

### First electrochemical Couette-Taylor reactor for studying the influence of transport phenomena on electrochemical kinetics

Florent Bouchon, Alain Bergel, Ahlem Filali, Théodore Bouchez, Yannick Fayolle

### ▶ To cite this version:

Florent Bouchon, Alain Bergel, Ahlem Filali, Théodore Bouchez, Yannick Fayolle. First electrochemical Couette-Taylor reactor for studying the influence of transport phenomena on electrochemical kinetics. Chemical Engineering Science, 2023, 281, pp.119103. 10.1016/j.ces.2023.119103. hal-04295961

### HAL Id: hal-04295961 https://hal.science/hal-04295961

Submitted on 20 Nov 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

| 1  | First electrochemical Couette-Taylor reactor for studying the influence of transport  |
|----|---|
| 2  | phenomena on electrochemical kinetics   |
| 3  | Author names and affiliations   |
| 4  | Florent Bouchon <sup>1</sup> , Alain Bergel <sup>2</sup> , Ahlem Filali <sup>1</sup> , Théodore Bouchez <sup>1</sup> and Yannick Fayolle <sup>1</sup> |
| 5  | <sup>1</sup> Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, PRocédés biOtechnologiques au Service de l'Environnement,  |
| 6  | 92/61 Antony, France  |
| 7  | <sup>2</sup> Laboratoire de Génie Chimique, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, INP, UPS, Toulouse, France  |
| 8  | Corresponding author email <u>yannick.fayolle@inrae.fr</u>  |
| 9  | Highlights  |
| 10 | • The first electrochemical multi-electrodes Couette-Taylor reactor was developed   |
| 11 | • Electrochemical responses to flow regimes were experimentally characterized   |
| 12 | • Strictly turbulent and turbulent vortex flow gave similar electrochemical behavior  |
| 13 | • Vortices and turbulence are the main driving forces of electrochemical reactions  |
| 14 | Abstract  |

Electroactive biofilms are powerful catalysts of many electrochemical reactions of interest. Their 15 16 development and electrochemical efficiency can be considerably dependent on the solution 17 hydrodynamics. Unfortunately, analytical devices that are suited to the study of the effects of 18 hydrodynamics on electroactive biofilms are still lacking. This study presents an electrochemical 19 Couette-Taylor reactor (eCTR), which meets this objective. It integrated 20 large-sized 20 electrodes individually addressed and exposed to the same hydrodynamics. Fluid dynamics was 21 controlled by rotating the inner cylinder of the eCTR at speeds from 1 to 200 RPM. The device 22 was characterized in abiotic condition using hexacyanoferrate (III/II) as model reactive species. 23 The limiting currents  $(I_L)$  recorded with different concentrations of hexacyanoferrate and at 24 different rotation speeds of the inner cylinder ( $\omega$ ) were used to calculate the Nernst diffusive layer thickness ( $\delta_N$ ), which ranged from 14.6 to 423 µm. Two hydrodynamic regimes were 25 identified: the wavy vortex flow for  $\omega$  from 1 to 10 RPM and the turbulent vortex flow for  $\omega$ 26 values higher than 15 RPM, which corresponded to the correlations  $\delta_{N_{WVF}} \propto \omega^{-0.44}$  and 27  $\delta_{N_{TVF}} \propto \omega^{-0.66}$ , respectively. The second correlation confirmed the theoretical equation 28 established by Gabe and Robinson for turbulent flow. In contrast, the wavy vortex flow was a 29

specific regime, which cannot be approached by the laminar or turbulent hypothesis.
Dimensionless correlations gave comparable results between eCTR and rotating cylinder
electrodes under turbulent vortex flow and confirmed the specific behavior of the Couette-Taylor
wavy vortex flow.

#### 34 Keywords

35 Electrochemical layer thickness – Turbulent flow – Vortex flow – Hydrodynamics –
36 Electroactive biofilm – Microbial electrochemical technology

#### 37 Abbreviations

| В                 | Constant parameter  |
|-------------------|---|
| b                 | Constant parameter  |
| CTR               | Couette-Taylor reactor  |
| $C_b$             | Concentration in the bulk (mol.m <sup>-3</sup> )                            |
| $C_i$             | Concentration of the species $i \pmod{m^{-3}}$                              |
| $C_s$             | Concentration on the electrode surface $(mol.m^{-3})$                       |
| CV                | Cyclic Voltammetry  |
| $D_i$             | Diffusive coefficient of the species $i$ (m <sup>2</sup> .s <sup>-1</sup> ) |
| D <sub>turb</sub> | Apparent eddy viscosity (m <sup>2</sup> .s <sup>-1</sup> )                  |
| eCTR              | Electrochemical Couette-Taylor reactor                                      |
| F                 | Faraday constant (C.mol <sup>-1</sup> )                                     |
| Ι                 | Current (A)   |
| $I_L$             | Limiting current (A)  |
| $I_P$             | Current at the peak (A)   |
| Κ                 | Constant from log regression  |
| $K_L$             | Convective mass transfer coefficient (m.s <sup>-1</sup> )                   |
| L                 | Characteristic length (m)   |
| М                 | Width of the electrode (m)  |
| n                 | Exponent of the shear rate  |
| n <sub>e</sub>    | Number of electrons   |
| N <sub>i</sub>    | Molar flux density of species $i \pmod{i^{-1}.m^{-2}}$                      |
| PVC               | Polyvinyl Chloride  |
| $R^2$             | Coefficient of determination  |
| r                 | Distance from the inner cylinder surface (m)                                |
| RCE               | Rotating cylinder electrode   |

| Re             | Reynolds Number                     |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| R <sub>i</sub> | Inner cylinder radius (m)           |
| R <sub>o</sub> | Outer cylinder radius (m)           |
| RPM            | Revolution Per Minutes              |
| S              | Electrode surface (m <sup>2</sup> ) |
| Sc             | Schmidt number                      |
| SCE            | Saturated Calomel Electrode         |
| Sh             | Sherwood number                     |
| TVF            | Turbulent vortex flow               |
| Та             | Taylor Number                       |
| $Ta_c$         | Taylor critical value               |
| U              | Flow velocity (m.s <sup>-1</sup> )  |
| WVF            | Wavy vortex flow                    |
| Greek letters  |                                     |
| α              | Exponent of the angular velo        |
|                |                                     |

| α                      | Exponent of the angular velocity                       |
|------------------------|--|
| Г                      | Gamma function   |
| γ̈́                    | Shear rate (s <sup>-1</sup> )                          |
| $\Delta V$             | Volt interval (V.rot <sup>-1</sup> )                   |
| $\delta_I,\delta_{II}$ | Diffusive layer thicknesses (m)                        |
| $\delta_N$             | Nernst diffusion layer thickness (m)                   |
| μ                      | Dynamic viscosity (Pa.s)                               |
| ν                      | Cinematic viscosity (m <sup>2</sup> .s <sup>-1</sup> ) |
| σ                      | Scan rate (V.s <sup>-1</sup> )                         |
| τ                      | Shear stress (Pa)                                      |
| ω                      | Angular velocity (rad.s <sup>-1</sup> )                |
| ω <sub>c</sub>         | Critical velocity                                      |

38

#### **39** Acknowledgements

The support of the French ANR for the PhD grant of Florent Bouchon in the framework of the project BIOTUBA (ANR-17-CE06-0015-01, 2018-2023) and of the DRRT Île-de-France for the financing of the reactor and the experiment are greatly appreciated. The STIM Company has participated to the design of the reactor. The partners of the project BIOTUBA (6TMIC and SIAAP) are also gratefully acknowledged.

#### 45 **1. Introduction**

46 Electrochemical systems are used in many industrial applications [1-4] and microbial electrochemical technologies constitute an emerging field with multiple promising applications 47 for power generation, resource recovery, chemicals production and sensing [5–12]. In such bio-48 49 electrochemical systems, multi-species biofilms play the role of the catalyst since they are able to exchange electrons with electrodes on which they develop [13,14]. The influence of electrolytes, 50 51 electrode materials [15] or electrochemical parameters (applied current density and voltage or potential [16]) on the reaction associated with different physical parameters such as temperature, 52 53 conductivity, pH or hydrodynamics are often studied to optimize those technologies [17,18]. However, the impact of fluid dynamics on electroactive biofilms was poorly investigated despite 54 55 its major influence on their growth [19–21] and current density generation [22,23].

56 The experimental requirements to adequately study the influence of hydrodynamics on biofilm growth and long-term maturation are indeed numerous: (i) the electrodes size needs to be 57 sufficient in order to allow recovery of biofilm samples in sufficient quantity for complete 58 microbial characterization, (ii) the set-up should allow the continuous feeding and a 59 60 homogeneous repartition of substrate all along the surface of the electrodes, (iii) multiple 61 electrodes exposed to the same controlled hydrodynamics conditions need to be present to allow 62 a sufficient level of replication of the measurements, which is mandatory considering the 63 intrinsic experimental variability of biological systems under complex and variable media 64 conditions during long term experiments.

65 Some experimental systems have actually been designed to study the impact of hydrodynamics 66 on biofilms in continuous flow such as flow cells [22-25]. They were used to study precise hydrodynamics in microfluidic channels where the shear stress is induced by the flow rate [26]. 67 68 In the specific case of electroactive biofilms, they could be developed on small electrodes implemented in the cell (generally up to 1 cm<sup>2</sup>) allowing having the same applied shear stress on 69 70 the whole surface. Experiments were run at daily or weekly scale [27]. They were often couple with non-invasive imaging technologies such as microscopy to analyze biofilm structural 71 72 parameters overtime (thickness, density, porosity, etc. [28,29]). Thus they allow a great 73 characterization of the biofilm but some limitation appeared considering their small size, which 74 does not allow biofilm sampling overtime or having replicates under the identical operating 75 conditions.

