



HAL
open science

Near-neighbor preserving dimension reduction via coverings for doubling subsets of ℓ_1

Ioannis Z. Emiris, Vasilis Margonis, Ioannis Psarros

► **To cite this version:**

Ioannis Z. Emiris, Vasilis Margonis, Ioannis Psarros. Near-neighbor preserving dimension reduction via coverings for doubling subsets of ℓ_1 . *Theoretical Computer Science*, 2022, 942, pp.169-179. 10.1016/j.tcs.2022.11.031 . hal-04294296

HAL Id: hal-04294296

<https://hal.science/hal-04294296v1>

Submitted on 28 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Near-Neighbor Preserving Dimension Reduction via Coverings for Doubling Subsets of ℓ_1

Ioannis Z. Emiris^{a,b,1}, Vasilis Margonis^{a,2,*}, Ioannis Psarros^{a,3}

^a*Department of Informatics & Telecommunications,
National & Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens 15784, Greece*

^b*ATHENA Research & Innovation Center, Maroussi 15125, Greece*

Abstract

Nearest neighbor-preserving embeddings exist for ℓ_2 (Euclidean) and ℓ_1 (Manhattan) metrics, as well as doubling subsets of ℓ_2 , where doubling dimension is today the most effective way of capturing input structure. These randomized embeddings bound the distortion only for distances between the query point and a point-set. Motivated by the study of fast Approximate Nearest Neighbor search in ℓ_1 , this paper settles the missing case of doubling subsets of ℓ_1 . In particular, we introduce a randomized dimensionality reduction by means of a *near* neighbor-preserving embedding; the latter is related to the decision-with-witness problem. The input set gets represented via appropriate covering point-sets. For this, we leverage either approximate r -nets or randomly shifted grids, with different tradeoffs between preprocessing time and target dimension. We exploit Cauchy random variables, and derive a concentration bound of independent interest.

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: emiris@di.uoa.gr (Ioannis Z. Emiris), basilis.math@gmail.com (Vasilis Margonis), ipsarros@uni-bonn.de (Ioannis Psarros)

¹Funding: Partially supported by the European Union's H2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 734242 (LAMBDA).

²Present address: Institute of Informatics and Telecommunications, National Center of Scientific Research "Demokritos", Agia Paraskevi 15341, Greece.

³Present address: Institute of Computer Science, University of Bonn, Endenicher Allee 19a, 53115 Bonn, Germany. Funding: this research has been co-financed by Greece and the European Union (European Social Fund) through the Operational Programme "Human Resources Development, Education and Lifelong Learning" in the context of the project "Strengthening Human Resources Research Potential via Doctorate Research" (MIS-5000432), implemented by the State Scholarships Foundation (IKY) of Greece.

Keywords: Approximate Nearest Neighbor, Manhattan metric, doubling dimension, randomized embedding, dimensionality reduction, Cauchy distribution, covering point set

1. Introduction

Proximity search is a key computational question with a wide variety of applications. The corresponding problems in metric spaces of low dimension have been usually handled by space tessellation. Such solutions are affected by the curse of dimensionality, which makes them too costly in high dimensions. In the past two decades, the increasing need for manipulating high-dimensional data has led to randomized and approximation algorithms with polynomial dependence on the dimension, since the latter cannot be assumed as fixed but is part of the input parameters.

Approximate Nearest Neighbor search, which is an optimization problem, constitutes a cornerstone question in this area. Existing reductions (see, e.g. [1]), which incur a polylogarithmic time overhead, reduce this search to the following decision problem with witness, namely the (c, R) -Approximate *Near* Neighbor question:

Definition 1 (Approximate Near Neighbor). *Let (X, d_X) be a metric space. Given $P \subseteq X$ and reals $R > 0$, $c \geq 1$, construct a data structure \mathcal{S} which, given a query point $q \in X$, performs as follows:*

- *If the nearest neighbor of q lies within distance at most R , then \mathcal{S} is allowed to report any point $p^* \in P$, such that $d_X(q, p^*) \leq cR$.*
- *If all points lie at distance more than cR from q , then \mathcal{S} returns \perp .*

The data structure \mathcal{S} always returns either a point at distance $\leq cR$ or \perp , even when none of the above two cases occurs.

We shall now suppose that $R = 1$, because this can always be achieved by re-scaling the input point set, and we refer to this problem as c -ANN, or simply

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

ANN. We focus on subsets of ℓ_1^d , in other words, the input dataset consists of n vectors in \mathbb{R}^d endowed with the standard ℓ_1 distance function, also known as Manhattan metric, whose norm is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_1$. Note that all logarithms are base 2.

Previous work. Major landmarks in the study of data structures for high-dimensional normed spaces are the different variants, proofs, and applications of the celebrated Johnson-Lindenstrauss Lemma (e.g. [2, 3, 4]), sketches based on p -stable distributions [5], and Locality Sensitive Hashing (e.g. [6, 7, 8]). In the core of most high-dimensional solutions, lies the fact that for certain metric spaces, e.g. ℓ_p for $p \in [1, 2]$, the distance can be efficiently sketched. Spaces which are considered to be harder in this context, such as ℓ_∞ , can also be treated [9], and are quite interesting since they can be used as host spaces for various norms [10].

Significant amount of work has been undertaken for point sets of low *doubling* dimension, since it is today one of the primary paradigms for capturing input structure (formal definitions in the next section). For any finite metric space X of doubling dimension $\dim(X)$, there exists a data structure [11, 12] with expected preprocessing time $O(2^{\dim(X)}n \log n)$, space usage $O(2^{\dim(X)}n)$ (or even $O(n)$) and query time $O(2^{\dim(X)} \log n + \varepsilon^{-O(\dim(X))})$.

