

# New observations on the rarely reported tropical dinoflagellates Tripos lanceolatus and T. schroeteri from the Colombian Caribbean, South Pacific and Indian Oceans

Luis Castro-Cera, Darío Vega-Díaz, Ruth Eriksen, Karine Leblanc, Gustaaf Hallegraeff

### ▶ To cite this version:

Luis Castro-Cera, Darío Vega-Díaz, Ruth Eriksen, Karine Leblanc, Gustaaf Hallegraeff. New observations on the rarely reported tropical dinoflagellates Tripos lanceolatus and T. schroeteri from the Colombian Caribbean, South Pacific and Indian Oceans. Botanica Marina, 2023, 66 (1), pp.67-72. 10.1515/bot-2022-0050. hal-04292690

## HAL Id: hal-04292690 https://hal.science/hal-04292690

Submitted on 4 Apr 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

### **Short Communication**

Luis Castro-Cera, Darío Vega-Díaz, Ruth S. Eriksen, Karine Leblanc and Gustaaf M. Hallegraeff\*

# New observations on the rarely reported tropical dinoflagellates *Tripos lanceolatus* and *T. schroeteri* from the Colombian Caribbean, South Pacific and Indian Oceans

https://doi.org/10.1515/bot-2022-0050 Received August 11, 2022; accepted December 6, 2022; published online

December 22, 2022

**Abstract:** We report the circumtropical dinoflagellate *Tripos lanceolatus* (Kofoid) F. Gómez from the Colombian Caribbean, provide a new record from the tropical western Pacific off Tonga, and the first record from the Australian sector of the Indian Ocean. We present the first scanning electron micrographs of thecal ornamentation and apical pore structure which show its morphological similarities to *T. furca* (Ehrenberg) F. Gómez within the subgenus *Biceratium*. We also report the more widely distributed tropical species *T. schroeteri* (B. Schröder) F. Gómez from the Colombian Caribbean, and compare it to the first scanning electron micrographs of the morphologically similar *T. digitatus* (F. Schütt) F. Gómez within the subgenus *Archaeceratium*.

**Keywords:** biodiversity; phytoplankton; taxonomy; *Tripos digitatus*; *Tripos lanceolatus*; *Tripos schroeteri*.

The distinctive dinoflagellate genus Tripos Bory occurs widespread in marine waters. Members were previously included in Ceratium Schrank, a genus name now restricted to freshwater (Gómez et al. 2010), while all marine species have been transferred to the genus Tripos (Gómez 2013). Various studies on the distribution of Tripos have demonstrated their use for biogeography, as ecological indicators of the dynamics of ocean currents, and monitors of global climate change (Dodge and Marshall 1995; Hallegraeff et al. 2020; Tunin-Ley et al. 2007). While some Tripos species exhibit cosmopolitan distributions such as T. fusus (Ehrenberg) F. Gómez, T. furca (Ehrenberg) F. Gómez and T. muelleri Bory, with 123,000, 90,000 and 81,000 records, respectively, listed in OBIS (Ocean Biodiversity Information System 2022), a number of tropical species are rarely reported. One such taxon is the lanceolate-shaped T. lanceolatus (Kofoid) F. Gómez, with only 2 OBIS records listed. This species was first described by Kofoid (1907) as Ceratium lanceolatum from two stations in the eastern tropical Pacific off Peru. A second more widely distributed tropical species is T. schroeteri (B.Schröder) F. Gómez, first described from the Arabian Sea by Schröder (1906), currently with 17 OBIS records listed. We here confirm these two tropical dinoflagellates from the Colombian Caribbean Sea, provide new records from the tropical western Pacific off Tonga, as well as presenting the first scanning electron micrographs of T. lanceolatus from the Australian sector of the Indian Ocean and of a close morphological relative of T. schroeteri, T. digitatus (F. Schütt) F. Gómez from the tropical Pacific.