76 Other systems were employed for the study of fluid dynamics impact on electrochemical77 technologies [30,31]. The most well-known systems are the rotating disk electrodes described by

Levich [32]. Electrochemical reactions take place on the surface of a small rotating electrode (lower than 1 cm<sup>2</sup>) and the current is expressed as a function of the rotation speed. Nonetheless, only a few studies have shown biofilm development on rotating disk electrodes [33]. Some variants such as the rotating cylinder electrodes (RCE) implement a cylinder-electrode immerged in the electrolyte as described by Eisenberg *et al.* [34]. They were adapted to larger surfaces of electrodes (> 10 cm<sup>2</sup>) [35–37] but RCE have mainly been described considering abiotic mass transfer and not for the study of electroactive biofilms [34].

85 Couette-Taylor reactors (CTR) have a perfectly described hydrodynamic profile and have been 86 often used for the study of biofilm development for scale-up purposes [38-40]. They are composed of two concentric cylinders, with a rotating inner cylinder and a fixed outer cylinder 87 (respectively characterized by the radii  $R_i$  and  $R_o$ ). The main differences of CTR in comparison 88 89 to RCE are the various hydrodynamic conditions from well-known Couette flow to 3D typical 90 vortices flows or turbulent flows induced by the specific influence of the stationary outer wall 91 [40]. CTR may apply a higher range in terms of shear stress induced on the surface of the inner 92 cylinder classically from 0.1 to 10 Pa in aqueous media [38,41], compared to rotating electrodes 93 under high shear stress and turbulent flows [42,43]. In previous studies, CTR were used to study 94 hydrodynamics influence on physical biofilm properties and allowed characterization and sampling of biofilm along time (density, thickness, porosity, etc.) [44-47]. However, no 95 96 adaptation to such reactor was made for the integration of electrodes in the set-up in order to 97 study electroactive biofilms.

98 In fact, some work has been done to couple electrochemistry analysis with CTR hydrodynamics. 99 Sobolík et al. [48] introduced a single three-segment electrodiffusion probe in two different CTR with radius ratio  $R_i/R_o$  of 0.84 and 0.7 respectively. They have thus characterized components 100 of the shear rate on the outer wall under wavy vortex flows. Berrich et al. [49] have 101 102 characterized a Couette-Taylor-Poiseuille flows (for vortex and wavy vortex regimes) in an larger CTR (radius ratio of 0.86) implementing 39 microelectrodes distributed along the 103 104 circumference and a vertical segment of the outer cylinder. Legrand et al. [50] have performed 105 one of the first characterization of the mass transfer occurring in Couette-Taylor-Poiseuille flows 106 for wavy vortex flows thanks to electrochemical measurement in CTR (radius ratio of 0.78). 107 Their reactor integrated a 3 segments inner cylinder (composed of the cathode framed in the 108 anode). Nevertheless, those methodologies were developed to study hydrodynamics and mass 109 transfer in CTR and were not dealing with electrodes allowing biofilm development: (i) 110 microelectrodes for Sobolik et al. [48] and Berrich et al. [49] and (ii) nickel-cathode covered by

platinum and gold for Legrand *et al.* [50] which are not the preferred materials for biofilmgrowth [51].

In this study, the first electrochemical CTR is presented. The main specificities of this reactor are (i) 20 graphite electrodes included in the inner rotating cylinder allowing to have replicates in exactly the same hydrodynamic conditions, with a (ii) large surface (20 cm<sup>2</sup>) under uniform wellknown hydrodynamics, allowing (iii) spontaneous biofilm development in real conditions including complex effluents but with (iv) independent electrical connections for each electrode.

118 The reactor is characterized experimentally for various operating conditions under wavy and 119 turbulent vortex flows without biofilm development considering (i) electrochemical parameters, 120 (ii) small ascendant flow rate, (iii) concentration of the electroactive species and (iv) effect of the 121 rotation speed of the inner cylinder. Then, the results are interpreted in light of previous 122 theoretical analysis of Gabe & Robinson [52,53], which describes the relation between current 123 intensity and the flow velocity in laminar [52] and turbulent flow regimes [53]. Finally the 124 results are compared to previous studies on different hydrodynamic regimes (Eisenberg et al. 125 [34] and Legrand et al. [50]) and the potential application of the electrochemical CTR for future 126 studies is discussed.

127 **2.** Materials and Methods

#### 128 **2.1.Electrochemical Couette-Taylor Reactor (eCTR)**

129 The Couette-Taylor reactor was composed of two coaxial cylinders of 25 cm height (Figure 1A). 130 The rotating inner cylinder, of 7 cm radius, includes 20 graphite-plate (Sigraform HLM, 131 Graphitech) working electrodes inlaid in the PVC cylindrical core. Each working electrode had a surface of 20×1 cm<sup>2</sup> and was immersed by 18 cm. The active projected area of each working 132 electrode was thus 18 cm<sup>2</sup>. Each electrode was individually connected to the external electrical 133 circuit thanks to a rotating collector (SVTS C 03-S-A-00/18). The central axis of the inner 134 135 cylinder was mechanically connected to a motor (APM-SA01) by means of a speed reducer 136 (PLGE12/1 i=9) and could thus be rotated at speeds from 1 to 200 RPM. The non-mobile outer 137 cylinder, made of stainless steel (316L) of 10 cm radius, was used as the auxiliary electrode. A 138 calomel reference electrode (SCE, BioLogic, +0.244 V/SHE) was positioned between the two 139 cylinders about 1.5 cm from the outer cylinder. The lid and the base of the reactor as made from PVC. The reactor was set in a solution circulation loop equipped with a peristaltic pump 140 (Gilson), which provided a flow rate of 700 mL.h<sup>-1</sup> (axial solution velocity in the reactor of 4.38 141 142 cm/h). The flow inlet was at the reactor bottom and the outlet ensured a water level of 20 cm, i.e.

a volume of solution in the reactor of 3.6 L. All experiments were conducted in a 25°C
temperature-regulated room.



Figure1: A) Diagram of electrochemical Couette-Taylor reactor and B) visualization in top viewof the inner cylinder with position of the electrodes.

147 Up to 16 working electrodes could be individually addressed with a multi-channel potentiostat 148 (VMP-3, Biologic). Two Nstat boxes (Biologic) were used to integrate each working electrode in 149 a 3-electrode set-up with the single SCE as the reference electrode and the fixed outer cylinder as the auxiliary electrode. Each working electrode was identified by a letter from *a* to *t* (Figure 1B). 150 151 Electrodes b to j, excluding electrode f, were connected via an Nstat to the tracks 1 to 8 of the potentiostat. The other group, from *l* to *t*, excluding electrode *p*, were connected to the tracks 9 to 152 16 via the other Nstat. Four electrodes (a, f, k, and p) were not electrochemically connected. 153 154 They will serve as controls in future experiments that will be devoted to the study of microbial 155 electroactive biofilms.

Cyclic Voltammetries (CV) were performed with scan rates from 1 to 100 mV.s<sup>-1</sup> in the range of
-0.35 to +0.65 V/SCE. Three successive cycles were systematically performed. The first cycle
was sometimes slightly different from the second and third cycles, which were always identical.
All the data reported in the present study were extracted from the second CV cycles.

160 **2.2.Solutions** 

Solutions of equimolar potassium hexacyanoferrate (III) and hexacyanoferrate (II)  $(Fe(CN)_6^{3-1})$ /Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub><sup>4-</sup>) were used at different concentrations, from 1 mM to 20 mM. Hexacyanoferrate was chosen as model electroactive species because it ensured fast electron transfer with carbon-based electrodes. The solution was supplemented with mono-basic and di-basic phosphate (NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>) 100 mM. The potassium, sodium and phosphate ions provided thus the main part of ion migration in solution and the migration contribution can be neglected in the mass transport of hexacyanoferrate.

168 The diffusive coefficient of hexacyanoferrate (II) is given by the relation of Stokes-Einstein at 169  $25^{\circ}$ C and is equal to  $5.09 \times 10^{-10}$  m<sup>2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>.

170 **3. Theoretical description** 

#### 171 **3.1.Hydrodynamic modelling**

The flow regimes in CTR are described according to the dimensionless Taylor number (*Ta*) [54]
which characterizes the centrifugal force applied to the liquid in relation to the viscous force the
liquid opposes to the motion:

175 
$$Ta = \frac{\omega \sqrt{R_i (R_o - R_i)^3}}{\nu} \tag{1}$$

176 where  $\omega$  is the angular velocity (rad.s<sup>-1</sup>),  $R_i$  and  $R_o$  the radii of the inner and the outer cylinder 177 (m) and  $\nu$  the cinematic viscosity (m<sup>2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>). The *Ta* number can be considered as a form of the 178 Reynolds number *Re* adapted to the specific geometry of the CTR:

179 
$$Ta = Re \sqrt{\frac{(R_0 - R_i)}{R_i}}$$
(2)

180 where  $Re = \frac{\omega R_i (R_0 - R_i)}{\nu}$ 

181 The *Ta* number allows describing the different flow regimes according to the value it takes 182 regarding a critical value  $Ta_c$  [55,56] :

183 
$$Ta_{c} = 20.65 \frac{R_{o} \left(1 + \frac{R_{i}}{R_{o}}\right)^{2}}{R_{i} \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{R_{i}}{R_{o}}\right)\left(3 + \frac{R_{i}}{R_{o}}\right)}} \sqrt{\frac{R_{o} - R_{i}}{R_{i}}}$$
(3)

For the eCTR designed in this study,  $R_o = 0.10$  m,  $R_i = 0.07$  m (corresponding to a radius ratio of 0.7) and  $\nu = 10^{-6}$  m<sup>2</sup>. s<sup>-1</sup> give  $Ta_c = 53.0$  [-], which corresponds to the critical velocity value  $\omega_c$  of 0.039 rad.s<sup>-1</sup> (0.37 RPM). The correspondences between *Ta* values, the flow regimes and  $\omega$  values are given in table 1. The reactor was operated with different values of  $\omega$  from 1 to 200 188 RPM (respectively 1, 3, 5, 6.5, 8, 10, 15, 25, 50, 100, 150 and 200). This choice was made 189 considering the capacity of the motor to ensure stable rotating velocity values and in order to 190 cover the largest velocities ranges and associated flow regimes (from vortex to turbulent vortex 191 regimes, according to Table 1).