Indyk and Naor [13] introduced the notion of nearest-neighbor preserving embeddings, which are randomized embeddings between two metric spaces with guaranteed bounded distortion only for the distances between a query point and a point set. They achieved a dimension reduction for doubling subsets of ℓ_2 , with the target dimension depending only on the input dataset's doubling dimension. Even before, Indyk [5] had introduced a randomized embedding for dimension reduction in ℓ_1 , which is suitable for proximity search purposes, and it achieves target dimension polylogarithmic in the size of the point set. Naturally, such approaches can be easily combined with any known data structure to be used in the projection space. Randomized embeddings have been recently used in the ANN context [14], for doubling subsets of ℓ_p , $2 < p < \infty$.

Dimensionality reduction in ℓ_1 cannot be achieved in the same generality as in ℓ_2 , even by assuming that the point set is of low doubling dimension [15]: There are arbitrarily large n -point subsets $P \subseteq \ell_1$ which are doubling with constant 6, such that every embedding with distortion D of P into ℓ_1^k requires $k = n^{\Omega(1/D^2)}$. Aiming at more restrictive guarantees, e.g. preserving distances within some pre-defined range, is a relevant workaround. Then, dimension reduction techniques for doubling subsets of ℓ_p , $p \in [1, 2]$, have been proposed in [16], but they rely on partition algorithms which require the whole point set to be known in advance. Hence, applicability of such techniques is very limited and, specifically, they do not seem amenable to an online setting where query points are not known in advance.

Our results. A new dimensionality reduction scheme is proposed, by means of a *near* neighbor-preserving embedding for doubling subsets of ℓ_1 , thus settling a case that remained open. Our definition is essentially a modified version of the nearest neighbor preserving embedding of [13]: the required guarantees are weaker, since we consider the decision version of the problem, therefore the embedding depends on some range parameter $R > 0$.

Definition 2 (Near-neighbor preserving embedding). *Let (Y, d_Y) , (Z, d_Z) be metric spaces and $X \subseteq Y$. A distribution over mappings $f : Y \rightarrow Z$ is a near-neighbor preserving embedding with range $R > 0$, distortion $D \geq 1$ and probability of correctness $\mathcal{P} \in [0, 1]$ if for every $\alpha \geq D$ and any $q \in Y$, if $x \in X$ is such that $d_Y(x, q) \leq R$, then with probability at least \mathcal{P} ,*

- $d_Z(f(x), f(q)) \leq D \cdot R$,
- $\forall p \in X : d_Y(p, q) > D \cdot \alpha \cdot R \implies d_Z(f(p), f(q)) > \alpha \cdot R$.

Considering a point set $P \subset \ell_1^d$ of cardinality n , our results concern ℓ_1^k as the target space, where k depends on the doubling dimension of P . We assume that $R = 1$, since we can re-scale the given point set. More specifically:

1. In Theorem 10, we prove that for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ and $c \geq 1$, there is a randomized mapping $h : \ell_1^d \rightarrow \ell_1^k$ that can be computed in time

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 $\tilde{O}(dn^{1+1/\Omega(c)})$ and is *near* neighbor-preserving for P with distortion $1+6\varepsilon$
10 and probability of correctness $\Omega(\varepsilon)$, where

$$11 \quad k = (\log \lambda_P \cdot \log(c/\varepsilon))^{\Theta(1/\varepsilon)} / \zeta(\varepsilon),$$

12
13
14
15 for a function $\zeta(\varepsilon) > 0$ depending only on ε . Although the mapping h
16 depends on the point set, the parameter c is user-defined and therefore
17 provides a trade-off between preprocessing time and target dimension.
18
19

- 20
21 2. In Theorem 13, we show that for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$, there is a randomized
22 mapping $h' : \ell_1^d \rightarrow \ell_1^k$ that can be computed in time $O(dkn)$, and is
23 *near* neighbor-preserving for P with distortion $1+6\varepsilon$ and probability of
24 correctness $\Omega(\varepsilon)$, where

$$25 \quad k = (\log \lambda_P \cdot \log(d/\varepsilon))^{\Theta(1/\varepsilon)} / \zeta(\varepsilon),$$

26
27
28 for a function $\zeta(\varepsilon) > 0$ depending only on ε . In this case, the function
29 h' is oblivious to P and well-defined over the whole space, but the target
30 dimension depends on d .
31
32
33
34
35

36 On the low-preprocessing-time extreme, one can embed the dataset in near-
37 linear time, but the target dimension is polynomial in $\log \log n$. This is to
38 be juxtaposed to the analogous result in [5], which achieves target dimension
39 polynomial in $\log n$, without any assumption on the doubling dimension of the
40 dataset. On the other hand, it is possible to obtain a preprocessing time of
41 $dn^{1+\delta}$ for any constant $\delta > 0$, and target dimension which depends solely on
42 the doubling dimension.
43
44
45
46
47

48 **Methodology.** Both of the aforementioned embeddings h, h' consist of two
49 basic components. First, we represent the point set P with an ε -covering set,
50 and then we apply a random linear projection à la Indyk [5] to that set, using
51 Cauchy variables.
52
53
54

55 The role of the covering set is to exploit the doubling dimension of P . In the
56 analogous result for ℓ_2 in [13], no representative sets were used; the mapping was
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

just a random linear projection of P . In the case of ℓ_1 however, a similar analysis of a linear projection with Cauchy variables without these representative sets seems to be impossible, since the Cauchy distribution is heavy tailed.

In Theorem 10, we consider c -approximate r -nets as a covering set. Inspired by the algorithm of [17] for ℓ_2 , we design an algorithm that computes a c -approximate r -net in ℓ_1 in subquadratic, but superlinear, time. On the other hand, Theorem 13 relies on randomly shifted grids, which can be computed in linear time, but are inferior to r -nets in terms of capturing the doubling dimension of the point set, hence inferior in terms of the target dimension.

