



**HAL**  
open science

## Resolvent conditions and growth of powers of operators

Guy Cohen, Christophe Cuny, Tanja Eisner, Michael Lin

► **To cite this version:**

Guy Cohen, Christophe Cuny, Tanja Eisner, Michael Lin. Resolvent conditions and growth of powers of operators. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 2020, 487 (2), pp.124035. 10.1016/j.jmaa.2020.124035 . hal-04306608

**HAL Id: hal-04306608**

**<https://hal.science/hal-04306608>**

Submitted on 25 Nov 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# RESOLVENT CONDITIONS AND GROWTH OF POWERS OF OPERATORS

GUY COHEN, CHRISTOPHE CUNY, TANJA EISNER, AND MICHAEL LIN

ABSTRACT. Following Bermúdez et al. [5], we study the rate of growth of the norms of the powers of a linear operator, under various resolvent conditions or Cesàro boundedness assumptions. In Hilbert spaces, we prove that if  $T$  satisfies the Kreiss condition,  $\|T^n\| = O(n/\sqrt{\log n})$ ; if  $T$  is absolutely Cesàro bounded,  $\|T^n\| = O(n^{1/2-\varepsilon})$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  (which depends on  $T$ ); if  $T$  is strongly Kreiss bounded, then  $\|T^n\| = O((\log n)^\kappa)$  for some  $\kappa > 0$ . We show that a Kreiss bounded operator on a reflexive space is Abel ergodic, and its Cesàro means of order  $\alpha$  converge strongly when  $\alpha > 1$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Background.** The mean ergodic theorem, proved by Yosida and by Kakutani, asserts the convergence in norm of the averages  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n T^k x$  of a weakly almost periodic operator  $T$  on a Banach space  $X$ . When  $T$  is power-bounded, the convergence  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n T^k x \rightarrow y$  is equivalent to the Abel convergence  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} (1-r) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n T^n x = y$ .

An example of Hille [19] (in  $L^1$ ) shows that power-boundedness is not necessary for mean ergodicity. Mean ergodicity easily implies that  $\|T^n\| = O(n)$ . Derriennic [9] gave an example of  $T$  mean ergodic in a Hilbert space with  $T^*$  not mean ergodic (so  $T$  is not power-bounded, and  $\limsup n^{-1} \|T^n\| > 0$ ); see also [47, Example 3.1]. A mean ergodic  $T$  in  $L^1$  with  $\limsup n^{-1} \|T^n\| > 0$  was constructed by Kosek [22].

The purpose of this paper is to study the connections between different resolvent conditions and Cesàro boundedness conditions, and the growth properties of  $\|T^n\|$ . Our work continues and complements that of Bermúdez et al. [5]. For an overview of the results see Subsection 1.4 below.

**1.2. The Kreiss resolvent condition.** Kreiss [23] presented the following resolvent condition (*Kreiss resolvent condition*)

$$(1) \quad \|R(\lambda, T)\| \leq \frac{C}{|\lambda| - 1} \quad |\lambda| > 1 .$$

We shall denote by  $K_k = K_k(T)$  the smallest constant  $C > 0$  for which (1) holds. Kreiss proved that in finite-dimensional spaces (1) implies power-boundedness. Lubich and Nevanlinna [26] proved that (1) implies  $\|T^n\| = O(n)$ ; this is the best estimate [38], [35, Theorem 6]. Earlier, Kreiss gave a resolvent condition for the generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup, inspired by the Hille-Yosida theorem, which in finite-dimensional spaces yields boundedness of the semigroup; however, in contrast to [26], Eisner and Zwart [12]

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 47A10, 47A35.

*Key words and phrases.* Kreiss resolvent condition, power-boundedness, mean ergodicity, Cesàro boundedness, Abel boundedness.

constructed a  $C_0$ -semigroup with *exponential* growth whose generator satisfies Kreiss's condition.

McCarthy [29] gave an example of  $T$  invertible on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$  which satisfies the stronger condition (*strong Kreiss resolvent condition*, sometimes called *iterated Kreiss condition*):

$$(2) \quad \|R^k(\lambda, T)\| \leq \frac{C}{(|\lambda| - 1)^k} \quad |\lambda| > 1, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

but is not power-bounded; in the example also  $T^{-1}$  satisfies (2). Condition (2) implies that  $\|T^n\| = O(\sqrt{n})$  [29], [26]. This estimate is the best possible in general Banach spaces [26, p. 298]. Lyubich [28] obtained a family of examples in  $L^p[0, 1]$  satisfying (1) but not (2). Nevanlinna [35, Theorem 2] (see also [34, Proposition 1.1]) proved that  $T$  satisfies (2) if and only if for some  $M$  we have

$$(3) \quad \|e^{zT}\| \leq Me^{|z|} \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We shall denote by  $K_{\text{sk}}$  the smallest constant  $C > 0$  such that (2) holds.

A. Montes-Rodríguez et al. [32] defined the *uniform Kreiss resolvent condition* by

$$(4) \quad \sup_{n \geq 1} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{T^k}{\lambda^{k+1}} \right\| \leq \frac{C}{|\lambda| - 1} \quad |\lambda| > 1.$$

They showed that (4) does not imply (2), and proved that (4) holds if and only if there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$(5) \quad \sup_n \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (\lambda T)^k \right\| \leq C \quad \forall |\lambda| = 1.$$

The proof that (4) implies (1) is immediate. Gomilko and Zemánek [18] proved that (2) implies (4), hence (5); thus in reflexive spaces (2) implies mean ergodicity, since  $\|T^n\| = O(\sqrt{n})$ . If  $T$  is power-bounded, then (2) holds (in an equivalent norm  $T$  is a contraction and  $C = 1$  in (1)). By Strikwerda and Wade [42, p. 352], (1) does not imply (5). Bermúdez et al. [5] proved that if  $T$  on a Hilbert space satisfies (4), then  $\|T^n\| = o(n)$ , and then  $T$  is mean ergodic. In Section 5 we prove that a positive Cesàro bounded operator on a complex Banach lattice is uniformly Kreiss bounded.

Van Casteren [48] proved that if  $T$  is power-bounded invertible on  $H$  with  $\sigma(T) \subset \mathbb{T}$ , and  $T^{-1}$  satisfies (1) (which is equivalent to condition (ii) in van Casteren's theorem), then also  $T^{-1}$  is power-bounded (see also [33]). This extended results of [10], [17], [40].

Following [5], we may refer to  $T$  which satisfies the (strong, uniform) Kreiss resolvent condition as (*strongly, uniformly*) *Kreiss bounded* (abbreviated as SKB or UKB respectively).

**1.3. Cesàro boundedness conditions.** The mean ergodic theorem implies that  $T$  is *Cesàro bounded*, i.e.  $\sup_n \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n T^k \right\| < \infty$ . However, for mean ergodicity we require also that  $T^n/n$  converge strongly to zero. By an old (two-dimensional) example of Assani [4] (first presented in [14]), there is  $T_0$  Cesàro bounded for which  $T_0^n/n$  does not converge to zero even weakly. Using this example, we construct  $T$  on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  which is the identity

on the space generated by  $\{e_3, \dots, e_k, \dots\}$  and  $T_0$  on the span of  $e_1, e_2$ . Then  $T$  is Cesàro bounded not power-bounded. Since  $T_0$  is not power-bounded, by the Kreiss matrix theorem it does not satisfy the Kreiss resolvent condition, hence neither does  $T$ .

Hou and Luo [27] introduced the notion of *absolute Cesàro boundedness* (ACB): there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$(6) \quad \sup_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|T^k x\| \leq C \|x\| \quad \forall x \in X.$$

We shall denote by  $K_{ac}$  the smallest constant for which (6) holds. Bermúdez et al. [5] proved that (6) implies  $\|T^n\|/n \rightarrow 0$ ; hence in reflexive spaces ACB implies mean ergodicity. Absolute Cesàro boundedness implies uniform Kreiss boundedness, by the characterization (5). Bermúdez et al. [5] constructed a Hilbert space (mean ergodic) operator satisfying (4) which is not absolutely Cesàro bounded.

Van Casteren [49],[50] introduced the following condition:  $T$  is called *Cesàro square bounded* if there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$(7) \quad \sup_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|T^k x\|^2 \leq C \|x\|^2 \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Van Casteren [49] proved that if *both*  $T$  and  $T^*$  are Cesàro square bounded in  $H$ , then  $T$  is power-bounded, and gave an example in  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$  of  $T$  not power-bounded satisfying (7). Zwart [51] gave a simpler proof of power-boundedness, in any Banach space, when  $T$  and  $T^*$  both satisfy (7). In (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (d) of [7, Theorem 2.3], Chen and Shaw extended Zwart's result; however, since for *positive* sequences Cesàro boundedness and Abel boundedness are equivalent (e.g. [15, 1.5-1.7]), the use of "Abel square boundedness" in [7] is not more general.

Since (7) implies  $\|T^n\| = O(\sqrt{n})$ , Theorem 2.1 of [5], with  $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{1}{p}$ , gives examples (in  $\ell^p$ ,  $1 < p < 2$ ) of absolutely Cesàro bounded operators which are not Cesàro square bounded.

**1.4. Overview.** We briefly describe the main results in the paper. We are of course interested in operators which are not power-bounded; either the Kreiss condition or Cesàro boundedness imply that (in the complex case) the spectral radius is at most 1. In Section 2 we derive for  $T$  invertible some results similar to [5, Theorem 2.2]. In Section 3 we define  $p$ -absolute Cesàro boundedness, which extends absolute Cesàro boundedness ( $p = 1$ ) and Cesàro square boundedness ( $p = 2$ ). We prove in this case that  $\|T^n\| = O(n^{1/p-\varepsilon})$  for some  $\varepsilon$  (which depends on  $T$ ), and provide an example. In Section 4 we obtain growth rates of  $\|T^n\|$  in Hilbert space: if  $T$  satisfies the Kreiss condition,  $\|T^n\| = O(n/\sqrt{\log n})$ ; if  $T$  is absolutely Cesàro bounded,  $\|T^n\| = O(n^{1/2-\varepsilon})$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  (which depends on  $T$ ); if  $T$  is strongly Kreiss bounded, then  $\|T^n\| = O((\log n)^\kappa)$  for some  $\kappa > 0$ . We show that strong Kreiss boundedness and absolute Cesàro boundedness are independent (none implies the other). In Section 5 we study the ergodic properties of Kreiss bounded operators. We show that in reflexive spaces Kreiss boundedness implies

Abel ergodicity and strong convergence of Cesàro means of order  $\alpha$  when  $\alpha > 1$ . For positive operators on reflexive complex Banach lattices, Kreiss boundedness implies mean ergodicity. In Section 6 we list some problems which arise from our work.

## 2. CESÀRO BOUNDEDNESS CONDITIONS AND POWER-BOUNDEDNESS

In this section we study conditions for power-boundedness. If  $T$  is absolutely Cesàro bounded with  $K_{ac} = 1$ , then  $n = 2$  in (6) yields that  $T$  is a contraction.

Obviously, if  $T$  is Cesàro bounded on  $X$ , so is  $T^*$  on  $X^*$ . Since there are absolutely Cesàro bounded operators which are not power-bounded [5, Theorem 2.1], the next proposition shows that their duals are not absolutely Cesàro bounded. We proved it independently of [5, Theorem 2.2], where it was first proved, so we leave its statement for the reader's convenience (but omit our proof, since it is similar to that of [5, Theorem 2.2]).

