

Interpreting fossilized nervous tissues

Jean Vannier, Tae-Yoon S Park, Robert R Gaines, Cédric Aria

To cite this version:

Jean Vannier, Tae-Yoon S Park, Robert R Gaines, Cédric Aria. Interpreting fossilized nervous tissues. BioEssays, 2023, 10.1002/bies.202200167. hal-04305310

HAL Id: hal-04305310 <https://hal.science/hal-04305310v1>

Submitted on 24 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Interpreting fossilized nervous tissues

1. INTRODUCTION: EVIDENCE FOR FOSSILIZED BRAINS IN CAMBRIAN ARTHROPODS

 In the past decade, there has been a surge of studies presenting evidence of fossilized nervous tissues, mainly in fossil arthropods from Cambrian Konservat-Lagerstätten $[1-10]$, 48 but also, more rarely, from more recent deposits^[11]. The question of whether such labile tissues—that is, prone to rapid decay—as ganglia and nerves can be preserved in settings 50 of BST deposits has been discussed at length before^[12–14]. The possibility of direct 51 fossilization of nervous tissues (as opposed to, e.g., skull endocasts^[15]) rests on three key observations: (1) the experimental demonstration that nervous tissues in invertebrates can 53 be persistent to decay, all other conditions being equal^[14], (2) the demonstration that the timing of decay observed under controlled experimentation does not correspond to the 55 range of preservation seen in fossils^[12], particularly in BST deposits^[16], and (3) the well- established presence in these fossils of other labile tissues, such as muscles, digestive tracts, 57 and even eggs^[17].

 Some paleoneurological evidence has also been criticized on interpretative and 59 taphonomic grounds^{$[18-20]$}. Criticism has stemmed largely from inconsistency of either the fossil structure with its expected morphology or with the characteristics of preservation of compression fossils, or both. Insufficient consideration of such complexities in some studies has led to the reconstruction of the CNS in numerous Cambrian arthropods, which 63 when integrated into synthetic reconstructions and evolutionary scenarios^[3], must remain open to question. Despite efforts to disambiguate observable tissues, fundamental aspects of BST preservation and of the internal morphoanatomy of arthropods have been overlooked.

 Considering morphoanatomical features surrounding the gut is crucial because they are closely associated with neural tissues. Some common and long-known structures, such 69 as "tonguelets" $[2,21,22]$, defined as sub-intestinal triangular projections, are particularly important in this context, but have rarely been considered when studying the CNS, specifically, the ventral nerve cord (VNC) that runs along the trunk. For this reason, it is 72 important to reassess the peri-intestinal anatomy of Cambrian arthropods^[19] based on robust criteria and clear methodology. Although fossilized nervous tissues have been 74 discussed in a few non-arthropod BST fossils^[23,24], here we focus specifically on morphoanatomy and paleoneuroanatomical evidence in fossil arthropods. Our conclusions and methodological criteria, however, apply to all preserved nervous tissues.

2. BURGESS-SHALE TYPE PRESERVATION AND TAPHONOMY

 Implications of the fossilization process. The leading question in the identification of fossilized CNS, as for other tissues, should be: What unambiguously constrains the identity a feature of interest in its specific taphonomic context? Below, we discuss the nature of BST preservation and caveats related to compression that must be accounted for when making interpretations. It should also be emphasized that a definitive interpretation is *not* always possible. In epistemological terms, uncertainty is a positive criterion. To consider uncertainty as valid information given the data is not trivial: it was historically important 86 during the redescription of Burgess Shale fossils led by Harry Whittington^[25,26], and different conceptual views in more recent years—translating uncertainty into absence— 88 have strongly impacted our view of early arthropod evolution^[27].

 The taphonomy of exceptional preservation is governed by specific spatial and 90 temporal parameters^[28–30]. The defining characteristic of BST deposits is that soft-bodied 91 organisms are preserved primarily as organic remains^[31,32]. Fossils are strongly 92 compressed parallel to bedding, however preparation and uneven splitting $[e.g., 33]$ as well as 93 tomographic techniques^[e.g., 34], where possible, reveal that a slight three dimensional expression of organic features persists. Over geologic time, organic matter comprising BST 95 fossils is transformed into kerogen^[32]. As a consequence, most individual tissues become indistinguishable compositionally and visually, although, under certain conditions, they can still be differentiated topologically (see also Supplementary Discussion). In unweathered BST fossils, original morphoanatomical features rich in carbon typically appear as reflective, compositionally homogeneous patches (Figs 1-6).

 Although organic tissues are primarily preserved as carbon films, mineralization may also play a role, either as infill of anatomical cavities, as primary replacements of soft tissue during fossilization or as secondary products related to subsequent geologic history, specifically metamorphism and weathering. These phenomena have led to the precipitation of aluminosilicate minerals across organic remains of fossils from the Burgess Shale^[31] 105 and Sirius Passet^[41], and to the deposition of iron oxides within Chengjiang fossils^[80]. We discuss these aspects in more detail in Supplementary Discussion, but, in the context of this study, it is particularly important to emphasize that elements of the arthropod digestive system, including the intestine, are often preserved as a combination of phases, with carbon representing the gut wall surrounding a mineralized fluorapatite filling of the intestinal cavity. Thus, the intestine of a BST fossil visible after a perfect coronal split of the specimen would display a central phosphatic tract bordered by two parallel carbon lines.

 Typically, however, the split occurs along the surface of the relief of the gut infill. In addition, in a majority of cases, the carbonaceous gut wall is not distinct because it is included in a broader peri-intestinal film. In deposit feeders, the formation of intestinal apatite is prevented when the gut is filled, yielding instead a composition close to that of the matrix (see e.g., *Burgessia*^[2]); heavy weathering, as in the case of early Cambrian BST deposits of China, is also likely responsible for the replacement of fluorapatite 118 permineralization by aluminosilicates $\sqrt{35}$.

 Tonguelets commonly occur in a variety of BST arthropods and are dominantly preserved as carbon, although in the Burgess Shale aluminosilicate films occur in association. In certain groups, such as leanchoiliids, tonguelets co-occur with distinct \ldots midgut glands^[21,35]. This evidence speaks against a digestive identity of these features. The 123 vascular morphology of tonguelets in certain $taxa^{[22]}$ and their broad association with a 124 circum-intestinal carbon film^[2,21] suggest that these features are derived instead from hemocoelic cavities that played a role in gas and nutrient distribution.

 It has been proposed that serial peri-intestinal ramifications, including tonguelets, 127 could be formed as artefacts of bacterial activity during early stages of decay^[36,18]. Limited autolysis under reducing conditions would favour colonization of tissues by invading bacteria and it is possible that microbial biofilms could also be preserved as organic carbon remains. The documented microbial colonization of the peri-intestinal cavity during early 131 stages of decay^[36] highlights the role that bacteria may play in the taphonomy of peri- intestinal tissues, specifically in the formation of various artefacts such as blackened cuticular linings and stains (Figs 1, 2). However, patterns of microbial replication require an original biological substrate, which is why it is important to stress that microbial

 proliferation cannot be used to explain the entirety of internal features preserved in BST 136 . fossils as artefacts^[18]. Fossils have been used to show that a wide range of symmetrical 137 patterns indeed may be attributable to decay^[18], but such decay merely tends to transform original symmetrical biological features into irregular shapes with indistinct boundaries. In the specific case of *Fuxianhuia*, decay is clearly visible in imperfectly preserved tissues associated with the circum-digestive area and the masticatory elements of mandibles.

 One critical implication of these observations for BST fossils is that there is, as of yet, no established tissue-specific pathway for mineralized preservation outside of the digestive system and muscles. Tonguelets and perhaps other structures (gills, see below) can potentially also be identified via their 3D replication in phosphate, but a better disambiguation is required. The identification of all other tissues, including the CNS, depends almost exclusively on visual separation, topological (physical) separation and morphological consistency with the interpreted structure (Figs 1, 2). Given that BST fossils are strongly compressed, the difficulty lies in demonstrating such isolation, after considering the presence of all other fossilized morphological features and their taphonomic variations.

 Contextual taphonomy. Taphonomy is also determined by parameters related to entombment and splitting. When reconstructing the morphoanatomy of BST animals, the main contextual aspects that must be considered are: orientation of the fossil relative to bedding, superimposition of features, artefacts related to compression and/or to the mudstone matrix (such as slickenides), degree of decay and incomplete preservation of features, unevenness in the plane of splitting that passes through fossils and may create positive and negative features between part and counterpart, and the layered, three-

158 dimensional distribution of features intersected by the plane of splitting^[26,37,38]. In the latter case, we call three-dimensionality the degree of vertical distribution of fossil features across the matrix, perpendicular to the plane of splitting.

 Although there are exceptions, these parameters imply that our ability to constrain feature identity or morphology ideally necessitates a sample of multiple specimens covering a range of orientations and taphonomic variations. This is also true for fully three- dimensional, shelly fossils, which are rarely complete and unaltered. A good understanding of taphonomic parameters for a particular species, in addition to the context of the entire deposit, is crucial to constructing a methodology of identification, because it informs signal versus possible noise (i.e., artefacts) in fossils. As a general rule, the more that fossils are altered, the more parameters must be considered to constrain the identity of internal features observed.

 Obviously, accurate fossil interpretation also requires a coherent understanding of morphoanatomy between extant and fossil taxa. The distinction and reconstruction of internal features in BST material is a particularly complex task owing to (1) the preservation of most tissues as carbonaceous remains, (2) the merging of various tissues into a single kerogen film, and (3) the complexity and diversity of arthropod internal morphoanatomy. This is particularly evident in the head and peri-intestinal regions where the overlap of the eyes, brain tissues, esophagus, gut and gut glands, hemolymph cavities as well as limbs, segments and other cuticular outlines (Fig. 3A-C) may create an entangled assemblage of traces that are difficult to discriminate. In the cephalic region of extant arthropods, the CNS consists of three main units (protocerebrum, deutocerebrum, tritocerebrum) that have extensions into various sensory organs and head appendages.