To bound the distortion incurred by the randomized projection, we exploit the 1-stability property of the Cauchy distribution. To this end, we establish a new concentration bound for sums of independent Cauchy variables, which should be of interest beyond the scope of this paper. To overcome the technical difficulties associated with the heavy tails of the Cauchy distribution, we study sums of *square roots* of Cauchy variables, whereas in [5], Indyk considers sums of *truncated* Cauchy variables instead. Although our concentration bound is rather weak, it is sufficient for our purposes and its analysis is much simpler compared to that in [5].

Algorithmic implications. Our results show that efficient dimension reduction for doubling subsets of ℓ_1 is possible, in the context of ANN. In particular, these results imply efficient sketches, meaning that one can solve ANN with minimal storage per point. Dimensionality reduction also serves as a problem reduction from a high-dimensional hard instance to a low-dimensional easy instance. Since the algorithms presented in this paper are quite simple, they should also be of practical interest: they easily extend the scope of any implementation which has been optimized to solve the problem in low dimension, so that it may handle high-dimensional data.

Our embedding can be combined with the bucketing method in [1] for the $(1+\varepsilon)$ -ANN problem in ℓ_1^d . For instance, setting $c = \log n$ in Theorem 10, yields preprocessing time $dn^{1+o(1)}$, space $n^{1+o(1)}$ and query time $O(d) \cdot (\log \lambda_P \cdot$

1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9 $\log \log n)^{O(1/\varepsilon)}$ assuming that the doubling dimension is a fixed constant. This
 10 improves upon existing results: the query time of [18] depends on the aspect ra-
 11 tio of the dataset, while the data structures of [11, 12] support queries with time
 12 complexity which depends exponentially on the doubling dimension. However,
 13 it is worth noting that one could potentially improve the results of [11, 12, 18] in
 14 the special case of ℓ_1 , by employing ANN data structures with fast query time,
 15 in order to accelerate the traversal of the net-tree. Hence, while our result gives
 16 a simple framework for exploiting the intrinsic dimension of doubling subsets of
 17 ℓ_1 , it is unlikely that it shall improve upon simple variants of previous results
 18 in terms of complexity bounds.
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 29
 30
 31
 32
 33
 34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 50
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 65

This paper is the complete and final version of our results that appeared in preliminary form in [19].

Paper structure. The next section introduces basic concepts and some relevant existing results. Section 3 establishes a new concentration bound on sums of independent Cauchy variables. Section 4 achieves dimensionality reduction by means of representing the point set by a carefully chosen net, while Section 5 employs randomly shifted grids for the same task. We conclude with a discussion of our results, and of potential improvements.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we define basic notions about doubling metrics and present some relevant existing results.

Definition 3. Consider any metric space (X, d_X) and let $B(p, r) = \{x \in X \mid d_X(x, p) \leq r\}$. The doubling constant of X , denoted λ_X , is the smallest integer λ_X such that for any $p \in X$ and $r > 0$, the ball $B(p, r)$ can be covered by λ_X balls of radius $r/2$ centered at points in X .

The doubling dimension of (X, d_X) is defined as $\log \lambda_X$. Nets play an important role in the study of embeddings, as well as in designing efficient data structures for doubling metrics.

Definition 4. For $c \geq 1$, $r > 0$ and metric space (V, d_V) , a c -approximate r -net of V is a subset $\mathcal{N} \subseteq V$ such that no two points of \mathcal{N} are within distance r of each other, and every point of V lies within distance at most $c \cdot r$ from some point of \mathcal{N} .

Theorem 5. Let $P \subset \ell_1^d$ such that $|P| = n$. Then, for any $c > 0$, $r > 0$, one can compute a c -approximate r -net of P in time $\tilde{O}(dn^{1+1/c'})$, where $c' = \Omega(c)$. The result is correct with high probability. The algorithm also returns the assignment of each point of P to the point of the net which covers it.

Proof. We employ some standard ideas from [1]. An analogous result for ℓ_2 can be found in [17]. Let us first suppose that $r = 1$, since we are able to re-scale the point set. Let us now consider a randomly shifted grid with side-length 2. The probability that two points $p, q \in P$ fall into the same grid cell is at least $1 - \|p - q\|_1/2$. For each non-empty grid cell, we snap points to a grid: each coordinate is rounded to the nearest multiple of $\delta = 1/10dc$. Then, coordinates are multiplied by $1/\delta$ and each point $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in [2\delta]^d$ is mapped to $\{0, 1\}^{2d/\delta}$ by a function G as follows: $G(x) = (g(x_1), \dots, g(x_d))$, where $g(z)$ is a binary string of z ones followed by $2/\delta - z$ zeros. For any two points p, q in the same grid cell, let $f(p), f(q)$ be the two binary strings obtained by the above mapping. Notice that,

$$\|f(p) - f(q)\|_1 \in (2/\delta) \cdot \|p - q\|_1 \pm 1.$$

Hence,

$$\|p - q\|_1 \leq 1 \implies \|f(p) - f(q)\|_1 \leq (2/\delta) + 1,$$

$$\|p - q\|_1 \geq c \implies \|f(p) - f(q)\|_1 \geq (2/\delta) \cdot c - 1.$$

Let us now employ the LSH family of [1], for the Hamming space. After standard concatenation, we may assume that the family is $(\rho, c'\rho, n^{-1/c'}, n^{-1})$ -sensitive, where $\rho = (2/\delta) + 1$ and $c' = \Omega(c)$. Let $\alpha = n^{-1/c'}$ and $\beta = n^{-1}$.

Notice that for the above two-level hashing table, we obtain the following guarantees: Any two points $p, q \in P$, such that $\|p - q\|_1 \leq 1$, fall into the same

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 bucket with probability $\geq \alpha/2$. Any two points $p, q \in P$, such that $\|p - q\|_1 \geq c$,
10 fall into the same bucket with probability $\leq \beta$.

