

Tropical Wave Observations From the Reel-Down Atmospheric Temperature Sensor (RATS) in the Lowermost Stratosphere During Strateole-2

Martina Bramberger, Doug Goetz, M. Joan Alexander, Lars Kalnajs, Albert Hertzog, Aurelien Podglajen

▶ To cite this version:

Martina Bramberger, Doug Goetz, M. Joan Alexander, Lars Kalnajs, Albert Hertzog, et al.. Tropical Wave Observations From the Reel-Down Atmospheric Temperature Sensor (RATS) in the Lowermost Stratosphere During Strateole-2. Geophysical Research Letters, 2023, 50 (17), 10.1029/2023GL104711. hal-04297087

HAL Id: hal-04297087 https://hal.science/hal-04297087v1

Submitted on 21 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Tropical wave observations from the Reel-down Atmospheric Temperature Sensor (RATS) in the lowermost stratosphere during Strateole-2

Martina Bramberger¹, Doug Goetz², M. Joan Alexander¹, Lars Kalnajs², Albert Hertzog³, Aurelien Podglajen³

¹NorthWest Research Associates, Boulder Office, Colorado, USA ²Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA ³Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique, École Polytechnique, Palaiseau, France

Key Points:

1

2

3

5

6 7 8

9

10

11

- high-resolution observations in the lowermost stratosphere from a new instrument
- Instrument detected tropical waves at resolution limit of reanalyses
- ERA5 reproduces waves, but temporal evolution differs from observations

Corresponding author: Martina Bramberger, martina@nwra.com

13 Abstract

Tropical waves play an important role in driving the quasi-biennial oscillation (QBO) 14 of zonal winds in the tropical lower stratosphere. In our study we analyze these waves 15 based on temperature observations from the 2021-2022 Strateole-2 campaign when the 16 Reel-down Atmospheric Temperature Sensor (RATS) was successfully deployed for the 17 first time. RATS provides long-duration, continuous and simultaneous high-resolution 18 temperature observations at two altitudes (balloon float level and 200m below) allow-19 ing for an analysis of vertical wavelengths. This separation distance was chosen to fo-20 21 cus on waves near the resolution limit of reanalyses. Here, we found tropical waves with periods between about 6 hours and 2 days, with vertical wavelengths between 1.5 km and 22 5 km, respectively. Comparing our results to ERA5 reanalyses we found good agreement 23 for waves with a period longer than one day. However, the ERA5 amplitudes of high-24 frequency waves are under-estimated, and the temporal evolution of most wave packets 25 differs from the observations. 26

27 Plain Language Summary

We present measurements from the maiden flight of the RATS instrument (Reel-28 down Atmospheric Temperature Sensor) in November/December 2021. The instrument 29 lowered a temperature sensor down to about 200 m from a balloon floating at about 18 km. 30 By combining the temperature measurements at balloon floating level and RATS we an-31 alyze tropical waves with relatively small vertical wavelengths ($<6 \,\mathrm{km}$). These waves are 32 important as they drive the quasi-biennial oscillation in the east-west winds in the trop-33 ical stratosphere. This oscillation is acknowledged as an important process for seasonal 34 forecasts, but it is currently not well resolved in weather or seasonal forecast models. In 35 our study we compare the observed waves with waves in one weather model system (ERA5) 36 and find that the spectral energies are similar for long-period waves (>1 day). However, 37 the high-frequency waves (that provide about 25% of the necessary QBO forcing) are 38 under-estimated by ERA5, which is likely related to the limited vertical resolution. 39

40 1 Introduction

Strateole-2 is a French-US project with a focus on dynamics, microphysics and com-41 position in the tropical upper troposphere and lower stratosphere using long-duration 42 superpressure balloons. Measurements include winds, temperatures, composition, clouds 43 and aerosols from a variety of in situ and remote sensing instruments (Haase et al., 2018; 44 Corcos et al., 2021; Kalnajs et al., 2021; Goetz et al., 2023). One goal is improved char-45 acterization of tropical waves, which drive variability in temperature and high cirrus clouds 46 that have impacts on global stratospheric water vapor and decadal-scale climate vari-47 ability (J.-E. Kim et al., 2016; Jensen et al., 2017; Solomon et al., 2010) 48

Tropical waves also drive the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation (QBO) in lower stratospheric zonal winds, a circulation with notable impacts on long-range prediction (Scaife et al., 2022). Global model systems struggle to simulate these climate processes (Richter, Anstey, et al., 2020; Davis et al., 2017), likely in part due to poor resolution of the short horizontal and/or vertical scales of important tropical waves (Holt et al., 2020; Richter, Butchart, et al., 2020; J.-E. Kim & Alexander, 2015; Bramberger et al., 2022).

