

# Subchronic alteration of vestibular hair cells in mice: implications for multisensory gaze stabilization

Louise Schenberg, Aïda Palou, François Simon, Tess Bonnard, Charles-Elliot Barton, Desdemona Fricker, Michele Tagliabue, Jordi Llorens, Mathieu Beraneck

# ► To cite this version:

Louise Schenberg, Aïda Palou, François Simon, Tess Bonnard, Charles-Elliot Barton, et al.. Sub-chronic alteration of vestibular hair cells in mice: implications for multisensory gaze stabilization. eLife, In press, 10.7554/elife.88819.2 . hal-04292263

# HAL Id: hal-04292263 https://hal.science/hal-04292263v1

Submitted on 17 Nov 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



#### Neuroscience

# Subchronic alteration of vestibular hair cells in mice: implications for multisensory gaze stabilization

#### **Reviewed Preprint**

Revised by authors after peer review.

#### About eLife's process

Reviewed preprint version 2 November 3, 2023 (this version)

**Reviewed preprint version 1** July 27, 2023

Sent for peer review May 17, 2023

#### Posted to bioRxiv April 19, 2023

# Louise Schenberg, Aïda Palou, François Simon, Tess Bonnard, Charles-Elliot Barton, Desdemona Fricker, Michele Tagliabue, Jordi Llorens 🎽, Mathieu Beraneck 🎽

Université Paris Cité, CNRS UMR 8002, INCC - Integrative Neuroscience and Cognition Center, F-75006, Paris, France • Departament de Ciències Fisiològiques, Universitat de Barcelona, 08907 l'Hospitalet de Llobrega, Catalunya, Spain • Institut de Neurociènces, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Catalunya, Spain • Institut d'Investigació Biomèdica de Bellvitge (IDIBELL), 08907 l'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Catalunya, Spain • Department of paediatric otolaryngology, Hôpital Necker-Enfants Malades, APHP, F-75015, Paris, France

d https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Open\_access

© Copyright information

# Abstract

The functional complementarity of the vestibulo-ocular reflex (VOR) and optokinetic reflex (OKR) allows for optimal combined gaze stabilization responses (CGR) in light. While sensory substitution has been reported following complete vestibular loss, the capacity of the central vestibular system to compensate for partial peripheral vestibular loss remains to be determined. Here, we first demonstrate the efficacy of a 6-week subchronic ototoxic protocol in inducing transient and partial vestibular loss which equally affects the canal- and otolithdependent VORs. Immunostaining of hair cells in the vestibular sensory epithelia revealed that organ-specific alteration of type I, but not type II, hair cells correlates with functional impairments. The decrease in VOR performance is paralleled with an increase in the gain of the OKR occurring in a specific range of frequencies where VOR normally dominates gaze stabilization, compatible with a sensory substitution process. Comparison of unimodal OKR or VOR versus bimodal CGR revealed that visuo-vestibular interactions remain reduced despite a significant recovery in the VOR. Modeling and sweep-based analysis revealed that the differential capacity to optimally combine OKR and VOR correlates with the reproducibility of the VOR responses. Overall, these results shed light on the multisensory reweighting occurring in pathologies with fluctuating peripheral vestibular malfunction.

### eLife assessment

This paper provides a **fundamental** expansion of vestibular compensation into transient and partial dysfunction, as well as insights into the adaptation of visual reflexes in this process. The conclusions are **convincingly** supported with paired histological and behavioral measurements, which are additionally modeled for further interpretation. This work would be of interest to neuroscientists working in multisensory integration and recovery mechanisms.



# Introduction

The vestibular system is well-preserved amongst vertebrates, participating in essential functions such as balance, postural control and, together with the optokinetic system, gaze stabilization (Straka et al., 2016 🖸; Wibble et al., 2022 🗹). Beyond these recognized roles, vestibular signals also contribute to cognitive processes e.g spatial orientation and navigation (Cullen, 2019 🖒), or body representation (Lopez et al., 2012 C); Facchini et al., 2021 C). Because of its involvement in many basic functions important in our daily life, vestibular pathologies affecting the inner ear are associated with a significant deterioration of the well-being of patients (Möhwald et al., 2020 C) and represent an important public health concern (Agrawal et al., 2009 C, 2013 C).

Research on post-lesion plasticity following permanent vestibular loss has shed light on the neural plastic mechanisms that follow a chronic unilateral or bilateral vestibular lesion, a process referred as "vestibular compensation" (Brandt et al., 1997 🖸; Cullen et al., 2010 🔁; Beraneck and Idoux, 2012 C). The compensation taking place after the lesion is known to involve dynamical multisensory reweighting of proprioceptive and visual inputs and of internal efferent copies (Cullen et al., 2010<sup>2</sup>; Sadeghi et al., 2012<sup>2</sup>; Sadeghi and Beraneck, 2020<sup>2</sup>). While total and permanent lesions offer the experimental opportunity to characterize drastic cellular and molecular changes triggered by the total silencing of the vestibular endorgans, they imperfectly mimic clinical situations where peripheral vestibular function loss is only partial and/or transient (Bisdorff et al., 2009 C; Lopez-Escamez et al., 2015 C; Brandt and Dieterich, 2017 C). To better model fluctuating inner ear function, protocols based on subchronic exposure to an ototoxic substance, 3,3<sup>2</sup> iminodiproprionitrile (IDPN) were first introduced in the rat (Seoane et al., 2001 <sup>C</sup>; Sedó-Cabezón et al., 2014 , 2015 ; Martins-Lopes et al., 2019) and more recently in the mouse (Grequske et al., 2019 C). Subchronic exposure to IDPN in drinking water at low doses allowed for progressive ototoxicity, leading to a partial and largely reversible loss of function. Although the subchronic IDPN protocol was shown to cause postural and locomotor deficits (Martins-Lopes et al., 2019), its effects on the gaze stabilizing reflexes, namely the vestibulo-ocular and optokinetic reflexes, have not yet been described.

The primary objective of the present study is first to assess how subchronic exposure to IDPN may affect the function of the different vestibular endorgans. To that end, we took advantage of our recently described methodology (Simon et al., 2020 , 2021 ) using canal-specific and otolith-specific tests. Quantification of the vestibulo-ocular reflexes is a sensitive and specific method to assess the functionality of the sensory-motor vestibular pathway; it is the most used test in clinics, and highly correlates to quality-of-life reports in patients suffering from acute peripheral diseases (Möhwald et al., 2020 ).

The secondary objective is to determine whether visual substitution occurs following transient and partial vestibular loss. Optogenetic stimulation of the vestibular pathway demonstrated the recruitment of circuits involved in visual processing at the midbrain, thalamic and cortical regions (Leong et al., 2019 <sup>C2</sup>). Recent imaging studies in rodents have shown that acute vestibular loss triggers brain-wide adaptive plasticity in circuits known to be involved in visual processing (Zwergal et al., 2016 <sup>C2</sup>; Grosch et al., 2021 <sup>C2</sup>). In addition, it was previously shown that OKR plasticity is triggered during vestibular compensation following a permanent vestibular lesion (Faulstich et al., 2006 <sup>C2</sup>; Nelson et al., 2017 <sup>C2</sup>).

We report that 6 weeks of IDPN subchronic treatment affects both the canal- and otolithdependent vestibulo-ocular reflexes and that organ-specific loss of type I hair cells (HC) correlates with individual mice's impairments. We show that optokinetic adaptive compensation is frequency-specific and delayed with respect to the VOR changes. OKR changes occur at the frequencies where physiologically the vestibular inputs dominate visuo-vestibular gaze



stabilization. We demonstrate that despite the significant recovery of their vestibulo-ocular reflexes, the visuo-vestibular integration remains notably impaired in some IDPN-treated mice. We suggest that the "noisiness" of the recovered vestibular signal affects their capacity to optimally combine visual and vestibular responses. Overall, these results shed light on the dynamic of multisensory reweighting in patients suffering from fluctuating peripheral vestibular malfunction.