192 The Sherwood number (*Sh*) characterizes the ratio of the convective and diffusive mass transport193 [57]:

$$194 Sh = \frac{K_L L}{D_i} (4)$$

where  $K_L$  is the convective mass transfer coefficient (m.s<sup>-1</sup>),  $L = R_o - R_i$  the characteristic length in Couette-Taylor reactors, which is the gap between the inner and the outer cylinder (m) and  $D_i$  is the effective diffusive coefficient (m<sup>2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>).

198 The Sherwood number is generally expressed as a function of the Reynolds number (*Re*) and the 199 Schmidt (*Sc*) number defined by  $Sc = \nu/D_i$ , according to experimental correlations.

Table 1: Couette-Taylor flow regimes, and corresponding *Ta*, rotation speed equivalentboundaries and shear stress values

| Regime           | <i>Ta</i> range                  | Ta values           | $\omega$ (rad.s <sup>-1</sup> ) | ω (RPM)         | $\tau$ (mPa)   |
|------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Couette Laminar  | $Ta < Ta_c$                      | <i>Ta</i> < 53      | $\omega < 0.039$                | $\omega < 0.37$ | $\tau < 0.039$ |
| Vortex           | Ta, < Ta < 1 27 Ta,              | 53 < Ta             | $0.039 < \omega$                | $0.37 < \omega$ | 0.039 < τ      |
| Voltex           |                                  | < 67                | < 0.049                         | < 0.47          | < 0.049        |
| Wayy Vortey      | 1 27 Ta < Ta < 40 Ta             | 67 < Ta             | 0.049 < <i>ω</i>                | $0.47 < \omega$ | 0.049 < τ      |
| wavy vonex       | $1.27  Iu_{c} < Iu < 40  Iu_{c}$ | < 2120              | < 1.55                          | < 14.8          | < 27           |
| Turbulent Vortey | A0 Ta < Ta < 700 Ta              | 2120 <i>&lt; Ta</i> | $1.55 < \omega$                 | $14.8 < \omega$ | 27 < τ         |
| Turbulent Vortex | $+0.1u_c < 1u < 700.1u_c$        | < 37100             | < 27                            | < 258           | < 4300         |
| Turbulent        | Ta > 700 Ta                      | Та                  | $\omega > 27$                   | $\omega > 258$  | $\tau > 4300$  |
|                  | 1 u > 700 1 u <sub>c</sub>       | > 37100             |                                 |                 | 1500           |

202

203 Under laminar flow conditions (Table 1), the velocity of a Couette-Taylor flow U (m.s<sup>-1</sup>) only 204 depends on the distance from inner cylinder *r* and is given by [43]:

205 
$$U = \omega R_i^2 \frac{\left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{r}{R_0^2}\right)}{\left(1 - \frac{R_i^2}{R_0^2}\right)}$$
(5)

206 The fluid shear stress is a function of the fluid velocity and the dynamic viscosity according to:

$$\tau = \mu \, div \, U \tag{6}$$

where  $\tau$  is the shear stress applied on the cylinder wall (Pa),  $\mu$  is the dynamic viscosity (Pa.s) and *U* the fluid velocity (m.s<sup>-1</sup>).

210 Under laminar flow conditions, the velocity profile (equation 5) used in equation 6 gives the 211 shear stress on the surface of the inner cylinder ( $r = R_i$ ):

212 
$$\tau = \mu \omega$$
 (7)

Former work in the literature [54] describes the expression of the shear forces applied to the rotating inner wall as function of the Reynolds number under Couette-Taylor flows:

215 
$$\tau = 2.13 \frac{(R_i/R_o)^{3/2}}{[(R_o - R_i)/R_o]^{7/4}} Re^{1.445} \frac{\rho v^2}{2\pi R_i^2} \qquad \text{For } Re > 800 \ (\omega > 3.65 \text{ RPM}) \tag{8}$$

216 
$$\tau = 0.113 \frac{(R_i/R_o)^{3/2}}{[(R_o - R_i)/R_o]^{7/4}} Re^{1.764} \frac{\rho v^2}{2\pi R_i^2} \qquad \text{For } Re > 10^4 \ (\omega > 47 \text{ RPM}) \tag{9}$$

217 where  $\rho$  is the fluid density (kg.m<sup>-3</sup>).

#### 218 **3.2.Relation between fluid velocity and current**

The molar flux density of a solute *i* is the sum of 3 components due to diffusion, migration and convection [57]. Generally, solutions used in electroanalytical studies contain a supporting salt and/or a charged buffer compound at high concentration, as it was the case here (NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>), so that the migration flux can be neglected for solutes. Furthermore, for low concentration of solutes, the diffusion flux can be expressed according to the Fick's law. So the molar flux density ( $\vec{N_i}$ , mol.s<sup>-1</sup>.m<sup>-2</sup>) of a solute *i* at low concentration can be written as:

225 
$$\overrightarrow{N_i} = -D_i \overline{grad} C_i + C_i \overrightarrow{U}$$
(10)

where  $D_i$  is the effective diffusive coefficient (m<sup>2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>),  $C_i$  is the concentration (mol.m<sup>-3</sup>) and  $\vec{U}$  is the fluid velocity (m.s<sup>-1</sup>).

At steady state and in the absence of reaction in solution, the differential mass balance equationfor the species *i* is:

$$230 \qquad div\,\overrightarrow{N_i} = 0 \tag{11}$$

and leads to:

232 
$$div(-D_i \overline{grad}C_i + C_i \vec{U}) = 0$$
(12)

At steady state, the current  $\vec{l}$  (A) is proportional to the molar flux of the electroactive species at the electrode surface. When only one electroactive species *i* is oxidized at the electrode surface, as it was the case here, the current is expressed as:

236 
$$\vec{I} = n_e FS \vec{N}_{i|electrode}$$
 (13)

where  $n_e$  is the number of electrons produced per molecule of electroactive species, *F* is the Faraday constant (96 485 C.mol<sup>-1</sup>) and *S* is the surface area of the electrode (m<sup>2</sup>).

#### **3.2.1.** Nernst model

The Nernst model divides the solution space into two zones: a stagnant boundary layer against the electrode surface and a perfectly stirred bulk beyond the stagnant layer (Figure 2A). The concentration is uniformly equal to  $C_b$  in the bulk. Equation 12 can thus be solved very simply in the stagnant layer, in which U = 0, and, combined with equation 13, gives:

244 
$$I = n_e D_i F S \frac{C_b - C_s}{\delta_N}$$
(14)

where  $C_b$  and  $C_s$  are the concentrations of the electroactive species in the bulk and at the electrode surface, respectively (mol.m<sup>-3</sup>) and  $\delta_N$  is the thickness of the Nernst layer (m). The limiting current  $I_L$  is defined as the maximal value of the current that can be reached when only the diffusion transport is rate-limiting, i.e. when the electrochemical reaction is so fast in relation to mass transport that the electroactive species reacts at the electrode surface as soon as it reaches it, resulting in  $C_s = 0$ . According to equation 14, in the framework of the Nernst's model, the limiting current is:

$$252 I_L = n_e F S \frac{D_i}{\delta_N} C_b (15)$$

253 Nernst model allows expressing the convective mass transfer coefficient  $K_L$  as a function of  $\delta_N$ 254 according to:

In a CTR, the Nernst layer thickness  $\delta_N$  depends on the rotation speed. More sophisticated models are needed to obtain a theoretical relationship between the layer thickness and the rotation speed.

#### 259 **3.2.2.** Gabe and Robinson model for laminar flow

260 Under laminar flow conditions, Gabe & Robinson [52] considered that the annulus geometry of a 261 CTR can be approached as a straight channel for a small length M ( $M \ll 2\pi R_i$ ) parallel to the 262 flow. They defined the x- and y-axes as parallel and perpendicular to the channel length, 263 respectively. They assumed that mass transport was ensured only by the fluid flow along the x-264 axis and only by diffusion along the y-axis, so that equation 12 becomes:

265 
$$-D_i \frac{d^2 C_i}{dy^2} + U \frac{dC_i}{dx} = 0$$
(17)

in which the fluid velocity *U* is given by equation 5. They used a change of variable to transformthe equation in one dimension. Then, they obtained an expression for the current:

268 
$$I = \frac{3^{4/3}}{2\Gamma(1/3)} n_e FSD_i^{2/3} (c_b - c_s) M^{-1/3} \left(\frac{1 + R_i^2 / R_o^2}{1 - R_i^2 / R_o^2}\right)^{1/3} \omega^{1/3}$$
(18)

269 where  $\Gamma$  is the Gamma function and *M* the width of the electrode (m). Under laminar flow 270 conditions, the limiting current according to the Gabe & Robinson [52] approach is 271 consequently:

272 
$$I_L = \frac{3^{4/3}}{2\Gamma(1/3)} n_e FSD_i^{2/3} c_b M^{-1/3} \left(\frac{1+R_i^2/R_o^2}{1-R_i^2/R_o^2}\right)^{1/3} \omega^{1/3}$$
(19)

273 Comparing with the Nernst expression (equation 15) allows extracting an expression of an 274 equivalent Nernst layer in laminar condition ( $\delta_{N-Gabe\ lam}$ ):

275 
$$\delta_{N-Gabe\ lam} = \frac{2\Gamma(1/3)}{3^{4/3}} D_i^{1/3} M^{1/3} \left(\frac{1+R_i^2/R_o^2}{1-R_i^2/R_o^2}\right)^{-1/3} \omega^{-1/3}$$
(20)

276 Sherwood number could then be expressed according to equation 16 and 20 as:

277 
$$Sh = Re^{1/3}Sc^{1/3}\frac{3^{4/3}}{2\Gamma(1/3)} \left[\frac{(R_i - R_i)^2(1 + R_i^2/R_o^2)}{R_i M(1 - R_i^2/R_o^2)}\right]^{1/3}$$
(21)

#### 278 **3.2.3.** Gabe and Robinson model for turbulent flow

Under turbulent flow conditions, Gabe & Robinson [53] used the 3-zone model from Prandtl-von
Karman (Figure 2B), in which the layer next to the electrode surface (zone I) is considered as a
Nernst boundary layer and the zone III, the furthest from the electrode surface, is considered in
fully developed turbulence. Considering a planar geometry, the Nernst approach applied to zone
I gives:

$$I = n_e FSD_i \frac{c_I - c_s}{r}$$
(22)

where  $C_I$  is the concentration (mol.m<sup>-3</sup>) of the electroactive species in zone I at the distance r (m) from the electrode surface. This equation gives the concentration profile in zone I:

$$287 C_I = C_s + \frac{Ir}{n_e FSD_i} (23)$$

A



Figure 2: Models of mass transport near the electrode surface. The electrode is the surface of the
inner cylinder of the CTR. A) Nernst model. B) 3-zone model according to Prandtl-von Karman
(from [53]).

