

## Amplified Fluorescence in Situ Hybridization by Small and Bright Dye-Loaded Polymeric Nanoparticles

Sylvie Egloff, Nina Melnychuk, Elisabete Cruz da Silva, Andreas Reisch,

Sophie Martin, Andrey S Klymchenko

### ▶ To cite this version:

Sylvie Egloff, Nina Melnychuk, Elisabete Cruz da Silva, Andreas Reisch, Sophie Martin, et al.. Amplified Fluorescence in Situ Hybridization by Small and Bright Dye-Loaded Polymeric Nanoparticles. ACS Nano, 2021, 16 (1), pp.1381 - 1394. 10.1021/acsnano.1c09409 . hal-04292567

## HAL Id: hal-04292567 https://hal.science/hal-04292567v1

Submitted on 17 Nov 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



www.acsnano.org

## Amplified Fluorescence *in Situ* Hybridization by Small and Bright Dye-Loaded Polymeric Nanoparticles

<sup>4</sup> Sylvie Egloff,<sup>§</sup> Nina Melnychuk,<sup>§</sup> Elisabete Cruz Da Silva, Andreas Reisch, Sophie Martin, <sup>5</sup> and Andrey S. Klymchenko\*



6 ABSTRACT: Detection and imaging of RNA at the single-cell level is of 7 utmost importance for fundamental research and clinical diagnostics. 8 Current techniques of RNA analysis, including fluorescence *in situ* 9 hybridization (FISH), are long, complex, and expensive. Here, we report 10 a methodology of amplified FISH (AmpliFISH) that enables simpler and 11 faster RNA imaging using small and ultrabright dye-loaded polymeric 12 nanoparticles (NPs) functionalized with DNA. We found that the small size 13 of NPs (below 20 nm) was essential for their access to the intracellular 14 mRNA targets in fixed permeabilized cells. Moreover, proper selection of



15 the polymer matrix of DNA-NPs minimized nonspecific intracellular interactions. Optimized DNA-NPs enabled sequence-16 specific imaging of different mRNA targets (survivin, actin, and polyA tails), using a simple 1 h staining protocol. 17 Encapsulation of cyanine and rhodamine dyes with bulky counterions yielded green-, red-, and far-red-emitting NPs that were 18 2–100-fold brighter than corresponding quantum dots. These NPs enabled multiplexed detection of three mRNA targets 19 simultaneously, showing distinctive mRNA expression profiles in three cancer cell lines. Image analysis confirmed the single-20 particle nature of the intracellular signal, suggesting single-molecule sensitivity of the method. AmpliFISH was found to be 21 semiquantitative, correlating with RT-qPCR. In comparison with the commercial locked nucleic acid (LNA)-based FISH 22 technique, AmpliFISH provides 8–200-fold stronger signal (dependent on the NP color) and requires only three steps  $vs \sim 20$ 23 steps together with a much shorter time. Thus, combination of bright fluorescent polymeric NPs with FISH yields a fast and 24 sensitive single-cell transcriptomic analysis method for RNA research and clinical diagnostics.

25 **KEYWORDS:** fluorescent nanoparticles, DNA-functionalized polymeric nanoparticles, fluorescence in situ hybridization, single-cell analysis, 26 RNA imaging, mRNA, fluorescence microscopy

ith the ever-growing role of RNA in understanding and controlling cellular processes,<sup>1,2</sup> detection and 28 imaging of intracellular RNA attract significant 29  $^{29}$  attention.<sup>3-5</sup> Current approaches include fluorescence *in situ* 31 hybridization (FISH),<sup>6</sup> single-cell RNA sequencing,<sup>7,8</sup> and <sup>32</sup> molecular biology techniques based on labeled RNA-binding <sup>33</sup> proteins<sup>4,9</sup> and light-up aptamers.<sup>10–14</sup> In situ hybridization, 34 introduced more than 50 years ago,<sup>15,16</sup> and its fluorescence 35 version FISH<sup>17,18</sup> are particularly suitable for imaging native 36 nucleic acids within cells and tissues, with applications ranging 37 from fundamental RNA research to clinical diagnostics.<sup>6,19</sup> In 38 particular, RNA-FISH, which allows spatial and temporal 39 monitoring of intracellular RNA, provides important insights 40 into mechanisms of transcription and translation<sup>20,21</sup> and serves 41 as a tool for cell-based diagnostics.<sup>22,23</sup> Advanced versions of 42 RNA-FISH, developed in the last decades, enable detection and 43 quantification of intracellular RNA with single-molecule 44 sensitivity.<sup>24-27</sup> Nevertheless, broad applications of RNA-

FISH in research and clinical diagnostics are still limited by a 45 number of challenges. In particular, low-abundant RNA are still 46 difficult to identify,<sup>28</sup> because of limited fluorescence signal 47 provided by single organic dye molecules. Therefore, many 48 approaches have been developed to amplify the fluorescence 49 signal, such as branched DNA amplification,<sup>29–31</sup> different 50 isothermal amplification strategies,<sup>32</sup> rolling circle amplification 51 (RPA),<sup>33</sup> hybridization chain reaction (HCR),<sup>34,35</sup> primer- 52 exchange reaction,<sup>36,37</sup> and click-amplifying FISH (Clamp- 53 FISH).<sup>38</sup> The signal amplification can be also achieved by using 54

Received: October 24, 2021 Accepted: December 14, 2021

A



Figure 1. Principle of AmpliFISH using DNA-functionalized dye-loaded polymeric NPs. (A) Design of FISH nanoprobes based on polymeric nanoparticles. (B) Workflow for detection of target mRNA in fixed and permeabilized cells.

55 multiple singly labeled oligonucleotides (generally 30–48) that 56 hybridize along the same target RNA transcript,<sup>27</sup> known as the 57 Stellaris FISH method.<sup>39</sup> However, FISH techniques include 58 complicated time-consuming procedures with multiple steps 59 and require experienced staff, which make development of 60 validated protocols for clinics very expensive.<sup>40</sup> Moreover, FISH 61 protocols are probe- and sample-dependent and have to be 62 optimized for each set of conditions.<sup>41</sup>

Fluorescent probes based on nanoparticles (NPs) can 63 64 potentially overcome some of the limitations in the field of 65 nucleic acid detection.<sup>42</sup> In particular, high fluorescence 66 brightness of NPs may allow direct detection of the 67 biomolecular targets without the need of complex and timeconsuming amplification protocols.43-48 NPs can provide 68 69 fluorescence signal amplification for nucleic acid detection 70 using different mechanisms, including energy transfer from 71 semiconductor quantum dots<sup>49</sup> and polymeric NPs<sup>50-52</sup> as well 72 as plasmonics-based fluorescence enhancement, 53,54 hybrid-73 ization-triggered molecular assembly,<sup>55</sup> etc. Direct intracellular 74 detection of nucleic acids by NPs inside the cells is a highly 75 attractive approach,<sup>56</sup> and a few reported examples include gold 76 nanoparticles (nanoflares)<sup>57-59</sup> DNA-based nanostruc-77 tures, 60–63 semiconductor quantum dots, 64,65 upconversion 78 NPs,<sup>66</sup> carbon nanostructures,<sup>67,68</sup> as well as lipid NPs,<sup>69,70</sup> 79 polymeric NPs,<sup>71</sup> hybrid organic-inorganic NPs,<sup>72</sup> etc. How-80 ever, RNA detection in live cells remains complicated by 81 efficient endocytosis of nanomaterials with their potential <sup>82</sup> degradation by enzymes and generic problem of endosomal <sup>83</sup> escape of entrapped NPs.<sup>73-75</sup> In this respect, the combination 84 of the FISH technique in fixed and permeabilized cells with 85 luminescent NPs is of particular interest, because it can ensure 86 direct access of NPs to the target RNA and take advantage of 87 established FISH protocols in biological and clinical applica-88 tions.<sup>19</sup> However, this possibility was realized only recently using semiconductor quantum dots (QDs) in combination with the 89 90 Stellaris approach, where distinct mRNA transcripts have been 91 detected and quantified at the single-molecule level in individual 92 cells.<sup>65</sup> To achieve this, the authors specially designed compact 93 QDs, which could better access the whole cytosol and thus 94 hybridize with the intracellular mRNA.<sup>65</sup>

Dye-loaded polymeric nanoparticles, which have attracted 95 attention in recent years due to their high brightness and 96 modularity,<sup>45,76</sup> could be a promising platform for development 97 of a simple and rapid RNA-FISH probes. To address the 98 problem of aggregation-caused quenching of encapsulated dyes 99 in these NPs, we proposed a concept of ionic dye insulation by 100 bulky hydrophobic counterions, yielding NPs 6-100-fold 101 brighter than corresponding QDs.<sup>52,77,78</sup> The size of these 102 NPs can be tuned from 10 to 50 nm depending on the nature of 103 the polymer,<sup>79</sup> which is a critical point because NPs should be 104 able to reach the RNA target within the cytosol.<sup>65</sup> Indeed, 105 polymeric NPs with sizes of <23 nm are required to diffuse and 106 spread in the cytosol of living cells.<sup>80</sup> In addition to rhodamine 107 dye, cyanine dyes can also be encapsulated to prepare NPs of any 108 desired color, which was applied for multicolor barcoding of live 109 cells and for long-term tracking in vitro and in vivo.<sup>81</sup> Moreover, 110 the efficient energy transfer within donor dyes inside the NPs 111 can generate a giant light-harvesting antenna that amplifies the 112 fluorescence signal of an single acceptor >1000-fold.<sup>82</sup> Their 113 functionalization with oligonucleotides yielded nanoprobes for 114 amplified detection of DNA and RNA in solutions with a 115 picomolar limit of detection<sup>52</sup> and on surfaces with single- 116 molecule sensitivity<sup>51</sup> and compatibility with mobile phone 117 cameras.<sup>83</sup> These DNA-functionalized NPs have already been 118 validated for detection of microRNA in cell extracts,<sup>84</sup> but they 119 have not been explored to date for direct detection of RNA 120 inside the cells. 121

In the present work, we developed a methodology of amplified 122 FISH (AmpliFISH) based on ultrabright dye-loaded polymeric 123 NPs functionalized with DNA. In this approach, the hybrid- 124 ization AmpliFISH probe with the target mRNA inside the cells 125 results in a fluorescence signal equivalent to 80-300 126 encapsulated dyes per single sequence, which ensures strong 127 signal amplification. We show that the size of NPs < 16 nm was 128 essential to achieve effective penetration of fixed cells and 129 hybridization with the target. Owing to their high brightness, 130 these FISH nanoprobes can detect target mRNA in fixed cells 131 using a simple and rapid protocol (<3 h). Importantly, FISH 132 nanoprobes of three different colors could be used simulta- 133 neously to target different RNA sequences. The methodology 134



Figure 2. Testing DNA-functionalized NPs of two different sizes in fixed permeabilized HeLa cells. (A, C) NPs of two different average sizes of their core, ~40 nm (A) and ~20 nm (C), and their corresponding TEM images and size distribution histograms. (B, D) Fluorescence microscopy (TIRF) images of cells labeled for different times (5 min and 24 h) with DNA-NPs (T20-NPs) of two different sizes: ~40 nm (B) and ~20 nm (D). Scale bar is 10  $\mu$ m.

135 was validated on three cancer cell lines, and it allows
136 semiquantitative analysis of mRNA abundance. The developed
137 probes and the FISH methodology can greatly simplify FISH138 based imaging of RNA inside cells for both fundamental research
139 and clinical diagnostics.