# Results

# Effects of the subchronic treatment of IDPN on the canal- and otolith-dependent VOR

To investigate the effects of the IDPN on vestibulo-ocular reflexes (VOR), animals were exposed to the ototoxic compound in the drinking water for six weeks (Treatment period), followed by 6 weeks of standard drinking water without IDPN (Washout period). The VOR were quantified every two weeks using canal-specific and otolith-specific tests. Horizontal sinusoidal rotations in the dark were performed and oculomotor responses were recorded using video-oculography (Figure **1A**<sup>C</sup>) to study the impact of IDPN treatment on the canal-dependent angular VOR (aVOR). Typical raw aVOR traces are shown in **Figure 1B** <sup>C</sup>. At week 6 (W6) the amplitude of the eye movements was distinctly reduced compared to W0, while at W12 the amplitude of the response appeared partially restored. The dynamics of decrease and recovery of the mean aVOR gain over the course of the protocol are reported in **Figure 1C** for both IDPN (n=21) and SHAM (n=22) groups. The evolution over time of the aVOR gain is significantly different for the two groups of mice (ANOVA Weeks x Group interaction, F(6,246)=29,949, p<10<sup>-4</sup>). Before treatment, both groups responded similarly to the sinusoidal stimulations and their aVOR gain remained unchanged through 2 weeks of treatment (W2). However, starting W4 the aVOR gain of IDPN group significantly decreased with respect to W0 (Newman-Keuls post hoc test: IDPN W0 vs W4 p< $10^{-4}$ , see **Table 1**  $\square$ ) and was significantly lower compared with the SHAM group (W4, IDPN vs SHAM p<10<sup>-4</sup>). The aVOR gain of the IDPN group remained lower compared to SHAM through the rest of the protocol (W6 IDPN vs SHAM p<10<sup>-4</sup>, W8 IDPN vs SHAM p<10<sup>-4</sup>, W10 IDPN vs SHAM p<10<sup>-4</sup>, W12 IDPN vs SHAM p<10<sup>-4</sup>) with a minimum reached at W6 corresponding to  $\sim 2/3$  of aVOR loss (IDPN W4 vs W6 p<10<sup>-4</sup>, IDPN W6 vs W8 p=0,043762). At the end of the six weeks of washout, the mean aVOR significantly improved (IDPN W6 vs W12 p<10<sup>-4</sup>) to levels observed at W4 (IDPN W4 vs W12 p=0.561969). Notably, the amplitude and dynamic of gain changes were similar for all frequencies >0.2Hz (see Figure 1 supplement 1A). However, at the lowest frequency tested (0.2Hz), aVOR gain decrease reached significance only at W6.

aVOR responses were further modified by significant phase leads that affected all frequencies starting W6 (Figure 1 supplement 1B, ANOVA repeated measures Weeks x Group Interactions F(6, 246)=14.528,  $p<10^{-4}$ ). Overall, canalar responses remained unaffected until week 2, but the amplitude and/or timing of the aVOR was abnormal from week 4 until the end of the protocol, despite a significant recovery of angular VOR responses observed during the washout period.

To determine whether otolith-dependent VOR was also affected by IDPN treatment, ocular-counter roll responses (OCR) were tested during static lateral inclination in the range  $\pm 40^{\circ}$  (OCR; **Figure 1D**  $\square$ ). Examples of raw traces and quantification of the response are shown in **Figure 1E**  $\square$ , and mean gain of the OCR of each group is plotted in **Figure 1F**  $\square$  (n=13 IDPN, n=14 SHAM). The modulations of responses amplitude were significantly different between IDPN and SHAM groups (ANOVA repeated measures Weeks x Group Interaction F(6, 150)= 7.7411 p<10<sup>-4</sup>). We note that there was a significant difference between SHAM and IDPN during the initial measurements at W0, before any treatment. However, at this timepoint mice were not yet separated into different groups. This incidental difference completely disappeared on the measurement performed at W2 (W2 IDPN vs SHAM p=0.8135). While the gain of the SHAM group stays in a 0.5-0.6 range over the



#### Figure 1

#### Effects of subchronic IDPN on canal- and otolithic- dependent VOR.

**A)** Illustration of the angular horizontal vestibulo-ocular reflex (aVOR) set-up. All tests are performed in complete dark. **B)** Examples of velocity aVOR traces in response to table rotations (1Hz at 30°/s) recorded in the dark in an IDPN mouse before (W0, corresponding gain of 0.785; VAF of 0.98), after 6 weeks of treatment (W6, gain: 0.14; VAF: 0.61) and 6 weeks of washout (W12, gain:0.48; VAF: 0.92). Right movement is represented up. **C)** Mean aVOR gain of SHAM (n=22) and IDPN (n=21) mice during the protocol. **D)** Illustration of the ocular-counter roll (OCR) set-up. **E)**Examples of raw OCR traces at W0, W6 and W12 in a IDPN mouse recorded in the dark. Tilt to the right is represented up (positive values). (Left) eye elevation is represented up. **F)** Mean OCR gain of SHAM (n=14) and IDPN (n=13) mice. We note that there was a significant difference between SHAM and IDPN during the initial measurements at W0. However, at this time point mice were not yet separated into different groups. This incidental difference completely disappeared on the measurement performed at W2. (\*p< 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001). Error bars represent ±SEM.

W0	W2	W4	W6	W8	W10	W12	
	ns	***	***	***	***	***	W0
ns		***	***	***	***	***	W2
***	***		***	***	ns	ns	W4
***	***	***		*	***	***	W6
***	***	***	*		***	***	W8
***	***	ns	***	***		ns	W10
***	***	ns	***	***	ns		W12

#### Table 1

#### Statistics table of the aVOR gain for the IDPN treated group.



whole duration of the protocol, the responses of the IDPN group significantly decreased at W4 compared to the SHAM group (W4 IDPN vs SHAM  $p<10^{-4}$ ). This decrease was larger at W6 (IDPN W4 vs W6 p=0.023) and stayed significantly different from the SHAM group until W8. At W10 and W12, the OCR of IDPN group recovered to a level comparable to the SHAM group (W10 IDPN vs SHAM p=0.1262, W12 IPDN vs SHAM p=0.3385).

Sub-chronic treatment of IDPN was also investigated through the dynamic Off Vertical Axis Rotation (OVAR) test (Figure 1 supplement 1C), which primarily reflects maculo-ocular (dominantly otolithic) responses integrated by central vestibular pathways. The maculo-ocular reflex (MOR) bias decreased significantly compared to the SHAM group (ANOVA Weeks x Group, F(6, 132)= 10.076,  $p<10^{-4}$ ) starting W2 of treatment (W2 IDPN vs SHAM p=0.0018), demonstrating that ototoxicity already affected the vestibular system at this early time point. The maximal decrease was reached at W6 (W6 IDPN vs SHAM p=0.0012) and recovery led to normal responses at W12 (W12 IDPN vs SHAM p=0.4709).

# Comparison of otolith- and canal-dependent plasticity in individuals

To compare the dynamic of canal- and otolith-dependent VOR alterations, the paired VOR and OCR gains measured in individuals from the IDPN group (n=13) are plotted together (**Figure 2** <sup>□</sup>). Alteration in canal- and otolith-dependent responses followed a very similar time course (OCR vs aVOR at 1HZ on **figure 2A** <sup>□</sup>; similar patterns were obtained with the other tested frequencies (not shown)).

To investigate further the organ-specific responses, the individual values of VOR gain at 1Hz (left panel) and OCR slope (right panel) are plotted in **Figure 2B** 🖒 . These plots show the variability of the responses observed in different individuals. 2/3 of the mice had aVOR responses lowered by more than 50%, while 1/3 had milder aVOR impairments. In most cases, however, the aVOR gain decreased notably between W4 and W6 and started to recover from W8 (compare individual slopes in **Figure 2B** ). Similarly, OCR gains were variable between individuals. However, the dynamic of the variations in otolith-dependent responses followed a pattern comparable to that of the canal-dependent changes.

To determine whether the changes in canal- and otolith-dependent responses were proportional, individual variations in gain since pre-treatment of the aVOR and OCR were compared at W6 (**Figure 2C** ⊂) and W12 (**Figure 2D** ⊂). At W6, there was a significant correlation between the amplitude of the changes observed in aVOR and OCR, such that canalar and otolithic loss of function was proportional (slope of regression line: 0.5586). Despite the general recovery, this significant correlation was preserved at W12: the recovery in canal-dependent responses was proportional to the recovery in otolith-dependent responses (slope of regression line: 0.5566). Overall, these results suggest that the subchronic IDPN treatment similarly affects the vestibulo-ocular reflexes that depend on semi-circular canals and on the otolithic organs, respectively.

# Effects of the IDPN exposure on the number of hair cells in the vestibular epithelia

To correlate the vestibular loss of function to the structural changes induced by the ototoxic compound, vestibular epithelia were dissected and labelled to assess the number of hair cells (HC) in the organs. One horizontal semi-circular canal and one utricule were harvested at W6 for n=7 IDPN and n=4 SHAM, and at W12 for n=8 IDPN and n=4 SHAM, and each organ epithelium was divided into the central and peripheral region to differentiate the possible participation of zone-and organ-specific HC to the vestibular function. Vestibular HC were labelled with type-specific immunomarkers in both endorgans (**Figure 3** <sup>□</sup>). Confocal immunostaining data are presented in **Figure 3A1** <sup>□</sup> for the horizontal semi-circular canals and **Figure 3C1** <sup>□</sup> for the utricule. 6-week



#### Figure 2

#### Comparison of the dynamics of canalar and otolithic loss of function.

**A)** Evolution of the Mean Gain of the aVOR (1Hz) and OCR responses of IDPN mice (n=13) during the treatment and washout periods. **B)** Individual gains for 1Hz aVOR (left) and OCR (right) of the 13 IDPN mice, identified with similar symbols. The grey symbols in the left panel correspond to aVOR gain values associated with VAF<0.5. **C**, **D**) Individual  $\Delta$ aVOR gains as a function of individual  $\Delta$ OCR gains at W6 (C) and W12 (D) compared to W0, for SHAM (n=14) and IDPN (n=13) mice. The linear regression corresponds to IDPN values is represented, as well as the 50% confidence interval of each group (shaded areas). The symbols for each animal are the same in panels B, C and D. (\*p< 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001). Error bars represent ±SEM.