In the intermediary viscous layer (zone II), Gabe & Robinson [53] assumed that mass transport isachieved by convection and eddy diffusion, so that equation 10 is transformed to:

293 
$$\operatorname{div}\left(-D_{turb}\,\overline{grad}C_{i}+C_{i}\vec{U}\right)=0\tag{24}$$

where  $D_{turb}$  (m<sup>2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>) is the apparent eddy viscosity and varies with the flow velocity. Assuming that *U* is constant in zone II and equal to  $\omega R_i$ ,  $D_{turb}$  could be expressed as:

$$296 D_{turb} = \frac{br^3 \omega^2 R_i}{\nu} (25)$$

where *r* is distance from the electrode surface (m), *v* the cinematic viscosity  $(m^2.s^{-1})$  and *b* a constant. Solving equations 23 gives the concentration profile in zone II:

299 
$$C_{II} = C_b + \frac{l\nu}{2n_e FSb\omega^2 R_i} \left(\frac{1}{\delta_{II}^2} - \frac{1}{r^2}\right)$$
(26)

where  $\delta_{II}$  is the thickness of the zone II. At the interface between the zones I and II, i.e. at  $r = \delta_I$ , the equations 23 and 26 must give the same concentration value. Writing this gives a relationship between  $\delta_I$  and  $\delta_{II}$  and considering that  $\frac{\delta_I^3}{\delta_{II}^2} \ll \delta_I$  leads to the expression of the current as:

304 
$$I = n_e FS \left(\frac{BR_i}{\nu}\right)^{1/3} (\omega D_i)^{2/3} (C_b - C_s)$$
(27)

305 where  $B = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^3 b$ . The limiting current  $I_L$  is consequently:

306 
$$I_L = n_e FS \left(\frac{BR_i}{\nu}\right)^{1/3} D_i^{2/3} C_b \ \omega^{2/3}$$
(28)

307 Comparing with the Nernst expression (equation 15) allows extracting an equivalent Nernst layer 308 in turbulent condition ( $\delta_{N-Gabe\ turb}$ ) as:

309 
$$\delta_{N-Gabe\ turb} = \left(\frac{D_i \nu}{BR_i}\right)^{1/3} \omega^{-2/3}$$
(29)

310 which gives the Sherwood number according to equation 16 and 29:

311 
$$Sh = Re^{2/3}Sc^{1/3} \left(\frac{B(R_o - R_i)}{R_i}\right)^{1/3}$$
(30)

312 **4. Results** 

#### 313 **4.1. Preliminary characterization of the reactor**

#### **4.1.1. Influence of the inlet flow without rotation**

315 The eCTR was equipped with electrodes of large surface areas, which covered the entire inner 316 (working electrode) and outer (auxiliary electrode) cylinders. The electrochemical reactions can 317 consequently drastically affect the concentration of the reactive species, so that the stationary 318 state may not be reached in batch conditions. It was therefore necessary to feed the reactor 319 continuously with solution in order to keep the concentration of the reactive species constant and 320 allow the establishment of steady state. The first objective of the eCTR characterization was to 321 verify that the continuous axial flow induced by the recirculation did not significantly disturb the 322 hydrodynamics. This check was carried out without rotation of the internal cylinder in order to 323 be in the most sensitive conditions possible.

Cyclic voltammetries (CV) were performed at 5 mV.s<sup>-1</sup> in phosphate solution that contained 324 325 hexacyanoferrate (III) and hexacyanoferrate (II) each at 1 mM. The CV records (current intensity 326 as a function of potential) were compared using the oxidative part (I > 0 A) because the 327 reductive part may be biased by the reduction of oxygen, which occurs in a similar potential 328 range as the reduction of hexacyanoferrate (III). The CV records were compared with two 329 characteristic values: the current at the peak  $(I_P)$  and the limiting current  $(I_L)$  measured at upper 330 limit of the potential scan from 0.55 to 0.6 V/SCE (see Figure 4). Eight electrodes were 331 individually addressed in this experiment (electrodes c-e-h-j-m-o-r-t, see Materials and Methods

section). The 8 electrodes gave highly reproducible CV records (Figure S1 in Supplementary data) with standard deviation lower than 4% and 5% for the  $I_P$  and  $I_L$  values, respectively.

CV were recorded in batch condition (no solution flow) and in continuous flow of 700 mL.h<sup>-1</sup> 334 (corresponding to a superficial velocity of 4.4 cm.h<sup>-1</sup>). No significant difference was observed on 335 the general shape of the CV recorded with or without continuous flow. In batch condition,  $I_P$  and 336  $I_L$  were 2.8  $\pm$  0.2 and 0.74  $\pm$  0.07 mA, respectively; while, in continuous mode they were 337  $2.8 \pm 0.2$  and  $0.71 \pm 0.07$  mA, respectively. The small difference between the batch and the 338 339 continuous mode on the  $I_P$  and  $I_L$  values can be neglected according to the overlap of the standard deviations. It can be concluded that the small axial velocity of 4.4 cm.h<sup>-1</sup> due to the 340 solution flow had no significant effect on the current produced by the electrodes. 341

Consequently, all the experiments were conducted with a continuous solution flow of 700 mL.h<sup>-</sup> 1, assuming that the convective part of the mass transport was fully controlled by the rotation of the inner cylinder and neglecting the influence of the small axial flow.

#### 345 **4.1.2.** Nernst layer thickness without rotation

346 CV were performed at 1 mV.s<sup>-1</sup> in phosphate solution that contained hexacyanoferrate (III) and 347 hexacyanoferrate (II) at equal concentrations ranging from 0 to 20 mM. The Figure 3 shows a 348 correct linear relationship between the  $I_L$  values extracted from the CV curves and the 349 hexacyanoferrate (II) concentrations, with a slope of 0.21 A.m<sup>3</sup>.mol<sup>-1</sup>. According to the Nernst 350 hypothesis, this slope value corresponds to a Nernst diffusion layer thickness  $\delta_N$  of 423 µm 351 (equation 15 with 5.09 × 10<sup>-10</sup>m<sup>2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup> for the hexacyanoferrate (II) diffusion coefficient and 18 352 cm<sup>2</sup> for the electrode surface area).



353

Figure 3: Experimental relation between  $I_L$  and the hexacyanoferrate (II) concentration without rotation of the inner cylinder;  $I_L$  values were obtained from the CV recorded at 1 mV.s<sup>-1</sup>; average values and standard deviations resulted from CV performed on 15 electrodes. The regression line corresponds to  $I_L = 0.07 + 0.21C_b$  with  $R^2 = 0.9995$ .

In fact, from a fully rigorous theoretical standpoint, the Nernst hypothesis should not be used in 358 the absence of stirring, as it was the case here, but the equation of semi-infinite linear diffusion 359 360 should be applied. Nevertheless, the estimation of a Nernst layer thickness is a relevant criterion 361 for evaluating possible hydrodynamic disturbance at the electrode surface. In quiescent solution, 362 parasite stirring may occur due to natural convection or parasitic motion, vibrations transmitted 363 to the reactor for example. In quiescent condition, the thickness of the Nernst layer is typically in 364 the range of a few hundreds of µm; values ranging from 100 to 500 µm have been reported in the 365 literature [58-60]. The high value of 423 µm obtained here confirmed that the continuous 366 solution flow did not induce parasitic motion of the solution near the electrode surface.

# 367 4.2. Impact of hydrodynamics on the current produced by hexacyanoferrate (II) 368 oxidation

#### 369 4.2.1. Correction of the effect electrode rotation on the current measured

With the rotation of the inner cylinder, the CV records were of extremely poor quality because of large discrepancy in the values of the current measured. By increasing the measurement 372 frequency in order to monitor the rapid current variations, it was observed that the current was 373 disturbed in a regular periodic way, with a period corresponding to the time for a complete 374 rotation of the cylinder. The reference electrode was located at a fixed point in the crown 375 between the inner and outer cylinders (Figure 1). Each working electrode, 1 cm wide, 376 represented a small section of the inner cylinder. As the cylinder rotated, the distance of each working electrode from the reference varied from about 2 cm, when facing the reference, to half 377 378 a circumference (around 24 cm) when facing the opposite direction. The periodic variation of the 379 distance of the working electrode from the reference induced the periodic variation of the 380 potential of the working electrode, which was responsible for the periodic variation of the current 381 measured.





Figure 4: Numerical processing of the CV records. Raw data (black) obtained from the second CV cycle of the anode *i* immersed in hexacyanoferrate (III/II) 1mM with supporting electrolyte, with a flow rate of 700 mL.h<sup>-1</sup>. CV was performed using a scan rate of 1 mV.s<sup>-1</sup> and the inner cylinder rotated at 3 RPM. Fitted curve (red) after applying a moving average on  $\Delta V = 20$ mV.rot<sup>-1</sup>. Value of  $I_P$  and  $I_L$  represented.

388 This periodic perturbation of the current was corrected by numerically processing the raw data. 389 On Figure 4, an example of raw data is given (black points) and the same curve is represented 390 after applying a moving average correction (red curve). The moving average correction was 391 performed on the Volt interval  $\Delta V$ , which corresponded to the potential range that was scanned 392 during one rotation of the inner cylinder.  $\Delta V$  expressed in V.rot<sup>-1</sup> corresponded to the ratio of the 393 scan rate  $\sigma$  (V.s<sup>-1</sup>) to the rotation speed of the inner cylinder  $\omega$  (RPM):

$$\Delta V = \frac{\sigma \times 60}{\omega} \tag{31}$$

This correction was systematically used to interpret the CV records and extract the characteristic values  $I_p$  and  $I_L$ .

