

Tetra-n-butylammonium decatungstate supported on Fe 3 O 4 nanoparticles: a novel nano-catalyst for green synthesis of nitroso compounds

Peng Cheng, Mohamed Sarakha, Christine Mousty, Pierre Bonnet, Gilles

Mailhot

▶ To cite this version:

Peng Cheng, Mohamed Sarakha, Christine Mousty, Pierre Bonnet, Gilles Mailhot. Tetra-nbutylammonium decatungstate supported on Fe 3 O 4 nanoparticles: a novel nano-catalyst for green synthesis of nitroso compounds. Catalysis Science & Technology, 2023, 13 (4), pp.1000-1008. 10.1039/d2cy01862d. hal-04301268

HAL Id: hal-04301268 https://hal.science/hal-04301268

Submitted on 22 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Tetra-n-butylammonium decatungstate supported on				
2	Fe ₃ O ₄ nanoparticles: a novel nano-catalyst for green				
3	synthesis of nitroso compounds				
4					
4					
5	Peng Cheng, Mohamed Sarakha, Christine Mousty, Pierre Bonnet and Gilles Mailhot*				
6	Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS, Clermont Auvergne INP, Institut de Chimie de				
7	Clermont Ferrand (ICCF) UMR 6296, BP 80026, F-63171, Aubière cedex, France				
8	* Corresponding author. E-mail address: Gilles.Mailhot@uca.fr.				
9					
10					
11					

12 Abstract

The aim of this study was to prepare a Fe₃O₄/tetra-n-butylammonium decatungstate 13 (TBADT) catalyst (M-DT) for the selective oxidation of aromatic amine compounds in the 14 15 presence of hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) The material M-DT, prepared from the interactions between TBADT and Fe₃O₄, was fully characterized by Fourier infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), 16 X-ray diffraction (XRD), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), scanning electron 1718 microscopy (SEM), energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) and, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). Electron transfers between H₂O₂ and TBADT or Fe₃O₄ or M-DT were 19 studied by cyclic voltammetry (CV) using carbon-modified electrodes. The optimized 20 system 21 $M-DT/H_2O_2$ catalytic can effectively oxidize sulfapyridine (SPD) to nitroso-sulfapyridine (N-SPD) in the pH range of 2.5 to 4.6 with an efficient (93%) and 22 selective conversion (86%). The oxidation rate constant $(1.20 \times 10^{-2} \text{ min}^{-1})$ is much higher 23 with M-DT than with TBADT $(2.09 \times 10^{-4} \text{ min}^{-1})$ or Fe₃O₄ $(4.81 \times 10^{-4} \text{ min}^{-1})$ alone using 5 24 mM H₂O₂ as a co-catalyst. This M-DT catalyst can be reused 6 times with high efficiency and 25 selectivity. 26

27 **Keywords:** TBADT; Fe₃O₄; H₂O₂; Amine oxidation; Electron transfer process.

28 **1. Introduction**

Nitroso compounds are known to be highly valuable chemical intermediates, which are 29 versatile building blocks in polymer, dyes, agrochemicals, and pharmaceutical industries¹. 30 Nitroso compounds can be prepared easily from inexpensive starting materials². Among the 31 preparation methods, catalytic oxidation of primary amines appears to be one of the widely 32 used methods ^{3–5}. In the last years, the most popular methodology to prepare nitroso 33 compounds was the transition metal based catalyzed, with tungsten (W) ^{2,6}, molybdenum (Mo) 34 ^{1,7}, vanadium (V) ⁷, zirconium (Zr) ⁸ and gold (Au) ⁹ as metals, in combination with H_2O_2 . 35 H_2O_2 , known as a milder oxidant, is widely used in "green synthesis" since H_2O and O_2 36 represent the main reaction products ^{2,10}. However, the production of products such as azo or 37 azo derivatives is the main reason for the low selectivity of this catalytic oxidation process ¹¹. 38 Nowadays, improving the high activity and selectivity attracts more attention, and 39 immobilization of transition metal catalysts on solid support became an interesting strategy ^{6,9}. 40 Moreover, this catalyst has the potential to be recycled and reused several times. Tungstate 41 42 catalysts proved to be efficient catalysts, for example, tungstate-supported silica-coated Fe₃O₄ 43 nanoparticles prepared by Jadidi Nejad, et al. can be reused up to 6 times in presence of H₂O₂ to prepare nitroso compounds in a yield of roughly 70% 2 . 44

Decatungstate (DT) is a catalyst commonly used for organic selective synthesis, especially in photo-catalyzed oxidation ¹². A variety of methods have been developed to prepare heterogeneous DT photocatalysts ^{12–16}. Considering the selection of the support material, a previous study proved that the interaction exists between iron oxide and tungstate oxide ¹⁷. Rakshit et, al. proved that tungsten forms inner-sphere-type bonds on hematite surfaces using in situ ATR-FTIR ¹⁸. In addition, previous studies illustrated that this interaction can make the electron more easily transfer from W^{6+} sites to Fe^{3+17} and can be affected by pH ¹⁹. Based on the well-comprehension of interaction between these two species, we sed, in the present study, nanoparticles of Fe_3O_4 (M) as a support of TBADT to synthesize a $Fe_3O_4/TBADT$ (M-DT) composite material. We used M-DT to obtain a catalytic system to selectively oxidize sulfapyridine (SPD) to nitroso-sulfapyridine (N-SPD) in the presence of

², the M-DT catalyst was innovatively prepared by directly linking TBADT on the Fe₃O₄ surface via the strong interaction between the W element and Fe element. Therefore, it is not necessary to coat the Fe₃O₄ with silica first, and the process of catalyst preparation is relatively simple. In addition, the mechanism research of this catalytic system is very interesting, and there are not many studies on the mechanism of this kind of catalytic system.

