

Foul-weather friends: Modelling thermal stress mitigation by symbiotic endolithic microbes in a changing environment

Gerardo Zardi, Jonathan Monsinjon, Christopher Mcquaid, Laurent Seuront, Mauricio Orostica, Andrew Want, Louise Firth, Katy Nicastro

▶ To cite this version:

Gerardo Zardi, Jonathan Monsinjon, Christopher Mcquaid, Laurent Seuront, Mauricio Orostica, et al.. Foul-weather friends: Modelling thermal stress mitigation by symbiotic endolithic microbes in a changing environment. Global Change Biology, 2021, 27 (11), pp.2549-2560. 10.1111/gcb.15616 . hal-04300715

HAL Id: hal-04300715 https://hal.science/hal-04300715

Submitted on 29 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Foul-weather friends: Modelling thermal stress mitigation by symbiotic endolithic microbes in a changing environment

Zardi Gerardo I.¹, Monsinjon Jonathan¹, McQuaid Christopher D.¹, Seuront Laurent^{1, 2, 3}, Orostica Mauricio¹, Want Andrew⁴, Firth Louise B.⁵, Nicastro Katy R.^{1, 6, *}

¹ Rhodes Univ, Dept Zool & Entomol, ZA-6140 Grahamstown, South Africa.

² Univ Littoral Cote dOpale, Univ Lille, CNRS, UMR 8187,LOG,Lab Oceanol & Geosci, Lille, France.

³ Tokyo Univ Marine Sci & Technol, Dept Marine Energy & Resources, Minato Ku, Tokyo, Japan.

⁴ Heriot Watt Univ, Int Ctr Isl Technol, Orkney Campus, Stromness, Scotland.

⁵ Univ Plymouth, Sch Biol & Marine Sci, Plymouth, Devon, England.

⁶ Univ Algarve, CIMAR Associated Lab, CCMAR, Faro, Portugal.

* Corresponding author : Katy R. Nicastro, email address : <u>katynicastro@gmail.com</u>

Abstract :

Temperature extremes are predicted to intensify with climate change. These extremes are rapidly emerging as a powerful driver of species distributional changes with the capacity to disrupt the functioning and provision of services of entire ecosystems, particularly when they challenge ecosystem engineers. The subsequent search for a robust framework to forecast the consequences of these changes mostly ignores within-species variation in thermal sensitivity. Such variation can be intrinsic, but can also reflect species interactions. Intertidal mussels are important ecosystem engineers that host symbiotic endoliths in their shells. These endoliths unexpectedly act as conditionally beneficial parasites that enhance the host's resistance to intense heat stress. To understand how this relationship may be altered under environmental change, we examined the conditions under which it becomes advantageous by reducing body temperature. We deployed biomimetic sensors (robomussels), built using shells of mussels (Mytilus galloprovincialis) that were or were not infested by endoliths, at nine European locations spanning a temperature gradient across 22 degrees of latitude (Orkney, Scotland to the Algarve, Portugal). Daily wind speed and solar radiation explained the maximum variation in the difference in temperature between infested and non-infested robomussels; the largest difference occurred under low wind speed and high solar radiation. From the robomussel data, we inferred body temperature differences between infested and non-infested mussels during known heatwayes that induced mass mortality of the mussel Mytilus edulis along the coast of the English Channel in summer 2018 to quantify the thermal advantage of endolith infestation during temperature extremes. Under these conditions, endoliths provided thermal buffering of between 1.7 degrees C and 4.8 degrees C. Our results strongly suggest that sustainability of intertidal mussel beds will increasingly depend on the thermal buffering provided by endoliths. More generally, this work shows that biomimetic models indicate that within-species thermal sensitivity to global warming can be modulated by species interactions, using an intertidal host-symbiont relationship as an example.

Keywords : biophysical model, climate change, mussels, mutualism, thermal tolerance

2

49

50 INTRODUCTION

Globally, extreme temperature events have risen in frequency, strength and duration 51 (e.g., Oliver et al., 2018) and are predicted to become more severe with intensifying climate 52 53 variability (Fischer et al., 2010; Rahmstorf et al., 2011). Recently, extended periods of anomalously high temperatures such as heatwaves have driven significant ecological changes 54 (e.g., Hughes et al., 2017; Smale et al., 2019; Wernberg et al., 2013). Given the serious 55 consequences for biodiversity and ecosystem functioning, there is increasing interest in 56 57 understanding variability in within-species thermal tolerance; we have yet to understand why some individuals survive when conspecifics succumb to abrupt temperature increases. The 58 appreciation of such within-species variability will aid the prediction of long-term responses by 59 60 species as a whole. Identifying the full capacity of species to survive heatwaves and extreme 61 heat stress events may offer crucial insights into the stability of their ecological, and socioeconomic functions. 62

63 Intertidal ecosystems are among the most productive of ecosystems, supplying vital 64 services such as food production, stabilization of shorelines, capture of 'blue carbon', water 65 clearance, nutrient turnover and acting as nurseries for high-trophic level taxa (e.g., Costanza 66 et al., 1997; Macreadie et al., 2019; Raffaelli et al., 2012). Due to their daily exposure to both 67 aerial and marine conditions and their low thermal inertia compared to open-ocean waters, 68 these ecosystems are particularly vulnerable to extreme climatic events such as heatwaves and coldwaves (Halpern et al., 2008). Heatwaves in particular, have drastic deleterious effects 69 70 on intertidal ecosystems globally. Heatwave-induced mass mortalities, local extinctions and 71 range contractions of numerous key benthic habitat-forming species (e.g., corals, seagrasses, macroalgae, bivalves) have resulted in depleted local biodiversity, reduced carbon 72 sequestration and weakened natural coastal defences (Arias-Ortiz et al., 2018; Holbrook et 73 74 al., 2020). The damage caused by heatwaves also reduces the socioeconomic value of these

ecosystems by reducing recreational activities and tourism (e.g., Smale et al., 2019; Stillman,
2019) with major direct economic damage to recreational, artisanal and commercial fisheries
(e.g., FAO, 2020; Li et al., 2019).

Mussel die-offs induced by heatwaves exemplify the severe economic and ecological 78 79 effects of extreme heat events. Intertidal mussels are dominant ecosystem engineers that 80 provide crucial habitat, supporting biodiversity and underpinning myriad ecosystem functions 81 and services. For example, evidence from the NE Pacific coast and from southern African 82 rocky shores shows that hundreds of associated species (e.g. invertebrates, small fish and algae) depend on mussel aggregations (Nicastro et al., 2020; Suchanek, 1985). Their dense 83 84 mono- and multi-layered beds remain moist and thermally benign during low tides and offer protection against wave action during high tide (Helmuth et al., 2016). The loss of mussel beds 85 86 associated with recent warming trends has resulted in the decline of species richness and the 87 alteration of community structure (Harley, 2011).

88 Numerous experimental and observational studies have shown that symbiotic 89 interactions, both parasitic and mutualistic, have dramatic effects on the host organism 90 (Chomicki et al., 2020; Firth et al., 2017). Such interactions can alter the host's experience of 91 environmental stress by altering the host phenotype (Feldhaar, 2011), with consequences for 92 host fitness (i.e., survival and fecundity). When the host species is an ecosystem engineer, 93 such as a mussel, these interactions can have significant knock-on effects at population, 94 community and ecosystem levels (Fellous et al., 2009). As thermal stress intensifies under 95 climate change, the effect of symbionts on the temperature tolerance of their hosts will be 96 particularly important. Parasites can drive considerable alterations to both their host's thermal performance optima (Gehman et al., 2018) and thermal preferences (Macnab et al., 2012). In 97 98 turn, mutualisms can favour survival following exposure to heat stress (Brumin et al., 2011; 99 Feldhaar, 2011). Because gradual warming and the increased stress of extreme heat events will challenge individuals with and without symbionts, mutualistic relationships will have a
 major role in setting within-species thermal variability (Feldhaar, 2011).