#### **4.2.2.** Determination of the limiting currents as a function of rotation speed

CV were recorded at 1 mV.s<sup>-1</sup> in phosphate solutions that contained equal concentrations of 398 hexacyanoferrate(II) and hexacyanoferrate(III) ranging from 0 to 20 mM. The eCTR was 399 continuously fed with the solution at 700 mL.h<sup>-1</sup>. The inner cylinder rotated at different speeds 400 from 1 to 200 RPM, which gave complete picture of the wavy vortex and turbulent vortex flow 401 regimes (Table 1). The limiting current  $I_L$  was measured as the mean value of the oxidative 402 403 plateau of the CV records observed at the upper values of the potential.  $I_L$  was represented as a 404 function of the concentration of hexacyanoferrate(II) in the bulk for different rotation speeds 405 (Figure 5A). The average values and standard deviations were calculated from the measurements 406 obtained from the 15 individually addressed electrodes, which were implemented for each 407 experimental condition.

408 In most cases, the CV records presented the well-known current peaks (in both oxidation and 409 reduction parts of the CV), which showed the transient rate-limiting effect of mass transfer 410 during the potential scan (Figure 5B). Considering the oxidation part (I > 0 A), during the scan in 411 the positive direction, when the potential applied to the working electrode became higher than 412 the equilibrium potential, oxidation at the electrode surface consumed the electroactive species, 413 leading to the formation of the diffusive layer. When the applied potential increased quickly, 414 high transient currents were produced, but mass transfer was not able to provide a sufficiently 415 high flow of electroactive species to balance the electrochemical consumption, and consequently 416 the current decreased. This is the reason of the current peak observed at low hexacyanoferrate 417 (II) concentrations and low rotating speeds. In contrast, at high hexacyanoferrate (II) concentrations and high rotating speeds, mass transport was more efficient and able to 418 419 compensate the consumption of the electroactive species, so that a stationary state was reached in 420 a large range of the potential scan. The transient peak vanished as the concentration and the421 rotating speed increased (Figure 5C).



Figure 5: CV recorded with various hexacyanoferrate (III/II) concentrations and various rotating speeds of the inner cylinder; only 6 rotation speeds are plotted among the 13 tested; equation of the regression lines and the  $R^2$  values are given in Supplementary Data Table S1. A)  $I_L$  values as a function of hexacyanoferrate (II) concentration; average and standard deviations resulted from the measures of 15 electrodes. B) CV curves from electrode *c* at 1 RPM and C) at 200 RPM.

427 In any cases, when the potential is high enough, only mass transfer was rate-limiting and the 428 value of the current did no longer depend on the value of the potential; the current displayed a 429 horizontal plateau on the CV records. Obtaining the  $I_L$  value was not obvious for the highest 430 concentration (20 mM) and at high rotating speed ( $\geq$  150 RPM) because, in these conditions, 431 mass transport tended to be less rate-limiting. The potential of the upper limit of the CV scan 432 was not high enough to rigorously reach the horizontal plateau that characterizes the limiting 433 current (Figure 5C). Nevertheless, it was not possible to use higher values of potential without 434 reaching the water oxidation range. In this case,  $I_L$  was assessed as the value of the current at the highest potential value.  $I_L$  tended thus to be slightly underestimated for the highest concentration 435 436 value at the highest rotating speeds. Nevertheless, it can be observed in Figure 5A that the 437 deviation from the linear regression was very limited. These values were consequently kept for further analysis but some precautions were taken when using these data. 438

439 440

## 4.3. Evolution of the diffusive layer thickness with hydrodynamics: evidencing the impact of wavy (WVF) and turbulent vortex flow (TVF)

The eCTR was operated in the rotation speed range from 1 to 200 RPM, corresponding to Taylor numbers from 144 to 28,793 (i.e.  $2.7Ta_c < Ta < 544Ta_c$ ) allowing to cover different hydrodynamic regimes from the wavy vortex to turbulent vortex flows (see Table 1). To analyze the impact of the hydrodynamic regime on the electrochemical reactions, the limiting current  $I_L$ was represented as a function of  $\omega$  using a log scale for the different selected concentrations of hexacyanoferrate (II) (Figure 6A).

447 Without any electroactive species, the velocity had no significant effect on the limiting current 448  $(I_{L0mM} \approx 70 \,\mu\text{A}$  for each  $\omega$ ), showing that the residual currents were likely due to adsorbed 449 species rather than soluble species contained in solution. For each concentration from 1 to 20 450 mM, a log-linear increase of the limiting current with the rotation speed was observed, 451 highlighting the decrease in the limitation due to mass transfer. To illustrate the influence of 452 hydrodynamics independently of the concentration of the reactive species, the Nernst-diffusive 453 layer thickness ( $\delta_N$ ) is calculated using equation 15 (Figure 6B) and by considering  $I_L - I_{L0mM}$ for the calculation. Figure 6B presents the diffusion layer thickness  $\delta_N$  calculated from the 454 experimental data as a function of the rotation speed  $\omega$  using a log scale. A significant difference 455 456 is observed between the curve for a concentration of hexacyanoferrate (II) of 2 mM and the other 457 concentrations. This difference could be linked to an experimental bias for intermediate rotation 458 speed from 5 to 50 RPM. Consequently, this concentration is no longer considered for further 459 data exploitation.

460



Figure 6: A) Effect of the angular velocity on the  $I_L$  value obtained on CV at various concentrations of hexacyanoferrate (II) B) Effect of the angular velocity on the diffusive layer thickness. Data recorded at 2 mM are represented in the graph but they were excluded from the regressions. WVF: Wavy Vortex Flow; TVF: Turbulent Vortex Flow. Data are represented on a log scale; each point is the average value obtained from 15 electrodes.

466 The increase in the rotation speed of the inner cylinder induced a velocity profile gradient along 467 the gap between the two cylinders with a maximum velocity near the inner cylinder where the 468 anodic reaction was located. As expected,  $\delta_N$  decreased with higher rotation speed.

469 Moreover, by considering the experimental points for all concentrations, two distinct linear 470 evolutions are observed, with a modification of the slope for a rotation speed in the range from 471 10 to 15 RPM. This slope break showed the transition between the wavy vortex and the turbulent 472 vortex flows, and confirms the boundary of 40  $Ta_c$  (corresponding to 14.8 RPM).

473 For each identified flow regimes (WVF and TVF for  $\omega < 10 RPM$  and  $\omega > 15 RPM$ 474 respectively), the Nernst-diffusive layer thickness (and the associated limited current  $I_L$ ) varied 475 with the rotation speed according to a power law, as expected from the theory for laminar and 476 turbulent flows in equations 19 and 28:

$$\delta_N = 10^{-K} \,\omega^{-\alpha} \tag{32}$$

478 Values of *K* and  $\alpha$  resulting from numerical fitting of the experimental data are provided in Table 479 2. For 10 and 20 mM, *K* and  $\alpha$  values may be underestimated considering the approximation in 480 the calculation of the  $I_L$  value shown in 3.2.2. for high concentration and high velocities. 481 Nonetheless, they followed the same tendency as for the other concentrations. The value of  $\alpha$ 

- 482 coefficient (0.44 and 0.66 for WVF and TVF respectively), is more sensitive to the modification 483 of the flow regime than the coefficient K (3.99 and 3.97 for WVF and TVF respectively). Its 484 dependency on the flow regime is linked to the difference in the physical nature of the flows, 485 from a more structured one for the WVF to a more heterogeneous and chaotic flow for the TVF 486 one. Those results help describing the two different Couette-Taylor vortex flows by estimating 487 the Nernst-diffusive layer thickness using electrochemical tools and confirmed the boundary 488 value between these two regimes.
- 489 Table 2: Values of the experimental coefficient of the log correlation of the diffusive layer and 490 the concentration of the electroactive species  $\delta_N = 10^{-K} \omega^{-\alpha}$ . Values are given for each
- 491 concentration, for the total dataset or by removing the 10 and 20 mM concentrations.

| Hexacyanoferrate (III/II) (mM)   | Wavy Vortex Flow |                |                       | Turbulent Vortex Flow |                |                       |  |
|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|--|
|                                  | K <sub>WVF</sub> | $\alpha_{WVF}$ | <i>R</i> <sup>2</sup> | K <sub>TVF</sub>      | $\alpha_{TVF}$ | <i>R</i> <sup>2</sup> |  |
| 1                                | 4.0              | 0.38           | 0.96                  | 3.9                   | 0.73           | 1.00                  |  |
| 5                                | 4.0              | 0.47           | 1.00                  | 4.0                   | 0.66           | 1.00                  |  |
| 7.5                              | 4.0              | 0.47           | 0.99                  | 4.0                   | 0.66           | 1.00                  |  |
| 10                               | 4.0              | 0.45           | 0.98                  | 4.0                   | 0.63           | 1.00                  |  |
| 20                               | 4.0              | 0.42           | 0.99                  | 4.0                   | 0.60           | 1.00                  |  |
| Total set                        | 4.0              | 0.44           | 0.96                  | 4.0                   | 0.66           | 0.99                  |  |
| Total set (without 10 and 20 mM) | 4.0              | 0.44           | 0.96                  | 4.0                   | 0.68           | 0.99                  |  |

492

#### 493 **5.** Discussion

#### 494 **5.1.**Flow characterization using micro-electrodes in CTR

495 The study of Couette-Taylor hydrodynamics has already been carried out using microelectrodes 496 integrated in the outer cylinder. Sobolík et al. [48,61] characterized axial and azimuthal 497 components of the shear rate on the wall of the outer cylinder of a CTR using a microelectrode. 498 They measured the limiting current  $I_L$  with the three-segment microelectrodes on the surface of 499 the outer cylinder and deduced the shear rate ( $\dot{\gamma}$ ) and the associated shear stress ( $\tau = \mu \dot{\gamma}$ ) from 500 the rotation speed of the inner cylinder. Under laminar condition, their results confirmed the theoretical evolution of the limiting current according to shear rate  $I_L \propto \dot{\gamma}^n$  (equation 18 for 501 shear rate in the range 4 – 80 s<sup>-1</sup>) with an experimentally determined value n = 0.31 (close to 502 503 the theoretical value of 0.33).