# 🍪 eLife

long treatment of IDPN exposure led to a significant reduction in the labelling of type I HC in the canal crista (quantified in **Figure 3A2** <sup>CD</sup>, Non parametric Kruskal-Wallis test, SHAM vs IDPN Spp1, p=0.0322; SHAM vs IDPN CASPR1, p=0.0268; Figure 3 supplement 3A1 and B1 for the peripheral zones) and in the otolith macula (Figure 3C2 22, Non parametric Kruskal Wallis test SHAM vs IDPN Spp1, p=0.0279; SHAM vs IDPN CASPR1, p=0.0343). However, the treatment did not alter type II HCspecific labelling as the number of Calre+ cells in the IDPN group was similar to the SHAM in all regions of either vestibular endorgans (Figure 3A2 <sup>C2</sup>, canal crista, Calre: Non parametric Kruskal-Wallis test IDPN vs SHAM, p>0.9999; Figure 3C2 2, otolith macula, Calre: SHAM vs IDPN, p>0.9999). Importantly, the total number of HC marked with Myo7a (labelling both type I and type II HC) was not significantly different between the IDPN-treated mice and the SHAM, suggesting that the alteration of type I HC is not associated with cell loss. Moreover, at W12 the number of type I HC labelled in the IDPN-treated mice was no longer significantly different from the SHAM group in either endorgans (Figure 3A3 <sup>CZ</sup> for crista; Figure 3C3 <sup>CZ</sup> for macula). The count of type II-specific markers and of non-specific HC markers was not statistically different between SHAM and IDPN either, so that overall no difference persisted at W12, indicating a structural recovery at the end of the washout period.

To determine how the loss of HC correlates with organ-specific functional tests, the numbers of type I and II HC in the central region of the horizontal semi-circular canals are plotted as a function of the mean aVOR gain for mice at W6 and W12 (**Figure 3B1** <sup>C2</sup>). Both markers of type I HC (CASPR1 and Spp1, left panels) were significantly correlated with the aVOR gain (linear regression, Spp1: r<sup>2</sup>=0.5128, p=0.0001; CASPR1: r<sup>2</sup>=0.4948, p=0.0002) whereas the rather constant number of type II HC in the ampulla did not correlate with the variation observed in aVOR function. Finally, to determine if the correlation was due to the recovery occurring between W6 and W12, the number of CASPR+ cells of IDPN mice counted at W12 are plotted as a function either of the individual aVOR gain at W12 (pink squares) or aVOR gain at W6 (black circles), paired by an arrow (**Figure 3B2** <sup>C2</sup>). The recovery of the vestibular function between W6 and W12 induces a shift toward the W12 linear regression, reinforcing the notion that the recovery of function was related to the increase in the number of hair cells with normal expression of biochemical markers.

The numbers of type I HC and II HC found in the striolar region of the utricule are similarly plotted in relation to the OCR gain (linear regression, Spp1:  $r^2=0.6011$ , p<0.0001; CASPR1:  $r^2=0.6342$ , p<0.0001, **Figure 3D1** (2). Again, the otolithic function correlated with the number of type I HC, and not type II HC in the central region. Furthermore, the correlation found between the otolithic function and the number of type I HC related to the increase in the number of hair cells after the recovery period (**Figure 3C2** (2)). A similar correlation between organ-specific function and the number of type I HC was also found in the peripheral regions of these organs (see Figure 3 supplement 3C1, 3C2 for the SCC, and Figure 3 supplement 3D1, 3D2 for the utricule).

Taken together, comparison at W6 and W12 of non-specific HC and type I-specific HC markers suggest that the ototoxic effect induces a transient biochemical alteration of type I HC rather than a definitive hair cell degeneration. Overall, IDPN-induced alteration of canal and otolith functions are correlated with the ototoxic effect on type I, and not type II, HC in the central and peripheral zones of vestibular endorgans.

# Effects of the subchronic treatment of IDPN on the optokinetic reflex

To determine the effects of the subchronic treatment of IDPN on the optokinetic reflex (OKR), mice were tested with sinusoidal rotations of a virtual drum (**Figure 4A** <sup>□</sup>). An example of raw traces of the evoked horizontal eye movements is shown in **Figure 4B** <sup>□</sup> for different timepoints (0.5Hz at 10deg.s<sup>-1</sup> optokinetic stimulation). The mean OKR gain for all tested frequencies is plotted in **Figure 4C** <sup>□</sup> for SHAM (n=12) and IDPN-treated mice (n=12). The mean OKR gain of IDPN mice was



#### Figure 3

# Immuno-labelling of HC in the central regions of the horizontal SCC ampulla and striolar region of the utricule Macula.

**A**, **C**) Immunolabelling of type I HC (Spp1+ and CASPR1+), type II HC (Calre +), or all HC (Myo7a) for the SHAM, IDPN W6 and IDPN W12 groups in the central ampulla of the horizontal canal (A1) and central utricular maculae (C1). Cell count at W6 and W12 in the central horizontal ampulla (A2 and A3) and central utricular maculae (C2 and C3) for individual mice. **B1**, **D1**) Individual number of central Spp1+ type I HC, CASPR1 + type I HC and Calre+ type II HC, or all HC(Myo7a) as a function of the aVOR gain (B1) or OCR gain (D1) at W6 (circle) and W12 (squares) groups. The linear regressions correspond to all individuals (n=23 mice). **B2**, **D2**) Comparison of the number of CASPR1 type I HC as a function of the aVOR (B2) gain or OCR gain (D2) at W6 (black and circle) and at W12 (pink and square) for each IDPN mice of the W12 group (n=8). Note that all points are shifted toward the regression line (redrawn from respectively B1 and D1), indicating that the number of cells at W12 better correlates with the recovered aVOR. (\*p<0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001). Error bars represent ±SEM.



significantly different from the mean OKR gain of SHAM mice at W8 (interaction between Weeks and Group F(6,132)=2.9845, p=0.0091; IDPN W0 vs W8, p=0.0216, see **Table 2** <sup>(1)</sup>) where it reached its peak (IDPN W0 vs W8, p=0.00037). The gain stayed significantly larger compared to the SHAM through the end of the washout period (W12 IDPN vs SHAM, p=0.046).

To determine whether this OKR modulation was frequency-specific, the gain of the 5 tested frequencies was compared for W0, W6, W8 and W12 (**Figure 4D**  $\[C]$ ). There was at W0 no difference between SHAM and IDPN mice (**Figure 4D**  $\[C]$ , left panel, W0). At W6, there was a significant difference between the gain of the IDPN and SHAM groups limited to the frequencies 0.5 and 1Hz (W6 IDPN vs. SHAM, 0.5Hz, p=0.013117; W6 IDPN vs. SHAM, 1Hz, p<10<sup>-4</sup>). At W8, the gains measured at frequencies above 0.2Hz were significantly increased compared to the SHAM group (W8 IDPN vs. SHAM, 0.33Hz, p=0.027687; 0.5Hz, p=0.0025; 1Hz, p=0.00015). At W12, the gain remained high for both 0.5 and 1Hz whereas the gain at 0.33Hz was no longer significantly larger than the SHAM group (W12 IDPN vs. SHAM, 0.33Hz, ns; 0.5Hz, p=0.0069; 1Hz, p=0.00078). Globally, responses at higher frequencies (0.33, 0.5 and 1 Hz) were significantly increased (ANOVA Weeks x Group x Frequencies interaction, F(24, 528)=6.5870, p<10<sup>-4</sup>) whereas responses at the lowest frequencies <0.1 and 0.2Hz) were not significantly modulated. Additionally, these changes in gain for frequencies >0.2Hz were not accompanied by changes in the timing (phase) of the OKR (**Figure 4E**  $\[C]$ ) (ANOVA, Weeks x Group x Frequencies interaction, p=0.3802).

## **Relation between VOR decrease and optokinetic increase**

To correlate the changes in vestibular pathway with the frequency-specific changes observed in the optokinetic pathway, we compared the responses in aVOR and OKR obtained at the frequencies that were common between the 2 tests (i.e 0.2 Hz, 0.5 Hz and 1Hz) for n=12 SHAM and n=12 IDPN treated mice by comparing the increase in the OKR and decrease in VOR relative to W0 (Δmean gain, see **Figure 5**<sup>C</sup>). To determine whether the changes in VOR and OKR were proportional, we compared the paired decrease and increase in the mean ΔaVOR and ΔOKR gain after 8 weeks of treatment, when the difference between the 2 reflexes peaked (**Figure 5A**<sup>C</sup>). The vast majority (n=11/12) of IDPN-treated mice showed a decrease in aVOR and an increase in OKR (top left quadrant corner, **Figure 5B**<sup>C</sup>), which was not the case for SHAM mice (blue squares). However, there was no correlation between the amplitude of the VOR decrease and the amplitude of the OKR increase, i.e mice that had the greatest VOR loss did not show the largest OKR increase (slope in the regression line: 0.27, p=0.0834).