11 Finally, we independently build $k = \Theta(n^{1/c'} \log n)$ hashtables as above,
12 where the random hash function is defined as a concatenation of the function
13 which maps points to their grid cell id and one LSH function. We pick an arbi-
14 trary ordering $p_1, \dots, p_n \in P$. We follow a greedy strategy in order to compute
15 the approximate net. We start with point p_1 , and we add it to the net. We
16 mark all (unmarked) points which fall at the same bucket with p_1 , in one of
17 the k hashtables, and are at distance $\leq cr$. Then, we proceed with point p_2 .
18 If p_2 is unmarked, then we repeat the above. Otherwise, we proceed with p_3 .
19 The above iteration stops when all points have been marked. Throughout the
20 procedure, we are able to store one pointer for each point, indicating the center
21 which covered it.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30
31 **Correctness.** The probability that a good pair p, q does not fall into the
32 same bucket for any of the k hashtables is $\leq (1 - \alpha/2)^k \leq n^{-10}$. Hence, with
33 high probability, the packing property holds, and the covering property holds
34 because the above algorithm stops when all points are marked.
35
36
37

38 **Running time.** The time to build the k hashtables is $k \cdot n = \tilde{O}(n^{1+1/c'})$.
39 Then, at most n queries are performed: for each query, we investigate k buck-
40 ets and the expected number of false positives is $\leq k \cdot n^2 \cdot \beta = \tilde{O}(n^{1+1/c'})$.
41 Hence, if we stop after having seen a sufficient amount of false positives, we ob-
42 tain time complexity $\tilde{O}(n^{1+1/c'})$ and the covering property holds with constant
43 probability. We can repeat the above procedure $O(\log n)$ times to obtain high
44 probability of success. \square
45
46
47
48
49

50 The main result in the context of randomized embeddings for dimension
51 reduction in ℓ_1^d is the following theorem, which exploits the 1-stability property
52 of Cauchy random variables and provides with an asymmetric guarantee: The
53 probability of non-contraction is high, but the probability of non-expansion
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

is constant. Nevertheless, this asymmetric property is sufficient for proximity search.

Theorem 6 (Thm 5, [5]). *For any $\varepsilon \leq 1/2$, $\delta > 0$, $\varepsilon > \gamma > 0$ there is a probability space over linear mappings $f : \ell_1^d \rightarrow \ell_1^k$, where $k = (\ln(1/\delta))^{1/(\varepsilon-\gamma)}/\zeta(\gamma)$, for a function $\zeta(\gamma) > 0$ depending only on γ , such that for any pair of points $p, q \in \ell_1^d$:*

$$\Pr [\|f(p) - f(q)\|_1 \leq (1 - \varepsilon) \|p - q\|_1] \leq \delta,$$

$$\Pr [\|f(p) - f(q)\|_1 \geq (1 + \varepsilon) \|p - q\|_1] \leq \frac{1 + \gamma}{1 + \varepsilon}.$$

Note that the embedding is defined as $f(u) = Au/T$, where A is a $k \times d$ matrix with each element being an i.i.d. Cauchy random variable. In addition, T is a scaling factor defined as the expectation of a sum of truncated Cauchy variables, such that $T = \Theta(k \log(k/\varepsilon))$, see [5, Lem. 5].

One key observation here is that, given a point set P in a space of bounded aspect ratio Φ , one can directly employ Theorem 6. The number of points can be upper bounded by a function of λ_P and Φ , and hence the new dimension k depends only on these parameters. Here, we establish better bounds than those in Theorem 6 for doubling subsets of ℓ_1^d , without any assumption on the aspect ratio.

3. Concentration bounds for Cauchy variables

In this section, we prove some basic properties of the Cauchy distribution, which serves as our main embedding tool.

Let $C_{\mathcal{D}}$ denote the Cauchy distribution with density $c(x) = (1/\pi)/(1 + x^2)$. One key property of the Cauchy distribution is the so-called 1-stability property: Let $v = (v_1, \dots, v_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and X_1, \dots, X_k be i.i.d. random variables following $C_{\mathcal{D}}$, then $\sum_{i=1}^k X_i v_i$ is distributed as $X \cdot \|v\|_1$, where $X \sim C_{\mathcal{D}}$.

The Cauchy distribution has undefined mean. However, for $0 < q < 1$, the mean of the q -th power of a Cauchy random variable can be defined. More

specifically, for some $X \sim C_{\mathcal{D}}$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^{1/2}\right] = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{1+x^2} dx = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} = \sqrt{2}.$$

The following lemma provides a bound for the moment-generating function of $|X|^{1/2}$.

Lemma 7. *Let $X \sim C_{\mathcal{D}}$. Then for any $\beta > 1$:*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp(-\beta|X|^{1/2})\right] \leq \frac{2}{\beta}.$$

Proof. For any constant β ,

$$\int_0^1 e^{-\beta x^{1/2}} dx = \frac{2}{\beta^2} \left(1 - \frac{\beta+1}{e^{\beta}}\right).$$

Then, for any $\beta > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\exp(-\beta|X|^{1/2})\right] &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\beta|x|^{1/2}} \cdot c(x) dx = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\beta x^{1/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 e^{-\beta x^{1/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_1^{\infty} e^{-\beta x^{1/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 e^{-\beta x^{1/2}} dx + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_1^{\infty} e^{-\beta} \cdot \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \cdot \frac{2}{\beta^2} \left(1 - \frac{\beta+1}{e^{\beta}}\right) + \frac{1}{2e^{\beta}} \\ &\leq \frac{4}{\pi\beta^2} + \frac{1}{2e^{\beta}} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\beta}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Let $S := \sum_{j=1}^k |X_j|$ where each X_j is an i.i.d. Cauchy variable. To prove concentration bounds for S , we study the sum $\tilde{S} := \sum_{j=1}^k |X_j|^{1/2}$. By Hölder's Inequality, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $p > q > 0$,

$$\|x\|_p \leq \|x\|_q \leq d^{1/q-1/p} \|x\|_p.$$

Consequently, for $x = (X_1, \dots, X_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $p = 1$ and $q = 1/2$ we have that $S \leq \tilde{S}^2 \leq k \cdot S$, hence for any $t > 0$,

$$\Pr[S \leq t] \leq \Pr[\tilde{S} \leq \sqrt{tk}]. \quad (1)$$

We use the bound on the moment-generating function, to prove a Chernoff-type concentration bound for \tilde{S} , which by Eq. (1) translates into a concentration bound for S .