To study the effects of tropical waves on QBO and cirrus clouds, global reanalyses provided by different centers for numerical weather prediction have been widely used (Ern et al., 2014; Y.-H. Kim & Chun, 2015; Pahlavan, Fu, et al., 2021; Pahlavan, Wallace, et al., 2021; Ueyama et al., 2023). Due to its higher horizontal resolution compared to its predecessors, the fifth generation European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) Reanalysis (ERA5) is capable to resolve a wider spectrum of tropical waves. This also allows for an improved representation of the wave-mean flow interaction which is crucial for the generation of the QBO (Pahlavan, Fu, et al., 2021).

Recently, balloon studies have shown the importance of very short vertical wave-63 length 1-5 day intrinsic period gravity waves with momentum fluxes that will contribute 64 substantial forces to the QBO in the lower stratosphere (Vincent & Alexander, 2020; Bram-65 berger et al., 2022). These studies highlighted limitations of vertical resolution in reanal-66 yses for representing short-vertical scale tropical waves, even for the high (~ 350 m) res-67 olution of ERA5. Together with modeling studies these observations highlight the im-68 69 portance of high vertical resolution in the realistic representation of the QBO (Garfinkel et al., 2022). 70

Motivated by these results, Strateole-2 balloons equipped with specialized sensors 71 were launched in the tropics in late 2021 with flight levels within the TTL and lower strato-72 sphere. A new measurement platform named the Reel-down Atmospheric Temperature 73 Sensor (RATS), which senses temperature at the isopynic balloon level and hundreds of 74 meters below the balloon level had its maiden flight November-December 2021. The goal 75 of these measurements is to characterize tropical waves in the short vertical wavelength 76 range that straddles the limits of ERA5 resolution. For this study we use RATS data 77 in conjunction with balloon level Thermodynamical SENsor (TSEN) observations (Hertzog 78 et al., 2004) to characterize tropical waves near 18 km in the lowermost tropical strato-79 sphere. We use these unique measurements and ERA5 fields, to characterize tropical waves 80 above the Indian Ocean in the lowermost tropical stratosphere and study their repre-81 sentation in ERA5. 82

⁸³ 2 Data and Instruments

2.1 Strateole-2 in situ TSEN observations

84

For Strateole-2, 25 super-pressure balloons were launched during two campaigns 85 from the Seychelles. The first campaign took place from November 2019 to February 2020 86 and the second campaign from October 2021 to January 2022. These balloons circum-87 navigate the equator at 18 km or 20 km (Haase et al., 2018; Corcos et al., 2021). We fo-88 cus on balloons C1-15-TTL4 (TTL4) and C1-16-TTL5 (TTL5) where both balloons are 89 equipped with the TSEN temperature sensor at floating level (Hertzog et al., 2004) and 90 the TTL5 balloon additionally carried the RATS instrument (see Fig. 1a). The TTL5 91 super-pressure flight duration was approximately 42 days and RATS was operational for 92 the first 16 days of the flight. Due to closely spaced launch times (<2 hr) and near-identical 93 float altitudes, the TTL4 and TTL5 balloons were floating in close proximity over the 94 course of 10 days (see Fig. 1a and c). This permits combination of the in-situ observa-95 tions of these two balloons for the purpose of analyzing the horizontal wavelengths of 96 tropical waves. 97