#### 140 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

f1

Design and Optimization of FISH Nanoprobes. The 141 142 design of our FISH nanoprobes is based on dye-loaded 143 polymeric NPs functionalized with nucleic acids complementary to the mRNA target (Figure 1). Dye-loaded NPs are made of 144 145 poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) or poly(ethyl methacry-146 late) (PEMA) polymers bearing azide and a negatively charged 147 carboxylate group (Figure 1A). Nanoprecipitation of these 148 hydrophobic polymers with charged groups yields ultrasmall 149 NPs, where the core is formed by the hydrophobic domain of the 150 polymer, while the charged carboxylate exposes an azide group 151 at the NP surface to ensure high reactivity for the click 152 reaction.<sup>51,52,85</sup> For encapsulation, we used octadecyl rhodamine B R18 with its bulky hydrophobic counterion trakis-153 154 (pentafluorophenyl)borate (F5). The latter serves as an 155 insulator that prevents the dyes from aggregation-caused 156 quenching when loaded at high concentration and at the same 157 time ensures effective encapsulation without dye leakage.<sup>77,86</sup> 158 The dye-loaded NPs were obtained by nanoprecipitation of the polymer and the dye from acetonitrile into corresponding buffer. 159 160 Then, oligonucleotides were grafted to the NPs' surface by 161 reacting the exposed azide groups with DBCO groups of 162 oligonucleotides (Figure 1A). The sequence of grafted 163 oligonucleotides was a 20-22mer complementary to the target 164 mRNA of actin and survivin. The former is a common 165 housekeeping gene well expressed in many cell lines, while the 166 latter is a common marker of cancer cells.<sup>87</sup> In our AmpliFISH 167 technique, the NPs bearing capture sequences are expected to 168 hybridize with the mRNA target inside the cells. For this

purpose, the cells should be fixed and permeabilized (Figure 169 1B).

The key question here is the size of NPs required to penetrate 171 the cells, diffuse freely through the labyrinth of the intracellular 172 structures, and reach the target mRNA sequence.<sup>65</sup> Therefore, 173 we first formulated dye-loaded NPs with different sizes. The 174 larger particles (40 nm core size, Figure 2A) were based on a 175 f2 PMMA bearing 1.6% of charged groups (PMMA-AspN3-1.6%), 176 the same as reported previously by us.<sup>52</sup> To obtain smaller NPs, 177 we used PMMA with a larger number of charged groups (5%), 178 which was shown to favor a decrease in the particle size obtained 179 by nanoprecipitation.<sup>51,80</sup> The obtained NPs loaded with 30 wt 180 % of R18/F5 displayed a 22 nm core size according to 181 transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Figure 2C). 182

To verify whether the probes can enter fixed permeabilized 183 cells and hybridize with mRNA, we first functionalized NPs with 184 T20 DNA. They are expected to hybridize with all mRNA, 185 because each mRNA bears a poly(A) tail at the 3' end. After 186 fixation and permeabilization, the cells were incubated with NPs 187 (30 min), then washed and imaged using fluorescence 188 microscopy. We found that larger NPs were unable to enter 189 the fixed cells, as they all remained at the cell surface even after 190 24 h of incubation with NPs (Figure 2B). In sharp contrast, 191 smaller NPs showed intracellular fluorescence already after 5 192 min of incubation and then a strong intracellular signal after 24 h 193 (Figure 2D). Thus, we could conclude that NPs of small size are 194 required for the design of the FISH probe, which is in line with 195 the earlier report based on QDs.<sup>65</sup> Our earlier works on live cells 196 also showed that small size (<23 nm) was essential for free 197 diffusion of NPs inside the cytosol.<sup>80</sup> 198

Then, we tested whether the hybridization with the  $poly(A)_{199}$  tails is specific. We replaced T20 DNA with A20 DNA, which is  $_{200}$  not expected to hybridize with the poly(A) targets. However,  $_{201}$  microscopy experiments showed that the intracellular signal for  $_{202}$  these NPs remained high, indicating strong nonspecific  $_{203}$  f3



Figure 3. Effect of polymer nature and different grafted DNA sequences. (A, B) Fluorescence images of fixed HeLa cells incubated with PMMAbased NPs (A) and PEMA-based NPs (B) of ~20 nm core size, functionalized with A20. Both single-stranded and double-stranded (annealed with T20) DNA NPs were tested (30 min incubation with cells). TIRF mode was used on fixed HeLa cells without washing. (C) Comparison of TIRF fluorescence images of PEMA-based NPs functionalized with T20 (upper panel) and A20 (lower panel) recorded at identical conditions (inset shows an image where the signal was amplified 5-fold for visibility of the cell). Cells were incubated during 1 h with NPs, then washed two times with 0.1% BSA/PBS. Scale bar: 10  $\mu$ m. (D, E) Epi-fluorescence microscopy of fixed HeLa cells incubated for 1 h with DNA-NPs targeting survivin and  $\beta$ -actin (the same washing protocol as in C). Images for single-stranded (upper panels) and double-stranded (annealed with complementary strands) DNA-NPs are shown. Scale bar: 50  $\mu$ m. PBS buffer with 50 mg/L Tween 80 was systematically used for incubation and imaging (A–E).



Figure 4. FISH-NPs of three different colors. (A) Dye salts with bulky counterions used for encapsulation into polymeric NPs. (B) Absorption and (C) fluorescence spectra of DNA-NP loading three different dye salts. (D) Fluorescence quantum yields of bare NPs and DNA-NPs (T20 oligonucleotide). Dye loading (weight % ratio with respect to the polymer) was 30 wt %. Error bars are standard deviation ( $n \ge 3$ ).

204 interactions of NPs inside the cells (Figure 3A). We further 205 annealed the A20-functionalized NPs with T20 DNA to obtain 206 double-stranded oligonucleotides at the NP surface. In this case, 207 the intracellular signal decreased (Figures 3A and S1), but still 208 remained significant, confirming the nonspecific interactions of 209 NPs with the cells, independent from the DNA/RNA 210 hybridization. Therefore, we formulated small NPs based on 211 another polymer, PEMA bearing 5% charged groups (PEMA-212 MA-5%), which was previously shown to yield ~20 nm 213 ultrabright NPs.<sup>51</sup> Importantly, the cell experiments revealed 214 practically no signal for A20-functionalized PEMA-based NPs

f3

(Figures 3B and S1). A similar low intracellular signal was 215 observed for the NPs bearing A20 annealed with T20 DNA. 216 Then, we directly compared PEMA-based NPs functionalized 217 with A20 DNA (A20-NPs) and T20 DNA (T20-NPs). A strong 218 intracellular signal was observed in the case of T20-NPs, while 219 the signal from A20-NPs was very weak and could only be 220 detected when the signal in the image was multiplied 5-fold 221 (Figures 3C and S1). Thus, the use of PEMA-based NPs 222 dramatically decreased nonspecific interactions, allowing direct 223 detection of T20-NPs specifically hybridized with poly(A) 224 targets inside the cells. It could be related to the narrower size 225



Figure 5. TEM characterization of DNA-NPs of different color functionalized with T20 oligonucleotides. TEM images of DNA-NPs loaded at 30 wt % with Rh110-18/F12 (A), R18/R5-TPB (B), and DiD/F12 (C) and corresponding size distribution histograms at the right (at least 200 NPs were analyzed per condition). Upper panels correspond to bare NPs, while lower panels correspond to DNA-NPs.



Figure 6. Single-particle characterization of DNA-NPs bearing T20 oligonucleotide in comparison to corresponding QDs on a glass surface using epi-fluorescence microscopy. Upper panels: Fluorescence images of DNA-NPs loaded at 30 wt % with Rh110-C18/F12 vs QDs-525 (A), R18/R5-TPB vs QDs-605 (B), and DiD/F12 vs QDs-705. The signal in QDs was multiplied 1.6- (A) 100- (B), and 15-fold (C) by a corresponding increase in the source power for better comparison with brighter DNA-NPs. Scale bar:  $5 \mu m$ . Lower panels: Corresponding intensity distribution histograms for DNA-NPs vs QDs.

226 distribution of these PEMA-based NPs (see below) compared to PMMA NPs. The second reason could be the more hydrophobic 2.2.7 nature of PEMA compared to PMMA (ethyl vs methyl group), 2.2.8 which can provide better stability to DNA-NPs, where the core 229 230 is formed essentially due to hydrophobic collapse of the polymer. It should be added that the small size of PEMA-based 231 232 NPs should limit the number of grafted oligonucleotides per particle to ~80, according to our earlier studies on analogous 233 DNA-NPs,<sup>51</sup> which is important to minimize the off-target 234 235 nonspecific interactions.

Then, we functionalized PEMA-based NPs with capture DNA 237 sequences complementary to survivin and  $\beta$ -actin mRNA and 238 tested them in cells. Both nanoprobes showed significant intracellular signal inside the cells (Figures 3D,E and S2), which <sup>239</sup> was significantly higher in the case of  $\beta$ -actin (see below). To <sup>240</sup> verify the contribution of nonspecific interactions, we annealed <sup>241</sup> our DNA-NPs with complementary short oligonucleotides in <sup>242</sup> solution to block their capacity to hybridize with corresponding <sup>243</sup> intracellular mRNA targets. Importantly, the intracellular signal <sup>244</sup> decreased drastically for the double-stranded nanoprobes, so <sup>245</sup> that 5-fold multiplication of the signal was required to observe <sup>246</sup> some cell fluorescence (Figures 3D,E and S2). These first <sup>247</sup> experiments showed that we could observe sequence-specific <sup>248</sup> hybridization of our DNA-NPs with the intracellular mRNA <sup>249</sup> targets. It should be noted that in all these images the nucleus <sup>250</sup> 251 remained dark, indicating that NPs could not penetrate through 252 the nuclear envelope.

DNA-NPs of Different Color. Next, we prepared NPs of 253 254 three different colors in order to perform multicolor detection of 255 target mRNA. In addition to red-emitting R18/F5, we selected a 256 green rhodamine 110 derivative with an octadecyl chain 257 (Rh110-C18)<sup>83</sup> and far-red cyanine DiD (Figure 4). As a 258 counterion for these two dyes, we used the bulkiest available 259 counterion F12, which was shown previously to ensure the  $_{260}$  highest fluorescence quantum yields (QYs) for the NPs.<sup>81,83</sup> We 261 formulated bare NPs loaded with corresponding dyes and 262 checked the QYs at different dye loading. For all three dyes, QYs decreased with an increase in the high loading (Table S1), 263 264 indicating some effect of dye self-quenching. On the basis of 265 these data, we could choose optimal high loading, where QYs 266 remained significantly high: 30 wt % for all three dye salts, with quantum yields of 52%, 34%, and 42% for R18/F5, R110-C18/ 267  $_{268}\,$  F12, and DiD/F12. The size of the obtained bare NPs at 30 wt % 269 dye loading remained small according to dynamic light 270 scattering (DLS) (~17 nm) and TEM (14-18 nm, Table S2). 271 TEM imaging confirmed the spherical shape of bare NPs (Figure 5). Then, we functionalized them with A20 or T20, 2.72 273 using the same protocol based on the SPAAC reaction. The QY values did not change after functionalization and thus remained 274 275 relatively high. The absorption and fluorescence spectra of DNA-NPs showed well-defined and well-separated bands 276 (Figure 4), typical for the molecular forms of these three dyes. 277 278 These bands match well common optical settings of the 279 microscope in the green, red, and far-red channels. According to 280 the TEM of DNA-NPs, the size of the spherically shaped particles did not significantly change, since only a ~2 nm 281 282 increase was observed after functionalization (Figure 5, Table 283 S2). It is important to note the relatively narrow size distribution 284 of all these DNA-NPs, so that all detected NPs were 285 systematically <25 nm. This is a key difference with PMMA-286 based NPs, where for similar particle size, NPs > 30 nm were still 287 observed (Figure 2C). This narrower size distribution could 288 explain why PEMA-based NPs showed much less nonspecific 289 interactions (or NP trapping) inside the cells (see above, Figure 290 3). On the other hand, DLS data suggested that after DNA 291 functionalization, the particle size increased by 6-7 nm (Table 292 S2), which corresponds to the lengths of two 20mer strands grafted to the NP surface. In contrast to TEM, DLS records the 293 294 hydrodynamic diameter that takes into account the relatively 295 thick hydration shell formed by grafted nucleic acids.