102 Critically, the nature of symbiotic associations is context dependent; a single symbiotic species 103 can have both positive and negative effects depending on the biotic/abiotic environment in 104 which the interaction occurs (e.g., Altizer et al., 2013; Harvell et al., 2009; Nowakowski et al., 105 2016; Sauer et al., 2018). The gradual change between symbiotic states may confer a suite 106 of novel traits to the host organism thus offering it ecological opportunities (sensu Janson et 107 al., 2008) that would otherwise be unavailable. This is the case for the widespread symbiosis 108 between shell-degrading endolithic cyanobacteria and intertidal mussels (Zardi et al., 2016). 109 Until recently, numerous studies have described a multitude of negative (lethal and sub-lethal) 110 effects of endolith corrosion in mussels (Marguet et al., 2013), stressing the 111 parasitic nature of this relationship (Zardi et al., 2009). Endoliths are metabolically dependent 112 on the host for CO₂ released during shell degradation, which they use for photosynthesis 113 (Garcia-Pichel et al., 2010). As a result, endoliths can affect the host's energetic budgeting 114 through their boring activity at the expense of byssal attachment strength, growth and 115 reproduction (Kaehler et al., 1999; Marquet et al., 2013; Ndhlovu et al., 2021; Nicastro et al., 116 2018; Zardi et al., 2009). Importantly, recent results have shown that shell corrosion caused 117 by endoliths mitigates the thermal stress experienced by their mussel hosts; mussels with 118 corroded shells experience significantly lower body temperatures leading to significant 119 increases in survival (up to 50%) during heatwaves compared to conspecifics that are not 120 affected by endoliths (Zardi et al., 2016). Such conditional help (sensu Fellous & Salvaudon, 121 2009) is triggered by reduced absorption of solar energy by mussel shells that are whitened 122 by endolithic boring (Gehman et al., 2019; Zardi et al., 2016).

Despite a growing number of studies reporting the incidence and effects of phototrophic shell-degrading endoliths in a variety of taxa and habitats, the key environmental factors that determine the nature of the relationship remain unknown. This study aims at understanding the limits of endolith-mediated protection against thermal stress across 127 environments. Such knowledge is pivotal to the development of realistic, large-scale 128 predictions of how mussel beds will respond to environmental change and the consequences 129 to their related ecosystem and socio-economic value. To achieve this, we built biomimetic 130 temperature loggers using the shells of Mytilus galloprovincialis that were either heavily 131 infested or not infested by endoliths. These were then deployed in the field across a wide 132 latitudinal range (i.e., 37°N to 59°N) to assess changes in endolithic thermal buffering in a variable environment and to identify the drivers of the maximum temperature differences 133 134 between non-infested and infested mussels. Data from the biomimetic temperature loggers 135 and high-resolution weather data were then used in Generalized Linear Mixed Models to 136 identify the environmental factors influencing the cooling effect of endoliths on mussel 137 populations. We subsequently used the model to identify maximum body temperature 138 differences between infested and non-infested mussels under the known conditions of a heat 139 wave-induced mortality event of Mytilus edulis that occurred along the north French coast in 140 summer 2018. In parallel, we assessed whether non-infested mussels warm up faster than 141 infested individuals as expected for dark ectotherms vs paler ones (Moyen et al., 2019; Porter 142 et al., 1969).

143

144 METHODS

Drivers of the maximum temperature difference between non-infested and infested mussels

Biomimetic temperature loggers (robomussels) are used to mimic the thermal characteristics of living mussels (Helmuth et al., 2010; Helmuth et al., 2016). In this study, robomussels were deployed at multiple locations across a wide latitudinal range and environmental gradient along North Atlantic shores to quantify differences in body temperatures of mussels with and without endoliths when exposed to natural variability in meteorological conditions. All robomussels were assembled using the emptied shells of *Mytilus galloprovincialis* (shell length 153 4-5 cm) sampled at Viana do Castelo (Portugal; 41°42'0.94"N, 8°51'25.59"W) from wave exposed intertidal rocky shores composed of granite. Mussels were sampled within a 154 monolayered bed (i.e. all individuals attached directly to the substratum) in a sun-exposed 155 156 area (i.e. surfaces with limited shading, exposed to solar radiation 60 % of the day). Shells 157 were either non-infested (Group A; Zardi et al., 2009) or heavily infested by endoliths (Group 158 D; Fig. 1a); Group A shells have clean, intact periostracum and distinct periostracal striation, Group D shells are severely pitted and deformed, and the outer striations on the periostracum 159 160 are almost completely absent. Robomussels were made by placing a temperature logger 161 (iButtons®, DS1922, Maxim Integrated Products, Dallas Semiconductor, USA, resolution = 162 0.5 °C, accuracy = 0.5 °C) between two empty mussel valves filled with silicone sealant and 163 leaving it to dry at air temperature for 48 hours before being deployed in trials (Nicastro et al., 164 2012). Endoliths in infested shells were killed by keeping the mussel shells in boiling water for 165 an hour before assembling them (Zardi et al., 2016). Loggers recorded temperatures at 30min intervals from 1st August to 13th September 2017, for a period of 42 days at nine sites (Fig. 166 167 1b; Supplementary Table S1). Data were lost from Plymouth (UK) due to robomussels being 168 vandalised. For one site (Aberffraw, UK), deployment was done the following summer, from 169 28th July to 18th September 2018. At each site, three to six pairs of infested and non-infested 170 robomussels were glued to the substratum (Z-spar Splash zone, A-788) in the mid mussel 171 zone on a relatively flat slope, orientated like a living solitary mussel (i.e., longitudinal axis 172 oriented parallel to the substratum with the ventral side facing the substratum) and equidistant 173 from each other (approximately 10 cm).

At each site, average estimates of robomussel intertidal height were calculated using the temperature logger profiles and tables of tidal heights (Gilman et al., 2006; Harley et al., 2003). These profiles clearly reveal when robomussels are first inundated by the returning tide through a sudden sharp drop in temperature. The time of tidal inundation was used to calculate the Effective Shore Level (ESL) using the XTide software (<u>https://flaterco.com/xtide/</u>). Tidal predictions were obtained for nearby maritime ports (Supplementary Table S2). For every 180 robomussel pair, we retrieved all tidal levels that were associated with a temperature drop of 181 >8°C in 30 min during incoming tides. We chose this threshold, which corresponds to a 5.33°C drop in 20 min, to ensure reliability of ESL estimates while maximising the probability of finding 182 183 at least one drop during the study period (Gilman et al., 2006). We calculated the mean ESL 184 (± standard deviation; SD) for each robomussel pair (Supplementary Table S3). ESLs were 185 used to determine when mussels were exposed/submerged. However, ESLs can vary daily due to surge and wave exposure, which might lead to the misidentification of 186 187 exposed/submerged periods. To ensure that temperature data were correctly attributed to 188 periods of submergence or aerial exposure, we kept data that were recorded above the upper 189 limit of a buffer zone (mean ESL + 0.3 m) or below the lower limit of a buffer zone (mean ESL 190 - 0.3 m). Temperature data during submergence were used as a control, as we expect no 191 differences in temperature between non-infested and infested robomussels when submerged. 192 We used Linear Mixed Effects models (LMEs; using 'nlme' R package; Pinheiro et al., 2020) 193 to test this. After adding robomussel identity as a random effect and controlling for 194 autocorrelation (autoregressive model of order 1), we found that non-infested mussels were, 195 on average, significantly warmer than infested ones during aerial exposure (mean difference 196 = 0.36 °C, SD = 2.04, t = -2.14029, p = 0.0323) whereas we did not find a significant difference 197 during submergence (t = -1.62900, p = 0.1033). Therefore, we kept only temperature data 198 during emergence for further analysis.

