tjy023>
- Bass, C., & Field, L. M. (2011). Gene amplification and insecticide resistance. *Pest Management Science*, 67(8), 886–890. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.2189>
- Bassham, S., Catchen, J., Lescak, E., Von Hippel, F. A., & Cresko, W. A. (2018). Repeated selection of alternatively adapted haplotypes creates sweeping genomic remodeling in stickleback. *Genetics*, 209(3), 921–939. <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.117.300610>
- Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., & Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, 30(15), 2114–2120. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170>
- Brooke, B. D., Hunt, R. H., Chandre, F., Carnevale, P., & Coetzee, M. (2002). Stable chromosomal inversion polymorphisms and insecticide resistance in the malaria vector mosquito *Anopheles gambiae* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Journal of Medical Entomology*, 39(4), 568–573. <https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-2585-39.4.568>
- Bürglin, T. R., & Affolter, M. (2016). Homeodomain proteins: An update. *Chromosoma*, 125(3), 497–521. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00412-015-0543-8>
- Burri, R., Nater, A., Kawakami, T., Mugal, C. F., Olason, P. I., Smeds, L., Suh, A., Dutoit, L., Bureš, S., Garamszegi, L. Z., Hogner, S., Moreno, J., Qvarnström, A., Ružić, M., Sæther, S. A., Sætre, G. P., Török, J., & Ellegren, H. (2015). Linked selection and recombination rate variation drive the evolution of the genomic landscape of differentiation across the speciation continuum of *Ficedula flycatchers*. *Genome Research*, 25(11), 1656–1665. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.196485.115>
- Cáceres, M., Santo-Orihuela, P. L., & Vassena, C. V. (2019). Evaluation of resistance to different insecticides and metabolic detoxification mechanism by use of synergist in the common bed bug (Heteroptera: Cimicidae). *Journal of Medical Entomology*, 56(5), 1324–1330. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jme/tjz068>
- Calla, B., Demkovich, M., Siegel, J. P., Viana, J. P. G., Walden, K. K. O., Robertson, H. M., & Berenbaum, M. R. (2021). Selective sweeps in a nutshell: The genomic footprint of rapid insecticide resistance evolution in the almond agroecosystem. *Genome Biology and Evolution*, 13(1), evaa234. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evaa234>
- Cattel, J., Faucon, F., le Péron, B., Sherpa, S., Monchal, M., Grillet, L., Gaude, T., Laporte, F., Dusfour, I., Reynaud, S., & David, J. P. (2020). Combining genetic crosses and pool targeted DNA-seq for untangling genomic variations associated with resistance to multiple insecticides in the mosquito *Aedes aegypti*. *Evolutionary Applications*, 13(April), 303–317. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12867>
- Cattel, J., Haberkorn, C., Laporte, F., Gaude, T., Cumer, T., Renaud, J., Sutherland, I. W., Hertz, J. C., Bonneville, J. M., Arnaud, V., Fustec, B., Boyer, S., Marcombe, S., & David, J. P. (2021). A genomic amplification affecting a carboxylesterase gene cluster confers organophosphate resistance in the mosquito *Aedes aegypti*: From genomic characterization to high-throughput field detection. *Evolutionary Applications*, 14(4), 1009–1022. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.13177>
- Cohen, J. E., Newman, C. M., & Briand, F. (1985). A stochastic theory of community food webs II. Individual webs. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B*, 224, 449–461.
- Dang, K., Doggett, S. L., Veera Singham, G., & Lee, C. Y. (2017). Insecticide resistance and resistance mechanisms in bed bugs, *Cimex* spp.