Then, we characterized the obtained DNA-NPs at the single-296 297 particle level using wide-field fluorescence microscopy. For each 298 color of NPs, we made a comparison with corresponding QDs 299 characterized by similar emission wavelength. All studied DNA-NPs, which appeared as dots in the microscopy images, were 300 301 significantly brighter than corresponding QDs (Figure 6). 302 Quantitative analysis of the single-particle brightness revealed that green, red, and far-red DNA-NPs were  $2.3 \pm 0.8$ -,  $97 \pm 6$ -, 303 and  $16 \pm 2$ -fold brighter than corresponding QDs (Figure 6). 304 These differences correspond to the theoretical brightness (B) 305 306 of our NPs, which can be expressed as  $B = N \times \varepsilon \times QY/100$ , 307 where N is the number of dyes per NP,  $\varepsilon$  is the absorption coefficient  $(M^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$  at the excitation wavelength used, and 308 309 QY is the fluorescence quantum yield (%) of the dye inside the 310 NP. Taking the average NP size of bare NPs loaded with Rh110-311 18/F12, R18/R5-TPB, and DiD/F12 NPs of 14, 18, and 16 nm, 312 respectively, according to TEM, and 30 wt % loading of the dyes 313 vs polymer (*i.e.*, 23% vs total particle mass), the corresponding estimated N is 85, 308, and 113. Then, the estimated single- 314 particle brightness is  $6.9 \times 10^5$ ,  $1.8 \times 10^7$ , and  $9.7 \times 10^6$  M<sup>-1</sup> × 315 cm<sup>-1</sup> for Rh110-18/F12, R18/R5-TPB, and DiD/F12 NPs. For 316 QDs-525, QDs-605, and QDs-705, the measured QY values 317 were 77%, 52%, and 49%, respectively. Therefore, their 318 corresponding estimated brightness was  $1.3 \times 10^5$ ,  $3.0 \times 10^5$ , 319and  $4.4 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$  for excitation at 470, 550, and 640 nm, 320 respectively. Thus, theoretically, Rh110-18/F12, R18/R5-TPB, 321 and DiD/F12 should be 5.3-, 60-, and 22-fold brighter than 322 QDs-525, QDs-605, and QDs-705, respectively, which is close 323 to the obtained experimental values. We should note that these 324 differences would be smaller if QDs were excited at the violet 325 region, where their absorption coefficient is higher. The green 326 DNA-NPs were significantly less bright than the other two 327 DNA-NPs because of a lower absorption coefficient at the 328 excitation wavelength used (470 nm of LED), lower QY of this 329 dye, and less optimal emission filter settings. Overall, we 330 obtained DNA-NPs of similar small size and high brightness, 331 which can be used for multicolor RNA-FISH experiments. 332

**Multicolor Detection of mRNA.** We functionalized NPs of 333 three different colors with three capture sequences targeting 334 poly(A),  $\beta$ -actin, and survivin fragments of mRNA. After 335 incubation of DNA-NPs with fixed and permeabilized HeLa 336 cells, we observed corresponding staining in each channel: green 337 for  $\beta$ -actin, red for survivin, and blue for poly(A) targets (Figure 338 f7 7). Then, to verify that the binding is sequence dependent, we 339 f7 annealed each nanoprobe with a corresponding complementary 340 DNA oligonucleotide and tested in cells. The obtained double- 341 stranded DNA-NPs showed practically no emission inside cells, 342 which confirmed low nonspecific interactions between NPs and 343 cells. To provide a more direct control for the sequence 344



Figure 7. Validation of DNA-NPs for detection of intracellular mRNA targets in fixed HeLa cells. Single-stranded probes  $\beta$ -actin-NPs loaded with Rh110-C18/F12, survivin-NPs loaded wth R18/F5, and A20-NPs loaded with DiD/F12 for  $\beta$ -actin, survivin, and poly(A) sequences of mRNA were compared to controls with double-stranded DNA-NPs (annealed with complementary sequences) and competitor oligonucleotides (100 nM) for corresponding  $\beta$ -actin and survivin sequences added 1 h before addition of DNA NPs. DNA-NPs concentration expressed in encapsulated dyes was 100 nM. Scale bar: 50  $\mu$ m.



Figure 8. Multiplexed detection of mRNA sequences in fixed cells by AmpliFISH. (A) General principle and (B) corresponding epi-fluorescence images of three nanoprobes (the same as in Figure 7)  $\beta$ -actin-NPs (green), survivin-NPs (red), and T20-NPs (blue) in three cell lines: HeLa (upper row), U87 (middle row), and MDA-MB-231 (lower row). Merging of three channels and corresponding zoomed-in images of cells are also shown (last two columns). A mixture of the three different NPs at 100 nM (total dye concentration) was added to cells during 1 h, then washed two times before the observations. Scale bar: 50  $\mu$ m.



Figure 9. Imaging of HeLa cells using AmpliFISH with spinning-disk microscopy and quantification of the signal from mRNA targets. (A) Z-projection of multiple images of HeLa cells stained with different DNA-NPs. Scale bar:  $30 \,\mu$ m. (B) Quantification of total fluorescence intensity from DNA-NPs for four different target mRNA sequences. At least 100 cells were analyzed per condition in three independent measurements. \*\*\*p < 0.001, \*\*\*\*p < 0.0001. (C) 3D reconstruction of HeLa cells labeled with survivin-NPs. (D) Selected X–Y plane of the same cell as in (C) obtained by spinning-disk microscopy. Scale bar (C, D): 12  $\mu$ m.

345 specificity of our FISH probes, we treated the fixed and 346 permeabilized cells with a competitive sequence complementary 347 to the target (*i.e.*, identical to the capture sequence grafted to 348 NPs), which is expected to block the site of binding of our 349 nanoprobes. Importantly, the  $\beta$ -actin competitor turned off the 350 intracellular signal from nanoprobes for  $\beta$ -actin, but did not 351 influence those for survivin or poly(A) (Figure 7). By contrast, a 352 competitive sequence encoding survivin blocked binding of survivin nanoprobes, but did not affect those targeting actin or 353 poly(A). These experiments showed that our NPs bind 354 intracellular targets with sequence specificity for NPs of different 355 color. We repeated these experiments for U87 (Figure S3) and 356 MDA-MB-231 (Figure S4) cells and obtained similar results 357 with excellent inhibition for both double-stranded version of 358 NPs and the competitors for the  $\beta$ -actin and survivin mRNA 359 targets. Thus, the approach works for multiple cell lines. 360

361

f8

362 simultaneously by exploiting three colors of NPs bearing 363 corresponding targeting oligonucleotides. To this end, fixed 364 and permeabilized HeLa, U87, and MDA-MB-231 cells were 365 incubated simultaneously with three NPs of different color and 366 further imaged using three channels of the microscope (Figure 367 8). Importantly, we could obtain signals for all three nanoprobes 368 within the same cell. Each cell showed a distinctive combination 369 of three colors distributed in space (Figure 8B). The green and 370 red colors, encoding  $\beta$ -actin-NPs and survivin-NPs, respectively, did not really colocalize, which can be seen in the zoomed 371 372 images. This observation was confirmed by a Manders' colocalization, giving relatively low values for  $\beta$ -actin-NPs in 373 survivin-NPs and vice versa for all three cell lines (with only one 374 exception for MDA-MB-231, Table S3). On the other hand, 375  $_{376}$  each of them colocalized with the poly(A) target, which can be seen from dominating magenta and cyan colors of the cells 377 (Figure 8B). Indeed, high Manders' colocalization coefficients 378 379 for  $\beta$ -actin-NPs in T20-NPs were observed, namely, 0.998, 380 0.998, and 0.935 for HeLa, U87, and MDA-MB-231, 381 respectively (Table S3). Similar high values were observed for 382 survivin. These observations can be explained by the fact that both  $\beta$ -actin and survivin mRNAs are expected to have poly(A). 383 On the other hand, T20-NPs colocalized with much lower 384 385 Manders' coefficients with  $\beta$ -actin-NPs and survivin-NPs, which 386 is normal because there are many other mRNAs having a 387 poly(A) tail. In the perinuclear regions, all three colors appeared 388 colocalized, giving white pixels. The latter is probably because 389 too many particles of different color concentrated within areas 390 below the diffraction-limited resolution of the microscope. which produced a colocalization effect, even if these NPs are not 391 392 bound to the same mRNA target. One could also notice that the 393 distribution of the three colors was slightly different for each studied cell line. Indeed, HeLa cells showed a tendency to 394 395 redistribute colors in a rather homogeneous fashion, while the 396 U87 cells showed magenta colors (*i.e.*, survivin and poly(A)) 397 localized at extremities of the cells (Figure 8B). In MDA-MB-398 231 cells, the signals corresponding to  $\beta$ -actin and survivin 399 appeared in similar areas, including the cell edges, providing 400 characteristic yellow regions (Figure 8B). Thus, the combina-401 tion of three nanoprobes reveals distinctive signatures of mRNA distribution at the single-cell level for each cancer cell line. 402

The next challenge was to image all three target sequences

Quantification and Single-Particle Analysis. We ex-403 plored a possibility to quantify the observed fluorescence inside 404 405 the HeLa cells with different DNA-NPs loaded with the same 406 dye, R18/F5. In addition to  $\beta$ -actin, survivin, and A20, we 407 prepared nanoprobes functionalized with another noncoding 408 capture sequence (NP-NS) that does not correspond to any 409 mRNA in HeLa cells. Using spinning-disk fluorescence 410 microscopy, we recorded different planes of the cells and then 411 summed all stacks together. The resulting images could clearly 412 show the strong signal from  $\beta$ -actin-NPs, then a lower signal 413 from survivin-NPs and practically no signal from noncoding sequence NS-NPs and A20-NPs (Figure 9A). Quantitative 414 415 analysis confirmed these observations, showing that the average <sup>416</sup> signal decreased in the following order:  $\beta$ -actin-NP  $\gg$  survivin-417 NP  $\gg$  NS-NP > A20-NP (Figure 9B). RT-qPCR of the cells 418 revealed that mRNA of  $\beta$ -actin was much more abundant (Ct = 419 15.2) than that of survivin (Ct = 24.8), supporting our FISH 420 data. However, the differences revealed by RT-qPCR were 421 significantly larger than those observed by the AmpliFISH 422 method. Therefore, we consider that our approach at this step 423 remains semiquantitative. One should also note that NS-NPs showed a bit higher signal than A20-NPs, which indicates that a 424 part of the signal here could originate from off-target 425 interactions of the noncoding sequence. Taking into account 426 that the presence of a competitive sequence can completely 427 block the binding of corresponding DNA-NPs, we can conclude 428 that nonspecific binding of NPs could be related to some off- 429 target hybridization with shorter nucleic acid sequences, which 430 is a common problem of the FISH technique. <sup>41,88</sup> This could 431 explain why at the current state our method remains 432 semiquantitative. 433

The remaining question regarding our DNA-NPs in cells was 434 whether the observed spots correspond to individual NPs. In the 435 wide-field microscopy images (Figures 7 and 8), it was difficult 436 to identify single particles because of poor Z-resolution and 437 strong contribution of out-of-focus NPs to the final images. 438 Therefore, we used spinning-disk microscopy to record the Z- 439 stack of image planes of cells labeled with survivin-NPs and then 440 reconstructed 3D images. In the 3D image (Figure 9C, Video 441 S1) and the individual XY image plane (Figure 9D), one could 442 clearly see individual dots distributed all around the cells, except 443 the nucleus, where NPs cannot really penetrate. In addition, we 444 recorded videos from one focal plane of HeLa cells labeled with 445 actin-NPs using spinning-disk microscopy. We found that the 446 majority of bright dots (with the exception of some larger spots) 447 showed some signal fluctuation/blinking (Video S2). This 448 fluorescence intermittence is typical for individual acrylate- 449 based R18/F5-loaded NPs due to cooperative effects of dyes 450 inside the polymeric particle.<sup>78</sup> Therefore, we can conclude that 451 these dots correspond to single particles immobilized inside the 452 cells through interactions with the target. 453

