

Closing the gap between science and management of cold-water refuges in rivers and streams

Francine Mejia, Valerie Ouellet, Martin Briggs, Stephanie Carlson, Roser Casas-Mulet, Mollie Chapman, Mathias Collins, Stephen Dugdale, Joseph Ebersole, Danielle Frechette, et al.

To cite this version:

Francine Mejia, Valerie Ouellet, Martin Briggs, Stephanie Carlson, Roser Casas-Mulet, et al.. Closing the gap between science and management of cold-water refuges in rivers and streams. Global Change Biology, 2023, 29 (19), pp.5482-5508. $10.1111/\text{gcb}.16844$. hal-04293689

HAL Id: hal-04293689 <https://hal.science/hal-04293689v1>

Submitted on 6 Jan 2025

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

EPA Public Access

Author manuscript

Glob Chang Biol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2024 October 01.

About author manuscripts **|** Submit a manuscript

Published in final edited form as:

Glob Chang Biol. 2023 October ; 29(19): 5482–5508. doi:10.1111/gcb.16844.

Closing the gap between science and management of cold-water refuges in rivers and streams

Francine H. Mejia1, **Valerie Ouellet**2, **Martin A. Briggs**3, **Stephanie M. Carlson**4, **Roser Casas-Mulet**5,6, **Mollie Chapman**7, **Mathias J. Collins**8, **Stephen J. Dugdale**9, **Joseph L. Ebersole**10, **Danielle M. Frechette**11, **Aimee H. Fullerton**12, **Carole-Anne Gillis**13, **Zachary C. Johnson**14, **Christa Kelleher**15,16, **Barret L. Kurylyk**17, **Rebecca Lave**18, **Benjamin H. Letcher**19, **Knut M. Myrvold**20, **Tracie-Lynn Nadeau**21, **Helen Neville**22, **Herve Piégay**23, **Kathryn A. Smith**17, **Diego Tonolla**24, **Christian E. Torgersen**¹

¹U.S. Geological Survey, Forest and Rangeland Ecosystem Science Center, Cascadia Field Station, Seattle, Washington, USA

²National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Northeast Fisheries Science Center, Orono, Maine, USA

³Observing Systems Division, U.S. Geological Survey, Hydrologic Remote Sensing Branch, Storrs, Connecticut, USA

⁴Department of Environmental Science, Policy, and Management, University of California, Berkeley, California, USA

⁵Aquatic Systems Biology Unit, TUM School of Life Sciences, Technical University of Munich, Freising, Germany

⁶Department of Infrastructure Engineering, School of Engineering, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

⁷Department of Geography, URPP Global Change and Biodiversity, University of Zurich, Zurich, **Switzerland**

⁸National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Gloucester, Massachusetts, USA

9School of Geography, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

Correspondence: Francine H. Mejia, U.S. Geological Survey, Forest and Rangeland Ecosystem Science Center, Cascadia Field Station, Seattle, WA, USA. fmejia@usgs.gov.

Francine H. Mejia and Valerie Ouellet contributed equally to this research and are considered joint first authors. AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Francine H. Mejia and Valerie Ouellet sought funding for this project, led and managed the workshop that inspired this manuscript. They also drafted the manuscript outline, led the group throughout the editing process, curated data and analysis, and produced the visualizations. All other authors contributed to the editing, the conceptualization of the visualizations, and reviewed and approved the submission.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

¹¹ Maine Department of Marine Resources, Bureau of Sea Run Fisheries and Habitat, Augusta, Maine, USA

¹²Northwest Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association, Seattle, Washington, USA

¹³Gespe'gewaq Mi'gmaq Resource Council, Listuguj, Quebec, Canada

¹⁴U.S. Geological Survey, Washington Water Science Center, Tacoma, Washington, USA

¹⁵Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Lafayette College, Easton, Pennsylvania, USA

¹⁶Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Syracuse University, Syracuse, New York, USA

¹⁷Department of Civil and Resource Engineering, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada

¹⁸Department of Geography, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana, USA

¹⁹U.S. Geological Survey, Eastern Ecological Science Center, S.O. Conte Fish Research Center, Turners Falls, Massachusetts, USA

²⁰Norwegian Institute for Nature Research, Lillehammer, Norway

 21 Region 10, Water Division, Oregon Operations Office, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Portland, Oregon, USA

²²Trout Unlimited, Arlington, Virginia, USA

²³UMR 5600 CNRS EVS, École Normale Supérieure de Lyon, University of Lyon, Lyon, France

²⁴Institute of Natural Resource Sciences, Zurich University of Applied Sciences, Wädenswil, **Switzerland**

Abstract

Human activities and climate change threaten coldwater organisms in freshwater ecosystems by causing rivers and streams to warm, increasing the intensity and frequency of warm temperature events, and reducing thermal heterogeneity. Cold-water refuges are discrete patches of relatively cool water that are used by coldwater organisms for thermal relief and short-term survival. Globally, cohesive management approaches are needed that consider interlinked physical, biological, and social factors of cold-water refuges. We review current understanding of coldwater refuges, identify gaps between science and management, and evaluate policies aimed at protecting thermally sensitive species. Existing policies include designating cold-water habitats, restricting fishing during warm periods, and implementing threshold temperature standards or guidelines. However, these policies are rare and uncoordinated across spatial scales and often do not consider input from Indigenous peoples. We propose that cold-water refuges be managed as distinct operational landscape units, which provide a social and ecological context that is relevant at the watershed scale. These operational landscape units provide the foundation for

an integrated framework that links science and management by (1) mapping and characterizing cold-water refuges to prioritize management and conservation actions, (2) leveraging existing and new policies, (3) improving coordination across jurisdictions, and (4) implementing adaptive management practices across scales. Our findings show that while there are many opportunities for scientific advancement, the current state of the sciences is sufficient to inform policy and management. Our proposed framework provides a path forward for managing and protecting cold-water refuges using existing and new policies to protect coldwater organisms in the face of global change.

Keywords

behavioral thermoregulation; climate change adaptation; lotic ecosystem management; refugia; salmonids; temperature; thermal heterogeneity; thermal refuges

1 | INTRODUCTION

Human activities and climate change disproportionately affect freshwater ecosystems relative to terrestrial and marine ecosystems (Birnie-Gauvin et al., 2023; Reid et al., 2019; van Rees et al., 2022; Woodward et al., 2010). Rivers and streams are warming, experiencing more frequent and extreme warm temperature events, and becoming more thermally homogeneous (Arora et al., 2016; Hannah & Garner, 2015; Isaak & Rieman, 2013). These changes put organisms that are physiologically restricted to cold and cool water (termed "coldwater organisms") at risk. Many coldwater organisms are ecologically, economically, and culturally significant and are particularly vulnerable to climate change because increasing temperatures exceed their thermal limits (Barbarossa et al., 2021; Begon et al., 2006; Pinsky et al., 2019). Homogeneous thermal conditions also make coldwater organisms vulnerable to losing access to cool water to avoid thermal stress and to warm water to optimize growth. Thus, homogenous water temperatures may inhibit adaptations that can have a negative population-level response such as a decrease in survival and productivity that, in turn, decreases coldwater organisms' resilience (Amat-Trigo et al., 2023; Armstrong et al., 2013, 2021; Pinsky et al., 2019; Whitney et al., 2016). To overcome higher temperatures, organisms may move to cold areas during periods of thermal stress (Armstrong & Schindler, 2013; Brewitt et al., 2017; Hahlbeck et al., 2022; Wilbur et al., 2020). These areas of water that are cooler than the surrounding ambient water are referred as cold-water patches (CWPs). When coldwater organisms use discrete CWPs within rivers for thermal relief, the CWPs are considered cold-water refuges (CWRs; Figure 1).

Cold-water refuges protect coldwater organisms from high water temperatures and prevent or reduce potentially life-threatening metabolic consequences of thermal stress (Breau et al., 2011; Keefer et al., 2009). Use of CWRs by organisms also improves biotic interactions and stabilizes population dynamics (Berryman & Hawkins, 2006; Reside et al., 2019), particularly for individuals existing on the edge of their preferred geographic ranges (Cordoleani et al., 2021). Cold-water refuges also are increasingly important for sustaining native coldwater species in the context of invading non-native species. For example, warmwater fish and nonnative salmonid species often expand their ranges in a

warming climate (Rahel & Olden, 2008; Rubenson & Olden, 2017) and this contracts native coldwater species' ranges as warmer conditions decrease their ability to compete for more suitable thermal habitat. (Hitt et al., 2017; Ramberg-Pihl, 2020).

Scientists and resource managers increasingly recognize the importance of CWRs for the short-term survival of coldwater organisms experiencing thermal stress during the warm season (Keppel et al., 2012; Morelli et al., 2016; Reside et al., 2019; Snyder et al., 2022; Sullivan et al., 2021). Cold-water refuges are spatiotemporally dynamic and thermally heterogeneous. They compose shifting mosaics of warm- and cool-water habitats that are the result of a lack of rapid thermal and hydrodynamic mixing with the main river channel flow and groundwater (Sullivan et al., 2021) and multidimensional connections to the adjacent landscape (Torgersen et al., 2022). This complexity makes their identification, measurement, protection, and management a challenge. However, progress has been made in understanding both the physical processes that create and maintain CWRs as well as their biological importance.

Previous reviews have focused on identifying CWRs and determining their hydrological and ecological context to protect, manage, and restore them (Ebersole et al., 2020; Morelli et al., 2016; Torgersen et al., 2012). However, the links between CWR science and policy have not been clearly defined in the context of aquatic conservation; therefore, there is a need to evaluate the effectiveness of policies aimed at conserving the physical processes that create and maintain CWRs, the connectivity among CWRs, and thermal heterogeneity in general (Ebersole et al., 2020). Efforts to develop a framework to integrate science, policy, and management of CWR also have been limited (Ebersole et al., 2020) but are needed to address impacts and potential social conflicts arising from incompatible human activities and uses.

Here, we present the outcomes of an international workshop convened in 2021 to better understand and address science-policy gaps in CWR management. This is the first time an international group of scientists, environmental regulators, and resource managers have come together to address the need to integrate science, indigenous knowledge, policy, and management of CWRs and the species that rely on them. To inform policies and management related to CWRs, we (1) synthesize the state of the biological, physical, and social science, (2) identify policy and management-related research and policy gaps, (3) compare policy and management across continents using five case studies, and (4) propose a management and conservation framework targeted towards scientists, environmental regulators, and resource managers. The first three sections focus on the state of CWR science and management and identify gaps in research and management. The final section integrates science and management and provides a framework for supporting conservation and management actions and improving outcomes for coldwater organisms and the people who depend on them. We use "interested groups" instead of "stakeholders" throughout the review. The former term is more inclusive with respect to rights holders such as Indigenous peoples and more reflective of a participatory process (Reed & Rudman, 2022). Because of the emphasis on fish in the literature, the proposed framework uses coldwater fishes as proxies for all mobile coldwater organisms present in global freshwaters (Figure S1).

2 | STATE OF THE SCIENCE

In this section, we synthesize the state of the physical, biological, social sciences, and recent advances in monitoring and modeling tools available to inform ecological research and management of CWRs. We also identify critical research gaps and opportunities to address research and management challenges. Because inconsistent terminology can interfere with clear communication among interdisciplinary research and management teams and can delay the adoption of science into management and policy, we highlight differences between terms: "refuge" and "refugium/refugia," and "cold-water refuges," and "cold water patches" (CWPs).

Definitions of the terms "refuge" and "refugium/refugia" have been discussed extensively in aquatic ecosystems (Keppel et al., 2012; Morelli et al., 2016; Reside et al., 2019), with numerous studies focused on thermal refuges in both freshwater and marine systems (Bongaerts et al., 2010; Frade et al., 2018; MacDonald et al., 2018; Sullivan et al., 2021; Torgersen et al., 2012). The most important distinction is that "refugium/refugia" refers to conditions sufficient to ensure long-term species persistence, whereas "refuge" refers to a habitat unit capable of aiding the short-term survival of individuals (Ebersole et al., 2020; Sullivan et al., 2021). Cold-water patches are areas of water that are cooler than the surrounding ambient water, distributed longitudinally, laterally, and vertically in the water column, and variable in size and location over time (Wawrzyniak et al., 2016). When coldwater organisms use discrete CWPs within rivers for thermal relief, CWPs are considered cold-water refuges (e.g., shaded areas, tributaries, deep pools, groundwater upwelling zones; Dugdale, 2016; Ebersole et al., 2003a; Torgersen et al., 1999). Recognizing the interaction between "refuge" and "refugia," we adopt the terminology used in Sullivan et al. (2021), where CWRs are subsets of discrete CWPs known to be used by fish or other cold-water adapted organisms during the warm season. When discussing physical properties, we use the terminology CWP, whereas when we focus on their use by biota, we use CWR.

2.1 | Hydrology and geomorphology

The scientific understanding of the processes that create and maintain CWPs in the landscape are well described. These physical (geologic and landscape features), hydrological, and atmospheric processes operate at multiple scales from the reach to the watershed (Dugdale et al., 2015; Ebersole et al., 2003b; Mejia et al., 2020; Monk et al., 2013; Torgersen et al., 2012). Cold-water patches have longitudinal (upstream-downstream), lateral (in-stream and floodplain), vertical (hyporheic zone and groundwater), and temporal connections to the adjacent landscape (Torgersen et al., 2022). Thus, the spatiotemporal variability of hydrological connectivity, climate, land use, and geological setting affects CWP characteristics (Dugdale et al., 2015). These characteristics include their size, geometry, temporal persistence, spatial distribution along the river network, and type of thermal response (Arrigoni et al., 2008; Dugdale et al., 2013, 2015; Dzara et al., 2019; Fullerton et al., 2018; Wawrzyniak et al., 2013). Cold-water patch thermal responses can be described in relation to differences in the mean, amplitude, and phase between the diel temperature cycle of the CWP and ambient water. A "cooled" response indicates a difference in means, a "buffered" response is a difference in amplitude, and a "lagged"

response is a difference in phase between respective water temperature signals (Arrigoni et al., 2008). Cold-water patches in rivers and streams are associated with tributary confluences or plumes, lateral seeps, springbrooks, side channels, alcoves, hyporheic upwelling, and wall-based channels and pools (for a thorough description of these features, see Dugdale et al., 2013; Ebersole et al., 2003a; Torgersen et al., 2012).