H₂O₂. Compared with tungstate-supported silica-coated Fe₃O₄ prepared by Jadidi Nejad, et al

62 Hence, in this study, i) to investigate the mechanism of the M-DT/H₂O₂ catalytic system, the catalytic materials were characterized by XRD, FTIR, TEM, SEM, EDS, and XPS. The 63 electron transfer between H₂O₂ and M-DT catalyst was studied by the CV experiment. ii) to 64 identify the produced species, NMR, HPLC, and HRMs/UHPLC were used. iii) to optimize 65 the efficiency and selectivity of the oxidation of the substrate to nitroso compound in the 66 M-DT/H₂O₂ system, catalytic oxidation of SPD to N-SPD was investigated as a function of 67 68 various parameters, such as the ratio of TBADT and Fe₃O₄ in M-DT, the amount of M-DT, the pH, and the concentrations of H_2O_2 . 69

70 2. Experimental

56

71 **2.1. Materials**

Sulfapyridine (SPD) (\geq 99 %), sodium tungstate (Na₂WO₄·2H₂O), tetra-n-butylammonium bromide (TBABr), hydrochloric acid (HCl), H₂O₂ (30%), perchloric acid (HClO₄), iron (III) chloride (FeCl₃·6H₂O), iron(II) chloride (FeCl₂·4H₂O) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and used without any further purifications. Water (Milli-Q) was purified using a reverse osmosis RIOS 5 and Synergy (Millipore) device. Its resistivity was 18 MΩ cm and the dissolved organic carbon (DOC) was estimated to be < 0.1 mg L⁻¹.

78 2.2. Preparation of TBADT, Fe₃O₄, and M-DT

The preparation of TBADT was performed using the method described elsewhere ²⁰. A 79 boiling sodium tungstate solution (8 g of Na₂WO₄·2H₂O dissolved in 100 mL of ultrapure 80 water) was added into 16.75 mL boiling hydrochloric acid (3.0 M). The temperature was 81 maintained at 95 °C for 5 min till the color of the solution changed from colorless to green. 82 Then, the addition of an aqueous solution (95 °C) of tetra-n-butylammonium bromide 83 (TBABr 6.4 g/10 mL) leads to the immediate formation of a white precipitate. The mixture 84 was kept under stirring at 95 °C for 5 min. This suspension was then filtered after cooling at 85 ambient temperature. The solid was dissolved into dichloromethane, and then the solution was 86 filtered to remove the insoluble particles. Finally, the obtained dichloromethane solution was 87 gently evaporated at 38 °C to obtain the yellow-green catalyst. Nanoparticles of Fe₃O₄ were 88 prepared by the procedure reported in the literature 21 . 89

Fe₃O₄/TBADT solid, taking M-DT₂₋₁ as an example, was prepared by the following procedure. A mixture of 1.0 g TBADT and 2.0 g Fe₃O₄ was vigorously stirred in 10.0 mL acetonitrile. The reaction vessel was then kept in the dark for 20 hours at ambient temperature. After centrifugation, the solid was washed with Milli-Q water three times and then dried in the oven at 50 °C. Different ratios of Fe₃O₄/TBADT (20/1, 10/1, 5/1, 2/1) were tested to prepare various M-DT using a similar process with an equivalent quantity of Fe₃O₄ (2.0 g).

97 **2.3. Characterization**

To characterize the purity of the synthesized TBADT, the UV-Vis absorption spectra of 98 TBADT dissolved in acetonitrile (CH₃CN) were obtained using a Varian Cary 300 UV-vis 99 100 spectrophotometer (Fig. S1). The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of TBADT, Fe₃O₄, and M-DT₂₋₁ (before and after the reaction) were collected using an X-ray diffractometer 101 (PANalytical X'Pert Pro) with a diffracted beam monochromator Cu Ka source (40 kV-40 102 mA). Patterns were recorded from $2\theta = 5$ to 70° , in steps of 0.08° with a count time of 4 103 seconds. The FTIR spectra of TBADT, Fe₃O₄, and M-DT₂₋₁ were recorded with 104 Thermo-Nicolet 380 spectrometer with an advanced diamond ATR accessory (Specac-Golden 105 106 Gate). For the characterization of the morphology and the size of particles of TBADT, Fe₃O₄, and M-DT₂₋₁, the samples were suspended in ethanol and dropped onto a 400-mesh holey 107 carbon-coated copper grid and dried at room temperature, and then analyzed by transmission 108 109 electron microscopy (TEM, Hitachi H-7650) at 80 kV acceleration voltage with magnifications up to 200×000. With the objective to check whether TBADT is uniformly 110 covered on the surface of nano-Fe₃O₄, an element mapping experiment coupled with scanning 111 112 electron microscopy analysis (SEM-EDS) was performed on a JEOL JSM-6490LV microscope. X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) was performed on a Physical 113 Electronics/PHI 5300 X-ray photoelectron spectrometer (S 250 Germany) with a 114 hemispherical analyzer and a single-channel detector that was operated at 300W (15 kV and 115

20 mA). Mg Kα radiation (1253.6 eV) and pass energies of 89.45 eV for survey scans and
177 eV for high-resolution scans were used. The BET (Brunauer, Emmett, and Teller)
surface area of the materials was determined by a Quantachrome Nova 3000e Surface Area
Analyzer.