Using robomussels as proxy for mussel body temperature (Helmuth et al., 2010; Helmuth et al., 2016), we expected the maximum difference between non-infested and infested mussels (calculated as non-infested minus infested temperatures for each robomussel pair) to vary geographically during emergence due to site-specific meteorological conditions. Meteorological variables that are considered determinants of the body temperature of invertebrates (i.e. air temperature, wind speed, precipitation, relative humidity, and solar radiation (Helmuth, 1998)) were obtained from nearby weather stations (Supplementary Table

S4). However, we excluded three of our nine study sites from this analysis because solar
radiation was not measured at these sites during the study period (Supplementary Table S4).
Weather station data in the United Kingdom (Met Office, 2019a, 2019b, 2019c), France and
Portugal were provided by the Centre for Environmental Data Analysis (CEDA;
<u>http://data.ceda.ac.uk/badc/ukmo-midas-open/data/</u>),
Météo-France
(<u>https://donneespubliques.meteofrance.fr/</u>) and Instituto Portugês do Mar e da Atmosfera

212 (IPMA; <u>https://www.ipma.pt/en/otempo/obs.superficie/</u>), respectively.

213 We used Generalized Linear Mixed Models (GLMMs; using 'Ime4' R package; Bates et 214 al., 2015) to test for effects of daily values of (i) mean air temperature (°C), (ii) mean wind 215 speed (m s⁻¹), (iii) total precipitation (mm), (iv) mean relative humidity (%), and (v) total (global) 216 solar radiation (J m⁻²) on the daily maximum difference in temperature between non-infested 217 and infested robomussels during emergence. Meteorological variables were averaged (or 218 summed for solar radiation and precipitation) within robomussel pair-specific periods of 219 emergence. The identity of individual pairs of robomussels was included as a random factor 220 nested within study site to account for inter- and intra-site variance. Because our dependant 221 variable was non-normally distributed (positively skewed), we used GLMMs with a Gamma 222 distribution and excluded the few days during which non-positive temperature differences 223 were found between paired robomussels. We applied a log link function to stabilize the 224 variance of residuals. We fitted all models (i.e. all combinations of response variables) using 225 maximum likelihood and selected the best candidates based on the lowest value of Akaike 226 Information Criteria corrected for finite sample size (AICc) and Akaike weights, which give a 227 measure of the relative support for each model (Akaike, 1974; Burnham et al., 2002). We 228 considered models with $\Delta AICc < 2$ as competitive and, for the sake of parsimony, we retained 229 the model with the least number of parameters. Based on this model, we extrapolated daily 230 maximum differences in body temperature between non-infested and infested mussels under 231 a range of meteorological conditions likely to be encountered on the shore.

Quantifying the thermal advantage of infestation during a heatwave-induced mussel mortality event

To assess the extent of thermal buffering provided by endoliths during extreme hot weather conditions, we used the meteorological data at Wimereux, France, during summer 2018 where mass mortality events of *Mytilus edulis* occurred following heatwaves (Seuront et al., 2019). Nine heatwaves (ranging from moderate to severe; see Table 2) were identified based on air temperature, following Hobday et al. (2018). We extracted meteorological data during these periods and predicted the daily maximum temperature difference between non-infested and infested mussels based on the previously selected model.

241 Assessing heating rate and maximum body temperature during aerial exposure

242 Temperatures recorded by robomussels were used as proxy of the body temperatures of living 243 mussels. To assess whether non-infested mussels warm up faster and reach higher body 244 temperatures than infested ones during aerial exposure, we calculated the rate of change in 245 body temperature as well as the maximum and near-maximum (95th percentile) body 246 temperature for each robomussel during aerial exposure for every tidal cycle. We calculated 247 the average rate of change in body temperature during one tidal cycle using the slope of the 248 linear regression between temperature and time data (converted to °C h⁻¹). A positive slope 249 indicates that robomussels were warming up while a negative one indicates that robomussels 250 were cooling down. We assessed potential differences between non-infested mussels and 251 infested ones using Linear Mixed Effects models with robomussel identity included as a 252 random effect.

253

254 **RESULTS**

255 The thermal buffering effect of infestation depends on solar radiation and wind speed

256 Five candidate models were retained, with the number of selected meteorological parameters 257 varying from two to four (Table 1). When compared to the null model (i.e., assuming no effect of any meteorological parameters; Table 1), these models exhibit a better fit (Table 1). The 258 259 two best models (i.e., those with the lowest AICc values) showed similar relative support 260 (Akaike weights > 0.2; Table 1) and, for the sake of parsimony, we selected the simplest one 261 (i.e., using solar radiation and wind speed; Table 1) for further analysis. The results suggest that solar radiation (t = 8.609, p < 0.0001) and wind speed (t = -3.181, p = 0.00147) are the 262 main drivers of the maximum difference in body temperature between non-infested and 263 264 infested mussels. The selected model appropriately reproduced measured temperature 265 differences, albeit slightly underestimating the difference at high temperatures (Supplementary Fig. S1). On average, daily total solar radiation during emergence increased 266 267 from northern to southern sites with greater variability measured at the three northernmost 268 (NEB, SKA and ABE) and intermediate (WIM) sites considered (Fig. 1c). Daily mean wind 269 speed showed the opposite trend; decreasing to the south and again with greater variability 270 measured at the three northernmost sites. Note that the southernmost site (VIL) was more 271 exposed to wind than the other southern site (MON) during the study period. Geographically, 272 the cooling effect of microbial endoliths (expressed as the maximum difference in body 273 temperature between non-infested and infested robomussels predicted from the selected model using solar radiation and wind speed averaged over the study period) showed a 274 275 gradient decreasing from southern (VIL and MON) to intermediate (WIM) and northern (NEB, 276 SKA and ABE) sites (Fig. 1d). However, there was no clear pattern with latitude among the 277 closest sites (e.g., MON and VIL). Confidence intervals of predictions (Fig. 1d) are shown in 278 Supplementary Fig. S2 and the relationship between measured temperature differences and 279 weather data is shown in Supplementary Fig. S3.

280

```
    Prediction of body temperature differences between non-infested and infested mussels
    during heatwaves
```

283 In summer 2018 at Wimereux, based on the model that uses solar radiation and wind speed 284 (see Table 1 and prediction surface in Fig. 1d), we predicted a cooling effect of microbial endoliths (i.e., the temperature difference between non-infested and infested mussels) of 4.21 285 to 4.77°C during June heatwaves (which were classified as moderate to strong), of 2.75 to 286 287 4.14°C during July heatwaves (which were classified as moderate to severe), and of 1.67 to 3.97°C during August heatwaves (which were classified as moderate to strong; estimates are 288 289 plotted on the prediction surface in Fig. 1d and confidence intervals are provided in Table 2). 290 During most of these heatwaves, mussels were exposed to high solar radiation (noticeably 291 higher than the conditions observed on average in southern sites like MON and VIL) and 292 moderate wind speeds (similar to the conditions observed on average at all sites except ABE and MON; Fig. 1d). For one heatwave (10th August) that occurred under moderate solar 293 294 radiation and high wind speed, the predicted temperature difference was rather low and similar 295 to the ones predicted for northern sites (NEB, SKA and ABE).