*Tripos lanceolatus* from Colombian waters had a flat lancet-shaped epitheca with parallel sides tapering gently to form a pointed, slightly oblique apical horn (Figure 1A–C). The short hypotheca was approximately the same width as it was long and projecting from it were two pointed antapical horns with the left one thicker and about twice as long as the right one. Cell dimensions were 130–141 µm long and

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Gustaaf M. Hallegraeff, Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Private Bag 129, 7001, TAS, Australia, E-mail: hallegraeff@utas.edu.au. https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8464-7343

Luis Castro-Cera, Grupo de Investigación en Biodiversidad y Ecología Aplicada, Universidad del Magdalena, Santa Marta, 470004, Colombia, E-mail: lcastroc@unimagdalena.edu.co. https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2564-0296

Darío Vega-Díaz, Lifeproof AP: Carrera 10 # 5-90 Corregimiento La Playa, Barranquilla, Colombia, E-mail: dariovegad@yahoo.com. https://orcid.org/ 0000-0003-1832-0160

Ruth S. Eriksen, CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Castray Esplanade, 7000, Hobart, TAS, Australia; and Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Private Bag 129, 7001, Hobart TAS, Australia, E-mail: Ruth.Eriksen@csiro.au

Karine Leblanc, Aix Marseille University, Université de Toulon, CNRS, IRD, MIO UM 110,13288 Marseille, France, E-mail: karine.leblanc@osupytheas.fr

25–27  $\mu$ m wide, with the left antapical horn 38  $\mu$ m long and 10  $\mu$ m wide, while the right antapical horn was 15  $\mu$ m long by 5  $\mu$ m wide. The two cells from Tonga exhibited a more distinct strictly terminal apical horn (Figure 1D, E). The line drawing of the type specimen by Kofoid (1907) from off the Galapagos Islands is reproduced in Figure 1F for comparison. Supplementary Supplementary Table S1 summarizes published records for this species.

We hereby present as far as we know the first scanning electron micrographs of *T. lanceolatus* and also the first report from the Australian sector of the Indian Ocean (Figure 2A–D). This single individual was 139  $\mu$ m long and

26  $\mu$ m wide. Unfortunately, we were unable the resolve the ventral view of this cell. The lanceolate epitheca was bent dorsally and dorso-ventrally compressed approximately 26  $\mu$ m deep (Figure 2A). The cellulose wall was finely poroid, with the poroids occasionally arranged in linear rows notably towards the apical tip (Figure 2B). The epitheca was enforced by lateral longitudinal ridges and constricted towards the tip. The hypotheca was low, with short stout antapical horns, of which the right horn was 60% the length of the left horn (Figure 2C). The horns carried a very fine serrated, teeth-like ornamentation, most prominently on the left antapical horn but barely visible on the right



**Figure 1:** Light micrographs and line drawings of *Tripos lanceolatus* and *T. schroeteri*. (A–F) *Tripos lanceolatus*: (A–C) cells from the Colombian Caribbean; (D, E) cells from Tonga; (F) Kofoid (1907) type illustration from eastern Pacific. (G–J) *Tripos schroeteri*: (G–I) cells from the Colombian Caribbean in dorsal view; (J) type illustration by Schröder (1906) from the Arabian Sea (dorsal view). The Caribbean samples were part of an environmental impact study between July and August 2014 for exploratory drilling in front of the coast of Colombia at water depths of 200–3000 m. A conical plankton net of 20-µm mesh, 48-cm mouth diameter and 2.5-m length was used from surface to 50 m, samples preserved in 2% formalin and examined with an Olympus CH and Nikon Eclipse E2000 microscope. The Tonga samples were collected from 31 October to 6 December 2010 in the western Tropical Pacific on board *RV L'Atalante*. Samples were collected with a phytoplankton net (35-µm mesh) from 0 to 200 m depth, fixed with 0.3% Lugol's iodine solution using a Nikon TE-200 inverted light microscope. Scale bars: (A–C) = 20 µm; (G–I) = 50 µm.