 Posteriorly, the body is innervated by the ventral nerve cord (VNC) and its numerous extensions (towards trunk organs and abdominal appendages), in close association with other organs systems, typically the circulatory system. Overlap patterns of these tissues when compressed, combined with potential decay prior to the stabilization of organic tissues, can lead to a disparity of shapes that do not accurately reflect the original biological configuration and could potentially yield incorrect interpretations (Fig. 2).

 A prominent issue affecting research in systematic paleontology is also that fossil documentation in the literature is severely biased. Most of the time, only the best specimens of a given taxon are illustrated, which makes learning to interpret fossils based only on literature very arduous, if not impossible. Eye training is a long and demanding process which is critical to direct fossil observation but also to the interpretation of modern imagery techniques. Without background training providing knowledge of artefact ranges and taphonomic variations, or when interpretations are not critical enough, exceptional fossils can easily be overinterpreted.

 Three fundamental criteria and redundancy. In consideration of the above, we propose to use three fundamental criteria for the identification and interpretation of fossilized nervous tissues. The first is *topological disambiguation*, the ability to visually distinguish the structure of interest and constrain it as biological in origin. The second is an *explicit taphonomic context*, whereby the conditions surrounding fossilization, including compaction, are known and favorable to a positive identification of the structure of interest. The third is *morphoanatomical consistency*, requiring that the identified feature be consistent in shape and location with the overall morphoanatomy of the fossil species and its group. Additionally, owing to the fact that taphonomic variations condition our

- understanding of fossils, an interpretation will be stronger when there are replicates of the evidence in other specimens—which we call here *redundancy* of the evidence.
-

3. CASE ANALYSES

3.1. "Gilled lobopodians" and radiodontans

 Radiodontans, iconic early Paleozoic predators, are terminologically regarded as the 210 earliest arthropods^[27] or as early stem euarthropods^[39]. Nomenclatural differences notwithstanding, the phylogenetic placement of radiodontans within Panarthropoda is 212 consensual^[40]. Their prominent frontalmost, arthrodized appendages been compared to and considered homologous with the antennae of onychophorans (velvet worms), which are, with tardigrades (water bears), the only extant sister groups to arthropods. Owing to the protocerebral innervation of antennae in onychophorans, some authors have concluded that the frontalmost appendages of radiodontans may not be homologous with the topologically 217 equivalent limbs—chelicerae or antennulae—of true arthropods^[39], because the 218 frontalmost appendages arguably connect to the deutocerebrum^[41]. This hypothesis conflicts, however, with existing fossil and phylogenetic evidence suggesting structural 220 homology between the frontalmost appendages of radiodontans and euarthropods $[19]$ — 221 termed the cheirae^[27]—, and lacks support from direct fossil evidence.

 In 2012, *Lyrarapax unguispinus*, from the Chengjiang Lagerstätte, China, was presented as preserving brain tissues demonstrating the protocerebral nature of radiodontan 224 cheirae. There have been concerns^[19,20], however, that the key specimen *Lyrarapax unguispinus* YKLP13305 had been misinterpreted^[9]. Originally, the authors described a large central brain mass from which two frontal lobes protruded, purportedly innerving the cheirae. The neural identification of the tissue is notably supported by the quality of the specimen, isolation of the relevant carbon trace from other remains (mainly gut and appendages), morphology, and consistent counterpart. The frontal lobes have clear margins, are likely part of the CNS by exclusion (but see section 4 below) and connect to the central mass lying on the same plane, supporting interpretation as a brain, and, in the specific case of the frontal lobes, the protocerebrum (Fig. 4F).

 However, the location, connection and identity of these features conflict with other aspects of the morphoanatomy of the fossil, which is particularly clear in YKLP13305. As confirmed by all other specimens of *Lyrarapax unguispinus*, the eye stalks in this animal 236 are long, bent, and insert very fronto-laterally^[9,42] (Fig. 4F). The frontal, arguably protocerebral lobes overlap the base of the eye stalks (as opposed to the misinterpreted base of the cheirae) and partially follow their bending. Lateral nerves posterior to these lobes and projecting from the central brain mass were originally interpreted to innerve the eyes. However, this is anatomically impossible, because these lateral nerves do not connect with the eye stalks, but instead project towards the lateral margins of the head, clearly delimited by cracks in the sediment caused by relief of lateral head margins (Fig. 4F). If indeed they are nerves, these extensions would be bound to the deutocerebral neuropil, and would connect to the bases of the cheirae, which in YKLP13305 are most likely located below them.

 This reexamination aligns with the recent description of similar structures in another radiodontan, *Stanleycaris hirpex*[43] . This taxon satisfies the best scenario criteria for the identification of a fossilized brain for similar reasons as *Lyrarapax unguispinus* does, with the help of multiple, particularly well-preserved specimens. Although it is not entirely clear whether part of the foregut or esophagus could be associated with the remains

 described as the CNS, the structures identified as brain, optic tracts and appendicular nerves form a coherent ensemble characterized by dense kerogen remains. Importantly, *Stanleycaris* also offers exceptional redundancy of evidence compared to other cases of fossilized CNS: many of the specimens taken alone would be insufficient to determine the morphology of these internal organs, and the wide range of variations in preservation illustrates the impact of taphonomy on the interpretation of such features.

 A crucial aspect of taphonomy in this case is three-dimensionality, in the context of strong compression. In *Lyrarapax* and other paleoneuroanatomical examples (see below), anatomical interpretations are made almost always based on the information visible on the plane of splitting. Irrespective of whether the fossil itself retains some three- dimensional relief, original three-dimensionality strongly affects fossil structure—and, in mature living arthropods, brains have generally pronounced three-dimensional 263 expression^[44]. When strictly comparing with extant arthropods, a frontal, appendicular nerve inserted on the ventral side of the brain would identify it as deutocerebral, rather than 265 protocerebral^[43]; any other, plesiomorphic configuration would otherwise have to be justified. This is why a robust consideration of original three-dimensionality is generally critical to the accurate interpretation of head organs and resolving this type of detail is only possible with the highest fidelity of preservation, allowing for clear feature isolation.

 The "gilled lobopodian" *Kerygmachela kierkegaardi* from the early Cambrian Sirius Passet Lagerstätte of Greenland was described with head and CNS entombed parallel to the sediment and showing, by contrast, limited relief. The "gilled radiodontans" are stem arthropods that lack arthrodization and resolve more basally than radiodontans, implying that their brain configuration might be both different and simpler. *Kerygmachela* MGUH

^[10] (Fig. 5C, D) likewise presents a strong case for nervous tissue preservation: the size and shape of the anterior neuropil and its nerves are consistent with that of panarthropods in dorsal view and, importantly, are visually and compositionally distinct from the underlying pharynx, which shows relief and preservation in phosphate. In this instance, frontal appendages are spread out fronto-laterally and do not cause ambiguity with respect to the nerve extensions through them. Problematically, however, insertions of these nerves at the front of the putative brain can be interpreted as protocerebral, conflicting with the radiodontan evidence discussed above, becasue these enlarged frontal appendages are likely homologous between these groups. Implications are discussed further in section 5.

3.2. Megacheirans

 Three-dimensionality and topological consistency are also crucial factors for interpretations of putative CNS in megacheirans, mostly leanchoiliids. Leanchoiliid 288 megacheirans are early euarthropods, possibly stem chelicerates^[27], characterized by flagellate and multi-chelate cheirae. It has been well documented that, in megacheirans, 290 cheirae insert very anteriorly, close to the insertion of the eyes^[19,21,45]. The eyes of certain leanchoiliid specimens, preserved in dorso-ventral compression, can appear to be ventral, but accounting for the much larger sample of specimens preserved in a variety of different positions, the eyes are more accurately fronto-lateral with the great appendages inserted 294 almost underneath them $[21,46]$.

 In several recent anatomical reconstructions based on remains interpreted as $\text{CNS}^{[3,4,6]}$, cheirae are associated with a deutocerebral nerve but are placed in a more

 posterior location. In these reconstructions, the designated "protocerebrum" lies at the level of the known frontalmost appendage insertion, while the "deutocerebrum" encompasses two post-frontal cephalic limbs (Figs 3D, E, 4B, D). The reconstructions are further complicated by the fact that the purported paleoneuroanatomical evidence itself in leanchoiliids does not account for critical taphonomic criteria.

 Lan and colleagues^[3] recently presented a detailed reconstruction of leanchoiliid brains based on two specimens of a *Leanchoilia*-like taxon from the middle Cambrian (Wuliuan stage) Kaili biota, arguing that a separate, asegmental part of the forebrain of arthropods called the prosocerebrum was expressed in these taxa. Relative to other BST fossil specimens for which CNS remains have been inferred, both Kaili leanchoiliid specimens are significantly altered by weathering and/or original decay, affecting observable features. Significant alteration complicates the interpretation of unconstrained patterns. The absence of counterparts further complicates the possibility of a strong interpretation. Suggested nervous tissues are not explicitly discriminated from surrounding tissues, perhaps because this is not possible given preservation quality, or perhaps because the distinction is based on morphoanatomical misinterpretation. Critically, the three- dimensionality, orientation and convex boundaries of purported nerves in GRCP15007 are consistent in size and shape with underlying basipods (Fig. 3D), opposing mesially, as previously documented in dorsally-preserved specimens of *Leanchoilia* and similar 316 arthropods^[47]. A pair of swellings located just behind the eyes (Fig. 3D) matches with the 317 expected location of the insertion of cheirae^[21] (Fig. 3A, B, E-F), often expressed in dorsal 318 view by folds, markings and outlines associated with the base of the appendages^{$[48, pl. 13,49,$} 319 ^{fig. 3B}. Impressions of frontal appendage insertions are known in *Leanchoilia*^[47] and a 320 variety of other BST arthropods^[50], and in specimens in which these appendages do not 321 project anteriad, they are likely folded under the body^[21], thus potentially leaving paired imprints in the post-buccal area (Fig. 3).