Studies have suggested that under climate change, CWPs may decrease in size and number and may become fragmented (Daigle et al., 2015; Fullerton et al., 2018). These changes affect thermal heterogeneity in reaches with historically abundant cold-water habitats (Fullerton et al., 2018; Kuhn et al., 2021) and may increase the distance between CWPs, thereby limiting accessibility (Fullerton et al., 2018). Also, the capacity of CWPs to provide effective refuge in the future may be limited by a long-term decline in groundwater storage due to excessive withdrawals for other human uses. Groundwater discharge generates streamflow and influences stream thermal regimes because groundwater is generally cooler than surface water during the warm season and warmer in the cooler season (Bierkens & Wada, 2019). Lateral seeps and springbrooks sourced by shallow groundwater may exhibit more variable and higher temperatures over time due to climate change (Hare et al., 2021; KarisAllen et al., 2022). Perennial streams also can become intermittent during extended dry periods (Costigan et al., 2015; Gendaszek et al., 2020; Price et al., 2021), thereby disconnecting CWPs from warm stream reaches in headwater systems (Briggs et al., 2022). Cold-water patches fully mix with ambient water at high streamflow in rivers draining large stratified natural lakes that show abrupt wind-driven drops in river temperature, leading to the loss of discrete CWPs (Lisi & Schindler, 2015) and a reduction in CWRs. Armored and clogged streambed sediment (i.e., the erosion-resistant layer of relatively large particles that is established on the surface of the streambed through the removal of finer particles by stream flow) can also impact CWPs by limiting water exchange with groundwater (Wawrzyniak et al., 2016) and reducing groundwater discharge points that can dry out when the water table level lowers as a result of channel incision from mining, logging, grazing, channel straightening, and sediment starvation (Marteau et al., 2022).

2.2 | Biology and ecology

Thermal requirements of coldwater fishes and their responses to temperature heterogeneity have been reviewed extensively (Amat-Trigo et al., 2023; Kefford et al., 2022; Morash et al., 2021; Richter & Kolmes, 2005). Here, we focus on recent advances in thermal biology relating to stress, heat tolerance, and CWR use. We also emphasize that various CWPs can serve as CWRs, but their refuge function for thermally stressed organisms can be difficult to assess (Barrett & Armstrong, 2022). In the context of fish thermal requirements, research has focused on thermal performance curves and thermal tolerances. Performance curves or thermal niches relate to performance or fitness as a function of body temperature, whereas thermal tolerances apply to temperature thresholds at which survival or performance changes abruptly (Kingsolver & Buckley, 2017). Prior thermal history, time scale, and thermal heterogeneity affect how coldwater organisms respond to temperature (Kefford et al., 2022; Kingsolver & Buckley, 2017; Morash et al., 2021).

Physiological processes, including energy assimilation, growth, and gonad development, are impaired at temperatures considerably higher or lower than an organism's range of thermal tolerance (Beer & Steel, 2018; Devine et al., 2021; Elliott & Elliott, 2010; McCullough et al., 2009). Most organisms require diverse thermal habitats to grow and reproduce (Armstrong et al., 2021; Hahlbeck et al., 2022), and their thermal tolerances change throughout their life cycle (Dahlke et al., 2020). Thus, recent studies have recommended that river management consider thermal heterogeneity and thermal niche requirements to protect coldwater fish populations (Armstrong et al., 2021; Ebersole et al., 2020; Snyder et al., 2022; Steel et al., 2017). This is particularly crucial for species at the latitudinal or altitudinal limits of their range if they also must maintain their resilience to other human activities such as land-use change, hydropower generation, and water abstraction.

To cope with thermal stress, juvenile and adult coldwater fishes may either resist warm conditions by moving long distances to tributaries or short distances to behaviorally thermoregulate in CWRs, or they may remain in river mainstems and tolerate warm conditions (Barrett & Armstrong, 2022). Thermoregulation involves moving between ambient water temperature and CWRs to maintain narrow temperature ranges consistent with physiologically optimal temperatures (Amat-Trigo et al., 2023; Brewitt & Danner, 2014; Frechette et al., 2018; Gutowsky et al., 2017). Cold-water refuges thus support species' persistence by enabling individuals to exploit fine-scale thermal heterogeneity in systems where ambient thermal conditions would be lethal (Armstrong et al., 2021; Brewitt & Danner, 2014; Corey et al., 2017). However, there are trade-offs to using CWRs because the spatial aggregation of fish may cause food resources to be locally depleted (Armstrong & Griffiths, 2001) which, in turn, increases exploitative competition between individuals (Brewitt et al., 2017). Therefore, individuals may need to move in and out of the refuge to forage, digest, and avoid competition (Armstrong & Schindler, 2013; Brewitt et al., 2017; Hitt et al., 2017). Additionally, fish density and health can influence the spread and severity of parasites and infectious diseases (Beldomenico & Begon, 2010; Krkošek, 2017). Cold-water refuges may decrease the spread of disease because most parasites and bacteria grow and reproduce more rapidly at higher temperatures than those observed in CWRs but proximity can potentially increase the spread of the diseases (Benda et al., 2015; Chiaramonte et al., 2016). Density dependent thresholds influencing disease spread are still poorly understood (Chiaramonte et al., 2016). Finally, CWRs can delay movement during warm periods by causing fish to remain in cool waters where they may be subject to increased predation or angling pressure. These behavioral changes, while enabling individuals to escape lethal temperatures, can lower the likelihood of reaching spawning grounds or decrease the fitness of offspring (Fitzgerald et al., 2021; Guillen, 2003; Keefer et al., 2009), thus affecting long-term population persistence.

2.3 | Human dimensions

Understanding how human activities can impact CWRs is critical for resource planning (e.g., mapping and prioritization), better management decision-making, and policy development (Ebersole et al., 2020). Limited recognition of CWRs in environmental policy and management may lead to degradation of CWRs. Social conflicts may also occur when incompatible interests overlap and CWRs become limited. Thus, integrating human

dimensions into CWR research and management is critical for their effective management. However, CWRs and water temperature heterogeneity more broadly are not common topics in the social science literature (although this is beginning to change; Hirsch, 2020; Wöelfle-Hazard, 2022). This section focuses on three major human dimensions' topics that can influence CWR management: historic context for the use of the term "cold-water refuge," potential ways humans can impact CWRs, and social conflicts that may arise from implementing CWR conservation measures.

Indigenous and non-Indigenous peoples have long been aware of the importance of the hydrological features that create CWRs and the biota that use them, and they have depended on CWRs for millennia as sources of local food and cultural identity (Aboriginal Affaires, 2021; Allen, 2005; Atlas et al., 2021; Griebler & Avramov, 2015; Hirsch, 2020; Svanberg & Locker, 2020). However, recognition of CWR as an integrated concept in the social sciences and regulatory realm has been limited. Early use of these cold-water areas by humans for cultural, subsistence, and recreational purposes has been documented worldwide, with examples from Sweden (Bergman & Ramqvist, 2018) and the Americas (Yu, 2015). The earliest known use of the thermal refuge concept in print was Walton (1653), who observed fish in ponds using cold-water areas in the summer and warm-water areas in the winter to avoid thermal stress. The first known mention of CWRs in the peer-reviewed literature was Huntsman (1942), who reported observations of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) and brook trout (Salvelinus fontinalis) in Nova Scotia, Canada behaviorally thermoregulating in cooler side channels. The use of the term "cold-water refuge" in aquatic ecology is relatively recent (Kaya et al., 1977; Torgersen et al., 2012), but the concept has emerged as an important conservation consideration for freshwater fish populations (Ebersole et al., 2020), even though policy development has not kept pace with scientific advances in CWR understanding.

The slow assimilation of CWR concepts into policy and limited recognition in environmental policy and management makes it difficult to manage and regulate the impact of competing activities that affect CWR quantity and quality including (1) surface and groundwater withdrawals for drinking water or irrigation; (Dzara et al., 2019); (2) damrelated flow and temperature alterations from epilimnetic and hypolimnetic releases (Ernst et al., 2015); (3) point source discharges such as thermal effluent from power generation, waste-water, and stormwater (Caldwell et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2021); (4) alterations to instream habitat that affect hydraulic mixing and the surrounding land use (e.g., deforestation), which in turn impacts hydrologic exchanges or shading (Bense et al., 2008; Kurylyk et al., 2015); (5) human activities such as angling, damming, and river recreation that directly stress or disrupt the use of CWRs by fishes (Reid, 2007); and (6) stocking of non-native species that limit native species' use of refuges (Ramberg-Pihl, 2020) (Figure 2). Climate change exacerbates these human disturbances, thereby escalating competing demands for global water resources by ecosystems and humans (van Vliet et al., 2013).

Competing activities affecting CWRs may create social conflicts that complicate management (Figure 2). Here, we illustrate competing activities and their impact on CWRs. For example, in times of thermal stress, fisheries are often closed to reduce the impact of recreational fishing, specifically in rivers with existing regulations for CWRs (DFO, 2012).

Conflicts between anglers and fish conservation have occurred in Montana (USA), where increasingly frequent temperature and drought-related fishery closures ($n = 74$ imposed from 2015 to 2017 [Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks, 2022]) are already leading to a shift in angler behavior and in the angling economy away from impacted waters and toward drought-resistant, colder rivers (Cline et al., 2022). The Indian and Hudson rivers in New York, USA provide another example of conflicts between recreational activities and fisheries management. In the summer, periodic reservoir releases support rafting when water temperatures frequently exceed thermal thresholds for trout survival (Ernst et al., 2015). These surface water releases decrease the size and number of CWRs, thereby reducing small-scale thermal heterogeneity while mean river water temperatures are unaffected. Also, when resources are perceived as limited, there is an increased risk of conflict between humans and wildlife that seek to use similar resources (van Rees et al., 2019; e.g., predatory birds feeding on fish aggregation sites that are close to fishing activities; Figure 1). Water withdrawals, reservoir storage management, and thermopeaking (i.e., sharp intermittent alterations of stream temperature associated with hydropeaking releases from storage hydropower plants [Zolezzi et al., 2011]) affect thermal and flow regimes and, ultimately, the quantity and quality of CWRs. In urban rivers supporting high population centers and industrial development opportunities, thermal heterogeneity and the quantity and quality of CWRs are reduced by flood protection (e.g., impervious channels that transfer heat and limit surface-groundwater interactions), water extraction (Carlson et al., 2020), and wastewater discharge (Abdi et al., 2020; Arora et al., 2018).

2.4 | Monitoring and modeling

Managing CWRs requires robust monitoring networks for water temperature and occupancy by organisms (Table 1, Figure 2). Strategic monitoring is also necessary to evaluate management outcomes and adjust approaches as needed. Modeling, in combination with monitoring, can help predict or explain CWR dynamics where data are either unavailable or insufficient. Modeling also can be used to examine large-scale patterns, predict effects of global changes, and assess management scenarios (Table 2; Jackson et al., 2017; Ouellet et al., 2020). We expand on recent water temperature monitoring and modeling reviews by Benyahya et al. (2007), Dugdale et al. (2017), and Ouellet et al. (2020), which did not focus on CWRs, and we summarize the tools specifically available for CWR monitoring and modeling (Tables 1 and 2). We describe the spatiotemporal domain, applications, logistical and data requirements, and limitations to help guide future work on CWRs.

Identifying the distribution of CWPs is the first step towards monitoring them and can be facilitated through a combination of the methods outlined below, alongside local and traditional knowledge. CWRs are four-dimensional features, but tools used to assess their physical extent are often constrained to two or three dimensions and confined to small to moderate spatial scales (e.g., reach to river segment). Spatial mapping tools (Table 1, Figure 2) include remote sensing-based two-dimensional (longitudinal and lateral) mapping of CWPs at the water surface via thermal infrared (TIR) imaging from piloted aircraft (Dugdale et al., 2013; Fullerton et al., 2015; Wawrzyniak et al., 2016), drones (Casas-Mulet et al., 2020; Harvey et al., 2019), ground-based cameras (Tonolla et al., 2010), and walking surveys with hand-held cameras (Briggs et al., 2013). Mapping also can be done with fiber

optic distributed temperature sensing (DTS; Hare et al., 2015; Rosenberry et al., 2016) or float surveys conducted by dragging temperature probes (Vaccaro & Maloy, 2006), which can extend monitoring into the temporal domain. Unlike TIR imaging, DTS and temperature probes can be used at depths within the water column and thus extend monitoring to the vertical domain.

With increasing water temperature, measuring the spatiotemporal variability of CWPs is critical to understanding how thermal heterogeneity in rivers and streams is changing. Conserving thermal heterogeneity is key to the climate resilience of coldwater organisms (Kefford et al., 2022). However, most water temperature monitoring networks rely on pointin-space temperature loggers and summarize data at coarse temporal scales (e.g., daily, weekly, monthly), effectively limiting the detection of finer (hourly) or longer (seasonally) temporal scale variability of CWPs. The discrete nature of loggers and small footprint (<1 m²) also restricts the understanding of the spatial extent of CWPs. Nevertheless, monitoring of large-scale temperature heterogeneity can be combined with fine spatial scale and high temporal resolution data to capture both temporal dynamics and spatial heterogeneity (Daigle et al., 2019). Loggers also can be distributed longitudinally and laterally within river systems and vertically to capture deep CWPs and, when paired with air temperature sensors, can be used to characterize groundwater influence on CWPs (Hare et al., 2021). Data harmonization, that is, transforming data of the same type collected with comparable methodology into the same variable names, unit terms, and structural formats, can combine multiple fragmented data sources into large-scale regional analysis (Boyer et al., 2016; Isaak et al., 2017; Jackson et al., 2020; Varadharajan et al., 2022).