- (Hemiptera: Cimicidae). *Parasites and Vectors*, 10(1), 1–31. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-017-2232-3>
- Dang, K., Toi, C. S., Lilly, D. G., Bu, W., & Doggett, S. L. (2015). Detection of knockdown resistance mutations in the common bed bug, *Cimex lectularius* (Hemiptera: Cimicidae), in Australia. *Pest Management Science*, 71(7), 914–922. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.3861>
- Davies, T. G. E., Field, L. M., & Williamson, M. S. (2012). The re-emergence of the bed bug as a nuisance pest: Implications of resistance to the pyrethroid insecticides. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology*, 26(3), 241–254. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2915.2011.01006.x>
- DeFaveri, J., & Merilä, J. (2014). Local adaptation to salinity in the three-spined stickleback? *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 27(2), 290–302. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.12289>
- Dermauw, W., & Van Leeuwen, T. (2014). The ABC gene family in arthropods: Comparative genomics and role in insecticide transport and resistance. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 45(1), 89–110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2013.11.001>
- Djouaka, R. F., Bakare, A. A., Coulibaly, O. N., Akogbeto, M. C., Ranson, H., Hemingway, J., & Strode, C. (2008). Expression of the cytochrome P450s, CYP6P3 and CYP6M2 are significantly elevated in multiple pyrethroid resistant populations of *Anopheles gambiae* s.s. from southern Benin and Nigeria. *BMC Genomics*, 9(1), 538. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-9-538>
- Doggett, S. L., Geary, M. J., & Russell, R. C. (2004). The resurgence of bed bugs in Australia: With notes on their ecology and control. *Environmental Health*, 4(2), 30–38.
- Dong, K., du, Y., Rinkevich, F., Nomura, Y., Xu, P., Wang, L., Silver, K., & Zhorov, B. S. (2014). Molecular biology of insect sodium channels and pyrethroid resistance. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 50, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.03.012>
- Durand, R., Cannet, A., Berdjane, Z., Bruel, C., Haouchine, D., Delaunay, P., & Izri, A. (2012). Infestation by pyrethroids resistant bed bugs in the suburb of Paris, France. *Parasite (Paris, France)*, 19(4), 381–387. <https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2012194381>
- Faucon, F., Dusfour, I., Gaude, T., Navratil, V., Boyer, F., Chandre, F., Sirisopa, P., Thanispong, K., Juntarajumong, W., Poupardin, R., Chareonviriyaphap, T., Girod, R., Corbel, V., Reynaud, S., & David, J. P. (2015). Identifying genomic changes associated with insecticide resistance in the dengue mosquito *Aedes aegypti* by deep targeted sequencing. *Genome Research*, 25(9), 1347–1359. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.189225.115>
- Feroz, M. (1969). Toxicological and genetical studies of organophosphorus resistance in *Cimex lectularius* L. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 59(3), 377–382. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485300003345>
- Feyereisen, R. (1995). Molecular biology of insecticide resistance. *Toxicology Letters*, 82–83, 83–90. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4274\(95\)03470-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4274(95)03470-6)
- Fountain, T., Ravinet, M., Naylor, R., Reinhardt, K., & Butlin, R. K. (2016). A linkage map and QTL analysis for pyrethroid resistance in the bed bug *Cimex lectularius*. *G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics*, 6(12), 4059–4066. <https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.116.033092>
- Freeman, J. C., San Miguel, K., & Scott, J. G. (2021). All resistance alleles are not equal: The high fitness cost of super-kdr in the absence of insecticide. *Pest Management Science*, 77(8), 3693–3697. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.6115>
- Gimenez, S., Abdelgaffar, H., Goff, G. L., Hilliou, F., Blanco, C. A., Hänniger, S., Bretaudeau, A., Legeai, F., Nègre, N., Jurat-Fuentes, J. L., d'Alençon, E., & Nam, K. (2020). Adaptation by copy number variation increases insecticide resistance in the fall armyworm. *Communications Biology*, 3(1), 664. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-020-01382-6>
- Goddard, J., & Deshazo, R. (2009). Bed bugs (*Cimex lectularius*) and clinical consequences of their bites. *JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association*, 301, 1358–1366. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2009.405>
- Hager, E. R., Harringmeyer, O. S., Wooldridge, T. B., Theingi, S., Gable, J. T., McFadden, S., Neugeboren, B., Turner, K. M., Jensen, J. D., & Hoekstra, H. E. (2022). A chromosomal inversion contributes to divergence in multiple traits between deer mouse ecotypes. *Science*, 377(6604), 399–405. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abg0718>
- Hawkins, N. J., Bass, C., Dixon, A., & Neve, P. (2019). The evolutionary origins of pesticide resistance. *Biological Reviews*, 94(1), 135–155. <https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12440>
- Heckwolf, M. J., Meyer, B. S., Häslar, R., Höppner, M. P., Eizaguirre, C., & Reusch, T. B. H. (2020). Two different epigenetic information channels in wild three-spined sticklebacks are involved in salinity adaptation. *Science Advances*, 6(12), eaaz1138. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaz1138>
- Hivert, V., Leblois, R., Petit, E. J., Gautier, M., & Vitalis, R. (2018). Measuring genetic differentiation from pool-seq data. *Genetics*, 210(1), 315–330. <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.118.300900>
- Hlina, B. L., Birceanu, O., Robinson, C. S., Dhiyebi, H., & Wilkie, M. P. (2021). The relationship between thermal physiology and lampicide sensitivity in larval sea lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus*). *Journal of Great Lakes Research*, 47, S272–S284. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2021.10.002>
- Karasov, T., Messer, P. W., & Petrov, D. A. (2010). Evidence that adaptation in drosophila is not limited by mutation at single sites. *PLoS Genetics*, 6(6), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1000924>
- Kass, R. E., & Raftery, A. E. (1995). Bayes factors. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 90(430), 773–795.