**Figure 2:** Light and scanning micrographs of *Tripos lanceolatus* and *T. digitatus*. (A–E) Single individual of *T. lanceolatus* from the Australian sector of the Indian Ocean: (A) left lateral view showing dorso-ventrally compressed epitheca curved dorsally; (B) dorsal view; (C) hypothecal view with left apical horn 60% longer than right apical horn; (D) detail of serrated, teeth-like ornamentation of right apical horn; (E) detail of triangular apical pore (arrow). (F–H) *Tripos digitatus* from Tonga waters: (F) light micrograph of cell showing open apical horn (arrow); (G) cell with swollen, dorsally curved epitheca with flattened ventral surface, and rows of spines on strongly dorsally curved left antapical horn and (H) finely poroid thecal ornamentation; minute spines are also visible on the nearly straight right antapical horn. The Indian Ocean sample (IIOE-2) was collected on *RV Investigator* between 15 May and 10 June 2019, along a 110°E latitudinal transect off Western Australia. Net samples (20-µm mesh) from surface to 20 m depth were preserved in 2% formalin, filtered gently onto Nuclepore filters, rinsed with 10 ml deionised water to remove salt crystals and gently air-dried. Filters were mounted on aluminium stubs, coated with platinum and examined with a Hitachi SU70 scanning microscope. The Tonga sample was filtered onto polycarbonate filters for SEM, rinsed with milliQ water, dried at room temperature and mounted on SEM stubs for imaging on a Phenom-Pro benchtop scanning electron microscope. Scale bars: (A–B) = 50 µm; (C) = 20 µm; (E) = 2 µm; (F, G) = 30 µm.

antapical horn (Figure 2D). The cingulum was shallow (Figure 2C) but fully developed. The apical horn terminated in an easily overlooked minute (2 µm diameter) triangular apical pore (Figure 2E). The apical pore closing plate was missing, almost certainly lost during preparation.

Our Colombian Caribbean, Tongan and Indian Ocean cells of *T. lanceolatus* agree with the type description by Kofoid (1907) from the Eastern Pacific (Figure 1F), except for subtle differences in size. The cell illustrated as *C. lanceolatum* by Gaarder (1954) (with ventral pore), as pointed out previously by Gómez (2021), almost certainly represents a mistaken identification of *T. praelongus* (Lemmermann) F. Gómez. Gómez (2021) discussed morphological similarities between *T. lanceolatus* and *T. praelongus*, but the latter has a distinct ventral ring pore on the epitheca which has never been observed in *T. lanceolatus*. Gómez (2021) provisionally created its own section *Lanceolata* to accommodate *T. lanceolatus*, because it did not fit in either the section *Poroceratium* (to which *T. praelongus* and *T. gravidus* (Gourret) F. Gómez belong) nor the section *Digitata*. We agree with Kofoid's (1907) suggestion that *T. lanceolatus* is morphologically similar to *T. furca* (Ehrenb.) F. Gómez in the subgenus *Biceratium*. This is also reflected in the feature of serrated, teeth-like thecal ornamentation of the antapical horns (Figure 2D).

Tripos schroeteri can be recognised in lateral view because its ventral profile shows a sigmoid appearance. We observed three cells from Colombian waters (Figure 1G–I). The Colombian cells were  $340-344 \mu m$  long and  $40-41 \mu m$ wide. The left antapical horn was  $104 \mu m$  long and  $22 \mu m$ wide at its base and the right antapical horn  $57 \mu m$  long and  $12 \mu m$  wide. The right antapical horn was more or less straight in dorsal view, while the left antapical horn was almost twice as wide and long as the right horn, and was strongly curved dorsally. Both antapical horns were pointed, but the right one was smooth while the left horn was stronger, more robust and carried a series of spinules on its inner side (just visible in Figure 1G, H). The hypothecae of the three Colombian cells of *T. schroeteri* were almost twice as wide as they were long. The illustration of the type specimen by Schröder (1906) from the Arabian Sea is reproduced in Figure 1J. Supplementary Table S2 summarizes published records for this species.

Unfortunately, we have not succeeded so far to produce a scanning electron micrograph for *T. schroeteri*. Instead we present the first known scanning micrographs of a close morphological relative of *T. schroeteri*, the subtropicaltropical dinoflagellate *T. digitatus* (F.Schütt) F. Gómez from Tonga waters (Figure 2F–H). This distinctive species has an apical pore arising from a short projection at the apex (Figure 2F, arrow), its epitheca is swollen, and dorsally curved with a flattened ventral surface, and the strongly dorsally curved left antapical horn bears rows of strong spines. Minute spines are also visible on the nearly straight right antapical horn (Figure 2G) and the cell has a finely poroid thecal ornamentation (Figure 2H).