Comparison with the Classical FISH Method. To 454 benchmark the performance of AmpliFISH, a commercial 455 FISH technique was used, employing locked nucleic acid (LNA) 456 probes (Qiagen). The LNA technology provides improved 457 discriminating capacity of FISH probes and thus improved 458 sensitivity and specificity to the RNA targets.<sup>89</sup> Two types of 459 LNA probes were tested: LNA T25 in order to target poly(A) of 460 mRNA and LNA  $\beta$ -actin, which groups together several 461 sequences targeting actin mRNA. These two types of probes 462 are coupled to digoxigenin (3'DIG) to allow indirect detection 463 of mRNA targets (primary antibody then secondary antibody 464 coupled to Alexa Fluor 488). The results obtained for these two 465 probes as well as the negative control (absence of probe) for the 466 U87 line are illustrated in Figure 10. On one hand, there is 467 f10 indeed an absence of fluorescence signal for the negative control 468 without LNA probes, ruling out nonspecific interactions in the 469 cells. On the other hand, a fluorescence signal is obtained for the 470 LNA probes in the fixed and permeabilized U87MG cells, in the 471 cytosol and in the nucleus. Unlike DNA-NPs, these probes are 472 capable of detecting their target in the nucleus, owing to their 473 smaller size. However, the key difference was the obtained signal 474 with the commercial probes was 8 times lower compared to that 475 obtained with our green emission DNA-NPs loaded with 476 Rh110-18/F12. Taking into account that our red NPs loaded 477 with R18/F5 are 25 times brighter than the green ones (see 478 above), our AmpliFISH technique based on R18/F5 should 479 provide a 200-fold stronger signal compared to the LNA FISH 480 method. This drastic difference originates from the much higher 481 brightness of our NPs (80-300 dyes per NP) compared to the 482 fluorescently labeled antibodies. In addition, our technique is 483 much simpler and faster compared to the commercial FISH 484 composed of >20 steps before microscopy accompanied by 485 multiple washes between each step. 486

52.5



Figure 10. Commercial LNA probes at 25 nM for the detection of actin mRNA and poly(A) tails in U87 cells. Epi-fluorescence images of the FISH LNA probes and nuclei (DAPI) as well as the superposition (merge) of the two images (scale bar:  $50 \ \mu$ m).

#### 487 CONCLUSIONS

488 The development of simple and direct methods for the detection 489 of mRNA inside the cells, which could accelerate biological and 490 biomedical research and clinical diagnostics, remains a high challenge. To address this problem, we propose an amplified 491 492 FISH methodology (AmpliFISH): ultrabright DNA-function-493 alized polymeric NPs are specially designed to penetrate cells and detect their intracellular mRNA targets. We synthesized 494 495 NPs of different sizes and polymeric matrices. We found that the 496 size of NPs below 20 nm is crucial for penetration and mRNA 497 targeting in the fixed and permeabilized cells. Moreover, the 498 nature of the polymer can drastically influence nonspecific 499 interactions, which allowed us to select polymeric NPs showing 500 the highest specificity. The obtained DNA-NPs enable 501 sequence-specific detection and imaging of mRNA encoding 502  $\beta$ -actin, survivin, and its poly(A) tail, based on a very simple 503 protocol of cell preparation and short incubation with NPs. 504 Moreover, a combination of three different colors enables 505 simultaneous detection of three mRNA targets within the same 506 cell, showing feasibility of simple multiplexing single-cell 507 transcriptome analysis. Importantly, each cancer cell line 508 displayed a characteristic intracellular distribution of the three 509 mRNA sequences, like a cell fingerprint. Moreover, the method 510 allows semiquantitative analysis of mRNA in cells, although an 511 additional dedicated study will be required to make it a truly 512 quantitative single-cell mRNA detection method. Single-particle 513 video microscopy confirmed that the majority of the intracellular 514 signal corresponds to individual particles, which should enable 515 mRNA detection with single-molecule sensitivity. Comparison 516 with the commercial FISH technique based on LNA 517 oligonucleotides showed that our method has multiple 518 advantages: (i) it provides 8–200-fold stronger signal (depends19 ent on the NP color); (ii) it is based on three steps  $vs \sim 20$  steps 520 in the commercial technique as well as much shorter time. Thus, 521 the developed AmpliFISH approach has the potential to 522 significantly improve the current methods of transcriptomic 523 analysis at the single-cell level, which is important for both 524 biological research and clinical diagnostics.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemical Synthesis. Chemicals were purchased from either 526 Sigma-Aldrich, Alfa Aesar, or ThermoFisher Scientific. NMR spectra 527 were recorded at 20 °C on a Bruker Avance III 400 MHz spectrometer, 528 and chemical shifts were reported as delta scale in ppm relative to 529 CHCl<sub>3</sub> ( $\delta$  = 7.26 ppm) for <sup>1</sup>H NMR and CDCl<sub>3</sub> ( $\delta$  = 77.16 ppm) for 530 <sup>13</sup>C NMR. Mass spectra were obtained using an Agilent Q-TOF 6520 531 mass spectrometer. Polymers PMMA-AspN3-1.6%, PMMA-AspN3- 532 5%, and PEMA-AspN3 were synthesized as described previously<sup>51,5</sup> 533 Rhodamine B octadecyl ester trakis(pentafluorophenyl)borate (R18/ 534 F5) and DiD/F12 were synthesized by ion exchange and purified by 535 column chromatography as described previously.<sup>77,81</sup> Rhodamine 110 536 octadecyl ester (Rh110-C18/Cl) was synthesized by coupling of 537 rhodamine 110 chloride and 1-octadecanol in the presence of sulfuric 538 acid, followed by column chromatography purification as described 539 elsewhere.<sup>90</sup> Rhodamine 110 octadecyl ester tetrakis[3,5-bis- 540 (1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoro-2-methoxy-2-propyl)phenyl]borate trihydrate 541 (Rh110-C18/F12) was obtained by the ion exchange of obtained 542 Rh110-C18/Cl with a sodium tetrakis[3,5-bis(1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoro-2-543 methoxy-2-propyl)phenyl]borate trihydrate (F12) followed by purifi- 544 cation on preparative TLC using dichloromethane/methanol 95/5 as 545 eluent. 546

**Preparation of NPs.** Sodium phosphate monobasic (>99.0%, 547 Sigma-Aldrich) and sodium phosphate dibasic dihydrate (>99.0%, 548 Sigma- Aldrich) were used to prepare 20 mM phosphate buffers. 549 Sodium tetraborate decahydrate (>99.0%, Sigma-Aldrich) was used to 550 prepare borate buffer. The final pH was adjusted with 0.1 M 551 hydrochloride acid or 0.1 M sodium hydroxide. Milli-Q water 552 (Millipore) was used in all experiments. 553

**NP-PMMA-MA-1.6%.** A 100  $\mu$ L amount of the polymer solution in 554 acetonitrile (2 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> with 30 wt % of R18/F5 relative to the 555 polymer) was added quickly using a micropipet to 900  $\mu$ L of 20 mM 556 phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, under shaking (Thermomixer comfort, 557 Eppendorf, 1100 rpm). Then, the residues of acetonitrile were 558 evaporated. 559

**NP-PMMA-MA-5% and NP-PEMA-MA (Multicolor).** A 50  $\mu$ L 560 amount of the polymer solution in acetonitrile (2 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> containing 561 Rh110-C18/F12 at 30 wt %, R18/F5 at 50 wt %, or DiD/F12 at 30 wt % 562 relative to the polymer) was added quickly using a micropipet to 450  $\mu$ L 563 of 10 mM borate buffer, pH 9, at 21 °C under shaking (Thermomixer 564 comfort, Eppendorf, 1100 rpm). While continuing mixing, 500  $\mu$ L of 20 565 mM phosphate buffer, pH 6, was added. Then, the residues of 566 acetonitrile were evaporated. The particle solution was then diluted 2- 567 fold with the 20 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4. 568

General Protocol for Functionalization of NPs with DNA. 569 Lyophilized single-strand DNA sequences were purchased from IBA 570 GmbH, dissolved in Milli-Q water, aliquoted, and stored at -20 °C for 571 further experiments. Aliquots of corresponding DNA-DBCO (concen- 572 tration of 60  $\mu$ M in the reaction mixture) were added to 200  $\mu$ L of 573 corresponding nanoparticles. The reaction was mixed and kept 574 overnight at 40 °C without shaking protected from light. Then the 575 reaction was cooled to room temperature. In the case of NPs with a 576 double strand, to 100  $\mu$ L of the reaction mixture an aliquot of DNA- 577 target sequence in a 1:1 ratio with DNA-DBCO was added and the 578 mixture was heated to 70 °C in a water bath for 3 min. To complete 579 hybridization, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and kept in 580 the dark for 2 h. Then, in the case of single-strand nanoparticles the 581 mixture was diluted with 20 mM phosphate buffer to 4 mL. In the case 582 of the double-strand nanoparticles, the mixture was diluted with 20 mM  $\,_{583}$ phosphate buffer containing 12 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> and 30 mM NaCl to 4 mL. 584 Both types of NPs were purified by centrifugation using centrifuge 585 filters (Amicon, 0.5 mL, 100 kDa, Sigma-Aldrich) at 1000g at 20 °C for 586 2 min. The procedure of centrifugation was repeated five times to 587 remove the nonreacted oligonucleotides using the corresponding 588 buffer. The obtained functionalized DNA-NPs were kept in the dark at 589 4 °C 590

The oligonucleotide sequences used in this study are shown below: 591 SurC-DBCO, 5'-CCC AGC CTT CCA GCT CCT TGA-(DBCO)- 592 3' 593

I

- 594 SurC-Target, 5'-CAA GGA GCT GGA AGG CTG GG-3'
- 595 SurC-Competitive, 5'-CCC AGC CTT CCA GCT CCT TGA-3'

596 Actin-DBCO, 5'-CTG ACC CAT GCC CAC CAT CA-(DBCO)-3'

597 Actin-Target, 5'-TGA TGG TGG GCA TGG GTC AG-3'

598 Actin-Competitive, 5'-CTG ACC CAT GCC CAC CAT CA-3'

599 T20-DBCO, 5'-TT TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT (DBCO)-3'

600 T20, 5'-TT TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT-3'

A20-DBCO, 5'-AAA AAA AAA AAA AAA AAA AAA AAA (DBCO)-3'

Characterization of NPs. Dynamic light scattering (DLS) 602 603 measurements were performed on a Zetasizer Nano ZSP (Malvern 604 Instruments S.A.). The Zetasizer software provided with standard 605 cumulates and size distribution by volume analysis was used to 606 characterize nanoparticles by DLS. For the data analysis, the following parameters were used: for the solvent (water), temperature 25 °C, 607 refractive index RI 1.33, and viscosity 0.8872 cP. Nanoparticles were 608 609 assumed to be all homogeneous and spherical in shape. Absorption 610 spectra were recorded on a Cary 5000 scan UV-visible spectropho-611 tometer (Varian). Excitation, emission spectra, and anisotropy were 612 recorded on a FS5 spectrofluorometer (Edinburg Instruments). For 613 standard recording of fluorescence spectra, the excitation wavelength 614 was set to 470 nm (Rh110-C18/F12), 530 nm (R18/F5), and 640 nm 615 (DiD/F12). The fluorescence spectra were corrected for detector 616 response and lamp fluctuations. Quantum yields of NPs and QDots 617 were calculated using fluorescein in 10 mM NaOH (QY = 1.0), 618 rhodamine 101 in methanol (QY = 1.0),  $^{91}$  and DiD in methanol (QY =  $(619 \ 0.33)^{92}$  as the corresponding references.

**Transmission Electron Microscopy.** Carbon-coated copper– 621 rhodium electron microscopy grids with a 300 mesh (Euromedex, 622 France) were surface treated with a glow discharge in amylamine 623 atmosphere (0.45 mbar, 5–5.3 mA, 25 s) in an Elmo glow discharge 624 system (Cordouan Technologies, France). Then, 5  $\mu$ L of the solution of 625 NPs was deposited onto the grids and left for 2 min. The grids were then 626 treated for 1 min with a 2% uranyl acetate solution for staining. They 627 were observed with the Tecnai F20 electron microscope, equipped with 628 a FEG operated at 200 keV. Areas covered with nanoparticles of interest 629 were recorded at 29000× magnifications on a GATAN CCD 2K\*2K 630 "US10001" camera. Image analysis was performed using the Fiji 631 software.