- Kliot, A., & Ghanim, M. (2012). Fitness costs associated with insecticide resistance. *Pest Management Science*, 68(11), 1431–1437. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.3395>
- Kofler, R., Pandey, R. V., & Schlötterer, C. (2011). PoPoolation2: Identifying differentiation between populations using sequencing of pooled DNA samples (Pool-seq). *Bioinformatics*, 27(24), 3435–3436. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr589>
- Koganemaru, R., Miller, D. M., & Adelman, Z. N. (2013). Robust cuticular penetration resistance in the common bed bug (*Cimex lectularius* L.) correlates with increased steady-state transcript levels of CPR-type cuticle protein genes. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*, 106(3), 190–197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2013.01.001>
- Kondrashov, F. A. (2012). Gene duplication as a mechanism of genomic adaptation to a changing environment. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 279(1749), 5048–5057. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2012.1108>
- Labbé, P., Milesi, P., Yébakima, A., Pasteur, N., Weill, M., & Lenormand, T. (2014). Gene-dosage effects on fitness in recent adaptive duplications: Ace-1 in the mosquito *Culex pipiens*. *Evolution*, 68(7), 2092–2101.
- Lawrence, M., Huber, W., Pagès, H., Aboyoun, P., Carlson, M., Gentleman, R., Morgan, M. T., & Carey, V. J. (2013). Software for computing and annotating genomic ranges. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 9(8), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003118>
- le Poul, Y., Whibley, A., Chouteau, M., Prunier, F., Llaurens, V., & Joron, M. (2014). Evolution of dominance mechanisms at a butterfly mimicry supergene. *Nature Communications*, 5(1), 5644. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms6644>
- Li, H., & Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with burrows-wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics*, 25(14), 1754–1760. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324>
- Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G., Durbin, R., & 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. (2009). The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*, 25(16), 2078–2079. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352>
- Lilly, D. G., Dang, K., Webb, C. E., & Doggett, S. L. (2016). Evidence for metabolic pyrethroid resistance in the common bed bug (Hemiptera: Cimicidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 109(3), 1364–1368. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jeet/tow041>
- Lilly, D. G., Dang, K., Webb, C. E., & Doggett, S. L. (2018). Are Australian field-collected strains of *Cimex lectularius* and *Cimex hemipterus*

- (Hemiptera: Cimicidae) resistant to deltamethrin and imidacloprid as revealed by topical assay? *Austral Entomology*, 57(1), 77–84. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aen.12268>
- Lockwood, J. A., Sparks, T. C., & Story, R. N. (1984). Evolution of insect resistance to insecticides: A reevaluation of the roles of physiology and behavior. *Bulletin of the Entomological Society of America*, 30(4), 41–51. <https://doi.org/10.1093/besa/30.4.41>
- Lucas, E. R., Miles, A., Harding, N. J., Clarkson, C. S., Lawniczak, M. K. N., Kwiatkowski, D. P., Weetman, D., Donnelly, M. J., & The Anopheles gambiae 1000 Genomes Consortium. (2019). Whole-genome sequencing reveals high complexity of copy number variation at insecticide resistance loci in malaria mosquitoes. *Genome Research*, 29(8), 1250–1261. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.245795.118>
- Mamidalá, P., Jones, S. C., & Mittapalli, O. (2011). Metabolic resistance in bed bugs. *Insects*, 2(1), 36–48. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects2010036>
- Mamidalá, P., Wijeratne, A. J., Wijeratne, S., Kornacker, K., Sudhamalla, B., Rivera-Vega, L. J., Hoelmer, A., Meulia, T., Jones, S. C., & Mittapalli, O. (2012). RNA-seq and molecular docking reveal multi-level pesticide resistance in the bed bug. *BMC Genomics*, 13(1), 6. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-13-6>
- Mazzarri, M. B., & Georgioui, G. P. (1995). Characterization of resistance to organophosphate, carbamate, and pyrethroid insecticides in field populations of *Aedes aegypti* from Venezuela. *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association*, 11(3), 315–322.
