

Subtle Introgression Footprints at the End of the Speciation Continuum in a Clade of Heliconius Butterflies

Quentin Rougemont, Bárbara Huber, Simon H Martin, Annabel Whibley, Catalina Estrada, Darha Solano, Robert Orpet, W. Owen Mcmillan, Brigitte Frérot, Mathieu Joron

▶ To cite this version:

Quentin Rougemont, Bárbara Huber, Simon H Martin, Annabel Whibley, Catalina Estrada, et al.. Subtle Introgression Footprints at the End of the Speciation Continuum in a Clade of Heliconius Butterflies. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 2023, 40 (7), pp.msad166. 10.1093/molbev/msad166 . hal-04305745

HAL Id: hal-04305745 https://hal.science/hal-04305745

Submitted on 24 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Subtle Introgression Footprints at the End of the Speciation Continuum in a Clade of *Heliconius* Butterflies

Quentin Rougemont ,^{*,†,1} Bárbara Huber,^{*,†,2,3,4} Simon H. Martin ,⁵ Annabel Whibley,² Catalina Estrada,^{3,6} Darha Solano,³ Robert Orpet ,^{3,7} W. Owen McMillan ,³ Brigitte Frérot,⁸ and Mathieu Joron^{*,1,2}

¹Centre d'Ecologie Fonctionnelle et Evolutive, University of Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE, IRD, Montpellier, France
²Institut de Systématique, Evolution et Biodiversité, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France
³Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Gamboa, Panama
⁴Instituto de Ciencias Ambientales y Ecológicas (ICAE), Universidad de Los Andes, Mérida, Venezuela
⁵Institute of Evolutionary Biology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

⁶Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, Silwood Park, London, United Kingdom

⁷Department of Entomology, Washington State University, Wenatchee, WA, USA

⁸INRAE, CNRS, IRD, UPEC, Sorbonne Université, Institute of Ecology and Environmental Sciences of Paris, Université de Paris, Versailles, France

[†]Co-first authors.

*Corresponding authors: E-mails: quentinrougemont@orange.fr; babahuber@gmail.com; mathieu.joron@cefe.cnrs.fr. Associate editor: Anne Yoder

Abstract

Quantifying gene flow between lineages at different stages of the speciation continuum is central to understanding speciation. *Heliconius* butterflies have undergone an adaptive radiation in wing color patterns driven partly by natural selection for local mimicry. Color patterns are also known to be used as assortative mating cues. Therefore, wing pattern divergence is considered to play a role in speciation. A corollary is that mimicry between closely related species may be associated with hybridization and interfere with reproductive isolation. Here, we take a multifaceted approach to explore speciation history, species boundaries, and traits involved in species differentiation between the two closely related species, *Heliconius hecale* and *Heliconius ismenius*. We focus on geographic regions where the two species mimic each other and contrast this with geographic regions where they do not mimic each other. To examine population history and patterns of gene flow, we tested and compared a four-population model accounting for linked selection. This model suggests that the two species have remained isolated for a large part of their history, yet with a small amount of gene exchange. Accordingly, signatures of genomic introgression were small except at a major wing pattern allele and chemosensing genes and stronger in the mimetic populations compared with non-mimetic populations. Behavioral assays confirm that visual confusion exists but that short-range cues determine strong sexual isolation. Tests for chemical differentiation between species identified major differences in putative pheromones which likely mediate mate choice and the maintenance of species differences.

Introduction

Understanding the modalities of species formation and the maintenance of species barriers is central to evolutionary biology. Toward this goal, an understanding of the demographic history and quantifying gene flow and isolation among populations is fundamental. Recent empirical population genomics studies have documented the near ubiquity of the heterogeneous landscape of differentiation across a continuum of increasing divergence (Ravinet et al. 2017). These landscapes can arise under divergence hitchhiking, where continuous gene flow will impede genetic differentiation outside of areas involved in local adaptation (Via and West 2008; Feder et al. 2012; Flaxman et al. 2013). Unfortunately, similar patterns can arise under models of secondary contact, where gene flow erodes past genetic differentiation in regions without barrier loci (Barton and Bengtsson 1986). Another complicating factor is the effect of selection at linked sites, where hitchhiking of neutral alleles linked to a selective sweep can also contribute to a heterogeneous landscape (Noor and Bennett 2009; Cruickshank and Hahn 2014). Local variation in recombination and in the density of selected sites within the region determines the intensity of linked selection (Kaplan et al. 1989; Nordborg et al. 1996; Payseur and Nachman 2002; Burri 2017). Linked selection reduces polymorphism at sites harboring positive as well as deleterious variants and at surrounding sites, especially in areas of low

© The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Society for Molecular Biology and Evolution. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com



recombination (Hill and Robertson 1966; Charlesworth et al. 1993). Linked selection can be modeled as a local reduction in effective population size (*Ne*), although this is a simplified approach. A modeling approach that jointly allows for local genomic variation in effective population size and migration rate can improve our understanding of the demographic processes at play during population divergence.

Heliconius butterflies constitute a clade composed of numerous hybridizing species, providing an excellent system to understand the contribution of gene flow, linked selection, and demography to the genomic landscape of divergence (Martin et al. 2019; Van Belleghem et al. 2020). Many color pattern morphs within a species are common across the radiation with, for instance, up to 7 sympatric forms within a single population of Heliconius numata and over 25 distinct wing pattern populations in the Heliconius melpomene or Heliconius erato lineages (Mallet and Gilbert 1995). Heliconius butterflies are unpalatable to predators, advertise their toxicity through their color pattern, and are classic examples of Müllerian mimicry, where distasteful species converge to a common warning signal (Sheppard et al. 1985). The loci responsible for color pattern variation have been identified using a combination of genetic mapping, genomewide association studies (GWAS), gene expression analyses, and more recently functional knockouts. These studies have revealed that a few highly conserved genes interact to modulate much of the wing pattern variation both within and between Heliconius species. This includes (1) the gene optix, which controls the distribution of red-orange pattern elements (Reed et al. 2011; Zhang et al. 2017; Huber et al. 2015; Lewis et al. 2019); (2) the gene cortex, which controls the presence and position of white and yellow pattern elements (Nadeau et al. 2016; Livraghi et al. 2021); (3) the gene wntA, which controls melanic patterning across the wing (Martin et al. 2012; Moest et al. 2020; Fenner et al. 2020; Van Belleghem et al. 2020); and (4) aristaless 1, which controls a white/yellow switch (reviewed in McMillan et al. 2020).

Here, we focus on a pair of closely related species, Heliconius hecale and Heliconius ismenius, which belong to the so-called silvaniform lineage and have a complex history of wing pattern mimicry and diversification (Huber et al. 2015). In Eastern Panama, H. hecale melicerta and H. ismenius boulleti are perfect comimics of each other and both display a pattern made of orange (proximal) and yellow elements (distal), bordered by a thick black margin and a black wing tip. In Western Panama, H. hecale zuleika and H. ismenius clarescens resemble each other but participate in different local mimicry rings. H. h. zuleika shows a pattern composed of a large black forewing with yellow dots and an orange hindwing and mimics Heliconius hecalesia formosus, Eueides procula, and various highly distasteful butterflies in the tribe notably Tithorea tarricina. In contrast, Ithomiini. H. i. clarescens has large orange wings striped with black and yellow and does not seem to belong to any obvious mimicry ring (Rosser et al. 2015). Previous studies have demonstrated that Heliconius comimics share the same

habitats and that details in the color patterns matter in butterfly species recognition (Mallet and Gilbert 1995). However, chemical signaling has been suggested to also be involved in maintaining species integrity between pairs of sympatric comimetic species where color-based recognition may be compromised (Mérot et al. 2015, González-Rojas et al. 2020) including in the species we studied here (Mann et al. 2017). The contrasting situation observed here, that is, perfect mimicry versus nonmimicry (hereafter considered as comimics vs. non-comimics), offers a unique opportunity to study the role of gene flow in the evolution of mimicry. We tested the hypothesis of adaptive introgression of wing patterning genes among comimics and the expectation of local gene flow to be stronger between comimics than between non-comimics. We combined whole genome analyses, as well as behavioral and chemistry assays, to understand the modalities of gene flow among species. We aimed at (1) reconstructing the divergence history of H. hecale and H. ismenius, taking into account barriers to gene flow and linked selection in a four-population model that includes powerful statistics for gene flow detection; (2) quantifying the extent of fine-scale variation in gene flow along the genome and its role in the maintenance of comimicry and convergence in wing pattern among H. hecale melicerta and H. ismenius boulleti; and (3) evaluating the role of sex, behavior, and chemical factors in the maintenance of the strong isolation observed along the genome of this species complex.

Results

Strong Divergence between Species

A total of 73 individuals were sampled and genotyped using whole genome sequencing (fig. 1A and B and supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). These include the two perfect comimics H. hecale melicerta and H. ismenius boulleti from Eastern Panama (n = 10) and their two sympatric but nonmimetic counterparts H. hecale zuleika and H. ismenius clarescens from Western Panama (n = 9). In addition, H. hecale felix (n = 4), H. hecale clearei (n = 4), and H. ismenius telchinia (n = 2) were included to test for gene flow and assess global population structure. To further evaluate introgression and population structure, Heliconius pardalinus (n = 15) and H. numata silvana (n = 17) specimens were included in our analyses as well as H. melpomene (n = 12). These species are all closely related outgroups to H. hecale and H. ismenius.

To estimate the structure of genetic variation among species and populations, we performed a principal component analysis (PCA) on the whole genome data. This revealed an unambiguous separation of the two species, *H. hecale* and *H. ismenius*, along the first axis. The second axis separated individuals of *H. hecale* into three geographic clusters corresponding to (1) *H. hecale felix*, (2) *H. hecale clearei*, and (3) a group comprising *H. hecale zuleika* and *H. hecale melicerta* individuals (fig. 1C). High levels of net sequence divergence between species (D_A range = 0.16 to 0.22), absolute divergence (D_{XY} range = 0.15 to 0.22), and

MBE



Fig. 1. Sampling, population structure, and evolutionary relationship among populations. (A) Broad-scale sampling design and (B) fine-scale sampling design as well as phenotypic difference between comimics and non-comimic species. (C) Population structure among sampled species and (D) evolutionary tree highlighting genetic exchange among populations. (E) Tajima's D in 50 kb windows along the genome. Each dot within the violin plots represents the mean value ± 1 SD.

differentiation (F_{ST} range = 0.87 to 0.92) were observed at coding sites (CDS) and mirrored these observations. Accordingly, measures of relative genetic differentiation (F_{ST} , fig. 2A) and of absolute genetic divergence (D_{XY} , fig. 2B and supplementary fig. S1, Supplementary Material online

for D_A) across the whole genome were high both between comimic and non-comimic populations.

These landscapes were conserved across species comparisons, even when including more distant groups of species from the present study (supplementary fig. S2,



Fig. 2. Conserved and high divergence between species. (A) Genetic differentiation (F_{ST}) landscape along each chromosome between sympatric comimics (*H. h. melicerta* vs. *H. i. boulleti*, red line) and between non-comimic species (*H. h. zuleika* vs. *H. i. clarescens*, blue line). Genetic differentiation between subspecies of each species is also shown (*H. h. melicerta* vs. *H. h. zuleika*, chocolate; *H. i. boulleti* vs. *H. i. clarescens*, green). (*B*) Absolute genetic divergence (D_{XY}) along the genome (see supplementary fig. S1, Supplementary Material online for D_A). In general, divergence and differentiation landscapes are so conserved between comimics and non-comimics that they overlap. (*C*) Landscape of genetic diversity (π) observed along the genome for each species. Again, landscapes within species are highly conserved. (*D*) Population-scaled recombination landscape along the genome for *H. hecale* and *H. ismenius*. Computation averaged in 250 kb windows.

Supplementary Material online). Recombination landscapes were conserved among species (supplementary fig. S3, Supplementary Material online) which likely explained the correlated levels of diversity and divergence at a broad spatial scale as detailed in supplementary figures S4 and S5, Supplementary Material online, although a full investigation of the role of recombination in shaping divergence landscape was outside the scope of this paper. Genetic differentiation and divergence between subpopulations of H. hecale and H. ismenius (fig. 2A and B, green and chocolate lines) were lower than between species, as expected under ongoing gene flow, high effective population size, and/or very recent divergence. Interestingly, a few peaks of high differentiation (especially F_{ST}) and divergence between H. h. zuleika and H. h. melicerta were observed in an ocean of low divergence (fig. 2B). Accordingly, shared peaks of F_{ST} and D_{XY} between H. h. zuleika and H. h. melicerta (above the 80% quantile) involved a total of 55 genes spread on 11 chromosomes.