To determine whether the parallel changes in OKR and VOR are frequency-specific, **Figure 5C**  $\square$  compares the gains measured at W0 and W8 for each frequency. At 0.2Hz, there was a significant decrease in aVOR (IDPN W0 vs. W8 0.2Hz p<10<sup>-4</sup>), however the OKR was not modified. On the other hand, at 0.5 and 1 Hz significant VOR decreases (IDPN W0 vs. W8, 0.5Hz, p<10<sup>-4</sup>; 1Hz, p<10<sup>-4</sup>) were accompanied by a significant OKR increase (IDPN W0 vs. W8, 0.5Hz, p<10<sup>-4</sup>; 1Hz, p<10<sup>-4</sup>). To examine this frequency-selective increase, the percentage of change in the OKR gain is plotted as a function of the *vestibular weight*, determined as the ratio between the aVOR and aVOR+OKR values at week 0. The *vestibular weight* therefore represents the frequency-dependent relative influence of the vestibular signal on gaze stabilization. **Figure 5D**  $\square$  shows that at week 8, the change in the OKR occurred at the frequencies for which the *vestibular weight* among frequencies (slope of the regression line: 1.235, r<sup>2</sup>=0.6299, p<0.0001). Overall, these results suggest that the increase in OKR gain observed at high frequencies could correspond to a "visual substitution" occurring primarily in the range where the vestibular inputs are normally dominating gaze stabilization.



### Figure 4

#### Effects of subchronic IDPN treatment on the OKR.

**A)** Illustration of the optokinetic reflex (OKR) set-up. **B)** Example of raw traces of an OKR recorded in response to stimulation at 0.5Hz at a peak velocity of 10°/s before (W0), after 4 weeks of IDPN treatment (W4), 2 weeks (W8) and 6 weeks of washout (W12). All traces are from the same individual. **C)** Mean OKR gain of IDPN (n=12) and SHAM (n=12) mice. **D)** OKR gain and **(E)** phase for IDPN (n=12) and SHAM (n=12) for each frequency at W0, W6, W8 and W12. (\*p< 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001). Error bars represent ±SEM.

W0	W2	W4	W6	W8	W10	W12	
	ns	ns	ns	***	ns	ns	W0
ns		ns	ns	***	**	ns	W2
ns	ns		ns	ns	ns	ns	W4
ns	ns	ns		*	ns	ns	W6
***	***	ns	*		ns	ns	W8
ns	**	ns	ns	ns		ns	W10
ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns		W12

#### Table 2

Statistics table of the OKR gain for the IDPN treated group.



### Figure 5

#### Comparison of the IDPN treatment on OKR and aVOR.

**A)** Mean  $\Delta$  aVOR and  $\Delta$  Gain OKR for 0.2, 0.5 and 1Hz for IDPN (n=12) and SHAM (n=12) mice. **B)** Individual  $\Delta$ OKR gains as a function of individual  $\Delta$ AVOR gains. The 50% confidence interval of each group is represented in the shaded areas. **C)** aVOR and OKR gains of IDPN mice (n=12) at W0 and W8 for frequencies of 0.2, 0.5 and 1Hz. **D)** Percentage of the individual vestibular weight (inset), as a function of the percentage of the individual OKR gains change for IDPN (n=12). The linear regression corresponds to all values (n=36). (\*p< 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001). Error bars represent ±SEM.



# Visuo-vestibular interactions following alteration of vestibular

## inputs

To investigate whether OKR increase did constitute a "visual substitution" that maintained optimal gaze stabilization at light despite vestibular loss, we investigated how IDPN-treated mice integrated vestibular and visual inputs. To this end, a session of combined visual and vestibular stimulation (aVOR in light, here referred as Combined Gaze Response or CGR condition) was performed at W6 and at W12 (n=19 IDPN; n=12 SHAM). A model (see methods) was implemented to predict the theoretical CGR (visuo-vestibular) gain and phase from aVOR and OKR (unimodal) measured values. The model was first optimized to correctly predict the average SHAM CGR gains and phases (Figure 6A <sup>C</sup>) from their individual aVOR and OKR responses, and then applied to the individual IDPN data. The predicted and observed CGR gain (Model factor) were compared for each treatment group (ANOVA Group x Model, F(1,29)= 12.236, p=0.0013): as expected, model predictions for SHAM well-matched experimental data (Post-hoc Newman-keuls, SHAM MODEL vs SHAM CGR, p=0.41). When applied to IDPN mice the model predicted that their CGR gain should be lower compared to SHAM (shaded blue and red areas on Figures 6A 🗹 and 6B 🖒, Post-hoc Newman-keuls, SHAM MODEL vs IDPN MODEL, p=0.00176), suggesting that even an optimal combination of unimodal responses could not restore completely normal CGR in IDPN-treated mice. The CGR gains observed for IDPN-treated mice actually revealed how their visuo-vestibular responses were not only reduced compared to SHAM (compare solid blue and red lines in Figure **6A-B** C, Post-hoc Newman-keuls, SHAM CGR vs IDPN CGR, p=0.00055), but were even inferior to the prediction of the model (compare solid red line and shaded red area in **Figure 6B** , Post-hoc Newman-keuls, IDPN MODEL vs IDPN CGR, p=0.00041). This suggests that the IDPN-treated mice sub-optimally combined their residual/compensated vestibular and visual reflexes to stabilize gaze. Figure 6C 🗹 illustrates the relationship between the measured CGR gain and the prediction of the model for all tested frequencies. For SHAM animals, CGR gain values were positioned along the unity line that represents a close match between predicted and measured CGR (mean±SEM: SHAM MODEL 0.8255±0.053; SHAM CGR 0.8375±0.042). For IDPN-treated mice, the responses formed 2 subgroups that did not correspond to different frequencies (all frequencies found in either subgroup). The first subgroup was intermingled with the SHAM responses, with measured CGR values slightly lower than predicted values (MODEL 0.7457±0.028; CGR 0.6644±0.052). The second subgroup represented mice for which the measured CGR significantly underperformed the predicted CGR (MODEL 0.4685±0.022; CGR 0.2005±0.01). To investigate the difference between the two subgroups, the VOR, OKR and CGR values were plotted for the n=19 IDPN mice (**Figure 6D**  $\square$ ). A hierarchical cluster analysis (see methods) suggested the presence of two main clusters that differ from the clusters observed considering only the aVOR gain (see dendrogram in Figure **6D**  $\square$ ). The first cluster ( $\Delta$ +, n=12; in green in **Figure 6D**, **E** $\square$ , and **F** $\square$ ) comprised individuals with CGR values higher than OKR (positive values on  $\Delta$ =CGR-OKR), i.e with gaze stabilization at light better in the presence of vestibular stimulation. The second cluster ( $\Delta$ -, n=7; depicted in orange) is composed of individuals with low CGR (<0.4). IDPN mice from the  $\Delta$ -cluster have degraded responses during bimodal visuo-vestibular stimulation compared to unimodal OKR stimulation (negative value of  $\Delta$  indicates CGR responses lower than OKR responses). Notably, this degraded CGR is not solely a consequence of a low VOR gain, as some  $\Delta$ + mice identified by the second differentiation of the clustering analyses (depicted with grey diamond symbols in Figure 6D <sup>C2</sup>, and indicated as  $\Delta + (...)$  also showed low unimodal VOR gain responses.

We reasoned that the worsening of the gaze responses in the bimodal condition compared to the OKR-only condition could be a consequence of a poorly reliable, noisy vestibular signal. Based on a cycle-to-cycle sweep analysis, two additional features of the VOR responses were quantified (see methods): the mean amplitude of the raw sweep during VOR stimulation and the VOR response reproducibility between sweeps. The mean VOR raw sweep amplitude could not statistically differentiate  $\Delta$ - and  $\Delta$ +<sub>(-)</sub> mice (**Figure 6E**  $\square$ , orange triangle vs green diamonds p=0.0857), suggesting that vestibular signal of comparable amplitude improved or deteriorated the CGR response in the  $\Delta$ +<sub>(-)</sub> and  $\Delta$ -mice, respectively. On the other hand, the VOR reproducibility clearly



### Figure 6

#### Visuo-Vestibular interactions following IDPN treatment.

**A)** aVOR, OKR, CGR data (CGR) and predicted CGR (MODEL) gains (left panel), and CGR phase (data and model, right panel), for the SHAM mice (n=12) at W6 and W12. **B)** aVOR, OKR, CGR data (CGR) and predicted CGR (MODEL) gains for the IDPN mice (n=19) at W6 and W12. **C)** Comparison of the predicted versus measured CGR for all frequencies tested. The dotted line at 45° represents a perfect match between prediction and data (optimal CGR). **D)** aVOR, OKR, and CGR values of the IDPN mice (n=19) (left panel). Clustering analysis (right panel) distinguish 2 groups based on Delta (CGR-OKR), and a subgroup based on VOR. The horizontal coordinate of each cluster (vertical lines) represents the distance between two connected clusters. **E)**  $\Delta$ (CGR-OKR) as a function of the VOR Sweep Amplitude of the  $\Delta$ + (green triangle),  $\Delta$ +(-) (green diamonds) and  $\Delta$ - (orange) IDPN mice. The inset panel represents typical raw cycles representative of the 3 subgroups. **F)** Delta (CGR-OKR) as a function of the  $\Delta$ + (green triangle),  $\Delta$ +(-) (green diamonds) and  $\Delta$ - (orange) IDPN mice. Regression line correspond to all values (n=19)



discriminated  $\Delta$ - and  $\Delta$ +<sub>(-)</sub> mice (**Figure 6F**  $\mathbb{C}$ <sup>\*</sup>, orange triangle vs green diamonds, p=0.0001). There was a strong correlation between the inter-sweep reproducibility and the capacity of the mice to stabilize gaze in presence of vestibular inputs at light. This result suggests that the incapacity of the  $\Delta$ -group to compute optokinetic signals in the presence of vestibular stimulation could relate to the poor reliability of the vestibular signal. Overall, these data suggest that in some situations the presence of a degraded vestibular signal of significant amplitude (panel 6E) but poorly reliable (panel 6F) can be detrimental to properly stabilize gaze in presence of visual and vestibular inputs.