- Mérot, C., Oomen, R. A., Tigano, A., & Wellenreuther, M. (2020). A roadmap for understanding the evolutionary significance of structural genomic variation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 35(7), 561–572. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2020.03.002>
- Nagare, M., Ayachit, M., Agnihotri, A., Schwab, W., & Joshi, R. (2021). Glycosyltransferases: The multifaceted enzymatic regulator in insects. *Insect Molecular Biology*, 30(2), 123–137. <https://doi.org/10.1111/imb.12686>
- Nguyen, D. N. T., Rohrbach, M., & Lai, Z.-C. (2000). The drosophila homolog of Onecut homeodomain proteins is a neural-specific transcriptional activator with a potential role in regulating neural differentiation. *Mechanisms of Development*, 97(1), 57–72. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-4773\(00\)00431-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-4773(00)00431-7)
- North, H. L., Caminade, P., Severac, D., Belkhir, K., & Smadja, C. M. (2020). The role of copy-number variation in the reinforcement of sexual isolation between the two European subspecies of the house mouse: CNV and reinforcement in the house mouse. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society, B: Biological Sciences*, 375(1806), 20190540. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2019.0540>
- Obenchain, V., Lawrence, M., Carey, V., Gogarten, S., Shannon, P., & Morgan, M. (2014). VariantAnnotation: A Bioconductor package for exploration and annotation of genetic variants. *Bioinformatics*, 30(14), 2076–2078. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu168>
- Olazcuaga, L., Loiseau, A., Parrinello, H., Paris, M., Fraimout, A., Guedot, C., Diepenbrock, L. M., Kenis, M., Zhang, J., Chen, X., Borowiec, N., Facon, B., Vogt, H., Price, D. K., Vogel, H., Prud'homme, B., Estoup, A., & Gautier, M. (2020). A whole-genome scan for association with invasion success in the fruit fly *Drosophila suzukii* using contrasts of allele frequencies corrected for population structure. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 37(8), 2369–2385. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa098>
- Paradis, E. (2010). Pegas: An R package for population genetics with an integrated-modular approach. *Bioinformatics*, 26(3), 419–420. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp696>
- Pélissier, B., Chen, Y. H., Cohen, Z. P., Crossley, M. S., Hawthorne, D. J., Izzo, V., & Schoville, S. D. (2022). Genome resequencing reveals rapid, repeated evolution in the Colorado potato beetle. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 39(2), msac016. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msac016>
- Petersen, M., Armisen, D., Gibbs, R. A., Hering, L., Khila, A., Mayer, G., Richards, S., Niehuis, O., & Misof, B. (2019). Diversity and evolution of the transposable element repertoire in arthropods with particular reference to insects. *BMC Ecology and Evolution*, 21(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-021-01778-w>
- Poelchau, M., Childers, C., Moore, G., Tsavatapalli, V., Evans, J., Lee, C. Y., Lin, H., Lin, J. W., & Hackett, K. (2015). The i5k workspace@NAL: enabling genomic data access, visualization and curation of arthropod genomes. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 43(D1), D714–D719. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku983>
- Polanco, A. M., Miller, D. M., & Brewster, C. C. (2011). Survivorship during starvation for *Cimex lectularius* L. *Insects*, 2(2), 232–242. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects2020232>
- Potter, M. F. (2011). The history of bed bug management- with lessons from the past. *American Entomologist*, 57(1), 14–25. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ae/57.1.14>
- Privé, F., Luu, K., Vilhjálmsson, B. J., & Blum, M. G. B. (2020). Performing highly efficient genome scans for local adaptation with R package pcadapt version 4. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 37(7), 2153–2154. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa053>
- Pryszcz, L. P., & Gabaldón, T. (2016). Redundans: An assembly pipeline for highly heterozygous genomes. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 44(12), e113. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw294>