To better assess evolutionary divergence and test whether the phylogeny followed a simple bifurcating tree or a network with gene flow, we reconstructed the phylogeny using Treemix (Pickrell and Pritchard 2012) and included all outgroups (*H. numata* and *H. pardalinus*, fig. 1D). Including migration events only modestly improved the model fit (supplementary fig. S6, Supplementary

Material online). Accordingly, these migration events were inferred to have occurred in the ancient past, from *H. par*dalinus into *H. numata and from H. melpomene into H. pardalinus*. This analysis did not show evidence of gene flow between comimics *H. h. melicerta* and *H. i. boulleti*. This network revealed higher genetic drift in *H. ismenius*, a result that translates into increased Tajima's *D* values (fig. 1*E*) as compared with *H. hecale* or to other species with a higher effective population size such as *H. pardalinus* or *H. numata* (de Cara et al. 2023) and may be associated with a recent population decline in *H. ismenius*.

Nearly Complete Isolation is Maintained through Evolutionary Time

Strong differences in levels of genetic diversity can complicate estimating gene flow between *H. hecale* and *H. ismenius*. Therefore, we assessed levels of genetic diversity π and Tajima's *D* in 50 kb windows. As expected from previous research on the silvaniform clade (de Cara et al. 2023), genetic diversity was ~2.85 times as high in *H. hecale* (range = 0.0024 to 0.00248) as in *H. ismenius* (range = 0.00080 to 0.00087). Negative Tajima's *D* were observed in both *H. hecale* and *H. ismenius* with averaged values ~1.5 times lower in *H. ismenius* than in *H. hecale*, indicating an expansion in the latter species. These differences in patterns of diversity

MBE

suggest that effective population sizes may be different in the two species and that H. ismenius may not be at equilibrium. These conditions may further complicate the interpretation of traditional tests to detect gene flow. Thus, we tested whether gene flow occurred during the species demographic history by inferring the demographic history of the species and comparing scenarios with or without gene flow. To do so, we extended the previously developed two-population models implemented in the software DILS Demographic-Inference with Linked Selection (DILS, Fraïsse et al. 2021) in order to consider four populations under four main demographic scenarios and include the possibility of (asymmetric) gene flow between species and/or subpopulations. We modified the coalescent simulation pipeline accordingly and included ABBA-BABA-related summary statistics that are informative to reveal localized gene flow. Last, our models included the confounding effects of barriers to gene flow that affect the effective migration rate (Barton and Bengtsson 1986) and of linked selection that locally reduces the effective population size (Roux et al. 2016).

We first used a random forest procedure (ABC-RF hereafter; Pudlo et al. 2016) and an ABC-neural network (Csilléry et al. 2012) to compare statistically a model of divergence followed by gene flow (SC or secondary contact) to a model of divergence without gene flow (SI or strict isolation; supplementary fig. S7, Supplementary Material online). Statistical comparison was performed hierarchically to improve interpretability. First, we compared SI models integrating the effect of linked selection (modeled as a local reduction in Ne, SI-Nhetero) versus a null model without local reduction in Ne along the genome (SI-Nhomo). Similarly, we compared SC models integrating both the confounding effect of linked selection and barriers to gene flow, locally reducing the effective migration rate (m), against models integrating only one of the two effects and against a null model ignoring these confounders (resulting in four alternative models, namely SC-NhomoMhomo for homogeneous effective population size and homogeneous gene flow, SC-Mhetero for heterogeneous effective population size only, SC-Nhetero for heterogeneous migration only, and SC-MheteroNhetero for both effects).

Both the ABC and ABC-RF strongly support a model of linked selection (SI-Nhetero [posterior probability \sim 1; supplementary table S3, Supplementary Material online]) as well as the different models of secondary contact (SC [-Nhetero, -Mhetero, -MheteroNhetero, posterior probability \sim 1; supplementary table S4, Supplementary Material online]) as compared with the alternative null model. Further analyses based on the relationship between diversity, divergence, differentiation, and recombination metrics further support the impact of linked selection (see supplementary figs. S4 and S5, Supplementary Material online). In addition, ABC-RF confusion matrices indicated that our classifier was able to accurately discriminate among these alternative models (OOB-prior rate = 6%for SI; supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online). As expected, due to the similarity of the

heterogeneous versions of the SC models, the OOB-prior rate increased to \sim 33% when considering these models (supplementary table S4, Supplementary Material online). Yet, the strong support for these heterogeneous models in our empirical data echoes the general observation in other species (Charlesworth and Jensen 2021) and we therefore chose to make our next comparison by considering the model including both linked selection and barriers to gene flow (SC-MheterNhetero).

We compared seven distinct secondary contact models differing in the directionality of gene flow (supplementary fig. S7, Supplementary Material online). Namely we tested for unidirectional migration, from H. hecale melicerta to H. ismenius boulleti (model SC_A); the reverse direction (model SC_{C}), from H hecale zuleika to H. ismenius clarescens (model SC_B); and the reverse (model SC_D), for bidirectional gene flow between comimics (model SC_{AC}) and between noncomimics (model SC_{BD}). The seventh and last model includes all possible directions of migration (model SCAC-BD). Here, our model selection procedure clearly rejected models with multiple directions of migration $p(SC_{AC}, SC_{BD}) = 0.01, p(SC_{AC}) = 0.06, and p(SC_{BD}) = 0.04$ in favor of the model SC_C and SC_B. The same results were obtained with ABC-RF (supplementary table S4, Supplementary Material online). A final comparison between SC_C and SC_B yielded stronger support for SC_C both in the ABC and ABC-RF approach (supplementary table S4, Supplementary Material online). Our final model choice test involved a comparison of the SI-Nhetero versus SC_cMheteteroNHetero. Here, our two classifiers disagreed: The ABC-RF inferred the SC_CMheteroNhetero as the best model (P = 0.91), whereas the ABC classified SI-Nhetero as the best (P = 0.85). We focused our investigations on the model chosen by the ABC-RF approach. Indeed, our cross-validations revealed that the ABC-RF displayed a lower classification error (1.5% and 5.3% for SC and SI models, respectively) than the ABC-neural network (6% and 22%) for SC and SI models, respectively). Moreover, unlike ABC-RF, ABC suffers from a loss of information associated with the choice of a tolerance parameter that can affect the model choice (Robert et al. 2011; supplementary table S5 and supplementary figure S8, Supplementary Material online).We next examined the data to determine if it supported a model where the two species diverged in allopatry (expected under SC) or in the face of ongoing gene flow, as expected under models of isolation with migration (IM; supplementary fig. S7, Supplementary Material online) or divergence with initial migration (AM; supplementary fig. S7, Supplementary Material online). To address this question, we constructed two IM models, one with gene flow between comimics and one with gene flow between non-comimics (supplementary fig. S7, Supplementary Material online) and a model of ancient migration (AM) and compared the best IM models against AM. The best supported model was that of gene flow between comimics (P = 0.54). Yet, this IM model was clearly rejected in favor of the AM model [P(AM) = 0.83. Therefore, we compared this AM model against SC_C and found higher support for SC against AM [P(SC) = 0.87], supporting the hypothesis of allopatric divergence. All these comparisons were highly robust (supplementary table S5, Supplementary Material online).

We next estimated parameters under the best two models, namely SI and SCc. Larger credible intervals were obtained under SC than SI (supplementary table S6 and supplementary figs. S8 and S9, Supplementary Material online). Under the SI models, effective population sizes estimated with the ABC for both H. hecale and H. ismenius were large, being ~ 20 M in H. hecale and less than half this figure in H. ismenius (fig. 3), concordant with observed levels of genetic diversity (π) in these two species. Differences in mean effective population sizes within subspecies were minor (fig. 3). Estimates of gene flow were largely symmetric between H. hecale subpopulations and approximately ten times as strong as in H. ismenius (fig. 3). Interestingly, estimates of effective population size increased largely under models of SC so that the difference between species was not detected any more (supplementary table S6, Supplementary Material online). Split time estimates displayed narrow credible intervals (supplementary fig. S9, Supplementary Material online), and accordingly, H. hecale zuleika and H. hecale melicerta would have diverged the most recently (~1.3 My ago). H. ismenius boulleti likely diverged ~1.6 My ago from H. ismenius clarescens. Yet, considering uncertainty in parameters estimates, it is possible that all subpopulations diverged approximately at the same time (supplementary table S6, Supplementary Material online). Finally, H. hecale most likely diverged from H. ismenius ~3.6 My ago. According to our secondary contact model, migration from H. hecale melicerta into H. ismenius boulleti started ~130 KyA (confidence interval [CI] = 1.5 KyA - 2 MyA) at a rate $m = 2.15e^{-0.5}$ (95% CI: $5.8e^{-7}$ -7.3 e^{-5}). Other parameters, namely the shape of the beta distribution used to quantify effective population size reduction (Ne) and barriers to gene flow (m), were also difficult to estimate (see also supplementary fig. S5, Supplementary Material online, for further analyses of linked selection). In all cases, the beta distribution associated with Ne suggested that effective population size would have been strongly reduced in regions affected by linked selection. The ABC-RF procedure generally failed to generate any informative posterior estimation, in spite of a very high accuracy on simulated data ($R^2 = 0.96$; supplementary table S7 and supplementary fig. S8, Supplementary Material online), suggesting over fitting. We also attempted to estimate parameters through extreme gradient boosting (Xgboost; Chen et al. 2022). This method displayed modest accuracy on trained data ($R^2 =$ 0.635) but also generally failed to estimate parameters (supplementary table S7, Supplementary Material online).

Localized, Asymmetric, and Scattered Adaptive Introgression between comimics

We used the ABBA-BABA family of tests, namely *D* (Green et al. 2010), f_d (Martin et al. 2015), and D_{FOIL} (Pease and

Hahn 2015), to test for introgression globally and in windows among comimics and with other closely related species. At the genome scale, we used all possible donor taxa, including other subspecies such as H. h. felix and H. i. telchinia and more distant species (H. pardalinus and H. numata silvana). As expected from our ABC modeling approach, we found limited evidence for gene flow among the comimics at a genome-wide scale based on D, f_{dr} or $f_d M$ (supplementary table S8, Supplementary Material online). Using the more distantly related H. pardalinus as a P1 suggested introgression between H. h. melicerta and H. i. boulleti as well as between H. h. zuleika and H. i. clarescens. However, in all these cases, admixture proportions (f_d and f_d M) across the whole genome were not significant. For instance, with introgression from a single individual in a set of four individuals in each population, the maximal f_d value is 0.125 (Van Belleghem et al. 2021).

Next, we looked at f_d values along the genome assessing the topology P1 = H. *i. clarescens*, P2 = H. *i. boulleti*, and P3 = H. h. melicerta (fig. 4A) to test for allele sharing between the comimics (P2 and P3) and contrasting this to the noncomimic pair (H. i. boulleti, H. i. clarescens, and H. h. zuleika, respectively) (fig. 4B). In our comimic pair comparisons, there were a total of 26 windows with $f_d > 0.125$ (mean length = 15 kb), with a striking \sim 55 kb peak at the gene optix on chromosome 18 whose role on wing patterning is well established. Moreover, strong peaks on chromosome 14 and chromosome 19 were also found (fig. 4A). For the non-comimics comparisons, we observed a total of 35 shared windows (mean length = 14 kb). We observed a very high peak on chromosome 7 which corresponds to a \sim 34 kb block containing four genes of unknown function in H. melpomene. As in the analysis of comimics, a peak is found on chromosome 19, but the signal on chromosome 18 involving optix was not observed (fig. 4B), suggesting that the wing pattern alleles were not shared between these subspecies. Using more divergent species to increase our power to detect gene flow between comimics always showed the existence of a shared introgression signal (fig. 4A and B) at chromosome 19 and an introgression/shared allele signal at optix on chromosome 18 among comimics but no signal on chromosome 7, suggesting a recent introgression event between H. h. zuleika and H. i. clarescens (supplementary figs. S10-S12, Supplementary Material online).