Lemma 8. *For every $D > 1$,*

$$\Pr \left[\tilde{S} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[\tilde{S}]}{D} \right] \leq \left(\frac{10}{D} \right)^k.$$

Proof. Since X_j 's are independent, $\mathbb{E}[\tilde{S}] = \sqrt{2}k$. Then, by Lemma 7 and Markov's inequality, for any $\beta > 1$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr \left[\tilde{S} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[\tilde{S}]}{D} \right] &= \Pr \left[\exp(-\beta\tilde{S}) \geq \exp \left(-\beta \cdot \frac{\mathbb{E}[\tilde{S}]}{D} \right) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[\exp(-\beta\tilde{S})]}{\exp(-\beta \mathbb{E}[\tilde{S}]/D)} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{E}[\exp(-\beta|X_j|^{1/2})]^k}{\exp(-\beta\sqrt{2}k/D)} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2}{\beta} \right)^k \cdot e^{\sqrt{2}\beta k/D}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\beta = D$ completes the proof. \square

4. Net-based dimension reduction

This section describes the dimension reduction mapping for ℓ_1 via r -nets. Let $P \subset \ell_1^d$ be a set of n points with doubling constant λ_P . For some point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r > 0$, we denote by $B_1(x, r)$ the ℓ_1 -ball of radius r around x . The embedding is non-linear and is carried out in two steps.

First, let us compute a c -approximate (ε/c) -net \mathcal{N} of P with the algorithm of Theorem 5. Moreover, the algorithm assigns each point of P to the point of \mathcal{N} which covered it. Let $g : P \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be this assignment. In the second step, for every $s \in \mathcal{N}$ and any query point $q \in \ell_1^d$, we apply the linear map of Theorem 6. That is, $f(s) = As/T$, where A is a $k \times d$ matrix with each element being an i.i.d. Cauchy random variable. Recall that value T satisfies

$$T = \Theta(k \log(k/\varepsilon)).$$

By the 1-stability property of the Cauchy distribution, $f(s)$ is distributed as $\|s\|_1 \cdot (Y_1, \dots, Y_k)$, where each Y_j is i.i.d. and $Y_j \sim C_{\mathcal{D}}$. Hence, $\|f(s)\|_1 = \|s\|_1 \cdot S$ where $S := \sum_j |Y_j|$.

We now define the embedding to be $h = f \circ g$. We apply h to every point in P , and f to any query point q . It is clear from the properties of the net that g incurs an additive error of $\pm\varepsilon$ on the distance between q and any point in P , so it is sufficient to consider the distortion of f .

Our analysis consists of studying separately the following disjoint subsets of \mathcal{N} : Points that lie at distance at most D_0 from the query and points that lie at distance at least D_0 , for some $D_0 > 1$ chosen appropriately. For the former set, we directly apply Theorem 6, since it has bounded diameter.

The next lemma guarantees the low distortion for points of the latter set, namely those that are sufficiently far from the query. We consider the sum of the square roots of each $|Y_j|$, i.e., $\tilde{S} = \sum_j |Y_j|^{1/2}$, in order to employ the tools of Section 3.

Lemma 9. *Fix a query point $q \in \ell_1^d$. For any $\varepsilon \leq 1/2$, $c \geq 1$, $\delta \in (0, 1)$, there exists $D_0 = O(\log(k/\varepsilon))$ such that for $k = \Theta(\log^2 \lambda_P \cdot \log(c/\varepsilon) + \log(1/\delta))$, with probability at least $1 - \delta$,*

$$\forall s \in \mathcal{N} : \|s - q\|_1 \geq D_0 \implies \|f(s) - f(q)\|_1 \geq 4.$$

Proof. Assume wlog that the query point is the origin $(0, \dots, 0)$. For some $D_0 > 1$, we define the following subsets of \mathcal{N} :

$$N_i := \{s \in \mathcal{N} \mid D_i \leq \|s\|_1 < D_{i+1}\}, \quad D_i = 2^{2^i} D_0, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

By the definition of doubling constant and the fact that two points of \mathcal{N} lie at distance at least ε ,

$$|N_i| \leq \lambda_P^{\lceil \log(4cD_{i+1}/\varepsilon) \rceil} \leq \lambda_P^{4 \log(cD_{i+1}/\varepsilon)}.$$

Therefore, by the union bound, and Eq. (1):

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pr \left[\exists i \exists s \in N_i : \|f(s)\|_1 \leq \frac{4\|s\|_1}{D_i} \right] &= \Pr \left[\exists i \exists s \in N_i : S \leq \frac{4T}{D_i} \right] \\
&\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |N_i| \Pr \left[\tilde{S} \leq \frac{\sqrt{4kT}}{\sqrt{D_i}} \right] \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |N_i| \Pr \left[\tilde{S} \leq \mathbb{E}[\tilde{S}] \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2T}{k2^{2i}D_0}} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 8, for $D_0 = \lceil 800T/k \rceil = \Theta(\log(k/\varepsilon))$ and $k > 4 \cdot \log \lambda_P \cdot \log(cD_0/\varepsilon) + 2 \log(2\lambda_P/\delta)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |N_i| \Pr \left[\tilde{S} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[\tilde{S}]}{10 \cdot 2^{i+1}} \right] &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_P^{4 \log(cD_{i+1}/\varepsilon)} \left(\frac{1}{2^{i+1}} \right)^k \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{\log(\lambda_P)(4 \log(cD_0/\varepsilon) + 2i + 2)}}{2^{k(i+1)}} \\
&\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{\log(\lambda_P) \cdot 4 \log(cD_0/\varepsilon)} \cdot 2^{2 \log(\lambda_P)(i+1)}}{2^{(4 \cdot \log \lambda_P \cdot \log(cD_0/\varepsilon))(i+1)} \cdot 2^{2 \log(2\lambda_P/\delta)(i+1)}} \\
&\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-2 \log(2/\delta)(i+1)} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\delta^2}{4} \right)^i - 1 \\
&= \frac{\delta^2}{4 - \delta^2} \\
&\leq \delta.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, for some large enough constant C , we demand that

