

**“ Impact of intracellular radionuclide
distribution in Targeted Alpha Therapy : a
Monte-Carlo biophysical study in 3D
multicellular model “**

V. Levrague¹, M.E. Alcocer-Àvila², L. Maigne³, M. Beuve², E. Testa², R. Delorme¹

¹LPSC, UGA, 38026 Grenoble Cedex, France

²IP2I, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, 69622
Villeurbanne Cedex, France

³Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC,
63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France

Background: To understand and predict the therapeutic efficiency of alpha targeted therapy, nano/micro-dosimetry are needed by considering the very heterogeneous dose deposition at cell level. The objective of this study is to evaluate theoretically the importance of radionuclide cell internalization on relevant dosimetric and biological endpoints.

Material and Methods: The treatment with ²¹¹At of mono-cells or microtumors was simulated. The Monte Carlo CPOP [1] code, based on Geant4, was used to generate realistic deformable 3D multicellular geometries, and was adapted to generate the radionuclide source distributions in various internalization cases (membrane, cytoplasm, cytoplasm + nucleus and nucleus only) and collect the physical outputs needed for the biophysical calculations. Physical absorbed doses in cell nuclei have been calculated, in addition to therapeutic indexes like Tumor Control Probability (TCP), using the biophysical model NanOx [2].

The impact of cell packing, tumor size, alpha energy and radionuclide daughter diffusion after fixation were studied, under the hypothesis that all cells are labeled with alpha particles.

Preliminary results: With 42 alpha particles per cell, radionuclide cell internalization has very little impact on physical dose and TCP, except if internalization is made in the nucleus. However, when the number of alpha per cell is reduced below 10, TCP decreases below 1. E.g., with 5 alphas per cell, TCP were equal to 0.06, 0.16 and 0.66 when the sources were distributed, respectively, in membrane, cytoplasm and nucleus only.




Figure 1: Spheroid generated by CPOP

[1] Maigne, L., et al. Phys. Med. 89 (2021) 41-50

[2] Cunha, M. et al. P.M.B. 62 (2017) 12-48