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $T$  be a linear operator on a (real or complex) Banach space  $X$ . If both  $T$  and  $T^*$  are absolutely Cesàro bounded, then  $T$  is power-bounded.*

The following theorem answers Question 2.2 of [5] (and improves Corollary 2.4 there).

**Theorem 2.2.** *There exists an invertible operator  $T$  on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$  satisfying the strong Kreiss resolvent condition which is not absolutely Cesàro bounded.*

*Proof.* Assume that every invertible  $T$  on  $H = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$  satisfying (2) is absolutely Cesàro bounded. Since  $R(\lambda, T^*) = R(\lambda, T)^*$  for  $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$ ,  $T^*$  satisfies (2) whenever  $T$  does. Thus if  $T$  is invertible and satisfies (2), so does  $T^*$ , and our assumption yields that  $T$  and  $T^*$  are both absolutely Cesàro bounded. Hence such  $T$  is power-bounded by Proposition 2.1. But McCarthy's example [29] is an invertible operator  $T$  on  $H$  which satisfies the strong Kreiss resolvent condition and is *not* power-bounded – a contradiction to our assumption.  $\square$

**Remark.** The construction of Proposition 4.9 yields examples of  $T$  on  $L^p$  which are strongly Kreiss and absolutely Cesàro bounded (see Proposition 4.10), but not power-bounded. Hence  $T^*$  is strongly Kreiss, but by [5, Theorem 2.2] (Proposition 2.1) it is not absolutely Cesàro bounded.

The ideas of Zwart [51] yield the following result.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $T$  be an invertible operator on a (real or complex) Banach space  $X$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *Both  $T$  and  $T^{-1}$  are power-bounded ( $T$  is then called doubly power-bounded).*
- (ii) *Both  $T$  and  $(T^{-1})^*$  are absolutely Cesàro bounded.*
- (iii) *Both  $T^*$  and  $T^{-1}$  are absolutely Cesàro bounded.*

*Proof.* Obviously (i) implies both (ii) and (iii).

Assume (ii). Put  $S = T^{-1}$ . Fix  $x \in X$  and  $x^* \in X^*$ . For  $N \geq 1$  we have

$$(N+1)|\langle x^*, T^N x \rangle|^{1/2} = \sum_{k=0}^N |\langle S^{*k} x^*, T^{N+k} x \rangle|^{1/2} \leq \sum_{k=0}^N \|S^{*k} x^*\|^{1/2} \|T^{N+k} x\|^{1/2} \leq \left( \sum_{k=0}^N \|S^{*k} x^*\| \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{k=0}^N \|T^{N+k} x\| \right)^{1/2} \leq \left( \sum_{k=0}^N \|S^{*k} x^*\| \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{2N} \|T^j x\| \right)^{1/2}.$$

Hence, with  $K_{ac}(T)$  and  $K_{ac}(S^*)$  denoting the corresponding constants of (6), we obtain

$$|\langle x^*, T^N x \rangle| \leq \left( \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{k=0}^N \|S^{*k} x^*\| \right) \left( \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{j=0}^{2N} \|T^j x\| \right) \leq 2K_{ac}(S^*) \|x^*\| \cdot K_{ac}(T) \|x\|.$$

Since this is for every  $x^* \in X^*$  and  $x \in X$ , we conclude that  $\|T^N\| \leq 2K_{ac}(T)K_{ac}(S^*)$ .

To show that  $T^{-1}$  is power-bounded, we write

$$(N+1)|\langle S^{*N} x^*, x \rangle|^{1/2} = \sum_{k=0}^N |\langle S^{*(N+k)} x^*, T^k x \rangle|^{1/2} \leq \sum_{k=0}^N \|S^{*(N+k)} x^*\|^{1/2} \|T^k x\|^{1/2}$$

and obtain similarly that  $|\langle S^{*N} x^*, x \rangle| \leq 2K_{ac}(S^*) \|x^*\| \cdot K_{ac}(T) \|x\|$  for every  $x^* \in X^*$  and  $x \in X$ , which yields  $\|T^{-N}\| \leq 2K_{ac}(S^*)K_{ac}(T)$ .

The proof that (iii) implies (i) is similar, so we omit it.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $T$  be absolutely Cesàro bounded, and assume that for some  $c > 0$*

$$(8) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \|T^k x\| \geq c \|x\| \quad \forall x \in X.$$

*Then  $T$  is power-bounded (by  $K_{ac}/c$ ).*

*Proof.* Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . By (8) and (6) with  $C = K_{ac}$ , we have

$$c \|T^N x\| \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \|T^{k+N} x\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{N+n}{n} \sup_{m \geq 1} \frac{1}{m+N} \sum_{k=1}^{m+N} \|T^k x\| \leq K_{ac} \|x\|.$$

$\square$

**Remark.** The assumptions of Proposition 2.4 do not imply invertibility – all isometries satisfy them.

**Theorem 2.5.** *The following are equivalent for an invertible  $T$  on a Banach space  $X$ :*

- (i) *Both  $T$  and  $T^{-1}$  are power-bounded.*
- (ii)  *$T^{-1}$  is power-bounded and  $T$  is absolutely Cesàro bounded.*
- (iii)  *$T$  is absolutely Cesàro bounded and satisfies (8).*

*Proof.* Clearly (i) implies (ii).

Assume (ii). Let  $M := \sup_k \|T^{-k}\|$ . Then  $\|x\| = \|T^{-k} T^k x\| \leq M \|T^k x\|$ , and averaging yields (8).

Assume (iii). Then by Proposition 2.4,  $T$  is power-bounded. Fix  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then for  $N > n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \|T^k T^{-n} x\| &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^n \|T^k T^{-n} x\| + \frac{N-n}{N} \cdot \frac{1}{N-n} \sum_{k=n+1}^N \|T^k T^{-n} x\| = \\ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^n \|T^k T^{-n} x\| + \frac{N-n}{N} \cdot \frac{1}{N-n} \sum_{j=1}^{N-n} \|T^j x\| &\leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^n \|T^k T^{-n} x\| + \frac{N-n}{N} K_{ac} \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

By (8),  $c \|T^{-n} x\| \leq \limsup_N \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \|T^k T^{-n} x\| \leq K_{ac} \|x\|$ . Hence  $T^{-1}$  is power-bounded.  $\square$

**Remark.** When  $X$  is a Hilbert space, the conditions in Theorem 2.3 or in Theorem 2.5 are equivalent to similarity of  $T$  to a unitary operator, by [46]. In  $L^p$ ,  $2 \neq p \in (1, \infty)$ , an invertible doubly power-bounded operator need not be similar to an invertible isometry [16], [8].

### 3. $p$ -ABSOLUTE CESÀRO BOUNDEDNESS AND GROWTH OF POWERS

In this section we complement Theorem 2.2, by exhibiting a Cesàro square bounded operator on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  which is not strongly Kreiss bounded. This extends [5, Corollary 2.2], where the examples are on  $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ ,  $1 < p < 2$ , and answers Question 2.1 there.

The following definition includes absolute Cesàro boundedness ( $p = 1$ ) and Cesàro square boundedness ( $p = 2$ ). It turns out to be a special case of [2, Definition 6.6] (with  $\alpha = 1$ ).

**Definition.** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . An operator  $T$  on a Banach space is called  *$p$ -absolutely Cesàro bounded* if there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$(9) \quad \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|T^k x\|^p \leq C^p \|x\|^p \quad \forall x \in X.$$

We shall denote by  $K_{p-ac}$  the smallest constant for which (9) holds.

Clearly, any  $p$ -absolutely Cesàro bounded operator is  $r$ -absolutely Cesàro bounded for every  $1 \leq r \leq p$ , with  $K_{r-ac} \leq K_{p-ac}$ .

The absolutely Cesàro bounded operator on  $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$  constructed in [5, Theorem 2.1] is shown in the proof to be  $p$ -absolutely Cesàro bounded.

It is easy to see that  $p$ -absolute Cesàro boundedness implies  $\|T^n\| = O(n^{1/p})$ . The next proposition improves this trivial upper bound, and yields  $\|T^n\| = o(n^{1/p})$  with a "polynomial" rate.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $T$  be  $p$ -absolutely Cesàro bounded. Then*

$$\|T^n\| \leq C \|T\| n^{(1/p-\varepsilon)},$$

where  $C = K_{p-ac} 2^{1/p} K_{p-ac}^p$  and  $\varepsilon = 1/p K_{p-ac}^p$ .

*Proof.* We denote  $K_{p\text{-ac}}$  by  $K$ . By assumption, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $x \in X$  we have  $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|T^k x\|^p \leq K^p n \|x\|^p$ . Since  $\|T^n x\| \leq \|T^{n-k}\| \|T^k x\|$  for every  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , we obtain

$$K^p n \|x\|^p \geq \|T^n x\|^p \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\|T^{n-k}\|^p} = \|T^n x\|^p \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\|T^k\|^p}.$$

Hence

$$\|T^n\|^p \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\|T^k\|^p} \leq K^p n.$$

Then the result follows from the following numerical lemma, applied to  $u_n = \|T^n\|^p$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence with values in  $(0, +\infty)$ , such that there exists  $C \geq 1$ , such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$u_n \leq \frac{Cn}{\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{u_k}}.$$

*Then, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$u_n \leq C 2^{1/C} u_1 n^{1-1/C}.$$

*Proof.* Set  $S_N := \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{u_k}$ . Our assumption implies that for every integer  $N \geq 2$ ,

$$\frac{S_N - S_{N-1}}{S_N} \geq \frac{1}{CN}.$$

We then have

$$\int_{S_{N-1}}^{S_N} \frac{dx}{x} \geq \frac{S_N - S_{N-1}}{S_N} \geq \frac{1}{CN} \geq \frac{1}{C} \int_N^{N+1} \frac{dx}{x}.$$

Summing those inequalities for  $N \in [2, n]$ , we obtain that

$$\ln S_n - \ln S_1 \geq \frac{\ln(n+1) - \ln 2}{C}.$$

Hence,  $S_n \geq \frac{S_1(n+1)^{1/C}}{2^{1/C}} \geq \frac{S_1 n^{1/C}}{2^{1/C}}$ , which yields  $u_n \leq \frac{Cn}{S_n} \leq C \frac{2^{1/C}}{S_1} n^{1-1/C}$ .  $\square$

**Remarks.** 1. When  $p = 1$ , the proposition improves Corollary 2.6 of [5], where it is proved only that  $\|T^n\| = o(n)$ .

2. The power of  $n$  in Proposition 3.1 is best possible. Indeed, in [5], it is proved that for every  $p \geq 1$  and every  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/p$ , there exists a  $p$ -absolutely Cesàro bounded operator  $T$  on  $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ , such that  $\|T^n\| = (n+1)^{1/p-\varepsilon}$ .

3. Every positive Cesàro bounded operator  $T$  on  $L^1$  is absolutely Cesàro bounded. Hence, we recover Theorem 2 of Kornfeld and Kosek [21]. Actually, it happens that an application of their Corollary 2, with  $\alpha_n := \|T^n x\|^p$ , yields a different proof of Proposition 3.1.

4. Abadias and Bonilla [1] extended the definition of absolute Cesàro boundedness in a different direction.  $T$  is defined to be *absolutely Cesàro- $\alpha$  bounded* if  $\sup_n M_n^{(\alpha)}(\{\|T^n\|\}) < \infty$ , where  $M_n^{(\alpha)}$  is the Cesàro mean of order  $\alpha$  [52, Chapter III]. It is proved in [1] that if  $T$  is absolutely Cesàro- $\alpha$  bounded for  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , then  $\|T^n\| = o(n^\alpha)$ ; for  $\alpha = 1$  our Proposition 3.1 (with  $p = 1$ ) gives a more precise estimate.



