

#### Loss-driven sampling for online neural network training with large scale simulations

Sofya Dymchenko, Bruno Raffin

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Sofya Dymchenko, Bruno Raffin. Loss-driven sampling for online neural network training with large scale simulations. LIG PhD day 2023, Sep 2023, Grenobe, France. pp.1-1, 2023. hal-04305159

#### HAL Id: hal-04305159 https://hal.science/hal-04305159

Submitted on 24 Nov 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

# Loss-driven sampling for online NN training with large scale simulations



## Context

We want to advance applications of DL to sciences by **improving online training** techniques. DataMove team contributed with **DeepMelissa framework** for large-scale deep surrogate training on supercomputers.



## Method

Hypothesis

High loss  $\implies$  difficult sample to learn  $\implies$  region<sup>\*</sup> needs to be fed to neural network

## Adaptive sampling

- First batch is sampled uniformly. Loop-process:
- 1) NN provides loss values statistic per batch-point
- 2) Select points w.r.t. **distribution** calculated as **normalised loss**

R = 0.4

3) Next batch is sampled from their **Gaussian neighbourhoods** 

R = 1.0	1.0	
	1.0	

\*We assume roughly that region of inputs is close to region of outputs. **Formalization:** Let *f* be a simulation program.

 $f(\theta) = y_{\theta}$ 

where  $\theta = \{\theta^{(0)}, \ldots, \theta^{(d_{in}-1)}\} \in$  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is a set of simulation input parameters coming from prior, and  $y_{\theta} = \{y^{(0)}, \dots, y^{(t)}\} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{out} \times t}$  is a simulation output sample, and  $t \in$  $[0, T], T \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Roughly, in toy example  $\theta = y^{(0)}$ and  $f(\theta) = y^{(1)}$ . Surrogate  $f_w$  is a neural network which learns data generated by *f*.  $f_w(\theta) = \hat{y}_{\theta}$ 

## Motivation

- **Avoidance of I/O bottleneck**: with file-free processing high-dimensional data is given "on-the-fly".
- **Infinite data stream**: no limitations for generalization.
- Ability to control data stream: choice of data to sample can be explicit rather than random. Training can be more data-efficient. But how to choose?

## **Problem statement**





#### dist $(f_w(\theta), f(\theta)) \rightarrow_w 0$

The goal is:

**Probability distributions:** Prior  $p(\theta)$ Likelihood  $p(y|\theta)$ Posterior  $p(\theta|y)$ Main struggle with simulations – they are not probabilistic and there is no direct access to its outputs.

#### **Toy experiment variations**

- predict trajectory: output is  $\{(x, y)\}_k$
- surjective simulation - less different inputs give surface: different outputs (imagine long pit)
- several extreme saddle points: mimics molecular exploration problem

#### **Related works**: • RAR algorithm • Evo algorithm

#### Future studies:

- trajectory prediction, t -> t+1
- experiments for not toy examples (physics inspired, i.e. room heat, turbulent flows, lorenz system)

**Simulation**: program that returns outputs by given inputs, e.g. initial state of water flow in tube and t-state.

**Neural network**: any NN that uses data from simulation, *e.g.* a surrogate that imitates simulation.

**Sampler**: takes inputs from defined prior distribution, *e.g.* uniform distribution. Can we do better?

### Experiments

The **simulation** gives closest minima by running **gradient descent** on the fixed surface. Current fixed surface is two imbalanced pits.



- Bayesian Experimental Optimal Design for implicit models for finding a sequence of new input parameters to run simulation with.

- Simulation Inference Based techniques for learning of
- likelihood/posterior simulation data space.
- explore Reinforcement Learning models for "exploring and exploiting" data space.

DNN: linear 2x64, ReLU, linear 64x32, LeakyReLU, linear 32x16, LeakyReLU, linear 16x8, ReLU, linear 8x2 (2954 par-s).

Loss: HuberLoss (MSE+MAE)

## Conclusion

★ Overall **speed-up** in convergence **★** Significantly **increased** prediction accuracy

Results





predict



#### for "hard area"





# DataNove

Mouvement de données pour le calcul haute performance

Laboratoire d'Informatique de Grenoble

2023