The peak on chromosome 19 corresponds to a ~102.5 kb block containing four chemosensory genes (HmGR50, HmGR47, HmGR48, and HmGR51) belonging to the family of gustatory receptors (GR genes). A phylogenetic tree of this chromosome 19 region across all species confirmed this introgression signal, with all *H. ismenius* nested within *H. hecale* (supplementary fig. S13, Supplementary Material online). This contrasted with the tree inferred from the sex chromosome, which acts as a barrier to gene flow (Van Belleghem et al. 2020; Martin et al. 2019; supplementary figure S14, Supplementary Material online). Similarly, the tree reconstructed around



Fig. 3. Most likely scenario of divergence inferred from ABC and ABC-RF. The most likely model was obtained through both ABC and ABC-RF. Parameters were estimated with ABC. Arrows display migration rate. The arrow between species displays possible migration (inferred with ABC-RF only) between the comimics. Split time estimated in years assuming four generations per year. All models included the effect of selection at linked sites and of barriers to gene flow. Values in brackets displayed 95% posterior credible intervals.

the *optix* gene (chromosome 18; supplementary fig. S15, Supplementary Material online) departs from the topology of the species tree, notably with *H. ismenius* appearing sister to *H. hecale*. No particular signal stands out from chromosome 7, and no specific tree was constructed for it.

More Introgression between Comimics than Non-comimics

To directly test the differences in the directionality of gene flow in relation to mimicry, we used the D_{FOIL} statistics. Unlike the above ABBA-BABA tests, which necessitate performing separate comparisons between different P1, P2, and P3 species and then comparing the number of introgressed windows inferred for each tested, D_{FOII} relies on a five taxon phylogeny (here, the two H. hecale and the two H. ismenius populations, plus an outgroup). It computes all possible combinations of four taxon D statistics, making it possible to test the excess of gene flow directly, the directionality of gene flow, and the taxa involved. To do so, we tested the topology P1, P2, P3, P4, and O in which P1 = H. h. melicerta, P2 = H. h. zuleika, P3 = H. i. boulleti, and P4 = H. *i. clarescens*. Under stronger gene flow between comimics, we should expect an excess of P1 \rightarrow P3, and P3 \rightarrow P1 over P2 \rightarrow P4 and P4 \rightarrow P2.

Since this analysis can only handle a single sequence per population, we performed all possible comparisons between individuals from each species/population and we performed tests in 200 kb windows as it follows a χ^2 distribution over such a window size. We observed a total of 481 significant windows in either the P1 \rightarrow P3 direction or P3 \rightarrow P1 direction versus 218 significant windows when considering P2/P4, supporting the idea that gene flow between comimics is stronger (figs. 4 and 5 and supplementary table S9, Supplementary Material online). This pattern was not influenced by the removal of *optix*, suggesting that the higher introgression between the comimic pair is not solely reflecting the origin of mimicry via introgression of *optix* but might rather be a result of shared patterns due to higher hybridization. We observed twice as many significant tests in the P12 \rightarrow P3 direction as in the P12 \rightarrow P4 direction (P12 being the ancestral *H. ismenius* branch), suggesting that there has been significant gene flow in ancestral populations, whose footprint may have been removed by selection and recombination.

In order to confirm our previous results, we attempted to refine the distribution of introgression tracts by weighting the support for each 15 different topologies among the 4 species with twisst, using 50 SNP windows (Martin and Van Belleghem 2017). This analysis largely confirmed that the majority of the genome (84%) supports the species tree (topology 3; supplementary fig. S16, Supplementary Material online) and this increases to 98.8% when considering additional topologies compatible with the species tree (topology 15 and 10). Overall, one window on chromosome 18 around optix was indicative of introgression between comimics (topology 9, 12, and 13) and received a weight close to 40% (all topologies are displayed in supplementary fig. S17, Supplementary Material online). Another topology on chromosome 11 received a weight close to 30%. Considering gene flow between H. i. clarescens and H. h. zuleika, two windows on chromosomes 15 and 08 received a weight of ~32% and 34%, respectively. All remaining topologies were equally compatible with gene flow between all species, incomplete lineage sorting, or selection against introgression, as expected due to the strength of the



Fig. 4. Localized, asymmetric, and stronger introgression between comimics (A) than non-comimics (B). Ten kb sliding windows f_d values are shown for each comparison, with each chromosome being colored in dark and light blue. The f_d topology is displayed on the right. Significant D_{FOIL} values are displayed as blue and red stars for each significant introgression direction and window along the genome. The corresponding D_{FOIL} topologies and directions are displayed on the right.

species barriers (supplementary fig. S17, Supplementary Material online). Since we expect introgression to be relatively old and introgression signals to have been broken into smaller segments by recombination, we also tested windows of 25 and 10 SNPs. While results largely confirmed our first inference, 38 highly localized peaks were consistent with the topologies indicating gene flow between comimics, again on chromosome 18, but also on a few other chromosomes, including the sex chromosome (relative support >0.5; supplementary figs. S18 and S19 and supplementary table \$10, Supplementary Material online). Accordingly, we find that the support for the species tree tends to decrease in areas of high recombination (supplementary fig. S20, Supplementary Material online). To further test the hypothesis that introgressed windows are old, we estimated allele age at optix using the ancestral recombination graph. The resulting local tree topologies around optix were congruent with gene flow and indicated a coalescence of alleles more recent than the species split, as presented in details in supplementary figure S21A and B, Supplementary Material online.

Considering the high levels of genomic divergence and putative reproductive isolation of H. hecale and H. ismenius and the indication that mimicry may interfere with reproductive isolation (albeit subtly), we investigated whether the cues and behaviors associated with mate choice in this pair of species showed patterns consistent with genomic inferences.

Courtship Behavior in H. hecale

To investigate mating behavior in con- and heterospecific encounters, we studied courtship behavior in H. hecale. This species displayed a simple sequence of behavioral

1942; Pliske 1975; Brower 1996), followed by an aerial-ground phase where female alights and the male hovers over her (fig. 6A: hovering) where both chemical, visual, and tactile cues may be evaluated. A ground-ground phase follows where the male alights, makes physical contact with the female, and attempts mating (fig. 6A: attemps). At any time, the female may reject the male by adopting a typical rejection posture (fig. 6A; Obara 1964; Rutowski and Schaefer 1984). Interspecific Recognition Relies on Short-Range Cues To investigate the signals involved in interspecific recogni-

units (fig. 6A and supplementary table S11, Supplementary

Material online; see also Crane 1957): an aerial phase with

both sexes flying (fig. 6A: localization) (Tinbergen et al.

tion, we contrasted inter- to intraspecific courtship trials. We observed very strong premating isolation between species and no interspecific mating. This pattern contrasted with intraspecific trials where mating occurred within the first 15 min in 75% of our trials (fig. 6B). Intraspecific trials showed a gradual transition between main steps, suggesting a gradually increasing selectivity across the courtship sequence. A total of 72.4% of localization events were followed by hovering events, and 57.6% of hovering events elicited mating attempts in males. In total, 22.5% of such attempts led to mating. In contrast, interspecific trials showed high numbers of approaches but very few were followed by active courtship, showing that heterospecific confusion occurs at long distances but species discrimination is efficient at short range. Female rejection is much higher in interspecific experiments, suggesting that both sexes assess the identity and quality of their mates at short range. All interspecific mating attempting events (n = 7 by 4 distinct males) were strongly rejected by the



FIG. 5. More introgression between comimics than non-comimics revealed by D_{FOIL} analyses. (A) Number of significant D_{FOIL} tests between each possible direction. (B) Topology tested in the D_{FOIL} analysis. Here, we use the term "incongruent" to refer to situations where the intergroup gene flow is between a comimic and a non-comimic species, which does not allow testing our hypotheses.

female (data not shown). Males approached conspecific and heterospecific female wing models equally (localization; G =0.002, P = 0.96; fig. 7A and supplementary table S12, Supplementary Material online). However, males of both species performed the hovering behavior more often on conspecific than on heterospecific models (G = 21.323, P < 0.001; fig. 7B and supplementary table S13, Supplementary Material online), yet H. h. melicerta had a higher propensity to court conspecific models than H. i. boulleti males (fig. 7B). Finally, we explored the role that color played in female H. h. melicerta behavior by altering the wing patterns of male H. h. melicerta. In these experiments, males with unaltered (sham) versus modified (treated) wing patterns (n = 10each) showed equal progression in courtship (fig. 7C), and female rejection rates were not significantly different $(n_{\text{sham}} = 59, n_{\text{treated}} = 78, \chi^2 = 2.635, P = 0.10; \text{ fig. 7C}).$

H. hecale melicerta and *H. ismenius boulleti* Differ in Their Chemical Blends

To understand the short-range cues causing premating isolation, we investigated chemical differences between them. Chemical extracts from male genitalia (claspers) and female abdominal glands, wings, and cuticles revealed a high variance in the composition and abundance of chemical compounds both between and within sexes and species (fig. 8AI and BI). Consistently, nonsupervised multivariate analyses showed a good discrimination between males of the two species and between males and females, but could not distinguish between females of the two species (permutational multivariate analysis of variance [perMANOVA] for abdominal glands, both sexes together: F = 14.4, df = 24, P = 0.000; perMANOVA for wings, both sexes together: F = 7.6, df = 17, P = 0.000; perMANOVA for claspers: F = 19.6, df = 11, P = 0.002, and for wings: F = 18.7, df = 10, P = 0.003, males only; and perMANOVA for glands: F = 1.1, df = 12, P = 0.322, and for wings: F = 0.7, df = 6, P = 0.845, females only; nonmetric multidimensional scaling [NMDS] plots, fig. 8All and BII).

All compounds were associated with a unique numerical label (supplementary tables S14–S16, Supplementary Material online). A tentative identification of most compounds (including major peaks of interest) was achieved either at the compound level or at the class level (supplementary table S17, Supplementary Material online), and male wing compounds closely matched the identification by Mann et al. (2017). The abundance of several cuticular hydrocarbons, which could play a role during courtship (Millar 2000; Jurenka et al. 2003; Ferveur 2005; Hay-Roe et al. 2007; Dapporto 2007; Heuskin et al. 2014; Klein and de Araújo 2010, Loudon and Koehl 2000), consistently differed between species.

Males claspers were chemically more diverse than wing androconia (namely pheromone-producing glands; see also González-Rojas et al. 2020 and Darragh et al. 2020), and most male compounds discriminating between species were present or more concentrated in H. h. melicerta and absent or less concentrated in H. i. boulleti (fig. 8AI and BI and supplementary tables S14 and S15, Supplementary Material online). In H. h. melicerta claspers, a known antiaphrodisiac in H. melpomene, H. erato, and H. numata dominated (\sim 46.9% of total) but was nearly absent in H. i. boulleti $((E)-\beta$ -ocimeneor compound number 3; figure 8AI and supplementary table S14, Supplementary Material online; Darragh et al. 2017, González-Rojas et al. 2020; Schulz et al. 2008; Estrada et al. 2011). Compound α-ionone, previously found to be specific to H. ismenius claspers (Estrada et al. 2011), was not found, perhaps reflecting differences in geographic origins. One compound in low concentration (number 35) was exclusive to H. i. boulleti males, and three were more concentrated in H. i. boulleti's claspers (heneicosene [compound 36], tricosene [48], and compound 42) (fig. 8AI and supplementary table S14, Supplementary Material online). In total, 42 compounds differed significantly in concentration between male genitalia of the two species (fig. 8AI and supplementary table S14, Supplementary Material online). Chemical variation was strongly associated with the H. hecale-H. ismenius separation (supplementary fig. S22, Supplementary Material online). Finally, the indval



Fig. 6. Interspecific recognition relies on short-range cues. (A) Main steps of courtship and mating behavior in H. hecale. Courting steps named after the nomenclature used for Bicyclus anynana (Nieberding et al. 2008). Female rejection is more frequent at later stages. (B) Comparison of inter- and intraspecific male-female encounters. The number of events in each main courtship step is shown for interspecific trials (dashed line; H. h. melicerta and H. i. boulleti tested together, n = 16) and intraspecific trials (solid line; only H. hecale tested, n = 24). Table: total number of events at each behavioral unit registered across the totality of the trials. Y-axis: number of events at each step averaged by the number of trials.