Actually, our method of proof of Proposition 3.1 allows us to prove that absolute Cesàro- $\alpha$  bounded operators,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , satisfy an estimate  $\|T^n\| = O(n^{\alpha-\varepsilon})$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  and define the measure  $\nu := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\delta_j}{j^\delta}$  on  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let  $T$  be the left (backward) shift on  $L^p(\mathbb{N}, \nu)$ , with  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then, for any fixed  $p$ ,  $T$  is  $p$ -absolutely Cesàro bounded,  $\|T^n\|_p = (n+1)^{\delta/p}$ ,  $T$  is mean ergodic, and  $T$  is not strongly Kreiss bounded.*

*Proof.* Fix  $p$ , and define  $V_p : L^p(\mathbb{N}, \nu) \rightarrow \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ , by  $V_p(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} = (\frac{x_j}{j^{\delta/p}})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Note that  $V_p$  is an invertible isometry. The operator  $S := V_p T V_p^{-1}$  is nothing but the operator considered in Theorem 2.1 of [5], with  $\alpha = \delta/p$  (and  $\varepsilon = 1 - \delta$ ). Hence,  $T = V_p^{-1} S V_p$  is  $p$ -absolutely Cesàro bounded, and  $\|T^n\|_p = (n+1)^{\delta/p}$  (we denote by  $\|\cdot\|_p$  the norm in  $L^p(\mathbb{N}, \nu)$ ).

Let  $\{e_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be the standard basis. Then  $T^k e_j = 0$  for  $k \geq j$ , so  $\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n T^k e_j\|_p \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $T$  is Cesàro bounded, we obtain that  $\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n T^k x\|_p \rightarrow 0$  for every  $x \in L^p(\mathbb{N}, \nu)$ , so  $T$  is mean ergodic.

It remains to prove that  $T$  on  $L^p(\mathbb{N}, \nu)$  is not strongly Kreiss bounded. By contradiction, assume that (3) holds: there exists  $R > 0$  such that for every  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\|e^{zT}\|_p \leq R e^{|z|}$ . Fix  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define  $x = x^{(N)} = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  as follows:  $x_n = 1$  if  $N+1 \leq n \leq N+2\sqrt{N}$ , and  $x_n = 0$  otherwise. Then  $\|x\|_p^p \leq \frac{2\sqrt{N}}{N^\delta}$ .

Let  $z > 0$ . We have

$$\|e^{zT} x\|_p^p = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{z^n}{n!} x_{n+k} \right)^p \frac{1}{k^\delta} \geq \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \sqrt{N}} \left( \sum_{N \leq n \leq N+\sqrt{N}} \frac{z^n}{n!} \right)^p \frac{1}{k^\delta}.$$

Taking  $z = N$  and using Lemma 3.4 below (with  $d = 1$ ), we infer that

$$R e^N \left( \frac{2\sqrt{N}}{N^\delta} \right)^{1/p} \geq \|e^{NT} x\|_p \geq C \sqrt{N} \frac{e^N}{\sqrt{N}} \left( \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \sqrt{N}} \frac{1}{k^\delta} \right)^{1/p} \geq \tilde{C} e^N N^{(1-\delta)/2p}.$$

Hence,  $N^{\delta/2p} \leq R 2^{1/p} / \tilde{C}$  which yields a contradiction when  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *There exists  $C > 0$  such that for every  $d > 0$ , for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and every integer  $1 - N \leq K \in [-d\sqrt{N}, d\sqrt{N}]$ ,  $\frac{N^{N+K}}{(N+K)!} \geq C \frac{e^{-d^2}}{\sqrt{d+1}} \frac{e^N}{\sqrt{N}}$ .*

*Proof.* By Stirling's formula there exists  $R > 0$ , such that  $M! \leq R \left(\frac{M}{e}\right)^M \sqrt{2M\pi}$  for every  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and every integer  $-d\sqrt{N} \leq K \leq d\sqrt{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (N+K)! &\leq R \left(\frac{N}{e}\right)^{N+K} \left(1 + \frac{K}{N}\right)^{N+K} \sqrt{2(N+K)\pi} \leq \\ &R \sqrt{2(d+1)N\pi} \left(\frac{N}{e}\right)^{N+K} e^{K+\frac{K^2}{N}} \leq \tilde{R} \sqrt{d+1} e^{d^2} N^{N+K} e^{-N} \sqrt{N}, \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows.  $\square$

**Remarks.** 1. When  $p = 2$ , the isometry  $V_2$  in the proof of Theorem 3.3 yields that the operator on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  constructed in [5, Theorem 2.1] is 2-absolutely Cesàro bounded and not strongly Kreiss bounded.

2. For  $p = 1$ , the operator  $T$  of Theorem 3.3 provides another example of  $T$  positive and mean ergodic on  $L^1$  with  $\|T^n\| = O(n^\delta)$ ,  $\delta$  arbitrarily close to 1; the first such example was obtained in [21].

3. For  $p = 2$ , Theorem 3.3 yields that the operator  $T$  on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  of [5, Theorem 2.1] is absolutely Cesàro bounded, hence uniformly Kreiss bounded, but not strongly Kreiss bounded; in [5, Corollary 2.2] the examples are only for  $p < 2$ . The examples of  $T$  uniformly Kreiss bounded and not strongly Kreiss bounded given in [32, Theorem 5.1] are only in  $L^p$ ,  $p \neq 2$ ,

We now characterize absolute Cesàro boundedness by a resolvent type condition. Recall that when  $r(T) \leq 1$ , for  $|\lambda| > 1$  we have  $R(\lambda, T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-n-1} T^n$  with operator norm convergence (e.g. [25, Lemma 3.2]).

**Definition.** We say that an operator  $T$  on a complex Banach space  $X$  is *absolutely Kreiss bounded* if there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$(10) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\|T^n x\|}{\lambda^{n+1}} \leq \frac{C\|x\|}{\lambda - 1} \quad \forall x \in X, \forall \lambda > 1.$$

Clearly, absolute Kreiss boundedness implies uniform Kreiss boundedness.

**Proposition 3.5.** *An operator  $T$  on a complex Banach space is absolutely Cesàro bounded if and only if it is absolutely Kreiss bounded.*

*Proof.* By putting  $\alpha = 1/\lambda$ , (10) becomes  $\sup_{\alpha \in (0,1)} (1-\alpha) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha^n \|T^n x\| \leq C\|x\|$ . Since for *positive* sequences Cesàro boundedness and Abel boundedness are equivalent (e.g. [15, 1.5-1.7]), we obtain the claimed equivalence.  $\square$

**Remark.** The proposition yields that absolute Kreiss boundedness implies uniform Kreiss boundedness.

**Definition.** An operator  $T$  on a (real or complex) Banach space is *strongly Cesàro bounded* (SCB) if there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$(11) \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\langle x^*, T^k x \rangle| \leq C\|x\| \cdot \|x^*\| \quad \text{for every } x \in X, \quad x^* \in X^*.$$

We denote by  $K_{scb}$  the smallest  $C$  for which (11) holds.

Obviously strong Cesàro boundedness implies Cesàro boundedness, and absolute Cesàro boundedness implies SCB. If  $T$  is ACB on a reflexive space and not power-bounded, then by [5, Theorem 2.2] (Proposition 2.1),  $T^*$  is not ACB, but it is SCB since  $T$  is.

**Proposition 3.6.**  *$T$  (on a real or complex Banach space  $X$ ) is strongly Cesàro bounded if and only if there exists  $C > 0$ , such that for every sequence of scalars  $(\gamma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  with  $|\gamma_k| = 1$  we have*

$$(12) \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_k T^k \right\| \leq C.$$

*Proof.* If  $T$  is strongly Cesàro bounded, then for  $(\gamma_k)_k$  with  $|\gamma_k| = 1$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_k T^k \right\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1=\|x^*\|} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_k \langle x^*, T^k x \rangle \right| \leq K_{scb}.$$

Assume now that (12) holds. Fix  $x \in X$  and  $x^* \in X^*$ . Define  $\gamma_k = \overline{\langle x^*, T^k x \rangle} / |\langle x^*, T^k x \rangle|$  (with the convention  $\gamma_k = 1$  if the terms are zero). Then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\langle x^*, T^k x \rangle| = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_k \langle x^*, T^k x \rangle \leq C \|x\| \cdot \|x^*\|.$$

□

**Remark.** In the complex case, it is enough that (12) hold for  $\gamma_k \in \{-1, 1\}$ . The proof is similar, taking once  $\gamma_k = \text{sign } \text{Re} \langle x^*, T^k x \rangle$ , and then  $\gamma_k = \text{sign } \text{Im} \langle x^*, T^k x \rangle$ .

**Corollary 3.7.**  *$T$  is strongly Cesàro bounded if and only if  $T^*$  is.*

*Proof.* Use the characterization (12). □

**Corollary 3.8.** *If  $T$  on a complex Banach space is strongly Cesàro bounded, then it is uniformly Kreiss bounded.*

*Proof.* For  $\gamma \in \mathbb{T}$ , put  $\gamma_k = \gamma^k$  in (12), and obtain that (5) holds. □

#### 4. GROWTH OF POWERS OF OPERATORS ON HILBERT SPACES

In this section we show that when the operators act on a Hilbert space, we can improve the estimates on the size of the norms of the powers. We obtain estimates for Kreiss bounded, absolute Cesàro bounded and strongly Kreiss bounded operators.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $T$  be a Kreiss bounded operator on a complex Hilbert space  $H$ . Then  $\|T^n\| = O(n/\sqrt{\log n})$ .*

*Proof.* By assumption, for every  $z > 1$ , every  $\gamma \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $x \in H$ , we have

$$\left\| \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{T^n x}{(z\gamma)^{n+1}} \right\|^2 = \|R(z\gamma, T)x\|^2 \leq \frac{K^2 \|x\|^2}{(z-1)^2}.$$

Fix  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and take  $z = 1 + 1/N$ . Integrating the above inequality over  $\{|\gamma| = 1\}$ , we obtain

$$K^2 N^2 \|x\|^2 \geq \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\|T^n x\|^2}{(1 + \frac{1}{N})^{2(n+1)}} \geq (1 + \frac{1}{N})^{-2N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \|T^n x\|^2 \geq \tilde{C} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \|T^n x\|^2.$$

Hence, there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$(13) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \|T^n x\|^2 \leq CN^2 \|x\|^2 \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now,  $T^*$  is also Kreiss bounded (with the same constant), hence also  $T^*$  satisfies (13). Let  $0 \leq P < Q \leq N$  be integers. We have, for every  $x, y \in H$ ,

$$(Q - P)^2 |\langle T^N x, y \rangle|^2 = \left( \sum_{k=P}^{Q-1} |\langle T^{N-k} x, T^{*k} y \rangle| \right)^2 \leq \left( \sum_{k=P}^{Q-1} \|T^{N-k} x\|^2 \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{Q-1} \|T^{*k} y\|^2 \right) \leq C Q^2 \|y\|^2 \sum_{k=P}^{Q-1} \|T^{N-k} x\|^2.$$

Taking the supremum over  $\{\|y\| = 1\}$  we infer that

$$(14) \quad \frac{(Q - P)^2}{Q^2} \|T^N x\|^2 \leq C \sum_{k=P}^{Q-1} \|T^{N-k} x\|^2.$$

This inequality is just Claim 4 of [5].