In-situ balloon observations of ambient temperature, pressure and horizontal wind 98 vectors at the isopycnic floating levels of the Strateole-2 balloons were done with TSEN. 99 Wind speeds are calculated from the GPS position of the drifting balloon gondola. The 100 temperature sensor accuracy is $0.1 \,\mathrm{K}$ at night and $0.25 \,\mathrm{K}$ during the day after correction 101 for solar radiation (Hertzog et al., 2004). The precision of TSEN temperatures is on av-102 erage about 4 mK for night-time measurements and about 20 mK for daytime, respec-103 tively (Wilson et al., 2023). The absolute accuracy and precision of the pressure sensor 104 are ± 2 hPa and 0.01 hPa. Winds are derived from position with $0.1 \,\mathrm{ms}^{-1}$ precision. The 105 temporal resolution of this data set is 30 s. 106

107 **2.2 RATS**

RATS is a platform designed to make simultaneous, continuous and fast-response 108 measurements of temperature, pressure, and position at two altitudes from a drifting high-109 altitude balloon using the TSEN temperature and GPS (Fig. 1b). The temperature sen-110 sors were separated vertically by a reel-down cable, with one TSEN at the "Euros" con-111 trol gondola flight level and the other at the end of the reel-down cable. The lower sen-112 sor was attached to a suspended sub-gondola, or end-of-fiber-unit (EFU). For the TTL5 113 flight, the separation length was about 200 m, but other lengths are possible on future 114 115 flights. The flight level gondola contained the data and communications interface of RATS in addition to the mechanical reeling system used to deploy the EFU, which is identi-116 cal to the reel system used by the FLOATS instrument (Goetz et al., 2023). The EFU 117 design and implementation was also identical to FLOATS, with the TSEN probe located 118 on a thin white PTFE wire about 1 m below the EFU sub-gondola. Other specifications 119 can be found in (Goetz et al., 2023). Additionally, the same 600 µm diameter liquid crys-120 tal polymer (LCP) coated fiber optic cable used for FLOATS was used to suspend the 121 EFU. Its UV radiation exposure rating and breaking strain meet the International Civil 122 Aviation Organization (ICAO) regulatory standards (i.e. Rules of the Air ICAO Appendix 123 4 Annex 2). For TTL5 the EFU was configured to transmit GPS position and TSEN pres-124 sure and temperature every 10 to 30 s via Long Range (LoRa) radio to the flight level 125 gondola interface system. The Euros level TSEN and GPS was configured to a sampling 126 period of 30s and the EFU data was synced to the same clock. 127

2.3 ERA5 in Balloon Trajectory-Following Coordinates

ERA5 is an atmospheric reanalysis available at 6 hour intervals on 137 vertical levels up to 1hPa (Hersbach et al., 2020). The distance between the different vertical levels is about 400 m in the lower stratosphere and the horizontal resolution is 31 km. For this study the hourly ERA5 data was interpolated both in space and time to the balloon flight tracks of TTL4 and TTL5, respectively.

3 Methods

To determine the vertical or horizontal wavelengths we generally follow the approach of previous studies (Alexander et al., 2008; Wright et al., 2010; Ern et al., 2014; Alexander, 2015) who used adjacent satellite-borne temperature profiles to infer horizontal wavelengths. However for RATS analysis, we take into account covariance between two temperature time series rather than covariance between adjacent vertical profiles.

140

146

150

3.1 RATS vertical wavelength estimates

To calculate vertical wavelengths we use the temperatures measured with RATS (~200 m below balloon flight level) and TSEN (at balloon floating level) systems. We decompose the temperature observations into time t and frequency ω spectrum applying the Stockwell transform (Stockwell et al., 1996). The covariance between the two spectra C_{TR} can be written as

$$C_{TR}(t,\omega) = \hat{T}_t \hat{T}_r^* \tag{1}$$

where the \hat{T}_t and \hat{T}_r^* are the complex temperature amplitude spectra observed by TSEN at balloon level and by RATS ~200 m below the balloon, respectively. (See Fig.1b.) The star denotes the complex conjugate of \hat{T}_r . The phase shift is computed with

$$\Delta\phi_{TR} = \arctan\left(\frac{Im(C_{TR})}{Re(C_{TR})}\right) \tag{2}$$



Figure 1. (a) Flight track of the TTL4 (red) and TTL5 (orange) balloons from 25th November to 9th December. The stars show the balloon positions on the 25th November, 1st December and 9th December, respectively. The red star highlights the Seychelles islands where the balloons were launched. (b) Schematic of TTL5 temperature measurements where RATS is on average 211m below the balloon. (c) Horizontal distance between the two balloons between 25th November and 9th December. (d) Theoretical upper and lower limits of horizontal wavelengths that can be resolved based on the horizontal distance of the balloons. Grey shading indicates the time period where the horizontal distance was too large and the data was excluded from the analysis.