Fig. 7. Wing cues contribute to mate discrimination by males but not by females. (A and B) Male choice of H. h. melicerta and H. i. boulleti between female wing models of both species. Probability of males approaching (A) shortly (localization) and (B) sustainedly (hovering) the H. i. boulleti female wing models, where 1 means a complete choice of boulleti models and 0 a preference for H. h. melicerta models. A total of 42 H. h. melicerta males and 35 H. i. boulleti males were tested. Error bars show support limits equivalent to 95% CIs. (C) Female choice experiments based on visual cues in H. hecale. The total events recorded in each of the main courtship steps during 3 h long trials are shown on the bar chart. Each H. hecale female could choose between two males of its own species and race. One of them was treated by modifying its color pattern (yellow and white patterns on both sides of the forewings blacked with Sharpie). The other male (called sham) was black-painted on the black regions of the forewing. Only H. hecale melicerta male wings are shown here.

index outlined 44 compounds significantly associated with either species, but especially with *melicerta* males (supplementary table S14, Supplementary Material online). A total of 29 compounds were male-specific (supplementary table S14, Supplementary Material online).

Female abdominal glands (AG) showed few peaks (fig. 8AI). Two low-concentration compounds differed between species. One was present only in H. i. boulleti glands (compound 77; fig. 8AI and supplementary table S14, Supplementary Material online) and was also exclusive to the cuticle of females (supplementary table H. i. boulleti S16. Supplementary Material online). This compound was also present on female wings of both species (supplementary table \$15, Supplementary Material online). The second female gland compound is exclusive to H. h. melicerta (compound number 24; fig. 8AI and supplementary table S14, Supplementary Material online) but also found in male claspers of H. h. melicerta. Nevertheless, possibly due to their low general abundance, neither compound was significantly different between the females of both species (Wilcoxon ranksum test) or indicative of species status (indval index; supplementary table S14, Supplementary Material online).

In male wing extracts, two highly volatile compounds with a putative role as air-borne pheromones dominated in H. h. melicerta and were absent or found in trace amounts in H. i. boulleti (fig. 8BI and supplementary table S15, Supplementary Material online): heneicosane (~57.1% of total; number 38) and hexahydrofarnesyl acetone (~7.87% of total; number 22) (as also found by Mann et al. (2017)). Here, seven compounds were found in higher abundance in H. h. melicerta (fig. 8BI and supplementary table S15, Supplementary Material online) and contributed to the H. h. melicerta-H. i. boulleti separation (fig. 8BII and supplementary fig. S23, Supplementary Material online). The indval index identified six compounds associated with H. h. melicerta (supplementary table \$15, Supplementary Material online). Female wing extracts showed fewer compounds of low abundance, highly conserved across species. Only one low-concentration compound was exclusive to H. h. melicerta wings (2-eicosanyl-5-heptyl-tetrahydrofuran [number 79]) although this difference was not significant (supplementary table \$15, Supplementary Material online). Overall, two wing compounds were male-specific and one low-concentration compound was female-specific (supplementary table \$15, Supplementary Material online).

Cuticular extracts (*B*) had fewer compounds than other tissues and were highly conserved across species and sexes (fig. 8CI and supplementary table S16, Supplementary Material online). The clustering by sex or species was not significant (fig. 8CII; perMANOVA for all categories together: F = 1.6, df = 18, P = 0.132; perMANOVA for males only: F = 1.9, df = 8, P = 0.140; and perMANOVA for females only: F = 2.3, df = 9, P = 0.08).

Discussion

Introgression among species is known to play a role in mimicry adaptation in *Heliconius* butterflies because of

relatively permeable species boundaries following species divergence (Martin et al. 2013; Jay et al. 2018; Martin et al. 2019; Van Belleghem et al. 2021; Heliconius Genome Consortium 2012). H. hecale and H. ismenius fall at the end of the speciation continuum proposed in Roux et al. (2016) with levels of net sequence divergence as high as 0.16 to 0.22. Models based on extant patterns of genome-wide variation suggest that the two species likely diverged in allopatry over 3.5 My ago and then came into secondary contact. Despite very strong premating isolation and divergence in male chemical signals, these genomic observations reinforce the growing realization that species barriers remain permeable to introgression even among taxa that diverged in the relatively distant past. Our result contrasts with a previous study (Roux et al. 2016) that concluded that none of six pairs of species studied (with similar or higher levels of divergence) exchange genes. Indeed, Roux et al. (2016) found that above a net divergence of 0.1, the probability of gene flow was reduced. Yet, strong changes in population size may also affect these estimates by reducing within-population diversity, thus inflating D_A . Here, D_{XY} levels are similar to the observed D_A . However, D_A and D_{XY} may reach similar values without the need for the former to properly reflect the amount of divergence between populations. A long isolation period is theoretically expected to favor the accumulation of genetic incompatibilities, for example Bateson-Dobzhansky-Muller incompatibilities, resulting in a polygenic architecture of reproductive isolation, in particular on the sex chromosome whose absolute divergence was strong, in line with observations in other Heliconius species (e.g., Van Belleghem et al. 2020).

Our demographic reconstruction provides insight regarding the role of gene flow at the end of the speciation continuum, although we did not include all other closely related *Heliconius* species in our demographic analysis due to the intractability of an ABC model with all putative donors. This may lead to false inferences of ongoing gene flow when there is none (Tricou et al. 2022). To circumvent this caveat, we complemented our global inference analysis with several "local" analyses (f_{d} , *twisst*, and ancestral recombination graph [ARG]) aiming at detecting gene flow along the genome and incorporating more candidate donor species.

Overall, gene flow between *H. hecale* and *H. ismenius* is much reduced relative to the pervasive gene flow observed between the ecologically, behaviorally, and chemically distinct *H. melpomene* and *Heliconius cydno* (Bull et al. 2006; Kronforst et al. 2006; Martin et al. 2013). The reported acceleration of genome-wide divergence accumulation toward later stages of speciation (Kronforst et al. 2013) seems to be illustrated here. *H. hecale* and *H. ismenius* have diverged for ~3.5 My, which is ~1.5 My longer than *H. melpomene* and *H. cydno* (Kozak et al. 2015).

Despite the overall low level of genome-wide gene flow detected and indications that speciation is nearly complete, localized introgression windows were nearly twice as frequent in locations where the species share the same warning color pattern compared with locations



Fig. 8. Comparison of the chemical cocktails extracted from three different tissues between H. hecale melicerta and H. ismenius boulleti. Chemical composition was analyzed for abdominal glands (C and G) (A), wing overlap of both forewings and hindwings together (OW) (B), and the cuticle in the base of the wings (B) (C). In each part of A, B, and C, section I shows a heatmap indicating the logtransformed $[log_{10}(x + 1)]$ relative concentration of each compound (horizontal bands) for males and females of both species (columns). Single individuals are labeled under each column. A unique numeric label is indicated for some compounds on the left margin. Section II shows NMDS ordination of the chemical cocktails of H. h. melicerta males and females and H. i. boulleti males and females. Each dot represents a sample of any of these categories. Stress values are shown on the upper part of the graphs. See supplementary tables S14–S16, Supplementary Material online, for details on the concentration of the compounds separately. Also see supplementary S17, table Supplementary Material online, for a tentative identification of some of those compounds.

where the species diverge in wing pattern, consistent with a role of vision as a mating cue and of mimicry as a source of sexual confusion. This was also supported by our D_{FOIL} analysis revealing that the most significant tests of introgression involved comimics. One of the strongest signals we observed was around the transcription factor *optix*, determining red–orange color pattern elements in *Heliconius* generally (Reed et al. 2011), and associated with a QTL for intraspecific differences in the extent of black versus red– orange hindwing color in both *H. hecale* and in *H. ismenius* crosses (Huber et al. 2015). Our genealogical analysis here suggests that one allele may have been transferred among species, bringing support for its implication in pattern evolution under mimicry selection. The few, short, and localized segments of introgression lead us to hypothesize that mimicry on such a pattern is old. Similarly, a few other windows bearing introgression signals were revealed only when using small window sizes, suggesting an erosion of introgression signals around functional sites by recombination. The local ARGs with a recent TMRCA within *optix* also support this hypothesis.

While our results clearly revealed a strong chemical differentiation, an interesting introgression signal shared between both comimics and non-comimics stands out on chromosome 19 within a block of ~102 Kb harboring four chemosensory genes belonging to the same family of gustatory receptors. Such families of genes were studied recently (van Schooten et al. 2020) and are hypothesized to play key roles in speciation in Heliconius. Interestingly, we found that they displayed increased admixture (f_d and D_{FOII}) as well as a phylogenetic topology that does not support the species tree (fig. 4). Interestingly, high admixture values were also inferred for three of the genes in a recent study on speciation between H. melpomene and H. cydno (van Schooten et al. 2020). Clearly, the role of these genes would be worthy of further investigations. For instance, a detailed investigation of the ARG of these regions, together with functional validations, may be useful. In all cases, the strong chemical differentiation that we observed mirrors the strong genome-wide divergence.

Speciation in Heliconius is multifactorial (Mérot et al. 2017), so the strong genomic divergence we observe likely results from the build-up and strengthening of multiple barriers to gene flow. Color pattern is often an early acting barrier in the mating sequence and may be acting as an initial yet somewhat permeable barrier to gene flow during speciation (Van Belleghem et al. 2020). Our behavioral assays suggest mimicry confuses males visually in their approach behavior from a long distance, yet short-range cues clearly play a central role in premating isolation. The chemical profiles of male H. ismenius and H. hecale are both extremely diverse and highly divergent, whereas female profiles are nearly identical, which is consistent with a role for selection acting on chemical signals produced by male wings and genitalia and assessed by females during courtship (Darragh et al. 2017; González-Rojas et al. 2020; Bergström and Lundgren 1973; Schulz et al. 1993; Andersson et al. 2007; Costanzo and Monteiro 2007; Nieberding et al. 2008). Note, however, that males approach both con- and heterospecific female wings at the same rate but engage in courtship with conspecifics at a higher rate, highlighting the use of short-range cues to determine whether or not to court. It is possible that these cues are partly visual and may reflect subtle differences between species in pattern or how pattern is perceived (Dell'Aglio et al. 2018). Other aspects of ecological differentiation, such as host use or microhabitat segregation, may also contribute to the low probability of hybridization and gene flow in those species and may warrant detailed investigations.

Taken together, our results indicate that the pair of species studied here falls in the range of the speciation continuum where reproductive isolation is nearly complete and may involve the recruitment of multiple barriers to hybridization, including chemical signatures. Contrary to other pairs of taxa showing a high level of incomplete lineage sorting throughout the genome, here, the genomes are indeed well sorted. Yet, we were able to detect localized segments with increased gene flow among comimics as compared with non-comimics, including around the wing patterning gene *optix* (Reed et al. 2011; Zhang et al. 2017; Huber et al. 2015). The small length of introgressed ancestry together with the ARG suggests a relatively distant event of adaptive introgression at *optix*. If this introgression is indeed relatively old,

mimicry might therefore have evolved at a time where the species were less isolated genetically, followed by further chemical differentiation and the near completion of speciation. In this scenario, the origin of mimicry, associated with *optix*, could have caused increased introgression. Not mutually exclusive with such a model, ancient genome-wide introgression followed by a gradual erosion of introgression signals may also cause similar signals and cannot be formally rejected. A better understanding of the process by which barriers to gene flow accumulate during speciation requires an accurate understanding of the trajectory of key genes determining hybridization during the history of lineage divergence.

Materials and Methods

Specimens

In Eastern Panama, *H. hecale* and *H. ismenius* are excellent comimics of each other (subspecies *H. h. melicerta* and *H. i. boulleti*) and both display a pattern made of orange (proximal) and yellow elements (distal) bordered by a thick black margin and a black wing tip. In Western Panama, those species display distinct patterns and join different mimicry rings. *H. h. zuleika* shows a pattern with a large black forewing and prominent yellow dots and a merely orange hindwing. In contrast, *H i. clarescens* shows a large orange wing pattern with black and yellow stripes alternating in the distal part of the forewing.