Single-Particle Fluorescence Microscopy. Immobilization of 632 633 DNA-NPs and QDots was done as follows. The LabTek chamber 634 (borosilicate cover glass, eight wells, ThermoFisher Scientific) was 635 washed three times with PBS followed by incubation with 200  $\mu$ L of 636 bovine serum albumin (BSA)-biotin (Sigma-Aldrich) 0.5 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> in 637 PBS for 5 min. Then, the BSA-biotin solution was removed, and the 638 chamber was washed three times with 500  $\mu$ L of PBS. In the case of 639 nanoparticle immobilization, the chamber was incubated with 200  $\mu$ L 640 of neutravidin (ThermoFisher Scientific) solution (0.5 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> in 641 PBS) for 5 min and washed three times with 500  $\mu$ L of PBS. Then the 642 chamber was incubated with 200  $\mu$ L of a 1  $\mu$ M solution of A20-biotin in 643 PBS for 5 min and washed one time with PBS and two times with 20 644 mM phosphate buffer. Then the nanoprobe solution was deposited with 645 proper concentration to achieve the desired density and incubated for 1 646 h at room temperature in the dark. Before measurements the chamber 647 was washed two times with 20 mM phosphate buffer and covered with 648 200  $\mu$ L of the same buffer. In the case of QDot immobilization, 649 QDot525 streptavidin conjugate, QDot605 streptavidin conjugate, and 650 QDot705 streptavidin conjugate (ThermoFisher Scientific) were 651 diluted to 10 pM final concentration in PBS, and 300 µL was added 652 to the chamber. After 1 h of incubation, the chamber was washed three times with 500  $\mu$ L and filled with 200  $\mu$ L of PBS. 653

Single-particle microscopy measurements were performed in the epi-655 fluorescence mode using a Nikon Ti-E inverted microscope with a 656 100× objective (Apo TIRF, oil, NA 1.49, Nikon). The excitation was 657 provided by light-emitting diodes (SpectraX, Lumencor) with the 658 following wavelength and power density: 470 nm at 14 and 23 W cm<sup>-2</sup> 659 for Rh110-C18/F12 NPs and QDot525, respectively; 550 nm at 0.24 660 and 24 W cm<sup>-2</sup> for R18/F5 NPs and QDot605, respectively, and 640 661 nm at 1.1 and 17 W cm<sup>-2</sup> for DiD/F12 NPs and QDot705. The 662 exposure time was set to 400 ms per image frame. The fluorescence 663 signal was recorded with a Hamamatsu Orca Flash 4 camera. Single-particle analysis was performed using the Fiji software. 664 Particle locations were detected through a Fiji routine applied to a 665 projection (maximum intensity) of all obtained frames per experiment. 666 After the automatic background subtraction, the mean intensities of 667 circular regions of interest with a diameter of 8 pixels around the found 668 particle locations were then measured. At least three image sequences 669 (245 pixel  $\times$  245 pixel) per condition with, on average, 1000–2000 670 particles per sample were analyzed. 671

**Cell Culture.** HeLa cells (ATCC CCL-2) were grown in Dulbecco's 672 modified Eagle's medium low glucose (DMEM, Gibco), supplemented 673 with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Dutscher), 1% L-glutamine (Lonza), 674 and 1% penicillin—streptomycin (Lonza). The human glioblastoma cell 675 line U87 (ATCC) was maintained in minimum essential medium 676 (MEM, Gibco) supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% L-glutamine, 1% 677 sodium pyruvate (Lonza), and 1% nonessential amino acid (Lonza). 678 The human breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231 (ATCC) was grown 679 in MEM (Gibco) supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% ultraglutamine 680 (Lonza). All cell lines were maintained at 37 °C in a humidified 681 atmosphere containing 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. For the different experiments, cells 682 were seeded in eight-well LabTek plates (ThermoFisher) at 8000 cells/ 683 well overnight.

**Cell Fixation and Permeabilization.** For the fixation step, a 685 standard protocol of immunofluorescence was applied. First, cells were 686 washed one time with Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS, 687 Lonza) and incubated with 4% PFA during 12 min at 37 °C. Cells were 688 then washed two times with DPBS and incubated 1 min at RT with 689 0.1% Triton X-100. Then, cells were washed two times with DPBS and 690 incubated in 3% BSA/DPBS (Sigma) for 1 h 30 min at RT. The final 691 step was to remove the 3% BSA/DPBS solution and incubate cells in 692 DPBS. The fixed cells can be used just after fixation or can be kept at 4 693 °C until their utilization.

*In Situ* Hybridization with DNA-NPs. Independently of the DNA 695 sequence used, DNA-NPs were first diluted to 100 nM concentration in 696 0.1% BSA/DPBS. Then, DPBS from the fixed permeabilized cells was 697 removed and the diluted DNA-NPs were added to the cells for 1 h at RT 698 or 37 °C. Then, cells were washed two times with 0.1% BSA/DPBS to 699 remove the DNA-NPs that were not hybridized with the RNA target 700 and were observed by the microscope in 0.1% BSA/DPBS. 701

In the case of competition experiments, cells were preincubated for 1 702 h at RT with a complementary DNA sequence to actin or survivin 703 mRNA target at 100 nM diluted in 0.1% BSA/DPBS. Without any 704 washing step, NPs were directly added on cells, followed by the abovedescribed protocol. 706

Cell Imaging. At the beginning of the study, cells and NPs were 707 observed at TIRF mode using a Nikon Ti-E inverted microscope with a 708 100× objective (Apo TIRF, oil, NA 1.49, Nikon). The excitation 709 wavelength was 550 nm with a power density of 26 W cm<sup>-2</sup>; emission 710 was recorded with a 600/50 nm band-pass filter. Then, images were 711 acquired in epi-fluorescence mode with a Nikon Ti-E inverted 712 microscope, with a 60× oil objective (NA 1.4, Nikon) and a 713 Hamamatsu Orca Flash 4 sCMOS camera. The excitation was provided 714 by light-emitting diodes (LED, SpectraX, Lumencor). Acquisition 715 settings were as follows: for Rh110-C18/F12 NPs (ex. 470 nm; 716 emission: 531/40 nm band-pass filter) with an excitation power density 717 of  $5 \text{ W cm}^{-2}$  and exposure time of 300 ms, for R18/F5 NPs (ex. 550 nm; 718 emission: 600/50 nm band-pass filter) with an excitation power density 719 of 3 W cm<sup>-2</sup> and exposure time of 200 ms, and for DiD/F12 NPs (ex. 720 638 nm; emission: 705/72 nm band-pass filter) with an excitation 721 power density of 1.3 W cm<sup>-2</sup> and exposure time of 200 ms. The images 722 were recorded using NIS Elements and then processed with ImageJ 723 software. Colocalization analysis was performed using Manders' 724 coefficient with the JACoP plugin in Fiji software. A threshold was 725 applied for each channel of all images (1685 to actin, 1613 to survivin, 726 and 1531 to T20). 727

Quantitative cellular imaging with R18/F5 NPs (Figure 9) was 728 performed using a Nikon Ti-E inverted microscope, equipped with a 729 CFI Plan Apo  $60\times$  oil (NA = 1.4) objective, X-Light spinning-disk 730 module (CREST Optics), and a Hamamatsu Orca Flash 4 sCMOS 731 camera with a 600/50 nm band-pass filter. The excitation in confocal 732 mode was provided by a 532 nm diode laser (OXXIUS). The exposure 733

734 time in confocal mode was set to 500 ms per image frame. All the images
735 were recorded using NIS Elements and then processed using Fiji
736 software. Background was removed in all images using a filter rolling
737 ball with a 20 pixels' radius and a sliding paraboloid shape. All images
738 are presented with the same brightness and contrast. Mean fluorescence
739 intensity was measured on the 3D stack for around 100 cells per
740 condition from three independents experiments. Statistical analysis was
741 done with the ANOVA algorithm.

RT-qPCR. Cell line selection was based on surviving mRNA 742 expression. Two days before RNA extraction, 10<sup>6</sup> cells were seeded in 743 744 100 mm Petri dishes. Total RNA was isolated using a miRNeasy mini 745 kit (Qiagen) following the protocol provided by the manufacturer. The 746 final volume of elution was 40  $\mu$ L. The quantity of total RNA was 747 determined using a Nanodrop (Thermo Scientific). RNA samples were 748 aliquoted and stored at -80 °C. Then, 1  $\mu$ g of RNA extracted was 749 transcribed into cDNA using miScript II reverse transcription kit (Qiagen). mRNA expression was evaluated by relative quantitative RT-750 qPCR analysis using the Fast SYBR Green Master Mix PCR kit 751 (Qiagen) and the StepOne Plus real time PCR system (Applied 752 753 Biosystem), according to the manufacturer's protocol. The primers 754 used were  $\beta$ -actin (RT<sup>2</sup>qPCR Primer Assay for Human ACTB, 755 NM 001101, Qiagen) and BIRC5 (RT<sup>2</sup>qPCR Primer Assay for 756 HumanNM\_001168, Qiagen). RT-PCR was carried out with human 757 RNA 18S (5'-TGTGGTGTTGAGGAAAGCAG-3' and 5'-TCCAG 758 ACCATTGGCTAGGAC-3', Invitrogen) as internal reference. Target 759 cDNA expression was quantified using the comparative  $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method 760 with 18S rRNA as an internal control.

761 FISH with Commercial LNA Probe. To compare our results with 762 commercial *in situ* hybridization, poly(T)25 and  $\beta$ -actin LNA probes 763 (Qiagen) were used coupled with DIG as described in the 764 manufacturer's protocol (Exiqon). The DIG proteins were detected 765 thanks to an indirect method, in order to amplify the signal with anti-766 DIG primary antibody and secondary antibody coupled with 767 fluorochromes. Briefly, the first day, U87MG cells were seeded on 22 mm diameter coverslips deposited on six-well plates and allowed to rest 768 overnight. Then, cells were washed once with DPBS and fixed with 4% 769 PFA (Thermo Scientific)/5% acetic acid (Sigma) in DPBS for 15 min. 770 U87 cells were washed  $2 \times 5$  min in DPBS, treat with pepsin (Merck) 771 (0.1% in 10 mM HCl) for 1 min at 37 °C, and washed again two times 772 with water. At this step, cells were dehydrated through 70%, 90%, and 773 100% ethanol and allowed to dry a few seconds. Then, 25  $\mu$ L probes 774 diluted at 25 nM in hybridization buffer (50% deionized formamide 775 (Merck), 2× SSC (ThermoFisher), 50 mM sodium phosphate 776 (Merck), 10% dextran sulfate (Merck)) were put on a slide and 777 covered with the coverslips. The montage was heated at 80 °C for 75 s, 778 and the hybridization step was performed for 30 min in a humid 779 chamber at 55 °C for poly(T)25 probes and at 62 °C for  $\beta$ -actin probes. 780 Then, coverslips were washed with 2× SSC containing 0.1% Tween 20 781 and washed  $3 \times 5$  min with 0.1× SSC at 65 °C. Cells were dehydrated 782 through 70%, 90%, and 100% ethanol and allowed to dry a few seconds. 783 Finally, U87MG cells were incubated with 3% BSA/DPBS (Sigma) for 784 785 1 h 30 min at RT, followed by the anti-DIG grom mouse IgG primary antibody (Sigma) at 1  $\mu$ g/mL in 3% BSA/DPBS overnight at 4 °C in a 786 humid chamber. The day after, cells were washed  $3 \times 5$  min in DPBS 787 and incubated with goat anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody coupled 788 with Alexa Fluor 488 nm (ThermoFisher) diluted at 1  $\mu$ g/mL and 789 DAPI (ThermoFisher) diluted at 5  $\mu$ g/mL for 45 min. After 3 × 5 min 790 of washing with DPBS, cells were mounted on a microscope slide with 791 792 mounting medium (Dako) and allowed to dry in the dark overnight. 793 Finally, coverslips were observed with epi-fluorescence mode with a 794 Nikon Ti-E inverted microscope, with a  $60 \times$  oil objective (numerical aperture = 1.4) and a Hamamatsu Orca Flash 4 sCMOS camera. The 795 796 settings were as follows: DAPI (excitation 395 nm; emission 468-552 797 nm) with a power of 30% and exposure time of 200 ms and Alexa 488 798 nm (excitation 470 nm; emission 491-571 nm) with a power of 90% 799 and exposure time of 200 ms. The images were recorded using NIS 800 Elements and then processed with ImageJ software.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT	801
Supporting Information	802
The Supporting Information is available free of charge at	803
https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsnano.1c09409.	804
Additional characterization data, cellular images, and	805
colocalization analysis (PDF)	806
Video of an individual XY image plane (AVI)	807
Video of signal fluctuation/blinking (AVI)	808
AUTHOR INFORMATION	809
Corresponding Author	810
Andrey S. Klymchenko – Laboratoire de Bioimagerie et	811
Pathologies, UMR 7021 CNRS, Faculté de Pharmacie,	812
Université de Strasbourg, 67401 Illkirch, France;	813
<sup>©</sup> orcid.org/0000-0002-2423-830X; Phone: +33 368 85 42	814
55; Email: andrey.klymchenko@unistra.fr	815
Authors	
Rumors Sederio Eslati I abarataina da Diaine aseria at Dathalasias IIMD	816
5910 Egion – Laboratoire de Bioimagerie et Pathologies, UNIR	817
7021 CINRS, Faculte de Pharmacle, Universite de Strasbourg, 67401 Illeirch Erance	818
Ning Melnychuk – Laboratoire de Bigimagerie et Dathologies	819
IMR 7021 CNRS Eaculté de Pharmacie Université de	020
Strashourg 67401 Illkirch France	821
Elisabete Cruz Da Silva – Laboratoire de Bioimagerie et	822
Pathologies, UMR 7021 CNRS, Faculté de Pharmacie	824
Université de Strashourg 67401 Illkirch France	825
@ orcid org/0000-0003-3417-5661	826
Andreas Reisch – Laboratoire de Bioimagerie et Pathologies	827
UMR 7021 CNRS. Faculté de Pharmacie. Université de	828
Strashourg. 67401 Illkirch. France	82.9
Sophie Martin – Laboratoire de Bioimagerie et Pathologies.	830
UMR 7021 CNRS. Faculté de Pharmacie. Université de	831
Strasbourg, 67401 Illkirch, France	832
Complete contact information is available at:	077
https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acspape.1c09409	833
https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsitatio.1009 <del>1</del> 09	034
Author Contributions	835
<sup>§</sup> S.E. and N.M. contributed equally to this work.	836
Notes	827
The authors declare the following competing financial	830
interest(s): Nina Melnychuk, Andreas Reisch, and Andrev S.	839