- From the vertical phase shift $\Delta \phi_{TR}$ and the vertical distance $\Delta_z = 211$ m we can then estimate the vertical wavelength
 - $\lambda_z = \frac{\Delta \phi_{TR}}{\Delta_z}.$ (3)

¹⁵⁴ Due to the sensitivity of the instrument and to avoid aliasing effects we define wave pack-¹⁵⁵ ets with a covariance threshold > 0.5 K^2 and only compute vertical wavelengths where ¹⁵⁶ the observed phase difference $|\Delta \phi_{TR}|$ is in the range $0.2 - \pi$.

As the vertical distance between the RATS and balloon observed temperatures is a constant the resolvable vertical wavelengths range from \sim 420 m to 6.6 km throughout the observation period.

3.2 Dual-balloon horizontal wavelength estimates

153

160

As the balloons TTL4 and TTL5 were floating in close proximity over 10 days we can also estimate the horizontal wavelength λ_x analogous to the vertical wavelength. In this case the equation changes to

 $\lambda_x = \frac{\Delta\phi_{45}}{\Delta_x(t)} \tag{4}$

where $\Delta \phi_{45}$ is the phase shift derived from the co-spectral analysis of TTL4 and TTL5 measured in-situ temperatures at the Euros gondola level and $\Delta_x(t)$ is the horizontal distance between the two balloons which varies with time (see Fig.1c). As the horizontal distance between the two balloons varies with time the resolvable horizontal wavelength range changes accordingly (see Fig.1d). Note, we limit the application of this method to times where Δ_x is smaller than 750 km and where the change in time of Δ_x is also small. Also note that compared to their horizontal separation, the mean vertical distance between the two balloons was negligibly small and is neglected in the analysis.

173 4 Results

174

175

4.1 Vertical and horizontal wavelength estimates from balloon observations



Figure 2. (a) Covariance of the temperatures measured by RATS and TSEN with a mask to only show significant amplitudes (power $> 0.5 \text{K}^2$). (b) Phase shift for significant wave packets with overlain covariance contours for reference. (c) Vertical wavelength for wave packets with detectable signals (where phase shift >0.2 radians). All spectra are shown as a function of time and wave frequency.

The covariance calculated from RATS and balloon flight-level observed tempera-176 tures shows enhanced power for waves with periods between 12 hours and 2.5 days be-177 tween 25th November 2021 and 8th December 2021 (Fig. 2a). Boxes on the figure iden-178 tify potential candidate wave packets for analysis. The corresponding phase shifts, com-179 puted as amplitude-weighted averages for each packet, reveal two high-frequency waves 180 and one low-frequency wave packet with detectable phase shifts in these measurements 181 (Fig. 2b). For these wave packets the vertical wavelengths range from $1.5 \,\mathrm{km}$ to $5.5 \,\mathrm{km}$ 182 (Fig. 2c). For wave packets with a vertical wavelength larger than 6.6 km the phase shift 183 becomes so small that it cannot be detected with the deployed instrument configuration. 184

Over the course of 10 days the balloons TTL4 and TTL5 floated in close proxim-185 ity ($\Delta x < 750$ km) allowing the analysis of horizontal wavelengths (see Fig. 3). Again the 186 covariance shows wave packet signals for periods between 5 hours and 2 days and phase 187 shifts are detectable (>0.2) especially for wave packets after 30 November 2021. The es-188 timated horizontal wavelengths range between 500 km and 3000 km (see Fig. 3c). Note, 189 that the horizontal distance between the two balloons varies with time (see Fig. 1a and 190 c) which means that changes in the derived horizontal wavelengths over a wave packet 191 could be related to the change of horizontal distance between the balloons with time if 192 the wavelength shifts in or out of the observable range (see Eq.4 and Fig.1d). 193