For genomic sequencing, three to six specimens of each subspecies were collected from Darién (East of Panama) and near David, Chiriquí (West of Panama), totaling 18 specimens (fig. 1 and supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). Bodies were preserved in a NaCl-saturated DMSO solution and wings in paper envelopes. In addition, four samples of H. hecale felix collected in Peru, four H. hecale clearei from Venezuela, and two H. ismenius telchinia from Panama were used for broader context in PCA and structure analyses and as control allopatric populations of the study species in our test of gene flow in ABBA-BABA-related methods (fig. 1 and supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). Closely related silvaniforms, namely 15 H. pardalinus and 17 H. numata silvana (fig. 1A), were also used when assessing gene flow in ABBA-BABA-related methods (detailed in supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). Finally, a set of 12 H. melpomene individuals were used as an outgroup. For behavioral and chemical data H. h. melicerta and H. i. boulleti specimens were collected in Darién (Eastern Panama) and around Gamboa, Colón (Central Panama), whereas H. h. zuleika specimens were collected from Chiriquí (Western Panama) (fig. 1). Stocks of these races were reared at the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute in Gamboa (Panama) (Huber et al. 2015).

Population Genomics

DNA was isolated from preserved bodies using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen). Separate Illumina paired-end libraries were generated according to the manufacturer's protocol (Illumina Inc.). Each library was shotgun sequenced to an average coverage of \sim 25X on an Illumina Hi-Seq 2000 with 2 × 100-base read length.

Genotyping and Variant Calling

Reads were trimmed using fastp (Chen et al. 2018), aligned to the H. melpomene v2.5 genome with bwa-mem v.0.7.13 (Li 2013) and filtered with samtools (Li et al. 2009), requiring a minimum quality of 20. Duplicates were removed using picard (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/). SNP calling was then performed using GATK V4.1.9 (DePristo et al. 2011). Variable and invariable sites across the whole genome were included. Following GATK Best Practices, all sites that did not match the following criterion were marked: MQ < 30, QD < 2, FS > 60, MQRankSum < -20, ReadPosRankSum < 10, and ReadPosRankSum > 10. The data set with variant and invariant sites was used for ABC analyses below. SNPs were then extracted from the data set and used for all remaining analyses. This data set was filtered to keep SNPs with a minor allele count of 2. Genotypes without a mean depth between 5 and 60 (i.e., mean + 2 standard deviations [SD]) and a genotype quality above 30 were set as missing, resulting in \sim 5.5 million SNPs.

Phasing and Recombination Rate Estimates

Phasing was performed using beagle v.5.1 (Browning and Browning 2007) with default parameters. LDHat software (McVean et al. 2002) was then used to estimate effective recombination rates ($\rho = 4.Ne.r$ where *r* represents the recombination rate per generation and *Ne* is the effective population size) along the genome. The genome was split in chunks of 2,000 SNPs with overlapping windows of 500 SNPs to compute recombination rate, and data were then merged together. Recombination rates were averaged into 250 kb windows using a custom Python script. Recombination rate was inferred for each species separately (*H. hecale* and *H. ismenius*) as well as for outgroups (*H. numata* and *H. pardalinus*) to analyze how conserved the landscape is along the divergence continuum (see supplementary results, Supplementary Material online).

Genetic diversity (π) and Tajima's *D* were measured for each species along 50 kb windows after converting whole genome vcf file into fasta using seq_stat (https://github. com/QuentinRougemont/PiNPiS).

Population Relationships

Genetic relationships among individuals were assessed with a PCA using the R package ade4 (Dray and Dufour 2007) based on a LD-pruned data set, further thinned to keep SNPs spaced at least 500 bp from each other (365 K SNPs). Population treeness was tested using Treemix (Pickrell and Pritchard 2012) with the same LD-pruned data set and allowing up to 20 migration events. The model that explained the highest level of variance when adding a migration edge was chosen. Five hundred bootstrap replicates of the best model were performed to obtain robustness of the nodes.

Global Demographic History

To test whether the species have diverged in the presence or absence of gene flow through historical times, coalescent simulations were used in an ABC framework. Our models account for the confounding effect of linked selection (locally reducing the effective population size) and of barriers to gene flow (locally reducing the effective migration rate; Roux et al. 2016; Rougemont and Bernatchez 2018). The two-population models previously developed were extended to a four-population model, representative of the four focal populations studied. It includes ABBA-BABA-related statistics explicitly developed for detecting gene flow at different time scales. A statistical comparison of a model of divergence without gene flow (SI) was performed against allopatric divergence followed by secondary contact (SC) (supplementary fig. S7A and B, Supplementary Material online). The best of the two models was then compared against models of sympatric divergence with gene flow, namely isolation w. migration (IM) and ancient migration (AM) (supplementary fig. S7C and D, Supplementary Material online). The SI model assumes that an ancestral population of size N_{ANC} splits instantaneously at time T_{split} into two daughter populations of constant effective population size N_{12} and N_{34} and no gene flow. Afterwards, populations continue to diverge and give rise to two subpopulations (H. h. zuleika and H. h me*licerta*, of size N_1 and N_2) at time T_{12} and two other subpopulations (H. i. boulleti and H. i. clarescens, of size N_3 and N_4) at time T_{34} (supplementary fig. S7, Supplementary Material online). These subpopulations are connected by continuous gene flow, $M = 4N_0 m$ with $M_{x \leftarrow y}$ being the number of migrants from population Y into population X at each generation. Under the SC model, the two species diverged under a period of strict isolation before undergoing a secondary contact from T_{sc} until present. All directionalities of secondary contacts were tested, from a single directionality of migration to a set of fully connected populations (supplementary fig. S7B, Supplementary Material online) which enabled to test the hypothesis of gene flow either between comimics (direction A < -> Cin supplementary fig. S7B, Supplementary Material online) or between non-comimics (direction B < -> D in supplementary fig. S7B, Supplementary Material online). Under AM, the instantaneous split of the ancestral population is followed by a period of gene flow from T_{split} until the split of the two daughter populations. Gene flow is stopped when the first pairs of populations split in two subpopulations (supplementary fig. S7C, Supplementary Material online). As for SI, gene flow was allowed between subpopulations within H. hecale and within H. ismenius, respectively. In the IM, there is continuous gene flow following the split of the ancestral population into two. Following the split of the subpopulations within species, we then allowed for gene flow either between comimics (direction A < -> C in supplementary fig. S7D, Supplementary Material online) or between the noncomimics (direction B < -> D in supplementary fig. S7D, Supplementary Material online). In all models, migration priors were sampled independently for each direction, enabling asymmetric introgression between species or populations.

Coalescent Simulations

For each model, 1×10^6 simulations of data sets matching the sample size of each locus was performed using msnsam (Ross-Ibarra et al. 2008), a modified version of the coalescent simulator ms (Hudson 2002). Selected markers can provide additional information regarding a species history (Bierne et al. 2013; Roux et al. 2013). Therefore, analyses were restricted to the CDS from the H. melpomene genome, using a total of 6,600 informative loci falling in those CDS and passing our filtering criterion. Large and uninformative priors were used. Effective population size for all current and ancestral populations was sampled uniformly on independent intervals (0 to 40,000,000). Priors for the divergence time (T_{split}) were uniformly sampled on the interval (0 to 5,000,000), so that T_{12} , T_{34} , and the various T_{sc} were constrained to be chosen within this interval. For the homogeneous version of the migration rate, M was sampled on the interval (0-40) independently for each direction of migrations. We then used beta distribution hyperprior to model the heterogeneity in effective population sizes and migration rate (Roux et al. 2016; Rougemont and Bernatchez 2018). Heterogeneity in migration was modeled using a beta distribution as a hyperprior shaped by two parameters: α randomly sampled on the interval (0–20) and β randomly sampled on the interval (0-200). A value was then independently assigned to each locus. For the heterogeneity of Ne, α percent of loci evolved neutrally and share a value uniformly sampled on the interval (0–1). Therefore, $1-\alpha$ percent of loci were assumed to evolve nonneutrally. Their Ne values were thus drawn from a beta distribution defined on the interval (0-20), as defined on the homogeneous version. Ne values were independently drawn for N_{anc} , N_1 , N_2 , N_3 , and N_{4} , but shared the same shape parameters (Roux et al. 2016). Priors were generated using a Python version of priorgen software (Ross-Ibarra et al. 2008). A mutation rate of 2.9×10^{-9} mutations per bp and generation was used, as estimated for H. melpomene (Keightley et al. 2015).

Summary Statistics

A modified version of mscalc was used to compute summary statistics (Ross-Ibarra et al. 2008, 2009; Roux et al. 2011). This version, coded in pypy for faster computation, provided the average and SD of statistics computed over loci. The number of biallelic sites, the number of fixed differences between species X and Y (sf), the number of exclusively polymorphic positions in species X (sx), the number of shared biallelic positions between species X and Y (ss), the levels population genetic diversity (Tajima's π and Watterson's θ), and Tajima's *D* were included, as well as pairwise statistics between X and Y populations: levels of population differentiation (F_{ST}); population absolute and net nucleotide divergence (D_{XY} and D_A), respectively; the smallest divergence measured between one individual from X and one from Y (minDiv); the highest divergence measured between one individual from X and one from Y (maxDiv); as well as a range of statistics known to be informative with regard to introgression, namely "ABBA-BABA" D statistics (Durand et al. 2011), f_d statistics, and D_{FOIL} (Pease and Hahn 2015). Finally, between-population Pearson correlation coefficients in genetic diversity (π and θ) were also computed.

Random Forest and Neural Networks Model Choice

Two approaches were used to perform model choice. First, posterior probabilities were computed using a classic ABC procedure. The "best" 1,000 simulations (out of 1 million) were retained, weighted by an Epanechnikov kernel peaking when Sobs = Ssim and a neural network that performs a nonlinear multivariate regression where the model is a response variable to be inferred. Fifty feed-forward neural networks were used for training with 15 hidden layers in the regression. Ten replicates ABC model choices were performed to obtain the average posterior probability of each model. The R package "abc" (Csilléry et al. 2012) was used for the model choice procedure. Because classical ABC may suffer from the curse of dimensionality, especially for a complex model with many summary statistics as here, a random forest approach was also used for model choice (e.g., Rougemont et al. 2016). This approach has the benefit of directly assessing the proportion of correct model choice by the algorithm. A forest made of 500 trees was constructed, and a linear discrimination analysis was included as additional summary statistics. Computations were performed using ABC-RF package (Pudlo et al. 2016). All available summary statistics were used to construct a confusion matrix, estimate classification error, and perform the model choice. The IM and AM models were compared among each other and to other models using only the ABC-RF procedure. The whole pipeline is available at https://github. com/QuentinRougemont/ABC_4pop

Parameter Inference

The posterior probabilities of each parameter value were estimated using the neural network procedure with nonlinear regressions of the parameters on the summary statistics using 50 feed-forward neural networks and 15 hidden layers after a logit transformation of the parameters. A tolerance of 0.001 was applied. The ABC-RF was also used to estimate demographic parameters of the best models using 1,000 trees to build a forest.

Genomic Differentiation and Divergence Analyses to Test for Linked Selection

Divergence among species and populations was measured by estimating nucleotide diversity (π), levels of divergence (D_{XY}), and genetic differentiation (F_{ST}) in windows of 250 kb along the genome using Python scripts from Martin et al. (2013). Patterns of heterogeneous genomewide divergence can be due to genetic hitchhiking of neutral alleles linked to selective sweeps (Smith and Haigh

1974) or to background selection (BGS, Charlesworth et al. 1993). These combined effects, referred to as linked selection, reduce polymorphism at sites closely linked to advantageous or deleterious variants (Payseur and Nachman 2002). The intensity of selection on linked loci is mostly modulated by variation in local recombination rate and by gene density (Kaplan et al. 1989). Under linked selection, diversity (π and D_{XY}) and differentiation (F_{ST}) metrics are expected to be positively and negatively correlated with genome-wide variation in recombination rate, respectively (Payseur and Nachman 2002). Correlation among π , D_{XY} , and F_{ST} was therefore tested among species to test for the conservation of these landscapes (supplementary fig. S4A-C, Supplementary Material online). A PCA was then used to obtain a synthetic view across all populations and species of our estimates of π , D_{XY} , and F_{ST} separately. Next, Spearman correlations based on each (z-transformed) variable projected on the PC1 axis separately were carried out to look at correlation among variables (supplementary fig. S4D and supplementary fig. S5, Supplementary Material online).