Our Colombian and Tongan cells of *T. schroeteri* agree with the type description by Schröder (1906), except for

differences in size. This species sometimes can be confused with *T. incisus* (G. Karsten) F. Gómez which however has parallel antiapical horns. Schröder (1906) suggested that *T. schroeteri* occupied a position between *T. furca* and *T. digitatus*. *Tripos schroeteri*, in its feature of the left antapical horn being strongly curved towards the dorsal side with a strongly thickened wall and ornamented with small spinules, is morphologically closest to *T. digitatus*, as argued also by Kofoid (1907) and Taylor (1976). Gómez (2021) classified *T. schroeteri* in the subgenus *Archaeceratium*, section Digitata, with which we concur.

A compilation of a total of 16 validated records of the rare dinoflagellate *T. lanceolatus* demonstrates a stenothermal circumtropical distribution in the Pacific, Caribbean and Indian Oceans (Figure 3A). Where surface seawater temperature records are available, they are all in the range 25-30 °C. By comparison, *T. schroeteri*, which is more widely reported, has a more widespread warm-water distribution including the Mediterranean (Figure 3B; Supplementary Table S1). Tropical species at the upper range of seawater temperature limits deserve careful attention in monitoring for climate change impacts.



Figure 3: Summary of confirmed distribution records of Tripos lanceolatus and T. schroeteri, based on the present study as well as literature. (A) Tripos lanceolatus: <sup>1, 2, 3</sup>present work; <sup>4</sup>type description by Kofoid (1907); <sup>5</sup>Silva (1956); <sup>6</sup>Wood (1968); <sup>7</sup>Borja (2002); <sup>8</sup>Okolodkov and Gárate-Lizárraga (2006); <sup>9</sup>Gárate-Lizárraga (2008); <sup>10</sup>Gomez et al. (2008); <sup>11</sup>Steidinger et al. (2009); <sup>12</sup>Nguyen-Ngoc et al. (2012). (B) *Tripos schroeteri*: <sup>1</sup>present work; <sup>2</sup>type description by Schröder (1906); <sup>3</sup>Kofoid (1907); <sup>4</sup>Wood (1963); <sup>5</sup>Wood (1968); <sup>6</sup>Subrahmanyan (1968); <sup>7</sup>Steidinger & Williams (1970); <sup>8</sup>Léger (1972); <sup>9</sup>Taylor (1976); <sup>10</sup>Okolodkov and Gárate-Lizárraga (2006); <sup>11</sup>Koffi et al. (2014); <sup>12</sup>Omura et al. (2012); <sup>13</sup>Nguyen-Ngoc et al. (2012). Isotherms courtesy Ocean Data view (World Ocean Atlas 2018, Annual surface temperatures, all available years 1955-2018).

### (A) Tripos lanceolatus

Acknowledgments: We thank Dr. F. Gómez for valuable improvements and the late Prof. L. A. Vidal for bibliography and the legacy that he leaves in each one of us. S. Bonnet and C. Guieu provided opportunity of sample collection on R/V L'Atalante funded by Agence Nationale de la Recherche, LEFE-CYBER program, A-Midex foundation, and Institut de Recherche pour le Développement. Prof. M. Doblin and Ms C. Davies shared samples from Investigator voyage in the Indian Ocean and Drs K. Goemann and S. Feig from Central Science Laboratory of University of Tasmania provided assistance with electron microscopy.

Author contributions: All the authors have accepted responsibility for the entire content of this submitted manuscript and approved submission.

Research funding: Anadarko Colombia Company Sucursal and Aquabiosfera S.A.S. financed collection and analysis of Colombian samples. Collection of Tonga samples was funded by Agence Nationale de la Recherche, LEFE-CYBER program, A-Midex foundation, and Institut de Recherche pour le Développement. Collection of Indian Ocean samples was funded by the Integrated Marine Observing System (IMOS), Australia.

Conflict of interest statement: The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding this article.