194

4.2 Comparison to ERA5 reanalyses

As a next step we compare the observed covariances with ERA5 temperature covariances. For the comparison with the vertical RATS-TTL5 covariances we choose the two ERA5 model levels that are closest to the balloon and RATS floating level. Please note that the vertical grid spacing of ERA5 at this altitude region is about 350 m whereas



Figure 3. (a) Covariance of the temperatures measured by TTL4 and TTL5 TSEN with a mask to only show significant amplitudes (power $> 0.5 \text{K}^2$). (b) Phase shift between the temperatures for significant wave packets. (c) Resulting horizontal wavelength for significant wave packets and phase shift >0.2 radians. All spectra are shown as a function of time and wave frequency. Grey shaded areas highlight the time where the horizontal distance exceeds the threshold.



Figure 4. (a) Covariance of ERA5 temperatures between the two model levels that are closest to the balloon and RATS floating levels (blue and green contours). (b) Covariance of ERA5 temperatures interpolated to the TTL4 and TTL5 flight tracks. For both panels (a) and (b) the red contours identify where observed covariances>0.5K² as presented in Fig. 2a and 3a. (c) ERA5 vertical wavelength spectrum for the wave packet for which the best agreement between measurements and ERA5 was found (yellow box in panel (a)). The spectra in a) and b) are shown as a function of time and wave frequency.

RATS is only about 200 m below the balloon. For the comparison with the observed TTL4 and TTL5 covariances we use ERA5 data interpolated in space and time to the respective balloon flight tracks.

Fig. 4a shows that for frequencies lower than 2 cycles/day the ERA5 simulated co-202 variances have similar structures and energies as the observed ones. This is especially 203 true for the wavepacket observed on 29th November 2021 with a frequency of ~ 0.6 cycles/day 204 (see yellow box in Fig 4a). This similarity gives confidence in the wave-packet analysis 205 based on RATS observations, since the two figures Fig.2a and Fig.4a show correlations 206 obtained with independent datasets. However, most of the ERA5 wave packets seem to 207 evolve differently in time compared to observations and the observed high-frequency wave 208 packets (29th November and 3rd December) are not resolved at all in ERA5. 209

For the wave packed that is well reproduced in ERA5 (yellow box in Fig 4a) we calculated the vertical wavelength for comparison with vertical wavelength estimates from RATS. For this analysis we interpolated ERA5 data on an equal-spaced vertical grid and then calculated the power spectrum of the vertical wavelength as a function of time (see Fig. 4c). Most of the power is concentrated at a vertical wavelength of about 4.5 km which is similar to the estimated 5 km vertical wavelength derived from RATS observations.

Comparing the ERA5 and observed covariances of TTL4 and TTL5 (Fig.4b) we draw similar conclusions. Again, for low frequencies, less than 1/day, there is similar power and temporal evolution, but the power for higher-frequency wave packets is underestimated in ERA5 and temporal evolution does not much resemble the observations. As ERA5 does not reproduce the observed packets that were well-resolved (~ 3 December in Fig.4b), we do not attempt to compare the horizontal wavelengths between ERA5 and observations.

²²³ 5 Conclusions & Discussion

In this study we present first results of a newly developed instrument RATS (Reel-224 down Atmospheric Temperature Sensor). RATS was developed during the second Strateole-225 2 campaign in 2021 and this study provides a proof-of-concept analysis of first measure-226 ments with this instrument. In its current design RATS was deployed about 200 m be-227 low the balloon gondola thus enabling observations of tropical waves with vertical wave-228 lengths between $\sim 400 \,\mathrm{m}$ and 6 km. A previous study of long-duration balloon observa-229 tions (Bramberger et al., 2022) found significant gravity waves with vertical wavelengths 230 $<1 \,\mathrm{km}$. In the present case study, we observed tropical waves with vertical wavelengths 231 between 1.5 km and 5 km. To extend the range of observable tropical waves future plans 232 include the development of several RATS instruments allowing different vertical distances. 233 These different RATS instruments can be deployed on several balloons in the next Strateole-234 2 campaign planned for late 2025. 235