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and define  $L := \log(N/2)/\log 2$ . It follows from (14) that for every  $0 \leq \ell \leq L - 1$ ,

$$\sum_{k=N+1-2^{\ell+1}}^{N-2^\ell} \|T^k x\|^2 \geq \|T^N x\|^2 / 4C.$$

Hence, using (13),

$$\|x\|^2 C^2 N^2 \geq \sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} \sum_{k=N+1-2^{\ell+1}}^{N-2^\ell} \|T^k x\|^2 \geq L \|T^N x\|^2 / 4C,$$

and the result follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $T$  be a Cesàro bounded positive operator on a complex Hilbert lattice (which is necessarily isometrically lattice isomorphic to an  $L^2$  space [30, p. 128]). Then  $T$  is Kreiss bounded,  $\|T^n\| = O(n/\sqrt{\log n})$ , and  $T$  is mean ergodic.*

*Proof.*  $T$  is Kreiss bounded by Proposition 5.13.  $\square$

**Remarks.** 1. Theorem 4.1 improves [5, Theorem 2.3], where it is proved that  $n^{-1} \|T^n\| \rightarrow 0$  when  $T$  is *uniformly* Kreiss bounded. However, the arguments are similar, with some modifications.

2. Theorem 4.1 was proved independently by Bonilla and Müller [6].

3. Nevanlinna [36, Theorem 0.3 and Corollary 8.2] gave conditions on a Kreiss bounded operator in  $H$  (that are always satisfied in the finite-dimensional case), which imply power-boundedness.

4. Taking  $H := \bigoplus_{N \geq 1} \mathbb{C}^N$  and using the construction of [39] on each summand, we can get for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  a Kreiss bounded operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space with  $\|T^n\| \geq C n^{1-\varepsilon}$  for every  $n \geq 1$ . This operator is not positive on  $H$  (identified with  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ ).

5. The Example of [21] yields  $T$  on  $L^1$  which is absolutely Cesàro bounded, hence uniformly Kreiss bounded, with  $\|T^n\| \asymp n^{1-\varepsilon}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  small.

6. Bonilla and Müller [6] constructed a uniformly Kreiss bounded  $T$  on a Hilbert space with  $\|T^n\| \asymp n^{1-\varepsilon}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  small. Their  $T$  is actually a weighted shift on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  with

non-negative weights, so by Proposition 5.13  $T$  is even strongly Cesàro bounded. In fact, by [37, Corollary 1], every weighted shift on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  which is uniformly Kreiss bounded is strongly Cesàro bounded. By [5, Theorem 2.5] (or Theorem 4.4 below), examples on  $H$  with  $\|T^n\| \geq c\sqrt{n}$  are not absolutely Cesàro bounded.

7. The examples show that the estimate for  $\|T^n\|$  in Theorem 4.1 is nearly optimal.

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $T$  be strongly Cesàro bounded on a (real or complex) Hilbert space  $H$ . Then  $\|T^n\| = O(n/\sqrt{\log n})$ .*

*Proof.* We first observe that when  $H$  is a complex Hilbert space,  $T$  is uniformly Kreiss bounded by Corollary 3.8, so the result follows from Theorem 4.1.

We now prove the real case. Let  $H_{\mathbb{C}} = H \oplus iH$  be the complexification of  $H$ , with the norm  $\|x + iy\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2$ , which makes  $H_{\mathbb{C}}$  a complex Hilbert space [31]. For a bounded linear operator  $S$  on  $H$  we define  $S_{\mathbb{C}}(x + iy) := Sx + iSy$ . Then  $S_{\mathbb{C}}$  extends  $S$  to  $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ ,  $\|S_{\mathbb{C}}\| = \|S\|$ , and  $(S^n)_{\mathbb{C}} = (S_{\mathbb{C}})^n$ .

Let  $(\gamma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a real sequence with  $\gamma_k \in \{-1, 1\}$ . Then

$$\left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_k (T_{\mathbb{C}})^k \right\| = \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_k T^k \right\|,$$

so by Proposition 3.6 and the remark following it, also  $T_{\mathbb{C}}$  is strongly Cesàro bounded. By the result for complex Hilbert spaces,  $\|T^n\| = \|(T_{\mathbb{C}})^n\| = O(n/\sqrt{\log n})$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $T$  be an absolutely Cesàro bounded operator on a Hilbert space  $H$ . Then  $T$  is Cesàro square bounded, with  $K_{\text{cs}} \leq 8K_{\text{ac}}$ . Consequently, there exists  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ , such that  $\|T^n\| = O(n^{1/2-\varepsilon})$  with  $\varepsilon \leq 1/128K_{\text{ac}}^2$ .*

*Proof.* The norm estimate will follow from Proposition 3.1 with  $p = 2$ .

We first assume that  $H$  is complex.

Let  $x \in H$  with  $\|x\| = 1$ . Let  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ . For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{T}$  define

$$y_{N,\gamma} := \sum_{k=1}^{2^N} \frac{\gamma^k T^k x}{\|T^k x\| + \varepsilon}$$

and

$$u_{N,\gamma} := \sum_{j=0}^{2^N-1} \gamma^j T^j y_{N,\gamma}.$$

Since  $T$  is absolutely Cesàro bounded, we have  $\|u_{N,\gamma}\| \leq K_{\text{ac}} 2^N \|y_{N,\gamma}\|$ .

Expanding  $\langle y_{N,\gamma}, y_{N,\gamma} \rangle$  and using orthogonality, we obtain  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \|y_{N,\gamma}\|^2 d\gamma \leq 2^N$ . Consequently,  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \|u_{N,\gamma}\|^2 d\gamma \leq K_{\text{ac}}^2 2^{3N}$ .

Notice also that

$$(15) \quad u_{N,\gamma} = \sum_{j=1}^{2^N} \gamma^j T^j x \sum_{k=1}^j \frac{1}{\|T^k x\| + \varepsilon} + \sum_{j=2^N+1}^{2^{N+1}-1} \gamma^j T^j x \sum_{k=j-2^N}^{2^N} \frac{1}{\|T^k x\| + \varepsilon}.$$

Now, expanding  $\langle u_{N,\gamma}, u_{N,\gamma} \rangle$  and using orthogonality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{\text{ac}}^2 2^{3N} &\geq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \|u_{N,\gamma}\|^2 d\gamma = \\
(16) \quad &\sum_{j=1}^{2^N} \|T^j x\|^2 \left( \sum_{k=1}^j \frac{1}{\|T^k x\| + \varepsilon} \right)^2 + \sum_{j=2^{N+1}}^{2^{N+1}-1} \|T^j x\|^2 \left( \sum_{k=j-2^N}^{2^N} \frac{1}{\|T^k x\| + \varepsilon} \right)^2 \geq \\
&\sum_{j=2^{N-1}+1}^{2^N} \|T^j x\|^2 \left( \sum_{k=1}^{2^{N-1}} \frac{1}{\|T^k x\| + \varepsilon} \right)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we minorized the second half of the first sum in (16). Notice that (using  $\|x\| = 1$ ),

$$\begin{aligned}
(17) \quad 2^{N-1} &= \sum_{k=1}^{2^{N-1}} \frac{\sqrt{\|T^k x\| + \varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\|T^k x\| + \varepsilon}} \leq \left( \sum_{k=1}^{2^{N-1}} (\|T^k x\| + \varepsilon) \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{2^{N-1}} \frac{1}{\|T^k x\| + \varepsilon} \right)^{1/2} \leq \\
&2^{N/2} \sqrt{K_{\text{ac}} + \varepsilon} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{2^{N-1}} \frac{1}{\|T^k x\| + \varepsilon} \right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2^{N-1}} \frac{1}{\|T^k x\| + \varepsilon} \geq \frac{2^{N-2}}{(K_{\text{ac}} + \varepsilon)}.$$

Finally we infer that

$$K_{\text{ac}}^2 2^{3N} \geq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \|u_{N,\gamma}\|^2 d\gamma \geq \frac{2^{2N-4}}{(K_{\text{ac}} + \varepsilon)^2} \sum_{j=2^{N-1}+1}^{2^N} \|T^j x\|^2.$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  we obtain

$$\sum_{j=2^{N-1}+1}^{2^N} \|T^j x\|^2 \leq 2^{N+4} K_{\text{ac}}^4.$$

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $N \geq 1$  be such that  $2^{N-1} \leq n \leq 2^N - 1$ . Summing the blocks we get

$$(18) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|T^k x\|^2 \leq \sum_{j=0}^N 2^{j+4} K_{\text{ac}}^4 \leq 64n K_{\text{ac}}^4.$$

For general  $x \neq 0$ , replace  $x$  in (18) by  $\frac{x}{\|x\|}$  to obtain the result.

When  $H$  is a real Hilbert space, we show that on the complexification  $H_{\mathbb{C}}$  the operator  $T_{\mathbb{C}}$  is absolutely Cesàro bounded:

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \|(T_{\mathbb{C}})^k(x + iy)\| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} [\|(T_{\mathbb{C}}^k x\| + \|T_{\mathbb{C}}^k y\|] \leq C(\|x\| + \|y\|) \leq \sqrt{2}C \sqrt{\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2}.$$

We now apply the result from the complex case:  $T_{\mathbb{C}}$  is Cesàro square bounded, so trivially so is  $T$ .  $\square$

**Remarks.** 1. The Theorem gives a rate in Theorem 2.5 of [5], where it is proved that  $\|T^n\| = o(n^{1/2})$ .

2. The Theorem answers Question 2.3 of [5].

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $T$  be a strongly Kreiss bounded operator on a complex Hilbert space  $H$ . Then there exists  $\kappa > 0$  (which depends on  $T$ ), such that  $\|T^n\| = O((\log n)^\kappa)$ .*

**Remark.** The theorem gives another proof that for  $p = 2$  the operator in Theorem 3.3 is not strongly Kreiss bounded. In the course of the proof, we obtain that  $\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \|T^n x\|^2 \leq C_\kappa N (\log(N+1))^\kappa \|x\|^2$  for every  $x \in H$ , with the  $\kappa > 0$  appearing in the theorem.

*Proof.* Let  $z > 0$ . By (3), for every  $x \in H$  and every complex number  $\gamma$  with  $|\gamma| = 1$ , we have  $\|e^{z\gamma T} x\|^2 \leq M^2 e^{2z} \|x\|^2$ . Expanding the left hand side as a double series of scalar products, integrating over  $\mathbb{T}$  with respect to  $\gamma$  and using orthogonality of  $(\gamma^n)_n$ , we infer that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{z^{2n}}{(n!)^2} \|T^n x\|^2 \leq M^2 e^{2z} \|x\|^2.$$

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $d > 0$ . Putting  $z = N$  and applying Lemma 3.4, we obtain that

$$C^2 e^{-2d^2} \frac{e^{2N}}{N} \sum_{N-d\sqrt{N} \leq n \leq N} \|T^n x\|^2 \leq M^2 e^{2N} \|x\|^2,$$

with  $C = C(d)$ . Hence,

$$(19) \quad \sum_{N-d\sqrt{N} \leq n \leq N} \|T^n x\|^2 \leq \frac{M^2 e^{2d^2}}{C^2} N \|x\|^2.$$

We will complete the proof after the following three lemmas.