Testing for Interspecific Gene Flow

Gene flow was evaluated between species (H. hecale vs. H. ismenius), between comimics (H. h. melicerta vs. H. i. boulleti), and between non-comimics (H. h. zuleika vs. H. i. clarescens) using the same ABBA-BABA test as implemented in our ABC pipeline, namely we calculated D over the whole genome (Green et al. 2010; Durand et al. 2011) and f_d (Martin et al. 2013) over the whole genome and in windows. Given three taxa P1, P2, and P3 where P1 and P2 are sister taxa and an outgroup O with the following topology ([P1, P2], P3), O, we tested for gene flow between P2 (the target population) and P3 (the donor population). H. melpomene was used as outgroup, and two configurations were tested to quantify gene flow between the comimics H. h. melicerta and H. i. boulleti on the one hand and between the nonmimetic pair H. h. zuleika and H. i. clarescens on the other hand. In addition, other more distant P1 species were tested, namely H. pardalinus and H. numata as well as H. ismenius telchinia. A 1 Mb block jack-knifing approach was implemented to calculate the mean and variance of D and f_d and to test whether D differed significantly from zero. f_d statistics were calculated in 10 kb sliding windows along the genome using custom scripts developed by Martin et al. (2013).

To investigate relationships among individuals at regions showing strong f_d values, local phylogenies using RAxML-NG (Kozlov et al. 2019) were built. A GTRCAT model and 100 bootstraps were used.

Analyses were complemented with the D_{FOIL} statistics (Pease and Hahn 2015), that enable testing the topology [(P1, P2)(P3, P4)], O), with a potential higher power to test the directionality of introgression than the above test. In addition, this test enables the direct consideration of the four comimics and non-comimics species in a single test. As for our ABC pipeline, the original D_{FOIL} statistics

was modified to work on allele frequency of multiple individuals instead of the sequence of a single sample.

Finally, the different topology relationships between comimics and non-comimics were quantified using the tree weighting approach implemented in twisst (Martin and Van Belleghem 2017). The proportion of each of the 15 different possible topologies was computed (detailed in supplementary fig. S16, Supplementary Material online), with a particular focus on topologies reflecting gene flow between the comimic species (H. h. melicerta and H. h. boulleti) or gene flow between the non-comimics (H. h. zuleika vs. H. i. clarescens). The complete method, which considers all subtrees, was used to estimate the exact weighting to the full tree. Topologies were then classified according to whether they reflect the true species trees (one topology); partial tree with incongruence in H. hecale only (two topologies); partial tree with incongruence in H. ismenius only (two topologies); perfect geography (geographic perfect [H. i. boulleti + H. h. melicerta AND H. i. clarescens + H. h. zuleika together]: one topology); geographic partial East (H. i. boulleti + H. h. melicerta together NOT H. i. clarescens + H. h. zuleika), two topologies corresponding to higher gene flow between comimics; geographic partial West (H. i. clarescens + H. h. zuleika together NOT bou + mel); two topologies; and incongruent (boul + zul AND/ OR cla + mel), 5 topologies (but see supplementary fig. S16, Supplementary Material online). Window sizes of 100, 50, 25, 15, and 10 SNPs were tested.

ARG Reconstruction at Optix

The ARG was estimated in order to investigate variation in population history of *optix* (population size, topology, allele age, and gene flow) using ARGweaver (Rasmussen et al. 2014). A constant mutation rate was used along the genome of $2.9 \times 10e^{-9}$ mutations per bp and generation, estimated in *H. melpomene* (Keightley et al. 2015), and recombination rate of $1e^{-8}$ per bp/generation. Utility scripts provided in Hubisz and Siepel (2020) were modified to plot the resulting trees as well as the TMRCA, RTH, π levels, and population size. A large window around *optix* (660 to 750 kb, with *optix* being located between 705,604 and 706,407 bp) was used to provide further context. Data set included both variant and invariant sites.

Behavioral and Chemistry Analyses Courtship Description

To define the sequence and prevalence of behavioral steps during courtship and mating in *H. hecale* butterflies, behavior was video-recorded until mating happened (successful courtships, n = 4) or until at least one whole sequence including all steps but mating had been registered (unsuccessful courtships, n = 13). Our observations were supported by other behavioral experiments in this (detailed below) and previous studies concerning other species in the subfamily Heliconiinae (Klein and de Araújo 2010; Crane 1955, 1957; Rutowski and Schaefer 1984; Mega and de Araújo 2010).

Inter- and Intraspecific Sexual Interactions

To unravel the signals involved in species recognition between *H. hecale melicerta* and *H. ismenius boulleti*, nochoice interspecific encounter experiments were performed. Three mature (>5 days old) conspecific males of one species were put in a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ m cage with one newly emerged (a few hours old) heterospecific female. All male courtship events and female responses occurring during 15 min trials were recorded on video. Main courtship steps (fig. 6) were registered for nine trials with *H. h. melicerta* males and seven with *H. i. boulleti* males. Intraspecific nochoice trials were run as controls using *H. hecale* (n = 24) and involved two mature males and two virgin females of each of the two races *H. h. melicerta* and *H. h. zuleika*.

Male Choice Using Female Wing Models

To determine the importance of female wing cues in courtship decisions by males, choice experiments were performed where males of one species were presented with female wing models of both species. Localization events (close approach to models) and hovering events (sustained stationary flight close to the models for ≥ 3 s) were registered. Sexually mature, reared (n = 44), or fieldcaught (n = 33) males were tested multiple times, on different days, to obtain independent behavioral registrations for each individual. A total of 38 H. h. melicerta and 32 H. i. boulleti were characterized by three registrations, and 4 H. h. melicerta and 3 H. i. boulleti males had only two registrations each. Models were made with real unwashed female wings, thus including visual and chemical signals. Wings were connected to a plastic wire and moved in a fluttering motion inside a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ m cage. Trials were run for 5 min, presenting two models simultaneously, and switching model positions in the middle. Localization and hovering were analyzed separately. The relative probability of males courting boulleti female models rather than melicerta models was estimated using a likelihood function as follows (Edwards 1972; Jiggins et al. 2001):

$$\ln(L) = \sum n_x \text{bou} \ln(P_y \text{mel}) + n_x \text{mel} \ln(1 - P_y \text{mel})$$

where P_ymel denotes the probability of courtship by male y toward *melicerta* wings, n_xbou the total number of events of male x directed toward *boulleti* models, and n_xmel toward *melicerta* models. The solver option in Excel (Microsoft) was used to estimate the probabilities of male courtship by numerically searching for values of P_ymel that maximized $\ln(L)$. Support limits (asymptotically equivalent to 95% Cls) were assessed by looking for values that decreased $\ln(L)$ by two units (Edwards 1972). To compare courtship across species, a model with equal relative probabilities (Pmel = Pbou) was compared with a model with probabilities calculated separately for each species ($Pmel \neq Pbou$), using a likelihood ratio test assuming a χ^2

distribution with one degree of freedom for the test statistic $G = 2\Delta ln(L)$.

Female Choice Based on Color

To assess whether visual cues are important in mating acceptance by Heliconius females, experiments were performed involving a virgin H. hecale female (subspecies melicerta or zuleika) and two mature males of their own subspecies with two different coloration treatments (fig. 7C). Treated males were painted with black ink, using a Sharpie pen, following Kemp (2007), to cover the white and yellow patches of dorsal and ventral forewings. Sham males, or controls, were painted on the black region of the forewing, covering a similar surface as for treated males but avoiding pattern modification. Experiments were run in $1 \times 2 \times 2$ m cages. In this case, females were over 1 day old, thought to be better able to reject courting males than just-emerged females. A total of 26 trials were performed (13 with H. h. zuleika females and 13 with melicerta females) resulting in 20 successful courtships. Direct observation of sexual behavior was carried out until mating occurred or for a maximum period of 3 h, and all main courting events were registered.

Chemical Analyses

To evaluate differences in chemical signaling between H. h. melicerta and H. i. boulleti, chemical compounds were extracted from three different tissues. In males, wing regions where forewing and hindwing overlap (OW) and abdominal glands (claspers, C) have been proposed to contain pheromone-producing glands (androconia) releasing volatile signals by Heliconius males (Emsley 1963; Brown 1981; Schulz et al. 2008; Estrada et al. 2011; Darragh et al. 2017). Similarly, male's genital claspers are often open during courtship, putatively diffusing volatile signals perceived by the female (Schulz et al. 2008; Estrada et al. 2011). Finally, female rejection might involve the evagination of abdominal glands, which in mated females contain volatile antiaphrodisiac compounds (e.g., Rutowski and Schaefer 1984, Schulz et al. 2008). Our description of courtship behavior suggests they might be used both in H. hecale and H. ismenius. In virgin females, abdominal glands (G) were analyzed given their outstanding role in producing sex pheromones in several species of Lepidoptera. Additionally, the cuticle of the body close to the base of the wings (B) was analyzed because of the presence of small bristles which might be involved in the production of chemical signals (i.e., hairpencils, Boppré 1978; Bacquet et al. 2015) diffused by the wings. Tissues from 3 to 7 individuals were extracted separately from virgin females and mature males of both species, right after sacrificing the individuals. Pieces of tissue were immersed into 130-200 µl of hexane containing dodecane 100 ng/µl as internal standard. Extractions were not stopped. Elution samples were analyzed with gas chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry (GC-MS) with a Bruker Autosampler SCION SQ 436-GC, using a nonpolar Rtx-5 MS fused silica capillary column (0.32 mm i.d., 30-m long, 0.25- μ m-thick film) and helium as the gas carrier. Volumes of 0.5 μ L were injected automatically in a splitless mode with the injector temperature at 250 °C (see Mérot et al. 2015 for more details). Peak area was used as a measure of compound abundance relative to the concentration of dodecane in the sample. Since chemical receptor sensitivity is unknown for these butterflies, low-concentration peaks were not excluded from our descriptions. However, peaks that were too low for quantification were treated as missing data for a given extract. Quantification values were log-transformed [$log_{10}(x + 1)$], allowing comparison of peaks with large differences in abundance (Lecocq et al. 2013).

Statistical Analysis of Chemical Data

Chemical similarities and differences between species and sexes were visualized with heatmap graphs on the relative abundance of the compounds present in each tissue separately, using Matlab R2012a Student Version. Since chemical bouquets are likely to be perceived as composite signals, multivariate analyses were performed on the concentration of all compounds present in each tissue separately, where each compound is treated as a variable. For each tissue, analysis of compositional similarity of chemical cocktails between species was performed with sexes pooled as well as separating by sex, using nonsupervised NMDS ordination implemented in the vegan (Oksanen et al. 2017) packages in R. Prior to NMDS, the Bray-Curtis distance matrix of the chemical composition was computed. The appropriate number of dimensions was chosen for each data set by plotting a "scree diagram" (stress vs. number of dimensions). The significance of chemical differences between species or sexes was assessed by a discriminant analysis on the Bray-Curtis similarity matrix, using a perMANOVA (Anderson 2001) implemented in the vegan package (Oksanen et al. 2017), with 1,000 permutations. Whenever categories were significantly different, partial least squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) was applied to the data set using R packages mixOmics and DiscriMiner to identify variables (i.e., compounds) most diagnostic of a given category. The indval index, which gives the probability of association between a compound and a given category, was also estimated by computing indicator values that suggest which compounds are indicative of a given category. For that purpose, the indval function in the R package labdsv (Roberts 2012) was used, following Heuskin et al. (2014). Finally, a comparison of the per-compound concentration was performed with a Kruskal-Wallis test coupled with a pairwise Wilcoxon rank-sum test and Bonferroni correction for multiple testing. To facilitate the identification of the compounds, linear retention indices (LRI) were calculated for each compound relative to the retention times of a mix of alkane standards (C10-C26). So far, a partial number of compounds were tentatively identified by comparison of retention indices and mass spectra with those of authentic reference standards (Sigma-Aldrich Corporation), with published databases (Wiley Registry of Mass Spectral

data-7th edition and NIST Chemistry WebBook; NIST Chemistry WebBook 2015) and with compounds previously identified (Estrada et al. 2011; Schulz et al. 1998, 2008). Other peaks of interest remain to be identified, and the current identification of some of the compounds remains to be confirmed before manipulative assays of their role in species recognition between *H. hecale* and *H. ismenius* can be envisioned.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary data are available at *Molecular* Biology and *Evolution* online.

Data Availability

Raw data are available on the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) (see details in supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). Filtered vcf will be deposited on Zenodo. Scripts to reproduce all genomics analyses are available on the first author github page.