- Ranson, H., Claudianos, C., Ortelli, F., Abgrall, C., Hemingway, J., Sharakhova, M. V., Unger, M. F., Collins, F. H., & Feyereisen, R. (2002). Evolution of supergene families associated with insecticide resistance. *Science*, 298(5591), 179–181. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1076781>
- Romero, A., & Anderson, T. D. (2016). High levels of resistance in the common bed bug, *Cimex lectularius* (Hemiptera: Cimicidae), to neonicotinoid insecticides. *Journal of Medical Entomology*, 53(3), 727–731. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jme/tjv253>
- Romero, A., Potter, M. F., & Haynes, K. F. (2009a). Behavioral responses of the bed bug to insecticide residues. *Journal of Medical Entomology*, 46(1), 51–57. <https://doi.org/10.1603/033.046.0107>
- Romero, A., Potter, M. F., & Haynes, K. F. (2009b). Evaluation of Piperonyl butoxide as a deltamethrin synergist for pyrethroid-resistant bed bugs. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 102(6), 2310–2315. <https://doi.org/10.1603/029.102.0637>
- Romero, A., Potter, M. F., Potter, D. A., & Haynes, K. F. (2007). Insecticide resistance in the bed bug: A factor in the Pest's sudden resurgence? *Journal of Medical Entomology*, 44(2), 175–178.
- Schmidt, J. M., Good, R. T., Appleton, B., Sherrard, J., Raymant, G. C., Bogwitz, M. R., Martin, J., Daborn, P. J., Goddard, M. E., Batterham, P., & Robin, C. (2010). Copy number variation and transposable elements feature in recent, ongoing adaptation at the Cyp6g1 locus. *PLoS Genetics*, 6(6), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1000998>
- Schrider, D. R., Begun, D. J., & Hahn, M. W. (2013). Detecting highly differentiated copy-number variants from pooled population sequencing. *Pacific Symposium on Biocomputing*, 344–355.
- Schrider, D. R., Hahn, M. W., & Begun, D. J. (2016). Parallel evolution of copy-number variation across continents in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 33(5), 1308–1316. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw014>
- Schwander, T., Libbrecht, R., & Keller, L. (2014). Supergenes and complex phenotypes. *Current Biology*, 24(7), R288–R294. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.01.056>
- Shi, Y., Jiang, Q., Yang, Y., Feyereisen, R., & Wu, Y. (2021). Pyrethroid metabolism by eleven *Helicoverpa armigera* P450s from the CYP6B and CYP9A subfamilies. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 135, 103597. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2021.103597>
- Stutt, A. D., & Siva-Jothy, M. T. (2001). Traumatic insemination and sexual conflict in the bed bug *Cimex lectularius*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 98(10), 5683–5687. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.101440698>
- Susser, S. R., Perron, S., Fournier, M., Jacques, L., Denis, G., Tessier, F., & Roberge, P. (2012). Mental health effects from urban bed bug



- infestation (*Cimex lectularius* L.): A cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open*, 2(5), e000838. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2012-000838>
- Tao, F., Si, F. L., Hong, R., He, X., Li, X. Y., Qiao, L., He, Z. B., Yan, Z. T., He, S. L., & Chen, B. (2022). Glutathione S-transferase (GST) genes and their function associated with pyrethroid resistance in the malaria vector *Anopheles sinensis*. *Pest Management Science*, 78(10), 4127–4139. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.7031>
- Thomas, G. W. C., Dohmen, E., Hughes, D. S. T., Murali, S. C., Poelchau, M., Glastad, K., Anstead, C. A., Ayoub, N. A., Batterham, P., Bellair, M., Binford, G. J., Chao, H., Chen, Y. H., Childers, C., Dinh, H., Doddapaneni, H. V., Duan, J. J., Dugan, S., Esposito, L. A., ... Richards, S. (2020). Gene content evolution in the arthropods. *Genome Biology*, 21(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-019-1925-7>
- Van Leeuwen, T., Dermauw, W., Mavridis, K., & Vontas, J. (2020). Significance and interpretation of molecular diagnostics for insecticide resistance management of agricultural pests. *Current Opinion in Insect Science*, 39, 69–76.