As the fluid velocity is directly linked to shear rate  $\tau$  in Couette-Taylor flows (equation 7, 8 or 9 depending on the flow regime), it is possible to express the limiting current obtained by Sobolík *et al.* [48,61] as a function of the rotation speed for wavy vortex flows, in the form  $I_L \propto \omega^{\alpha}$ . It gave  $\alpha = 0.45$  considering equation 8 for the shear rate range tested. The same approach has been considered by Berrich *et al.* [49] with the same parameter values *n* for the calculation of the shear rates giving the components of the vortices on the outer wall using more electrodes.

510 Those results obtained under wavy vortex conditions showed similar values of  $\alpha$  (0.45) than the 511 results presented here on the rotating inner cylinder (0.44). Considering non-laminar conditions 512 by comparison of the theoretical value of Gabe & Robison [52], this tends to show the major 513 effect of vortices on the electrochemical reactions next to the walls of CTR, even next to the 514 outer wall due to the divergence with laminar theoretical behavior.

515 The approach used in our work with large and numerous electrodes shows also reliable and 516 reproducible results such as the ones obtain with much precise electrodes used for precise 517 understanding of hydrodynamics in CTR.

## 518 5.2.Comparison between RCE and CTR with literature experimental and theoretical 519 results

520 Rotating cylinder electrode (RCE) are reactors in which a cylinder is rotating in the electrolyte 521 with no influence of the outer wall of the reactor on the flow [34]. A RCE could thus be considered as a CTR with a so small radius ratio  $(R_i/R_o)$  that the impact of the outer cylinder 522 523 can be neglected. In comparison, CTR are characterized by the major influence of the outer wall 524 on the flow and with conversely high radius ratio. It is generally observed in literature that 525 Couette-Taylor flows appear from a radius ratio of 0.5 giving typical RCE with radius ratio bellow this value [40,62]. It is still necessary to investigate those flow differences on 526 527 electrochemical reactions.

528 Correlations have been established on different devices between the Sherwood numbers and the 529 Reynolds numbers as shown in Figure 7. For comparison, Sherwood numbers were calculated 530 from the present work (equation 4) by using the average value of Nernst-diffusive layer for each 531 rotation speed.

Former work has been done to characterize the influence of mass transfer in RCE [35]. One of the main study focused on hydrodynamic in RCE was proposed by Eisenberg *et al.* [34]. They considered the effect of various geometries (with radius ratio from 0.2 to 0.4) and Reynolds number 1,000 to 100,000 (corresponding to the range from 4.6 to 460 RPM for the eCTR 536 presented in this study). They characterized mass transfer on the rotating cylinder-electrode 537 surface. Eisenberg *et al.* [34] gave an  $\alpha$  value only different by 6% from the data under turbulent 538 vortex flow from present study in Figure 7 (from equation 32). Thus, the present work gave 539 similar results to those in RCE for turbulent flows due to the lower influence of the fixed outer 540 cylinder on hydrodynamics at inner cylinder wall under turbulent regime.

541 A mass transfer analysis of a CTR was also made electrochemically by Legrand et al. [50] with 542 an inner cylinder containing both cathode and anode in a device with a radius ratio of 0.8 (gap 543 between the two cylinders of 5 mm) with Ta values from 200 to 1600 (corresponding to values 544 from 1.4 to 11 RPM in this study). They followed the impact of vortices and axial flow on the 545 reduction reaction that occurred on the inner cylinder rotating electrode by measuring the Sherwood number. Without axial flow, i.e. for equivalent operating conditions than in the 546 547 present study. Sherwood expression reveals similar behavior in the *Re* range corresponding to 548 wavy vortex flow (Re < 2400). They was a mean difference in Sherwood numbers from 549 empirical correlation of Legrand et al. [50] and the experimental points of 13% (with maximal 550 difference of 15% for 8 RPM) related to experimental measurement accuracy and 551 reproducibility.

The *Sh* versus *Re* correlations calculated from the theoretical models of Gabe & Robinson [52,53] (equation 21 and 30) are also presented in Figure 7. The parameter B from equation 30 was estimated to  $B = 5.71 \times 10^{-3}$  [-] using the experimental data under turbulent vortex flows. The correlations are represented with dotted lines for a large range in terms of Reynolds number even if they are only applicable in strictly laminar and turbulent flow respectively.

The theoretical model of Gabe & Robinson [52,53] states two different behaviors. The Figure 7 shows an excellent correspondence between the experimental points for turbulent vortex flows and this theoretical model. The hypothesis made by to establish their equations for the turbulent flows could therefore be applied to data obtained in turbulent vortex flow. In this regime, the main part of the mass transport is due to the turbulence. Likewise, results from Eisenberg *et al.* [34] also follow this tendency and could corroborate the negligible part of the vortex transport in turbulent vortex flow.

A different behavior appears for wavy vortex flow, which was not described theoretically [52]. The data recorded at this regime match neither the theoretical curves for the laminar flow nor those for the turbulent flow. It emphasizes the impact of another phenomenon than the ones described theoretically for *Re* values that may be due to vortices. Sherwood numbers are also slightly higher in the experimental approach under wavy vortex flows than in the laminar theory, which corroborates the role of the vortex in increasing the transfer close to the electrode surfacefor such rotation speeds (Figure 7).



571

Figure 7: Sherwood number (*Sh*) as a function of Reynolds number (*Re*). Comparison of correlations from Eisenberg *et al.* [34] in green, in the CTR of Legrand *et al.* [50] in red and with the theoretical equations of Gabe & Robinson [52,53] in dashed blue using  $B = 5.71 \times$  $10^{-3}[-]$ ), versus the experimental data ( $\blacklozenge$  obtained with the 5 concentrations for the 15 electrodes).

577 The eCTR developed here gave results that matched the experimental and theoretical results 578 reported in the literature for identical flow regimes. Moreover, it enriches the former results by 579 characterizing the wavy vortex regimes, which were clearly distinct from the turbulent vortex 580 flows.

# 581 5.3.Characterization of coupled fluid dynamics and electrochemical modelling in 582 Couette-Taylor vortex flows

The range of Couette-Taylor flows is described in Table 3 and it allows having a new point of view on the transition between vortices and turbulence besides their impact on electrochemical reactions.

586 The present eCTR allowed the control of diffusive layer thickness going from 15 to 423 µm (Table 3). For microbial electrochemical processes, this thickness range is interesting regarding 587 588 the thickness of electroactive biofilms, which commonly ranges from 20 to 250 µm [63–65]. 589 Indeed, shear stress is a promising way to control biofilm development [38,66], coupled to the 590 control of mass transfer close to the electrode. The shear stress is also known as an important 591 factor that influences biofilm structural properties [39,41,42]. In non-electroactive biofilms, 592 increased shear is known to enhance particle detachment at the surface of the biofilm, which 593 often lead to the formation of a thin and dense biofilm [38,66]. Nevertheless, such investigations 594 are rarely done on electroactive biofilms. The eCTR developed here allowed applying a range of shear stress from  $10^{-4}$  to 10 Pa (from equation 7 and 9) versus  $10^{-1}$  to  $10^{2}$  for the RCE [37]. These 595 shear stress values can be applied to up to 20 biofilm duplicates developed in exactly the same 596 hydrodynamics condition. This should be a powerful tool to explore the influence of shear stress 597 598 on the formation, maturation, electrochemical efficiency and, possibly, regeneration of 599 electroactive biofilms [67].

| Flow            | Tarilan nan aa            | Rotation                    | Nernst diffusive             | Shear stress             | α     |
|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| characteristics | Taylor range              | speed (RPM)                 | layer thickness (µm)         | (mPa)                    | value |
| No rotation     | Ta=0,<br>Axial flow≈0     | 0                           | 423                          | $\tau \approx 0$         | NA    |
| WVF             | $140 \le Ta$ $\le 2100$   | $1 \le \omega \le 14.8$     | $274 \ge \delta_N \ge 84.5$  | $0.1 \le \tau$ $\le 40$  | 0.44  |
| TVF             | $2100 \le Ta$ $\le 29000$ | $14.8 \le \omega$ $\le 200$ | $80.4 \ge \delta_N \ge 14.6$ | $40 \le \tau$ $\le 2700$ | 0.66  |

| 600 | Table 3: | Key | parameters | of | the | study |
|-----|----------|-----|------------|----|-----|-------|
|-----|----------|-----|------------|----|-----|-------|

601

#### 602 6. Conclusion

603 The proposed eCTRreactor is able to describe electrochemical kinetics in controlled 604 hydrodynamic conditions decoupled from the flow input for low axial flow rates. Results are 605 repeatable on the 16 electrodes connected that will help characterizing the influence of 606 hydrodynamics on electroactive biofilms allowing performing conveniently replicates. The big

- size planar electrodes give a large electroactive surface to develop biofilms in the same
  hydrodynamics conditions and will allow sampling representative part of whole biofilm for
  comprehensive analysis during long-term experiments.
- 610 The characterization of the electrochemical response has been made as a function of the flow
- 611 regime. The electrochemical diffusive layer thickness ( $\delta_N$ ) on the surface of the inner cylinder
- 612 were extracted from the limiting current values  $(I_L)$  and correlated to the rotation speed of the
- 613 inner cylinder. Those relations are given as function of the Couette-Taylor flow regime and are
- 614 then linked to the shear stress resulting from hydrodynamics. Such characterization is necessary
- 615 to further understand how biofilm development can affect electrochemical response.
- 616 The CTR vortices influence deeply electrochemical behavior for lower fluid dynamics. The
- 617 vortices are responsible of the main part of the mass transport under the wavy vortex flow while
- 618 the turbulence is responsible of most of the mass transport in the turbulent vortex flow. Results
- 619 of this study contribute to better understand the role of fluid dynamics on electrochemical
- 620 reactions in Couette-Taylor reactors.