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $T$  be an operator on a Banach space  $X$ . Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and assume that there exists  $C \geq 1$  such that for every integer  $N \geq 4$  and every  $x \in X$ ,  $\sum_{N-2\sqrt{N} \leq n \leq N} \|T^n x\|^2 \leq CN^\alpha \|x\|^2$ . Then for every integer  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^N \|T^k x\|^2 \leq 8C(1 + \|T\|^2) N^{(2\alpha+1)/2} \|x\|^2$ .*

*Proof.* For every integer  $M \geq 2$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{M^2} \|T^n x\|^2 = \|Tx\|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} \sum_{n=k^2+1}^{(k+1)^2} \|T^n x\|^2.$$

Let  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ . Applying our assumption with  $N \in \{2^2, \dots, M^2\}$ , we obtain that for every  $x \in H$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{M^2} \|T^n x\|^2 \leq \|T\|^2 \|x\|^2 + C \sum_{k=2}^M k^{2\alpha} \|x\|^2 \leq C(1 + \|T\|^2) M^{2\alpha+1} \|x\|^2.$$

Hence, for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $x \in X$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \|T^n x\|^2 \leq C(1 + \|T\|^2) (\lceil \sqrt{N} \rceil + 1)^{2\alpha+1} \|x\|^2 \leq C(1 + \|T\|^2) 2^{2\alpha+1} N^{(2\alpha+1)/2} \|x\|^2.$$

Note that by the assumption,  $C$  depends on  $\alpha$  (and  $T$ ).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let  $T$  be strongly Kreiss bounded on  $H$ . Let  $\beta \in (1, 3/2]$ . Assume that there exists  $C > 0$  such that for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $x \in H$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^N \|T^n x\|^2 \leq CN^\beta \|x\|^2$ . Then, there exists  $D > 0$  (independent of  $C$  and  $\beta$ ) such that for every integer  $N \geq 4$  and every  $x \in H$ ,  $\sum_{N-2\sqrt{N} \leq n \leq N} \|T^k x\|^2 \leq CDN^{\beta/2} \|x\|^2$ , and*

$$(20) \quad \sum_{n=1}^N \|T^n x\|^2 \leq 8CD(1 + \|T\|^2)N^{(\beta+1)/2} \|x\|^2.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in H$  with  $\|x\| = 1$ . Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\gamma| = 1$ . Set  $y_{N,\gamma} := \sum_{1 \leq n \leq 4\sqrt{N}} \gamma^n T^n x$  and  $w_{n,\gamma} := \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\gamma^k}{k!} N^k T^k y_{N,\gamma}$ .

By our assumptions,  $\int_{|\gamma|=1} \|y_{N,\gamma}\|^2 \leq 4^\beta CN^{\beta/2} \|x\|^2$ , and (3) yields  $\|w_{N,\gamma}\| \leq Me^N \|y_{N,\gamma}\|$ . Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \|w_{N,\gamma}\|^2 d\gamma \leq 4^\beta M^2 C e^{2N} N^{\beta/2} \|x\|^2.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} w_{N,\gamma} &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{k+1 \leq n \leq k+4\sqrt{N}} \frac{N^k}{k!} \gamma^n T^n x = \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq n \leq 4\sqrt{N}} \gamma^n T^n x \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{N^k}{k!} + \sum_{n \geq 4\sqrt{N}+1} \gamma^n T^n x \sum_{n-4\sqrt{N} \leq k \leq n} \frac{N^k}{k!}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for  $N \geq 38$  we have  $N - 2\sqrt{N} \geq 4\sqrt{N} + 1$ , so using Lemma 3.4 with  $d = 2$ , we infer that there exists a constant  $E > 0$  independent of  $N$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \|w_{N,\gamma}\|^2 &\geq \sum_{N-2\sqrt{N} \leq n \leq N} \left( \|T^n x\|^2 \left( \sum_{n-4\sqrt{N} \leq k \leq n} \frac{N^k}{k!} \right)^2 \right) \geq \\ &\left( \sum_{N-2\sqrt{N} \leq n \leq N} \|T^n x\|^2 \right) \left( \sum_{N-4\sqrt{N} \leq k \leq N-2\sqrt{N}} \frac{N^k}{k!} \right)^2 \geq E e^{2N} \sum_{N-2\sqrt{N} \leq n \leq N} \|T^n x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This provides the first bound with  $D = 16M^2/E > 4^\beta M^2/E$ , when  $N \geq 38$ . Taking  $D$  (which is independent of  $C$  and  $\beta$ ) greater if necessary, the first bound also holds for  $4 \leq N \leq 37$ .

The estimate (20) now follows from Lemma 4.6, noticing that  $\beta/2 \in (0, 1]$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.8.** *Let  $T$  be strongly Kreiss bounded on  $H$ . Then there exists  $C > 0$  such that for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , every  $x \in H$  and every integer  $K \geq 0$ , we have*

$$(21) \quad \sum_{k=1}^N \|T^k x\|^2 \leq C(8D)^K (1 + \|T\|^2)^K N^{1+2^{-K-1}} \|x\|^2.$$

Moreover, there exist  $S > 0$  and  $\kappa > 0$  such that for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $x \in H$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^N \|T^k x\|^2 \leq SN(\log(N+1))^\kappa \|x\|^2$ .



*Proof.* For  $K = 0$ , (21) follows from (19) and Lemma 4.6 with  $\alpha = 1$ . Then, the estimate follows by an easy induction making use of (20).

Let us prove the second bound. Fix an integer  $N \geq e^e$ . Let  $K \geq 0$  be the integer such that  $2^K \leq \frac{\log N}{\log \log N} < 2^{K+1}$ . Set  $c := \log(8D(1 + \|T\|^2))/\log 2$ . Then

$$(8D(1 + \|T\|^2))^K = (2^K)^c \leq \left(\frac{\log N}{\log \log N}\right)^c \leq (\log N)^c.$$

Also  $N^{2^{-K-1}} = \exp(2^{-K-1} \log N) \leq \log N$ , and (21) yields the result with  $\kappa = c + 1$ .  $\square$

Let us finish the proof of Theorem 4.5.

Notice that  $T^*$  is also strongly Kreiss bounded, with  $K_{sk}(T^*) = K_{sk}(T)$ , and that  $\|T\| = \|T^*\|$ . Hence, all our Lemmas apply to  $T^*$  with the same constants.

Let  $N \geq 2$  and  $x, y \in H$ , with  $\|y\| = 1$ . Applying the previous lemma also to  $T^*$  and  $y$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} (N-1)^2 |\langle T^N x, y \rangle|^2 &= \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} |\langle T^k x, T^{*(N-k)} y \rangle| \right)^2 \leq \\ &\left( \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \|T^k x\|^2 \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \|T^{*k} y\|^2 \right) \leq S^2 N^2 (\log(N+1))^{2\kappa} \|x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, the result follows by taking the supremum over  $\{y \in H : \|y\| = 1\}$ .  $\square$

Theorem 4.5 improves the bound  $o(\sqrt{n}/(\log n)^\kappa)$  for any  $\kappa > 0$ , stated (without proof) in [29, p. 3]. Now we prove that the estimate of Theorem 4.5 is the best possible, and indeed  $\kappa$  depends on  $T$ .

**Proposition 4.9.** *For every  $\kappa > 0$  there exists a strongly Kreiss bounded operator  $T$  on a complex Hilbert space  $H$ , such that  $\|T^n\| = \frac{1}{(\log 2)^\kappa} (\log(n+2))^\kappa$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $\kappa > 0$  and let  $H := L^2(\mathbb{N}, \nu)$  with  $\nu = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\delta_n}{(\log(n+1))^\kappa}$ . Let  $T$  be the left shift on  $H$ . Obviously,  $\|T^n e_{n+1}\|^2 = \frac{1}{(\log 2)^\kappa} = (\log(n+2)/\log 2)^\kappa \|e_{n+1}\|^2$ .

For  $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = x \in H$  we have

$$\|T^n x\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_{k+n}|^2 \frac{1}{(\log(k+1))^\kappa} \leq \|x\|^2 \cdot \sup_{k \geq 1} \left( \frac{\log(k+n+1)}{\log(k+1)} \right)^\kappa = \left( \frac{\log(n+2)}{\log 2} \right)^\kappa \|x\|^2,$$

since  $\log(x+n)/\log x$  is decreasing. Hence,  $\|T^n\| = (\log(n+2))^{\kappa/2}/(\log 2)^{\kappa/2}$ .

To prove (3), we note that by Cauchy-Schwarz,  $\|e^{zT} x\|^2 \leq e^{|z|} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{|z|^n}{n!} \|T^n x\|^2$ , so it suffices to find  $C > 0$  such that for every  $r > 0$  and  $x \in H$ ,  $\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{r^n}{n!} \|T^n x\|^2 \leq C e^r \|x\|^2$ .

Fix  $r > 0$  and  $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = x \in H$ . Since  $\frac{\log(k+1-n)}{\log(k+1)} \geq \frac{\log 2}{\log(n+2)}$  for  $0 \leq n < k$  and  $r^n e^{-r} \leq n^n e^{-n}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{r^n}{n!} \|T^n x\|^2 &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{r^n}{n!} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{|x_{k+n}|^2}{(\log(k+1))^\kappa} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{r^n}{n!} \sum_{k \geq n+1} \frac{|x_k|^2}{(\log(k+1-n))^\kappa} \leq \\ &\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{|x_k|^2}{(\log(k^{1/4}+1))^\kappa} \sum_{0 \leq n \leq k-k^{1/4}} \frac{r^n}{n!} + e^r \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{|x_k|^2}{(\log(k+1))^\kappa} \sum_{k-k^{1/4} < n \leq k-1} \frac{n^n e^{-n} (\log(n+2))^\kappa}{n! (\log 2)^\kappa}. \end{aligned}$$

Using boundedness of  $\log(k+1)/\log(k^{1/4}+1)$  (its limit as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  is 4) to bound the first term and Stirling's formula to bound the second term, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{r^n}{n!} \|T^n x\|^2 \leq \\ &C_1 \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{|x_k|^2}{(\log(k+1))^\kappa} \sum_{0 \leq n \leq k-k^{1/4}} \frac{r^n}{n!} + e^r \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{|x_k|^2}{(\log(k+1))^\kappa} \sum_{k-k^{1/4} < n \leq k-1} C_2 \frac{(\log(n+2))^\kappa}{\sqrt{2\pi n} (\log 2)^\kappa} \leq \\ &C_1 e^r \|x\|^2 + C_2 e^r \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{|x_k|^2}{(\log(k+1))^\kappa} \left( k^{1/4} \frac{(\log(k+1))^\kappa}{(\log 2)^\kappa \sqrt{\pi k}} \right) \leq e^r C \|x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Remarks.** 1. Such a result was proved by McCarthy [29] for  $\kappa = 1$  and  $X = \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ . In our proof  $H = L^2(\mathbb{N}, \nu)$ , for some  $\nu$  which depends on  $\kappa$ , but the proof works equally with  $X = L^p(\mathbb{N}, \nu)$  for any  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

2. Actually, we have constructed  $T$  such that for some  $C > 0$  we have

$$(22) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{r^n \|T^n x\|}{n!} \leq C e^r \|x\| \quad \forall x \in X, \forall r \geq 0.$$

We call  $T$  which satisfies (22) *absolutely strongly Kreiss bounded*. We denote by  $K_{ASK} = K_{ASK}(T)$  the smallest constant  $C$  for which (22) is satisfied.

Absolute strong Kreiss boundedness implies not only strong Kreiss boundedness, but also absolute Cesàro boundedness (see below); still,  $T$  is not power-bounded. Proposition 4.9 yields examples of strongly Kreiss bounded operators which are not power-bounded, different from McCarthy's [29].