 The eye tracts illustrated in detail in GRCP 15007 are not visible on the higher- resolution image in (Fig. 3D, E). A small inter-ocular swelling, in the same specimen, might correspond to the location of the leanchoiliid labrum (putative in adults), but may also represent another feature located at the junction of all optic tracts. Consequently, the trapezoidal outline situated behind the insertion of the frontalmost appendages in GRCP 15007 (Fig. 3E) appears consistent with the shape of the mouth in these taxa^[51]. There is a half-ringed area encompassing the space behind the eyes and overlapping the insertion of the frontalmost appendages (Figs 3D-F, 4A) that has been documented before^[47] (plate 1, fig. 1, 3). The well-defined boundaries of this seemingly internal structure suggest it is also cuticular, rather than neural, and therefore could represent a large apodeme.

Elemental mapping data^[3, fig. 2] show that the axial area in leanchoiliid sp. GRCP 15007 and 15009 is comprised of a polymorph of calcium phosphate (most likely fluorapatite as in other BST fossils) surrounded by carbon, even if large central chunks of intestinal apatite are missing in GRCP 15009. This is what is expected from the preservation of the internal aspect of the gut by mineralized infill and of the gut epithelium as kerogen (Figs 1, 2): the plane of splitting cuts through the gut, in cross-section showing the interior of the gut tube bound by two parallel lines. GRCP 15009 is severely altered, and the central lacuna of apatite was most likely created by loss at the time of splitting. Nodes and tracts preserved in carbon anterior to the remains of the gut tract repeat the serial pattern of latero-ventral tonguelets. Given these considerations, it appears likely that only

 the anteriormost section at the level of eye insertion could represent parts of the CNS, but such an interpretation is speculative (see below).

 ROMIP 57655 is comparable to GRCP 15007 in its angle of burial and in the features visible, though it is much more complete and detailed (Fig. 4A). This specimen likewise displays the eyes without any tract, as well as the underlying half-ringed structure underneath them, which seems to be internal. ROMIP 57655 also documents more clearly the complex peri-intestinal and ramifying kerogen film, showing how various remains of this film overlap in the medial area of GRCP 15007. Peri-intestinal ramifications mostly represent sub-intestinal tonguelets, but they can appear cut, flared out, filamentous or they can be fused altogether with the cuticular margins of limbs as a result of differences in the plane of splitting through specimens and in the extent of partial decay. ROMIP 57655 thus represents a typical general example of internal, peri-intestinal tissue preservation in BST fossils, and shows how variations on these patterns could recreate the appearance of a CNS (Fig. 6). More generally, patterns of folding in compressed cuticle or other carbon-rich tissues can easily produce structural shapes that are not readily interpretable. In *Actaeus armatus*, for instance, the manipulation of certain brightness and contrast settings can help isolate elements resembling the brain (Fig. 4G), but the distribution of carbon in the head of this very well-preserved specimen shows much greater complexity (Fig. 4H), notably cuticular collapse and folding. Manipulating these light settings can change the visible amount of carbon (or carbon-coated) film and therefore can reproduce lesser preservation or a different plane of splitting. Cheirae in this specimen could be easily interpreted as protocerebral if one were to disregard other fossil structures, or if the specimen was more poorly preserved.

 To investigate *Alalcomenaeus* YKLP 11075—another leanchoiliid—, Tanaka and colleagues interestingly combined two X-ray-based techniques: one based on X-ray fluorescence and used for elemental analysis, here of Fe, the other being tomographic— MicroCT scanning^[4]. Comparing different sources of visualization could be a way to help disambiguate different structures in fossils. However, the authors interpreted overlapping signals from both techniques as remains of the CNS, without providing a taphonomic rationale as to why this should be the case. As explained earlier, there is, as of yet, no established tissue-specific mineralization pathway outside of some phosphate-based cases. A tomographic investigation sensitive to Fe will provide an incomplete tridimensional map 375 of both internal and external remains, as is now shown for several Chengiang taxa^[51,52], whereas elemental mapping of Fe will reveal a partly similar pattern, depending on differences in sensitivity. Should the CNS be preserved or secondarily coated in part by Fe-compounds, this approach is insufficient to discriminate it from all other existing internal and external structures. In addition, original imagery in Tanaka et al.^[4] shows that both techniques largely recover 1) incompletely overlapping regions of the same structures, implying that the overlapping signal from both methods is an incomplete representation of the same feature, and 2) structures that are clearly separate; for instance, guts and appendage traces in both cases are confounded with tergo-pleural boundaries, thus ruling out the isolation of a discrete CNS. The patterns shown for *Alalcomenaeus* YKLP 11075 therefore correspond to an overlap of the digestive system, the peri-intestinal cavity, appendages, and segment boundaries, with deformation resulting from partial decay and compaction of soft tissues against the cuticle. The "vertebrae"-like central structure in particular, resembling sternites, is likely the result of the impression of the gut superimposed upon, and emphasizing segment boundaries. Interestingly, the features interpreted as neural in *Alalcomenaeus* were considered chelicerate-like in this study, but 391 were instead presented as consistent with an ancestral brain configuration^[3]: this emphasizes the uncertainty of interpretations based on insufficiently clear fossilized biological patterns.

 A lack of feature discrimination also impacts interpretations of *Alalcomenaeus* 395 MCZ IP-197956 and KUMIP $204782^{[6]}$. MCZ IP-197956 is poorly preserved, but with a 396 general pattern commonly observed in megacheiran specimens^[47,46,45,21], namely a likely phosphatic gut trace surrounded by an originally carbon-rich peri-intestinal trace with ventral lobations and inconsistent filamentous remains—not limited to the ventral side and not consistently projecting into limbs, for instance as in *Surusicaris*^[22]—which are signs of loss to decay. In KUMIP 204782, which is also poorly preserved, filamentous traces are serially repeated, but they are again similar to common linear artefacts in BST arthropods (Fig. 6E-H), and it is not possible to disambiguate them from tonguelets and cuticular lines, especially segment boundaries and appendage outlines, or, considering the quality of the 404 specimen, even possible deformation of tissue margins through decay^[36,18]. The anterior part of the head shows the impression of cheirae, and the "hypostome" is misinterpreted as a displaced part of the foregut (Fig. 4D).

 Sub-intestinal tonguelets, or taphonomically-separated distal tips of them, were 408 also annotated as nerves in the basal megacheiran-like *Kylinxia*^[53], without argument for this interpretation.

3.3. Extant lineages

3.3.1. Mandibulata

 Among other euarthropods, fuxianhuiids have been a central focus of 414 paleoneuroanatomical studies $[5,8,13]$ a conjunction of specimen availability, evidence and a long-standing view that these animals represent an ancestral stem euarthropod body 416 plans^[5,54], even though there is evidence that they are in fact mandibulates^[55]. The two cases are the description of optic neuropils and brain in *Fuxianhuia* on the one hand, and that of a VNC in *Chengjiangocaris* on the other.

 Despite peculiar taphonomic conditions, under which no other soft internal tissue is preserved, the VNC of *Chengjiangocaris* YKLP 12026 benefits from a clear topological isolation—the split of the fossil passes through the nerve cord—and yields fine morphological details. Notwithstanding evolutionary implications for the number of 423 interganglionic peripheral nerves^[5,55], YKLP 12026 satisfies the conditions of topological disambiguation and explicit taphonomic context described above, with each putative ganglion corresponding to a somite on the polypodous body (in which tergites correspond to the dorsal fusion of several segments). Nevertheless, YKLP 12324, preserved in dorsal aspect, and also interpreted to display a VNC, calls for caution. At cursory inspection, the chain-like medial structure is similar, but each successive node is in strong relief, suggesting greatersclerotization, each forms a single unit incompatible with paired ganglia, and, most importantly, each connects rigorously with the base of each adjoining limb. Shape and three-dimensionality would therefore be more consistent with a series of sternites (see, e.g., ref.[33]). This would not be exclusive of the presence of a VNC *per se*, because the carbonaceous trace observed on fossils and radiating filaments could correspond to the nerve cord overprinted onto the sternites. The morphology of the VNC

 in YKLP 12026 suggests that taphonomic conditions leading to the preservation of this feature preserve an aspect close to the original VNC. The recognition that the VNC could 437 preserve as poorly-defined trace under the best conditions (see also, e.g., ref.^[23]) can 438 facilitate disambiguation of the VNC from other structures^[14].

139 In *Fuxianhuia* YKLP 15006^[8] and similar specimens^[13], optic neuropils are clearly laid out within the eye stalks, and are present in similar shapes on the counterpart. Thus, 441 the evidence is redundant as well^[13]. However, the post-ocular neural morphology of *Fuxianhuia* can only be partially disambiguated from the rest of the morphoanatomy, because the key specimens presented may have other internal tissues or appendages in the 444 same area that are not distinguishable. For example, in YKLP $15006^{[13]}$, there is a broad darkening of the antennules clearly representing more than a single nerve outline, which points to a more complex taphonomic history and possibly the overlap of different tissues in the compression fossil.