120 **2.4. Catalytic tests: SPD oxidation**

The conversion of SPD and the formation yield of its corresponding nitroso compound 121 122 were performed in a batch experiment at room temperature (roughly 25°C). 20 mg M-DT was added into the 50 mL of SPD solution (30 μ M). The pH of the solution was adjusted to 3.0 \pm 1230.05 using perchloric acid and sodium hydroxide (0.1 M). H₂O₂ was added using a known 124 volume of a concentrated solution, and so with negligible volume, prior to starting the 125reaction using the magnetic stirrer at 1100 rpm min⁻¹ to disperse Fe₃O₄ particles. Then, 126 aliquots of the mixture were taken at different time intervals and were immediately filtrated 127 using PTFE filters of 0.2 µm (CHROMAFIL[®] Xtra RC-20/25, 25 mm, Macherey-Nagel). 128 The effect of the ratio of Fe₃O₄/TBADT in M-DT (20/1, 10/1, 5/1, and 2/1), pH (1.9, 2.5, 129

130 3.0, 4.0, 4.6, 5.2, and 7.2), H_2O_2 concentration (1, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, and 50 mM), and M-DT

131 concentrations (0.1, 0.2, 0.4, and 1.2 g L^{-1}) was also investigated.

132 2.5. Preparative LC, UHPLC-MS, HPLC, and NMR Spectroscopy

Preparative liquid chromatography (LC) was used to separate and purify N-SPD from the reaction mixture. This separation was obtained using preparative LC (Varian Prep Star 218) equipped with a Varian Pro Star 335 photodiode array detector and an autosampler. The column was a C18 Varian Dynamax Microsorb 60-8 column (250 mm \times 41.4 mm, 8 μ m 137 particle size). The identification of all the byproducts was performed using high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) constituted of an Orbitrap QExactive (Thermo Scientific) 138 coupled to an ultra-high performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC) instrument Ultimate 139 140 3000 RSLC (Thermo Scientific). Analyses were carried out in both negative and positive electrospray modes (ESI⁺ and ESI⁻). The conversion of SPD and the formation of N-SPD 141 percentages were obtained by HPLC (Shimadzu NEXERA XR HPL) equipped with a 142 143 photodiode array detector and an auto-sampler. The column was a Macherey Nagel EC 150/2 NUCLEODUR C18ec (150 mm \times 2 mm, 2 µm particle size). All the elution conditions of 144those LC are given in SI. 145

146 **2.6. NMR spectroscopy**

For the characterization and also quantification of the products, NMR spectroscopy was used. 100 μ L at 5.22 mM of 3-(Trimethylsilyl) propionic acid-d₄ sodium salt (TSPd₄) in D₂O solution as a reference was added in 900 μ L concentrated pure N-SPD solution. NMR data were obtained with a Bruker Advance III 500 MHz UltrashieldTM plus spectrometer (equipped with 5 mm TCI-13C-15N-2H Prodigy Cryoprobe). All spectra were recorded in 600 μ L of H₂O (10% D₂O). Chemical shifts (δ) are expressed in ppm relative to the residual peak of 4.79 (ppm) of water.

154 **2.7. Electrochemical measurement**

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) experiments were carried out with a potentiostat Autolab PGSTAT204 controlled by the NOVA software (Metrohm) equipped with a three-electrodes system. An Ag-AgCl electrode was used as the reference electrode and a platinum wire as the 158 auxiliary electrode. The working electrodes were pyrolytic graphite electrodes (PGE, A =0.07 cm²) modified with a TBADT coating (**TBADT/PGE**), a Fe₃O₄ coating (**M/PGE**), and 159 an M-DT₂₋₁ coating (M-DT₂₋₁/PGE) prepared by a solvent casting method. Before its use, the 160 161 PGE electrode surface was polished with 1 µm diamond paste and washed with acetone and then it was polished again with 0.04 µm alumina slurry to be finally rinsed with ethanol and 162water. To prepare the TBADT/PGE. 10 µL TBADT solution was deposited onto the polished 163PGE and then air dried for 120 min. The M/PGE was also prepared using a solvent casting 164 method 22 . A suspension was prepared with 80 mg of Fe₃O₄ dispersed in 1.0 mL ethanol by 165 sonication for approximately 30 minutes. Then 10 µL Nafion solution (5%, Sigma Aldrich) 166 was added in the 1.0 mL Fe₃O₄ suspension followed by sonication for more than 15 min. 10 167µL of the slurry was deposited onto the polished PGE and then air dried for 120 minutes. 168Finally, for the M-DT/PGE, a suspension was prepared in a centrifuge tube with 40.0 mg of 169170TBADT and 80.0 mg of Fe₃O₄ (M-DT₂₋₁) dispersed in 1.0 mL acetonitrile for 24 hours on a rotating reactor (45 rpm min⁻¹) at ambient temperature. After centrifugation, the solid was 171washed with acetonitrile and Milli-Q water three times and then dry in the oven at 50 °C. 172After getting the fresh M-DT, 1 mL ethanol was added in this centrifuge tube with sonication 173for approximately 30 min, then 10 µL Nafion solution was added in the 1.0 mL M-DT₂₋₁ 174suspension followed by sonication for 30 min more. 10 µL of the slurry was deposited onto 175 176the polished PGE and then air dried for 120 minutes. Cyclic voltammograms were recorded with the TBADT/PGE, M/PGE or M-DT₂₋₁/PGE modified electrodes in a 0.1 M LiClO₄ 177electrolyte solution (at pH 3.5) in the presence or absence of H_2O_2 (5 mM) at a scan rate (v) of 17810 mV s⁻¹ under inert atmosphere. To avoid interference with O_2 reduction, the electrolyte 179

solution was bubbling with argon for 30 minutes prior to the CV experiments. The stability of the modified electrodes was studied during several cycles, and the CVs shown corresponded to the 10^{th} cycle. The recyclability of an M-DT/PGE electrode was also studied under the same conditions, each time with a fresh LiClO₄ electrolyte solution.