- Vergara, C., Latorre, R., Marrion, N. V., & Adelman, J. P. (1998). Calcium-activated potassium channels. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 8(3), 321–329. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4388\(98\)80056-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4388(98)80056-1)
- Wang, D., Johnson, A. D., Papp, A. C., Kroetz, D. L., & Sadée, W. (2005). Multidrug resistance polypeptide 1 (MDR1, ABCB1) variant 3435C>T affects mRNA stability. *Pharmacogenetics and Genomics*, 15(10), 693–704.
- Wang, K., Zhao, J. N., Bai, J. Y., Shang, Y. Z., Zhang, S. Q., Hou, Y. F., Chen, M. H., & Han, Z. J. (2021). Pyrethroid resistance and fitness cost conferred by the super-kdr mutation M918L in *Rhopalosiphum padi* (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 114(4), 1789–1795. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/toab117>
- Wang, X. (2016). Permethrin-induced oxidative stress and toxicity and metabolism. A review. *Environmental Research*, 149, 86–104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2016.05.003>
- Weedall, G. D. (2019). A cytochrome P450 allele confers pyrethroid resistance on a major African malaria vector, reducing insecticide-treated bednet efficacy. *Science Translational Medicine*, 11(484), eaat7386. <https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aat7386>
- Weetman, D., Djogbenou, L. S., & Lucas, E. (2018). Copy number variation (CNV) and insecticide resistance in mosquitoes: Evolving knowledge or an evolving problem? *Current Opinion in Insect Science*, 27, 82–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2018.04.005>
- Xu, H., Luo, X., Qian, J., Pang, X., Song, J., Qian, G., Chen, J., & Chen, S. (2012). FastUniq: A fast De novo duplicates removal tool for paired short reads. *PLoS One*, 7(12), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0052249>
- Zhang, Y., Jiang, R., Wu, H., Liu, P., Xie, J., He, Y., & Pang, H. (2012). Next-generation sequencing-based transcriptome analysis of *Cryptolaemus montrouzieri* under insecticide stress reveals resistance-relevant genes in ladybirds. *Genomics*, 100(1), 35–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2012.05.002>
- Zhao, Q., Ma, D., Huang, Y., He, W., Li, Y., Vasseur, L., & You, M. (2018). Genome-wide investigation of transcription factors provides insights into transcriptional regulation in *Plutella xylostella*. *Molecular Genetics and Genomics*, 293(2), 435–449. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00438-017-1389-y>
- Zhu, F., Gujar, H., Gordon, J. R., Haynes, K. F., Potter, M. F., & Palli, S. R. (2013). Bed bugs evolved unique adaptive strategy to resist pyrethroid insecticides. *Scientific Reports*, 3, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep01456>
- Zhu, F., Sams, S., Mural, T., Haynes, K. F., Potter, M. F., & Palli, S. R. (2012). RNA interference of NADPH-cytochrome P450 reductase results in reduced insecticide resistance in the bed bug, *Cimex lectularius*. *PLoS One*, 7(2), e31037. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0031037>
- Zhu, F., Wigginton, J., Romero, A., Moore, A., Ferguson, K., Palli, R., Potter, M. F., Haynes, K. F., & Palli, S. R. (2010). Widespread distribution of knockdown resistance mutations in the bed bug, *Cimex lectularius* (Hemiptera: Cimicidae), populations in the United States. *Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology*, 73(4), 245–257. <https://doi.org/10.1002/arch.20355>

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

**How to cite this article:** Haberkorn, C., David, J.-P., Henri, H., Delpuech, J.-M., Lasseur, R., Vavre, F., & Varaldi, J. (2023). A major 6 Mb superlocus is involved in pyrethroid resistance in the common bed bug *Cimex lectularius*. *Evolutionary Applications*, 16, 1012–1028. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.13550>