$$k > C (\log \lambda_P \cdot \log(c \log k/\varepsilon) + \log(1/\delta)) > 4 \cdot \log \lambda_P \cdot \log(cD_0/\varepsilon) + 2 \log(2\lambda_P/\delta)$$

which is satisfied for $k = \Theta(\log^2 \lambda_P \cdot \log(c/\varepsilon) + \log(1/\delta))$. \square

Theorem 10. *Let $P \subset \ell_1^d$ such that $|P| = n$. For any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ and $c \geq 1$, there is a non-linear randomized embedding $h = f \circ g : \ell_1^d \rightarrow \ell_1^k$, where*

$$k = (\log \lambda_P \cdot \log(c/\varepsilon))^{\Theta(1/\varepsilon)} / \zeta(\varepsilon),$$

for a function $\zeta(\varepsilon) > 0$ depending only on ε , such that, for any $q \in \ell_1^d$, if there exists $p^* \in P$ such that $\|p^* - q\|_1 \leq 1$, then, with probability $\Omega(\varepsilon)$:

$$\|h(p^*) - f(q)\|_1 \leq 1 + 3\varepsilon,$$

$$\forall p \in P : \|p - q\|_1 > 1 + 9\varepsilon \implies \|h(p) - f(q)\|_1 > 1 + 3\varepsilon.$$

Set P can be embedded in time $\tilde{O}(dn^{1+1/\Omega(c)})$, and any query $q \in \ell_1^d$ can be embedded in time $O(dk)$.

Proof. Let f, g be the mappings defined in the beginning of the section and $D_0 = \Theta(\log(k/\varepsilon))$. Assume wlog for simplicity that $q = 0^d$. Then, by Lemma 9 for $k = \Theta(\log^2 \lambda_P \cdot \log(c/\varepsilon))$, with probability at least $1 - \varepsilon/5$, we have:

$$\forall p \in P : \|p - q\|_1 \geq D_0 + \varepsilon \implies \|h(p) - f(q)\|_1 \geq 4.$$

By Theorem 6, for $\gamma = \varepsilon/10$ and $\delta = \varepsilon/(5\lambda_P^{8 \log(cD_0/\varepsilon)})$, with probability at least $1 - \varepsilon/5$, we get:

$$\forall p \in P : \|p - q\|_1 \in (1+9\varepsilon, D_0 + \varepsilon) \implies \|h(p) - f(q)\|_1 > (1+8\varepsilon)(1-\varepsilon) \geq 1+3\varepsilon.$$

Moreover,

$$\Pr [\|h(p^*) - f(q)\|_1 \leq 1 + 3\varepsilon] \geq 1 - \frac{1 + \varepsilon/10}{1 + \varepsilon} \geq 1 - (1 - \varepsilon/2).$$

Then, the target dimension needs to satisfy the following inequality:

$$k \geq \frac{(\ln(5\lambda_P^{8 \log(cD_0/\varepsilon)}/\varepsilon))^{2/\varepsilon}}{\zeta(\varepsilon)} = \frac{(\Theta(\log \log k \cdot \log \lambda_P + \log \lambda_P \cdot \ln(c/\varepsilon)))^{2/\varepsilon}}{\zeta(\varepsilon)}.$$

Hence, for $k = (\log \lambda_P \cdot \log(c/\varepsilon))^{\Theta(1/\varepsilon)} / \zeta(\varepsilon)$, we achieve a total probability of success in $\Omega(\varepsilon)$, which completes the proof. \square

5. Dimension reduction based on randomly shifted grids

In this section, we explore some properties of randomly shifted grids, and we present a simplified embedding which consists of a first step of snapping points to a grid, and a second step of randomly projecting grid points.

Let $w > 0$ and t be chosen uniformly at random from the interval $[0, w]$. The function

$$h_{w,t}(x) = w \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{x-t}{w} \right\rfloor$$

induces a random partition of the real line into segments of length w . Hence, the function

$$g_w(x) = (h_{w,t_1}(x_1), \dots, h_{w,t_d}(x_d)),$$

for t_1, \dots, t_d independent uniform random variables in the interval $[0, w]$, induces a randomly shifted grid in \mathbb{R}^d . For a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, we denote by $g_w(X)$, the image of X on the randomly shifted grid points defined by g_w . For some $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r > 0$, the number of grid cells of $g_w(\ell_1^d)$ that $B_1(x, r)$ intersects per axis is independent, and in expectation is $1+2r/w$. Then, the expected total number of grid cells that $B_1(x, r)$ intersects is at most $(1+2r/w)^d$.

Now let $P \subset \ell_1^d$ be a set of n points with doubling constant λ_P and $q \in \ell_1^d$ a query point. For $w = \varepsilon/d$, the ℓ_1 -diameter of each cell is ε and therefore $g_w(P)$ is an ε -covering set of P .