# Discussion

# IDPN as a model of partial and transitory loss of vestibular function

IDPN has long been established as an ototoxic compound targeting vestibular HC in the different vestibular endorgans of rats (Llorens et al., 1993 2, 1994 2; Llorens and Demêmes, 1994 2) and later in guinea-pig, frogs and mice (Soler-Martin et al., 2007; Greguske et al., 2019 🖄). Its ototoxic vestibular effects were used as a tool to study extraocular muscle development (Brueckner et al., 1999<sup>(2)</sup>) or more recently to induce permanent vestibular loss in mice (Yang et al., 2019<sup>(2)</sup>; Zeng et al., 2020<sup>(C)</sup>) and study hair cell regeneration (Sayyid et al., 2019<sup>(C)</sup>). The sub-chronic, reversible protocol used in our study was validated previously in rats (Sedó-Cabezón et al., 2015 2; Martins-Lopes et al., 2019; Maroto et al., 2021 2) and mice (Boadas-Vaello et al., 2017 2; Greguske et al., 2019<sup>(1)</sup>) with postural/locomotor quantification of vestibular loss. We for the first time demonstrate that the subchronic protocol leads to a progressive and partly reversible loss of vestibulo-ocular reflexes. These previous studies further demonstrated some of the cellular mechanisms associated with the progressive loss of postural control: ototoxic effects lead to the early dismantlement of calyceal junction, followed by synaptic uncoupling, both of which were shown to be reversible, while continuation of the IDPN treatment would lead to hair cell extrusion and long-term, permanent lesion. HC loss was also demonstrated to occur in a central to peripheral order within vestibular epithelia, and in crista to utricule to saccule order (see Sedó-Cabezon et al. 2015). Maroto et al (2021) 🖆 convincingly demonstrated that type I HC show greater sensitivity than type II HC to IDPN subchronic exposure. Given these data, a primary goal of the present study was to try to correlate the loss of VOR functions to organ-specific, zone-specific, and cell-type-specific effects.

Loss and substantial recovery of the vestibular function quantified by VOR measures were found to be correlated with the number of type I HC in both canals and otoliths. A parallel was previously established between HC integrity and VOR following ototoxic protocols in mice (Cassel et al., 2019 2; Yang et al., 2019 2; Zeng et al., 2020 2), but these were established at the population level. Here, we for the first time specifically correlated the loss of HC and loss of VOR function both at the individual level and in an organ-specific way, aVOR and OCR tests demonstrated a parallel decrease in canal- and otolith-dependent functions, respectively. The 6 week-long treatment was followed by a 6 week-washout period, allowing a significant but partial recovery of the aVOR, and complete recovery of the OCR. The individual correlations between these functional tests and the number of HC counted in the ampullae and maculae of the mice were found to be particularly significant, both during the trough (W6) of VOR function and after the recovery period (W12) (Figure 3 <sup>C2</sup>). We, however, did not find any difference between the HC loss in the central vs. peripheral zones of the organs, and can therefore not conclude on any putatively differential implication of these areas in the VORs. Effects of the IDPN treatment on the aVOR was evidenced by a gain decrease starting W4, later associated with a significant phase lead at W6 (Figure 1 supplement 1A,1B). The dynamic of these changes might be related to the amount of HC progressively affected by the treatment. The modifications affected all frequencies; however, responses at the lowest tested frequency (0.2Hz) tended to be less affected and to



recover first. These results could suggest that type I HC are crucial element to encode both the amplitude and timing of the VOR, particularly for the more dynamic stimulations. How IDPN progressively impairs the encoding of information by the HC, and how population-coding influence both VOR features in the natural range of head-movements should be the focus of future dedicated studies.

Overall, these organ-specific structure-function correlations confirm that the vestibulo-ocular reflex can serve as a proxy indicating the status of the vestibular endorgans. It further validates the use of the aVOR and OCR as relevant tests reflecting HC integrity at the level of the ampullae and maculae, respectively.

# Differential alteration of type I versus type II hair cells

The subchronic treatment affected type I HC more than type II HC, in accordance with previous reports (Llorens et al. 1993 ; Maroto et al. 2021 ). Susceptibility to IDPN was also reported to vary between different mouse strains (Boadas-Vaello et al., 2017 ; Wilkerson et al., 2018 ). Interestingly, we used a different strain of mice than the one used in a previous subchronic experiment (Greguske et al. 2019 ) and have not observed any type II HC loss either, nor differences between males and females (data not shown).

Hence, IDPN treatment induces a loss of cell markers specific to type I HC, with no effects on type II markers. Two types of markers were used to identify type I HC. Spp1 targeted a protein located on the neck of the hair cell (McInturff et al., 2018 ), while CASPR1 is located at the calyceal junction of the afferent terminal, and has been proven to be necessary for the functionality of the synapse between the HC and its connected afferent (Sousa et al., 2009 ).

Though it is not possible to compare for one individual the number of cells before and after treatment, the number of cells labelled for either of these proteins decreased significantly compared to SHAM group, whose numbers are consistent between W6 and W12. The loss of CASPR1 marker induced by IDPN sub-chronic treatment has been linked to HC detachment from the calyx terminal and loss of vestibulo-spinal reflexes, and both CASPR1 expression and vestibular function recover during washout (Sedó-Cabezón et al., 2015 2; Greguske et al., 2019 2; Maroto et al., 2021 C). Only after a longer IDPN exposure does HC extrusion occur, associated with persistent functional deficits. In theory, the loss of vestibular function observed in both canal- and otolith-dependent VOR at W6 could be linked to loss of type I HC. In adult rodents, however, the regeneration of hair cells leads to the formation of cells with type II HC features (González-Garrido et al., 2021 C), so the recovery of type I cellular markers at W12 is likely not a result of cell regeneration. Also, the apparent dramatic loss of CASPR1 and Spp1 HC (90%) at W6 was in contrast with the much smaller and not significant loss in Myo7a cells (29%), supporting the conclusion that the sensory cells persisted in the epithelium despite CASPR1 loss, and that the recovery in the number of type I HC observed at W12 was more likely the result of molecular repair, not cell regeneration. In addition, we observed a similar loss of Spp1 marker at W6, normally located in the neck of the hair cell. As such the loss of vestibular function could rather be attributed to a global disorganization of the synapse and defective hair cell function, associated with a transitory large decrease of the Spp1 and CASPR1 proteins and a smaller decrease in Myo7a. In accordance with this hypothesis, the number of Spp1 and CASPR1 positive cells after the washout period at W12 was not significantly different from the SHAM group and correlated to the recovery of the vestibular function observed at W12 for the IDPN mice (Figure 3C2 2). The altered expression of proteins in type I HC induced by the sub-chronic treatment of IDPN seems to be reversible in most individuals. One possibility is that definitive extrusion of type I HC occurred in the most susceptible individuals that did not show recovery after the end of treatment. This in fact was the case in the 2 individuals tested at W12 with immuno-histochemistry: CASPR1 mean value (±S.E.M) of 18.5±5.5 compared to 34.5±4.359 for IDPN with recovery and 41.75±1.215 for SHAM). It was previously reported in the pigeon that type I HC and calyx afferents take longer (12 weeks) to regenerate following aminoglycoside toxicity, while type II and boutons endings regenerated in a



week (Zakir and Dickman, 2006 <sup>CD</sup>). Similarly, recovered innervation for type I HC was delayed compared to type II HC in mice (Kim et al., 2022 <sup>CD</sup>). A longer recovery period could be investigated in the case of the severely-affected mice to confirm the absence of recovery in the longer term.