The comparison to ERA5 reanalyses shows that the power distribution in the co-236 variances at frequencies < 1 cycle/day is similar to the observations, both for the RATS 237 and the TTL4/TTL5 comparisons. However, the power is underestimated for high-frequency 238 waves with frequencies ≥ 2 cycles/day, and some prominent observed higher frequency 239 waves are absent in ERA5. Given the limited 10-day time series in this study, it is pos-240 sible some higher frequency wave events may appear in ERA5 but with different tim-241 ing than in the observations. The differences might be related to modeling limitations 242 due to limited vertical resolution or differences in ERA5 representation of convection, 243 which is the likely source of these tropical gravity waves (Corcos et al., 2021). Never-244 theless, one low-frequency wave packet compared very well between ERA5 and RATS 245 observations and we could therefore compare the respective vertical wavelengths. The 246 found wavelengths agree well between ERA5 and RATS which adds confidence to the 247 analysis technique applied to the RATS data. 248

Momentum fluxes calculated with a previously published method (Corcos et al., 2021) for the two high-frequency wave packets 1 and 2 in Fig.2a are modest with about $\sim 1 \text{ mPa}$ and $\sim 5 \text{ mPa}$, respectively. The found momentum fluxes are in concurrence with Corcos et al. (2021) where 1-5 mPa were the most commonly observed values and the global average momentum flux was $\sim 5 \text{ mPa}$. In their study they found that collectively the high-frequency waves (>1 cycle/day) contribute at least 25%, and possibly more than 50%, to the total force required to drive the QBO.

In general, there is good correspondence in the temperature covariance time series 256 comparing the balloons to ERA5 for inertia-gravity waves with periods in the 1-2 day 257 range. Similar signals were analyzed in ERA5 in detail over decades (Pahlavan, Fu, et 258 al., 2021), and finding their contribution to forcing the QBO. Our comparison to these 259 balloon data suggests these low-frequency gravity waves may be quite realistic in ERA5, 260 both in their locations, timing, and amplitude (Fig.4). However, although this is only 261 a limited case study comparison, the ERA5 reanalysis appears to be deficient in grav-262 ity waves at higher frequencies. Additionally, ERA5 vertical resolution limitations were 263

implicated in missing waves seen in a previous balloon study (Bramberger et al., 2022) 264

that should otherwise have been resolvable. Future comparisons between Strateole-2 bal-265

loon observations and reanalyses should further illuminate the strengths and limitations 266

of the reanalysis datasets for representing tropical gravity waves. 267

Acknowledgments 268

The TSEN and RATS data were collected as part of Strateole-2, which is sponsored by

CNES, CNRS/INSU and NSF. Joan Alexander and Martina Bramberger were supported 270

by NSF grants 1642246 and 1642644. Development of FLOATS/RATS was supported 271

by NSF award 1419932 and deployment was supported by NSF award 1642277. The au-272

thors would like to acknowledge Agustin Caro, the LATMOS gondola team, and Karim 273

Ramage for their expertise and patience in the field. We give special thanks to Stephanie 274 Venel and the CNES ballooning team for their exceptional balloon launches, profession-