interest(s): Nina Melnychuk, Andreas Reisch, and Andrey S. 839 Klymchenko are inventors on a patent application related to this 840 technology (European patent application no. 18305253.9). The 841 remaining authors declare no competing interests. 842

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the European Research Council 844 ERC Consolidator Grant BrightSens 648525, ERC Proof of 845 Concept Grant AmpliFISH 899928, and SATT Conectus 846 Maturation Grant NanoAntenna. We thank Corinne Crucifix 847 from the FRISBI platform for her help with the electron 848 microscopy and Anne Runser for help with polymer synthesis. 849

#### REFERENCES

(1) Michelini, F.; Jalihal, A. P.; Francia, S.; Meers, C.; Neeb, Z. T.; 851 Rossiello, F.; Gioia, U.; Aguado, J.; Jones-Weinert, C.; Luke, B.; 852 Biamonti, G.; Nowacki, M.; Storici, F.; Carninci, P.; Walter, N. G.; di 853 Fagagna, F. D. From "Cellular" RNA to "Smart" RNA: Multiple Roles of 854 RNA in Genome Stability and Beyond. *Chem. Rev.* **2018**, *118*, 4365–855 4403. 856

843

861 Imaging Probes. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2017, 46, 2824-2843.

(4) Dean, K. M.; Palmer, A. E. Advances in Fluorescence Labeling
Strategies for Dynamic Cellular Imaging. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 2014, 10,
512–523.

(5) Tyagi, S. Imaging Intracellular RNA Distribution and Dynamics inLiving Cells. *Nat. Methods* 2009, *6*, 331–338.

(6) Femino, A. M.; Fay, F. S.; Fogarty, K.; Singer, R. H. Visualization
of Single RNA Transcripts *in Situ. Science* 1998, 280, 585–590.

(7) Saliba, A.-E.; Westermann, A. J.; Gorski, S. A.; Vogel, J. Single-Cell
RNA-Seq: Advances and Future Challenges. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2014,
42, 8845–8860.

872 (8) Haque, A.; Engel, J.; Teichmann, S. A.; Lonnberg, T. A Practical 873 Guide to Single-Cell RNA-Sequencing for Biomedical Research and 874 Clinical Applications. *Genome Med.* **2017**, *9*, 75.

(9) Bertrand, E.; Chartrand, P.; Schaefer, M.; Shenoy, S. M.; Singer, R.
876 H.; Long, R. M. Localization of Ash1 mRNA Particles in Living Yeast.
877 Mol. Cell 1998, 2, 437–445.

878 (10) Paige, J. S.; Wu, K. Y.; Jaffrey, S. R. RNA Mimics of Green 879 Fluorescent Protein. *Science* **2011**, 333, 642–646.

880 (11) Neubacher, S.; Hennig, S. RNA Structure and Cellular
881 Applications of Fluorescent Light-Up Aptamers. *Angew. Chem., Int.*882 *Ed.* 2019, 58, 1266–1279.

(12) Filonov, G. S.; Moon, J. D.; Svensen, N.; Jaffrey, S. R. Broccoli:
Rapid Selection of an RNA Mimic of Green Fluorescent Protein by
Fluorescence-Based Selection and Directed Evolution. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2014, *136*, 16299–16308.

887 (13) Bouhedda, F.; Fam, K. T.; Collot, M.; Autour, A.; Marzi, S.;
888 Klymchenko, A.; Ryckelynck, M. A Dimerization-Based Fluorogenic
899 Dye-Aptamer Module for RNA Imaging in Live Cells. *Nat. Chem. Biol.*890 2020, *16*, 69–76.

891 (14) Sunbul, M.; Lackner, J.; Martin, A.; Englert, D.; Hacene, B.; 892 Grun, F.; Nienhaus, K.; Nienhaus, G. U.; Jaschke, A. Super-Resolution

893 RNA Imaging Using a Rhodamine-Binding Aptamer with Fast 894 Exchange Kinetics. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2021**, *39*, 686–690.

(15) Gall, J. G.; Pardue, M. L. Formation and Detection of RNA-DNA
Hybrid Molecules in Cytological Preparations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.*S. A. 1969, 63, 378–383.

898 (16) John, H. A.; Birnstiel, M. L.; Jones, K. W. RNA-DNA Hybrids at
 899 the Cytological Level. *Nature* 1969, 223, 582–587.

900 (17) Bauman, J. G.; Wiegant, J.; Borst, P.; van Duijn, P. A New 901 Method for Fluorescence Microscopical Localization of Specific DNA

902 Sequences by *in Situ* Hybridization of Fluorochromelabelled RNA. *Exp.* 903 *Cell Res.* **1980**, *128*, 485–490.

904 (18) Langer-Safer, P. R.; Levine, M.; Ward, D. C. Immunological
905 Method for Mapping Genes on Drosophila Polytene Chromosomes.
906 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 1982, 79, 4381–4385.

907 (19) Cui, C.; Shu, W.; Li, P. Fluorescence *in Situ* Hybridization: Cell-908 Based Genetic Diagnostic and Research Applications. *Front. Cell Dev.*909 *Biol.* 2016, 4, 89.

910 (20) Urbanek, M. O.; Krzyzosiak, W. J. RNA FISH for Detecting

911 Expanded Repeats in Human Diseases. *Methods* 2016, 98, 115–123.

912 (21) Raj, A.; Rinn, J. L. Illuminating Genomic Dark Matter with RNA 913 Imaging. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspect. Biol.* **2019**, *11*, a032094.

914 (22) Cui, C.; Shu, W.; Li, P. Fluorescence *in Situ* Hybridization: Cell-

915 Based Genetic Diagnostic and Research Applications. *Front. Cell Dev.* 916 *Biol.* **2016**, *4*, 89.

917 (23) Shaffer, S. M.; Joshi, R. P.; Chambers, B. S.; Sterken, D.; Biaesch,

918 A. G.; Gabrieli, D. J.; Li, Y.; Feemster, K. A.; Hensley, S. E.; Issadore, D.; 919 Raj, A. Multiplexed Detection of Viral Infections Using Rapid *in Situ* 920 RNA Analysis on a Chip. *Lab Chip* **2015**, *15*, 3170–3182.

921 (24) Kwon, S. Single-Molecule Fluorescence *in Situ* Hybridization: 922 Quantitative Imaging of Single RNA Molecules. *BMB Rep.* **2013**, *46*, 923 65–72. (25) Shaffer, S. M.; Wu, M.-T.; Levesque, M. J.; Raj, A. Turbo FISH: A 924 Method for Rapid Single Molecule RNA FISH. *PLoS One* **2013**, *8*, 925 e75120. 926

(26) Femino, A.; Fay, F. S.; Fogarty, K.; Singer, R. H. Visualization of 927 Single RNA Transcripts *in Situ. Science* **1998**, 280, 585–590. 928

(27) Raj, A.; van den Bogaard, P.; Rifkin, S. A.; van Oudenaarden, A.; 929 Tyagi, S. Imaging Individual mRNA Molecules Using Multiple Singly 930 Labeled Probes. *Nat. Methods* **2008**, *5*, 877–879. 931

(28) Jensen, E. Technical Review: In Situ Hybridization. Anat. Rec. 932 2014, 297, 1349–1353. 933

(29) Sinnamon, J. R.; Czaplinski, K. RNA Detection *in Situ* with FISH-934 Stics. RNA **2014**, 20, 260–266. 935

(30) Xia, C.; Babcock, H. P.; Moffitt, J. R.; Zhuang, X. Multiplexed 936 Detection of RNA Using MerFISH and Branched DNA Amplification. 937 *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 7721. 938

(31) Wang, F.; Flanagan, J.; Su, N.; Wang, L. C.; Bui, S.; Nielson, A.; 939 Wu, X.; Vo, H. T.; Ma, X. J.; Luo, Y. RNAscope: A Novel *in Situ* RNA 940 Analysis Platform for Formalin-Fixed, Paraffin-Embedded Tissues. *J.* 941 *Mol. Diagn.* **2012**, *14*, 22–29. 942

(32) Qing, Z. H.; Xu, J. Y.; Hu, J. L.; Zheng, J.; He, L.; Zou, Z.; Yang, 943 S.; Tan, W. H.; Yang, R. H. *In Situ* Amplification-Based Imaging of RNA 944 in Living Cells. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 11574–11585. 945

(33) Lizardi, P. M.; Huang, X.; Zhu, Z.; Bray-Ward, P.; Thomas, D. C.; 946
Ward, D. C. Mutation Detection and Single-Molecule Counting Using 947
Isothermal Rolling-Circle Amplification. *Nat. Genet.* 1998, 19, 225–948
232. 949

(34) Choi, H. M. T.; Chang, J. Y.; Trinh, L. A.; Padilla, J. E.; Fraser, S. 950 E.; Pierce, N. A. Programmable *in Situ* Amplification for Multiplexed 951 Imaging of mRNA Expression. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2010**, *28*, 1208–1212. 952 (35) Choi, H. M. T.; Beck, V. A.; Pierce, N. A. Next-Generation *in Situ* 953

Hybridization Chain Reaction: Higher Gain, Lower Cost, Greater 954 Durability. ACS Nano 2014, 8, 4284–4294. 955

(36) Kishi, J. Y.; Lapan, S. W.; Beliveau, B. J.; West, E. R.; Zhu, A.; 956 Sasaki, H. M.; Saka, S. K.; Wang, Y.; Cepko, C. L.; Yin, P. Saber 957 Amplifies FISH: Enhanced Multiplexed Imaging of RNA and DNA in 958 Cells and Tissues. *Nat. Methods* **2019**, *16*, 533–544. 959