#### 621 **References**

- [1] Z.W. Seh, J. Kibsgaard, C.F. Dickens, I.B. Chorkendorff, J.K. Norskov, T.F. Jaramillo,
   Combining theory and experiment in electrocatalysis: Insights into materials design,
- 624 Science. 355 (2017) eaad4998. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad4998.
- [2] D. Larcher, J.-M. Tarascon, Towards greener and more sustainable batteries for electrical
   energy storage, Nat. Chem. 7 (2015) 19–29. https://doi.org/10.1038/NCHEM.2085.
- [3] S. Kumar, G. Saeed, L. Zhu, K.N. Hui, N.H. Kim, J.H. Lee, 0D to 3D carbon-based networks
  combined with pseudocapacitive electrode material for high energy density supercapacitor:
  A review, Chem. Eng. J. 403 (2021) 126352. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.126352.
- [4] C. Santhosh, V. Velmurugan, G. Jacob, S.K. Jeong, A.N. Grace, A. Bhatnagar, Role of
  nanomaterials in water treatment applications: A review, Chem. Eng. J. 306 (2016) 1116–
  1137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.08.053.
- [5] Y. Li, D. Xu, C. Chen, X. Li, R. Jia, D. Zhang, W. Sand, F. Wang, T. Gu, Anaerobic
  microbiologically influenced corrosion mechanisms interpreted using bioenergetics and
  bioelectrochemistry: A review, J. Mater. Sci. Technol. 34 (2018) 1713–1718.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmst.2018.02.023.
- 637 [6] A. Al-Mamun, W. Ahmad, M.S. Baawain, M. Khadem, B.R. Dhar, A review of microbial
  638 desalination cell technology: Configurations, optimization and applications, J. Clean. Prod.
  639 183 (2018) 458–480. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.02.054.
- [7] P. Dessi, L. Rovira-Alsina, C. Sanchez, G.K. Dinesh, W. Tong, P. Chatterjee, M. Tedesco,
  P. Farras, H.M.V. Hamelers, S. Puig, Microbial electrosynthesis: Towards sustainable
  biorefineries for production of green chemicals from CO2 emissions, Biotechnol. Adv. 46
  (2021) 107675. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2020.107675.
- 644 [8] H. Wang, Z.J. Ren, A comprehensive review of microbial electrochemical systems as a 645 platform technology, Biotechnol. Adv. 31 (2013) 1796–1807.
- 646 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2013.10.001.
- [9] C. Santoro, C. Arbizzani, B. Erable, I. leropoulos, Microbial fuel cells: From fundamentals to applications. A review, J. Power Sources. 356 (2017) 225–244.
- 649 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2017.03.109.

- [10]B.E. Logan, K. Rabaey, Conversion of Wastes into Bioelectricity and Chemicals by Using
   Microbial Electrochemical Technologies, Science. 337 (2012) 686–690.
- 652 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1217412.
- [11]H. Dau, C. Limberg, T. Reier, M. Risch, S. Roggan, P. Strasser, The Mechanism of Water
   Oxidation: From Electrolysis via Homogeneous to Biological Catalysis, ChemCatChem. 2
   (2010) 724–761. https://doi.org/10.1002/cctc.201000126.
- [12]O. Modin, F. Aulenta, Three promising applications of microbial electrochemistry for the
  water sector, Environ. Sci. Water Res. Technol. 3 (2017) 391–402.
  https://doi.org/10.1039/C6EW00325G.
- [13] J. Zhao, F. Li, Y. Cao, X. Zhang, T. Chen, H. Song, Z. Wang, Microbial extracellular electron
   transfer and strategies for engineering electroactive microorganisms, Biotechnol. Adv. 53
   (2021) 107682. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2020.107682.
- [14] R. Kumar, L. Singh, A.W. Zularisam, Exoelectrogens: Recent advances in molecular drivers
  involved in extracellular electron transfer and strategies used to improve it for microbial fuel
  cell applications, Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 56 (2016) 1322–1336.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.12.029.
- [15]K. Guo, A. Prévoteau, S.A. Patil, K. Rabaey, Materials and Their Surface Modification for
  Use as Anode in Microbial Bioelectrochemical Systems, in: Funct. Electrodes Enzym.
  Microb. Electrochem. Syst., WORLD SCIENTIFIC (EUROPE), 2017: pp. 403–427.
  https://doi.org/10.1142/9781786343543\_0011.
- [16] T.R. Molderez, A. Prévoteau, F. Ceyssens, M. Verhelst, K. Rabaey, A chip-based 128channel potentiostat for high-throughput studies of bioelectrochemical systems: Optimal
  electrode potentials for anodic biofilms, Biosens. Bioelectron. 174 (2021) 112813.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2020.112813.
- [17] R. Rousseau, L. Etcheverry, E. Roubaud, R. Basseguy, M.-L. Delia, A. Bergel, Microbial
  electrolysis cell (MEC): Strengths, weaknesses and research needs from electrochemical
  engineering standpoint, Appl. Energy. 257 (2020) 113938.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.113938.
- [18]B.G. Lusk, P. Parameswaran, S.C. Popat, B.E. Rittmann, C.I. Torres, The effect of pH and
  buffer concentration on anode biofilms of Thermincola ferriacetica, Bioelectrochemistry. 112
  (2016) 47–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioelechem.2016.07.007.
- [19]C. Moss, N. Jarmatz, D. Hartig, L. Schnoeing, S. Scholl, U. Schroeder, Studying the Impact
  of Wall Shear Stress on the Development and Performance of Electrochemically Active
  Biofilms, Chempluschem. 85 (2020) 2298–2307. https://doi.org/10.1002/cplu.202000544.
- [20]M.A. Islam, B. Ehiraj, C.K. Cheng, B.N. Dubey, M.M.R. Khan, Biofilm re-vitalization using
   hydrodynamic shear stress for stable power generation in microbial fuel cell, J. Electroanal.
   Chem. 844 (2019) 14–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelechem.2019.05.013.
- [21]A.-A.D. Jones, C.R. Buie, Continuous shear stress alters metabolism, mass-transport, and
   growth in electroactive biofilms independent of surface substrate transport, Sci. Rep. 9
   (2019) 2602. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-39267-2.
- [22] M.A. Ámirdehi, L. Gong, N. Khodaparastasgarabad, J.M. Sonawane, B.E. Logan, J.
   Greener, Hydrodynamic interventions and measurement protocols to quantify and mitigate
   power overshoot in microbial fuel cells using microfluidics, Electrochimica Acta. 405 (2022)
   139771. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2021.139771.
- [23]T. Krieg, J.A. Wood, K.-M. Mangold, D. Holtmann, Mass transport limitations in microbial
  fuel cells: Impact of flow configurations, Biochem. Eng. J. 138 (2018) 172–178.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2018.07.017.
- [24]T. Noël, Y. Cao, G. Laudadio, The Fundamentals Behind the Use of Flow Reactors in
  Electrochemistry, Acc. Chem. Res. 52 (2019) 2858–2869.
  https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.9b00412.
- [25]B.J. Adesokan, X. Quan, A. Evgrafov, A. Heiskanen, A. Boisen, M.P. Sørensen,
   Experimentation and numerical modeling of cyclic voltammetry for electrochemical micro sized sensors under the influence of electrolyte flow, J. Electroanal. Chem. 763 (2016) 141–
   148. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelechem.2015.12.029.
- [26] H. Ren, C.I. Torres, P. Parameswaran, B.E. Rittmann, J. Chae, Improved current and power
   density with a micro-scale microbial fuel cell due to a small characteristic length, Biosens.
   Bioelectron. 61 (2014) 587–592. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2014.05.037.

- [27]M.Z. Bazant, K. Thornton, A. Ajdari, Diffuse-charge dynamics in electrochemical systems,
   Phys. Rev. E. 70 (2004) 021506. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.70.021506.
- [28]C. Picioreanu, J.S. Vrouwenvelder, M.C.M. van Loosdrecht, Three-dimensional modeling of
   biofouling and fluid dynamics in feed spacer channels of membrane devices, J. Membr. Sci.
   345 (2009) 340–354. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2009.09.024.
- [29]M. Böl, R.B. Möhle, M. Haesner, T.R. Neu, H. Horn, R. Krull, 3D finite element model of
  biofilm detachment using real biofilm structures from CLSM data, Biotechnol. Bioeng. 103
  (2009) 177–186. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.22235.
- [30] S.C. Perry, C.P. de León, F.C. Walsh, Review—The Design, Performance and Continuing
   Development of Electrochemical Reactors for Clean Electrosynthesis, J. Electrochem. Soc.
   167 (2020) 155525. https://doi.org/10.1149/1945-7111/abc58e.
- [31]A.N. Colli, J.M. Bisang, Time-dependent mass-transfer behaviour under laminar and turbulent flow conditions in rotating electrodes: A CFD study with analytical and experimental validation, Int. J. Heat Mass Transf. 137 (2019) 835–846.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2019.03.152.
- 722 [32] V.G. Levich, Physicochemical hydrodynamics., Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J, 1962.
- [33] D. Herbert-Guillou, B. Tribollet, D. Festy, Influence of the hydrodynamics on the biofilm
   formation by mass transport analysis, Bioelectrochemistry. 53 (2001) 119–125.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/S0302-4598(00)00121-5.
- [34]M. Eisenberg, C.W. Tobias, C.R. Wilke, Ionic Mass Transfer and Concentration Polarization
   at Rotating Electrodes, J. Electrochem. Soc. 101 (1954) 306.
- 728 https://doi.org/10.1149/1.2781252.
  729 [35]F.C. Walsh, G. Kear, A.H. Nahlé, J.A. Wharton
- [35]F.C. Walsh, G. Kear, A.H. Nahlé, J.A. Wharton, L.F. Arenas, The rotating cylinder electrode
   for studies of corrosion engineering and protection of metals—An illustrated review, Corros.
   Sci. 123 (2017) 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2017.03.024.
- [36] D.R. Gabe, G.D. Wilcox, J. Gonzalez-Garcia, F.C. Walsh, The rotating cylinder electrode: its
  continued development and application, J. Appl. Electrochem. 28 (1998) 759–780.
  https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1003464415930.
- [37] D.C. Silverman, The Rotating Cylinder Electrode for Examining Velocity-Sensitive
   Corrosion—A Review, Corrosion. 60 (2004) 1003–1023. https://doi.org/10.5006/1.3299215.
- [38] E. Paul, J.C. Ochoa, Y. Pechaud, Y. Liu, A. Liné, Effect of shear stress and growth
  conditions on detachment and physical properties of biofilms, Water Res. 46 (2012) 5499–
  5508. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.07.029.
- [39]A. Rochex, J.-J. Godon, N. Bernet, R. Escudie, Role of shear stress on composition,
  diversity and dynamics of biofilm bacterial communities, Water Res. 42 (2008) 4915–4922.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2008.09.015.
- [40]M. Schrimpf, J. Esteban, H. Warmeling, T. Färber, A. Behr, A.J. Vorholt, Taylor-Couette
  reactor: Principles, design, and applications, AIChE J. 67 (2021) e17228.
  https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.17228.
- [41]L.C. Simões, I.B. Gomes, H. Sousa, A. Borges, M. Simões, Biofilm formation under high
  shear stress increases resilience to chemical and mechanical challenges, Biofouling. 38
  (2022) 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1080/08927014.2021.2006189.
- [42] O. Ige, R. Barker, X. Hu, L. Umoru, A. Neville, Assessing the influence of shear stress and
  particle impingement on inhibitor efficiency through the application of in-situ
  electrochemistry in a CO2-saturated environment, Wear. 304 (2013) 49–59.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wear.2013.04.013.
- [43] L. Labraga, N. Bourabaa, T. Berkah, Wall shear stress from a rotating cylinder in cross flow using the electrochemical technique, Exp. Fluids. 33 (2002) 488–496.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s00348-002-0504-x.
- [44] P. Hou, T. Wang, B. Zhou, P. Song, W. Zeng, T. Muhammad, Y. Li, Variations in the
  microbial community of biofilms under different near-wall hydraulic shear stresses in
  agricultural irrigation systems, Biofouling. 36 (2020) 44–55.
- 759 https://doi.org/10.1080/08927014.2020.1714600.
- [45] N. Derlon, A. Masse, R. Escudie, N. Bernet, E. Paul, Stratification in the cohesion of biofilms
   grown under various environmental conditions, Water Res. 42 (2008) 2102–2110.
- 762 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2007.11.016.