**Proposition 4.10.** *Absolute strong Kreiss boundedness implies absolute Cesàro boundedness.*

*Proof.* Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Lemma 3.4, with  $d = 1$ , there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\frac{C e^N}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{N-d\sqrt{N} \leq k \leq N} \|T^k x\| \leq \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{N^n \|T^n x\|}{n!} \leq K_{ASK} e^N \|x\|.$$

Hence  $\sum_{N-d\sqrt{N} \leq k \leq N} \|T^k x\| \leq C' \sqrt{N} \|x\|$ . Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 4.6, with  $\|T^n x\|^2$  replaced by  $\|T^n x\|$  (and taking  $\alpha = 1/2$ ), we infer that there exists  $M > 0$ , such

that for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \|T^k x\| \leq M \cdot N \|x\|,$$

which is precisely absolute Cesàro boundedness.  $\square$

The following corollary applies to the operators constructed in Proposition 4.9.

**Corollary 4.11.** *Let  $T$  be an absolutely strongly Kreiss bounded operator on  $H$  which is not power-bounded. Then  $T^*$  is strongly Kreiss bounded, but not absolutely strongly Kreiss bounded and not absolutely Cesàro bounded.*

*Proof.*  $T$  is absolutely Cesàro bounded, and  $T^*$  is obviously strongly Kreiss bounded, since  $T$  is. If  $T^*$  were absolutely strongly Kreiss bounded, it would be absolutely Cesàro bounded by Proposition 4.10, so by [5, Theorem 2.2] (Proposition 2.1)  $T$  would be power-bounded, which is a contradiction.  $\square$

## 5. ERGODIC PROPERTIES UNDER THE KREISS RESOLVENT CONDITION

Strikwerda and Wade [41], [42, Theorem 6.1] proved that  $T$  satisfies the Kreiss resolvent condition if and only if there is a constant  $C$  such that

$$(23) \quad \sup_n \|M_n^{(2)}(\gamma T)\| \leq C \quad \forall |\gamma| = 1,$$

where  $M_n^{(2)}(T) := \frac{2}{(n+1)(n+2)} \sum_{j=0}^n (n+1-j)T^j$  is the  $n$ th Cesàro mean of order 2 of  $T$ . The example in [42, p. 352] shows that the Kreiss resolvent condition does not imply Cesàro boundedness; however, the space there is not reflexive.

We start by extending the characterization of [42]. Let us recall the definition of the Cesàro means of order  $\alpha$  ( $C$ - $\alpha$  means) and several of their properties. We refer to [52, Section III.1] for those facts.

For every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , set  $A_0^\alpha = 1$ , and  $A_n^\alpha := \frac{(\alpha+1)\dots(\alpha+n)}{n!}$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

Then,  $A_n^\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}^{\alpha-1}$  and  $A_n^\alpha \sim C_\alpha n^\alpha$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Given an operator  $T$  on a Banach space, we define  $M_n^{(\alpha)} = M_n^{(\alpha)}(T) = \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}^{\alpha-1} T^k$  and  $S_n^\alpha = S_n^\alpha(T) = A_n^\alpha M_n^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}^{\alpha-1} T^k$ . Note that  $M_n^{(0)} = T^n$  and  $M_n^{(1)} = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n T^k$ .

For every complex number  $z$  with  $|z| < 1$  one has

$$(24) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} S_n^\alpha z^n = (1-z)^{-\alpha} \sum_{n \geq 0} z^n T^n.$$

Here,  $(1-z)^{-\alpha} = \exp(-\alpha \log(1-z))$  where  $\log$  is the principal determination of the logarithm. In particular,  $|(1-z)^{-\alpha}| = |1-z|^\alpha$ .

**Definition.** We call  $T$  Cesàro- $\alpha$  bounded if there exists  $C < \infty$  such that

$$\sup_{n \geq 0} \|M_n^{(\alpha)}(T)\| \leq C.$$

Equivalently,  $T$  is Cesàro- $\alpha$  bounded if  $\sup_{n \geq 0} (n+1)^{-\alpha} \|S_n^\alpha\| < \infty$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** *Assume that  $T$  is Kreiss bounded. Then, for every  $\alpha > 1$ ,*

$$\sup_{n \geq 2} n^{-\alpha} \|S_n^\alpha\| \leq \frac{2^{\alpha/2} 4K_k}{\alpha - 1}.$$

*In particular,  $T$  is Cesàro- $\alpha$  bounded when  $\alpha > 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n \geq 2$ . Using orthogonality, and then (24) with  $z = \gamma(1 - 1/n)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - 1/n)^n S_n^\alpha &= \int_{|\gamma|=1} \gamma^{-n} \sum_{m \geq 0} S_m^\alpha \gamma^m (1 - 1/n)^m d\gamma = \\ &= \int_{|\gamma|=1} \gamma^{-n} (1 - \gamma(1 - 1/n))^{-\alpha} \sum_{m \geq 0} (\gamma(1 - 1/n))^m T^m d\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Kreiss boundedness of  $T$ , we infer that

$$(25) \quad (1 - 1/n)^n \|S_n^\alpha\| \leq \frac{nK_k}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\theta}{|1 - e^{i\theta}(1 - 1/n)|^\alpha}.$$

Now, using basic computations and the fact that  $|\sin u| \geq 2|u|/\pi$  for  $|u| \leq \pi/2$  (and  $1 - 1/n \geq 1/2$ ), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |1 - e^{i\theta}(1 - 1/n)|^2 &= 2(1 - 1/n)(1 - \cos \theta) + 1/n^2 = 4(1 - 1/n) \sin^2(\theta/2) + 1/n^2 \geq \\ &\geq 2 \sin(\theta^2/2) + 1/n^2 \geq 2\theta^2/\pi^2 + 1/n^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}(|\theta|/\pi + 1/n)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Applying this estimate in (25) and using  $(1 - 1/n)^n \geq (1 - 1/2)^2 = 1/4$ , we obtain

$$\|S_n^\alpha\|/4 \leq (1 - 1/n)^n \|S_n^\alpha\| \leq \frac{2^{\alpha/2} n K_k}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{d\theta}{(\theta/\pi + 1/n)^\alpha} \leq \frac{2^{\alpha/2} K_k n^\alpha}{\alpha - 1}.$$

□

**Remark.** For  $\alpha = 1$ , the integral in inequality (25) yields the result of [42, Theorem 6.2]: *If  $T$  is Kreiss bounded, then  $\|M_n(T)\| = O(\log n)$ . This is sharp [42, p. 352].*

**Corollary 5.2.** *The following are equivalent for  $T$  on a complex Banach space:*

(i)  $T$  is Kreiss bounded.

(ii) For every  $\alpha > 1$  we have

$$(26) \quad \sup_{\gamma \in \mathbb{T}} \sup_{n \geq 0} \|M_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma T)\| < \infty.$$

(iii) (26) is satisfied by  $\alpha = 2$ .

(iv) (26) is satisfied by some  $\alpha > 1$ .

*Proof.* If  $T$  is Kreiss bounded, so is each  $\gamma T$ , with  $K_k(\gamma T) = K_k(T)$ . Hence (ii) follows from the Proposition.

Clearly (ii) implies (iii), and (iii) implies (iv). By [42] (iii) implies (i).

The implication that (iv) implies (i) follows for instance from (24) (applied again with  $\gamma T$ ), noticing that  $(1 - z)^\alpha = \sum_{n \geq 0} A_n^\alpha z^n$ . We skip the details. □

**Remark.** Strikwerda and Wade [41, p. 95] proved that  $T$  is Kreiss bounded if (and only if) (26) is satisfied for some *integer*  $\alpha \geq 2$ .

For  $r \in (0, 1)$  and  $T \in B(X)$  with spectral radius  $R(T) \leq 1$ , the series  $A_r(T) := (1-r) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n T^n$  converges in operator norm (since  $\limsup_n (r^n \|T^n\|)^{1/n} = rR(T) < 1$ ). We call  $A_r(T)$  the *Abel mean*, and if  $\sup_{0 < r < 1} \|A_r(T)\| < \infty$  we say that  $T$  is *Abel bounded*. When  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} A_r(T)$  exists strongly, we call  $T$  *Abel ergodic*.

**Proposition 5.3.** *If  $T$  is Kreiss bounded, then it is Abel bounded.*

*Proof.* The Kreiss resolvent condition implies  $R(T) \leq 1$ . For  $0 < r < 1$ , putting  $\lambda = 1/r$  in (1) we obtain

$$\left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k T^k \right\| = \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{T^k}{\lambda^k} \right\| = \|\lambda R(\lambda, T)\| \leq \frac{C\lambda}{\lambda-1} = \frac{C}{1-r},$$

which proves Abel boundedness.  $\square$

We now review the ergodic properties of Abel means, which are mostly well-known.

**Proposition 5.4.** *Let  $T$  be Abel bounded. The following are equivalent for  $x \in X$ :*

- (i)  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} A_r(T)x = 0$ .
- (ii)  $x \in \overline{(I-T)X}$ .
- (iii)  $x^*(x) = 0$  for every  $x^* \in X^*$  with  $T^*x^* = x^*$ .
- (iv)  $A_{r_j}x \rightarrow 0$  weakly for some subsequence  $r_j \rightarrow 1^-$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to show  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \|A_r(T)(I-T)\| = 0$ , so (ii) implies (i). (i) implies (iv) and (iv) easily implies (iii). (iii) implies (ii) by the Hahn-Banach theorem (see [25, Lemma 3.3].  $\square$

**Proposition 5.5.** *Let  $T$  be Abel bounded on  $X$ , and put  $F(T) := \{y : Ty = y\}$ . Then:*

- (i)  $Y := F(T) \oplus \overline{(I-T)X}$  is closed.
- (ii)  $A_r x$  converges as  $r \rightarrow 1^-$  if and only if  $x \in Y$ .

*Proof.* For  $y \in F(T)$  we have  $A_r(T)y = y$ ; from Proposition 5.4 we obtain that  $F(T) \cap \overline{(I-T)X} = \{0\}$ , and that the convergence holds for  $x \in Y$ .

Assume  $A_{r_j}x \rightarrow y$  weakly. Since  $\|A_r(T)(I-T)\| \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $Ty = y$ , and by Proposition 5.4 we obtain  $x - y \in \overline{(I-T)X}$ ; hence  $x \in Y$ . This proves (ii). Then (ii) implies that  $Y$  is the set of convergence of  $A_r(T)$ ; since  $\{A_r(T)\}_r$  is bounded,  $Y$  is closed.  $\square$

The following result is well-known; see [25, Proposition 3.4].

**Corollary 5.6.** *Let  $T$  be Abel bounded. Then  $T$  is Abel ergodic if and only if*

$$(27) \quad X = F(T) \oplus \overline{(I-T)X}.$$

When  $T$  is Abel ergodic,  $E_x := \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} A_r x$  is the projection on  $F(T)$  corresponding to (27).

**Corollary 5.7.** *Let  $T$  be Abel bounded on a reflexive space. Then  $T$  is Abel ergodic.*

*Proof.* For  $x \in X$  there exists  $r_j \rightarrow 1^-$  such that  $A_{r_j}(T)x$  converges weakly, say to  $y$ . As before, we see that  $A_r(T)x$  converges strongly.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.8.** *Let  $T$  be Kreiss bounded on a reflexive space. Then  $T$  is Abel ergodic.*

**Theorem 5.9.** *Let  $T$  on  $X$  satisfy the Kreiss resolvent condition (1). Then for  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $M_n^{(\alpha)}(T)x$  converges if and only if  $x \in F(T) \oplus \overline{(I - T)X}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $T$  is Abel bounded, by Proposition 5.5  $Y := F(T) \oplus \overline{(I - T)X}$  is closed. If  $M_n^{(\alpha)}(T)x$  converges, then by [19, Theorem 7]  $A_r(T)x$  converges as  $r \rightarrow 1^-$ , so  $x \in Y$ , by Proposition 5.5.