### References

- Borja, M.V. (2002). Classification of marine dinoflagellates in the Philippines. In: Gonzales, C., Sakamoto, S., Furio, E., Ogata, T., Kodama, K., and Fukuyo, Y. (Eds.), *Practical guide on paralytic* shellfish poisoning monitoring in the Philippines. BFAR, Manila, pp. 131-167.
- Dodge, J.D. and Marshall, H.G. (1995). Biogeographic analysis of the armored planktonic dinoflagellate Ceratium in the North Atlantic and adjacent seas. J. Phycol. 30: 905-922.
- Gaarder, K.R. (1954). Dinoflagellatae from the "Michael Sars" North Atlantic Deep-Sea Expedition 1910. Reports on the scientific results of the "Michael Sars" North Atlantic Deep-Sea Expedition, Vol. 2, pp. 1-62.
- Gárate-Lizárraga, I. (2008). Nuevos registros de dinoflagelados planctónicos para el Golfo de Tehuantepec, México. CICIMAR Oceánides 23: 55-65.
- Gómez, F. (2013). Reinstatement of the dinoflagellate genus Tripos to replace Neoceratium, marine species of Ceratium (Dinophyceae, Alveolata). CICIMAR Oceánides 28: 1-22.
- Gómez, F. (2021). Speciation and infrageneric classification in the planktonic dinoflagellate Tripos (Gonyaulacales, Dinophyceae). Curr. Chinese Sci. 1: 346-372.
- Gómez, F., Claustre, H., and Souissi, S. (2008). Rarely reported dinoflagellates of the genera Ceratium, Gloeodinium, Histioneis, Oxytoxum and Prorocentrum (Dinophyceae) from the open southeast Pacific Ocean. Rev. Biol. Mar. Oceanogr. 43: 25-40.

- Gómez, F., Moreira, D., and López-García, P. (2010). Neoceratium gen. nov, a new genus for all marine species currently assigned to Ceratium (Dinophyceae). Protist 161: 35-54.
- Hallegraeff, G., Eriksen, R., Davies, C., Slotwinski, A., McEnnulty, F., Coman, F., Uribe-Palomino, J., and Richardson, A. (2020). The marine planktonic dinoflagellate Tripos: 60 years of species-level distributions in Australian waters. Aust. Syst. Bot. 33: 392-411
- Koffi, K., Justin, K.N., and Dodiomon, S. (2014). The dinoflagellate of the genus Ceratium Schrank collected in the Grand-Lahou Lagoon (Côte D'Ivoire). Pakistan J. Bot. 46: 389-398.
- Kofoid, C.A. (1907). New species of dinoflagellates. Bull. Museum Compar. Zool. Harvard 50: 163-209.
- Léger, G. (1972). Les populations phytoplanctoniques au point 42 ° 47' N, 7 ° 29' E Greenwich (Bouée labora-toire du COMEXO/CNEXO). Troisième séjour. Bull. Institut Océanographique Monaco 70: 1-42.
- Nguyen-Ngoc, L., Ho-Van, T., and Larsen, J. (2012). A taxonomic account of Ceratium (Dinoflagellates) in Vietnamese waters. Thailand Nat. Hist. Museum J. 6: 25-59.
- Ocean Biodiversity Information System (2022). OBIS, https://www.obis.org (Aeccessd 1 October 2022).
- Okolodkov, Y.B. and Gárate-Lizárraga, I. (2006). An annotated checklist of dinoflagellates (Dinophyceae) from the Mexican Pacific. Acta Bot. Mex. 74: 1-154.
- Omura, T., Iwataki, M., Borja, V.M., Takayama, H., and Fukuyo, Y. (2012). Marine phytoplankton of the Western Pacific. Kouseisha Kouseikaku, Tokyo, Japan.
- Schröder, B. (1906). Beiträge zur Kenntnis des Phytoplanktons warmer Meere. Naturforschenden Gesellschaft 51: 319–377.
- Silva, E.de S. (1956). Contribução para o estudo do microplâncton marinho de Mocambique. Estudos Documento Ministeria Ultramar Junta Investigacion Ultramar Lisboa 28: 1-97.
- Steidinger, K.A. and Williams, J. (1970). Dinoflagellates. Memoirs of the Hourglass Cruises. Fla. Dep. Nat. Resour. Mar. Res. Lab. Tech. Ser. 2: 1-251.
- Steidinger, K.A., Faust, M.A., and Hernández-Becerril, D.A. (2009). Dinoflagellates (Dinoflagellata) of the Gulf of Mexico. In: Felder, D.L. and Camp, D.K. (Eds.), Gulf of Mexico - origins, waters, and biota. Biodiversity. Texas A&M Press, College Station, Texas, USA, pp. 131–154.
- Subrahmanyan, R. (1968). The Dinophyceae of the Indian seas. Part I. Genus Ceratium Schrank. Mem. Mar. Biol. Assoc. India 2: 1-129.
- Taylor, F.J.R. (1976). Dinoflagellates from the international Indian Ocean expedition. A report on material collected by the R.V. Anton Bruun 1963-1964. Bibl. Bot. 132: 1-234.
- Tunin-Ley, A., Labat, J.P., Gasparini, S., Mousseau, L., and Lemeé, R. (2007). Annual cycle and diversity of species and infraspecific taxa of Ceratium (Dinophycea) in the Ligurian Sea, Northwest Mediterranean. J. Phycol. 43: 1149-1163.
- Wood, E.J.F. (1963). Dinoflagellates in the Australian region II. Recent collections. Oceanography technical paper 14, 55 pp. CSIRO Division Fisheries, Sydney, NSW, Australia.
- Wood, E.J.F. (1968). Dinoflagellates of the Caribbean Sea and adjacent areas. University of Miami Press, Coral Gables, Florida, pp. 143.