763 [46]N. Rizk, N. Ait-Mouheb, B. Molle, N. Roche, Treated wastewater reuse in micro-irrigation: 764 effect of shear stress on biofilm development kinetics and chemical precipitation. Environ. 765 Technol. 42 (2021) 206–216. https://doi.org/10.1080/09593330.2019.1625956. 766 [47]A. Paule, B. Lauga, L. Ten-Hage, J. Morchain, R. Duran, E. Paul, J.L. Rols, A 767 photosynthetic rotating annular bioreactor (Taylor-Couette type flow) for phototrophic biofilm 768 cultures, Water Res. 45 (2011) 6107–6118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.09.007. 769 [48] V. Sobolík, B. Benabes, G. Cognet, Study of Taylor-Couette flow using a three-segment 770 electrodiffusion probe, J. Appl. Electrochem. 25 (1995) 441-449. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00260686. 771 [49] E. Berrich, F. Aloui, J. Legrand, Experimental Investigations of Couette-Taylor-Poiseuille 772 773 Flows Using the Electro-Diffusional Technique, in: Vol. 1A Symp. Turbomach. Flow Simul. Optim. Appl. CFD Bio-Inspired Bio-Med. Fluid Mech. CFD Verification Valid. Dev. Appl. 774 775 Immersed Bound. Methods DNS Hybrid RANSLES Methods Fluid Mach. Fluid-Struct. 776 Interact. Flow-Induc. Noise Ind. Appl. Flow Appl. Aerosp. Act. Fluid Dyn. Flow Control -777 Theory Exp. Implement., American Society of Mechanical Engineers, Washington, DC, 778 USA, 2016: p. V01AT13A013. https://doi.org/10.1115/FEDSM2016-7918. [50] J. Legrand, P. Dumargue, F. Coeuret, Overall mass transfer to the rotating inner electrode 779 780 of a concentric cylindrical reactor with axial flow, Electrochimica Acta. 25 (1980) 669-673. 781 https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4686(80)87074-5. 782 [51]F. Song, H. Koo, D. Ren, Effects of Material Properties on Bacterial Adhesion and Biofilm 783 Formation, J. Dent. Res. 94 (2015) 1027–1034. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034515587690. 784 [52]D. Gabe, D. Robinson, Mass-Transfer in a Rotating Cylinder Cell .1. Laminar-Flow, 785 Electrochimica Acta. 17 (1972) 1121-. https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4686(72)90028-X. 786 [53] D. Gabe, D. Robinson, Mass-Transfer in a Rotating Cylinder Cell .2. Turbulent-Flow, 787 Electrochimica Acta. 17 (1972) 1129-. https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4686(72)90029-1. 788 [54]A. Racina, M. Kind, Specific power input and local micromixing times in turbulent Taylor-789 Couette flow, Exp. Fluids. 41 (2006) 513–522. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00348-006-0178-x. 790 [55]A. Mehel, C. Gabillet, H. Djeridi, Bubble effect on the structures of weakly turbulent Couette 791 Taylor Flow, in: FEDSM 2005 ASME Fluids Eng. Summer Conf., Houston, United States, 792 2005. https://doi.org/10.1115/1.2201641. 793 [56] A. Esser, S. Grossmann, Analytic expression for Taylor–Couette stability boundary, Phys. 794 Fluids. 8 (1996) 1814–1819. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.868963. 795 [57] R.B. Bird, W.E. Stewart, E.N. Lightfoot, Transport Phenomena, Revised 2nd Edition, 2nd 796 edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 2006. 797 [58]V.S. Bagotskiĭ, Fundamentals of electrochemistry, 2nd ed, Wiley-Interscience, Hoboken, 798 N.J, 2006. 799 [59]A.G. Zelinsky, B.Ya. Pirogov, Effective thickness of the diffusion layer during hydrogen ion 800 reduction in aqueous hydrochloric acid solutions, Russ. J. Electrochem. 44 (2008) 585-593. 801 https://doi.org/10.1134/S1023193508050133. [60]S.C. Dexter, S.H. Lin, Calculation of Seawater pH at Polarized Metal Surfaces in the 802 803 Presence of Surface Films, Corrosion. 48 (1992) 50–60. https://doi.org/10.5006/1.3315918. 804 [61] M. Kristiawan, M.E. Hassan, A.E. Faye, V. Sobolik, Experimental investigation of Taylor-805 Couette-Poiseuille flow at low Taylor and Reynolds numbers, PLoS ONE. 14 (2019) e0212728. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0212728. 806 [62]R.O. Monico, S.G. Huisman, T.J.G. Jannink, D.P.M. van Gils, R. Verzicco, S. Grossmann, 807 C. Sun, D. Lohse, Optimal Taylor-Couette flow: Radius ratio dependence, J. Fluid Mech. 808 809 747 (2014) 1-29. https://doi.org/10.1017/ifm.2014.134. [63]B.G. Lusk, P. Parameswaran, S.C. Popat, B.E. Rittmann, C.I. Torres, The effect of pH and 810 811 buffer concentration on anode biofilms of Thermincola ferriacetica, Bioelectrochemistry, 112 812 (2016) 47-52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioelechem.2016.07.007. 813 [64] J. Pereira, S. de Nooy, T. Sleutels, A. ter Heijne, Opportunities for visual techniques to 814 determine characteristics and limitations of electro-active biofilms, Biotechnol. Adv. 60 (2022) 108011. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2022.108011. 815 816 [65]S. Tejedor-Sanz, P. Fernández-Labrador, S. Hart, C.I. Torres, A. Esteve-Núñez, Geobacter Dominates the Inner Layers of a Stratified Biofilm on a Fluidized Anode During Brewery 817 818 Wastewater Treatment, Front. Microbiol. 9 (2018). 819 https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00378 (accessed January 25, 2023).

- [66] P. Stoodley, Z. Lewandowski, J.D. Boyle, H.M. Lappin-Scott, Structural deformation of
- bacterial biofilms caused by short-term fluctuations in fluid shear: An in situ investigation of
   biofilm rheology, Biotechnol. Bioeng. 65 (1999) 83–92. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-
- 823 0290(19991005)65:1<83::AID-BIT10>3.0.CO;2-B.
- [67] A. Bridier, E. Desmond-Le Quemener, C. Bureau, P. Champigneux, L. Renvoise, J.-M.
  Audic, E. Blanchet, A. Bergel, T. Bouchez, Successive bioanode regenerations to maintain
  efficient current production from biowaste, Bioelectrochemistry Amst. Neth. 106 (2015) 133–
  140. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioelechem.2015.05.007.
- 828



831

Figure S1: CV cycle and recirculation effect on 8 working electrodes (c-e-h-j-m-o-r-t) acting as 832

anodes oxidizing hexacyanoferrate II, for the values of A)  $I_P$  and B)  $I_L$  calculated.  $I_P$  was 833

considered as the maximum of each anodic curve.  $I_L$  is the mean value of the oxidative plateau of 834

the curves from 0.55 to 0.6V/SCE. 835

- Table S1: Correspondence of the  $R^2$  value obtained from the correlation between  $I_L$  and
- 837 hexacyanoferrate (III/II) concentration for the different rotation speeds of the inner cylinder and
- 838 number of experimental points used for the correlation.

| ω         | 0    | 1    | 3    | 5    | 6.5  | 8    | 10   | 15   | 25   | 50   | 100  | 150  | 200  |
|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| (RPM)     |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Slope     | 0.21 | 0.32 | 0.47 | 0.61 | 0.70 | 0.71 | 0.85 | 1.0  | 1.5  | 2.4  | 3.5  | 4.2  | 4.9  |
| Intersect | 0.07 | 0.1  | 0.2  | 0.2  | 0.3  | 0.5  | 0.4  | 0.6  | 0.4  | 0.8  | 1.7  | 3.8  | 3.4  |
| $R^2$     | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
| Ν         | 105  | 195  | 105  | 195  | 105  | 105  | 195  | 90   | 105  | 179  | 180  | 90   | 105  |

839