Fix  $1 < \alpha < 2$ . Corollary 5.2 implies that  $\{\|M_n^{(\alpha)}(T)\|\}$  is bounded. Since  $M_n^{(\alpha)}(T)x$  is a weighted average of  $\{x, Tx, \dots, T^n x\}$ , it trivially converges for  $x \in F(T)$ .

Since  $T$  is Kreiss bounded, we have  $\|T^n\| = O(n)$  [26]. For our  $\alpha$ , it then follows from [11, Proposition 2.4] that  $\|M_n^{(\alpha)}(T)(I - T)^2 x\| \rightarrow 0$  for every  $x \in X$ . We now prove that  $\overline{(I - T)^2 X} = \overline{(I - T)X}$ . Put  $Z := \overline{(I - T)X}$ , which is  $T$ -invariant, and denote  $S := T|_Z$ . Let  $y \in Z$ . By Proposition 5.4,  $\|A_r(S)y\| = \|A_r(T)y\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow 1^-$ . Hence  $y \in \overline{(I - S)Z}$ . For  $\delta > 0$  there exists  $z \in Z$  with  $\|y - (I - T)z\| < \delta$ ; then there exists  $x$  with  $\|z - (I - T)x\| < \delta/\|I - T\|$ . Hence  $\|y - (I - T)^2 x\| < 2\delta$ . This proves that  $Z \subset \overline{(I - T)^2 X}$ ; the converse inclusion is obvious. Since  $\{M_n^{(\alpha)}\}_n$  is bounded, we conclude that  $M_n^{(\alpha)}x$  converges for every  $x \in Y$ .

For  $\beta \geq 2$ , convergence of  $M_n^{(\alpha)}x$  implies that of  $M_n^{(\beta)}x$  by [52, Theorem III.1.21].  $\square$

**Remarks.** 1. The proof that  $M_n^{(2)}(T)x$  converges when  $x \in F(T) \oplus \overline{(I - T)X}$  is in [45, Theorem 3.4(i)].

2. For  $T$  as in Theorem 5.9, put  $Y := F(T) \oplus \overline{(I - T)X}$ , which is closed by Proposition 5.5, and obviously  $T$ -invariant. Let  $S$  be the restriction of  $T$  to  $Y$ . Then  $S$  satisfies the Kreiss resolvent condition by (23). Proposition 5.5 yields that  $Y = F(S) \oplus \overline{(I - S)Y}$ .

3. For any given  $\alpha > 0$ , Ed-Dari [11] gave necessary and sufficient conditions for strong convergence of  $M_n^{(\alpha)}$ .

**Corollary 5.10.** *Let  $T$  be Kreiss bounded on a reflexive space. Then for  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $M_n^{(\alpha)}(T)x$  converges for every  $x \in X$ .*

*Proof.*  $T$  is Abel ergodic, and the decomposition (27) holds.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.11.** *Let  $T$  on a Banach space  $X$  satisfy the strong Kreiss resolvent condition (2). Then  $M_n(T)x := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T^k x$  converges if and only if  $x \in F(T) \oplus \overline{(I - T)X}$ .*

*Proof.* By [29]  $\|T^n\| = O(\sqrt{n})$ , and  $T$  is Cesàro bounded, since by [18] it satisfies the uniform Kreiss resolvent condition; hence convergence holds for  $x \in F(T) \oplus \overline{(I - T)X}$ , which is closed by Proposition 5.5.  $\square$

El-Fallah and Ransford [13, Corollary 1.4] show existence of  $T$  Kreiss bounded, with  $\|T^n\|/n \not\rightarrow 0$ ; we show that even strong convergence may fail, and the usual ergodic averages  $M_n(T)x := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T^k x$  need not converge for  $x \in F(T) \oplus \overline{(I-T)X}$ .

**Example.**  $T$  satisfying the Kreiss resolvent condition and  $y \in X$  with  $T^n y/n \not\rightarrow 0$ .

We look at Shields's example [38]:  $X$  is the space of functions  $f$ , analytic in the open unit disk with  $f'$  in  $H^1$ , with norm  $\|f\| := \|f\|_\infty + \|f'\|_1$ . The operator is  $Tf(z) = zf(z)$ . Shields proved that  $T$  satisfies the Kreiss resolvent condition, and observed that  $\|T^n\| = n+1$  (hence  $T$  does not satisfy the strong Kreiss condition). In fact, for  $y(z) \equiv 1$  we have  $\|T^n y\| = 1+n$ .

Taking  $x = (I-T)y$  we see that the Cesàro averages of  $x$  do not converge to 0, so  $T$  restricted to  $Y := F(T) \oplus \overline{(I-T)X} = \overline{(I-T)X}$  is not mean ergodic.  $\|M_n(T)x\| = \frac{1}{n}\|y - T^n y\|$  is bounded, since  $\|T^n\| = n+1$ . Note that  $T$  on all of  $X$  is not Cesàro bounded [42], hence does not satisfy the uniform Kreiss resolvent condition.

**Corollary 5.12.** *There exists  $S$  on a Banach space  $Y$  which satisfies the Kreiss resolvent condition,  $Y = F(S) \oplus \overline{(I-S)Y}$ , but  $S$  is not mean ergodic.*

**Remarks.** 1. By Corollary 5.7,  $X$  in the example is not reflexive:  $F(T) = \{0\}$ , but  $\overline{(I-T)X} \neq X$ , since it contains only functions  $g \in X$  with  $g(1) = 0$ .

2. It can be shown that for  $T$  of the example  $\sigma(T)$  is the closed unit disk, since  $(\lambda I - T)X \neq X$  when  $|\lambda| \leq 1$ .

3. When  $T$  satisfies the Kreiss condition and  $\sigma(T) \cap \mathbb{T}$  has Lebesgue measure zero, we have  $\|T^n\|/n \rightarrow 0$ , by [35, Theorem 5] (see also [3, Corollary 4.6]).

**Proposition 5.13.** *The following are equivalent for a positive operator  $T$  on a complex Banach lattice  $X$ .*

- (i)  $T$  is Cesàro bounded.
- (ii)  $T$  is strongly Cesàro bounded.
- (iii)  $T$  is uniformly Kreiss bounded.
- (iv)  $T$  is Kreiss bounded.
- (v)  $T$  is Abel bounded.

*Proof.* Assume (i). Let  $(\gamma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \subset \mathbb{T}$ . For  $x \in X$  positivity yields

$$\left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_k T^k x \right\| = \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_k T^k |x| \right\| \leq \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T^k |x| \right\| \leq \|M_n(T)\| \cdot \|x\|,$$

which yields (ii), by Proposition 3.6.

Clearly (ii) implies (iii) and (iii) implies (iv). By Proposition 5.3, (iv) implies (v). (v) implies (i), since for positive operators, Abel boundedness implies Cesàro boundedness, by [15, I.5].  $\square$

**Corollary 5.14.** *Let  $T$  be a positive operator on a reflexive complex Banach lattice  $X$ . If  $T$  satisfies the Kreiss resolvent condition, then  $T$  is mean ergodic.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.13,  $T$  is Cesàro bounded. Emilion [15, Theorem 4.2] proved that a Cesàro bounded positive operator on a reflexive Banach lattice is mean ergodic.  $\square$

**Remarks.** 1. Examples of positive operators on  $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$  which are (uniformly) Kreiss bounded but are not power-bounded, are given in [5, Theorem 2.1]. See also Theorem 3.3.

2. A positive strongly Cesàro bounded operator need not be absolutely Cesàro bounded - the operator  $T$  defined in Theorem 3.3 is positive absolutely Cesàro bounded and not power-bounded, so its dual is SCB but not ACB. See also [5, Corollary 2.4].

**Proposition 5.15.** *Let  $T$  on a reflexive Banach space  $X$  satisfy the strong Kreiss resolvent condition (2). Then  $\gamma T$  is mean ergodic for every  $\gamma \in \mathbb{T}$ .*

*Proof.* By [18]  $T$  is uniformly Kreiss bounded, so  $\{\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\gamma T)^k\|\}_{n \geq 1}$  is bounded for fixed  $\gamma$ , and by [29] (or [26]),  $\|(\gamma T)^n\| = \|T^n\| = O(\sqrt{n})$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** When  $X$  is a Hilbert space, the above holds if  $T$  satisfies only (4), since it was proved in [5, Corollary 2.5] that if  $T$  on a Hilbert space satisfies the uniform Kreiss resolvent condition, then it is mean ergodic.

Recall that on a reflexive Banach space,  $T$  is mean ergodic if and only if it is Cesàro bounded and  $T^n/n$  converges to zero strongly.

**Lemma 5.16.** *Let  $T$  on a reflexive Banach space satisfy the uniform Kreiss resolvent condition. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $T^n/n \rightarrow 0$  in the strong operator topology.
- (ii)  $\gamma T$  is mean ergodic for every  $|\gamma| = 1$ .
- (iii)  $T$  is mean ergodic.
- (iv)  $\gamma_0 T$  is mean ergodic for some  $|\gamma_0| = 1$ .

*Proof.* (i) implies (ii) by (5) and the decomposition 27. Trivially, (ii)  $\implies$  (iii)  $\implies$  (iv). (iv) implies (i) since we get  $\|T^n x\|/n = \|(\gamma_0 T)^n x\|/n \rightarrow 0$  by (iv).  $\square$

**Remarks.** 1. Weak mean ergodicity of uniformly Kreiss bounded  $T$  on a reflexive space is equivalent to the weak convergence to zero of  $T^n/n$ , in view of the decomposition 27. Since  $T^*$  satisfies (5) if and only if  $T$  does,  $T$  is weakly mean ergodic if and only if  $T^*$  is.

2. The above lemma is valid also for the weak topology.

**Corollary 5.17.** *Let  $T$  on a reflexive Banach space satisfy the uniform Kreiss resolvent condition. If the Lebesgue measure of  $\sigma(T) \cap \mathbb{T}$  is zero, then for every  $\gamma \in \mathbb{T}$ , the operators  $\gamma T$  and  $\gamma T^*$  are mean ergodic.*

*Proof.*  $T$  and  $T^*$  satisfy all the conditions in the lemma, since  $\|T^n\|/n \rightarrow 0$  by [35].  $\square$

**Example.** A uniformly Kreiss bounded not power-bounded  $T$  on a reflexive space, with  $\sigma(T) = \{1\}$ .



Let  $V$  be the Volterra operator on  $L^p[0, 1]$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and for  $r > 0$  put  $T := I - rV$ . By [32]  $T$  is UKB, and for  $p \neq 2$  it is not power-bounded and not strongly Kreiss bounded.  $\sigma(T) = \{1\}$  because  $\sigma(V) = \{0\}$ . Hence mean ergodicity of  $T$  does not follow from Proposition 5.15, but it does from Corollary 5.17.

It was shown in [5, Corollary 2.5] that *any  $T$  on a Hilbert space which satisfies the uniform Kreiss resolvent condition is mean ergodic*. Therefore, if  $T$  on  $H$  is mean ergodic and  $T^*$  is not (e.g. [9], [47, Example 3.1]), then  $T$  is not UKB; by Theorem 4.1, it is not even Kreiss bounded.

## 6. PROBLEMS

1. A question related to McCarthy's example [29] is whether in every complex Banach space there is a strongly Kreiss bounded operator which is not power-bounded.

2. Let  $T$  be invertible. If both  $T$  and  $T^{-1}$  are absolutely Cesàro bounded (or even both are Cesàro square bounded), are  $T$  and  $T^{-1}$  power-bounded? In Hilbert space, if in addition one of them is power-bounded, so is the other, since absolute Cesàro boundedness implies the Kreiss resolvent condition, and we can apply the result of van Casteren [48]. Hence our problem in Hilbert space is to weaken the power-boundedness assumption on one of them, made in [48], and strengthen the Kreiss resolvent condition on the other.