Numerous water temperature models have been developed and applied to simulate reach-, segment-, and watershed-scale river thermal regimes and their responses to perturbations such as deforestation, dam operations, climate change (Benyahya et al., 2007; Dugdale et al., 2017; Ouellet et al., 2020). More recently, CWP and CWR modeling have integrated monitoring implemented at different spatiotemporal scales to predict how future changes to river hydrology and hydraulics or cold-water input may impact the ability of a CWP to provide refuge (Table 2). Process-based or numerical models have been applied at reach and watershed scales to identify thermally anomalous areas or reaches (Dzara et al., 2019; Fuller et al., 2021; Lee et al., 2020). Also, subsurface heat transfer models have been used to investigate the impacts of climate change on groundwater flow rates and temperatures at groundwater-sourced CWRs (KarisAllen et al., 2022; Kurylyk et al., 2014); but no inchannel surface mixing dynamics have been considered in these studies. To our knowledge, few studies have explicitly modeled the occurrence and dynamics of CWRs (Saadi et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2020). Monk et al. (2013) used partial least square regression to predict CWRs by pairing landscape variables with aerial TIR imagery in a novel application. They found that tributary-sourced refuges could be modeled based on their location in a river network, surrounding forest conditions, soil type, and wetland distribution. Ebersole et al. (2015) used random forest models to predict CWP occurrence at tributary junctions in relation to water surplus provided by snowpack and other climatic variables. Daigle et al. (2015) combined long-term water temperature monitoring, statistical models, and climate scenarios to project the impact of warming on rivers known to contain CWRs for salmon in eastern Canada. Other studies have applied statistical approaches to investigate the

hydromorphological and physical habitat drivers of CWPs in riverscapes, aiming to improve the models' predictive capacity (Casas-Mulet et al., 2020; Dugdale et al., 2015; Mejia et al., 2020; O'Sullivan et al., 2019) or predict potential CWPs decline following channel changes (Wawrzyniak et al., 2016).

The dearth of water temperature modeling studies specifically considering CWRs may be due to the lack of modeling tools that can be leveraged to simulate CWRs, particularly across multiple spatiotemporal scales and domains (e.g., groundwater flowing to surface water). One-dimensional river temperature models do not capture the complex and multidimensional processes associated with CWRs, and this makes it difficult to accurately simulate these dynamics. Furthermore, modeling studies that specifically focus on CWRs demand detailed, high-resolution spatiotemporal data of CWR dynamics and physiographic, hydromorphic, and meteorological data. Mechanistic modeling of CWR space–time distribution over multiple scales, that is, reaches to riverscapes, is complex in terms of data and computational capacity requirements, and thus there is limited understanding of the interaction between processes driving CWP distribution.

From an ecological modeling perspective, CWR modeling also has integrated aquatic organisms' behavior including predation and parasite risk to quantify the benefits and energetic and ecological costs of fish using CWRs (Armstrong et al., 2021; Brewitt & Danner, 2014; Chiaramonte et al., 2016; McCullough et al., 2009; Snyder et al., 2019, 2020, 2022). New model applications such as Lee et al. (2020) have been implemented to inform CWR management by combining predictions from a mechanistic model and a salmon life cycle vulnerability analysis. However, human dimensions such as angler behavior, cultural beliefs, and social conflicts (all of which may impact management of CWRs) have yet to be incorporated into assessing impacts of human interactions on CWRs.

2.5 | Challenges and opportunities for integration

We found that although CWR research is increasing globally, large geographic, taxonomic, and conceptual gaps exist (Figure 3). Most research has focused on salmonid fishes in the northern temperate regions and has strictly considered physical or ecological questions relating to CWRs rather than integrated/interdisciplinary ones. Notwithstanding the need for greater geographic representation in CWR research, the research gaps that we identified can be grouped into overarching themes focused on understanding the interactions among CWRs' physical, hydrological, atmospheric, and biological processes, ecology, and humans (Figure 3). Narrowing these gaps may help to develop better strategies for conserving, restoring, and managing CWRs, with the overarching goal of improving species' climate resilience. Additionally, more integration across tools, approaches, and disciplinary boundaries is needed to design studies to monitor and manage CWRs and the species using them. Social sciences that focus on governance, environmental policies, culture, and economics may help institutions, policies, and practitioners develop collaborative CWR management approaches focused on integrating multiple interacting resources, tribal, state, and federal governments, interested groups, jurisdictions, and scales (Figure 3).

Scientists and managers may integrate tools, methodologies, and disciplines to capture the inherent complexity of CWPs and CWRs that makes it challenging to identify them

and predict where and under what conditions they will occur. Scientific and technological advances in data integration are being made (Hare et al., 2021; Johnson et al., 2020) but have not been applied in a management context. This requires integrating data across multiple domains (i.e., air temperature, surface water, and groundwater) and tools (e.g., loggers, remote sensing) into routine water temperature monitoring networks and modeling CWRs (Figure 3, Themes 1 and 2). However, this is further complicated by problems of implementation scale and financial or institutional constraints. Nevertheless, scientists and managers now have opportunities to use new tools and methodologies to improve their understanding of CWRs.

Changes in size, distribution, and spatial configuration of CWPs will influence how coldwater organisms detect and use them. Combining fine–spatial scale and high–temporal resolution mapping with temperature sensing tags of individual organisms can address questions regarding the use of CWPs and intra- and inter-specific interactions within CWRs (Figure 3, Theme 3). Understanding the size and distribution of CWPs, as well as the consequences of decreased thermal heterogeneity, are also critical to answer management questions at a population level and in a landscape context (Figure 3, Theme 4; Breau et al., 2011; Fullerton et al., 2018; White et al., 2019; Woolnough et al., 2009). Thus, scientists and managers may combine modeling techniques to assess long-term effects of CWR use, including life cycle models, individual-based models, spatially explicit models, and behavioral and physiological models. Such techniques may also broaden the scientific understanding of how CWR use by individuals translates to population-level effects, and how ecological interactions among multiple species and landscape settings affect the costs and benefits of CWR use. Addressing these gaps also may provide information on cumulative heat stress effects and impacts on body growth, survival, reproductive success, and population persistence (Snyder et al., 2020, 2022).

3 | MANAGEMENT AND CONSERVATION

Despite the importance of water temperature to the health of freshwater ecosystems, many countries do not have explicit, ecologically relevant water temperature and CWR standards or guidelines. This presents challenges for managing and conserving CWRs and thermal heterogeneity. Globally, adoption of water quality standards or guidelines for protecting aquatic life is mostly limited to high-income countries such as Australia, New Zealand, Canada, Japan, European Union (EU) countries, and USA (Sun et al., 2021; United Nations Environment, 2017).

The United Nations Environment Program (UNEP) links national-level monitoring to global assessments and advises countries to develop water quality guidelines for ecosystems through the International Water Quality Guidelines for Ecosystems (IWQGE) program and the Global Environment Monitoring System for Freshwater (GEMS Water; United Nations Environment Programme, 2018). Enrollment in the IWQGE program is voluntary, and guidelines only encourage monitoring of physical and chemical stressors such as water temperature. The IWQGE uses remote sensing to monitor changes in surface area of waterbodies over time in aquatic ecosystems but does not monitor or protect specific habitats or biota of cultural, economic, or ecological importance, such as salmonids. The

GEMS program, one of the largest global databases of in-situ measurements of water quality (Desbureaux et al., 2022), collects river water temperature from 72 countries, of which 38 have salmonids. The UNEP proposed benchmarks for "high integrity systems" to ensure no deviation from water temperature background values or identifying optimum temperature ranges of relevant species (United Nations Environment, 2017). Large-scale and long-term integrative programs such as GEMS Water (with 267 basins and 5081 river stations) are critical to track water temperature changes in transboundary rivers.

3.1 | Overview of CWR regulatory structure

We reviewed policies and management practices that directly and indirectly affect water temperature and CWRs (i.e., water quality, fishing, wetlands, riparian, and groundwater). We focused on five case studies from North America, Europe, and Australia (Table 3; see <https://conservationrefuge.com/>for more details) where the authors have local knowledge. We compared policy and management differences and inferred the level of integration or lack of policies and management by reading and conducting a text mining analysis (Table 4) on 58 environmental regulations and management documents (Table S1; see methods and results described in Table 4 and Figure S2).

We determined that CWRs were not identified in regulatory frameworks in most countries (except USA and Canada). The establishment of temperature standards and guidelines and fishing regulations are the two main ways by which water temperature, CWRs, and the fish that use them are managed or regulated directly. Temperature standards and guidelines are critical components of the assessment of water quality or ecological status for many countries. However, water temperature is not always a metric that is included in water quality assessments (United Nations Environment Programme, 2014). Fishing restrictions due to warm summer water temperatures are in place in many cold-water rivers, regardless of "formal" CWR designations in North America and parts of Europe, such as Spain, which was not a case study.

Policies may indirectly affect CWRs and water temperature by addressing key drivers of river thermal regimes (Figure 2). In the case studies, we identified three policy and management categories that indirectly affect the physical aspects of CWRs. The first category is associated with instream flows and may include water transfers and diversions, dam and hydropower plant releases, and base flows. The second category is related to groundwater connectivity and hyporheic exchange and may include aquifer recharge/ discharge or storage and wetland and floodplain management. The third category is associated with riparian buffer management along riparian corridors that may be managed differently depending on adjacent land-use practices, such as agriculture, forestry, or urban development. We also identified policies and management actions that relate to the biological aspects of CWRs, such as management of species occupying these features, and the trade-offs between protecting one set of species versus another. Species management also requires consideration of potential sources of conflict, such as conservation status or invasive status, and their cultural, recreational, provisioning, and economic values.

There are multiple policies that can affect the physical and biological aspects of CWRs. For example, we found that many regulations and policies affect directly CWRs by managing

the organisms that use CWRs during thermal stress and protecting species of conservation interest via fishing restrictions. Most regulations and policies do not protect the cold-water patch per se, except in the Restigouche River case study. In the Restigouche River, protections of the confluence plume and tributary drainage area were established at the local level to protect CWPs under the provincial wetlands and watercourse management plan (MRC Avignon, 2022). Lastly, policies and regulations may specify river temperature guidelines or standards but rarely contain specific CWR guidelines, except for the Lower Columbia River case study.

The Lower Columbia River in the state of Oregon (USA), is the only place in the world that has a CWR standard and a temperature standard for the mainstem of the river. The Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ) set a water temperature standard of 20°C for the Lower Columbia River to protect migrating salmon and steelhead listed under the Endangered Species Act. It also defines CWRs as "those portions of a water body where, or times during the diel temperature cycle when, the water temperature is at least 2°C colder than the daily maximum temperature of the adjacent well mixed flow of the water body" (OAR 340–041-0002(10)). Regulations by ODEQ further stipulate that the Lower Columbia River "must have cold-water refugia sufficiently distributed so as to allow salmon and steelhead migration without significant adverse effects from higher water temperatures elsewhere in the water body." However, like all temperature standards based on maximum temperatures, this does not fully incorporate the spatiotemporal variability of the thermal regime (Poole & Berman, 2001). This variability is important to fish growth at times of the year when fish are not thermally stressed (Armstrong et al., 2021).

In the Lower Columbia River case study, CWR and temperature standards are integrated, in part, into fishing regulations and designation of cold-water habitat as an aquatic resource of special concern under the Oregon Department of State Lands (Rule 141–085-0510), which can affect the permitting of impacts to "cold-water habitat" designated areas and compensatory mitigation requirements for those unavoidable permitted impacts. For CWRs provided by tributaries, maintenance of CWR function can be partially achieved through riparian shade management implemented through various waterbody-specific plans that target meeting temperature standards. Still, CWRs are not yet integrated into groundwater or wetland policies, which have been associated in the literature with CWR persistence (Monk et al., 2013; Wawrzyniak et al., 2016) or sufficiency (Fullerton et al., 2018; Snyder et al., 2020).

3.2 | Existing water temperature and CWR policies and management

In the text mining analysis, we found that terms or keywords associated with CWRs were not used frequently in the documents that we examined (Table 4). Also, the term "temperature" was mainly associated with water quality standards and salmonids. In contrast, the term "refuge" was broadly associated with other keywords and its associations were inconsistent across case studies. Reading through the documents helped us establish the context where these terms were mentioned, and we determined that the term "refuge" generally was related to stressors such as flooding and temperature, or it was used to describe wildlife management areas. The environmental regulations and planning documents

of the case studies also confirmed that most keywords associated with CWRs (except temperature) were found in only two documents related to fishing regulations and water quality standards in North America; this suggested a lack of policies that specifically addressed CWRs. Moreover, we identified that large watersheds crossing state or provincial boundaries were inconsistently covered by some policies, such as fishing restrictions or closures. For example, warm water protocols are only on the New Brunswick portion side of the Restigouche River (and not on the Quebec side), thermal angling sanctuaries are only on the Oregon State side of the Lower Columbia River (and not on the Washington State side), and summer fishing closures are in state-designated "Trout Management Areas" that include nine cold-water tributary confluences only in the portion of the Housatonic River in Connecticut (and not in New York State).