 The brain morphoanatomy of *Fuxianhuia* may be better constrained by looking at 449 another early mandibulate, *Waptia*^[2] (Fig. 5G). ROMIP 64293 and USNM 138231 show the same configurations of branching kerogen tracts, which are comparable to those documented in *Fuxianhuia*, and are of brain-like morphology: an anterior bridge connecting with optic tracts is also connected by lateral tracts to a posterior transversal trace, forming a roughly trapezoidal fenestra which, according to the known anatomy of the head, is located above the mouth. This opening is therefore a strong candidate for the 455 stomodaeum^[2]. As in *Fuxianhuia*, multiple overlapping elements indicate that other tissues—mostly the gut and appendages—are preserved together with the putative neural tissues, but the latter are distinct, consistent with expected morphoanatomy, and the fidelity

 of preservation is relatively good, although redundancy proves to be crucial here (ROMIP 64293 is in poor condition and would only be inconclusive on its own). Although we consider the evidence ambiguous in this specimen, our own tracing of GRCP15009 (Fig. 5H, I) suggests that a comparable very anterior stomodaeum might be present in leanchoiliids, which would also conform to their morphoanatomy, in particular the insertion of the cheirae.

 Ma and colleagues revised their interpretation of the morphoanatomy of *Fuxianhuia*'s brain based on the counterpart of YKLP 15006 and two additional 466 specimens^[13], preferring to consider the central "fenestrum" as a part of the brain that was taphonomically removed. Based on the configuration in *Waptia*, and the well-defined 468 anterior margins of the deutocerebrum in YKLP 15006 (labelled "PRO" in ref.^[13]), the fenestrum interpretation is well-grounded and the observed non-lacunar pattern YKLP 11357 corresponds in fact to a more ventral layer, below the stomodaeal aperture, where the brain forms a compact mass. It is also possible that the fenestrum is an artefact caused by the compression of a thick protocerebrum with any frontalmost organs (see, e.g., 473 combined patterns of protocerebrum and naupliar ocellus in *Artemia*^[56]), and therefore that 474 the stomodaeum is more posterior, closer to the brain configuration of extant crustaceans, but this would make the location of the deutocerebrum and the innervation of frontalmost appendages less plausible.

 In a way similar to some examples cited above, *Waptia fieldensis* ROMIP 64295 also exhibits a cephalothoracic peri-intestinal tonguelet pattern that could, without context, 479 be mistaken for a diagnostic chelicerate-like condensed $CNS^{[57]}$, and other specimens (e.g., 480 • ROMIP 64287^[2]) show intestinal features that mimic ganglia and nerves (Fig. 5A, B).

Additional specimens (such as USNM 114259, see^[2, SEM S17]) confirm the occasional rosary aspect of the gut based on location and calcium phosphate infill. This illustrates how a proper taphonomic sampling is essential to an accurate interpretation.

3.3.2. Chelicerata

 The middle Cambrian euarthropod *Mollisonia*, recently reinterpreted as the earliest bona 487 fide chelicerate^[1], was presented with three pairs of optic neuropils—a surprisingly "derived" condition suggesting in fact that a complex neuropil arrangement was ancestral in euarthropods, and that many extant lineages experienced secondary simplifications. Similar to *Fuxianhuia*, the outline of these neuropils is distinct, and their location makes an overlap with non-neural tissues unlikely, although the full evidence is provided by only a single specimen.

 Two *Mollisonia* specimens were later reexamined in that context, with description 494 of nervous tissues^[7]. The interpretations of *Mollisonia* MCZ 1811 and USNM 305093^[7] exhibit issues similar to leanchoiliid examples discussed before. USNM 305093 is a poorly preserved specimen with generic patterns of preservation of internal tissues. Only a central internal tube is visible with reflective ventral ramifications as well as segment boundaries. This central tube is the gut, surrounded by the typical carbon-rich peri-intestinal cavity (Fig. 4E), whose impression connects with the generally carbon-rich eyes, and ventral ramifications. The ventral ramifications correspond to the dorsal margin of the two main diverging rami of the limbs, as evidenced for instance by ROMIP 65015, showing likely further decay (Fig. 6G, H), and in other taxa. MCZ 1811 is of better quality, but the specimen contains only indistinct carbon traces anteriorly and a typical circum-intestinal carbon patch. Features interpreted as a "segmental nerve" and "gut diverticula" are identified by elemental mapping where C, P and Ca overlap, although neither of these features can be discriminated or confirmed by the rest of the fossil evidence^[1]. Consistent with the antero-posterior configuration, the "gut diverticulum" in dorsal view is a tonguelet that the authors interpret as nerve in lateral view.

 Interpretative consistency is also paramount, even when known morphoanatomy is thoroughly considered, especially in the case of well-studied taxa. For instance, ROMIP 57655 illustrates that the alleged esophageal foramen in *Alalcomenaeus* sp. YKLP 11075[4] (Fig. 4B) can preserve as reflective patch (Fig. 4A), a fenestra (Fig. 4B) or a three- dimensional gut tract swelling (Fig. 4E). This is incompatible with an interpretation of all the reflective traces as neural^[3,4], in which case the foramen itself would not be reflective or swollen, but instead is consistent with an hemocoelo-digestive hypothesis. Given the evidence, this area more likely represents a differentiated foregut, perhaps a stomach.

3.4. Other panarthropods

 Recent claims were made about the discovery and identification of a brain in the 520 lobopodian *Cardiodictyon*, presented as a tripartite protocerebrum, as well as a VNC^[58]. Lobopodians being the paraphyletic sister lineage to arthropods, the presence of a tripartite protocerebrum in these animals would have important implications for head evolution in 523 the entire group^[59]. Here again, however, we find nothing convincing in terms of taphonomy, morphoanatomical consistency, or disambiguation power. Taphonomically, the magenta-to-purple Fe-oxide stains on which the argument is based are well known to be present throughout Chengjiang fossils, with no demonstrated organ affinity (see, e.g.,

 their fig. S1). They represent Fe-stains derived from oxidation of original pyrite, however, it is not clear that these stains track the original distribution of pyrite, or that pyrite originally replicated the structures in question. In the absence of crystal molds or pseudomorphs that provide evidence for the original distribution of pyrite, as conclusively 531 demonstrated before^[14,60], the colored patches may be entirely secondary in origin, in which case their morphoanatomical significance would be non-specific—as suggested by their uneven distribution and overlap with other oxidized parts of the fossil (Supp. Fig. 3). In general, the distinctly colored phases picked out by chromatic filtering represent the 535 complex post-Cambrian weathering history of Chengiang fossils^[61]. A careful taphonomic study and model are required to establish the structures as biological in origin.

 Correlatively, the colored patches isolate neither brain nor nerve cord specifically. We conducted a similar "chromatic isolation" (consisting in selective isolation of color ranges from an image) on the main specimen presented with nerve tissues (YKLP11422) as well as YKLP11418 and YKLP11419 (Supp. Fig. 3). Coloration occurs clearly over the entire surface of the fossil, and patterns—especially in the head—are influenced by cracks or fractures in the matrix (Supp. Fig. 3). Density of this particular stain increases over limbs and in the sclerotic interspace, as well as on the contour of the head. There is neither tripartite consistency nor clear internal topology that would identify any part of these traces 545 as a separate CNS. Fig. $S5^{[58]}$ in the original publication shows the extent of image manipulation required, including deletion of all surrounding elements, to produce a vague tripartite patch of color. A thorough investigation of these fossils is warranted.

4. NATURE AND DISPARITY OF LATERO-VENTRAL 'TONGUELETS,' AND OTHER POSSIBLE MANIFESTATIONS OF THE LACUNAR CIRCULATORY SYSTEM

 As we have shown (Figs 1, 2, 4A-E, 5A, B, H, I, 6), latero-ventral tonguelets are of central importance in the disambiguation of the CNS in BST arthropods. The term 'tonguelet' itself is assigned broadly to all latero-ventral extensions of the peri-intestinal area, encompassing a variety of shapes and possibly tissular identity. As primary distinctions, tonguelets occur with well-defined boundaries as single lobes (Figs 5A, B, 6D) or 557 ramifying structures^[22], but also as variations of those types with more diffuse boundaries (Figs 4A-E, G, H, 5A, 6A, E, G).

 The ramification of tonguelets into two or three branches is common in BST arthropods (Figs 4A, C, D, 6E-G). Based on taphonomic processes discussed above and a 561 possible hemocoelic affinity advocated previously^[2,22,62,63], such ramifications arguably replicate an original subdivision of the cavity when present across somites in well-563 preserved specimens. In *Surusicaris*^[22], where tonguelets are arguably consistent with an original biological feature, branching of the carbon film is specifically associated with the exopods. In *Opabinia*, tonguelets often connect with the base of gill blades, and they are also particularly well-developed inside the shaft of respiratory appendages of *Waptia* (Fig. 5A, B). This evidence points to a relationship of these tonguelets with a respiratory and 568 more generally gas and nutrient-exchange function^[2,21]. In the context of the peri-intestinal hemocoel model, tonguelets would therefore specifically represent cavities connecting permeable organs with the main hemolymphatic system—the ventral hemolymph reservoirs of the circulatory lacunar system (Supp. Fig. 1).

 In *Alalcomenaeus* sp. ROMIP 62968from the Marble Canyon locality (Fig. 6B-D), typical tonguelets expand into the proximalmost bundle of short lamellae. This configuration is consistent with recent tomographic information from Chengjiang fossils 575 demonstrating the presence of proximal lamellate features in arachnomorphs^[52]. This additional evidence further suggests that latero-ventral tonguelets are hemocoelic connections between the peri-intestinal cavity and respiratory appendages, and, occasionally, the gills themselves. The exact correspondence between the circulatory system and tonguelets is beyond the scope of this study but is currently under investigation by the authors.