Lemma 11. *Let $\mathcal{R} > 1$ and $P' := B_1(q, \mathcal{R}) \cap P$. Then, for $w = \varepsilon/d$*

$$\mathbb{E} [|g_w(P')|] \leq 8\lambda_P^{2\log(d\mathcal{R}/\varepsilon)}.$$

Proof. By the doubling constant definition, there exists a set of balls of radius ε/d^2 centered at points in P' , of cardinality at most $\lambda_P^{2\log(d\mathcal{R}/\varepsilon)}$ which covers P' . For each ball of radius ε/d^2 , the expected number of intersecting grid cells is $(1+2/d)^d \leq e^2$. The lemma follows by linearity of expectation. \square

The next lemma shows that, with constant probability, the growth on the number of representatives, as we move away from q , is bounded.

Lemma 12. *Let $\{D_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of radii such that, for any i , $D_{i+1} = 4D_i$. Let A_i be the points of $g_w(P)$ within distance $D_{i+1} = 2^{2(i+1)}D_0$ from q . Then, with probability at least $1/3$,*

$$\forall i \in \{-1, 0, \dots\} : |A_i| \leq 4^{i+3} \lambda_P^{2\log(dD_{i+1}/\varepsilon)}.$$

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Proof. By Lemma 11, $\mathbb{E}[|A_i|] \leq 8\lambda_P^{2\log(dD_{i+1}/\varepsilon)}$ for every $i \in \{-1, 0, \dots\}$. Then, a union bound followed by Markov's inequality yields

$$\Pr [\exists i \in \{0, 1, \dots\} : |A_i| \geq 4^{i+1} \mathbb{E}[|A_i|]] \leq 1/3.$$

In addition,

$$\Pr [|A_{-1}| \geq 4 \mathbb{E}[|A_i|]] \leq 1/4. \quad \square$$

Theorem 13. *Let $P \subset \ell_1^d$ such that $|P| = n$. For any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$, there is a non-linear randomized embedding $h' : \ell_1^d \rightarrow \ell_1^k$, where*

$$k = (\log \lambda_P \cdot \log(d/\varepsilon))^{\Theta(1/\varepsilon)} / \zeta(\varepsilon),$$

for a function $\zeta(\varepsilon) > 0$ depending only on ε , such that for any $q \in \ell_1^d$, if there exists $p^* \in P$ such that $\|p^* - q\|_1 \leq 1$, then with probability $\Omega(\varepsilon)$,

$$\|h'(p^*) - f(q)\|_1 \leq 1 + 3\varepsilon,$$

$$\forall p \in P : \|p - q\|_1 > 1 + 9\varepsilon \implies \|h'(p) - f(q)\|_1 > 1 + 3\varepsilon.$$

Any point can be embedded in time $O(dk)$.

Proof. We follow the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 10. The embedding is $h' = f \circ g_{\varepsilon/d}$, where f is the randomized linear map defined in Section 4. As before, we apply h' to every point in P , and only f to queries. The randomly shifted grid incurs an additive error of ε in the distances between q and P .

Assume wlog that $q = 0^d$ and let A_i be the points of $g_{\varepsilon/d}(P)$ within distance $D_{i+1} = 2^{2(i+1)}D_0$ from q . Hence, by Lemma 12,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr \left[\exists i \exists s \in A_i : \|f(s)\|_1 \leq \frac{4\|s\|_1}{D_i} \right] &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |A_i| \Pr \left[S \leq \frac{4T}{D_i} \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 4^{i+3} \lambda_P^{2\log(dD_{i+1}/\varepsilon)} \Pr \left[\tilde{S} \leq \frac{\sqrt{4kT}}{\sqrt{D_i}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

As in Lemma 9, for $D_0 = \lceil 800T/k \rceil = \Theta(\log(k/\varepsilon))$, $k \geq 20 \log \lambda_P \cdot \log(\frac{dD_0}{\varepsilon\delta})$ and $\delta = \varepsilon/5$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 4^{i+3} \lambda_P^{2\log(dD_{i+1}/\varepsilon)} \Pr \left[\tilde{S} \leq \frac{\sqrt{4kT}}{\sqrt{D_i}} \right] &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2i+6+2\log \lambda_P [\log(dD_0/\varepsilon)+2(i+1)]}}{2^{k(i+1)}} \\ &\leq \varepsilon/5. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $k = \Omega((\log^2 \lambda_P \cdot \log(d/\varepsilon)))$, with probability at least $1 - \varepsilon/5$, we have:

$$\forall p \in P : \|p - q\|_1 \geq D_0 + \varepsilon \implies \|h'(p) - f(q)\|_1 \geq 4.$$

Now, we are able to use Theorem 6 for points which are at distance at most $D_0 + \varepsilon$ from q , and the near neighbor. By Lemma 12, with constant probability, the number of grid points at distance $\leq D_0 + \varepsilon$, is at most $32 \cdot \lambda_P^{4 \log(dD_0/\varepsilon)}$. Hence, by Theorem 6, for $\gamma = \varepsilon/10$ and $\delta = \varepsilon/(160 \lambda_P^{4 \log(dD_0/\varepsilon)})$, with probability at least $1 - \varepsilon/5$, it holds:

$$\forall p \in P : \|p - q\|_1 \in (1 + 9\varepsilon, D_0 + \varepsilon) \implies \|h'(p) - f(q)\|_1 > 1 + 3\varepsilon.$$

Moreover, with probability at least $\varepsilon/2$, we obtain:

$$\|h'(p^*) - f(q)\|_1 \leq 1 + 3\varepsilon.$$

As in Theorem 10, the target dimension needs to satisfy the following:

$$k \geq \frac{(\ln(160 \lambda_P^{4 \log(dD_0/\varepsilon)}/\varepsilon))^{2/\varepsilon}}{\zeta(\varepsilon)}.$$

Hence, for $k = (\log \lambda_P \cdot \log(d/\varepsilon))^{\Theta(1/\varepsilon)} / \zeta(\varepsilon)$ we achieve total probability of success $\Omega(\varepsilon)$. \square

6. Conclusion

We have filled in a gap in the spectrum of randomized embeddings with bounded distortion only for distances between the query and a point set: such embeddings existed for ℓ_2 and ℓ_1 and for doubling subsets of ℓ_2 . Here we settle the case of doubling subsets of ℓ_1 with a *near* neighbor-preserving embedding. In the meantime, we obtain a concentration bound on sums of independent Cauchy variables. Our algorithms are quite simple, therefore they should also be of practical interest.