184 **3. Results and discussion**

185 3.1. Characterization of TBADT, Fe₃O₄, and M-DT₂₋₁

Powder-XRD was used to characterize the solid compounds, Fe₃O₄, TBADT, and M-DT₂₋₁. 186 As shown in Fig. 1, the XRD pattern of Fe_3O_4 (curve a) presents several diffraction lines at 187 $2\theta = 18.44^{\circ}$, 30.30° , 35.67° , 37.18° , 43.32° , 53.78° , 57.29° , 62.95° . These are in perfect 188 agreement with those reported in a standard pattern of PDF-#89-6466 (Shown in Fig. 2) 189 leading us to the conclusion that Fe_3O_4 is successfully synthesized. The curve b represents 190 the XRD pattern of TBADT, which shows two significant peaks at 7.33° and 7.62° and 191 several small peaks from 5° to 40°, which is guite similar to the previous study 23 . For the 192 XRD pattern of M-DT₂₋₁ (curve c), the characteristic peaks of Fe_3O_4 and TBADT can be 193 observed, which means TBADT is successfully attached to the surface of Fe₃O₄ particles. In 194 addition, the intensity of TBADT in M-DT₂₋₁, after it has been used as a catalyst in the 195 oxidation reaction (curve d), does not decrease, which seems to indicate that the 196 immobilization of TBADT is quite stable on the surface of Fe₃O₄. While the interaction 197 198 between Fe₃O₄ and TBADT is not shown in XRD patterns, further characterizations by FTIR and XPS are performed, and the results are presented in the SI file (Fig S2, S3, Tables S1, 199S2, and S3). These results illustrate that there is a strong interaction between TBADT and 200

Fe₃O₄, moreover, the chemical composition of Fe₃O₄ has not significantly changed after M-DT₂₋₁ synthesis and after the reaction.





203

The morphology and particle sizes of those materials were analyzed by TEM. Fig. S4 205shows the TEM images of Fe₃O₄ (a), TBADT (b), and the M-DT₂₋₁ before (c) and after 206 reaction (d). Fe₃O₄ has a globosity morphology with particle diameters ranging from 20 to 40 207 nm. This leads us to the conclusion that we are dealing with a nano-Fe₃O₄ material which 208 presents more likely a large surface area (BET surface area = $74 \pm 1 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$). TBADT presents 209 a short rod-like morphology with a length of 100 nm (Fig. S4(b)). The morphology of 210M-DT₂₋₁ is very similar to the morphology of Fe₃O₄, the short rod-like TBADT is not 211observed in the M-DT₂₋₁. This is because in the preparation process of the M-DT₂₋₁, TBADT 212is first dissolved in acetonitrile, and then individual clusters of TBADT will be wrapped on 213 the surface of the Fe₃O₄ through an inner-sphere type bond. In addition, the morphology of 214

M-DT₂₋₁ does not change after the reaction (**Fig. S4(c)**), which confirms that the M-DT₂₋₁ has a certain degree of durability.

To verify whether TBADT is uniformly covered on the surface of nano-Fe₃O₄, SEM-EDS 217 218 element mapping technology is used to visually study the distribution of elements. Fig. 2 shows the SEM image and SEM-EDS element mapping of M-DT₂₋₁ before and after the 219 reaction. The elements such as Fe and W have the same distribution both in the M-DT₂₋₁ 220 221 before and after the reaction, indicating that TBADT is evenly distributed on the surface of nano-Fe₃O₄. After overlapping the W and Fe distribution images, we can infer that M-DT₂₋₁ 222 has a core-shell structure with Fe₃O₄ as the core and TBADT wrapped in the outer layer. In 223 addition, after the catalytic reaction, the amount of W element does not decrease (about 3 224 225 atomic percent, shown in **Fig. 2**), which confirmed the stability of the $M-DT_{2-1}$ catalyst.



226

Fig. 2. SEM image and SEM-EDS element mapping of M-DT₂₋₁ before (A) and after reaction

228

229 **3.2. Electrochemical behavior of TBADT, Fe₃O₄, and M-DT₂₋₁**

To study the possible electron transfer between those materials and H_2O_2 , we have compared the electrochemical behavior of TBADT deposited on a pyrolytic carbon electrode (**TBADT/PGE**), Fe₃O₄ modified electrode (**M/PGE**), and the composite M-DT₂₋₁ modified electrode (**M-DT₂₋₁/PGE**) in the absence and the presence of H_2O_2 by CV experiment.

Fig. 3 shows cyclic voltammograms of TBADT/PGE (A) and M-DT₂₋₁/PGE (B) in the absence and presence of H_2O_2 . The dashed line represents the baseline recorded at the bare PGE in 0.1 mM LiClO₄ electrolyte at pH 3.5 under argon, showing no interference with oxygen reduction.



Fig. 3. Cyclic voltammograms of A) TBADT/PGE and B) M-DT₂₋₁/PGE in the absence (a and b) or presence of 5 mM H₂O₂ (a', b') in 0.1 M LiClO₄ (pH = 3.5) under Ar, v = 10 mV s⁻¹. The dashed line (curve c) corresponds to the PGE baseline in 0.1 M LiClO₄ pH 3.5 under Ar.