3. Is there an example (preferably in a Hilbert space) of  $T$  uniformly Kreiss bounded and not strongly Cesàro bounded? By Corollary 3.8, in any Banach space every SCB operator is UKB.

4. Let  $T$  be uniformly Kreiss bounded on  $H$ . Is there a rate for  $\|T^n\|$  which is better than the rate obtained for Kreiss bounded operators in Theorem 4.1? Same question for  $T$  strongly Cesàro bounded. There is a gap between the growth rates for Kreiss bounded operators and for absolutely Cesàro bounded ones (in  $H$ ). In view of Müller's example mentioned in the remarks following Theorem 4.1, the question is whether the rate is  $\|T^n\| = O(n^{1-\varepsilon})$  (with  $\varepsilon > 0$  depending on  $T$ ).

5. Does uniform Kreiss boundedness of  $T$  on a reflexive space imply mean ergodicity? In Hilbert spaces the answer is positive, by [5, Corollary 2.5]. However, for mean ergodicity we need only that  $T^n/n \rightarrow 0$  *strongly*, and even this is not known in general reflexive spaces. What if we strengthen the assumption to strong Cesàro boundedness of  $T$ ? This question is related to Question 3 in [32]: Does (UKB) imply a rate of growth of  $\|T^n\|$  better than  $O(n)$ ? For the converse, assume that  $T$  on  $H$  satisfies  $\|T^n\| = o(n)$  and that  $\gamma T$  is mean ergodic for every  $|\gamma| = 1$ ; is  $T$  uniformly Kreiss bounded?

6. Find  $T$  on a reflexive Banach space which satisfies the Kreiss resolvent condition and is not mean ergodic. Is there an example on a Hilbert space? Note that the space in Corollary 5.12 is not reflexive.

7. Let  $T$  be Cesàro square bounded with  $\sigma(T) \cap \mathbb{T} \subset \{1\}$ . Does  $\|T^n(I - T)\| \rightarrow 0$ ? If yes, what if  $T$  is only (ACB)? By [20], the answer is positive for  $T$  power-bounded,

but negative for  $T$  only Cesàro bounded (Tomilov-Zemánek [47], Léka [24]), or when  $T$  satisfies only (1) (Nevanlinna [35]). The question whether (UKB) is sufficient was posed by Suciu [43]; see also [35], [45], [44]. In the above questions, if  $T^n(I - T)$  does not converge to 0 in norm, does it converge strongly (see [45, Theorem 3.1(iv)])?

*Note added in proof.* Loris Arnold has noted that Example 1 in [49] gives a negative answer to Problem 2 above.

**Acknowledgements.** The last author is grateful for the hospitality of the universities of Leipzig and of Brest, where parts of this research were carried out.

The second author's interest in the subject was motivated by V. Müller's talk at the 2019 workshop of the internet seminar on ergodic theorems, co-organized in Wuppertal by the third author.

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. Abadias and A. Bonilla, Growth orders and ergodicity for absolutely Cesàro bounded operators, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 561 (2019), 253-267.
- [2] L. Abadias, G. Bello-Burguet and D. Yakubovich, Operator inequalities I. Models and ergodicity, preprint, ArXiv: 1908.05032v1.
- [3] A. Aleman and L. Suciu, On ergodic operator means in Banach spaces, *Integral Eq. Oper. Theory* 85 (2016), 259-287.
- [4] I. Assani, Sur les opérateurs à puissances bornées et le théorème ergodique ponctuel dans  $L^p$ ,  $1 < p < +\infty$ , *Canadian J. Math.* 38 (1986), 937-946.
- [5] T. Bermúdez, A. Bonilla, V. Müller and A. Peris, Cesàro bounded operators in Banach spaces, *J. d'Analyse Math.* 140 (2020), 187-206.
- [6] A. Bonilla and V. Müller, Kreiss bounded and uniformly Kreiss bounded operators, preprint, ArXiv: 1912.07931v1.
- [7] J.-C. Chen and S.-Y. Shaw, Growth order and stability of discrete semigroups, *Nonlinear Anal.* 71 (2009), e2879-e2882.
- [8] G. Cohen, Doubly power-bounded operators on  $L^p$ ,  $2 \neq p > 1$ , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 466 (2018), 1327-1336.
- [9] Y. Derriennic, On the mean ergodic theorem for Cesàro bounded operators, *Colloquium Math.* 84/85 (2000), Part 2, 443-455.
- [10] W. Donoghue, On a problem of Nieminen, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 16 (1963), 31-33.
- [11] E. Ed-Dari, On the  $(C, \alpha)$  Cesàro bounded operators, *Studia Math.* 161 (2004), 163-175.
- [12] T. Eisner and H. Zwart, Continuous-time Kreiss resolvent condition on infinite-dimensional spaces, *Math. of Computation* 75 (2006), 1971-1985.
- [13] O. El-Fallah and T. Ransford, Extremal growth of powers of operators satisfying resolvent conditions of Kreiss-Ritt type, *J. Functional Anal.* 196 (2002), 135-154.
- [14] R. Émilion, Opérateurs à moyennes bornées et théorèmes ergodiques en moyennes, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I* 296 (1983), 641-643.
- [15] R. Émilion, Mean-bounded operators and mean ergodic theorems, *J. Funct. Anal.* 61 (1985), 1-14.
- [16] T. Gillespie, Power-bounded invertible operators and invertible isometries on  $L^p$  spaces, in *Operator semigroups meet complex analysis, harmonic analysis and mathematical physics*, *Operator Theory Adv. Appl.* 250, pp. 241-252, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2015.
- [17] I. Gokhberg and M. G. Krein, Description of contraction operators which are similar to unitary operators, *Functional Anal. Appl.* 1 (1967), 33-52.
- [18] A. Gomilko and J. Zemánek, On the uniform Kreiss resolvent condition, *Functional Anal. Appl.* 42 (2008), 230-233.

- [19] E. Hille, Remarks on ergodic theorems, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 57 (1945), 246-269.
- [20] Y. Katznelson and L. Tzafriri, On power bounded operators, *J. Functional Anal.* 68 (1986), 313-328.
- [21] I. Kornfeld and W. Kosek, Positive  $L^1$  operators associated with nonsingular mappings and an example of E. Hille, *Colloq. Math.* 98 (2003), 63-77.
- [22] W. Kosek, Example of a mean ergodic  $L^1$  operator with the linear rate of growth, *Colloq. Math.* 124 (2011), 15-22.
- [23] H.-O. Kreiss, Über die Stabilitätsdefinition für Differenzgleichungen die partielle Differentialgleichungen approximieren, *BIT* 2 (1962), 153-181.
- [24] Z. Léka, A note on the powers of Cesàro bounded operators, *Czechoslovak J. Math.* 60 (135) (2010), 1091-1100.
- [25] M. Lin, D. Shoikhet and L. Suciú, Remarks on uniform ergodic theorems, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 81 (2015), 251-283.
- [26] C. Lubich and O. Nevanlinna, On resolvent conditions and stability estimates, *BIT* 31 (1991), 293-313.
- [27] L. Luo and B. Hou, Some remarks on distributional chaos for bounded linear operators, *Turkish J. Math.* 39 (2015), 251-258.
- [28] Y. Lyubich, The power boundedness and resolvent conditions for functions of the classical Volterra operator, *Studia Math.* 196 (2010), 41-63.
- [29] C. McCarthy, A strong resolvent condition does not imply power-boundedness, Chalmers Institute of Technology and University of Gothenburg preprint 15, 1971.
- [30] P. Meyer-Nieberg, *Banach Lattices*, Springer, Berlin – heidelberg, 1991.
- [31] A. Michal, R. Davis and M. Wyman, Polygenic functions in general analysis, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Super. Pisa* (2) 9 (1940), 97-107.
- [32] A. Montes-Rodríguez, J. Sánchez-Álvarez and J. Zemánek, Uniform Abel-Kreiss boundedness and the extremal behaviour of the Volterra operator, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 91 (2005), 761-788.
- [33] S. Naboko, Conditions for similarity to unitary and self-adjoint operators, *Functional Anal. Appl.* 18 (1984), 13-22.
- [34] O. Nevanlinna, On the growth of the resolvent operators for power bounded operators, *Linear operators*, 247-264, Banach Center Publ. vol. 38, IMPAN, Warsaw, 1997.
- [35] O. Nevanlinna, Resolvent conditions and powers of operators, *Studia Math.* 145 (2001), 113-134.
- [36] O. Nevanlinna, *Meromorphic Functions and Linear Algebra*, Fields Institute Monographs, American Math. Soc., Providence RI, 2003.
- [37] A. Shields, Weighted shift operators and analytic function theory, in *Topics in Operator Theory*, 49-128, Math. Surveys 13, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 1974.
- [38] A. Shields, On Möbius bounded operators, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 40 (1978), 371-374.
- [39] M.N. Spijker, S. Tracogna, and B.D. Welfert, About the sharpness of the stability estimates in the Kreiss matrix theorem, *Math. Comp.* 72 (2003), 697-713.
- [40] J. Stampfli, A local spectral theory for operators III: resolvents, spectral sets and similarity, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 168 (1972), 133-151.
- [41] J. Strikwerda and B. Wade, Cesàro means and the Kreiss matrix theorem, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 145 (1991), 89-106.
- [42] J. Strikwerda and B. Wade, A survey of the Kreiss matrix theorem for power bounded families of matrices and its extensions, *Linear operators*, 339-360, Banach Center Publ. vol. 38, IMPAN, Warsaw, 1997.
- [43] L. Suciú, Estimation of the operator resolvent by higher order Cesàro means, *Results Math.* 69 (2016), 457-475.
- [44] L. Suciú, Ergodic behaviors of the regular operator means, *Banach J. Math. Anal.* 11 (2017), 239-265.
- [45] L. Suciú and J. Zemánek, Growth conditions on Cesàro means of higher order, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 79 (2013), 545-581.
- [46] B. Sz.-Nagy, On uniformly bounded linear transformations in Hilbert space, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 11 (1947), 152-157.
- [47] Y. Tomilov and J. Zemánek, A new way of constructing examples in operator ergodic theory, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* 137 (2004), 209-225.

- [48] J. van Casteren, A problem of Sz. Nagy, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 42 (1980), 189-194.
- [49] J. van Casteren, Operators similar to unitary or self adjoint ones, *Pacific J. Math.* 104 (1983), 241-255.
- [50] J. van Casteren, Boundedness properties of resolvents and semigroups of operators, *Linear operators*, 59-74, Banach Center Publ. vol. 38, IMPAN, Warsaw, 1997.
- [51] H. Zwart, Boundedness and strong stability of  $C_0$ -semigroups on a Banach space, *Ulmer Seminare* 8 (2003), 380-383.
- [52] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series*, 2nd corrected edition (vol. I-II), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1968.

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING, BEN-GURION UNIVERSITY, BEER-SHEVA, ISRAEL  
*Email address:* `guycohen@bgu.ac.il`

UMR CNRS 6205, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE BRETAGNE ATLANTIQUE, UNIVERSITE  
DE BREST, BREST, FRANCE  
*Email address:* `christophe.cuny@univ-brest.fr`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LEIPZIG, GERMANY  
*Email address:* `tatjana.eisner@math.uni-leipzig.de`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEN-GURION UNIVERSITY, BEER-SHEVA, ISRAEL  
*Email address:* `lin@math.bgu.ac.il`