In conducting this review, we found that policies or management actions generally do not explicitly recognize the physical, hydrological, and atmospheric processes that create and maintain thermal heterogeneity and CWRs. Therefore, they are not incorporated into active CWR management. There are rare exceptions as part of waterbody-specific implementation plans under the Clean Water Act or in the relicensing of hydropower projects in the USA. For example, in the Housatonic River case study, CWRs had been identified as part of "Trout Management Areas." In the current North American context, Indigenous peoples and local interested groups also have limited opportunity to provide input for CWR protection because protection is primarily driven by top-down policies, but there are exceptions, such as the Restigouche River's county-level protections (MRC Avignon, 2022). In the Restigouche River case study, interested groups have willingly gone beyond the federal and provincial (large scale) mandates and created local protections that surpass existing regulations. This is an example of top-down regulatory structure where large-scale regulatory structures are flexible to anticipate responses that can vary from one jurisdiction to another (Chang et al., 2014). Creating and restoring physical processes that conserve thermal heterogeneity and CWRs may be accomplished through a bottom-up approach when communities engage, and community priorities align with top-down conservation priorities. For example, restoring thermal heterogeneity and CWRs may be a by-product of restoring other processes or habitats at different scales. However, a bottom-up approach can have drawbacks when there is not a strong regulatory or incentive framework or external funding (Gaymer et al., 2014).

4 | TOWARDS BUILDING A CWR CONSERVATION FRAMEWORK

Other reviews on conserving, enhancing, and restoring CWRs have mentioned the need for scientists and resource managers to work together to link science and management to support CWR conservation (Ebersole et al., 2020; Morelli et al., 2016; Torgersen et al., 2012). Here, we propose a framework that integrates CWR science, policy, and management and adapts to the changing science and environment. The framework (see Table 3 with framework components and their connections to case studies; Table 5 summarizes framework components and linkages) creates possibilities to safeguard coldwater organisms against the effects of climate change by embedding CWRs within larger considerations of thermal heterogeneity (Poole et al., 2004) and other environmental stressors that may affect cold-water organisms in the future (Myers et al., 2017). The framework integrates information on how to evaluate CWR resilience to climate change and future management

needs (Figure 4) and calls for coordination among regulatory jurisdictions (Figure 5) and incorporating adaptive management (Figure 6).

Together, Figures 4 through 6 build the foundation of our proposed CWR conservation framework. Figure 4 presents a sequential approach to help researchers and managers identify and evaluate CWRs and their biological linkages to implement protection and conservation actions. Coordination across multiple regulatory jurisdictions at the watershed scale is challenging but is necessary to align consistent strategies guided by common socioeconomic values and objectives of all interested groups (Figure 5). Finally, there is an abundant literature on adaptive management, but this concept has yet to be applied to CWR conservation as described in Figure 6. The triple-loop approach is appropriate given the dynamic nature of CWRs, in that it allows for the periodic re-evaluation of assumptions and considers whether to alter rules for decision-making and changing governance.

Collaborative research between scientists and managers, termed "co-production of knowledge" (Cook et al., 2013; Cooke et al., 2021; Djenontin & Meadow, 2018; Kurle et al., 2022), occurs early in the proposed framework when the focus is on identifying management goals and characterizing CWRs (Figure 4). It is important to adopt a common terminology across disciplines (sensu Sullivan et al., 2021) to define CWRs, distinguish between CWRs and CWPs, and apply consistent CWR classifications (Dugdale et al., 2013; Ebersole et al., 2003a; Torgersen et al., 2012). The framework accounts for human dimensions and integrates traditional ecological and cultural knowledge (sensu Dunham et al., 2018). Using multiple sources of knowledge can provide a nuanced context and develop trust among interested groups (Reid et al., 2021). For instance, Indigenous peoples and river users (e.g., kayakers, anglers) can identify CWR locations and share information on CWRs to help guide management. In addition, economic and cultural values and competing uses from multiple interested groups affect the protection, management, and restoration of CWRs. Thus, clear and transparent discussions generate trust and reciprocity, and promote sharing of cultural knowledge, conflict resolution, and the development of an effective framework for CWR conservation and management (Ebersole et al., 2020).

Where temperature regulations do not exist, some jurisdictions may designate areas as "cold water habitats" or "habitats of special concern" through fishing, water resource, or habitat protection regulations rather than setting temperature standards. These areas are important because they provide functions, values, and habitats that are limited in quantity or have been disproportionately lost due to prior impacts. Additionally, integrating CWR management within existing policies that influence the formation and persistence of CWRs (e.g., riparian buffers, wetlands, groundwater, water use management) may require either a modification of existing policies recognizing their interdependencies with other policies or the development of new policies (Dunham et al., 2018; Ebersole et al., 2020). Policy modifications or creation of new policies also may help manage CWRs as part of watershed management and coldwater species conservation programs.

In regions where temperature standards exist, it is important to define CWR standards (e.g., the Lower Columbia River case study) after reconciling inconsistencies regarding thermal thresholds of target species. It is also essential to propose definitions that enable

enforcement (where applicable) and to explicitly acknowledge the dynamic nature of CWRs while supporting management objectives. For example, salmonids respond to temperature changes of less than 1°C (Berman & Quinn, 1991), yet some assessment tools have a margin of error greater than 1°C (Whittier et al., 2020). Furthermore, the physiological consequences of thermal exposure (frequency and magnitude) vary depending on life stages and species-specific tolerances. This creates challenges when dealing with multiple thermally sensitive species. Thus, it may be helpful to define CWRs as areas that (1) have lower warm-season water temperature (at least 1°C baseline) than the mainstem, (2) maintain temporal persistence, and (3) have thermal thresholds (magnitude difference or thermal sensitivity of the targeted species) that minimize cumulative effects of heat stress.

Temporal persistence and threshold criteria for the CWR definition may need to be adjusted through a process involving interested groups and a spatially or temporally dynamic adaptive management approach (Figure 6). While it is difficult to provide a universal standard given the highly species-specific and geographically dependent nature of thermal and habitat requirements, an overarching goal can be to maintain thermal heterogeneity at multiple temporal scales (i.e., acute, diel, seasonal) and to promote functional thermal niches for aquatic life (McCullough, 2011). As mentioned above in the section on direct and indirect policies and management, the only example of a CWR temperature standard is in the Lower Columbia River case study. Using the thermal requirements of the most sensitive coldwater organisms that currently exist—or historically existed—may ensure that CWRs provide sufficient protection to all affected species.

Physical and hydrological processes, that is, incomplete mixing of thermally and hydrologically distinct flows or thermal stratification, and the geomorphological settings that create and sustain cold water, such as channel slope, channel confinement, floodplain geometry, and planform patterns, are maintained by multi-dimensional connections to the adjacent landscape (Dugdale et al., 2015; Sullivan et al., 2021; Torgersen et al., 2012). The framework identifies CWRs to be recognized and treated as distinct operational landscape units that are separate from the mainstem and have their own unique management considerations that integrate landscape level processes (e.g., physical and hydrological) that drive CWR formation and maintenance (Verhoeven et al., 2008). Using operational landscape units also raises managers' awareness of the importance of spatial processes and connectivity in the landscape (Bernhardt et al., 2017; Verhoeven et al., 2008), which are fundamentally important to CWR management and conservation. In this manner, CWRs may be identified and characterized as part of larger watershed or basin management efforts. Managers may find it instructive to prioritize these operational landscape units using physical and biological criteria by considering spatial tradeoffs when distributions shift under varying conditions. Physical criteria may include size (areal extent and volume), temporal persistence, mainstem connectivity, and thermal response magnitude. Biological criteria may include use by target species, prey availability within CWRs and adjacent habitats, and presence of predators.

Managers may be able to improve coordination and prioritization across jurisdictions by adapting the scale of protection and management. For example, such an approach may involve setting goals and monetary structures, sharing resources and technical expertise,

and following the nested hierarchical organization of CWRs. Small- and medium-scale or bottom-up organizations, such as watershed groups and local governments, may find it useful to concentrate on protecting and restoring processes that create and maintain CWRs. At broader scales, large or top-down organizations, such as federal and transboundary entities and governments, may be able to provide the legal framework to develop regulatory or incentive-based structures to promote multi-objective policies (Figure 5). The proposed framework incorporates the inherently multidimensional nature of CWRs by linking science, policy, and management across scales in space and time (Table 5).

5 | CONCLUSIONS

Bridging the gap between science and management of CWRs requires interdisciplinary approaches to understanding complex interactions among physical, ecological, social, and cultural factors. This review showed that, while there are many opportunities for scientific advancement, the current state of the sciences is sufficient to begin informing policy and management. However, most of the available research on CWRs has focused on salmonids in northern temperate regions, and current modeling tools do not capture the complex and multidimensional processes associated with CWRs. Addressing critical research gaps is essential to support CWR adaptive management. Areas in need of further investigation include interactions among physical, hydrological, and atmospheric processes that affect CWRs and the adjacent landscape and among multiple species (including humans) and ecological communities using CWRs.

Our review of policies and management practices revealed that many countries lack ecologically relevant water temperature guidelines. Where they do exist, these guidelines are often not integrated with other regulations that may affect CWRs. Furthermore, the guidelines may not be coordinated within watershed management plans. There also is a disconnect between the ecological understanding of water temperature and the policies that ultimately affect the ability of practitioners to conserve CWRs. The framework outlined here is a path forward for managing CWRs using existing and new policies.

We identified four tasks to address thermal heterogeneity and CWR policy needs. First, addressing science gaps requires an interdisciplinary approach with collaboration among physical, biological, and social scientists as well as with policymakers and resource managers. Open conversations about legislation and funding mechanisms can foster better coordination. Such collaboration is essential to address research gaps, increase knowledge transfer, facilitate targeted funding, and improve engagement in CWR conservation. Second, CWR policy intersects with diverse interested groups, policies, territories, and institutions. Some measures to protect CWRs may be controversial or involve trade-offs. Early participation by a wide range of interested groups—including Indigenous peoples is important for identifying common values and building trust, which can help to avoid conflicts as policies are implemented (Chapman et al., 2020). Third, regulatory, incentivebased, and monetary structures can promote multi-objective policies. Fourth, management and regulation of CWRs as operational landscape units integrated into watershed and species conservation efforts may be a particularly effective approach.

Specific measures to conserve CWRs include designating "cold-water habitats," restricting or closing fishing during warm periods, and having temperature standards or guidelines. Existing water temperature standards also may be modified to include a CWR definition that is specific, clear, implementable, and recognizes their dynamic nature. Cold-water refuges are a key element of cold-water habitat and species management that has been largely overlooked but could prove essential in a changing climate. Managers may not be able to control rising water temperatures due to global change, but conserving and restoring CWRs and thermal heterogeneity, and the processes that create and maintain them, may improve short-term survival of fish and other coldwater organisms during warm periods.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Socio-Environmental Synthesis Center (SESYNC) under funding received from the National Science Foundation DBI-1639145. We thank the staff and Jonathan G. Kramer for helping us facilitate our workshop titled "Does Current Science Support the Management and Policy Needs of Cold-Water Refuges for Salmonids in a Changing World?" We also thank Nathaniel P. Hitt from the U.S. Geological Survey Eastern Ecological Science Center for participating in the workshop, Su Kim from NOAA Fisheries for illustrating Figure 2, Eric Berntsen from the Kalispel Tribe Natural Resources Department for reviewing the draft manuscript, Chris Sullivan, Nancy Marek, Michael Humphreys, and Mike Beauchene from the University of Connecticut for providing images and background information. The research conducted in the case studies would not have been possible without the long-term support of many conservation organizations, watershed groups, government agencies, and universities. This manuscript has been subjected to Federal Agency review and has been approved for publication. The views expressed herein are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. This manuscript has been peer reviewed and approved for publication consistent with USGS Fundamental Science Practices [\(https://pubs.usgs.gov/circ/1367/](https://pubs.usgs.gov/circ/1367/)). Any use of trade, product, or firm names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Funding information

National Socio-Environmental Synthesis Center (SESYNC), Grant/Award Number: DBI-1639145

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available in the Supplementary Information. These data were derived from resources available in the public domain, and all URLs are listed in Table S1.