296

Non-infested mussels warm up faster and reach higher body temperatures than infested mussels

299 During periods of aerial exposure, non-infested robomussels warmed up faster than infested 300 ones (t = -1.982, p = 0.0475; mean rate of change = 0.38 and 0.28 °C h⁻¹, SD = 1.75 and 1.45, respectively; Fig. 2a). Non-infested robomussels also reached higher maximum temperatures 301 than infested ones (t = -4.477, p < 0.0001; mean maximum temperature = 22.93 and 22.07°C, 302 303 SD = 7.5 and 6.6, respectively; Fig. 2b). We obtained similar results when comparing nearmaximum (95th percentile) temperatures (t = -4.098363, p < 0.0001; mean near-maximum 304 305 temperature = 22.47 and 21.69°C, SD = 7.31 and 6.45, respectively for non-infested and 306 infested ones; Fig. 2c).

307

308 DISCUSSION

We observed striking reductions in temperature in endolithically corroded robomussels 309 compared to uncorroded ones in intertidal habitats, across large geographical areas exposed 310 311 to a wide range of oceanographic and climatic conditions. Given that the biomimics used in 312 this study have been extensively shown to be an accurate tool for recording organismal body 313 temperature in their natural environment (e.g., Fitzhenry et al., 2004; Helmuth et al., 2016; 314 Seabra et al., 2015), our results can effectively be interpreted as a marked cooling effect in infested mussels compared to non-infested mussels. Intraspecific variability in body 315 316 temperature has to be accounted for in order to interpret and predict responses of the species 317 to climatic change across biogeographic ranges. This is relevant not only for the individuals 318 themselves, but also for the ecosystem as it provides crucial insights into the future stability of 319 mussel beds and their role as ecosystem engineers that support myriad species, and a range 320 of ecosystem functions and services.

321 We identify daily-mean wind speed and daily-total solar radiation as the environmental 322 variables with the strongest influence on the within-site thermal buffering effects of endoliths. 323 This is in agreement with previous work showing how body temperatures of intertidal ectothermic animals are primarily controlled by non-climatic heat sources, solar heating and 324 re-radiation as opposed to climatic heat sources, i.e. air and water temperatures (e.g., 325 326 Helmuth, 2002; Marshall et al., 2010). Our results show a negative relationship between the 327 two key variables, suggesting that the seasonality of wind and solar radiation most likely will 328 shape the implications of our results. While the highest wind speeds typically occur during 329 winter, the peak in solar radiation usually occurs in summer when thermal heat stress is at its 330 highest. This means that the maximum endolithic thermal buffering occurs in summer when it 331 is also most ecologically meaningful. In summer, during heatwave conditions recorded at Wimereux in France, body temperature differences between non-infested and infested 332 333 mussels ranged between 1.7°C and 4.8°C. Like other intertidal organisms, mussels live close

334 to the upper limit of their physiological thermal tolerance window and such a remarkable level 335 of thermal buffering due to phenotypic variation has the potential to trigger changes at the population level, particularly in areas where the survival and physiological performance of 336 337 mussels have already been affected by increasing temperatures. Our findings show that 338 uncorroded individuals have significantly higher maximum body temperature and faster 339 heating rates than those of corroded mussels. In particular, heating rate is known to have 340 significant effects on cardiac thermal performance in intertidal mussels; recent studies show 341 that faster heating rates significantly increase the heart's critical temperature (i.e., the 342 temperature triggering a precipitous decline of heart rate; Moyen et al., 2019). Critically, these 343 studies also emphasise the complexity of abiotic and physiological interactions. Heating rates 344 significantly affect the cardiac thermal tolerance, of high- but not low-zone mussels, while 345 heavy mussels tend to heat up faster compared to light weight mussels- but at the fast-heating 346 rate only.

347 The heating rates recorded in our study are lower than those previously reported in 348 natural populations (e.g., Miller et al., 2017) or in laboratory experiments (e.g., Moyen et al., 349 2019 and references therein) and are thus unlikely to significantly affect heart rates, at least 350 under our study conditions. Additionally, all mussels used to build robomussels were collected 351 from the same site and mussel zone and had similar shell length, excluding any potential effect 352 of body mass. Nevertheless, given the determinant role of intertidal height on mussel cardiac 353 thermal tolerance, further investigation is needed to assess the effect of endolithic corrosion 354 on heating rates and heart rates throughout the intertidal distribution of mussels and for 355 different body masses. Framed in a more theoretical context, our results show that endoliths 356 provide their host mussels with a key innovation (i.e., thermal buffering) that potentially offers 357 an ecological opportunity, opening previously unexploited niches. Key innovations (termed "ad 358 hoc novelty" in Feldhaar, 2011; Miller, 1949) are features that allow a species to interact with the environment in a different way and thus may provide the capacity, otherwise unavailable, 359

360 to exploit available resources (Galis, 2001; Hunter, 1998; Rabosky, 2014). For instance, in 361 tropical dendrobatid poison frogs, the evolution of bright coloration as an advertisement of unprofitability to predators, reduces the function and thus the need for predator-induced 362 363 "hiding" behaviour, thus offering novel habitat opportunities (Arbuckle et al., 2015; Santos et 364 al., 2003; Summers, 2003). Another example is that of archer fish (Toxotes spp.) in which the 365 evolved capacity to fire jets of water from their mouths to dislodge insects perched on overhanging foliage has opened access to new prey resources (Burnette et al., 2015; 366 Schuster et al., 2006). Key innovations have been mainly investigated in an evolutionary 367 368 context and are often described as a key and eventually an essential stimulus for adaptive 369 radiation through "the evolutionary divergence of members of a single phylogenetic lineage 370 into a variety of different adaptive forms" (Futuyma et al., 1988).

371 However, key innovations can be obtained through faster or even non-evolutionary 372 routes. For instance, a variety of genome-engineering approaches have been used to reduce 373 the proportion of black found on dairy cattle coats aiming at decreasing radiative heat gain, a 374 contributing feature to heat stress (Maga, 2020). Similarly, alteration of a host's life history 375 traits triggered by symbionts may become advantageous under certain environmental 376 conditions; fast-tracking the host to higher fitness levels that would otherwise be accessible 377 only through slower microevolutionary steps (Fellous & Salvaudon, 2009). Alternatively, symbiont-induced phenotypic changes may provide new functions allowing the host to reach 378 379 higher levels of fitness unlikely to be attainable through mutation. Shell colour in intertidal 380 mussel is reasonably plastic, however, the distinctive shell whitening caused by the eroding 381 activity of endoliths extends beyond the range of such plasticity. This pronounced phenotypic 382 change provides mussels with a higher degree of thermal buffering that is most likely 383 unreachable through adaptive mutation. It has been suggested that endolithic thermal 384 buffering might be indicative of a transition towards mutualism in the endolith-mussel 385 relationship (Gehman & Harley, 2019; Zardi et al., 2016). Indeed, most mutualisms have evolved from wholly parasitic associations and conditionally beneficial parasites are 386

387 intermediate stages in a transient relationship triggered by changes in the biotic/abiotic 388 environment (Fellous & Salvaudon, 2009). The ecological advantages of endolithic infestation 389 are likely to be increasingly important in an era of global warming and more frequent and 390 intense heatwaves. On the other hand, recurred storm strikes are also predicted to increase 391 potentially raising mortality rates in infested mussels as they are more weakly attached to 392 rocky shores than non-infested mussels. Critically, the ecological advantages of mussels with 393 corroded shells will vary spatially and temporally. Our results suggest that these benefits will 394 potentially peak in summer and at lower latitudes when and where intense solar radiation 395 maximises relative differences in body temperature between corroded and uncorroded 396 mussels.