alism, and for making these difficult measurements possible. 276

References 277

275

283

284

298

299

300

- Alexander, M. J. (2015). Global and seasonal variations in three-dimensional gravity 278 wave momentum flux from satellite limb-sounding temperatures. Geophys. Res. 279 Lett., 42(16), 6860-6867. doi: 10.1002/2015GL065234 280
- Alexander, M. J., Gille, J., Cavanaugh, C., Coffey, M., Craig, C., Eden, T., ... 281 Dean, V. (2008). Global estimates of gravity wave momentum flux from high 282
 - resolution dynamics limb sounder observations. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 113(D15). doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD008807
- Bramberger, M., Alexander, M. J., Davis, S., Podglajen, A., Hertzog, A., Kalnajs, 285 L., ... Khaykin, S. (2022).First super-pressure balloon-borne fine-286 vertical-scale profiles in the upper ttl: Impacts of atmospheric waves on cir-287 rus clouds and the gbo. Geophys. Res. Lett., 49(5), e2021GL097596. doi: 288 https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL097596 289
- Corcos, M., Hertzog, A., Plougonven, R., & Podglajen, A. (2021). Observation of 290 Gravity Waves at the Tropical Tropopause Using Superpressure Balloons. .1. 291 Geophys. Res. Atmos., 126(15), e2021JD035165. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/ 292 2021JD035165 293
- Davis, S. M., Hegglin, M. I., Fujiwara, M., Dragani, R., Harada, Y., Kobayashi, C., 294 ... Wright, J. S. (2017). Assessment of upper tropospheric and stratospheric 295 water vapor and ozone in reanalyses as part of s-rip. Atmospheric Chemistry 296 and Physics, 17(20), 12743–12778. doi: 10.5194/acp-17-12743-2017 297
 - Ern, M., Ploeger, F., Preusse, P., Gille, J. C., Gray, L. J., Kalisch, S., ... Riese, M. (2014). Interaction of gravity waves with the QBO: A satellite perspective. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 119(5), 2329-2355. doi: 10.1002/2013JD020731
- Garfinkel, C. I., Gerber, E. P., Shamir, O., Rao, J., Jucker, M., White, I., & Paldor, 301 A QBO Cookbook: Sensitivity of the Quasi-Biennial Oscilla-N. (2022).302 tion to Resolution, Resolved Waves, and Parameterized Gravity Waves. J.303 Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 14(3), e2021MS002568. Retrieved from https:// 304 agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2021MS002568 doi: 305 https://doi.org/10.1029/2021MS002568 306
- Goetz, J. D., Kalnajs, L. E., Deshler, T., Davis, S. M., Bramberger, M., & Alexan-307 der, M. J. (2023). A fiber-optic distributed temperature sensor for continuous 308 309 in situ profiling up to 2 km beneath constant-altitude scientific balloons. Atmos. Meas. Tech., 16(3), 791-807. doi: 10.5194/amt-16-791-2023 310
- Haase, J., Alexander, M., Hertzog, A., Kalnajs, L., Deshler, T., Davis, S., ... Venel, 311 (2018). Around the world in 84 days. EOS, 99. doi: https://doi.org/ 312 S. 10.1029/2018EO091907 313
- Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz Sabater, 314