 In any case, the similarity in topology and morphology with the ventral lacunar 582 system $[64]$, as well as the body of evidence showing that ramifications are tightly associated with gills and other gas exchange areas, constrains the identity of sub-intestinal tonguelets as hemocoelic cavities. Not only does this have clear consequences for the interpretation of all comparable tonguelet elements across BST arthropods, but this also calls into question the accuracy of reconstructed brains. If, as tonguelets currently suggest, the lacunar circulatory system is preserved, then this system would also potentially preserve in the cephalic region. In certain cases, such as in horseshoe crabs, the enclosing lacunar circulatory system can replicate the gross morphology of the brain, while the vascular 590 system, closely surrounding the prosomal synganglion, is virtually identical in shape^[65]. 591 The recently documented syncerebron of *Euproops*^[11] shows clearly that there was no original mineralization of nervous tissues, but instead a mold of a central cavity which, in xiphosurans, is indistinguishable from the cavity of the circulatory system tightly enclosing 594 the brain^[65]. However, cephalic lacunar systems are poorly known in general, in part due

 to technical difficulties in keeping them intact experimentally. Derived pancrustaceans have shown so far a great complexity of cavities connected to cephalic organs, as well as eye stalks (Torben Göpel, pers. comm.), which could create patterns interpretable as neuropils and commissures that do not accurately replicate the CNS.

 Therefore, the preservation of a cardiovascular system in *Fuxianhuia protensa* YKLP 11336 would represent preservation of a unique type of tissue among BST fossils. Similar to our assessments of putative neural remains, it should be noted that the specimen is poorly preserved, (1) not allowing for clear disambiguation with other tissues, and (2) increasing the likelihood of deformation via decay. Darkening of the intermandibular area is quite common^[18,55], whereas serial lobate patterns could correspond to outlines of the limb bases, peculiarly-preserved tonguelets, or a combination of both. Based on the same validation criteria we put forward for brain tissues (see below), the evidence shown in YKLP 11336 should be considered incomplete.

 Broader support for the identification of peri-intestinal traces as hemocoelic cavities also comes from additional evidence often overlooked in this context. This evidence is comprised of traces of biological origin contrasting body cavity with cuticular margins and in particular doublures, and of long traces inside tailpieces or other extended cuticular features. Interpretations of the thin reflective filament throughout the long telsons of *Burgessia* or *Molaria* (Fig. 6F) have only been tentative, yet this trace is equivalent in 614 size, extent and location to the xiphosuran caudal artery^[65]. This correspondence also led some authors, almost two decades ago, to interpret the peri-intestinal trace and its long 616 ramifications into the cephalic spines of *Marrella* as remains of the circulatory system^[62]. Distinctly, but complementarily, broad internal patterns single out the extent of the body

618 doublure, for instance in naraoiids^[63, fig. 4], or the doublure of the tailpiece, as in *Waptia*^{[2, 61}]

619 fig. 18]

620

621 **5. WHAT DO WE REALLY KNOW ABOUT NERVOUS SYSTEMS IN EARLY**

622 **ARTHROPODS?**

623

624

626 **Table 1. Assessment of key specimens discussed in this study and used as** 627 **paleoneuroanatomical references, based on our methodological criteria.** These criteria

 are assessed relative to the evidence at hand and the interpretation put forward: in some cases, they evaluate the entire brain or CNS, in others, only parts of it. Numbers under the Redundancy column indicate the total number of specimens replicating the relevant evidence. Abbreviations: ETC, Explicit taphonomic context; MAC, Morphoanatomical consistency; N, no; NA, non-applicable (whenever one or more criteria are not fulfilled); P, partial; R, redundancy of evidence (that is, replication in other specimens); TD, topological disambiguation; Y, yes; See also main text and Fig. 7.

 In light of these reassessments, we used the three criteria explicated earlier in this specific context (Fig. 7), as well as redundancy of evidence, to evaluate the robustness of the arthropod paleoneuroanatomical evidence published thus far from Cambrian fossils (Table 1, Supplementary Discussion). Additionally, the outcome of our revision is summarized diagrammatically in Fig. 8, using a phylogenetic framework recovered from 641 recent cladistic analyses^[1,55,66].

 When a more rigorous approach to tissue interpretation is applied and various extrapolations are subtracted, paleoneurological evidence provides a far less complete scenario than depicted by a number of recent studies, especially regarding the 645 arachnomorph $CNS^{[3,6,7]}$. In addition, we present here ideal situations where cephalic lacunae were not misinterpreted as nervous tissues, but this possibility should be considered as well.

 Stanleycaris together with a reexamined *Lyrarapax* provide some reliable anterior CNS morphological evidence in radiodontans (Table 1) that is important both in documenting brain organization before the rise of euarthropods, and in connecting with the

 condition of *Kerygmachela*. The simple brain of *Kerygmachela*, bearing similarities with the dorsal commissure and possibly the frontal nerve ring of tardigrades as seen from dorsal 653 view^[67] (but see [72]), contrasts with the antero-posterior differentiation of the putative radiodontan brains, which appear to have a separate deutocerebrum and well-defined stomodaeum. This lends support to the view that the pre-radiodontan brain was a 656 metaneuropil that later subdivided^[27,53], as the separate identity of pre-gnathal segments 657 from posterior ones would suggest^[68].

 By contrast, any brain morphoanatomies remain to be clearly demonstrated in both leanchoiliids and mollisoniids (Table 1), outside of the optic neuropils in *Mollisonia* 660 plenovenatrix^[1]. *Yawunik kootenayi* ROMIP 63067^[21] (Fig. 5E, F) distinctly shows all four eyes with optic tracts connecting to a central rectangular structure at the very front of the animal, without overlap with the gut, circum-digestive structures or appendages. The central rectangular element could be part of the protocerebrum, but it is also very similar to the inter-ocular element in relief in GRCP15007 that we compared topologically with the labrum (Fig. 3D, E). Hence, at this time, the identity of this feature remains uncertain.

 Within total-group Mandibulata, optic neuropils and possible brain morphoanatomies would also benefit from more robust evidence. Apart from the arguable nerve cord documented in *Chengjiangocaris*, the post-frontal CNS remains elusive, and additional evidence based on the methodological criteria developed above will be needed to make firm interpretations.

 It is also important to reexamine existing interpretations in light of the total 672 evidence. In *Fuxianhuia* YKLP 11358^[13], for instance, the proximal part of the eye connectives, including the first pair of optic neuropils, appears short and round (without

 more distal neuropils) because of the angle of splitting, and yields a morphology very similar to the frontal lobes of *Lyrarapax* (Fig. 4F), which also insert into the base of the eye stalks. This would therefore support an optic neuropil identity for the radiodontan 'frontal lobes,' with the base and first neuropil possibly lying on a slightly different plane than the distal neuropils. Based on *Stanleycaris*, it was recently proposed that these lobes 679 could be related to a large ancestral median eye^[43], but the connection between the median eye and the rest of the protocerebrum in this taxon is not clearly preserved as it is in the anterior lobes of *Lyrarapax* or the proximal optic neuropils of *Fuxianhuia*.

 One of the strongest outcomes of arthropod paleoneuroanatomy is therefore that complex visual systems based on three separate nested optic neuropils either convergently evolved in mollisoniids and fuxianhuiids, or, more likely, were present at the origin of 685 Euarthropoda^[1,27]—and perhaps even Arthropoda^[69]. Our reinterpretations also suggest 686 that a deutocerebrum was already present in radiodontans, in line with recent evidence^[43]. There is also evidence for a very anterior stomodaeum in early mandibulates, and a more three-dimensionally shaped brain accommodating a more ventral deutocerebrum, but this was possibly already the case in radiodontans. The location and shape of the stomodaeum in leanchoiliids might be similar to what is observed in early mandibulates (Fig. 5H, I), but this is conjectural. Based on the condition seen in *Kerygmachela*, it appears nonetheless that the origin of Arthropoda involved a significant structural change cumulating in the arthrodization of the frontalmost appendages, the sclerotization of the mouth, the development of compound eyes and, as perhaps would be expected, the physical expansion of the brain.

6. CONCLUSIONS

 Although considerable effort and progress have been made to consolidate the scientific 699 basis of paleoneuroanatomy^[14], a careful approach to such evidence, more inclusive of the diversity of preservation states and taphonomic variations, and subject to specific criteria of validation, is essential. A critical analysis of the existing evidence in this area and the contribution of additional material constrains a less detailed but more robust basis for understanding paleoneuroanatomical evidence in early arthropods. The evolutionary aspects that we consider here to be well documented are: the structural development of the brain, possibly associated with an early ventralization of the deutocerebrum at the origin of Arthropoda, and the likely presence of a complex visual system involving three distinct optic neuropils occurring with the rise of euarthropods, and perhaps even closer to the origin of compound eyes itself.

 Institutional abbreviations. BST, Burgess Shale-type; CNS, central nervous system; GRCP, Museum of Guizhou Research Center for Palaeobiology; ROMIP, Royal Ontario Museum Invertebrate Palaeontology; VNC, ventral nerve cord; YKLP, Yunnan Key Laboratory for Palaeobiology.

 Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Jean-Bernard Caron, Torben Göpel and Christian Wirkner for helpful discussions.

Conflict of interest. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data availability. All data used in this study are provided upon publication.