REFERENCES

- Abdi R, Endreny T, & Nowak D (2020). A model to integrate urban river thermal cooling in river restoration. Journal of Environmental Management, 258, 110023. 10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.110023 [PubMed: 31929063]
- Aboriginal Affaires. (2021). Archeologists explore Mi'kmaq heritage at Mersey River [Press release]. [https://novascotia.ca/news/release/?](https://novascotia.ca/news/release/?id=20050202003&fbclid=IwAR0KTwg2jhMZJhda1UBNc2Kg1RJt7ePc3v0TxJjL0yCoqSHbM8c-vuO6mQA)

[id=20050202003&fbclid=IwAR0KTwg2jhMZJhda1UBNc2Kg1RJt7ePc3v0TxJjL0yCoqSHbM8c](https://novascotia.ca/news/release/?id=20050202003&fbclid=IwAR0KTwg2jhMZJhda1UBNc2Kg1RJt7ePc3v0TxJjL0yCoqSHbM8c-vuO6mQA)[vuO6mQA](https://novascotia.ca/news/release/?id=20050202003&fbclid=IwAR0KTwg2jhMZJhda1UBNc2Kg1RJt7ePc3v0TxJjL0yCoqSHbM8c-vuO6mQA)

Agence française pour la biodiversité/Armines. (2017). Guide technique interactions nappe/rivière —Des outils pour comprendre et mesurer les échanges. [https://www.gesteau.fr/document/guide](https://www.gesteau.fr/document/guide-technique-interactions-napperiviere-des-outils-pour-comprendre-et-mesurer-les-echange)[technique-interactions-napperiviere-des-outils-pour-comprendre-et-mesurer-les-echange](https://www.gesteau.fr/document/guide-technique-interactions-napperiviere-des-outils-pour-comprendre-et-mesurer-les-echange)

- Allen P (2005). The Oxbow site 1984; Metepenagiag Mi'kmaq First Nation, Miramichi, New Brunswick. In Stewart FL, Glidden-Hachey S, Gilbert CD, & Suttie BD (Eds.), New Brunswick manuscripts in archaeology (Vol. 39, p. 80). Archaeological Services, Heritage Branch Culture and Sport Secretariat.
- Amat-Trigo F, Andreou D, Gillingham PK, & Britton JR (2023). Behavioural thermoregulation in cold-water freshwater fish: Innate resilience to climate warming? Fish and Fisheries, 24(1), 187– 195. 10.1111/faf.12720 [PubMed: 37063475]
- Armstrong J, & Griffiths SW (2001). Density-dependent refuge use among over-wintering wild Atlantic salmon juveniles. Journal of Fish Biology, 58(6), 1524–1530. 10.1111/ j.1095-8649.2001.tb02309.x
- Armstrong JB, Fullerton AH, Jordan CE, Ebersole JL, Bellmore JR, Arismendi I, Penaluna B, & Reeves GH (2021). The importance of warm habitat to the growth regime of cold-water fishes. Nature Climate Change, 11(4), 354–361. 10.1038/s41558-021-00994-y
- Armstrong JB, & Schindler DE (2013). Going with the flow: Spatial distributions of juvenile coho salmon track an annually shifting mosaic of water temperature. Ecosystems, 16(8), 1429–1441. 10.1007/s10021-013-9693-9
- Armstrong JB, Schindler DE, Ruff CP, Brooks GT, Bentley KE, & Torgersen CE (2013). Diel horizontal migration in streams: Juvenile fish exploit spatial heterogeneity in thermal and trophic resources. Ecology, 94(9), 2066–2075. 10.1890/12-1200.1 [PubMed: 24279277]
- Arora R, Tockner K, & Venohr M (2016). Changing river temperatures in northern Germany: Trends and drivers of change. Hydrological Processes, 30(17), 3084–3096. 10.1002/hyp.10849
- Arora R, Toffolon M, Tockner K, & Venohr M (2018). Thermal discontinuities along a lowland river: The importance of urban areas and lakes. Journal of Hydrology, 564, 811–823. 10.1016/ j.jhydrol.2018.05.066
- Arrigoni AS, Poole GC, Mertes LAK, O'Daniel SJ, Woessner WW, & Thomas SA (2008). Buffered, lagged, or cooled? Disentangling hyporheic influences on temperature cycles in stream channels. Water Resources Research, 44(9), 1–13. 10.1029/2007WR006480
- Atlas WI, Ban NC, Moore JW, Tuohy AM, Greening S, Reid AJ, Morven N, White E, Housty WG, Housty JA, Service CN, Greba L, Harrison S, Sharpe C, Butts KIR, Shepert WM, Sweeney-Bergen E, Macintyre D, Sloat MR, & Connors K (2021). Indigenous systems of management for culturally and ecologically resilient Pacific salmon (*Oncorhynchus* spp.) fisheries. BioScience, 71(2), 186– 204. 10.1093/biosci/biaa144 [PubMed: 33613129]
- Barbarossa V, Bosmans J, Wanders N, King H, Bierkens MFP, Huijbregts MAJ, & Schipper AM (2021). Threats of global warming to the world's freshwater fishes. Nature Communications, 12(1), 1701. 10.1038/s41467-021-21655-w
- Barrett HS, & Armstrong JB (2022). Move, migrate, or tolerate: Quantifying three tactics for coldwater fish coping with warm summers in a large river. Ecosphere, 13(6). 10.1002/ecs2.4095
- Beer WN, & Steel EA (2018). Impacts and implications of temperature variability on Chinook salmon egg development and emergence phenology. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, 147(1), 3–15. 10.1002/tafs.10025
- Begon M, Townsend CR, & Harper JL (2006). Ecology—From individuals to ecosystems. Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- Beldomenico PM, & Begon M (2010). Disease spread, susceptibility and infection intensity: Vicious circles? Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 25(1), 21–27. 10.1016/j.tree.2009.06.015 [PubMed: 19782425]
- Belletti B, Dufour S, & Piégay H (2012). Regional variability of aquatic pattern in braided reaches (example of the French Rhône basin). Hydrobiologia, 712(1), 25–41. 10.1007/s10750-012-1279-6
- Benda SE, Naughton GP, Caudill CC, Kent ML, & Schreck CB (2015). Cool, pathogen-free refuge lowers pathogen-associated prespawn mortality of Willamette River Chinook salmon. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, 144(6), 1159–1172. 10.1080/00028487.2015.1073621
- Benoit K, Watanabe K, Wang H, Nulty P, Obeng A, Müller S, & Matsuo A (2018). quanteda: An R package for the quantitative analysis of textual data. Journal of Open Source Software. 10.21105/ joss

- Bense VF, Person MA, Chaudhary K, You Y, Cremer N, & Simon S (2008). Thermal anomalies indicate preferential flow along faults in unconsolidated sedimentary aquifers. Geophysical Research Letters, 35(24). 10.1029/2008GL036017
- Benyahya L, Caissie D, St-Hilaire A, Ouarda TBMJ, & Bobée B (2007). A review of statistical water temperature models. Canadian Water Resources Journal, 32(3), 179–192. 10.4296/cwrj3203179
- Bergman I, & Ramqvist PH (2018). Hunters of forests and waters: Late iron age and medieval subsistence and social processes in coastal northern Sweden. Acta Borealia, 35(1), 1–28. 10.1080/08003831.2018.1456765
- Berman CH, & Quinn TP (1991). Behavioural thermoregulation and homing by spring Chinook salmon, Oncorhynchus tshawytscha (Walbaum), in the Yakima River. Journal of Fish Biology, 39(3), 301–312. 10.1111/j.1095-8649.1991.tb04364.x
- Bernhardt ES, Blaszczak JR, Ficken CD, Fork ML, Kaiser KE, & Seybold EC (2017). Control points in ecosystems: Moving beyond the hot spot hot moment concept. Ecosystems, 20(4), 665–682. 10.1007/s10021-016-0103-y
- Berryman AA, & Hawkins BA (2006). The refuge as an integrating concept in ecology and evolution. Oikos, 115(1), 192–196. 10.1111/j.0030-1299.2006.15188.x
- Bierkens MFP, & Wada Y (2019). Non-renewable groundwater use and groundwater depletion: A review. Environmental Research Letters, 14(6). 10.1088/1748-9326/ab1a5f
- Birnie-Gauvin K, Lynch AJ, Franklin PA, Reid AJ, Landsman SJ, Tickner D, Dalton J, Aarestrup K, & Cooke SJ (2023). The RACE for freshwater biodiversity: Essential actions to create the social context for meaningful conservation. Conservation Science and Practice, 5, e12911. 10.1111/ csp2.12911
- Bongaerts P, Ridgway T, Sampayo EM, & Hoegh-Guldberg O (2010). Assessing the 'deep reef refugia' hypothesis: Focus on Caribbean reefs. Coral Reefs, 29(2), 309–327. 10.1007/ s00338-009-0581-x
- Boyer C, St-Hilaire A, Bergeron N, Daigle A, Curry RA, & Caissie D (2016). RivTemp: A water temperature network for Atlantic salmon rivers in eastern Canada. Water News, 35. 10.13140/ RG.2.1.3823.4485
- Breau C, Cunjak RA, & Peake SJ (2011). Behaviour during elevated water temperatures: Can physiology explain movement of juvenile Atlantic salmon to cool water? Journal of Animal Ecology, 80(4), 844–853. 10.1111/j.1365-2656.2011.01828.x [PubMed: 21401593]
- Brewitt KS, & Danner EM (2014). Spatio-temporal temperature variation influences juvenile steelhead (Oncorhynchus mykiss) use of thermal refuges. Ecosphere, 5(7), art92. 10.1890/es14-00036.1
- Brewitt KS, Danner EM, & Moore JW (2017). Hot eats and cool creeks: Juvenile Pacific salmonids use mainstem prey while in thermal refuges. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 74(10), 1588–1602. 10.1139/cjfas-2016-0395
- Briggs MA, Goodling P, Johnson ZC, Rogers KM, Hitt NP, Fair JB, & Snyder CD (2022). Bedrock depth influences spatial patterns of summer baseflow, temperature and flow disconnection for mountainous headwater streams. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 26(15), 3989–4011. 10.5194/hess-26-3989-2022
- Briggs MA, Voytek EB, Day-Lewis FD, Rosenberry DO, & Lane JW (2013). Understanding water column and streambed thermal refugia for endangered mussels in the Delaware River. Environmental Science & Technology, 47(20), 11423–11431. 10.1021/es4018893 [PubMed: 24015908]
- Caldwell SH, Kelleher C, Baker EA, & Lautz LK (2019). Relative information from thermal infrared imagery via unoccupied aerial vehicle informs simulations and spatially-distributed assessments of stream temperature. Science of the Total Environment, 661, 364–374. 10.1016/ j.scitotenv.2018.12.457 [PubMed: 30677682]
- Capra H, Plichard L, Berge J, Pella H, Ovidio M, McNeil E, & Lamouroux N (2017). Fish habitat selection in a large hydropeaking river: Strong individual and temporal variations revealed by telemetry. Science of the Total Environment, 578, 109–120. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.10.155 [PubMed: 27839764]

- Carlson AK, Taylor WW, & Hughes SM (2020). The metacoupling framework informs stream salmonid management and governance. Frontiers in Environmental Science, 8. 10.3389/ fenvs.2020.00027
- Casas-Mulet R, Pander J, Ryu D, Stewardson MJ, & Geist J (2020). Unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV)-based thermal infra-red (TIR) and optical imagery reveals multi-spatial scale controls of cold-water areas over a groundwater-dominated riverscape. Frontiers in Environmental Science, 8. 10.3389/fenvs.2020.00064
- Chang H, Thiers P, Netusil NR, Yeakley JA, Rollwagen-Bollens G, Bollens SM, & Singh S (2014). Relationships between environmental governance and water quality in a growing metropolitan area of the Pacific Northwest, USA. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 18(4), 1383–1395. 10.5194/hess-18-1383-2014
- Chapman M, Satterfield T, & Chan KMA (2020). How value conflicts infected the science of riparian restoration for endangered salmon habitat in America's Pacific Northwest: Lessons for the application of conservation science to policy. Biological Conservation, 244, 108508. 10.1016/ j.biocon.2020.108508
- Chen HLY, Hodges CC, & Dymond RL (2021). Modeling watershed-wide bioretention stormwater retrofits to achieve thermal pollution mitigation goals. Journal of the American Water Resources Association, 57(1), 109–133. 10.1111/1752-1688.12894
- Chiaramonte LV, Ray RA, Corum RA, Soto T, Hallett SL, & Bartholomew JL (2016). Klamath River thermal refuge provides juvenile salmon reduced exposure to the parasite Ceratonova shasta. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, 145(4), 810–820. 10.1080/00028487.2016.1159612
- Cline TJ, Muhlfeld CC, Kovach R, Al-Chokhachy R, Schmetterling D, Whited D, & Lynch AJ (2022). Socioeconomic resilience to climatic extremes in a freshwater fishery. Science Advances, 8(36), eabn1396. 10.1126/sciadv.abn1396 [PubMed: 36070376]
- Cook CN, Mascia MB, Schwartz MW, Possingham HP, & Fuller RA (2013). Achieving conservation science that bridges the knowledge-action boundary. Conservation Biology, 27(4), 669–678. 10.1111/cobi.12050 [PubMed: 23574343]
- Cooke SJ, Nguyen VM, Chapman JM, Reid AJ, Landsman SJ, Young N, Hinch SG, Schott S, Mandrak NE, & Semeniuk CAD (2021). Knowledge co-production: A pathway to effective fisheries management, conservation, and governance. Fisheries, 46(2), 89–97. 10.1002/fsh.10512
- Cordoleani F, Phillis CC, Sturrock AM, FitzGerald AM, Malkassian A, Whitman GE, Weber PK, & Johnson RC (2021). Threatened salmon rely on a rare life history strategy in a warming landscape. Nature Climate Change, 11(11), 982–988. 10.1038/s41558-021-01186-4
- Core Team. (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. <https://www.R-project.org/>
- Corey E, Linnansaari T, Cunjak RA, & Currie S (2017). Physiological effects of environmentally relevant, multi-day thermal stress on wild juvenile Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar). Conservation Physiology, 5(1), 1–13. 10.1093/conphys/cox014
- Costigan KH, Daniels MD, & Dodds WK (2015). Fundamental spatial and temporal disconnections in the hydrology of an intermittent prairie headwater network. Journal of Hydrology, 522, 305–316. 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.12.031
- Dahlke FT, Wohlrab S, Butzin M, & Pörtner H-O (2020). Thermal bottlenecks in the life cycle define climate vulnerability of fish. Science, 369(6499), 65–70. 10.1126/science.aaz3658 [PubMed: 32631888]
- Daigle A, Boyer C, & St-Hilaire A (2019). A standardized characterization of river thermal regimes in Québec (Canada). Journal of Hydrology, 577, 123963. 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.123963
- Daigle A, Jeong DI, & Lapointe MF (2015). Climate change and resilience of tributary thermal refugia for salmonids in eastern Canadian rivers. Hydrological Sciences Journal, 60(6), 1044– 1063. 10.1080/02626667.2014.898121
- DEEP. (2022). Trout management areas. [https://portal.ct.gov/DEEP/Fishing/Fisheries-Management/](https://portal.ct.gov/DEEP/Fishing/Fisheries-Management/Trout-Management-Areas) [Trout-Management-Areas](https://portal.ct.gov/DEEP/Fishing/Fisheries-Management/Trout-Management-Areas)