397 In order to standardise our experimental design, all robomussels used were built using 398 *M. galloprovincialis* shells sampled from a single location in southern Portugal. In reality, our 399 study sites supported different mussel species, including *M. galloprovincialis/M. edulis* hybrids 400 in the UK and northern France (Bierne et al., 2003), raising the possibility that endolithic 401 communities and the cooling effect observed in M. galloprovincialis robomussels may not 402 apply to other species. Recent evidence indicates that endolithic community composition and 403 the thermal benefits they confer are similar among hosts. Perna perna, with an aragonite shell 404 and *M. galloprovincialis*, with aragonite and calcite shell layers, co-exist in South Africa. 405 Despite differences in shell and periostracum structure (Bers et al., 2010), severely infested 406 mussels of the two species support the same endolith species (Ndhlovu et al., 2020). Most 407 importantly, both mussels exhibit the same degree of thermal buffering by endoliths under a 408 variety of environmental conditions (Zardi et al., 2016). Together these findings support the 409 abundant literature highlighting the generalist, nonspecific, nature of endolithic corrosion (e.g., 410 Golubic et al., 2005) and strongly suggest that thermal stress mitigation by symbiotic endolithic 411 microbes does not apply to *M. galloprovincialis* only.

412 Mussel mass mortalities have repeatedly been reported globally and are often attributed to 413 heatwaves (Harley, 2008; Petes et al., 2007; Suchanek, 1985; Tsuchiya, 1983). During the heatwave on 414 the northern French coastline in August 2018, large numbers of empty mussel shells were washed 415 ashore (Seuront et al., 2019). Interestingly, a close examination to over 1200 randomly shells collected 416 following this mass mortality event show that the vast majority of them (96 to 100%) had no sign of 417 infestation (Seuront, unpublished data, see Supplementary Material Figure S4). Although such 418 observations cannot quantify or confirm the protective roles of endoliths, they complement previous 419 field manipulative experiments in different regions showing that, during heat waves, the moderation 420 of solar heating by endoliths results in significantly lower mortality rates (Gehman & Harley, 2019; 421 Zardi et al., 2016). Interestingly, large-scale sampling covering a wide latitudinal range (ca. 28-37°N) 422 along the coasts of Portugal and Morocco revealed a strong gradient of increasing endolithic 423 infestation of *M. galloprovincialis* towards lower latitudes, presumably due to the enhancement of 424 endolith photosynthetic activity through greater light availability and decreased cloud cover towards 425 the equator (Lourenço et al., 2017). Importantly, M. galloprovincialis is the only Mytilus spp. along this 426 coast, eliminating potential confounding effects between endolith frequency and host identity. 427 Likewise, higher levels of shell corrosion at lower latitudes is unlikely due to latitudinal or geographic 428 changes in endolithic species. Endolithic community composition does not differ significantly between 429 *M. galloprovincialis* from native (Portugal) and invasive (South Africa) regions nor from *P. perna* shells 430 from different bioregion along 1000s km of the South African coast (Marquet et al., 2013; Ndhlovu et 431 al., 2019). Most importantly, our results indicate that endolithic corrosion is higher at lower 432 latitudes where its beneficial effects are enhanced and most beneficial to the host. Will climate-433 associated thermal stress push the endolith-mussel relationship towards a long-lasting 434 mutually beneficial association? This seems possible as heatwaves are increasing in intensity, 435 frequency and duration and these trends are expected to strengthen under future climatic 436 changes (Perkins-Kirkpatrick et al., 2020; Smale et al., 2019). Further work is required to

evaluate if the cooling effect of endoliths has a significant impact on mussel fitness and if the average fitness of corroded hosts, across all possible environments, is higher than that of uncorroded individuals. Such an approach will also be key to testing the validity of near-future conditions under which mussels shift their ecological niche to one whereby endoliths are more often advantageous or might even evolve endolith dependence, losing the ability to live without their symbionts.

443

444 Although hosts and symbionts have coupled life cycles, they can respond differently to 445 environmental changes. Thus, the response of host-symbiont interactions to climate warming 446 may largely depend on the performance of each interactor and the influence of the symbiont on host performance (Gehman et al., 2018; Lafferty et al., 2003). In this context, mussel 447 448 survival may increasingly benefit from the thermal buffering provided by endolithic shell 449 erosion, which is predicted to increase as a result of ongoing ocean acidification and warming 450 rates (Reyes-Nivia et al., 2013; Tribollet et al., 2009). A further complication lies in the fact that 451 the endoliths infesting mussel shells comprise a community, the composition of which alters 452 in time and space (Ndhlovu et al., 2019; Pittera et al., 2014). Nevertheless, the generalist 453 nature of endoliths and their ubiquitous erosion of marine calcifying organisms 454 already stretched to the limits of their thermal tolerances strongly suggest that they will have 455 a key influence on the ability of many intertidal ecosystems to maintain their ecological 456 functions.

457

458 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has also been financially supported by a Pierre Hubert Curien PESSOA Fellowship, projects UIDB/04326/2020 from the Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT-MEC, Portugal) and Grant number 64801 from the National Research Foundation of South Africa, and a South African Research Chairs Initiative (SARChI) of the Department of Science and

463 Technology and the National Foundation and a scholarship from the South African National 464 Research Foundation (NRF). This work is a contribution to the CPER research project 465 CLIMIBIO. The authors thank the French Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la 466 Recherche, the Hauts de France Region and the European Funds for Regional Economical 467 Development for their financial support for this project.

468

469 **REFERENCES**

- 470 Akaike, H. (1974). A new look at the statistical model identification. *IEEE Transactions on*471 *Automatic Control, 19*, 716-723.
- Altizer, S., Ostfeld, R. S., Johnson, P. T., Kutz, S., & Harvell, C. D. (2013). Climate change
 and infectious diseases: from evidence to a predictive framework. *Science*,
 341(6145), 514-519.
- 475 Arbuckle, K., & Speed, M. P. (2015). Antipredator defenses predict diversification rates.
 476 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 112*(44), 13597-13602.
- 477 Arias-Ortiz, A., Serrano, O., Masqué, P., Lavery, P. S., Mueller, U., Kendrick, G. A., . . .
- 478 Duarte, C. M. (2018). A marine heatwave drives massive losses from the world's
- 479 largest seagrass carbon stocks. *Nature Climate Change, 8*(4), 338-344.
 480 doi:10.1038/s41558-018-0096-y
- Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models
 using Ime4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *67*(1), 1-48. doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01
- Bers, A., Díaz, E., Da Gama, B., Vieira-Silva, F., Dobretsov 3, S., Valdivia 4, N., . . . Sudgen
 6, H. (2010). Relevance of mytilid shell microtopographies for fouling defence–a
 global comparison. *Biofouling*, *26*(3), 367-377.
- Bierne, N., Borsa, P., Daguin, C., Jollivet, D., Viard, F., Bonhomme, F., & David, P. (2003).
 Introgression patterns in the mosaic hybrid zone between *Mytilus edulis* and *M. galloprovincialis. Molecular Ecology, 12*(2), 447-461.
- Brumin, M., Kontsedalov, S., & Ghanim, M. (2011). Rickettsia influences thermotolerance in
 the whitefly Bemisia tabaci B biotype. *Insect Science*, *18*(1), 57-66.