(37) Kishi, J. Y.; Schaus, T. E.; Gopalkrishnan, N.; Xuan, F.; Yin, P. 960 Programmable Autonomous Synthesis of Single-Stranded DNA. *Nat.* 961 *Chem.* **2018**, *10*, 155–164. 962

(38) Rouhanifard, S. H.; Mellis, I. A.; Dunagin, M.; Bayatpour, S.; 963 Jiang, C. L.; Dardani, I.; Symmons, O.; Emert, B.; Torre, E.; Cote, A.; 964 Sullivan, A.; Stamatoyannopoulos, J. A.; Raj, A. ClampFISH Detects 965 Individual Nucleic Acid Molecules Using Click Chemistry–Based 966 Amplification. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2019**, *37*, 84–89. 967

(39) Orjalo, A.; Johansson, H. E.; Ruth, J. L. Stellaris Fluorescence *in* 968 Situ Hybridization (FISH) Probes: A Powerful Tool for mRNA 969 Detection. Nat. Methods **2011**, 8, i–ii. 970

(40) Narrandes, S.; Xu, W. Gene Expression Detection Assay for 971 Cancer Clinical Use. J. Cancer 2018, 9, 2249–2265. 972

(41) Huber, D.; Voith von Voithenberg, L.; Kaigala, G. V. 973 Fluorescence *in Situ* Hybridization (FISH): History, Limitations and 974 What to Expect from Micro-Scale FISH? *Micro Nano Eng.* **2018**, *1*, 15–975 24. 976

(42) Chinen, A. B.; Guan, C. M.; Ferrer, J. R.; Barnaby, S. N.; Merkel, 977
T. J.; Mirkin, C. A. Nanoparticle Probes for the Detection of Cancer 978
Biomarkers, Cells, and Tissues by Fluorescence. *Chem. Rev.* 2015, *115*, 979
10530–10574. 980

(43) Wolfbeis, O. S. An Overview of Nanoparticles Commonly Used 981 in Fluorescent Bioimaging. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2015**, 44, 4743–4768. 982

(44) Howes, P. D.; Chandrawati, R.; Stevens, M. M. Colloidal 983 Nanoparticles as Advanced Biological Sensors. *Science* **2014**, *346*, 984 1247390. 985

(45) Reisch, A.; Klymchenko, A. S. Fluorescent Polymer Nano- 986
 particles Based on Dyes: Seeking Brighter Tools for Bioimaging. *Small* 987
 2016, 12, 1968–1992. 988

(46) Algar, W. R.; Massey, M.; Rees, K.; Higgins, R.; Krause, K. D.; 989 Darwish, G. H.; Peveler, W. J.; Xiao, Z.; Tsai, H.-Y.; Gupta, R.; Lix, K.; 990 Tran, M. V.; Kim, H. Photoluminescent Nanoparticles for Chemical 991 992 and Biological Analysis and Imaging. *Chem. Rev.* **2021**, *121*, 9243–993 9358.

994 (47) Luan, J.; Seth, A.; Gupta, R.; Wang, Z.; Rathi, P.; Cao, S.;
995 Gholami Derami, H.; Tang, R.; Xu, B.; Achilefu, S.; Morrissey, J. J.;
996 Singamaneni, S. Ultrabright Fluorescent Nanoscale Labels for the
997 Femtomolar Detection of Analytes with Standard Bioassays. *Nat.*998 Biomed. Eng. 2020, 4, 518-530.

999 (48) Zhao, X. J.; Tapec-Dytioco, R.; Tan, W. H. Ultrasensitive DNA 1000 Detection Using Highly Fluorescent Bioconjugated Nanoparticles. *J.* 1001 Am. Chem. Soc. **2003**, 125, 11474–11475.

(49) Hildebrandt, N.; Spillmann, C. M.; Algar, W. R.; Pons, T.;
Stewart, M. H.; Oh, E.; Susumu, K.; Diaz, S. A.; Delehanty, J. B.;
Medintz, I. L. Energy Transfer with Semiconductor Quantum Dot
Bioconjugates: A Versatile Platform for Biosensing, Energy Harvesting,
and Other Developing Applications. *Chem. Rev.* 2017, *117*, 536–711.
(50) Wu, W. B.; Bazan, G. C.; Liu, B. Conjugated-Polymer-Amplified
Sensing, Imaging, and Therapy. *Chem.* 2017, *2*, 760–790.

(51) Melnychuk, N.; Egloff, S.; Runser, A.; Reisch, A.; Klymchenko, A.
S. Light-Harvesting Nanoparticle Probes for FRET-Based Detection of
Oligonucleotides with Single-Molecule Sensitivity. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2020, *59*, 6811–6818.

1013 (52) Melnychuk, N.; Klymchenko, A. S. DNA-Functionalized Dye-1014 Loaded Polymeric Nanoparticles: Ultrabright FRET Platform for 1015 Amplified Detection of Nucleic Acids. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2018**, 140, 1016 10856–10865.

1017 (53) Ochmann, S. E.; Vietz, C.; Trofymchuk, K.; Acuna, G. P.; 1018 Lalkens, B.; Tinnefeld, P. Optical Nanoantenna for Single Molecule-1019 Based Detection of Zika Virus Nucleic Acids without Molecular 1020 Multiplication. *Anal. Chem.* **2017**, *89*, 13000–13007.

(54) Acuna, G. P.; Möller, F. M.; Holzmeister, P.; Beater, S.; Lalkens,
B.; Tinnefeld, P. Fluorescence Enhancement at Docking Sites of DNADirected Self-Assembled Nanoantennas. *Science* 2012, 338, 506–510.
(55) Vafaei, S.; Allabush, F.; Tabaei, S. R.; Male, L.; Dafforn, T. R.;
Tucker, J. H. R.; Mendes, P. M. Förster Resonance Energy Transfer
Nanoplatform Based on Recognition-Induced Fusion/Fission of DNA
Mixed Micelles for Nucleic Acid Sensing. *ACS Nano* 2021, *15*, 8517–
8524.

1029 (56) He, D.; Wong, K.-W.; Dong, Z.; Li, H.-W. Recent Progress in 1030 Live Cell mRNA/MicroRNA Imaging Probes Based on Smart and 1031 Versatile Nanomaterials. *J. Mater. Chem. B* **2018**, *6*, 7773–7793.

1032 (57) Prigodich, A. E.; Seferos, D. S.; Massich, M. D.; Giljohann, D. A.; 1033 Lane, B. C.; Mirkin, C. A. Nano-Flares for mRNA Regulation and 1034 Detection. *ACS Nano* **2009**, *3*, 2147–2152.

(58) Seferos, D. S.; Giljohann, D. A.; Hill, H. D.; Prigodich, A. E.;
Mirkin, C. A. Nano-Flares: Probes for Transfection and mRNA
Detection in Living Cells. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007, *129*, 15477–15479.
(59) Briley, W. E.; Bondy, M. H.; Randeria, P. S.; Dupper, T. J.;
Mirkin, C. A. Quantification and Real-Time Tracking of RNA in Live
Cells Using Sticky-Flares. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2015, *112*,
1041 9591–9595.

1042 (60) Zhou, W. J.; Li, D. X.; Xiong, C. Y.; Yuan, R.; Xiang, Y. 1043 Multicolor-Encoded Reconfigurable DNA Nanostructures Enable 1044 Multiplexed Sensing of Intracellular MicroRNAs in Living Cells. *ACS* 1045 *Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8*, 13303–13308.

(61) Li, N.; Wang, M. M.; Gao, X. N.; Yu, Z. Z.; Pan, W.; Wang, H. Y.;
Tang, B. A DNA Tetrahedron Nanoprobe with Controlled Distance of
Byes for Multiple Detection in Living Cells and *in Vivo. Anal. Chem.*2017, *89*, 6670–6677.

(62) Chandrasekaran, A. R.; Punnoose, J. A.; Zhou, L.; Dey, P.; Dey,
B. K.; Halvorsen, K. DNA Nanotechnology Approaches for MicroRNA
Detection and Diagnosis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2019, 47, 10489–10505.

1053 (63) He, L.; Lu, D.; Liang, H.; Xie, S.; Zhang, X.; Liu, Q.; Yuan, Q.; 1054 Tan, W. MRNA-Initiated, Three-Dimensional DNA Amplifier Able to 1055 Function inside Living Cells. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 258–263.

1056 (64) Ma, Y.; Mao, G.; Huang, W.; Wu, G.; Yin, W.; Ji, X.; Deng, Z.; 1057 Cai, Z.; Zhang, X.-E.; He, Z.; Cui, Z. Quantum Dot Nanobeacons for 1058 Single RNA Labeling and Imaging. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 1059 13454–13458. (65) Liu, Y.; Le, P.; Lim, S. J.; Ma, L.; Sarkar, S.; Han, Z. Y.; Murphy, S. 1060 J.; Kosari, F.; Vasmatzis, G.; Cheville, J. C.; Smith, A. M. Enhanced 1061 MRNA FISH with Compact Quantum Dots. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 1062 4461. 1063

(66) Qu, A. H.; Sun, M. Z.; Xu, L. G.; Hao, C. L.; Wu, X. L.; Xu, C. L.; 1064 Kotov, N. A.; Kuang, H. Quantitative Zeptomolar Imaging of MiRNA 1065 Cancer Markers with Nanoparticle Assemblies. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.* 1066 S. A. **2019**, *116*, 3391–3400. 1067

(67) Pan, W.; Liu, B.; Gao, X. N.; Yu, Z. Z.; Liu, X. H.; Li, N.; Tang, B. 1068 A Graphene-Based Fluorescent Nanoprobe for Simultaneous Monitoring of MiRNA and MRNA in Living Cells. *Nanoscale* **2018**, *10*, 14264– 1070 14271. 1071

(68) Dong, H.; Dai, W.; Ju, H.; Lu, H.; Wang, S.; Xu, L.; Zhou, S. F.; 1072 Zhang, Y.; Zhang, X. Multifunctional Poly(L-Lactide)-Polyethylene 1073 Glycol-Grafted Graphene Quantum Dots for Intracellular MicroRNA 1074 Imaging and Combined Specific-Gene-Targeting Agents Delivery for 1075 Improved Therapeutics. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces **2015**, 7, 11015–1076 11023. 1077

(69) Kim, E.; Yang, J.; Park, J.; Kim, S.; Kim, N. H.; Yook, J. I.; Suh, J.- 1078 S.; Haam, S.; Huh, Y.-M. Consecutive Targetable Smart Nanoprobe for 1079 Molecular Recognition of Cytoplasmic MicroRNA in Metastatic Breast 1080 Cancer. ACS Nano **2012**, *6*, 8525–8535. 1081

(70) Wang, Y.; Wu, C.; Chen, T.; Sun, H.; Cansiz, S.; Zhang, L.; Cui, 1082
C.; Hou, W.; Wu, Y.; Wan, S.; Cai, R.; Liu, Y.; Sumerlin, B. S.; Zhang, 1083
X.; Tan, W. DNA Micelle Flares: A Study of the Basic Properties That 1084
Contribute to Enhanced Stability and Binding Affinity in Complex 1085
Biological Systems. *Chem. Sci.* 2016, 7, 6041–6049. 1086

(71) Dong, X.; Ong, S. Y.; Zhang, C.; Chen, W.; Du, S.; Xiao, Q.; Gao, 1087 L.; Yao, S. Q. Broad-Spectrum Polymeric Nanoquencher as an Efficient 1088 Fluorescence Sensing Platform for Biomolecular Detection. *ACS Sens.* 1089 **2021**, *6*, 3102–3111. 1090

(72) Lin, L.-S.; Cong, Z.-X.; Cao, J.-B.; Ke, K.-M.; Peng, Q.-L.; Gao, J.; 1091 Yang, H.-H.; Liu, G.; Chen, X. Multifunctional Fe304@Polydopamine 1092 Core–Shell Nanocomposites for Intracellular MRNA Detection and 1093 Imaging-Guided Photothermal Therapy. ACS Nano **2014**, *8*, 3876– 1094 3883. 1095