 EPA Author Manuscript**EPA Author Manuscript**

- Desbureaux S, Mortier F, Zaveri E, van Vliet MTH, Russ J, Rodella AS, & Damania R (2022). Mapping global hotspots and trends of water quality (1992–2010): A data driven approach. Environmental Research Letters, 17(11). 10.1088/1748-9326/ac9cf6
- Devine WD, Steel EA, Foster AD, Minkova TV, & Martens KD (2021). Watershed characteristics influence winter stream temperature in a forested landscape. Aquatic Sciences, 83(3). 10.1007/ s00027-021-00802-x
- DFO. (2012). Temperature threshold to define management strategies for Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) fisheries under environmentally stressful conditions. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Advis. Rep. 2012/019.
- Djenontin INS, & Meadow AM (2018). The art of co-production of knowledge in environmental sciences and management: Lessons from international practice. Environmental Management, 61(6), 885–903. 10.1007/s00267-018-1028-3 [PubMed: 29623401]
- Dugdale SJ (2014). Analyse de la variabilité spatio-temporelle des refuges thermiques à l'échelle du paysage lotique: Importance pour les populations de saumon atlantique (Salmo salar). (PhD). INRS Eau Terre Environment, Quebec City.
- Dugdale SJ (2016). A practitioner's guide to thermal infrared remote sensing of rivers and streams: Recent advances, precautions and considerations. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water, 3(2), 251–268. 10.1002/wat2.1135
- Dugdale SJ, Bergeron NE, & St-Hilaire A (2013). Temporal variability of thermal refuges and water temperature patterns in an Atlantic salmon river. Remote Sensing of Environment, 136, 358–373. 10.1016/j.rse.2013.05.018
- Dugdale SJ, Bergeron NE, & St-Hilaire A (2015). Spatial distribution of thermal refuges analysed in relation to riverscape hydromorphology using airborne thermal infrared imagery. Remote Sensing of Environment, 160, 43–55. 10.1016/j.rse.2014.12.021
- Dugdale SJ, Hannah DM, & Malcolm IA (2017). River temperature modelling: A review of process-based approaches and future directions. Earth-Science Reviews, 175, 97–113. 10.1016/ j.earscirev.2017.10.009
- Dugdale SJ, Kelleher CA, Malcolm IA, Caldwell S, & Hannah DM (2019). Assessing the potential of drone-based thermal infrared imagery for quantifying river temperature heterogeneity. Hydrological Processes, 33(7), 1152–1163. 10.1002/hyp.13395.
- Dunham J, Chandler G, Rieman B, & Martin D (2005). Measuring stream temperature with digital data loggers: A user's guide. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRSGTR-150WWW. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 15 p.
- Dunham JB, Angermeier PL, Crausbay SD, Cravens AE, Gosnell H, McEvoy J, Moritz MA, Raheem N, & Sanford T (2018). Rivers are social–ecological systems: Time to integrate human dimensions into riverscape ecology and management. WIREs Water, 5(4). 10.1002/wat2.1291
- Dzara JR, Neilson BT, & Null SE (2019). Quantifying thermal refugia connectivity by combining temperature modeling, distributed temperature sensing, and thermal infrared imaging. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 23(7), 2965–2982. 10.5194/hess-23-2965-2019
- Ebersole JL, Liss WJ, & Frissell CA (2003a). Cold water patches in warm streams: Physicochemical characteristics and the influence of shading. Journal of the American Water Resources Association, 39(2), 355–368. 10.1111/j.1752-1688.2003.tb04390.x
- Ebersole JL, Liss WJ, & Frissell CA (2003b). Thermal heterogeneity, stream channel morphology, and salmonid abundance in northeastern Oregon streams. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 60(10), 1266–1280. 10.1139/f03-107
- Ebersole JL, Quinones RM, Clements S, & Letcher BH (2020). Managing climate refugia for freshwater fishes under an expanding human footprint. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 18(5), 271–280. 10.1002/fee.2206 [PubMed: 32944010]
- Ebersole JL, Wigington PJ, Leibowitz SG, Comeleo RL, & Sickle JV (2015). Predicting the occurrence of cold-water patches at intermittent and ephemeral tributary confluences with warm rivers. Freshwater Science, 34(1), 111–124. 10.1086/678127
- Elliott JM, & Elliott JA (2010). Temperature requirements of Atlantic salmon Salmo salar, brown trout Salmo trutta and Arctic charr Salvelinus alpinus: Predicting the effects of climate change. Journal of Fish Biology, 77(8), 1793–1817. 10.1111/j.1095-8649.2010.02762.x [PubMed: 21078091]

EPA. (2021). Columbia River cold water refuges plan, EPA-910-R-21–001. EPA.

Ernst AG, Baldigo BP, Calef FJ, Freehafer DA, & Kremens RL (2015). Identifying trout refuges in the Indian and Hudson Rivers in northern New York through airborne thermal infrared remote sensing. 10.3133/ofr20151078

Feinerer I, & Hornik K (2020). tm: Text mining package. R package version 0.7–8.

- Fitzgerald AM, John SN, Apgar TM, Mantua NJ, & Martin BT (2021). Quantifying thermal exposure for migratory riverine species: Phenology of Chinook salmon populations predicts thermal stress. Global Change Biology, 27(3), 536–549. 10.1111/gcb.15450 [PubMed: 33216441]
- Frade PR, Bongaerts P, Englebert N, Rogers A, Gonzalez-Rivero M, & Hoegh-Guldberg O (2018). Deep reefs of the Great Barrier Reef offer limited thermal refuge during mass coral bleaching. Nature Communications, 9(1), 3447. 10.1038/s41467-018-05741-0
- Frechette DM, Dugdale SJ, Dodson JJ, & Bergeron NE (2018). Understanding summertime thermal refuge use by adult Atlantic salmon using remote sensing, river temperature monitoring, and acoustic telemetry. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 75(11), 1999–2010. 10.1139/cjfas-2017-0422
- Fuller MR, Ebersole JL, Detenbeck NE, Labiosa R, Leinenbach P, & Torgersen CE (2021). Integrating thermal infrared stream temperature imagery and spatial stream network models to understand natural spatial thermal variability in streams. Journal of Thermal Biology, 100, 103028. 10.1016/ j.jtherbio.2021.103028 [PubMed: 34503775]
- Fullerton AH, Torgersen CE, Lawler JJ, Faux RN, Steel EA, Beechie TJ, Ebersole JL, & Leibowitz SG (2015). Rethinking the longitudinal stream temperature paradigm: Region-wide comparison of thermal infrared imagery reveals unexpected complexity of river temperatures. Hydrological Processes, 29(22), 4719–4737. 10.1002/hyp.10506
- Fullerton AH, Torgersen CE, Lawler JJ, Steel EA, Ebersole JL, & Lee SY (2018). Longitudinal thermal heterogeneity in rivers and refugia for coldwater species: Effects of scale and climate change. Aquatic Sciences, 80(3), 1–15. 10.1007/s00027-017-0557-9 [PubMed: 29556118]
- Gao P, Li Z, You Y, Zhou Y, & Piégay H (2022). Assessing functional characteristics of a braided river in the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau, China. Geomorphology, 403, 108180. 10.1016/ j.geomorph.2022.108180
- Gaymer CF, Stadel AV, Ban NC, Cárcamo PF, Ierna J, & Lieberknecht LM (2014). Merging top-down and bottom-up approaches in marine protected areas planning: Experiences from around the globe. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems, 24(S2), 128–144. 10.1002/aqc.2508
- Gendaszek AS, Dunham JB, Torgersen CE, Hockman-Wert DP, Heck MP, Thorson J, Mintz J, & Allai T (2020). Land-cover and climatic controls on water temperature, flow permanence, and fragmentation of Great Basin stream networks. Water, 12(7). 10.3390/w12071962
- Gerlach ME, Rains KC, Guerrón-Orejuela EJ, Kleindl WJ, Downs J, Landry SM, & Rains MC (2021). Using remote sensing and machine learning to locate groundwater discharge to salmon-bearing streams. Remote Sensing, 14(1). 10.3390/rs14010063
- GMW. (2023). Upper ovens river WSPA. [https://www.g-mwater.com.au/water-operations/water](https://www.g-mwater.com.au/water-operations/water-information/ground-water/management/upperovenswspa)[information/ground-water/management/upperovenswspa](https://www.g-mwater.com.au/water-operations/water-information/ground-water/management/upperovenswspa)
- Griebler C, & Avramov M (2015). Groundwater ecosystem services: A review. Freshwater Science, 34(1), 355–367. 10.1086/679903
- Guillen G (2003). Klamath river fish die-off September 2002 causative factors of mortality. Report Number AFWO-F-02–03. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. [https://www.trrp.net/library/document?](https://www.trrp.net/library/document?id=302) [id=302](https://www.trrp.net/library/document?id=302)
- Gutowsky LFG, Harrison PM, Martins EG, Leake A, Patterson DA, Zhu DZ, Power M, & Cooke SJ (2017). Daily temperature experience and selection by adfluvial bull trout (Salvelinus confluentus). Environmental Biology of Fishes, 100(10), 1167–1180. 10.1007/s10641-017-0634-x
- Hahlbeck N, Tinniswood WR, Sloat MR, Ortega JD, Wyatt MA, Hereford ME, Ramirez BS, Crook DA, Anlauf-Dunn KJ, & Armstrong JB (2022). Contribution of warm habitat to cold-water fisheries. Conservation Biology, 36(3), e13857. 10.1111/cobi.13857 [PubMed: 34766374]
- Hannah DM, & Garner G (2015). River water temperature in the United Kingdom. Progress in Physical Geography: Earth and Environment, 39(1), 68–92. 10.1177/0309133314550669

- Hare DK, Briggs MA, Rosenberry DO, Boutt DF, & Lane JW (2015). A comparison of thermal infrared to fiber-optic distributed temperature sensing for evaluation of groundwater discharge to surface water. Journal of Hydrology, 530, 153–166. 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.09.059
- Hare DK, Helton AM, Johnson ZC, Lane JW, & Briggs MA (2021). Continental-scale analysis of shallow and deep groundwater contributions to streams. Nature Communications, 12(1), 1450. 10.1038/s41467-021-21651-0
- Harvey MC, Hare DK, Hackman A, Davenport G, Haynes AB, Helton A, Lane JW Jr., & Briggs MA (2019). Evaluation of stream and wetland restoration using UAS-based thermal infrared mapping. Water, 11(8). 10.3390/w11081568
- Hasler CT, Cooke SJ, Hinch SG, Guimond E, Donaldson MR, Mossop B, & Patterson DA (2012). Thermal biology and bioenergetics of different upriver migration strategies in a stock of summerrun Chinook salmon. Journal of Thermal Biology, 37(4), 265–272. 10.1016/j.jtherbio.2011.02.003
- Hirsch SL (2020). Anticipating future environments. Climate change, adaptive restoration, and the Columbia River Basin. University of Washington Press.
- Hitt NP, Snook EL, & Massie DL (2017). Brook trout use of thermal refugia and foraging habitat influenced by brown trout. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 74(3), 406–418. 10.1139/cjfas-2016-0255
- Huntsman AG (1942). Death of salmon and trout with high temperature. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada, 5c(5), 485–501. 10.1139/f40-051
- Isaak DJ, & Rieman BE (2013). Stream isotherm shifts from climate change and implications for distributions of ectothermic organisms. Global Change Biology, 19(3), 742–751. 10.1111/ gcb.12073 [PubMed: 23504832]
- Isaak DJ, Wenger SJ, Peterson EE, Ver Hoef JM, Nagel DE, Luce CH, Hostetler SW, Dunham JB, Roper BB, Wollrab SP, Chandler GL, Horan DL, & Parkes-Payne S (2017). The NorWeST summer stream temperature model and scenarios for the western U.S.: A crowd-sourced database and new geospatial tools foster a user community and predict broad climate warming of rivers and streams. Water Resources Research, 53(11), 9181–9205. 10.1002/2017wr020969
- Jackson FL, Fryer RJ, Hannah DM, & Malcolm IA (2020). Predictions of national-scale river temperatures: A visualisation of complex space–time dynamics. Hydrological Processes, 34, 2823–2825. 10.1002/hyp.13761
- Jackson FL, Hannah DM, Fryer RJ, Millar CP, & Malcolm IA (2017). Development of spatial regression models for predicting summer river temperatures from landscape characteristics: Implications for land and fisheries management. Hydrological Processes, 31(6), 1225–1238. 10.1002/hyp.11087
- Jeong DI, Daigle A, & St-Hilaire A (2013). Development of a stochastic water temperature model and projection of future water temperature and extreme events in the Ouelle River basin in QuÉbec, Canada. River Research and Applications, 29(7), 805–821. 10.1002/rra.2574
- Johnson ZC, Johnson BG, Briggs MA, Devine WD, Snyder CD, Hitt NP, Hare DK, & Minkova TV (2020). Paired air-water annual temperature patterns reveal hydrogeological controls on stream thermal regimes at watershed to continental scales. Journal of Hydrology, 587, 124929. 10.1016/ j.jhydrol.2020.124929
- KarisAllen J, Mohammed A, Tamborski J, Jamieson R, Danielescu S, & Kurylyk B (2022). Present and future thermal regimes of intertidal groundwater springs in a threatened coastal ecosystem. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions, 2022(26), 4721–4740. 10.5194/ hess-26-4721-2022
- KarisAllen JJ, & Kurylyk BL (2021). Drone-based characterization of intertidal spring cold-water plume dynamics. Hydrological Processes, 35(6). 10.1002/hyp.14258
- Kaya CM, Kaeding LR, & Burkhalter DE (1977). Use of a cold-water refuge by rainbow and brown trout in a geothermally heated stream. Progressive Fish-Culturist, 39(1), 37–38.
- Keefer ML, Peery CA, & High B (2009). Behavioral thermoregulation and associated mortality trade-offs in migrating adult steelhead (Oncorhynchus mykiss): Variability among sympatric populations. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 66(10), 1734–1747. 10.1139/ F09-131