 Author contributions. CA designed the study, wrote the first draft of the manuscript and made the figures; all authors contributed to the critical discussion and to the final version of the manuscript. **References** 1. Aria, C., & Caron, J. B. (2019). A middle Cambrian arthropod with chelicerae and proto-book gills. *Nature*, *573*, 586–589. 2. Vannier, J., Aria, C., Taylor, R. S., & Caron, J.-B. (2018). *Waptia fieldensis* Walcott, a mandibulate arthropod from the middle Cambrian Burgess Shale. *Royal Society Open Science*, *5*, 172206. 3. Lan, T., Zhao, Y., Zhao, F., He, Y., Martinez, P., & Strausfeld, N. J. (2021). Leanchoiliidae reveals the ancestral organization of the stem euarthropod brain. *Current Biology*, S0960982221010381. 4. Tanaka, G., Hou, X., Ma, X., Edgecombe, G. D., & Strausfeld, N. J. (2013). Chelicerate neural ground pattern in a Cambrian great appendage arthropod. *Nature*, *502*(7471), 364–367. 5. Yang, J., Ortega-Hernandez, J., Butterfield, N. J., Liu, Y., Boyan, G. S., Hou, J., Lan, T., & Zhang, X. (2016). Fuxianhuiid ventral nerve cord and early nervous system evolution in Panarthropoda. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *113*(11), 2988–2993. 6. Ortega-Hernández, J., Lerosey-Aubril, R., & Pates, S. (2019). Proclivity of nervous system preservation in Cambrian Burgess Shale-type deposits. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *286*(1917), 20192370.

 7. Ortega-Hernández, J., Lerosey-Aubril, R., Losso, S. R., & Weaver, J. C. (2022). Neuroanatomy in a middle Cambrian mollisoniid and the ancestral nervous system organization of chelicerates. *Nature Communications*, *13*(1), 410. 8. Ma, X. Y., Hou, X. G., Edgecombe, G. D., & Strausfeld, N. J. (2012). Complex brain and optic lobes in an early Cambrian arthropod. *Nature*, *490*(7419), 258–262. 9. Cong, P., Ma, X., Hou, X., Edgecombe, G. D., & Strausfeld, N. J. (2014). Brain structure resolves the segmental affinity of anomalocaridid appendages. *Nature*, *513*, 538–542. 10. Park, T.-Y. S., Kihm, J.-H., Woo, J., Park, C., Lee, W. Y., Smith, M. P., Harper, D. A. T., Young, F., Nielsen, A. T., & Vinther, J. (2018). Brain and eyes of *Kerygmachela* reveal protocerebral ancestry of the panarthropod head. *Nature Communications*, *9*, 1019. 11. Bicknell, R. D. C., Ortega-Hernández, J., Edgecombe, G. D., Gaines, R. R., & Paterson, J. R. (2021). Central nervous system of a 310-m.y.-old horseshoe crab: Expanding the taphonomic window for nervous system preservation. *Geology*. 12. Parry, L. A., Smithwick, F., Norden, K. K., Saitta, E. T., Lozano-Fernandez, J., Tanner, A. R., Caron, J. B., Edgecombe, G. D., Briggs, D. E. G., & Vinther, J. (2018). Soft-bodied fossils are not simply rotten carcasses—Toward a holistic understanding of exceptional fossil preservation: Exceptional fossil preservation is complex and involves the interplay of numerous biological and geological processes. *BioEssays*, *40*(1), 1700167. 13. Ma, X., Edgecombe, G. D., Hou, X., Goral, T., & Strausfeld, N. J. (2015). Preservational pathways of corresponding brains of a Cambrian euarthropod. *Current Biology*, *25*(22), 2969–2975. 14. Edgecombe, G. D., Ma, X. Y., & Strausfeld, N. J. (2015). Unlocking the early fossil record of the arthropod central nervous system. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences*, *370*(1684), 20150038.

- 15. Balanoff, A. M., & Bever, G. S. (2020). The Role of Endocasts in the Study of Brain Evolution. In *Evolutionary Neuroscience* (pp. 29–49). Elsevier.
- 16. Nanglu, K., Caron, J.-B., & Cameron, C. B. (2015). Using experimental decay of modern forms

771 to reconstruct the early evolution and morphology of fossil enteropneusts.

- *Paleobiology*, *41*(3), 460–478.
- 17. Caron, J.-B., & Vannier, J. (2016). *Waptia* and the diversification of brood care in early arthropods. *Current Biology*, *26*(1), Article 1.
- 18. Liu, J., Steiner, M., Dunlop, J. A., & Shu, D. (2018). Microbial decay analysis challenges
- interpretation of putative organ systems in Cambrian fuxianhuiids. *Proceedings of the Royal Society - Biological Sciences (Series B)*, *285*(1876).
- 19. Aria, C., Zhao, F., Zeng, H., Guo, J., & Zhu, M. (2020). Fossils from South China redefine the ancestral euarthropod body plan. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, *20*, 4.
- 20. Scholtz, G. (2016). Heads and brains in arthropods: 40 years after the 'endless dispute.' In A.
- Schmidt-Rhaesa, S. Harzsch, & G. Purschke (Eds.), *Structure and evolution of*
- *invertebrate nervous systems*. Oxford University Press.
- 21. Aria, C., Caron, J.-B., & Gaines, R. (2015). A large new leanchoiliid from the Burgess Shale and
- the influence of inapplicable states on stem arthropod phylogeny. *Palaeontology*, *58*(4), 629–660.
- 22. Aria, C., & Caron, J.-B. (2015). Cephalic and limb anatomy of a new isoxyid from the Burgess
- Shale and the role of "stem bivalved arthropods" in the disparity of the frontalmost appendage. *PLoS ONE*, *10*(6), e0124979.
- 23. Parry, L., & Caron, J.-B. (2019). *Canadia spinosa* and the early evolution of the annelid nervous system. *Science Advances*, *5*(9), eaax5858.

 25. Whittington, H. B. (1975). The enigmatic animal *Opabinia regalis*, Middle Cambrian, Burgess Shale, British Columbia. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, Series*

B, *271*, 1–43.

- 26. Whittington, H. B. (1978). The lobopod animal *Aysheaia pedunculata* Walcott, Middle
- Cambrian, Burgess Shale, British Columbia. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B*, *284*(1000), 165–197.
- 27. Aria, C. (2022). The origin and early evolution of arthropods. *Biological Reviews*, brv.12864.
- 28. Butterfield, N. J. (1995). Secular distribution of Burgess-Shale-type preservation. *Lethaia*, *28*, 1–13.
- 803 29. Butterfield, N. J. (2003). Exceptional fossil preservation and the Cambrian Explosion.

Integrative and Comparative Biology, *43*, 166–177.

- 30. Gaines, R. R. (2014). Burgess Shale-type preservation and its distribution in space and time.
- *The Paleontological Society Papers*, *20*, 123–146.
- 31. Butterfield, N. J., Balthasar, U., & Wilson, L. (2007). Fossil diagenesis in the Burgess Shale. *Palaeontology*, *50*, 537–543.
- 32. Gaines, R. R., Briggs, D. E. G., & Zhao, Y. L. (2008). Cambrian Burgess Shale-type deposits
- share a common mode of fossilization. *Geology*, *36*(10), 755–758.
- 33. Aria, C., & Caron, J.-B. (2017). Burgess Shale fossils illustrate the origin of the mandibulate
- body plan. *Nature*, *545*, 89–92.
- 34. Zhai, D., Ortega-Hernández, J., Wolfe, J. M., Hou, X., Cao, C., & Liu, Y. (2019). Three-
- dimensionally preserved appendages in an early Cambrian stem-group pancrustacean. *Current Biology*, *29*(1), Article 1.
- 35. Butterfield, N. J. (2002). *Leanchoilia* guts and the interpretation of three-dimensional

structures in Burgess Shale-type fossils. *Paleobiology*, *28*, 155–171.

36. Butler, A. D., Cunningham, J. A., Budd, G. E., & Donoghue, P. C. J. (2015). Experimental

- taphonomy of *Artemia* reveals the role of endogenous microbes in mediating decay and
- fossilization. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *282*(1808),
- 20150476.
- 37. Seilacher, A. (1990). Taphonomy of fossil-lagerstätten. In D. E. G. Briggs & P. R. Crowther

(Eds.), *Palaeobiology; A Synthesis* (pp. 266–270). Blackwell Science.

 38. Allison, P. A., & Briggs, D. E. G. (1991). The taphonomy of soft-bodied animals. In S. K. Donovan (Ed.), *The Processes of Fossilization* (pp. 120–140). Belhaven Press.

39. Ortega-Hernández, J., Janssen, R., & Budd, G. E. (2017). Origin and evolution of the

- panarthropod head A palaeobiological and developmental perspective. *Arthropod Structure & Development*, *46*(3), Article 3.
-
- 40. Edgecombe, G. D. (2020). Arthropod origins: Integrating paleontological and molecular evidence. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, *51*(1), Article 1.

- 41. Scholtz, G., & Edgecombe, G. D. (2006). The evolution of arthropod heads: Reconciling
- morphological, developmental and palaeontological evidence. *Development Genes and Evolution*, *216*(7–8), Article 7–8.
- 42. Cong, P., Daley, A. C., Edgecombe, G. D., Hou, X., & Chen, A. (2016). Morphology of the
- radiodontan *Lyrarapax* from the early Cambrian Chengjiang biota. *Journal of*
- *Paleontology*, *90*(4), 663–671.