# Evidence for the role of type I HC in the vestibulo-ocular pathways

To our knowledge, the direct implication of type I and type II HC in the VOR was never directly tested. Type I and type II HC differ by many features, including their anatomical location within the epithelium, electrophysiological properties, morphology and innervation by afferents (Eatock and Songer, 2011 <sup>(2)</sup>). Seemingly, irregular afferents have been described as predominantly innervating the central area and striolar zones of the ampullae and maculae with calyx and dimorphic synaptic contacts, while regular afferents make dimorphic and buttons contacts predominantly within the peripheral zones of cristae and extrastriolar zones of maculae (Goldberg, 2000 C; Eatock and Songer, 2011 C; Contini et al., 2022 C). Afferents with bouton terminals that contact only type II HC have regular discharge, while afferents with calyx terminals that contact only type I HC have irregular discharge. However, most regular and irregular afferents are fed by both type I and type II HC (Goldberg et al., 1992 C). Functionally, regular afferents recorded in the monkey show a lower detection threshold than irregular afferents (Sadeqhi et al., 2007 C), while irregular afferents showing higher gains and phase leads (mouse: Lasker et al., 2008 C; Cullen, 2019 C) would be better optimized for encoding high dynamic stimuli (Cullen, 2019 🗹). Central VOR neurons receive a mixture of regular and irregular afferent inputs (Highstein et al., 1987<sup>™</sup>; Boyle et al., 1992<sup>™</sup>; Goldberg, 2000<sup>™</sup>), with irregular afferents constituting  $\sim 1/3$  of their excitatory drive (Highstein et al., 1987  $\simeq$ ; Boyle et al., 1991  $\simeq$ ).

While the role of type I and type II HC in the VOR remains to be determined, previous studies have emphasized the importance of the regular afferents and regular central vestibular neurons in the vestibulo-ocular pathway. Functional ablation targeting irregular afferents suggested that VOR might function normally with only intermediate and regular afferent inputs (Minor and Goldberg, 1991<sup>C2</sup>). Functionally identified VOR neurons (i.e. PVP) were recently demonstrated to have heterogeneous discharge variability (high or low), with the most regular units particularly well-suited to faithfully encode the compensatory eye movements generated during natural stimulation (Mackrous et al., 2020<sup>C2</sup>).

Based on this literature, it would be tempting to infer that only the most regular and tonic elements of the entire vestibular pathway are responsible for the VOR. However, the correspondence between hair cell properties and afferents/central neurons properties is only partial, such that the two phasic/irregular and tonic/regular channels for head motion signals are constituted of both types I and type II HC (Baird et al., 1988 C; Goldberg et al., 1990 C p.90) and afferents (irregular and regular) (Goldberg et al. 2000; Eatock and Songer 2011 🖙; Beraneck and Straka, 2011 C). Carey and colleagues (1996) c previously reported a better correlation of VOR recovery with type I than with type II HC following ototoxic lesions in the chick. Overall, the result of ototoxic studies, including the present one, demonstrate a fundamental role of type I HC in the encoding of vestibular signals that drive the vestibulo-ocular reflexes, even in the relatively low range (Carriot et al., 2017 🖸) of head movements tested. It has also been recently shown that both type I and type II HC actually contribute to otolithic vestibular evoked potential responses (i.e. vestibulo-spinal pathway), previously described as mostly type I-specific (Sayyid et al, 2019 C). Overall, our results are compatible with the hypothesis of a convergence of heterogeneous peripheral neural elements at the level of central vestibular nuclei, where intrinsic properties of central vestibular neurons (Straka et al., 2005 🖙; Beraneck and Idoux, 2012 🖙) supplemented by network properties (Beraneck et al., 2007 2; Pfanzelt et al., 2008 2; Beraneck and Straka, 2011 2) would differentially integrate vestibular signals further processed in the different functional pathways (Sadeghi and Beraneck, 2020 2; Mackrous et al. 2020 2).



## Visuo-vestibular interactions after IDPN treatment

OKR plasticity following vestibular loss concerned gain, and not phase, modifications for frequencies >0.33Hz. Previous studies have reported an increase in OKR gain following a permanent vestibular lesion for a non-specific range of frequencies (Shinder et al., 2005 C); Faulstich et al., 2006 C); Nelson et al., 2017 C). One key to understand the frequency-specific OKR plasticity could be the physiological dominance of vestibular inputs in the gaze stabilization process at high frequencies (Faulstich et al., 2004 C). The visual inputs could be reweighted and potentiated specifically in the range where the vestibular loss has the most impact on gaze stabilization (Figure 5D C).

The VOR and OKR work synergistically to stabilize gaze by compensating for head and visual surround movements, respectively (França de Barros et al., 2020). If the reflexive eye movements are not perfectly compensatory, an error signal (e.g retinal slip) is produced that drives adaptation of the VOR (Boyden et al., 2004 2; Dean and Porrill, 2014 2; Shin et al., 2014 2) and OKR (Glasauer, 2007 2; Kodama and du Lac, 2016 2). In an effort to understand the integration of VOR and OKR, Holland and colleagues (2020) 2 recently proposed that OKR would account for the retinal slip not compensated by VOR. Within that framework, the changes in the OKR could happen preferentially as a function of the vestibular weight, i.e in the range of movements where the loss of vestibular inputs generates the largest retinal slip. While present data are evidence for frequency-specific adaptation, how this relates to alteration in vestibular inputs and/or visual feedback signals remains to be determined.

The increase in OKR gain could be seen as a substitution for the decrease in the VOR. However, even after VOR recovery, the optimal integration of OKR and VOR (as predicted by our MODEL) led to a degraded combined gaze response (CGR) with respect to SHAM mice. Even worse, the measured CGR was inferior to the predicted CGR (**Figure 6B** ). This observation suggests that the integration of visual and vestibular signals during CGR is more than a mere summation of the gain and phase of the 2 unimodal reflexes, but is also affected by other factors. In fact, a subset of the IDPN individuals showed severely degraded bimodal responses, so that their combination of VOR and OKR is not only sub-optimal but also less effective than the unimodal (OKR) reflex. A sweepbased analysis suggested that in these individuals, the VOR unimodal responses were not reliable, i.e the low reproducibility indicates that the same stimuli are unfaithfully encoded into eye movements. We interpret this low reproducibility as a sign of noisiness within the VOR pathway that would not only preclude the optimal integration of both sensory signals, but also disturb the use of retinal information to stabilize the gaze. According to statistical optimality theories, as the Maximum Likelihood principle, the decrease in VOR reliability should lead to a reduction in its relative sensory weight. This filtering-out of a degraded signal has been shown during visuovestibular integration for monkey heading perception (Fetsch et al., 2010 C), or for human object discrimination using visual and haptic senses (Ernst and Banks, 2002 ).Our results suggest that the gaze stabilizing system is not able to optimally adapt to the degradation by filtering-out vestibular signals during combined visuo-vestibular combination.

The integration of visual and vestibular inputs occurs in several structures, including in the cerebellar flocculi (Jang et al., 2020<sup>(2)</sup>) and brainstem (Carcaud et al., 2017<sup>(2)</sup>) (see review De Zeeuw et al., 2021<sup>(2)</sup>). In the case of vestibular loss, most of the defects are expected to concern the central vestibular neurons involved in the VOR, which also integrate visual inputs (ES, or eye-sensitive neurons; Beraneck and Cullen, 2007<sup>(2)</sup>). After unilateral neurectomy, vestibular neurons in the Deiters nuclei responded to higher frequencies during visual stimulation (Cat: Zennou-Azogui et al., 1994<sup>(2)</sup>), a change compatible with the hypothesis that OKR gain increase partly takes place in the vestibular nuclei. It was shown that inhibitory floccular inputs (part of the OKR indirect pathway) and excitatory vestibular inputs often colocalized on the dendrites of central vestibular neurons. One possibility is therefore that the massive disorganization of vestibular



periphery inputs led in the long term to synaptic and intrinsic changes at the level of central vestibular neurons (Beraneck and Idoux 2012 ; Carcaud et al. 2017 ), thus impairing their capacity to optimally integrate both signals.

## Conclusions

Balance dysfunction occurs frequently in aging people (85% prevalence above 80; Agrawal et al., 2013 <sup>C2</sup>) but also in younger people (prevalence of 35% in the 40 year-old). While animal models often use permanent vestibular lesions (Simon et al., 2020 🗂 ), many diseases in fact consist in a gradual, transient and/or partial loss of vestibular function. For instance Menière's disease, which represents ~9% of all vestibular pathologies in the adult and occurs in the <60 years old, is characterized by recurrent episodes with brief (<24h) fluctuating symptoms and otherwise normal vestibular function before long-term deterioration arises (Lopez-Escamez et al., 2015 🗹 ). Transitory vestibular symptoms are also commonly reported in vestibular neuritis where, although symptoms tend to rapidly disappear due to vestibular compensation, vestibular function can recuperate up to a year after the initial loss (Welgampola et al., 2019 🖄). Gradual and partial vestibular loss is also encountered as a side-effect of some ototoxic anti-cancer treatments (prevalence in treated patients ~40%; cisplatin; Paken et al., 2016 C; Prayuenyong et al., 2018 C). Here, we took advantage of a subchronic ototoxic protocol to determine how animals adapt to partial and transitory loss of vestibular hair cells. We show that the loss, and then the partial recovery of the VOR, is correlated with the integrity of the type I HC, demonstrating for the first time their essential role in the VOR, whether of canalar or otolithic origin. We show that sensory (visual) substitution would theoretically compensate for vestibular loss, but that injured mice have suboptimal responses when combining visual and vestibular information. Finally, we show that this impairment in multisensory integration would be linked to the loss of "reliability" of the vestibular signal, degraded by ototoxicity. Overall, these results suggest that transitory peripheral infraction have long term consequences, and that the capacity of central vestibular structures to cope (vestibular compensation; Cullen et al., 2009; Beraneck and Idoux, 2012 C2; (Lacour et al., 2016<sup>(C)</sup>) with the sensorineural loss might critically depend on the integrity of the neural elements involved. Future studies should aim at obtaining information about the degradation of signal transmission following IDPN treatment and characterize the amount of peripheral populationcoding necessary to preserve optimal vestibular function.