242 The CV of TBADT/PGE (Fig. 3(A), curve a) exhibits two reversible signals. The potential

of the first cathodic peak (Ep_{c1} = -0.18 V/Ag-AgCl) and the second one (Ep_{c2} = -0.37 V/Ag-AgCl) are consistent with the values reported in the literature for sodium decatungstate (NaDT) in aqueous solution ^{24,25}, while Yang, et al reported the first cathodic at 0.06 V/SCE for TBADT dissolved in a mixed solvent (10% HCl (12 M) + 90 % CH₃CN) ²⁶, which is due to the different polarity of the solvent ²⁷. The peak separation ($^{\Delta}E = Ep_c-Ep_a$) is 60 mV for the first and the second redox couples, showing good reversibility of the electron transfer which can be assigned to the following reactions:

250
$$W_{10}O_{32}^{4-} + H^+ + e^- \rightleftharpoons HW_{10}O_{32}^{4-}$$
 (1)

251
$$HW_{10}O_{32}^{4-} + H^{+} + e^{-} \rightleftharpoons H_2W_{10}O_{32}^{4-}$$
 (2)

Curve **a'** in **Fig. 3(A)** corresponds to the electrochemical signal of TBADT in the presence of 5 mM H₂O₂. The shape of the voltammograms changes when H₂O₂ is added to the electrolyte solution. Although the two cathodic peaks are not obvious, the current intensity of these two peaks seems to increase slightly (current at Ep_{c1} = -0.18V and Ep_{c1} = -0.37V are -0.43 µA and-1.10 µA, respectively), while the corresponding anodic peak current decreases.

Curve b in Fig. 3(B) represents the CV of the M-DT₂₋₁/PGE in 0.1 mM LiClO₄ electrolyte 257at pH 3.5 under argon. A cathodic peak can be observed at $Ep_{c1} = -0.23$ V/Ag-AgCl ($I_{c1} = -2.77$ 258 μ A). The presence of H₂O₂ in the electrolyte causes an increase of the cathodic current (Ip_{c1}× 259approximately 2 times) and the appearance of a second irreversible peak at $Ep_{c2} = -0.48$ 260V/Ag-AgCl (curve b'). The shape of those catalytic waves is similar to those we have 261 previously reported using M/PGE and NaDT in solution. Even if the peak intensities cannot 262be compared directly, since the same amount of DT was not deposited on both electrode 263surfaces, it was notable that the catalytic current obtained in the presence of H₂O₂ at 264

M-DT₂₋₁/PGE was much higher than the one recorded at the TBADT/PGE. This indicates that there is an interaction between TBADT and Fe_3O_4 leading to an enhancement of the electrocatalytic process.

For comparison, **Fig. S5** shows the CVs recorded using a M/PGE with and without 5.0 mM H₂O₂ added to the electrolyte solution. With M/PGE, a well-defined cathodic peak is observed at -0.08 V which is owing to an electro-Fenton process occurring at the Fe₃O₄ surface ^{28,29}. This process can be expressed by equations (3 and 4):

272 $\operatorname{Fe}^{3+} + e^{-} \rightarrow \operatorname{Fe}^{2+}$ (3)

273
$$\operatorname{Fe}^{2+} + \operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O}_2 \to \operatorname{Fe}^{3+} + {}^{\bullet}\operatorname{OH} + \operatorname{OH}^{-}$$
 (4)

However, in this case, the catalytic current ($Ip_c = 2.05 \ \mu A$) is lower than with M-DT₂₋₁/PGE. This means that with M-DT₂₋₁ particles on the electrode surface, the electrocatalytic process for H₂O₂ reduction is definitively improved. The mechanisms of these electrocatalytic processes are probably not the same on both types of the electrode (M/PGE and M-DT₂₋₁/PGE), implying in the latter case, iron species from Fe₃O₄ in interaction with TBADT, as suggested by Dong and Liu for Dawson-type tungstatephosphonate anion ³⁰.

The current stability of M-DT₂₋₁/PGE was investigated in the CVs experiment in the absence (A) and presence of H₂O₂ (B) for 10 potential cycles (**Fig. S6**). In absence of H₂O₂, a cathodic peak at -0.18 V/Ag-AgCl (Ip_{c1}= -7.87 μ A) can be observed in the first cycle, and then the signal is stabilized with the cathodic peak Ep_{c1} at -0.23V/Ag-AgCl and Ip_{c1} = 2.3 μ A. The higher current in the first cycle may be due to the reduction of some O₂ molecules adsorbed on the modified electrode. In the presence of H₂O₂, the current stabilized also rapidly, showing that the M-DT₂₋₁ coating on the PGE surface is relatively stable. The appearance of the second cathodic peak (Ep_{c2}) seems to be limited by diffusion restrictions through the film that seems to be solved after 2 or 3 cycles.

To investigate the recycling performance of the M-DT₂₋₁, the same M-DT₂₋₁/PGE was used to perform the CVs experiment six times in a fresh electrolyte solution under Ar in the presence of 5.0 mM H₂O₂ (**Fig. S7**). The second cathodic peak (Ep_{c2}) can be seen after the second use, which is consistent with the above result. Insert shows the evolution of the cathodic current (Ip_{c1} and Ip_{c2}) as a function of the electrode uses confirming the good reproducibility of the electrocatalytic process occurring at the M-DT₂₋₁/PGE electrode. This result indicates that the W element did not decrease after the reaction as well.