- Burnette, M. F., & Ashley-Ross, M. A. (2015). One shot, one kill: the forces delivered by
 archer fish shots to distant targets. *Zoology*, *118*(5), 302-311.
- Burnham, K. P., & Anderson, D. R. (2002). *Model selection and multimodel inference: A practical information-theoretic approach*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Chomicki, G., Kiers, E. T., & Renner, S. S. (2020). The evolution of mutualistic dependence. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 51*.
- 497 Costanza, R., d'Arge, R., De Groot, R., Farber, S., Grasso, M., Hannon, B., . . . Paruelo, J.
 498 (1997). The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital. *nature*,
 499 387(6630), 253-260.
- FAO. (2020). GLOBEFISH Highlights A quarterly update on world seafood markets. with
 Jan. Jun. 2019 Statistics A quarterly update on world seafood markets. Retrieved
 from
- 503 Feldhaar, H. (2011). Bacterial symbionts as mediators of ecologically important traits of 504 insect hosts. *Ecological Entomology*, *36*(5), 533-543.
- Fellous, S., & Salvaudon, L. (2009). How can your parasites become your allies? *Trends in Parasitology*, *25*(2), 62-66. doi:10.1016/j.pt.2008.11.010
- Firth, L. B. (2017). Factors affecting the prevalence of the trematode parasite Echinostephilla
 patellae (Lebour, 1911) in the limpet Patella vulgata (L.). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, v. 492*, pp. 99-104-2017 v.2492.
- 510 doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2017.01.026
- 511 Fischer, E. M., & Schär, C. (2010). Consistent geographical patterns of changes in high-512 impact European heatwaves. *Nature Geoscience, 3*(6), 398-403.
- 513 doi:10.1038/ngeo866
- Fitzhenry, T., Halpin, P. M., & Helmuth, B. (2004). Testing the effects of wave exposure, site,
 and behavior on intertidal mussel body temperatures: applications and limits of
 temperature logger design. *Marine Biology*, *145*(2), 339-349. doi:10.1007/s00227004-1318-6
- Futuyma, D. J., & Moreno, G. (1988). The evolution of ecological specialization. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 29*, 207-233

- 520 Galis, F. (2001). Key innovations and radiations. *The character concept in evolutionary* 521 *biology*.
- Garcia-Pichel, F., Ramírez-Reinat, E., & Gao, Q. (2010). Microbial excavation of solid
 carbonates powered by P-type ATPase-mediated transcellular Ca2+ transport. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 107*(50), 21749-21754.
 doi:10.1073/pnas.1011884108
- Gehman, A.-L. M., Hall, R. J., & Byers, J. E. (2018). Host and parasite thermal ecology
 jointly determine the effect of climate warming on epidemic dynamics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 115*(4), 744-749.
- Gehman, A.-L. M., & Harley, C. D. G. (2019). Symbiotic endolithic microbes alter host
 morphology and reduce host vulnerability to high environmental temperatures. *Ecosphere, 10*(4), e02683. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.2683</u>
- Gilman, S. E., Harley, C. D. G., Strickland, D. C., Vanderstraeten, O., O'Donnell, M. J., &
 Helmuth, B. (2006). Evaluation of effective shore level as a method of characterizing
 intertidal wave exposure regimes. *Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods, 4*, 448–445.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2006.4.448
- Golubic, S., Radtke, G., & Le Campion-Alsumard, T. (2005). Endolithic fungi in marine
 ecosystems. *Trends in Microbiology*, *13*(5), 229-235.
- Halpern, B. S., Walbridge, S., Selkoe, K. A., Kappel, C. V., Micheli, F., D'Agrosa, C., . . .
 Watson, R. (2008). A global map of human impact on marine ecosystems. *Science*, 319(5865), 948-952. doi:10.1126/science.1149345
- Harley, C. D. (2008). Tidal dynamics, topographic orientation, and temperature-mediated
 mass mortalities on rocky shores. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 371, 37-46.
- Harley, C. D. G. (2011). Climate change, keystone predation, and biodiversity loss. *Science*,
 334(6059), 1124-1127. doi:10.1126/science.1210199
- Harley, C. D. G., & Helmuth, B. S. T. (2003). Local- and regional-scale effects of wave
 exposure, thermal stress, and absolute versus effective shore level on patterns of
 intertidal zonation. *Limnol. Oceanogr., 48*(4), 1498–1508.
- 548 doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2003.48.4.1498</u>

- Harvell, D., Altizer, S., Cattadori, I. M., Harrington, L., & Weil, E. (2009). Climate change and
 wildlife diseases: When does the host matter the most? *Ecology*, *90*(4), 912-920.
 doi:10.1890/08-0616.1
- Helmuth, B. (2002). How do we measure the environment? Linking intertidal thermal
 physiology and ecology through biophysics. *Integrative and comparative biology*,
 42(4), 837-845.
- Helmuth, B., Broitman, B. R., Yamane, L., Gilman, S. E., Mach, K., Mislan, K. A. S., &
 Denny, M. W. (2010). Organismal climatology: analyzing environmental variability at
 scales relevant to physiological stress. *Journal of Experimental Biology, 213*(6), 9951003. doi:10.1242/jeb.038463
- Helmuth, B., Choi, F., Matzelle, A., Torossian, J. L., Morello, S. L., Mislan, K. A. S., . . .
 Zardi, G. (2016). Long-term, high frequency in situ measurements of intertidal mussel
 bed temperatures using biomimetic sensors. *Scientific Data, 3*, 160087.
 doi:10.1038/sdata.2016.87
- Helmuth, B. S. T. (1998). Intertidal mussel microclimates:predicting the body temperature of
 a sessile invertebrate. *Ecological Monographs*, 68(1), 51-74. doi:doi:10.1890/00129615(1998)068[0051:IMMPTB]2.0.CO;2
- Hobday, A. J., Oliver, E. C. J., Gupta, A. S., Benthuysen, J. A., Burrows, M. T., Donat, M. G.,
 Smale, D. A. (2018). Categorizing and naming marine heatwaves. *Oceanography*, *31*(2), 162–173. doi:10.5670/oceanog.2018.205
- Holbrook, N. J., Gupta, A. S., Oliver, E. C., Hobday, A. J., Benthuysen, J. A., Scannell, H. A.,
 Wernberg, T. (2020). Keeping pace with marine heatwaves. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, 1-12.
- Hughes, T. P., Kerry, J. T., Álvarez-Noriega, M., Álvarez-Romero, J. G., Anderson, K. D.,
 Baird, A. H., . . . Berkelmans, R. (2017). Global warming and recurrent mass
 bleaching of corals. *nature*, *543*(7645), 373-377.
- Hunter, J. P. (1998). Key innovations and the ecology of macroevolution. *Trends in ecology & evolution, 13*(1), 31-36.