# **Material & Methods**

## **Ethics**

A total of 56 C57/BL6J mice in an equal partition of males and females were used for the protocol. Animals were used in accordance with the European Communities Council Directive 2010/63/EU. All efforts were made to minimize suffering and reduce the number of animals included in this study. All procedures were approved by the ethical committee for animal research of the Université Paris Cité.

# Headpost implantation surgery and animal care

Surgical procedures, postoperative care, device fixation and animal surveillance during the protocol were performed as described previously in França de Barros et al., 2019, 2020. Briefly, 6-weeks-old mice anaesthetized with isoflurane gas had their heads shaved with small clippers. Then, lidocaine hydrochloride (2%; 2mg/Kg) was injected locally before a longitudinal incision of 1.5 cm was made to expose the skull. Just anterior to the lambda landmark, a small custom-built headpost (3x3x5mm; poly lactic acid) was cemented (C&B Metabond; Parkell Inc., Edgewood, NY) and laterally covered with resin (Heraeus) for protection. Animals were fully recovered 30 min after the end of the surgery, yet buprenorphine (0.05mg/kg) was provided for postoperative analgesia and they were closely monitored for the following 48 hours.



## Subchronic ototoxic exposure

28 mice were treated with 3,3'iminodiproprionitrile (IDPN, Sigma Aldrich, 317306, 90%) for 6 weeks (IDPN group) at 30mM concentration of IDPN in their drinking water at least 72h after the surgery. After 6 weeks of treatment, a washout period of 6 weeks followed. Previous experiments (Greguske et al., 2021 <sup>(2)</sup>) had demonstrated that at these concentrations, ototoxic lesions produced by IDPN are largely reversible. The SHAM group was tested the exact same way but was not exposed to IDPN in their drinking water. Video-oculography tests were performed before the beginning of the treatment and once every two weeks until week 12 (W12), for a total of 7 sessions of tests for each mouse.

## **Experimental groups**

3 different batches of mice were used, each composed of both IDPN-treated mice and SHAM. The mice of the first one (n=19) were subjected only to vestibular stimulations, to test the effect of IDPN on the canal-related or otolith-related VOR responses during the 12 weeks of protocol. The second group (n=24), designed to compare the dynamic of VOR and OKR changes was tested with angular VOR stimulations, otolithic test, optokinetic (visual) stimulations, and combined vestibular and visual stimulation (VOR in light; referred to as Combined Gaze Response or CGR). The third group (n=11) designed to correlate ototoxic effects of IDPN on vestibular hair cells (HC) and VOR function was tested for canal-related or otolith-related VOR responses, before treatment and after 6 weeks of treatment and immediately used for immunohistochemical assessment of the vestibular sensory epithelia (see below). A subset of the second group (n=12 out of 24) was also used for immunohistochemical assessment at the end of the 12 weeks of protocol.

## Video oculography recording sessions

The recording procedure was similar to the one presented in Carcaud et al. 2017 🖄 & França de Barros et al. 2020. The animals were head-fixed in a custom build Plexiglas tube, and their head was oriented in a 30° nose down position to align the horizontal canals to the yaw plane. Their left eye movements were recorded using a non-invasive video oculography system (ETL -200 Iscan, acquisition rate 120Hz, Stahl et al 2000). Eye, head, and virtual drum (OKR stimulation) position signals were digitally recorded (CED mk3 power 1401, acquisition rate 1kHz) with Spike 2 software. Signals were analysed offline in Matlab (Matlab, the MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA; RRID, SCR:001622) programming environment. The restraining apparatus was fixed on a rotation platform on top of an extended rig with a servo-controlled motor. Single VOR or OKR recording sessions lasted no longer than 45 minutes in total.

## Vestibular stimulations and analysis

All vestibular-specific tests were performed in a temperature-controlled room with all sources of light turned off except for computer screens. The turntable was further surrounded with a closed box to isolate the animal from remaining light, with a final luminance inside the box <0.02 lux. Myosis was induced with topical 2% pilocarpine applied 10 minutes before experimentation.

*Vestibulo ocular reflex in dark (VORd) tests* were performed in the dark with the mouse surrounded by an opaque black dome (Fig1.A). Sinusoidal angular rotations around the vertical axis were used to record the horizontal angular vestibulo-ocular reflex (aVOR), at different frequencies: 0.2, 0.5, 0.8; 1 and 2Hz at a peak velocity of 30°/s.

Angular vestibulo-ocular reflex analysis was similar to the one described in <u>Carcaud et al. 2017</u>. Segments of data with saccades were excluded from VOR slow-phase analysis. For horizontal sinusoidal rotations, at least 10 cycles were analyzed for each frequency. VOR gain and phase were determined by the least-squares optimization of the equation:

$$EH_{v}(t) = g \cdot \{[HH_{v} \cdot (t - t_{d})] + C^{\text{te}}\}$$



where EHv(t) is eye horizontal velocity, g (gain) is a constant value, HHv (t) is head horizontal velocity, td is the dynamic lag time (in msec) of the eye movement with respect to the head movement, and C<sup>te</sup> is an offset. The td was used to calculate the corresponding phase ( $\phi^{\circ}$ ) of eye velocity relative to head velocity. The Variance-Accounted-For (VAF) of each fit was computed as

$$1 - \left[\frac{var(est - EHv)}{var(EHv)}\right]$$

where *var* represents variance, *est* represents the modeled eye velocity, and *EHv* represents the actual eye horizontal velocity. VAF values for VOR measures were typically between 0.70 - 1 (>95% of recordings), where a VAF of 1 indicates a perfect fit to the data. For IDPN-treated mice, abnormally low (<0.10) values of gain associated with VAF<0.5 were nevertheless included in the gain statistical analysis but specifically reported in grey (**Figure 2** & Figure 1 supplement). Corresponding phase values were not included in the statistical analysis of the aVOR phase.

Static Ocular Counter-roll reflex tests were performed as described in (Simon et al., 2021<sup>(2)</sup>) by measuring the vertical eye movement generated in response to different roll angles. The table was moved from left to right in incremental steps of 10° (from 0 to 40°), with static periods of at least 10s between oscillations (Fig1.D) to record the stabilized eye elevation and declination. The OCR gain corresponds to the slope of the linear regression of the vertical eye angle *vs.* the head tilt angles (Oommen and Stahl, 2008<sup>(2)</sup>).

Off vertical axis rotation (OVAR) tests were performed with the vestibular turntable tilted with a 17° off-axis angle following the methodology described in Beraneck et al., 2012  $\bigcirc$  & Idoux et al., 2018  $\bigcirc$ . 50°/s continuous stimulations were performed in a counter-clockwise and then clockwise direction. OVAR generates a continuous change in the head tilt angle, compensated through a maculo-ocular reflex (MOR) by the generation of a horizontal nystagmus compensating for the table constant rotation. This oculomotor response was quantified following the methodology described in Idoux et al 2018  $\bigcirc$ . First, quick-phases were identified and removed. During rotations, the velocity of horizontal slow phases is modulated (modulation,  $\mu$ ) around a constant bias ( $\beta$ ). Both parameters ( $\mu$  and  $\beta$ ) were calculated from the sinusoidal fit of eye horizontal slow-phase velocity using the least-squares optimization of the equation:

$$SP(t) = \beta + \mu \cdot sin[2\pi \cdot f_0 \cdot (t + t_d)]$$

where SP(t) is slow-phase velocity,  $\beta$  is the steady-state bias slow phase velocity,  $\mu$  is the modulation of eye velocity,  $f_0$  is the frequency of table rotation,  $t_d$  is the dynamic lag time (in msec) of the eye movement with respect to the head movement. The bias (Maculo-ocular reflex Bias) is reported here as the main index of the MOR response (Hess and Dieringer, 1990  $\mathbb{C}$ ; Beraneck et al., 2012  $\mathbb{C}$ ).

## **Optokinetic reflex tests and analysis**

Horizontal optokinetic stimulations were performed as previously described in França de Barros et al. 2020. The mice were placed under a semi-opaque plastic dome and all sources of light were turned off. The projected stimulation consisted of a randomly distributed white dots pattern on a black background image (250000 white dots, max width 0.075°). The optokinetic sinusoidal stimulations were tested at 0.1, 0.2, 0.33, 0.5 and 1Hz at a peak velocity of 10°/s. The gain and phase were obtained by the same least-squares optimization method described above for the aVOR. To prevent putative cross effects between visual and vestibular stimulations, VOR and OKR test sessions were performed on separate days.