Supplementary Material: This article contains supplementary material (https://doi.org/10.1515/bot-2022-0050).

### **Bionotes**



#### Luis Castro-Cera

Grupo de Investigación en Biodiversidad y Ecología Aplicada, Universidad del Magdalena, Santa Marta, 470004, Colombia Icastroc@unimagdalena.edu.co https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2564-0296

Luis Castro-Cera is a research scientist at University of Magdalena in Colombia. His research interests are primarily in the systematics, taxonomy and ecology of marine and freshwater phytoplankton.



#### Darío Vega-Díaz

Lifeproof AP: Carrera 10 # 5-90 Corregimiento La Playa, Barranquilla, Colombia dariovegad@yahoo.com https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1832-0160

Darío Vega-Díaz is a biologist and researcher working for Lifeproof AP Consulting in Colombia. His research interests are primarily in the taxonomy, ecology and culture of marine and freshwater phytoplankton.



### Ruth S. Eriksen

CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Castray Esplanade, 7000, Hobart, TAS, Australia Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Private Bag 129, 7001, Hobart TAS, Australia **Ruth Eriksen@csiro.au** 

Dr. Ruth S. Eriksen is a research scientist with CSIRO Environment, based in Tasmania, Australia. She has a background in analytical chemistry and ecotoxicology and now combines these skills with species-level phytoplankton community composition analysis to examine anthropogenic impacts in environments ranging from Antarctica to the tropics. She uses electron microscopy extensively to document species diversity and recently edited a book Advances in phytoplankton methods: applications of emerging techniques.



### Karine Leblanc

Aix Marseille University, Université de Toulon, CNRS, IRD, MIO UM 110,13288 Marseille, France karine.leblanc@osupytheas.fr

Dr. Karine Leblanc is a research scientist at CNRS based in Marseille (France) and specialized in the marine Si biogeochemical cycle and its link with siliceous plankton community structure. She combines microscopy, fluorescent labelling of silicifying organisms, scanning electron microscopy, flow-through imaging and in situ biogeochemical measurements. She has a strong interest in the Southern Ocean ecosystems and how primary levels of plankton such as diatoms will respond to ongoing climate change.



#### Gustaaf M. Hallegraeff

Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Private Bag 129, 7001, Hobart TAS, Australia hallegraeff@utas.edu.au https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8464-7343

Gustaaf M. Hallegraeff is Professor Emiritus at the Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies of the University of Tasmania in Australia. His research focuses on harmful algal blooms impacting on human health, the fish farm and shellfish industries, their stimulation by coastal eutrophication, climate change and global spreading via ship's ballast water. He was awarded the University of Tasmania research medal, life-time achievement award by the International Society for the Study of Harmful Algae, and Eureka Prize for Environmental Research.