3.3. Investigation of the catalytic performance

297 **3.3.1 Comparison of the oxidation process in different systems**

The synthesized M-DT composite material associated with H₂O₂ was used to oxidize SPD 298 and the efficiency was evaluated. With this objective, an aqueous solution of SPD at a 299concentration of 30.0 μ M was mixed first with M-DT₂₋₁ and H₂O₂ (5.0 mM) at pH = 3.0. For 300 the sake of comparison, experiments were also performed with H₂O₂ alone, Fe₃O₄/H₂O₂, and 301 TBADT/H₂O₂ under the same experimental conditions. Fig. 4 shows that in the presence of 302 H₂O₂ alone (5.0 mM), almost no oxidation SPD (<1.5% within 2 hours) was obtained under 303 our experimental conditions. Within the same time, the conversion was estimated to be 0.4 % 304 with TBADT/H₂O₂ (0.4 g $L^{-1}/5.0$ mM) and 9.3 % with Fe₃O₄/H₂O₂ (0.4 g $L^{-1}/5.0$ mM). 305However, in the presence of 0.4 g L^{-1} synthetized M-DT₂₋₁ and 5.0 mM of H₂O₂, the 306 conversion reachs 75.8 % within 120 minutes, demonstrating the important and interesting 307

308 oxidation ability of the M-DT₂₋₁/H₂O₂ system toward SPD. Under our experimental 309 conditions, the conversion appears to follow first-order kinetics and the rate constant is 310 estimated to be 1.20×10^{-2} min⁻¹. While performing the experiments in the absence of H₂O₂, 311 we can easily evaluate the SPD adsorption ability of the solid support. As shown in **Fig. S8** no 312 adsorption of SPD is observed on TBADT, Fe₃O₄, and M-DT₂₋₁ within 2 hours.



Fig. 4. Kinetics of SPD disappearance under various experimental conditions, [SPD] = 30 μ M; H₂O₂ = 5.0 mM; pH = 3.0

313

The generated products in the M-DT₂₋₁/H₂O₂ systems were analyzed by HRMs/UHPLC and NMR. The disappearance of SPD leads to the formation of mainly three products among them the N-SPD (m/z_[M+H+] = 264.0435 (**Table S4**) and, the hydroxyl-sulfapyridine (SPD-OH) product (**P1**) which is mainly formed in Fe₃O₄/H₂O₂ system, more likely owing the classical Fenton and Fenton-like processes ³¹. On a contrary, the N-SPD is the main product formed in the M-DT₂₋₁/H₂O₂ system leading us to the conclusion that the simultaneous presence of Fe₃O₄ and TBADT greatly promotes the amino selective oxidation into nitroso compound. The NMR spectrum confirms this result (**Fig. S9**). The quantification of N-SPD is performed by using the NMR technique according to the procedure given in **SI**. The average concentration of N-SPD calculated after quantification by NMR is 52.03 μ M (Dropping a sample with a chemical shift of 7.93 ppm), and the relative standard deviation is (**RSD**) 4.8% (**Table S5**).

328 **3.3.2.** The effect of different parameters

To optimize such oxidation process of SPD, the effect of various ratios of $Fe_3O_4/TBADT$ (Table S6), pH (Table S7), the concentration of H_2O_2 (Table S8), and amount of M-DT₂₋₁ (Table S9) were investigated.

The obtained results demonstrate that the SPD conversion percentage increases from 7.8 to 332 51.5% with the increase of the percentage of TBADT in M-DT from 4.8% to 33.3% (Fig. 333 **S10**). For the coming experiments, M-DT with a ratio of 2/1 which is marked as M-DT₂₋₁ was 334 chosen. The pH can affect the conversion of SPD to N-SPD, the efficiency of SPD conversion 335 336 decreases with the increase of the solution pH (Fig. S11). Meanwhile, the conversion percentage of SPD decreases also when the pH increases. These are more likely due to the 337lower stability of decatungstate at pH > 5.5, and also due to the interaction between Fe and W 338 decreasing when pH increases ¹⁸. As the H₂O₂ concentration increases from 0 to 20 mM, the 339 conversion rate and rate constant of SPD oxidation increase (Fig. S12), however those values 340 both decrease with the H₂O₂ concentration increase from 20 to 50 mM. For the amount of 341 M-DT₂₋₁, the conversion rate and rate constant of SPD oxidation increase and reach a plateau 342

when increasing the amount of M-DT₂₋₁ (**Fig. S13**). The yield of N-SPD increases and reaches a plateau when H_2O_2 and the amount of M-DT₂₋₁ increase.

345 **3.3.3. Recycling experiments**

355

To demonstrate that synthesized $M-DT_{2-1}$ can be recycled, it was collected after each 346 reaction and reemployed for the same experiments. Table 1 shows the conversion of SPD and 347 selectivity for the formation of N-SPD in this system during repeated experiments. The SPD 348 conversion and the yield of N-SPD formation remain between 90.5 - 94.5% and 77.6 - 79.4% 349in 5 times repeated experiments, respectively. A small decrease in the conversion efficiency 350 can be observed according to the rate constant and percentage of SPD disappearance which 351 may be due to the loss of TBADT on the Fe₃O₄ surface. However, the yield of N-SPD 352 formation remains at a high level. This indicates that the M-DT₂₋₁ is a highly efficient catalyst 353 material that can be reused and is likely to be used in actual production in the future. 354

Number of cycles	1	2	3	4	5
Rate constant ($\times 10^{-3} \text{ min}^{-1}$)	22.5	21.3	21.8	21.3	20.5
Percentage of SPD disappearance (%)	93.4%	94.5%	92.7%	91.6%	90.5%
The yield of N-SPD formation (%)	78.7%	77.9%	79.4%	78.6%	77.6%