- Janson, E. M., Stireman III, J. O., Singer, M. S., & Abbot, P. (2008). Phytophagous insect–
 microbe mutualisms and adaptive evolutionary diversification. *Evolution: International Journal of Organic Evolution, 62*(5), 997-1012.
- Kaehler, S., & McQuaid, C. D. (1999). Lethal and sub-lethal effects of phototrophic endoliths
 attacking the shell of the intertidal mussel *Perna perna*. *Marine Biology*, *135*(3), 497503. doi:10.1007/s002270050650
- Lafferty, K. D., & Holt, R. D. (2003). How should environmental stress affect the population
 dynamics of disease? *Ecology Letters*, *6*(7), 654-664.
- Li, L., Hollowed, A. B., Cokelet, E. D., Barbeaux, S. J., Bond, N. A., Keller, A. A., . . .
 Stabeno, P. J. (2019). Subregional differences in groundfish distributional responses
 to anomalous ocean bottom temperatures in the northeast Pacific. *Global change biology*, *25*(8), 2560-2575.
- Lourenço, C. R., Nicastro, K. R., McQuaid, C. D., Sabour, B., & Zardi, G. I. (2017).
 Latitudinal incidence of phototrophic shell-degrading endoliths and their effects on
 mussel bed microclimates. *Marine Biology*, *164*(6), 129.
- Macnab, V., & Barber, I. (2012). Some (worms) like it hot: fish parasites grow faster in
 warmer water, and alter host thermal preferences. *Global change biology, 18*(5),
 1540-1548.
- Macreadie, P. I., Anton, A., Raven, J. A., Beaumont, N., Connolly, R. M., Friess, D. A., . . .
 Duarte, C. M. (2019). The future of Blue Carbon science. *Nature communications*, 10(1), 3998. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-11693-w
- Maga, E. A. (2020). UC Davis Transgenic Animal Research Conference XII (TARC XII).
 Transgenic Research, 29(4), 461-465. doi:10.1007/s11248-020-00206-x
- Marquet, N., Nicastro, K. R., Gektidis, M., McQuaid, C. D., Pearson, G. A., Serrão, E. A., &
 Zardi, G. I. (2013). Comparison of phototrophic shell-degrading endoliths in invasive
 and native populations of the intertidal mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. *Biological Invasions*, *15*(6), 1253-1272. doi:10.1007/s10530-012-0363-1
- Marshall, D. J., McQuaid, C. D., & Williams, G. A. (2010). Non-climatic thermal adaptation:
 implications for species' responses to climate warming. *Biology Letters, 6*(5), 669606 673. doi:10.1098/rsbl.2010.0233

- 607 Met Office. (2019a). MIDAS Open: UK hourly rainfall data, v201908. Centre for
- 608 Environmental Data Analysis, 30 October 2019.

609 doi:https://doi.org/10.5285/a58b9c8a724e4ec795a40a74455462b7

- 610 Met Office. (2019b). MIDAS Open: UK hourly solar radiation data, v201908. Centre for
- 611 Environmental Data Analysis, 30 October 2019.
- 612 doi:https://doi.org/10.5285/d6bbe115245042dc93ee68caa253d60b
- 613 Met Office. (2019c). MIDAS Open: UK hourly weather observation data, v201908. *Centre for* 614 *Environmental Data Analysis, 30 October 2019.*
- 615 doi:https://doi.org/10.5285/6c441aea187b44819b9e929e575b0d7e
- Miller, A. H. (1949). Some ecologic and morphologic considerations in the evolution of higher
 taxonomic categories. *Ornithologie als biologische Wissenschaft, 28*, 84-88.
- Miller, L. P., & Dowd, W. W. (2017). Multimodal in situ datalogging quantifies inter-individual
 variation in thermal experience and persistent origin effects on gaping behavior
 among intertidal mussels (Mytilus californianus). *Journal of Experimental Biology,*220(22), 4305-4319.
- Moyen, N. E., Somero, G. N., & Denny, M. W. (2019). Impact of heating rate on cardiac
 thermal tolerance in the California mussel, Mytilus californianus. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 222(17), jeb203166.
- Ndhlovu, A., McQuaid, C. D., & Monaco, C. J. (2021). Ectoparasites reduce scope for growth
 in a rocky-shore mussel (Perna perna) by raising maintenance costs. *Science of The Total Environment, 753*, 142020. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142020
- Ndhlovu, A., McQuaid, C. D., Nicastro, K., Marquet, N., Gektidis, M., Monaco, C. J., & Zardi,
 G. (2019). Biogeographical Patterns of Endolithic Infestation in an Invasive and an
 Indigenous Intertidal Marine Ecosystem Engineer. *Diversity*, *11*(5), 75.
- Ndhlovu, A., McQuaid, C. D., Nicastro, K. R., & Zardi, G. I. (2020). Community succession in
 phototrophic shell-degrading endoliths attacking intertidal mussels. *Journal of Molluscan Studies*. doi:10.1093/mollus/eyaa036
- Nicastro, K. R., McQuaid, C. D., Dievart, A., & Zardi, G. I. (2020). Intraspecific diversity in an
 ecological engineer functionally trumps interspecific diversity in shaping community

636 structure. Science of The Total Environment, 743, 140723. 637 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140723

Nicastro, K. R., McQuaid, C. D., & Zardi, G. I. (2018). Between a rock and a hard place:
combined effect of trampling and phototrophic shell-degrading endoliths in marine
intertidal mussels. *Marine Biodiversity*, 1-6.

Nicastro, K. R., Zardi, G. I., McQuaid, C. D., Pearson, G. A., & Serrão, E. A. (2012). Love
Thy Neighbour: Group Properties of Gaping Behaviour in Mussel Aggregations. *PLoS One, 7*(10), e47382. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0047382</u>

Nowakowski, A. J., Whitfield, S. M., Eskew, E. A., Thompson, M. E., Rose, J. P., Caraballo,
B. L., . . . Todd, B. D. (2016). Infection risk decreases with increasing mismatch in
host and pathogen environmental tolerances. *Ecology Letters, 19*(9), 1051-1061.

Oliver, E. C., Donat, M. G., Burrows, M. T., Moore, P. J., Smale, D. A., Alexander, L. V., ...
Hobday, A. J. (2018). Longer and more frequent marine heatwaves over the past
century. *Nature communications, 9*(1), 1-12.

Perkins-Kirkpatrick, S. E., & Lewis, S. C. (2020). Increasing trends in regional heatwaves. *Nature communications, 11*(1), 3357. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-16970-7

Petes, L. E., Menge, B. A., & Murphy, G. D. (2007). Environmental stress decreases
survival, growth, and reproduction in New Zealand mussels. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 351(1-2), 83-91.

Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., Sarkar, D., & R Core Team. (2020). nlme: Linear and
Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models. <u>https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme</u>: R
package version 3.1-151.

Pittera, J., Humily, F., Thorel, M., Grulois, D., Garczarek, L., & Six, C. (2014). Connecting
thermal physiology and latitudinal niche partitioning in marine Synechococcus. *The ISME journal, 8*(6), 1221-1236.

Porter, W. P., & Gates, D. M. (1969). Thermodynamic Equilibria of Animals with
Environment. *Ecol. Monogr., 39*(3), 227-244. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.2307/1948545</u>

Rabosky, D. L. (2014). Automatic detection of key innovations, rate shifts, and diversitydependence on phylogenetic trees. *PLoS One*, *9*(2), e89543.

Raffaelli, D., & Hawkins, S. J. (2012). *Intertidal ecology*: Springer Science & Business Media.