- Keenan DM (2019). Individual based modelling of fish migration in a 2-D river system: Model description and case study. Landscape Ecology, 34, 737–743. 10.1007/s10980-019-00804-z [PubMed: 33424124]
- Kefford BJ, Ghalambor CK, Dewenter B, Poff NL, Hughes J, Reich J, & Thompson R (2022). Acute, diel, and annual temperature variability and the thermal biology of ectotherms. Global Change Biology, 28(23), 6872–6888. 10.1111/gcb.16453 [PubMed: 36177681]
- Keppel G, Van Niel KP, Wardell-Johnson GW, Yates CJ, Byrne M, Mucina L, Schut AGT, Hopper SD, & Franklin SE (2012). Refugia: Identifying and understanding safe havens for biodiversity under climate change. Global Ecology and Biogeography, 21(4), 393–404. 10.1111/ j.1466-8238.2011.00686.x
- Kingsolver JG, & Buckley LB (2017). Quantifying thermal extremes and biological variation to predict evolutionary responses to changing climate. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 372(1723), 20160147. 10.1098/rstb.2016.0147 [PubMed: 28483862]
- Kittinger JN, Dowling A, Purves AR, Milne NA, & Olsson P (2011). Marine protected areas, multipleagency management, and monumental surprise in the northwestern Hawaiian islands. Journal of Marine Biology, 2011, 1–17. 10.1155/2011/241374
- Krkošek M (2017). Population biology of infectious diseases shared by wild and farmed fish. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 74(4), 620–628. 10.1139/cjfas-2016-0379
- Kuhn J, Casas-Mulet R, Pander J, & Geist J (2021). Assessing stream thermal heterogeneity and cold-water patches from UAV-based imagery: A matter of classification methods and metrics. Remote Sensing, 13(7), 1379. 10.3390/rs13071379
- Kurle CM, Cadotte MW, Jones HP, Seminoff JA, Newton EL, & Seo M (2022). Co-designed ecological research for more effective management and conservation. Ecological Solutions and Evidence, 3(1). 10.1002/2688-8319.12130
- Kurylyk BL, MacQuarrie KTB, Linnansaari T, Cunjak RA, & Curry RA (2015). Preserving, augmenting, and creating cold-water thermal refugia in rivers: Concepts derived from research on the Miramichi River, New Brunswick (Canada). Ecohydrology, 8(6), 1095–1108. 10.1002/ eco.1566
- Kurylyk BL, MacQuarrie KTB, & Voss CI (2014). Climate change impacts on the temperature and magnitude of groundwater discharge from shallow, unconfined aquifers. Water Resources Research, 50(4), 3253–3274. 10.1002/2013wr014588
- Lee S-Y, Fullerton AH, Sun N, & Torgersen CE (2020). Projecting spatiotemporally explicit effects of climate change on stream temperature: A model comparison and implications for Coldwater fishes. Journal of Hydrology, 588, 125066. 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.125066
- Lisi PJ, & Schindler DE (2015). Wind-driven upwelling in lakes destabilizes thermal regimes of downstream rivers. Limnology and Oceanography, 60(1), 169–180. 10.1002/lno.10010
- MacDonald C, Jones GP, & Bridge T (2018). Marginal sinks or potential refuges? Costs and benefits for coral-obligate reef fishes at deep range margins. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 285(1890), 20181545. 10.1098/rspb.2018.1545
- Mahardja B, Bashevkin SM, Pien C, Nelson M, Davis BE, & Hartman R (2022). Escape from the heat: Thermal stratification in a well-mixed estuary and implications for fish species facing a changing climate. Hydrobiologia, 849(13), 2895–2918. 10.1007/s10750-022-04886-w
- Marteau B, Michel K, & Piégay H (2022). Can gravel augmentation restore thermal functions in gravel-bed rivers? A need to assess success within a trajectory-based before–after control–impact framework. Hydrological Processes, 36(2). 10.1002/hyp.14480
- Marteau BP, & Moatar HF (2023). L'infrarouge thermique aéroporté, un outil de connaissance des rivières face au changement climatique:guide méthodologique et recommandations. [http://](http://www.graie.org/graie/graiedoc/reseaux/riviere/ActesJourneeThermie.pdf) www.graie.org/graie/graiedoc/reseaux/riviere/ActesJourneeThermie.pdf
- Martí-Cardona B, Prats J, & Niclòs R (2019). Enhancing the retrieval of stream surface temperature from Landsat data. Remote Sensing of Environment, 224, 182–191. 10.1016/j.rse.2019.02.007
- McCullough DA (2011). The impact on coldwater-fish populations of interpretative differences in the application of the United States Clean Water Act 1972 by individual state legislatures. Freshwater Reviews, 4(1), 43–79. 10.1608/frj-4.1.159

- McCullough DA, Bartholow JM, Jager HI, Beschta RL, Cheslak EF, Deas ML, Ebersole JL, Foott JS, Johnson SL, Marine KR, Mesa MG, Petersen JH, Souchon Y, Tiffan KF, & Wurtsbaugh WA (2009). Research in thermal biology: Burning questions for coldwater stream fishes. Reviews in Fisheries Science, 17(1), 90–115. 10.1080/10641260802590152
- Mejia FH, Torgersen CE, Berntsen EK, Maroney JR, Connor JM, Fullerton AH, Ebersole JL, & Lorang MS (2020). Longitudinal, lateral, vertical, and temporal thermal heterogeneity in a large impounded river: Implications for cold-water refuges. Remote Sensing, 12(9), 1–1386. 10.3390/ rs12091386
- Monk WA, Wilbur NM, Curry RA, Gagnon R, & Faux RN (2013). Linking landscape variables to cold water refugia in rivers. Journal of Environmental Management, 118, 170–176. 10.1016/ j.jenvman.2012.12.024 [PubMed: 23428466]
- Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks. (2022). [https://fwp.mt.gov/news/current-closures-restrictions/](https://fwp.mt.gov/news/current-closures-restrictions/waterbody-closures) [waterbody-closures](https://fwp.mt.gov/news/current-closures-restrictions/waterbody-closures)
- Morash AJ, Speers-Roesch B, Andrew S, & Currie S (2021). The physiological ups and downs of thermal variability in temperate freshwater ecosystems. Journal of Fish Biology, 98(6), 1524– 1535. 10.1111/jfb.14655 [PubMed: 33349944]
- Morelli TL, Daly C, Dobrowski SZ, Dulen DM, Ebersole JL, Jackson ST, Lundquist JD, Millar CI, Maher SP, Monahan WB, Nydick KR, Redmond KT, Sawyer SC, Stock S, & Beissinger SR (2016). Managing climate change refugia for climate adaptation. PLoS ONE, 11(8), e0159909. 10.1371/journal.pone.0159909 [PubMed: 27509088]
- MRC Avignon. (2022). Procès-verbal de la réunion extraordinaire. [https://www.mrcavignon.com/app/](https://www.mrcavignon.com/app/uploads/2022/06/PV_17_mai_2022.pdf) [uploads/2022/06/PV_17_mai_2022.pdf](https://www.mrcavignon.com/app/uploads/2022/06/PV_17_mai_2022.pdf)
- Myers BJE, Lynch AJ, Bunnell DB, Chu C, Falke JA, Kovach RP, Krabbenhoft TJ, Kwak TJ, & Paukert CP (2017). Global synthesis of the documented and projected effects of climate change on inland fishes. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries, 27(2), 339–361. 10.1007/ s11160-017-9476-z
- NECMA. (2023). Uncovering the ovens & the ovens fishway. <https://www.necma.vic.gov.au/>
- O'Sullivan AM, Corey E, Cunjak RA, Linnansaari T, & Curry RA (2021). Salmonid thermal habitat contraction in a hydrogeologically complex setting. Ecosphere, 12(10), 1–52. 10.1002/ecs2.3797 [PubMed: 34938591]
- O'Sullivan AM, Devito KJ, & Curry RA (2019). The influence of landscape characteristics on the spatial variability of river temperatures. Catena, 177(March 2018), 70–83. 10.1016/ j.catena.2019.02.006
- O'Sullivan AM, Linnansaari T, Leavitt J, Samways KM, Kurylyk BL, & Curry RA (2022). The salmon-peloton: hydraulic habitat shifts of adult atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) due to behavioural thermoregulation. River Research and Applications, 38(1), 107–118. 10.1002/rra.3872
- Ouellet V, St-Hilaire A, Dugdale SJ, Hannah DM, Krause S, & Proulx-Ouellet S (2020). River temperature research and practice: Recent challenges and emerging opportunities for managing thermal habitat conditions in stream ecosystems. Science of the Total Environment, 736, 139679. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139679 [PubMed: 32474270]
- Pinsky ML, Eikeset AM, McCauley DJ, Payne JL, & Sunday JM (2019). Greater vulnerability to warming of marine versus terrestrial ectotherms. Nature, 569(7754), 108-111. 10.1038/ s41586-019-1132-4 [PubMed: 31019302]
- Poole GC, & Berman CH (2001). An ecological perspective on instream temperature: Natural heat dynamics and mechanisms of human-caused thermal degradation. Environmental Management, 27(6), 787–802. 10.1007/s002670010188 [PubMed: 11393314]
- Poole GC, Dunham JB, Keenan DM, Sauter ST, McCullough DA, Mebane C, Lockwood JC, Essig DA, Hicks MP, Sturdevant DJ, Materna EJ, Spalding M, Risley J, & Deppman M (2004). The case for regime-based water quality standards. BioScience, 54(2), 155. 10.1641/0006-3568(2004)054[0155:Tcfrwq]2.0.Co;2
- Price AN, Jones CN, Hammond JC, Zimmer MA, & Zipper SC (2021). The drying regimes of non-perennial rivers and streams. Geophysical Research Letters, 48(14), e2021GL093298. 10.1029/2021GL093298

- Rahel FJ, & Olden JD (2008). Assessing the effects of climate change on aquatic invasive species. Conservation Biology, 22(3), 521–533. 10.1111/j.1523-1739.2008.00950.x [PubMed: 18577081]
- Ramberg-Pihl NC (2020). Responses of juvenile Atlantic Salmon to competition and environmental change: Implications for performance in Maine streams. (PhD). University of Maine, Retrieved from Electronic Theses and Dissertations. <https://digitalcommons.library.umaine.edu/etd/3258>
- Reed MS, & Rudman H (2022). Re-thinking research impact: Voice, context and power at the interface of science, policy and practice. Sustainability Science, 18, 967–981. 10.1007/s11625-022-01216 w
- Rees CB, Cañizares JR, Garcia GM, & Reed JM (2019). Ecological stakeholder analogs as intermediaries between freshwater biodiversity conservation and sustainable water management. Environmental Policy and Governance, 29(4), 303–312. 10.1002/eet.1856
- Reid AJ, Carlson AK, Creed IF, Eliason EJ, Gell PA, Johnson PTJ, Kidd KA, MacCormack T, Olden JD, Ormerod SJ, Smol JP, Taylor WW, Tockner K, Vermaire JC, Dudgeon D, & Cooke SJ (2019). Emerging threats and persistent conservation challenges for freshwater biodiversity. Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, 94(3), 849–873. 10.1111/brv.12480 [PubMed: 30467930]
- Reid AJ, Eckert LE, Lane JF, Young N, Hinch SG, Darimont CT, Cooke SJ, Ban NC, & Marshall A (2021). "Two-eyed seeing": An indigenous framework to transform fisheries research and management. Fish and Fisheries, 22(2), 243–261. 10.1111/faf.12516
- Reid IS (2007). Influence of motorboat use on thermal refuges and implications to salmonid physiology in the lower Rogue River, Oregon. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 27(4), 1162–1173. 10.1577/m06-061.1
- Reside AE, Briscoe NJ, Dickman CR, Greenville AC, Hradsky BA, Kark S, Kearney MR, Kutt AS, Nimmo DG, Pavey CR, Read JL, Ritchie EG, Roshier D, Skroblin A, Stone Z, West M, & Fisher DO (2019). Persistence through tough times: Fixed and shifting refuges in threatened species conservation. Biodiversity and Conservation, 28(6), 1303–1330. 10.1007/s10531-019-01734-7
- Bassin Rhône-Méditerranée. (2019). Etat des lieux du bassin Rhône-Mediterrannée. [https://www.documentation.eauetbiodiversite.fr/notice/etat-des-lieux-du-bassin](https://www.documentation.eauetbiodiversite.fr/notice/etat-des-lieux-du-bassin-rhone-mediterranee-2022-20270)[rhone-mediterranee-2022-20270](https://www.documentation.eauetbiodiversite.fr/notice/etat-des-lieux-du-bassin-rhone-mediterranee-2022-20270)
- Richter A, & Kolmes SA (2005). Maximum temperature limits for Chinook, coho, and chum salmon, and steelhead trout in the Pacific Northwest. Reviews in Fisheries Science, 13, 23–49.
- Rosenberry DO, Briggs MA, Voytek EB, & Lane JW (2016). Influence of groundwater on distribution of dwarf wedgemussels (Alasmidonta heterodon) in the upper reaches of the Delaware River, northeastern USA. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 20(10), 4323–4339. 10.5194/ hess-20-4323-2016
- RStudio Team (2020). RStudio: Integrated Development for R. PBC, Boston, MA: RStudio. [http://](http://www.rstudio.com/) www.rstudio.com/.
- Rubenson ES, & Olden JD (2017). Dynamism in the upstream invasion edge of a freshwater fish exposes range boundary constraints. Oecologia, 184(2), 453–467. 10.1007/s00442-017-3885-5 [PubMed: 28528391]
- Saadi AM, Msilini A, Charron C, St-Hilaire A, & Ouarda TBMJ (2022). Estimation of the area of potential thermal refuges using generalized additive models and multivariate adaptive regression splines: A case study from the Ste-Marguerite River. River Research and Applications, 38(1), 23–35. 10.1002/rra.3886
- Snyder MN, Schumaker NH, Dunham JB, Ebersole JL, Keefer ML, Halama J, Comeleo RL, Leinenbach P, Brookes A, Cope B, Wu J, & Palmer J (2022). Tough places and safe spaces: Can refuges save salmon from a warming climate? Ecosphere, 13(11). 10.1002/ecs2.4265
- Snyder MN, Schumaker NH, Dunham JB, Keefer ML, Leinenbach P, Brookes A, Palmer J, Wu J, Keenan D, & Ebersole JL (2020). Assessing contributions of cold-water refuges to reproductive migration corridor conditions for adult salmon and steelhead trout in the Columbia River, USA. Journal of Ecohydraulics, 1, 111–123. 10.1080/24705357.2020.1855086
- Snyder MN, Schumaker NH, Ebersole JL, Dunham JB, Comeleo RL, Keefer ML, Leinenbach P, Brookes A, Cope B, Wu J, Palmer J, & Keenan D (2019). Individual based modeling of fish

migration in a 2-D river system: Model description and case study. Landscape Ecology, 34(4), 737–754. 10.1007/s10980-019-00804-z [PubMed: 33424124]