We rely on approximate r -nets or randomly shifted grids. For the former, Theorem 10 provides with a trade-off between the preprocessing time required and the target dimension. On the other hand, Theorem 13 has the advantage

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 of fast preprocessing: any point is embedded in $O(dk)$ time, and the embedding
10 is oblivious to the point set. In regards to the near-linear preprocessing time,
11 the two results are comparable, since the dimension in Theorem 13 can be
12 substituted by the target dimension of Theorem 6.
13
14

15 Let us underline that any potential improvements to Theorem 6 should lead
16 to improvements to Theorems 10 and 13. The target dimension in these theo-
17 rems follows from a direct application of Theorem 6 to the representative data
18 points which lie inside a bounding ball centered at the query.
19
20
21

22 *Acknowledgements*

23
24 IZE is member of team AROMATH, joint between INRIA Sophia-Antipolis
25 (France) and NKUA. IP thanks Robert Krauthgamer for useful discussions on
26 the topic.
27
28
29

30 **References**

- 31
32
33 [1] S. Har-Peled, P. Indyk, R. Motwani, Approximate nearest neighbor: To-
34 wards removing the curse of dimensionality, *Theory of Computing* 8 (1)
35 (2012) 321–350.
36
37
38 [2] D. Achlioptas, Database-friendly random projections: Johnson-
39 Lindenstrauss with binary coins, *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.* 66 (4) (2003)
40 671–687.
41
42
43 [3] N. Ailon, B. Chazelle, The fast Johnson-Lindenstrauss transform and ap-
44 proximate nearest neighbors, *SIAM J. Comput.* 39 (1) (2009) 302–322.
45
46
47 [4] E. Anagnostopoulos, I. Z. Emiris, I. Psarros, Randomized embeddings with
48 slack and high-dimensional approximate nearest neighbor, *ACM Trans. Al-*
49 *gorithms* 14 (2) (2018) 18:1–18:21.
50
51
52 [5] P. Indyk, Stable distributions, pseudorandom generators, embeddings, and
53 data stream computation, *J. ACM* 53 (3) (2006) 307–323.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 [6] A. Andoni, P. Indyk, Near-optimal hashing algorithms for approximate
10 nearest neighbor in high dimensions, *Commun. ACM* 51 (1) (2008) 117–
11 122.
12
13
14 [7] A. Andoni, T. Laarhoven, I. P. Razenshteyn, E. Waingarten, Optimal
15 hashing-based time-space trade-offs for approximate near neighbors, in:
16 Proc. ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA, Barcelona,
17 Spain, 2017, pp. 47–66.
18
19 [8] P. Indyk, R. Motwani, Approximate nearest neighbors: Towards removing
20 the curse of dimensionality, in: Proc. ACM Symp. Theory of Computing,
21 1998, pp. 604–613.
22
23 [9] P. Indyk, On approximate nearest neighbors under L_∞ norm, *J. Com-*
24 *put. Syst. Sci.* 63 (4) (2001) 627–638.
25
26 [10] A. Andoni, H. L. Nguyen, A. Nikolov, I. P. Razenshteyn, E. Waingarten,
27 Approximate near neighbors for general symmetric norms, in: Proc. ACM
28 Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC, Montreal, Canada, 2017, pp.
29 902–913.
30
31 [11] R. Cole, L. A. Gottlieb, Searching dynamic point sets in spaces with
32 bounded doubling dimension, in: Proc. ACM Symp. Theory of Computing,
33 ACM, New York, USA, 2006, pp. 574–583.
34
35 [12] S. Har-Peled, M. Mendel, Fast construction of nets in low dimensional
36 metrics, and their applications, in: Proc. Symp. Computational Geometry,
37 2005, pp. 150–158.
38
39 [13] P. Indyk, A. Naor, Nearest-neighbor-preserving embeddings, *ACM*
40 *Trans. Algorithms* 3 (3) (2007) 31.
41
42 [14] Y. Bartal, L. A. Gottlieb, Approximate nearest neighbor search for ℓ_p -
43 spaces ($2 < p < \infty$) via embeddings, in: Proc. LATIN: Theoretical Infor-
44 matics - 13th Latin American Symp., Buenos Aires, Argentina, 2018, pp.
45 120–133.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- [15] J. Lee, M. Mendel, A. Naor, Metric structures in L_1 : dimension, snowflakes, and average distortion, *Europ. J. Combin.* 26 (8) (2005) 1180–1190.
 - [16] Y. Bartal, L. A. Gottlieb, Dimension reduction techniques for ℓ_p , ($1 < p < 2$), with applications, in: 32nd International Symposium on Computational Geometry, SoCG 2016, June 14-18, 2016, Boston, MA, USA, 2016, pp. 16:1–16:15.
 - [17] D. Eppstein, S. Har-Peled, A. Sidiropoulos, Approximate greedy clustering and distance selection for graph metrics, *CoRR* abs/1507.01555 (2015).
 - [18] R. Krauthgamer, J. R. Lee, Navigating nets: Simple algorithms for proximity search, in: *Proc. 15th Annual ACM-SIAM Symp. Discrete Algorithms, SODA'04, 2004*, pp. 798–807.
 - [19] I. Emiris, V. Margonis, I. Psarros, Near-neighbor preserving dimension reduction for doubling subsets of ℓ_1 , in: *Approximation, Randomization, & Combinatorial Optimization: Algorithms & Techniques, APPROX/RANDOM 2019, September 2019, MIT, USA, 2019*, pp. 47:1–47:13. doi:10.4230/LIPIcs.APPROX-RANDOM.2019.47.