- 52. Liu, Y., Edgecombe, G. D., Schmidt, M., Bond, A. D., Melzer, R. R., Zhai, D., Mai, H., Zhang, M.,
- & Hou, X. (2021). Exites in Cambrian arthropods and homology of arthropod limb branches. *Nature Communications*, *12*(1), 4619.
- 53. Zeng, H., Zhao, F., Niu, K., Zhu, M., & Huang, D. (2020). An early Cambrian euarthropod with radiodont-like raptorial appendages. *Nature*, *588*(7836), 101–105.
- 54. Chen, J. Y., Ramsköld, L., Edgecombe, G., & Zhou, G. Q. (1995). Head segmentation in Early
- Cambrian *Fuxianhuia*: Implications for arthropod evolution. *Science*, *268*(5215), 1339– 1343.
- 55. Aria, C., Zhao, F., & Zhu, M. (2021). Fuxianhuiids are mandibulates and share affinities with total-group Myriapoda. *Journal of the Geological Society*, jgs2020-246.
- 56. Harzsch, S., & Glötzner, J. (2002). An immunohistochemical study of structure and
- development of the nervous system in the brine shrimp *Artemia salina* Linnaeus, 1758
- (Branchiopoda, Anostraca) with remarks on the evolution of the arthropod brain.
- *Arthropod Structure & Development*, *30*(4), 251–270.
- 57. Schmidt-Rhaesa, A., Harzsch, S., & Purschke, G. (2015). *Structure and Evolution of*
- *Invertebrate Nervous Systems*. Oxford University Press.
- 58. Strausfeld, N. J., Hou, X., Sayre, M. E., & Hirth, F. (2022). The lower Cambrian lobopodian
- *Cardiodictyon* resolves the origin of euarthropod brains. *Science*, *378*(6622), 905–909.
- 59. Briggs, D. E. G., & Parry, L. A. (2022). Putting heads together. *Science*, *378*(6622), 831–832.
- 60. Gabbott, S. E., Hou, X. G., Norry, M. J., & Siveter, D. J. (2004). Preservation of Early Cambrian animals of the Chengjiang biota. *Geology*, *32*, 901–904.
- 61. Forchielli, A., Steiner, M., Kasbohm, J., Hu, S., & Keupp, H. (2014). Taphonomic traits of clay-
- hosted early Cambrian Burgess Shale-type fossil Lagerstätten in South China.
- *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, *398*, 59–85.

Marrellomorpha) from the Middle Cambrian Burgess Shale, British Columbia, Canada.

Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, *43*(6), Article 6.

- 63. Mayers, B., Aria, C., & Caron, J. B. (2019). Three new naraoiid species from the Burgess
- Shale, with a morphometric and phylogenetic reinvestigation of Naraoiidae.
- *Palaeontology*, *62*, 19–50.
- 64. Göpel, T., & Wirkner, C. S. (2020). The circulatory system of *Penaeus vannamei* Boone,
- 1931—Lacunar function and a reconsideration of the "open vs. Closed system" debate.

Journal of Morphology, *281*(4–5), 500–512.

- 65. Göpel, T., & Wirkner, C. S. (2015). An "ancient" complexity? Evolutionary morphology of the
- circulatory system in Xiphosura. *Zoology*, *118*(4), 221–238.
- 66. Aria, C. (2020). Macroevolutionary patterns of body plan canalization in euarthropods.

Paleobiology, *46*(4), 569–593. Cambridge Core.

67. Mayer, G., Martin, C., Rüdiger, J., Kauschke, S., Stevenson, P. A., Poprawa, I., Hohberg, K.,

Schill, R. O., Pflüger, H.-J., & Schlegel, M. (2013). Selective neuronal staining in

- tardigrades and onychophorans provides insights into the evolution of segmental
- ganglia in panarthropods. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, *13*(1), Article 1.
- 68. Lev, O., Edgecombe, G. D., & Chipman, A. D. (2022). Serial homology and segment identity in the arthropod head. *Integrative Organismal Biology*, obac015.
- 69. Paterson, J. R., García-Bellido, D. C., Lee, M. S. Y., Brock, G. A., Jago, J. B., & Edgecombe, G. D.
- (2011). Acute vision in the giant Cambrian predator *Anomalocaris* and the origin of
- compound eyes. *Nature*, *480*(7376), 237–240.

Figure captions

 Figure 1. Explanatory diagrams for peri-intestinal preservation in Burgess-Shale type arthropods. Top: Transverse cross-sections representing different preservation types and two different original conditions for the ventral lacunar system (left and right). Bottom: Coronal sections in dorsal view corresponding to Top diagrams. A. Specimen close to time of entombment, unflattened, with phosphate-filled gut and distinct internal features, including heart (he), gut (gt), gut epithelium (ge), ventro-lateral hemocoelic cavities, part of the lacunar circulatory system (tg for "tonguelets"), ventral nerve cord (vnc) and ventral vascular system (vvs). Contrary to the vascular network, the lacunar circulatory system is not bounded by membranes and is shown in dotted lines. On the right side, intra- appendicular channels (tg) are not well developed; on the left, hemolymph channels branch out from the main lacunar cavity into endopod and exopod rami, and a third, additional cavity projects into a separate gill. On the dorsal view (bottom), depending on orientation and plane of observation, 1, 2 or 3 tonguelets might be visible. In this same view, the ventral nerve cord is here represented by transparency, but not expected to be visible. B. Specimen with limited deformation and minimal to no decay. Membranes and cavities now uniformly preserved as kerogen films, mediated by limited microbial activity. Theoretically, in some conditions, remains of the ventral nerve cord may remain isolated from the peri-intestinal carbon film (right side), although the evidence for this is debatable in fossils. Phosphate formation sometimes invades tonguelets (right side, asterisk). C. Specimen with significant decay and diagenetic alterations. The combination of these alterations produces a variety of peri-intestinal shapes (right side) that can secondarily mimic genuine branching of the hemolymph system (left side), or, to an extent, ganglia and nerves.

 Figure 2. Explanatory diagrams for general preservation in Burgess-Shale type arthropods. Top: Sagittal sections representing different preservation types of a fictional *Fortiforceps*-like megacheiran. Bottom: Coronal sections in dorsal view corresponding to Top diagrams. A. Specimen close to time of entombment, with phosphate-filled gut and distinct internal features shown as outlines. Nervous tissues in green, apatite in blue, cuticular and gut boundaries in black, sub-intestinal tonguelets in orange. Phosphate formation sometimes invades tonguelets. B. Specimen with limited deformation and minimal to no decay. Membranes and cavities now uniformly preserved as kerogen films, mediated by limited microbial activity. C. Specimen with significant decay and diagenetic alterations. Note merging of carbon films from anterior cephalic area posteriad. Disparity of tonguelet shapes is exaggerated to show range of possible shapes, a number of which is illustrated in this study: BR, branching type (here, secondary); DI, diffuse type; MCa, merged cuticular, appendicular type (peri-intestinal trace merged with cuticular outlines at base of limbs); MCt, merged cuticular, tergo-pleural type (peri-intestinal trace merged with cuticular outlines of tergo-pleurae); SP, split type. Early taphonomic phases are also commonly associated with a ventralization of the gut tract, not shown here. See Figure 1 for abbreviations; other abbreviations: ey, eye; fa, frontal appendages; mo, mouth; os, ocular sclerite.

 Figure 3. Leanchoiliid taphonomy and morphoanatomy. A-C, Diagrammatic cross- sections of a generalized leanchoiliid; nervous system in green, eyes in dark blue, simplified peri-intestinal lacunar vascular system in light blue, digestive system in red, heart in purple. A, Section at the front of great appendage insertion, showing main brain mass and optic tracts; shape of protocerebrum follows P-S; dotted line represents possible protocerebral fenestra, see text for explanations. B, Section at the back of great appendage insertion, showing looping foregut and mouth opening. C, Section through trunk, with large ventral hemocoelic system connecting to gills and exopods. D, E, *Leanchoilia* sp. 968 GRCP15007, close-up of cephalic area (see Lan et al.^[3] fig. 1A, B). Underlying horseshoe structure as in E in dashed line; circular swellings marking likely frontalmost appendage insertion in dotted lines. F, *L. superlata* ROMIP 57655, close-up of cephalic area, grayscale and inverted lightness. Post-ocular semi-circular structure as in D, E. Double arrow indicate width of branching peri-intestinal carbon pattern. See also Fig. 3A for full specimen. Picture courtesy of Jean-Bernard Caron. G, H, *Leanchoilia* sp. GRCP15009. G, Picture 974 provided from Supplementary Material in Lan et al.^[3] H, Tracing of main carbon linings in G superimposed onto the main feature signal presented in the specimen in Lan et al. fig. 2A. Arrowheads mark in: D, E, pyritised cuticular outlines; sides of the post-ocular

 structure overlapping frontalmost appendage insertion. Asterisks mark in: D, E, small swelling of interocular, pre-oral structure, possibly labral; F, G, areas in relief from each side of the buccal region, possibly related to frontalmost appendages folded under the body. Colour coding: In A-C, nervous system in green, eyes in dark blue, dorsal artery in purple, lacunar circulatory system and peri-intestinal hemocoelic cavity in light blue, digestive system in red; in H, morphological feature lining in red, cuticular folds in red dashed line, cracks in sediment layer in yellow dashed line, oesophagal/post-oesophagal area in purple. Abbreviations: ba, basipod; fai, insertion of frontal appendage; fg, foregut; mo, mouth. Scale bars: 2 mm.

 Figure 4. Taphonomic variations in the preservation of internal structures among Cambrian arthropods. A, *L. superlata* ROMIP 57655, photo courtesy of Jean-Bernard Caron. Full specimen, wet, polarized light. The branching reflective pattern (typically highly carbonaceous) surrounds the entire digestive tract, including midgut glands and is not limited to ventral pairs of closely associated swellings, like ganglia. B, C, *Alalcomenaeus* sp. YKLP 11075. B, Cephalic area from Tanaka et al.^[4] Extended Data fig. 1, with expected location of appendages based on leanchoiliid literature. C, Elemental and tomographic imagery methods capture parts of the same peri-intestinal system, which largely overlap, but do not isolate any structure identifiable with a VNC. D, *Alalcomenaeus* 996 sp. KUMIP 204782 cephalic area from Ortega-Hernández et al.^[6] fig. 2a, showing expected insertion of anterior appendages. Anterior hexagonal piece is a displaced fragment of the foregut. E, *Alalcomenaeus* sp. MCZ IP-197956 trunk section from Ortega-Hernández et 999 al.^[6] fig. 1a. Gut tract likely apatitic with broad peri-intestinal patch and subtriangular

 extensions ("tonguelets"). F, *Lyrarapax unguispinus* YKLP13305 anteriormost head 1001 region from Cong et al.^[9] fig. 2h. Internal frontal lobes lie on top of the base of the eye stalks, and lateral extensions of putative brain are not optical nerve tracts. Double red lines indicate connection of the eyes with the eye stalks. G, H, *Actaeus armatus* holotype USNM 155597. G, Anteriormost portion of the cephalon, wet specimen with cross-polarized lighting. Note cuticular collapse in right frontalmost appendage and widespread fold lines. H, Same as P with low brightness and enhanced contrast. Arrowheads mark in: A, peri- intestinal tonguelet traces with various shapes; E, probable patches of apatite; G, cuticular collapse. Asterisks mark in: E, circum-intestinal carbon trace. Abbreviations: c*n*, cephalic appendage *n*; es, eye stalk; fai, insertion of frontal appendage; fl, frontal lobe; gt, gut tract; le, lateral eye; PC, protocerebrum; tg, sub-intestinal tonguelet; vr, various remains. Scale bars: 2 mm.