315	J., Thópaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. Quart. J. Roy.
316	Meteor. Soc., 146(730), 1999-2049. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803
317	Hertzog, A., Basdevant, C., Vial, F., & Mechoso, C. R. (2004). The accuracy
318	of stratospheric analyses in the northern hemisphere inferred from long-
319	duration balloon flights. Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc., 130(597), 607-626. doi:
320	https://doi.org/10.1256/qj.03.76
321	Holt, L. A., Lott, F., Garcia, R. R., Kiladis, G. N., Cheng, YM., Anstev, J. A.,
322	Yukimoto, S. (2020). An evaluation of tropical waves and wave forc-
323	ing of the QBO in the QBO models. $Q J R$ Meteorol Soc., $n/a(n/a)$. doi:
324	https://doi.org/10.1002/gj.3827
325	Jensen, E. J., Pfister, L., Jordan, D. E., Bui, T. V., Uevama, R., Singh, H. B.,
326	Pfeilsticker, K. (2017). The NASA Airborne Tropical TRopopause EXperi-
327	ment: High-Altitude Aircraft Measurements in the Tropical Western Pacific.
328	Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 96, 129–143. doi: doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00263.1
329	Kalnais, L. E., Davis, S. M., Goetz, J. D., Deshler, T., Khavkin, S., St. Clair, A.,
330	Lykov, A. (2021). A reel-down instrument system for profile measure-
331	ments of water vapor temperature clouds and aerosol beneath constant-
332	altitude scientific balloons $Atmos Meas Tech 1/(4) 2635-2648$ doi:
332	10.5194/amt-14-2635-2021
224	Kim $I - E$ & Alexander M I (2015) Direct impacts of waves on tropical cold
225	point troponause temperature Geophys Res Lett 12(5) 1584-1502 doi: 10
335	1002/2014CL.062737
330	Kim L-E Alexander M I Bui T P Dean-Day I M Lawson B P Woods
337	S Jensen E. J. (2016) Ubiquitous influence of waves on tropical
338	high cirrus clouds Ceonhusical Research Letters /3(11) 5895-5001 doi:
339	https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL.060203
340	$K_{im} = V + f_{c} C_{hum} + V = (2015)$ Momentum forcing of the quasi biophial of
341	cillation by equatorial waves in recent reanalyses Atmos Chem. and Phys.
342	15(12) 6577 6587 doi: 10.5104/acp.15.6577 2015
343	10(12), 001-0001. doi: $10.0194/acp-10-0011-2010$
344	Paniavan, H. A., Fu, Q., Wanace, J. M., & Knauis, G. N. (2021). Revisiting the
345	Quasi-Dieminal Oscillation as Seen in ERAS. Fait 1. Description and Momen- tum Pudget I_{1} Atmos Sci. 78(2) 672 601 doi: 10.1175/IAS D 20.0248.1
346	Dahlavan II A Wallace I M Ev O h Kilodia C N (2021) Deviciting the
347	Paniavan, H. A., Wanace, J. M., Fu, Q., & Knauis, G. N. (2021). Revisiting the
348	quasi-biennial oscillation as seen in erab. part in: Evaluation of waves and more foreign L Atmos Sci. $70/2$, $602-707$, doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/
349	wave forcing. J. Atmos. Sci., $70(5)$, $095 - 707$. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/
350	JAS-D-20-0249.1
351	Richter, J. H., Anstey, J. A., Butchart, N., Kawatani, Y., Meeni, G. A., Osprey,
352	S., & Simpson, I. R. (2020). Progress in simulating the quasi-blennial
353	oscillation in chip models. <i>Journal Geophysical Research: Atmospheres</i> , 105 (2010 ID022262) doi: 10.1020/2010 ID022262
354	123 (e2019JD052502). doi: $10.1029/2019JD052502$
355	Kichter, J. H., Butchart, N., Kawatani, Y., Busnell, A. C., Holt, L., Serva, F.,
356	Yukimoto, S. (2020). Response of the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation to a warm-
357	ing climate in global climate models. Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 1-29. doi: 10.1000/.: 2740
358	10.1002/q.3/49
359	Scalle, A. A., Baldwin, M. P., Butler, A. H., Charlton-Perez, A. J., Domeisen,
360	D. I. V., Garfinkel, C. I., Thompson, D. W. J. (2022). Long-range pre-
361	diction and the stratosphere. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 22(4),
362	2601-2623. doi: $10.5194/acp-22-2601-2022$
363	Solomon, S., Rosenlof, K., Portmann, R., Daniel, J., Davis, S., Sanford, T., &
364	Plattner, GK. (2010, 03). Contributions of Stratospheric Water Vapor to
365	Decadal Changes in the Rate of Global Warming. Science, 327, 1219-23. doi:
366	10.1120/science.1182488
367	Stockwell, R. G., Mansinha, L., & Lowe, R. P. (1996). Localization of the complex
368	spectrum: the S transform. <i>IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing</i> , $44(4)$,
369	998-1001. doi: 10.1109/78.492555

- Ueyama, R., Schoeberl, M., Jensen, E., Pfister, L., Park, M., & Ryoo, J.-M. (2023).
 Convective impact on the global lower stratospheric water vapor budget. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 128(6), e2022JD037135. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JD037135
- Vincent, R. A., & Alexander, M. J. (2020). Balloon-Borne Observations of Short
 Vertical Wavelength Gravity Waves and Interaction With QBO Winds. J.
 Geophys. Res. Atmos., 125(15), e2020JD032779. doi: 10.1029/2020JD032779
- Wilson, R., Pitois, C., Podglajen, A., Hertzog, A., Corcos, M., & Plougonven, R.
- 378(2023). Detection of turbulence occurrences from temperature, pressure, and379position measurements under superpressure balloons. Atmos. Meas. Tech.,38016(2), 311–330. doi: 10.5194/amt-16-311-2023
- Wright, C. J., Osprey, S. M., Barnett, J. J., Gray, L. J., & Gille, J. C. (2010).
 High resolution dynamics limb sounder measurements of gravity wave activity in the 2006 arctic stratosphere. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 115(D2). doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD011858