- Spanjer AR, Gendaszek AS, Wulfkuhle EJ, Black RW, & Jaeger KL (2022). Assessing climate change impacts on Pacific salmon and trout using bioenergetics and spatiotemporal explicit river temperature predictions under varying riparian conditions. PLoS ONE, 17(5), e0266871. 10.1371/journal.pone.0266871 [PubMed: 35594277]
- Steel EA, Beechie TJ, Torgersen CE, & Fullerton AH (2017). Envisioning, quantifying, and managing thermal regimes on river networks. BioScience, 67(6), 506–522. 10.1093/biosci/bix047
- Sullivan CJ, Vokoun JC, Helton AM, Briggs MA, & Kurylyk BL (2021). An ecohydrological typology for thermal refuges in streams and rivers. Ecohydrology, 14(5). 10.1002/eco.2295
- Sun F, Mu Y, Leung KMY, Su H, Wu F, & Chang H (2021). China is establishing its water quality standards for enhancing protection of aquatic life in freshwater ecosystems. Environmental Science & Policy, 124, 413–422. 10.1016/j.envsci.2021.07.008
- Svanberg I, & Locker A (2020). Ethnoichthyology of freshwater fish in Europe: A review of vanishing traditional fisheries and their cultural significance in changing landscapes from the later medieval period with a focus on northern Europe. Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine, 16(1), 68. 10.1186/s13002-020-00410-3 [PubMed: 33121508]
- Tonolla D, Acuña V, Uehlinger U, Frank T, & Tockner K (2010). Thermal heterogeneity in river floodplains. Ecosystems, 13(5), 727–740. 10.1007/s10021-010-9350-5
- Torgersen CE, Ebersole JL, & Keenan DM (2012). Primer for identifying cold-water refuges to protect and restore thermal diversity in riverine landscapes. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. EPA 910-C-12–001.
- Torgersen CE, Faux RN, McIntosh BA, Poage NJ, & Norton DJ (2001). Airborne thermal remote sensing for water temperature assessment in rivers and streams. Remote Sensing of Environment, 76(3), 386–398. 10.1016/s0034-4257(01)00186-9.
- Torgersen CE, le Pichon C, Fullerton AH, Dugdale SJ, Duda JJ, Giovannini F, Tales É, Belliard J, Branco P, Bergeron NE, Roy ML, Tonolla D, Lamouroux N, Capra H, & Baxter CV (2022). Riverscape approaches in practice: Perspectives and applications. Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, 97(2), 481–504. 10.1111/brv.12810 [PubMed: 34758515]
- Torgersen CE, Price D, Li H, & McIntosh B (1999). Multiscale thermal refugia and stream habitat associations of Chinook salmon in northeastern Oregon. Ecological Applications, 9(1), 301–319. 10.1890/1051-0761(1999)009[0301:Mtrash]2.0.Co;2
- United Nations Environment. (2017). A framework for freshwater ecosystem management. [https://www.unepdhi.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2020/09/](https://www.unepdhi.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2020/09/Framework_Freshwater_Ecosystem_Mgt_vol4.pdf) [Framework_Freshwater_Ecosystem_Mgt_vol4.pdf](https://www.unepdhi.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2020/09/Framework_Freshwater_Ecosystem_Mgt_vol4.pdf)
- United Nations Environment Programme. (2014). Review of existing water quality guidelines for freshwater ecosystems and application of water quality guidelines on basin level to protect ecosystems: Technical background document for theme 1: "Water Quality and Ecosystem Health".<https://wedocs.unep.org/20.500.11822/9952>
- United Nations Environment Programme. (2018). GEMStat database of the Global Environment Monitoring System for Freshwater (GEMS/Water) Programme. International Centre for Water Resources and Global Change.
- Vaccaro JJ, & Maloy KJ (2006). A thermal profile method to identify potential ground-water discharge areas and preferred salmonid habitats for long river reaches. U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2006–5136.
- van Rees CB, Hand BK, Carter SC, Bargeron C, Cline TJ, Daniel W, Ferrante JA, Gaddis K, Hunter ME, Jarnevich CS, McGeoch M, Morisette JT, Neilson ME, Roy HE, Rozance MA, Sepulveda A, Wallace RD, Whited D, Wilcox T, … Luikart G (2022). A framework to integrate innovations in invasion science for proactive management. Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, 97(4), 1712–1735. 10.1111/brv.12859 [PubMed: 35451197]
- van Vliet MTH, Franssen WHP, Yearsley JR, Ludwig F, Haddeland I, Lettenmaier DP, & Kabat P (2013). Global river discharge and water temperature under climate change. Global Environmental Change, 23(2), 450–464. 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2012.11.002

- Varadharajan C, Hendrix VC, Christianson DS, Burrus M, Wong C, Hubbard SS, & Agarwal DA (2022). BASIN-3D: A brokering framework to integrate diverse environmental data. Computers & Geosciences, 159, 105024. 10.1016/j.cageo.2021.105024
- Verhoeven JTA, Soons MB, Janssen R, & Omtzigt N (2008). An operational landscape unit approach for identifying key landscape connections in wetland restoration. Journal of Applied Ecology, 45(5), 1496–1503. 10.1111/j.1365-2664.2008.01534.x
- Walton I (1653). The compleat angler. Clarendon Press.
- Wang T, Kelson SJ, Greer G, Thompson SE, & Carlson SM (2020). Tributary confluences are dynamic thermal refuges for a juvenile salmonid in a warming river network. River Research and Applications, 36(7), 1076–1086. 10.1002/rra.3634
- Wawrzyniak V, Piégay H, Allemand P, Vaudor L, Goma R, & Grandjean P (2016). Effects of geomorphology and groundwater level on the spatio-temporal variability of riverine cold water patches assessed using thermal infrared (TIR) remote sensing. Remote Sensing of Environment, 175, 337–348. 10.1016/j.rse.2015.12.050
- Wawrzyniak V, Piégay H, Allemand P, Vaudor L, & Grandjean P (2013). Prediction of water temperature heterogeneity of braided rivers using very high resolution thermal infrared (TIR) images. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 34(13), 4812–4831. 10.1080/01431161.2013.782113
- Wawrzyniak V, Piégay H, & Poirel A (2011). Longitudinal and temporal thermal patterns of the French Rhône River using Landsat ETM+ thermal infrared images. Aquatic Sciences, 74(3), 405–414. 10.1007/s00027-011-0235-2
- Westhoff JT, Paukert C, Ettinger-Dietzel S, Dodd H, & Siepker M (2014). Behavioural thermoregulation and bioenergetics of riverine smallmouth bass associated with ambient coldperiod thermal refuge. Ecology of Freshwater Fish, 25(1), 72–85. 10.1111/eff.12192
- White SL, Kline BC, Hitt NP, & Wagner T (2019). Individual behaviour and resource use of thermally stressed brook trout Salvelinus fontinalis portend the conservation potential of thermal refugia. Journal of Fish Biology, 95(4), 1061–1071. 10.1111/jfb.14099 [PubMed: 31309548]
- Whitney JE, Al-Chokhachy RK, Bunnell DB, Caldwell CA, Cooke SJ, Eliason EJ, Rogers MW, Lynch AJ, & Paukert CP (2016). Physiological basis of climate change impacts on North American inland fishes. Fisheries, 41(7), 332–345. 10.1080/03632415.2016.1186656
- Whittier JB, Westhoff JT, Paukert CP, & Rotman RM (2020). Use of multiple temperature logger models can alter conclusions. Water, 12(3). 10.3390/w12030668
- Wilbur NM, O'Sullivan AM, MacQuarrie KTB, Linnansaari T, & Curry RA (2020). Characterizing physical habitat preferences and thermal refuge occupancy of brook trout (Salvelinus fontinalis) and Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) at high river temperatures. River Research and Applications, 36(5), 769–783. 10.1002/rra.3570
- Wöelfle-Hazard C (2022). Underflows: Queer trans ecologies and river justice. University of Washington Press.
- Woodward G, Perkins DM, & Brown LE (2010). Climate change and freshwater ecosystems: Impacts across multiple levels of organization. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 365(1549), 2093–2106. 10.1098/rstb.2010.0055 [PubMed: 20513717]
- Woolnough DA, Downing JA, & Newton TJ (2009). Fish movement and habitat use depends on water body size and shape. Ecology of Freshwater Fish, 18(1), 83–91. 10.1111/ j.1600-0633.2008.00326.x
- Yu P-L (2015). Rivers, fish, and the people: Tradition, science, and historical ecology of fisheries in the American West. University of Utah Press.
- Zolezzi G, Siviglia A, Toffolon M, & Maiolini B (2011). Thermopeaking in Alpine streams: Event characterization and time scales. Ecohydrology, 4(4), 564–576. 10.1002/eco.132

FIGURE 1.

Brown trout (Salmo trutta) observed behaviorally thermoregulating in a cold-water refuge (CWR) to avoid high water temperatures in the Upper Housatonic River in Connecticut, USA (a). Designated areas are closed to anglers and delineated by signs posted (b). Thermal infrared (TIR) image of the surface water temperature of the cold-water plume entering the river (c). Images courtesy of Christopher Sullivan and Nancy Marek, University of Connecticut, USA.

FIGURE 2.

Linking science and policies to protect, enhance, and restore cold-water refuges and thermal heterogeneity: (a) identification of cold-water refuges through monitoring and modeling to map and prioritize areas of interest, (b) assessment of potential conflicts among interested groups to align policies and management, and (c) assessment of legal and institutional constraints by identifying direct and indirect management approaches and policies.

FIGURE 3.

Overarching research gap themes, outstanding general questions, and proposed solutions to improve resilience of cold-water patches (CWPs) and cold-water refuges (CWRs).

FIGURE 4.

Sequential approach to implement and evaluate science-based management and policies related to cold-water refuges and thermal heterogeneity.

FIGURE 5.

Spatial alignment of policies and management strategies of cold-water refuges (CWRs). Example shows: (a) watershed with multiple jurisdictions and interested groups (as represented by different colors and boxes) with potentially conflicting values and objectives that lead to unstructured and inconsistent management and policies; (b) watershed as the planning unit, where strategies align to create a consistent management plan guided by common socioeconomic values and converging objectives of all interested groups (multiple jurisdictions and interested groups are still in place); and (c) scales of organization with corresponding management prioritization.

FIGURE 6.

Triple-loop learning adaptive management approach showing the different pathways and outcomes of cold-water refuge (CWR) policies. Policy provides a baseline to support management, while implementing an adaptive management approach to account for the spatial and temporal variability of CWRs. Each loop involves reevaluating assumptions to decide whether to alter the decision-making framework. Figure adapted from Kittinger et al. (2011) and Morelli et al. (2016).

EPA Author Manuscript

TABLE 1

Technologies available to monitor water temperature and organisms in cold-water patches (CWPs) and cold-water refuges (CWRs). Technologies available to monitor water temperature and organisms in cold-water patches (CWPs) and cold-water refuges (CWRs).

EPA Author Manuscript

EPA Author Manuscript

EPA Author Manuscript

TABLE 2

EPA Author Manuscript

EPA Author Manuscript

EPA Author Manuscript

TABLE 3

Upper Housatonic River in Connecticut, USA (Housatonic), Restigouche River in Quebec and New Brunswick, Canada (Restigouche), streams within the Upper Housatonic River in Connecticut, USA (Housatonic), Restigouche River in Quebec and New Brunswick, Canada (Restigouche), streams within the Key attributes of the five case studies and connection to the proposed cold-water refuge framework: Lower Columbia River in Oregon, USA (Columbia), Key attributes of the five case studies and connection to the proposed cold-water refuge framework: Lower Columbia River in Oregon, USA (Columbia), Rhône basin in the Southeast of France (Rhône), and Ovens River in the Murray Darling basin, Australia (Ovens). Rhône basin in the Southeast of France (Rhône), and Ovens River in the Murray Darling basin, Australia (Ovens).

EPA Author Manuscript

Abbreviations: CWP, cold-water patch; CWR, cold-water refuge. Abbreviations: CWP, cold-water patch; CWR, cold-water refuge.

 $\overline{}$

Glob Chang Biol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2024 October 01.

EPA Author Manuscript

TABLE 4

Text mining analysis workflow and main results. Analysis was conducted using R (R Core Team, 2020), RStudio (RStudio Team, 2020), and using the R Text mining analysis workflow and main results. Analysis was conducted using R (R Core Team, 2020), RStudio (RStudio Team, 2020), and using the R packages Outguted and tra (Benoit et al. 2018: Feinerer & Hornik (2020) packages Quanteda and tm (Benoit et al., 2018; Feinerer & Hornik, (2020).

TABLE 5

Components for linking science, policy and management in the cold-water refuge (CWR) framework. Components for linking science, policy and management in the cold-water refuge (CWR) framework.