 Table 1 The conversion and selectivity of SPD in repeated experiments within 2h

356 $[M-DT_{2-1}] = 0.8 \text{ g L}^{-1}; [H_2O_2] = 5.0 \text{ mM}; [SPD] = 30 \text{ }\mu\text{M}; \text{ pH} = 3.0;$

357 **3.4. Comparison of catalytic performance**

358 To understand the work of selective catalysis more deeply, we have compared 359 systematically the results of selective oxidation of SPD using sodium decatungstate (NaDT) 360 and TBADT associated with Fe₃O₄. Table 2 shows the SPD selective oxidation reaction parameters in NaDT/M/H₂O₂ and M-DT₂₋₁/H₂O₂ systems. It is observed that the catalytic 361 performance for the selective oxidation of SPD, such as the rate constant of SPD 362 disappearance ($\times 10^{-3}$ min⁻¹), the percentage of SPD disappearance (%), and the yield of 363 N-SPD formation (%) shows no significant difference, when the reaction parameters, such as 364 the concentration of decatungstate, Fe₃O₄, H₂O₂, SPD, and pH are the same in above 365 mentioned both systems. In addition, the optimal parameters of the reaction have similarities. 366 For example, the optimal pH for both systems is in the range of 2.5 - 4.0, and the optimal 367 concentration of H_2O_2 is in the range of 5.0 - 20.0. These similarities can let us infer that the 368 369 two systems have the same reaction mechanism.

However, it should be noted that the amount of catalyst (NaDT and TBADT) that interacted on the Fe₃O₄ surface is different, around 15.4 μ M g⁻¹ of TBADT/M and 74.1 μ M g⁻¹ of NaDT/ M were adsorbed on the Fe₃O₄ surface (**Fig. S14**), but the SPD oxidation and N-SPD formation efficiency are the same. This result indicates that the M-DT₂₋₁/H₂O₂ system is about 5 times more efficient than NaDT/M/H₂O₂ system.

The catalytic performance of M-DT₂₋₁/H₂O₂ systems was also compared with previous studies in the two dimensions of substrate conversion rate and selectivity. As shown in **Table. S10**, M-DT₂₋₁/H₂O₂ system has good and green catalytic performance in aqueous solution with high oxidation efficiency (97% conversion rate) and high selectivity (85% yield of N-SPD).

Table 2. The comparison of selective oxidation parameters using soluble and insoluble DT

Synergistic Fe₃O₄ and H₂O₂ Systems

381

System	NaDT/Fe ₃ O ₄ /H ₂ O ₂	$M\text{-}DT_{2\text{-}1}/H_2O_2$	
System	System	System	
$[W_{10}O_{32}^{4-}] (\mu M)$	41 (NaDT)	40.2 (TBADT)	
$[W_{10}O_{32}^{4-}]$ on the surface ($\mu M g^{-1}$)	74.1	15.4	
$[Fe_3O_4] (g L^{-1})$	0.264	0.266	
[H ₂ O ₂] (mM)	5.0	5.0	
[SPD] (µM)	30	30	
pH	3.0	3.0	
Rate constant ($\times 10^{-3}$ min ⁻¹)	11.3	12.0	
Percentage of SPD disappearance (%)	75.4	75.8	
The yield of N-SPD formation (%)	71.3	73.8	

382 3.5. Mechanistic study

The obtained results demonstrate that the oxidation of SPD into N-SPD is efficient at a pH 383 384 lower than 5.0 using an M-DT₂₋₁/H₂O₂ catalyst. The rate constant and the percentage of SPD disappearance and the yield of N-SPD formation overall increase when concentrations of 385M-DT₂₋₁ and H₂O₂ increase. The characterization results and CV experiments analysis clearly 386 387 show that there is an interaction between Fe₃O₄ and TBADT. The mechanism in M-DT/H₂O₂ system could be explained by an electron transfer from the amine site of SPD to TBADT 388 giving rise to the formation of the reduced species TBADT with the simultaneous formation 389 of the radical cation $[Ar-NH_2]^{\bullet+}$. The radical cation $[Ar-NH_2]^{\bullet+}$ further reacts with H_2O_2 to 390 form N-SPD owing to the interaction between W and Fe atom, the electron can efficiently 391

transfer to Fe₃O₄. At the same time, the Fe³⁺ on the surface becomes Fe²⁺, and the regeneration of Fe³⁺ happens in presence of H₂O₂ in the solution. The formation of SPD-OH is a sign of hydroxyl radical formation. This could be produced via a Fenton reaction. The efficiency of the process is mainly owing to the interaction of TBADT with M particles (Scheme. 1)



397

Scheme 1. Proposed mechanism for the selective oxidation process of primary aromatic
 amines to nitroso aromatic compounds using the M-DT/H₂O₂ catalytic system

400 **4. Conclusions**

The heterogeneous M-DT/H₂O₂ system (TBADT/Fe₃O₄/H₂O₂) appears to be a powerful system for the efficient (until 93%) and selective conversion (until 85%) of amine substrates to their nitroso derivatives. The system consists of a composite material M-DT obtained through interaction between Fe_3O_4 and TBADT as clearly demonstrated by several characterizations and CV experiments. This interaction is without any doubt the driving force

for the electron transfer implied in the catalytic process, leading to the formation of the radical cation on the amine group and the reduced species of TBADT. The regeneration of the $W_{10}O_{32}^{4-}$ is obtained via a second electron transfer with Fe₃O₄ owing to the interaction. Thus, in this study, we demonstrated that the system M-DT/H₂O₂ is an innovative and powerful catalytic system leading to a high yield of transformation for the generation of nitroso compounds from the amine group. It could be used and implemented easily for the synthesis of such chemical compounds in different applications.