Figure 5. Disambiguation between latero-ventral tonguelets and putative nervous remains.

 A, B, G, *Waptia fieldensis.* A, ROMIP 64287, latero-oblique view, close-up of cephalothoracic area. B, ROMIP 64295, dorsal view, close-up of thoracic area. C, D, *Kerygmachela kirkegaardi*, from the lower Cambrian Sirius Passet, frontal area showing phosphatized muscular pharynx and distinct nervous tissues. C, MGUH 32048, high-angle polarized lighting. D, MGUH 32049, wavelength dispersive X-ray elemental map of carbon. E, F, *Yawunik kootenayi* ROMIP 63067. E, Close-up of cephalic area, inverted brightness. F, Inset in R. G, USNM 138231, close-up of frontal area, with putative brain remains. H, Tracing of main carbon linings in the frontal area of GRCP15009

 superimposed onto the main feature signal presented in the specimen in Lan et al. fig. 2A ^[3] I, Separate interpretative drawing, showing conjectural neural configuration. Line colour coding: In H, morphological feature lining in red, cuticular folds in red dashed line, cracks in sediment layer in yellow dashed line, oesophagal/post-oesophagal area in purple; in I, digestive tract in blue, gut epithelium in magenta, speculative neural tissues in green, with denser carbon masses as disks or ellipses, and tonguelet/lacunar system in orange, cuticular folds in grey. Arrowheads mark in: A, filaments projecting from an intestinal swelling; F, putative optical nerve tracts; G, H, separate rami of the appendages. Asterisks mark in: G, I, stomodaeum or protocerebral foramen. Abbreviations: DC, deutocerebrum; fo, frontal organ; gt, gut; le, lateral eye; me, median eye; nv, nerve; PC; ph, pharynx; oe, oesophagus; tg, sub-intestinal tonguelet; vs, visual surface. Scale bars: A, B, 1 mm; C, 5 mm; D-H, 2 mm.

 Figure 6. Circum-digestive preservation and anatomical identity. A, E, *Alalcomenaeus cambricus*. A, ROMIP 45613, Close-up of middle section of trunk, showing circum- intestinal black pattern with dorsal limb extensions. B-D, *Alalcomenaeus* sp. ROMIP 62968. B, D, Close-ups of middle section of trunk with parallel (B) and perpendicular (D) polarization, showing carbonaceous preservation of proximal gill bundles (white reflective areas in D). The gut tract is preserved as apatite (black in D, tridimensional), and additional apatitic subintestinal elements may represent distal extensions of the hemocoelic cavities invading the exopods. C, Close-up of gill bundle in B. E, ROMIP 45616, photograph with inverted brightness, showing web pattern of denser kerogen or kerogen-coated features, including eyes, gut outline, possible gill bundles, tergite boundaries, and long, ramified

 filamentous elements invading the endopods. Arrows point to diffuse reflective areas inside endopods. Asterisk indicates endopod whose reflective lining clearly represents cuticular boundaries. F, *Molaria spinifera* USNM 57688, photographed with perpendicular polarization, showing patterns similar to E. Here, an outstanding carbonaceous trace also runs through the long telson, and ends relatively widely. G, H, *Mollisonia plenovenatrix* 1051 ROMIP 65015, wet specimen; see Aria and Caron^[1] for details of the counterpart. G, Cross- polarized light, showing broad peri-intestinal reflective area with bifurcating ventral tonguelets. H, Direct light. Arrowheads point to in: A, tonguelet extensions on the dorsal margin of exopods; B, D, apatitic fragments, likely from distal portions of tonguelets; C, finger-shaped gill bundle elements; E, F, various carbonaceous linings, G, H, tonguelet bifurcations corresponding to split appendicular lobes. Abbreviations: gt; gut tract; pic, peri-intestinal cavity; tg, tonguelet; xpl, primary lobe of exopod; xtl, tertiary lobe of exopod. Scale bars: A, G, H, 2.5 mm; B, D, 1 mm; C, 0.25 mm; E, F, 5 mm.

 Figure 7. Synthetic diagram of proposed methodological criteria for fossilized CNS disambiguation. Abbreviations: IDed, identified.

 Figure 8. Revised summary of arguable paleoneurological evidence among early arthropods. An expanded brain appears to emerge with Arthropoda. A complex visual system involving three optic neuropils could be present at least in the common ancestor of euarthropods. The identity of the main brain mass in *Kerygmachela* relative to more 1067 derived taxa is uncertain, as it may correspond to a "metaprotocerebrum"^[70,27,68]. 1068 Phylogenetic relationships based on ref.^[55], nomenclature based on ref.^[71]. On brain

 configuration diagrams (top): protocerebral structures in light green, deutocerebral structures in dark green, stomodaeum in blue and magenta. On fossil diagrams (middle): Nervous tissues in green, digestive tract in blue-grey, appendicular outlines in grey, all other cuticular outlines in black; numbers represent optic neuropils. Curved arrows denote cases where frontal appendage innervation is likely affected by topology and runs beneath the rest of the brain, potentially creating compression artefacts. See Supplementary Figure 2 for enlarged diagrams. Abbreviations: APc, anterior protocerebrum; da, deutocerebral appendage; Dc, deutocerebrum; n, optic neuropil; pc, main protocerebral commissure; MPc, median protocerebrum; so, sensory organ; st, stomodaeum; T.-G., total-group (evolutionary group including extinct and extant representatives of a lineage).

 Supplementary Discussion. Complementary comments on BST mineralization and recommendations regarding interpretations of fossilized putative nervous systems.

 Supplementary Figure 1. Anatomical complexity of organ systems exemplified by extant crustaceans. A, B. Histological sections through the anterior part of *Vargula hilgendorfii* (Crustacea, Ostracoda) showing the circulatory and nervous (peri-oesophageal ring) systems in A and part of the hemolymphatic network in B (see sections location in C). C. Lateral view of an adult female of *Vargula hilgendorfii*. D. Idealized section showing hemolymph circulation (blue arrows). E, F. Lateral view of *Nebalia bipes* (Crustacea, Leptostraca) and details of foliaceous thoracopods (carapace removed). G-I, *Dahlella caldarensis* (Crustacea, Leptostraca), thoracopods in transmitted light showing afferent (red arrows) and efferent (blue arrows) hemolymph canals and sinuses running through thoracopods. A and B are microtome paraffin sections stained with hematoxylin and eosin. F is an SEM image. Extant arthropods have an extensive network of vessels and internal hemocoelic cavities filled with hemolymph. Their "open" circulatory system consists of the vascular system, made of dorsal heart and arteries (e.g. aorta) that deliver 1096 hemolymph to various body regions, and of the relatively understudied lacunar system^[64]. The cardiovascular unit pumps hemolymph into the lacunar system that bathes all tissues and organs and supplies them with oxygen and nutrients. Oxygen is transported by the metalloproteins hemocyanin or hemoglobin circulating in the hemolymph from gas exchange areas, such as gills in marine taxa, or from direct aerial openings in terrestrial tracheate arthropods, to the heart. The supramillimetric size of most Cambrian arthropods implies the presence of a comparable circulatory system without which the distribution of oxygen and nutrients to tissues would be impossible. Abbreviations are as follows: ac, afferent channel; ao, aorta; ap, appendage; ec, efferent channel; ft, foliaceous thoracopods; hc, hemocyte; he, heart; mu, muscle; my, myocardium; nt, neural tissue; oe, oesophagus; por, peri-oesophageal ring. Scales bars: A, B, H, 100 µm; C, E, 1 mm; F, 500 µm; G, 200 µm; H, 100 µm; I, 50 µm.

 Supplementary Figure 2. Early arthropod diagrams with arguable neural tissue evidence. A, *Kerygmachela*. B, Generalized anomalocaridid. C, Leanchoiliid. D, *Mollisonia*. E, Waptiid. F, Fuxianhuiid. Nervous tissues in green, digestive tract in blue- grey, appendicular outlines in grey, all other cuticular outlines in black; numbers represent optic neuropils. Numbers count optic neuropils. Abbreviations: pc, main protocerebral commissure; st, stomodaeum.

 Supplementary Figure 3. Anterior region of *Cardiodictyon catenulum* **(from** 1117 **Strausfeld et al.**^[58]). A-C, YKLP11419. D-F, YKLP11418. G-I, YKLP11434. A, D, G, original plain light photographs. B, E, H, same as A, D, G with color desaturation, except 1119 for the magenta-purple range. C, F, I, isolated magenta-purple coloration from B, E, H on black background. Yellow dashed lines represent cracks or major relief over the fossil. Other arrows and annotations are from original publication. Note broad variations in staining patterns within and among specimens, and how patterns in the head are affected by cracks and relief. The "eye" is another crack through the superficial oxidized layer and not a clear biological feature. Scale bars in A and G: 0.5mm. Scale bar for YKLP11418 missing in original publication.