- Rahmstorf, S., & Coumou, D. (2011). Increase of extreme events in a warming world. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 108*(44), 17905.
 doi:10.1073/pnas.1101766108
- Reyes-Nivia, C., Diaz-Pulido, G., Kline, D., Guldberg, O. H., & Dove, S. (2013). Ocean
 acidification and warming scenarios increase microbioerosion of coral skeletons. *Global change biology*, *19*(6), 1919-1929.
- Santos, J. C., Coloma, L. A., & Cannatella, D. C. (2003). Multiple, recurring origins of
 aposematism and diet specialization in poison frogs. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 100*(22), 12792-12797.
- Sauer, E. L., Fuller, R. C., Richards-Zawacki, C. L., Sonn, J., Sperry, J. H., & Rohr, J. R.
 (2018). Variation in individual temperature preferences, not behavioural fever, affects
 susceptibility to chytridiomycosis in amphibians. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 285*(1885), 20181111.
- Schuster, S., Wöhl, S., Griebsch, M., & Klostermeier, I. (2006). Animal cognition: how archer
 fish learn to down rapidly moving targets. *Current Biology*, *16*(4), 378-383.
- Seabra, R., Wethey, D. S., Santos, A. M., & Lima, F. P. (2015). Understanding complex
 biogeographic responses to climate change. *Scientific Reports, 5*(1), 1-6.
- Seuront, L., Nicastro, K. R., Zardi, G. I., & Goberville, E. (2019). Decreased thermal
 tolerance under recurrent heat stress conditions explains summer mass mortality of
 the blue mussel Mytilus edulis. *Scientific Reports, 9*(1), 17498. doi:10.1038/s41598019-53580-w
- Smale, D. A., Wernberg, T., Oliver, E. C. J., Thomsen, M., Harvey, B. P., Straub, S. C., . . .
 Moore, P. J. (2019). Marine heatwaves threaten global biodiversity and the provision
 of ecosystem services. *Nature Climate Change*, *9*(4), 306-312. doi:10.1038/s41558019-0412-1
- Stillman, J. H. (2019). Heat Waves, the New Normal: Summertime Temperature Extremes
 Will Impact Animals, Ecosystems, and Human Communities. *Physiology*, *34*(2), 86100. doi:10.1152/physiol.00040.2018

- Suchanek, T. (1985). Mussels and their role in structuring rocky shore communities. *The Ecology of Rocky Coastes*, 70-96.
- Summers, K. (2003). Convergent evolution of bright coloration and toxicity in frogs.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 100(22), 12533-12534.
- Tribollet, A., Godinot, C., Atkinson, M., & Langdon, C. (2009). Effects of elevated pCO2 on
 dissolution of coral carbonates by microbial euendoliths. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 23*(3).
- Tsuchiya, M. (1983). Mass mortality in a population of the mussel Mytilus edulis L. caused
 by high temperature on rocky shores. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology Ecology*, 66, 101-111.
- Wernberg, T., Smale, D. A., Tuya, F., Thomsen, M. S., Langlois, T. J., de Bettignies, T., ...
 Rousseaux, C. S. (2013). An extreme climatic event alters marine ecosystem
 structure in a global biodiversity hotspot. *Nature Clim. Change, 3*(1), 78-82.
 doi:<u>http://www.nature.com/nclimate/journal/v3/n1/abs/nclimate1627.html#supplement</u>
 ary-information
- Zardi, G., Nicastro, K., McQuaid, C., Ng, T., Lathlean, J., & Seuront, L. (2016). Enemies with
 benefits: parasitic endoliths protect mussels against heat stress. *Scientific Reports, 6*,
 31413.
- Zardi, G. I., Nicastro, K. R., McQuaid, C. D., & Gektidis, M. (2009). Effects of endolithic
 parasitism on invasive and indigenous mussels in a variable physical environment. *PLoS One, 4*(8), e6560.
- 715
- 716

717 **TABLES**

718 Table 1. Main drivers of the maximum difference in body temperature between non-

- 719 infested and infested mussels. Results are shown for all best candidate models (ΔAICc <
- 2) as compared to the null model, along with associated Akaike weights (relative support of
- each model). The selected model (least number of parameters) is indicated in bold.

Meteorological parameters (estimated coefficients±SE)	AICc	ΔΑΙϹϲ	Akaike weights
Intercept(0.51±0.35) + AT(- 0.03±0.02) + SR(0.05±0.005) + WS(-0.04±0.01) + Rdm(SD=0.24)	1845.13	0	0.28
Intercept(0.09±0.16) + SR(0.05±0.005) + WS(- 0.04±0.01) + Rdm(SD=0.24)	1845.34	0.21	0.25
Intercept(0.66±0.36) + SR(0.04±0.007) + RH(- 0.006±0.004) + WS(-0.04±0.01) + Rdm(SD=0.24)	1845.88	0.75	0.19
Intercept(0.94±0.48) + AT(- 0.02±0.02) + SR(0.05±0.007) + RH(-0.005±0.004) + WS(- 0.04±0.01) + Rdm(SD=0.25)	1846.14	1.02	0.17
Intercept(0.50 ± 0.35) + AT(- 0.03 ±0.02) + SR(0.05 ± 0.005) + PR(0.002 ± 0.005) + WS(- 0.04 ±0.01) + Rdm(SD=0.24)	1847.01	1.88	0.11
null	1969.24	124.12	0

Abbreviations: Solar Radiation (SR), Relative Humidity (RH), Wind Speed (WS), Air Temperature (AT) and Precipitation (PR), Random factor (Rdm; robomussel identity nested

724 within locations).

725

726 Table 2. Meteorological conditions during heatwaves identified in 2018 at Wimereux,

727 **France.** Heat waves were identified based on air temperature during summer 2018, and were

classified from moderate to extreme: "These events were classified as moderate (78.1%) to

strong (21.9%) in June, moderate (67.8%), strong (23.1%), severe (7.0%) and extreme (2.0%)

in July, and moderate (63.0%), strong (30.9%) and severe (6.2%) in August." (from Seuront

et al. 2019). Maximum temperature differences between non-infested and infested mussels

732 were predicted based on wind speed and solar radiation using the model selected in the

733 present study.

Date (in 2018)	Mean daily wind speed (m.s ⁻¹)	Mean daily total solar radiation (MJ.m ⁻²)	Predicted max. temperature differences (°C) (95% confidence intervals)
June 28 to 30	4.54	28.160	4.26 (1.15)
July 05 to 07	2.48	26.006	4.14 (1.12)
July 23 to 25	2.61	24.433	3.80 (1.11)
August 01 to 08	2.67	25.350	3.97 (1.11)
June 23	2.81	26.610	4.21 (1.13)
June 26	4.41	30.270	4.77 (1.17)
July 13	3.12	18.510	2.75 (1.08)
July 17	5.95	28.350	4.06 (1.17)
August 10	8.95	13.340	1.67 (1.12)

734

735

736 FIGURE LEGENDS



WIN

500km



MO



737

738 Fig. 1. (a) Example of non-infested and infested mussels. (b) Study sites where mussels were deployed. (c) Daily total (global) solar radiation (blue) and mean wind speed (red) during 739 740 emergence at (from north (left) to south (right)) Nebo Geo (NEB), Bay of Skaill (SKA) and 741 Aberffraw (ABE), United Kingdom (UK), Wimereux (WIM), France (FR) and, Monte Clerigo (MON) and Vilamoura (VIL), Portugal (POR). Coloured bars indicate 2.5th and 97.5th 742 743 percentiles and grey points are the median values. (d) Prediction surface of maximum 744 temperature differences in body temperature between non-infested and infested mussels (see 745 Supplementary Fig. S2 for a 2D representation with confidence intervals): The daily maximum 746 difference increases with increasing solar radiation and decreasing wind speed (units are 747 displayed in panel c). Black points indicate the mean expected difference for each site using 748 data averaged over the study period and triangles indicate the mean expected difference 749 during nine heatwaves identified at Wimereux during summer 2018 (confidence intervals are 750 between +/-1.08°C and +/-1.15°C for predictions during heatwaves; see Table 2).



751

752 Fig. 2. Comparison of the temperature response between non-infested and infested

- 753 mussels. (a) Average rate of change in body temperatures and (b) maximum or (c) near-
- 754 maximum (95th percentile) temperatures (per tidal cycles) during emergence. Means (black
- dots), medians (central bars), 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles (respectively, lower and upper bars),
- and 25th and 75th percentiles (grey boxes) are shown.
- 757
- 758