413 **Conflicts of interest**

414 There are no conflicts to declare.

415 Acknowledgement

416 Peng CHENG thanks the Chinese scholarship council for its financial support
417 (CSC201806690001) and thanks professor Marcello BRIGANTE, engineers Guillaume
418 VOYARD, David BOURGOGNE and Aurélie JOB; and doctor Yara ARBID for their help in
419 some experiments.

420

421 **Reference**

- 422 1 A. V. Biradar, T. V. Kotbagi, M. K. Dongare and S. B. Umbarkar, *Tetrahedron Letters*,
 423 2008, 49, 3616–3619.
- 424 2 M. Jadidi Nejad, E. Yazdani, M. Kazemi Miraki and A. Heydari, *Chem. Pap.*, 2019, 73,
 425 1575–1583.
- 426 3 R. R. Holmes and R. P. Bayer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1960, 82, 3454–3456.
- 427 4 B. G. Gowenlock and W. Lüttke, *Q. Rev. Chem. Soc.*, 1958, **12**, 321–340.
- 428 5 Y. Shiraishi, H. Sakamoto, K. Fujiwara, S. Ichikawa and T. Hirai, *ACS Catal.*, 2014, 4,
 429 2418–2425.
- 6 P. L. Gkizis, I. Kalara-Lafkioti, D. Varelas, I. Tamiolakis, G. S. Armatas and I. N. Lykakis, *Biointerface Research in Applied Chemistry*, 2014, 4, 857–860.
- 432 7 V. Conte and B. Floris, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 1419–1436.
- 433 8 K. Krohn, Synthesis, 1997, **1997**, 1115–1127.
- 9 S. Fountoulaki, P. L. Gkizis, T. S. Symeonidis, E. Kaminioti, A. Karina, I. Tamiolakis, G. S.
 Armatas and I. N. Lykakis, *Advanced Synthesis & Catalysis*, 2016, **358**, 1500–1508.
- 436 10 M. M. Heravi, N. Ghalavand and E. Hashemi, *Chemistry*, 2020, **2**, 101–178.
- 437 11 D. Zhao, M. Johansson and J.-E. Bäckvall, *European Journal of Organic Chemistry*, 2007,
 438 2007, 4431–4436.
- 439 12L. Pasti, E. Sarti, A. Martucci, N. Marchetti, C. Stevanin and A. Molinari, *Applied*440 *Catalysis B: Environmental*, 2018, 239, 345–351.
- 13 M. D. Tzirakis, I. N. Lykakis, G. D. Panagiotou, K. Bourikas, A. Lycourghiotis, C.
 Kordulis and M. Orfanopoulos, *Journal of Catalysis*, 2007, 252, 178–189.
- 443 14 A. Molinari, A. Bratovcic, G. Magnacca and A. Maldotti, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010, **39**,
 444 7826–7833.
- 445 15 P. J. Sarver, V. Bacauanu, D. M. Schultz, D. A. DiRocco, Y. Lam, E. C. Sherer and D. W. C.
 446 MacMillan, *Nat. Chem.*, 2020, 12, 459–467.
- 447 16L. Ni, J. Ni, Y. Lv, P. Yang and Y. Cao, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 2171–2173.
- 448 17 H. Wang, Z. Qu, S. Dong, H. Xie and C. Tang, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2016, **50**, 449 13511–13519.
- 450 18S. Rakshit, B. Sallman, A. Davantés and G. Lefèvre, *Chemosphere*, 2017, **168**, 685–691.
- 451 19B. Sallman, S. Rakshit and G. Lefèvre, *Chemosphere*, 2018, **213**, 596–601.
- 452 20 H. Li, X. Jiang, W. Zhu, J. Lu, H. Shu and Y. Yan, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2009, 48, 9034–9039.
- 454 21 W. Huang, M. Luo, C. Wei, Y. Wang, K. Hanna and G. Mailhot, *Environmental Science*455 *and Pollution Research*, 2017, 24, 10421–10429.
- 456 22 H. Liu, Q. Chen, X. Cheng, Y. Wang, Y. Zhang and G. Fan, *Sensors and Actuators B:* 457 *Chemical*, 2020, **314**, 128067.
- 458 23 A. Su, M. Chen, Z. Fu, B. Yang, J. She, F. Wan, C. Zhang and Y. Liu, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2020,
 459 **59**, 7520–7530.
- 460 24 I. Moriguchi and J. H. Fendler, *Chem. Mater.*, 1998, **10**, 2205–2211.
- 461 25 S. C. Termes and M. T. Pope, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1978, **17**, 500–501.
- 462 26B. Yang, Z. Fu, A. Su, J. She, M. Chen, S. Tang, W. Hu, C. Zhang and Y. Liu, *Applied* 463 *Catalysis B: Environmental*, 2019, 242, 249–257.

- 464 27 A. V. Kozytskiy, Y. V. Panasyuk and A. M. Mishura, *Theoretical and Experimental* 465 *Chemistry*, 2018, **54**, 322–330.
- 466 28P. V. Nidheesh and R. Gandhimathi, *Desalination*, 2012, **299**, 1–15.
- 467 29 E. Brillas, I. Sirés and M. A. Oturan, *Chem Rev*, 2009, **109**, 6570–6631.
- 468 30S. Dong and M. Liu, Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry, 1994, 372, 95–100.
- 469 31 Y. Zhang and M. Zhou, Journal of Hazardous Materials, 2019, 362, 436–450.

470