Acknowledgments

We thank Adriana Tapia, Moisés Abanto, Catherine Brunton, Cristóbal Ríos, Andrés Orellana, Benjamin Rice and Elizabeth Evans for help with butterfly collection and breeding; the Autoridad Nacional del Ambiente (Panamá) for collection permits; Ene Leppik, Centina Pinier and Claire Mérot for help with chemical analyses; Florence Piron-Prunier for assistance with molecular work: Platforms Genouest, Genotoul, and the Montpellier Bioinformatics Biodiversity (MBB) for calculation time; Claire Lemaitre, Fabrice Legeai, Nicola Nadeau and Violaine Llaurens for advice and discussions; EPHE for hosting Barbara as a PhD student. We are most grateful to the three anonymous referees whose in-depth reviews improved this work. This work was funded by the European Research Council Grant StG-243179 (MimEvol) and Agence Nationale de la Recherche grant ANR-18-CE02-0019-01 (Supergene) to MJ; and by a STRI fellowship to BH.

References

- Anderson MJ. 2001. Permutation tests for univariate or multivariate analysis of variance and regression. Can J Fish Aquat Sci. 58: 626–639.
- Andersson J, Borg-Karlson A-K, Vongvanich N, Wiklund C. 2007. Male sex pheromone release and female mate choice in a butterfly. J Exp Biol. 210:964–970.
- Bacquet PM, Brattström O, Wang HL, Allen CE, Löfstedt C, Brakefield PM, Nieberding CM. 2015. Selection on male sex pheromone composition contributes to butterfly reproductive isolation. *Proc Biol Sci.* 282(1804):20142734.
- Barton N, Bengtsson BO. 1986. The barrier to genetic exchange between hybridising populations. *Heredity (Edinb)*. 57:357-376.

Bergström G, Lundgren L. 1973. Androconial secretion of three species of butterflies of the genus Pieris (Lep., Pieridae). Zoon Suppl. 1:67-75.

- Bierne N, Gagnaire P-A, David P. 2013. The geography of introgression in a patchy environment and the thorn in the side of ecological speciation. Curr Zool. 59:72-86.
- Boppré M. 1978. Chemical communication, plant relationships, and mimicry in the evolution of danaid butterflies. Entomol Exp Appl. 24(3):64-77.
- Brower AVZ. 1996. A new mimetic species of Heliconius (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae), from southeastern Colombia, revealed by cladistic analysis of mitochondrial DNA sequences. Zool J Linn Soc. 116:317-332.
- Brown KS. 1981. The biology of Heliconius and related genera. Annu Rev Entomol. 26:427-457.
- Browning SR, Browning BL. 2007. Rapid and accurate haplotype phasing and missing-data inference for whole-genome association studies by use of localized haplotype clustering. The American Journal of Human Genetics 81:1084-1097.
- Bull V, Beltrán M, Jiggins CD, McMillan WO, Bermingham E, Mallet J. 2006. Polyphyly and gene flow between non-sibling Heliconius species. BMC Biol. 4:11.
- Burri R. 2017. Linked selection, demography and the evolution of correlated genomic landscapes in birds and beyond. Mol Ecol. 26:3853-3856.
- Charlesworth B, Jensen JD. 2021. Effects of selection at linked sites on patterns of genetic variability. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst. 52: 177-197.
- Charlesworth B, Morgan MT, Charlesworth D. 1993. The effect of deleterious mutations on neutral molecular variation. Genetics 134:1289-1303.
- Chen T, He T, Benesty M, Khotilovich V, Tang Y, Cho H, Chen K, Mitchell R, Cano I, Zhou T, et al. 2022. xgboost: extreme gradient boosting, R package version 1.6.0.1}.
- Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu J. 2018. Fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. Bioinformatics 34:i884-i890.
- Costanzo K, Monteiro A. 2007. The use of chemical and visual cues in female choice in the butterfly Bicyclus anynana. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci. 274:845-851.
- Crane J. 1955. Imaginal behaviour of a Trinidad butterfly, Heliconius erato hydara Hewitson, with special reference to the social use of color. Zool N Y. 40:167-196.
- Crane J. 1957. Imaginal behaviour in butterflies of the family heliconiidae: changing social patterns and irrelevant actions. Zool N Y. 42:135-145.
- Cruickshank TE, Hahn MW. 2014. Reanalysis suggests that genomic islands of speciation are due to reduced diversity, not reduced gene flow. Mol Ecol. 23:3133-3157.
- Csilléry K, François O, Blum MGB. 2012. Abc: an R package for approximate Bayesian computation (ABC). Methods in Ecology and Evolution 3:475-479.
- Dapporto L. 2007. Cuticular lipid diversification in Lasionmata megera and Lasiommata paramegaera: the influence of species, sex, and population (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae). Biol J Linn Soc. 91: 703-710.
- Darragh K, Montejo-Kovacevich G, Kozak KM, Morrison CR, Figueiredo CME, Ready JS, Salazar C, Linares M, Byers KJRP, Merrill RM, et al. 2020. Species specificity and intraspecific variation in the chemical profiles of Heliconius butterflies across a large geographic range. Ecol Evol. 10(9):3895-3918.
- Darragh K, Vanjari S, Mann F, Gonzalez-Rojas MF, Morrison CR, Salazar C, Pardo-Diaz C, Merrill RM, McMillan WO, Schulz S, et al. 2017. Male sex pheromone components in Heliconius butterflies released by the androconia affect female choice. Peerl. 7(5):e3953.
- de Cara MÁR, Jay P, Rougemont Q, Chouteau M, Whibley A, Huber B, Piron-Prunier F, Rogner-Ramos R, Freitas AVL, Salazar C, et al. 2023. Balancing selection at a wing pattern locus is associated with major shifts in genome-wide patterns of diversity and

gene flow. bioRxiv 462348. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.29. 462348, 1 May 2023, preprint: not peer reviewed.

- Dell'Aglio DD, Troscianko J, McMillan WO, Stevens M, Jiggins CD. 2018. The appearance of mimetic Heliconius butterflies to predators and conspecifics. Evolution 72:2156-2166.
- DePristo MA, Banks E, Poplin R, Garimella KV, Maguire JR, Hartl C, Philippakis AA, del Angel G, Rivas MA, Hanna M, et al. 2011. A framework for variation discovery and genotyping using nextgeneration DNA sequencing data. Nat Genet. 43:491-498.
- Dray S, Dufour A-B. 2007. The ade4 package: implementing the duality diagram for ecologists. J Stat Softw. 22:1-20.
- Durand EY, Patterson N, Reich D, Slatkin M. 2011. Testing for ancient admixture between closely related populations. Mol Biol Evol. 28: 2239-2252.
- Edwards AWF. 1972. Likelihood. Cambridge, UK): Cambridge University Press.
- Emsley MG. 1963. A morphological study of imagine Heliconiinae (Lep., Nymphalidae) with a consideration of the evolutionary relationships within the group. Zool N Y. 48:85-130.
- Estrada C, Schulz S, Yildizhan S, Gilbert LE. 2011. Sexual selection drives the evolution of antiaphrodisiac pheromones in butterflies. Evolution 65(10):2843-2854.
- Feder JL, Egan SP, Nosil P. 2012. The genomics of speciation-with-geneflow. Trends Genet. 28:342-350.
- Fenner J, Benson C, Rodriguez-Caro L, Ren A, Papa R, Martin A, Hoffmann F, Range R, Counterman BA. 2020. Wnt genes in wing pattern development of Coliadinae butterflies. Front Ecol Evol. 8:197.
- Ferveur JF. 2005. Cuticular hydrocarbons: their evolution and roles in Drosophila pheromonal communication. Behav Genet. 35: 279-295
- Flaxman SM, Feder JL, Nosil P. 2013. Genetic hitchhiking and the dynamic buildup of genomic divergence during speciation with gene flow. Evolution 67:2577-2591.
- Fraïsse C, Popovic I, Mazoyer C, Spataro B, Delmotte S, Romiguier J, Loire É, Simon A, Galtier N, Duret L, et al. 2021. DILS: demographic inferences with linked selection by using ABC. Mol Ecol Resour. 21:2629-2644.
- González-Rojas MF, Darragh K, Robles J, Linares M, Schulz S, McMillan WO, Jiggins CD, Pardo-Diaz C, Salazar C. 2020. Chemical signals act as the main reproductive barrier between sister and mimetic Heliconius butterflies. Proc R Soc B. 287: 20200587.
- Green RE. Krause J. Briggs AW. Maricic T. Stenzel U. Kircher M. Patterson N, Li H, Zhai W, Fritz MH-Y, et al. 2010. A draft sequence of the neandertal genome. Science 328:710-722.
- Hay-Roe MM, Lamas G, Nation JL. 2007. Pre- and postzygotic isolation and Haldane rule effects in reciprocal crosses of Danaus erippus and Danaus plexippus (Lepidoptera: Danainae), supported by differentiation of cuticular hydrocarbons, establish their status as separate species. Biol I Linn Soc. 91:445-453.
- Heliconius Genome Consortium. 2012. Butterfly genome reveals promiscuous exchange of mimicry adaptations among species. Nature 487:94–98.
- Heuskin S, Vanderplanck M, Bacquet P, Holveck MJ, Kaltenpoth M, Engl T, Pels C, Taverne C, Lognay G, Nieberding CM. 2014. The composition of cuticular compounds indicates body parts, sex and age in the model butterfly Bicyclus anynana (Lepidoptera). Chem Ecol. 2:37.
- Hill WG, Robertson A. 1966. The effect of linkage on limits to artificial selection. Genet Res (Camb). 8:269-294.
- Huber B, Whibley A, Poul YL, Navarro N, Martin A, Baxter S, Shah A, Gilles B, Wirth T, McMillan WO, et al. 2015. Conservatism and novelty in the genetic architecture of adaptation in Heliconius butterflies. Heredity (Edinb). 114:515-524.
- Hubisz M, Siepel A. 2020. Inference of ancestral recombination graphs using ARGweaver. Methods Mol Biol. 2090:231-266.
- Hudson RR. 2002. Generating samples under a Wright-Fisher neutral model of genetic variation. Bioinformatics 18:337-338.

- Jay P, Whibley A, Frézal L, Rodríguez de Cara MÁ, Nowell RW, Mallet J, Dasmahapatra KK, Joron M. 2018. Supergene evolution triggered by the introgression of a chromosomal inversion. *Curr Biol.* 28:1839–1845.e3.
- Jiggins CD, Naisbit RE, Coe RL, Mallet J. 2001. Reproductive isolation caused by colour pattern mimicry. *Nature* **411**:302–305.
- Jurenka RA, Subchev M, Abad J-L, Choi M-Y, Fabrias G. 2003. Sex pheromone biosynthetic pathway for disparlure in the gypsy moth, *Lymantria dispar*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. **100**:809–814.
- Kaplan NL, Hudson RR, Langley CH. 1989. The "hitchhiking effect" revisited. Genetics 123:887–899.
- Keightley PD, Pinharanda A, Ness RW, Simpson F, Dasmahapatra KK, Mallet J, Davey JW, Jiggins CD. 2015. Estimation of the spontaneous mutation rate in *Heliconius melpomene*. *Mol Biol Evol.* 32: 239–243.
- Kemp DJ. 2007. Female butterflies prefer males bearing bright iridescent ornamentation. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci.* **274**:1043–1047.
- Klein AL, de Araújo AM. 2010. Courtship behavior of *Heliconius erato phyllis* (Lepidoptera, Nymphalidae) towards virgin and mated females: conflict between attraction and repulsion signals? *J Ethol.* 28:409–420.
- Kozak KM, Wahlberg N, Neild AFE, Dasmahapatra KK, Mallet J, Jiggins CD. 2015. Multilocus Species trees show the recent adaptive radiation of the mimetic *Heliconius* butterflies. Syst Biol. 64: 505–524.
- Kozlov AM, Darriba D, Flouri T, Morel B, Stamatakis A. 2019. RAxML-NG: a fast, scalable and user-friendly tool for maximum likelihood phylogenetic inference. *Bioinformatics* 35:4453-4455.
- Kronforst MR, Hansen ME, Crawford NG, Gallant JR, Zhang W, Kulathinal RJ, Kapan DD, Mullen SP. 2013. Hybridization reveals the evolving genomic architecture of speciation. *Cell Rep.* 5: 666–677.
- Kronforst MR, Young LG, Blume LM, Gilbert LE. 2006. Multilocus analyses of admixture and introgression among hybridizing *Heliconius* butterflies. *Evolution* **60**:1254–1268.
- Lecocq T, Vereecken NJ, Michez D, Dellicour S, Lhomme P, Valterova I, Rasplus JY, Rasmont P. 2013. Patterns of genetic and reproductive traits differentiation in mainland vs. Corsican populations of bumblebees. *PLoS ONE* **8**:e65642.
- Lewis JJ, Geltman RC, Pollak PC, Rondem KE, Van Belleghem SM, Hubisz MJ, Munn PR, Zhang L, Benson C, Mazo-Vargas A, et al. 2019. Parallel evolution of ancient, pleiotropic enhancers underlies butterfly wing pattern mimicry. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 116: 24174–24183.
- Li H. 2013. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM. arXiv:1303.3997v2. https://doi.org/10. 48550/arXiv.1303.3997, 26 May 2013, preprint: not peer reviewed.
- Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R, 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. 2009. The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**:2078–2079.
- Livraghi L, Hanly JJ, Van Bellghem SM, Montejo-Kovacevich G, van der Heijden ES, Loh LS, Ren A, Warren IA, Lewis JJ, Concha C, *et al.* 2021. *cortex* cis-regulatory switches establish scale colour identity and pattern diversity in *Heliconius*. *eLife* **10**:e68549.
- Loudon C, Koehl MA. 2000. Sniffing by a silkworm moth: wing fanning enhances air penetration through and pheromone interception by antennae. *J Exp Biol.* **203**:2977–2990.
- Mallet J, Gilbert L Jr. 1995. Why are there so many mimicry rings? Correlations between habitat, behaviour and mimicry in *Heliconius* butterflies. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **55**:159–180.
- Mann F, Vanjari S, Rosser N, Mann S, Dasmahapatra KK, Corbin C, Linares M, Pardo-Diaz C, Salazar C, Jiggins C, *et al.* 2017. The scent chemistry of *Heliconius* wing androconia. *J Chem Ecol.* **43**:843–857.
- Martin SH, Dasmahapatra KK, Nadeau NJ, Salazar C, Walters JR, Simpson F, Blaxter M, Manica A, Mallet J, Jiggins CD. 2013.