(73) Sahay, G.; Alakhova, D. Y.; Kabanov, A. V. Endocytosis of 1096 Nanomedicines. J. Controlled Release 2010, 145, 182–195. 1097

(74) Iversen, T. G.; Skotland, T.; Sandvig, K. Endocytosis and 1098 Intracellular Transport of Nanoparticles: Present Knowledge and Need 1099 for Future Studies. *Nano Today* **2011**, *6*, 176–185. 1100

(75) Patel, S.; Kim, J.; Herrera, M.; Mukherjee, A.; Kabanov, A. V.; 1101 Sahay, G. Brief Update on Endocytosis of Nanomedicines. *Adv. Drug* 1102 *Delivery Rev.* **2019**, *144*, 90–111. 1103

(76) Ong, S. Y.; Zhang, C.; Xiao, D.; Yao, S. Q. Recent Advances in 1104 Polymeric Nanoparticles for Enhanced Fluorescence and Photo- 1105 acoustic Imaging. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 17797–17809. 1106

(77) Reisch, A.; Didier, P.; Richert, L.; Oncul, S.; Arntz, Y.; Mely, Y.; 1107 Klymchenko, A. S. Collective Fluorescence Switching of Counterion- 1108 Assembled Dyes in Polymer Nanoparticles. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*, 1109 4089. 1110

(78) Reisch, A.; Trofymchuk, K.; Runser, A.; Fleith, G.; Rawiso, M.; 1111 Klymchenko, A. S. Tailoring Fluorescence Brightness and Switching of 1112 Nanoparticles through Dye Organization in the Polymer Matrix. *ACS* 1113 *Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **201**7, *9*, 43030–43042. 1114

(79) Reisch, A.; Runser, A.; Arntz, Y.; Mely, Y.; Klymchenko, A. S. 1115 Charge-Controlled Nanoprecipitation as a Modular Approach to 1116 Ultrasmall Polymer Nanocarriers: Making Bright and Stable Nano- 1117 particles. *ACS Nano* **2015**, *9*, 5104–5116. 1118

(80) Reisch, A.; Heimburger, D.; Ernst, P.; Runser, A.; Didier, P.; 1119
Dujardin, D.; Klymchenko, A. S. Protein-Sized Dye-Loaded Polymer 1120
Nanoparticles for Free Particle Diffusion in Cytosol. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 1121
2018, 28, 1805157. 1122

(81) Andreiuk, B.; Reisch, A.; Lindecker, M.; Follain, G.; Peyrieras, 1123 N.; Goetz, J. G.; Klymchenko, A. S. Fluorescent Polymer Nanoparticles 1124 for Cell Barcoding *in Vitro* and *in Vivo*. *Small* **2017**, *13*, 1701582. 1125

(82) Trofymchuk, K.; Reisch, A.; Didier, P.; Fras, F.; Gilliot, P.; Mely, 1126 Y.; Klymchenko, A. S. Giant Light-Harvesting Nanoantenna for Single-Molecule Detection in Ambient Light. *Nat. Photonics* **2017**, *11*, 657. 1128

- 1129 (83) Severi, C.; Melnychuk, N.; Klymchenko, A. S. Smartphone-1130 Assisted Detection of Nucleic Acids by Light-Harvesting FRET-Based 1131 Nanoprobe, *Biosens, Bioelectron*, **2020**, *168*,112515
- 1132 (84) Egloff, S.; Melnychuk, N.; Reisch, A.; Martin, S.; Klymchenko, A.
- 1133 S. Enzyme-Free Amplified Detection of Cellular MicroRNA by Light-
- 1134 Harvesting Fluorescent Nanoparticle Probes. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2021**, 1135 *179*, 113084.

(85) Melnychuk, N.; Ashokkumar, P.; Aparin, I. O.; Klymchenko, A. S.
Pre- and Postfunctionalization of Dye-Loaded Polymeric Nanoparticles
for Preparation of FRET-Based Nanoprobes. ACS Appl. Mater.
I139 Interfaces 2021, DOI: 10.1021/acsapm.1c00819.

(86) Andreiuk, B.; Reisch, A.; Bernhardt, E.; Klymchenko, A. S.
1141 Fighting Aggregation-Caused Quenching and Leakage of Dyes in
1142 Fluorescent Polymer Nanoparticles: Universal Role of Counterion.
1143 Chem. - Asian J. 2019, 14, 836–846.

1144 (87) Olie, R. A.; Simões-Wüst, A. P.; Baumann, B.; Leech, S. H.;

1145 Fabbro, D.; Stahel, R. A.; Zangemeister-Wittke, U. A Novel Antisense 1146 Oligonucleotide Targeting Survivin Expression Induces Apoptosis and 1147 Sensitizes Lung Cancer Cells to Chemotherapy. *Cancer Res.* **2000**, *60*, 1148 2805–2809.

1149 (88) Arvey, A.; Hermann, A.; Hsia, C. C.; Ie, E.; Freund, Y.; McGinnis, 1150 W. Minimizing Off-Target Signals in RNA Fluorescent *in Situ* 1151 Hybridization. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2010**, *38*, e115.

1152 (89) Thomsen, R.; Nielsen, P. S.; Jensen, T. H. Dramatically 1153 Improved RNA *in Situ* Hybridization Signals Using LNA-Modified 1154 Probes. *RNA* **2005**, *11*, 1745–1748.

(90) Floyd, D. L.; Ragains, J. R.; Skehel, J. J.; Harrison, S. C.; van
Oijen, A. M. Single-Particle Kinetics of Influenza Virus Membrane
Fusion. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2008, 105, 15382–15387.

(91) Karstens, T.; Kobs, K. Rhodamine B and Rhodamine 101 as
Reference Substances for Fluorescence Quantum Yield Measurements. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1980**, *84*, 1871–1872.

1161 (92) Texier, I.; Goutayer, M.; Da Silva, A.; Guyon, L.; Djaker, N.;
1162 Josserand, V.; Neumann, E.; Bibette, J.; Vinet, F. Cyanine-Loaded Lipid
1163 Nanoparticles for Improved *in Vivo* Fluorescence Imaging. *J. Biomed.*1164 Opt. 2009, 14, 054005.

## **Supporting information**

# **Amplified Fluorescence** *in Situ* **Hybridization by Small and Bright Dye-Loaded Polymeric Nanoparticles**

Sylvie Egloff,<sup>§</sup> Nina Melnychuk,<sup>§</sup> Elisabete Da Silva, Andreas Reisch, Sophie Martin, Andrey S. Klymchenko\*

Laboratoire de Bioimagerie et Pathologies, UMR 7021 CNRS, Faculté de Pharmacie,

Université de Strasbourg, 74, Route du Rhin, 67401 Illkirch, France.

<sup>§</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work.

\*Corresponding author. E-mail address: andrey.klymchenko@unistra.fr (A. S. Klymchenko); tel: +33 368 85 42 55.

Table S1. Fluorescence quantum yields (QY) of NPs prepared with different dyes at varie	ed
oading mass ratio (vs. polymer).	

Encapsulated dye	Loading mass ratio (%) <sup>a</sup>	QY (%)
	30	52
R18/F5	50	41
	70	32
	20	34
Rh101-C18/F5	30	21
	50	10
	20	46
Rh101-C18/F12	30	34
	50	18
	20	45
DiD/F12	30	42
	50	32

<sup>a</sup> The weight% loading of the dye with respect to the polymer.

Dye	Type of NPs	Size by DLS	Size by TEM
Rh101-C18/F12	bare	$17.0\pm0.4$	$14.1 \pm 1.8$
	T20-NPs	$23.4\pm1.0$	$16.8 \pm 2.0$
R18/F5	bare	$17.7\pm0.4$	$18.2 \pm 2.7$
	T20-NPs	$26.9\pm0.3$	$20.0\pm2.6$
DiD/F12	bare	N/A	$16.3 \pm 2.3$
	T20-NPs	N/A	$17.9 \pm 2.5$

Table S2. Sizes of bare and DNA-functionalized NPs according to TEM and DLS data.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Error bars are standard deviation of the mean ( $n \ge 3$  for DLS; at least 200 NPs were analyzed for TEM).



**Figure S1.** Effect of polymer nature and different grafted DNA sequences. Bright field (right) and fluorescence (left) images of fixed Hela cells incubated with PMMA-based NPs and PEMA-based NPs of ~20 nm core size, functionalized with A20. Both single-stranded and double stranded (annealed with T20) DNA-NPs were tested (30 min incubation with cells). TIRF mode was used on fixed Hela cells without washing. Scale bar: 10 µm. PBS buffer with 50 mg/L Tween 80 was used for incubation and imaging.



**Figure S2.** (A) Comparison of TIRF fluorescence images of PEMA-based NPs functionalized with T20 (upper panel) and A20 (lower panel) recorded at identical conditions (inset shows an image where signal was amplified 5-fold for visibility of the cell). Cells were incubated during 1 h with NPs, then washed two times with 0.1 % BSA / PBS. Scale bar: 10  $\mu$ m. (B,C) Epi-fluorescence microscopy of fixed HeLa cells incubated for 1 h with DNA-NPs targeting survivin (B) and  $\beta$ -actin (C) (the same washing protocol as in A). Images for single-stranded (upper panels) and double-stranded (annealed with complementary strands) DNA-NPs are shown. Scale bar: 50  $\mu$ m. PBS buffer with 50 mg/L Tween 80 was systematically used for incubation and imaging (A-C).



**Figure S3.** Validation of DNA-NPs for detection of intracellular mRNA targets in fixed U87 cells. Single stranded probes  $\beta$ -actin-NPs loaded with Rh110-C18/F12, survivin-NPs loaded with R18/F5 and A20-NPs loaded with DiD/F12 for  $\beta$ -actin, survivin and poly(A) sequences of mRNA, were compared to controls with double stranded DNA-NPs (annealed with complementary sequences) and competitor oligonucleotides (100 nM) for corresponding  $\beta$ -actin and survivin sequences added 1 h before addition of DNA NPs. DNA-NPs concentration expressed in encapsulated dyes was 100 nM. Scale bar: 50 µm.



**Figure S4.** Validation of DNA-NPs for detection of intracellular mRNA targets in fixed MDA-MB-231 cells. Single stranded probes  $\beta$ -actin-NPs loaded with Rh110-C18/F12, survivin-NPs loaded with R18/F5 and A20-NPs loaded with DiD/F12 for  $\beta$ -actin, survivin and poly(A) sequences of mRNA, were compared to controls with double stranded DNA-NPs (annealed with complementary sequences) and competitor oligonucleotides (100 nM) for corresponding  $\beta$ -actin and survivin sequences added 1 h before addition of DNA NPs. DNA-NPs concentration expressed in encapsulated dyes was 100 nM. Scale bar: 50 µm.

Colocalization	HeLa	U87	MDA
Actin in T20	0.988	0.935	0.998
T20 in Actin	0.322	0.208	0.283
Survivin in T20	0.937	0.750	0.962
T20 in Survivin	0.275	0.208	0.437
Actin in Survivin	0.661	0.499	0.946
Survivin in Actin	0.678	0.330	0.604

 Table S3. Manders' colocalization coefficient M1/M2 of multiplexed detection of mRNA sequences.

#### Supporting videos

**Video S1.** Video of 3D reconstruction of surviving mRNA imaging using AmpliFISH probes. HeLa cells were incubated during 1 h with DNA-NPs targeting survivin, then washed two times with 0.1 % BSA / PBS. Multiple stacks were acquired using spinning disk microscopy. 3D image reconstruction was performed using IMARIS software. Gray dots correspond to NPs identified automatically by the imaging software for quantitative analysis.

**Video S2.** Real-time video imaging of HeLa cells stained with actin-NPs using spinning disk fluorescence microscopy. HeLa cells were incubated during 1 h with DNA-NPs targeting  $\beta$ -actin mRNA, then washed two times with 0.1 % BSA / PBS. Multiple images from a single plane of HeLa cells was recorded each 100 ms using spinning disk microscopy. Scale bar is 10  $\mu$ m.