Genome-wide evidence for speciation with gene flow in *Heliconius* butterflies. *Genome Res.* **23**:1817–1828.

- Martin SH, Davey JW, Jiggins CD. 2015. Evaluating the use of ABBA-BABA statistics to locate introgressed loci. *Mol Biol Evol.* **32**: 244–257.
- Martin SH, Davey JW, Salazar C, Jiggins CD. 2019. Recombination rate variation shapes barriers to introgression across butterfly genomes. *PLoS Biol.* **17**:e2006288.
- Martin A, Papa R, Nadeau NJ, Hill RI, Counterman BA, Halder G, Jiggins CD, Kronforst MR, Long AD, McMillan WO, et al. 2012. Diversification of complex butterfly wing patterns by repeated regulatory evolution of a Wnt ligand. PNAS 109(31):12632–12637.
- Martin SH, Van Belleghem SM. 2017. Exploring evolutionary relationships across the genome using topology weighting. *Genetics* **206**: 429–438.
- McMillan WO, Livraghi L, Concha C, Hanly JJ. 2020. From patterning genes to process: unraveling the gene regulatory networks that pattern *Heliconius wings. Front Ecol Evol.* **8**:221.
- McVean G, Awadalla P, Fearnhead P. 2002. A coalescent-based method for detecting and estimating recombination from gene sequences. *Genetics* **160**:1231–1241.
- Mega NO, de Araújo AM. 2010. Analysis of the mating behavior and some possible causes of male copulatory success in *Dryas iulia* alcionea (Lepidoptera, Nymphalidae, Heliconiinae). J Ethol. 28: 123–132.
- Mérot C, Frérot B, Leppik E, Joron M. 2015. Beyond magic traits: multimodal mating cues in *Heliconius* butterflies. *Evolution* **69**(11):2891–2904.
- Mérot C, Salazar C, Merrill RM, Jiggins CD, Joron M. 2017. What shapes the continuum of reproductive isolation? Lessons from *Heliconius* butterflies. *Proc R Soc B.* **284**:20170335.
- Millar JG. 2000. Polyene hydrocarbons and epoxides: a second major class of lepidopteran sex attractant pheromones. *Annu Rev Entomol.* **45**:575–604.
- Moest M, Belleghem SMV, James JE, Salazar C, Martin SH, Barker SL, Moreira GRP, Mérot C, Joron M, Nadeau NJ, et al. 2020. Selective sweeps on novel and introgressed variation shape mimicry loci in a butterfly adaptive radiation. PLoS Biol. 18:e3000597.
- Nadeau NJ, Pardo-Diaz C, Whibley A, Supple MA, Saenko SV, Wallbank RW, Wu GC, Maroja L, Ferguson L, Hanly JJ, et al. 2016. The gene cortex controls mimicry and crypsis in butterflies and moths. Nature 534(7605):106–110.
- Nieberding CM, de Vos H, Schneider MV, Lassance JM, Estramil N, Andersson J, Bång J, Hedenström E, Löfstedt C, Brakefield PM. 2008. The male sex pheromone of the butterfly *Bicyclus anynana*: towards an evolutionary analysis. *PLoS ONE*. **3**:e2751.
- NIST Chemistry WebBook. 2015. NIST Standard Reference Database Number 69. http://webbook.nist.gov.
- Noor MAF, Bennett SM. 2009. Islands of speciation or mirages in the desert? Examining the role of restricted recombination in maintaining species. *Heredity (Edinb)*. **103**:439–444.
- Nordborg M, Charlesworth B, Charlesworth D. 1996. The effect of recombination on background selection. *Genet Res (Camb)*. 67: 159–174.
- Obara Y. 1964. Mating behavior of the cabbage butterfly, *Pieris rapae crucivora*. II. The 'mate-refusal posture' of the female. *Zool Mag Dobutsugaku-Zasshi*. **73**:175–178.
- Oksanen FJ, Simpson GL, Guillaume Blanchet F, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB, Solymos P, Stevens MHH, Szoecs E, *et al.* 2017. Vegan: community ecology package. R package version 2.4-3. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan.
- Payseur BA, Nachman MW. 2002. Natural selection at linked sites in humans. *Gene* **300**:31–42.
- Pease JB, Hahn MW. 2015. Detection and polarization of introgression in a five-taxon phylogeny. Syst Biol. 64:651–662.
- Pickrell JK, Pritchard JK. 2012. Inference of population splits and mixtures from genome-wide allele frequency data. *PLoS Genet.* 8: e1002967.

- Pliske TE. 1975. Courtship behavior of the monarch butterfly, Danaus plexippus L. Ann Entomol Soc Am. **68**:143–151.
- Pudlo P, Marin J-M, Estoup A, Cornuet J-M, Gautier M, Robert CP. 2016. Reliable ABC model choice via random forests. *Bioinformatics* **32**:859–866.
- Rasmussen MD, Hubisz MJ, Gronau I, Siepel A. 2014. Genome-wide inference of ancestral recombination graphs. *PLoS Genet.* 10: e1004342.
- Ravinet M, Faria R, Butlin RK, Galindo J, Bierne N, Rafajlović M, Noor MAF, Mehlig B, Westram AM. 2017. Interpreting the genomic landscape of speciation: a road map for finding barriers to gene flow. J Evol Biol. 30:1450–1477.
- Reed RD, Papa R, Martin A, Hines HM, Counterman BA, Pardo-Diaz C, Jiggins CD, Chamberlain NL, Kronforst MR, Chen R, et al. 2011. Optix drives the repeated convergent evolution of butterfly wing pattern mimicry. Science 333(6046):1137–1141.
- Robert CP, Cornuet J-M, Marin J-M, Pillai NS. 2011. Lack of confidence in approximate Bayesian computation model choice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. **108**:15112–15117.
- Roberts, DW. 2012. Ordination and multivariate analysis for ecology. R package version 1.0-5. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package= labdsv.
- Ross-Ibarra J, Tenaillon M, Gaut BS. 2009. Historical divergence and gene flow in the genus *Zea. Genetics* **181**:1399–1413.
- Ross-Ibarra J, Wright SI, Foxe JP, Kawabe A, DeRose-Wilson L, Gos G, Charlesworth D, Gaut BS. 2008. Patterns of polymorphism and demographic history in natural populations of *Arabidopsis lyrata*. *PLOS ONE* **3**:e2411.
- Rosser N, Kozak KM, Phillimore AB, Mallet J. 2015. Extensive range overlap between heliconiine sister species: evidence for sympatric speciation in butterflies? *BMC Evol Biol.* **15**:125.
- Rougemont Q, Bernatchez L. 2018. The demographic history of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) across its distribution range reconstructed from approximate Bayesian computations. *Evolution* **72**: 1261–1277.
- Rougemont Q, Roux C, Neuenschwander S, Goudet J, Launey S, Evanno G. 2016. Reconstructing the demographic history of divergence between European river and brook lampreys using approximate Bayesian computations. *PeerJ.* **4**:e1910.
- Roux C, Castric V, Pauwels M, Wright SI, Saumitou-Laprade P, Vekemans X. 2011. Does speciation between *Arabidopsis halleri* and *Arabidopsis lyrata* coincide with major changes in a molecular target of adaptation? *PLOS ONE* **6**:e26872.
- Roux C, Fraïsse C, Romiguier J, Anciaux Y, Galtier N, Bierne N. 2016. Shedding light on the grey zone of speciation along a continuum of genomic divergence. *PLoS Biol.* **14**:e2000234.

- Roux C, Tsagkogeorga G, Bierne N, Galtier N. 2013. Crossing the species barrier: genomic hotspots of introgression between two highly divergent *Ciona intestinalis* species. *Mol Biol Evol.* 30: 1574–1587.
- Rutowski RL, Schaefer J. 1984. Courtship behaviour of the gulf fritillary Agraulis vanillae Nymphalidae. J Lepidopterists Soc. **38**:23-31.
- Schulz S, Beccaloni G, Nishida R, Roisin Y, Mcneil JN. 1998. 2,5-Dialkyltetrahydrofurans, common components of the cuticular lipids of Lepidoptera. Zeitschrift fur Naturforsch. 53:107–116.
- Schulz S, Boppré M, Ri V-W. 1993. Specific mixtures of secretions from male scent organs of African milkweed butterflies (Danainae). Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci. 342:161–181.
- Schulz S, Estrada C, Yildizhan S, Boppré M, Gilbert LE. 2008. An antiaphrodisiac in *Heliconius melpomene* butterflies. J Chem Ecol. 34: 82–93.
- Sheppard PM, Turner JRG, Brown KS, Benson WW, Singer MC. 1985. Genetics and the evolution of muellerian mimicry in *Heliconius* butterflies. *Phil Trans R Soc Lond B*. **308**:433–610.
- Smith JM, Haigh J. 1974. The hitch-hiking effect of a favourable gene. Genet Res (Camb). **23:**23–35.
- Tinbergen N, Meeuse BJD, Varossieau LKB, Boeremau WW. 1942. Die balz des samtfalters, Eumenis (=Satyrus) semele (L.). Z Für Tierpsychol. 5:182–226.
- Tricou T, Tannier E, de Vienne DM. 2022. Ghost lineages highly influence the interpretation of introgression tests. *Syst Biol.* **71**: 1147–1158.
- Van Belleghem SM, Alicea Roman PA, Carbia Gutierrez H, Counterman BA, Papa R. 2020. Perfect mimicry between Heliconius butterflies is constrained by genetics and development. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 287:20201267.
- Van Belleghem SM, Cole JM, Montejo-Kovacevich G, Bacquet CN, McMillan WO, Papa R, Counterman BA. 2021. Selection and isolation define a heterogeneous divergence landscape between hybridizing *Heliconius* butterflies. *Evolution* 75: 2251–2268.
- van Schooten B, Meléndez-Rosa J, Van Belleghem SM, Jiggins CD, Tan JD, McMillan WO, Papa R. 2020. Divergence of chemosensing during the early stages of speciation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. **117**:16438–16447.
- Via S, West J. 2008. The genetic mosaic suggests a new role for hitchhiking in ecological speciation. *Mol Ecol.* **17**:4334–4345.
- Zhang L, Mazo-Vargas A, Reed RD. 2017. Single master regulatory gene coordinates the evolution and development of butterfly color and iridescence. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. **114**: 10707–10712.