# Combined visual and vestibular stimulations

Combined visual and vestibular stimulations measuring the combined gaze reflex (CGR) consisted of horizontal vestibular stimulations while projecting the fixed dotted pattern used for OKR on the surrounding dome. Horizontal angular sinusoidal rotations were performed at frequencies of 0.2, 0.5 and 1Hz with a peak velocity of 30°/s. The CGR gain and phase quantifications were performed following the same methodology as for aVOR. To avoid interference, these were performed on n=8 SHAM and n=12 IDPN after the end of the VOR and OKR test sessions on W12. To test the effects at W6, a specific group of mice (n= 4 SHAM and n=7 IDPN) was tested at W0 and W6.

A simple model has been developed to predict for each individual and for three stimulation frequencies (0.2, 0.5 and 1Hz) the theoretical CGR response gain and phase were given the same two parameters observed for their unimodal VOR and OKR responses. The experimental VOR and OKR gain and phase are used to compute the sinusoid representing the two unimodal responses. The gain and phase of the CGR sinusoid resulting from adding the VOR and OKR sinusoids are quantified by measuring its peak-to-peak amplitude and by identifying the time-lag that maximizes its cross-correlation with the sinusoidal stimulus (note that the sum of two sinusoids with the same period, even if with different phases, is always a sinusoid). Independently of the mouse, the unimodal VOR and OKR sinusoids are shifted in time before their summation, in order to improve, on average, the fit between predicted and observed CGR responses for SHAM mice. The two optimal shift parameters, one for VOR and one for OKR, obtained for each frequency, are then used also for all IDPN-treated mice.

To identify subpopulations of IDPN-treated mice, we computed an agglomerative hierarchical cluster tree on a dataset composed of individual aVOR gain and  $\Delta$  (calculated as CGR-OKR gain). The classification method used unweighted average Euclidean distance between clusters.

To describe the mice VOR responses without any assumption about their sinusoidal nature the two following characteristics have been quantified: the reproducibility of the ocular response to the vestibular stimulation and the amplitude of the raw eye movements generated by the vestibular stimulation. These analyses were performed on the slow phases horizontal eye trajectories, *EHp(t)*, recorded during each sweep of stimulation.

The reproducibility index, *Rep*, was obtained by computing the matrix of correlation coefficient,  $R_{i,j}$ , between each couple (*i*,*j*), of the *N* selected sweeps, and then computing the mean of all abovediagonal elements of the matrix as reported in the following equation (this choice aims at considering only once each  $R_{i,j}$ , since  $R_{j,i}=R_{i,j}$  and to exclude diagonal elements,  $R_{i,i}=1$ ).

$$Rep = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} R_{i,j}}{N(N-1)/2}$$

The amplitude, *Amp*, of the raw eye movements was quantified by computing for each sweep how much on average, during a stimulation cycle, the eye moved horizontally away from its average position ( $\sigma_{EHp}$ ). Before averaging this parameter over all the sweep, it had to be squared. Finally, to have a parameter value in degrees, the root mean squared of the mean was computed.

$$Amp = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{EHp}^2}{N}}$$

# Immunolabelling of the vestibular HC

Two groups of mice (n=11 at W6 and n=12 at W12) were used to perform immunofluorescence analysis on hair cells in the vestibular endorgans. Mice were anaesthetized with an overdose of intraperitoneal injection of ketamine hydrochloride (10%)/xylazine (5%) and decapitated. The histology was done following the protocol established by Lysakowski et al. (2011) 🖒, as described previously (Maroto et al., 2021 🖒). The vestibular epithelia (one horizontal canal and one utricule)

# 🍪 eLife

were dissected and fixed for 1h in a 4% solution of paraformaldehyde (PFA). PFA was washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and the samples were then embedded in a cryoprotective solution (34.5% glycerol, 30% ethylene glycol, 20% PBS, 15.5% distilled water) to be stored at -20°. Before the immunochemistry, samples were put at room temperature and rinsed twice in PBS. While under slow agitation, the samples were incubated twice, first for 1h with a 4% Triton X-100 (Sigma Aldrich) in PBS to permeabilise and a second time for 1h in 0.5% Triton X-100 1% fish gelatin (CAS #9000-70-8, Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS to block. The incubation with the primary antibodies was then performed in 0.1M Triton X-100, 1% fish gelatin in PBS at 4° for 24h. After rinsing, the second antibodies were incubated in the same conditions. The 2<sup>nd</sup> antibodies were rinsed and the vestibular epithelia were mounted on slides with fluoromount (F4680, Sigma-Aldrich) and were visualised with a confocal microscope Zeiss LSM880 (with an objective of 63x NA:1.4). To properly analyse the whole vestibular epithelium, Z-stacks of 0.5 µm were obtained and observed with Image] (National Institute of Mental Health, Bethesda, Malyland, USA). The primary antibodies used were rabbit anti-Myosin VIIa (Myo7a) (Proteus Biosciences, #25-6790), mouse anti-contactin-associated protein (CASPR1) (Neuromab #75-001), guinea pig anti calretinin (Synaptic Systems #214-104) and goat anti-osteopontin (Spp1) (R&D Systems #AF08). Their respective secondary antibodies were Dylight 405 donkey anti-rabbit igG H+L (Jackson Immuno Research #711-475-152, Alexa Fluor 488 donkey anti-mouse IgG H+L (Life Technologies #A21202), Alexa Fluor donkey anti-guinea-pig IgG H+L (Jackson ImmunoResearch #706-605-148) and Alexa Fluor 555 donkey anti-goat IgG H+L (Invitrogen #A21432).

HC counts were obtained from square areas (67.5 x 67.5 µm) of the central and peripheral parts of two vestibular organs (horizontal semi-circular canal and utricle) in the W6 group and the W12 group. The areas for counting were obtained from the same location within the epithelium in all animals. The global number of HC was assessed with the cytoplasmic labelling of the anti-Myo7a antibody (Hasson et al., 1997 ). Type I HC were labelled using two different antibodies: anti-Spp1 in the neck of the type I HC (McInturff et al., 2018 ) and the presence of anti-CASPR1 in the calyceal junctions of the calyx (Sousa et al., 2009 , p.20). Type II HC were distinguished by the colocalization of Myo7a and calretinin (Maroto et al, 2021 ).

# **Statistical analysis**

For both OKR and VOR stimulations, the effect of the protocol on the gain and phase were statistically tested by performing repeated measures ANOVA. The *treatment* (SHAM or IDPN) was considered as between individual independent factor with the *Weeks* (W0, W2, W4, W6, W8, W10, W12) and the *Frequencies* (0.1, 0.2, 0.33, 0.5 and 1Hz for the OKR; 0.2, 0.5, 0.8, 1 and 2Hz for the VOR) were considered as within individual independent factors. The main effects of those factors and their interactions were tested and reported. In the case of the OCR and OVAR, mix-model ANOVA was used with only *Weeks* considered as within factors. For the CGR, measured at W6 and W12, mix-model ANOVA was used with the *Frequencies* and *Model* (Measured or Model CGR) used as within factors. For the comparison between the OKR and VOR  $\Delta$  gain, a repeated measures ANOVA was applied on the  $\Delta$  gain (Wx-W0) with the *stimulation modality* (OKR or VOR) as between individual independent factor and the *Weeks* as within individual independent factor. For the correlation between OCR and VOR, as well as the measured and theoretical CGR gain a linear regression model was fitted.

The effect of IDPN exposure on the HC count was reported with a Kruskal Wallis test. For all analyses the significance threshold was set at p<0.05 and Newman Keuls post hoc test was performed if the main effect or an interaction was found significant.



# Funding

This work is supported by the Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales, the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, and the Université Paris Cité. MB and LS received funding from the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-20-CE37-0016 INVEST). MB, FS & DF received funding from the ERANET NEURON Program VELOSO (ANR-20-NEUR-0005). AP & JL received funding from the ERANET NEURON Program VELOSO (grant PCI2020-120681-2 from MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and NextGenerationEU/PRTR).

# **Acknowledgements**

this study contributes to the IdEx Université de Paris ANR-18-IDEX-0001. This work has benefited from the support and expertise of the animal facility of BioMedTech Facilities at Université Paris Cité (Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale Unité S36/Unité Mixte de Service 2009). The confocal microscopy studies were performed at the Centres Científics i Tecnològics de la Universitat de Barcelona (CCiTUB).

# **Author contribution**

Conceptualization: JL ; MB. Methodology: LS ; AP ; FS ; MT ; JL ; MB. Formal analysis: LS ; AP ; MT ; JL ; MB. Investigation: LS ; AP ; TB ; CEB . Writing original manuscript: LS ; MT ; MB. Writing review and editing: LS ; AP ; FS ; DF ; MT ; JL ; MB. Visualization: LS ; AP ; TB; MT ; JL ; MB. Supervision: LS ; DF ; MT ; JL ; MB. Project administration: JL; MB. Funding acquisition: DF, JL; MB.

# **Declaration of interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

Figure 1 supplement: Effects of subchronic IDPN on canalar- and otolith-dependent VOR.

**A, B)** aVOR **(A)** Gain and **(B)** Phase across all frequencies and weeks tested for IDPN (n=21) and SHAM (n=22) mice. The grey symbols in the left panel correspond to aVOR gain values associated with VAF<0.5.**C)** OVAR MOR bias for IDPN (n=13) and SHAM (n=14) mice during the protocol. (\*p< 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001). Error bars represent ±SEM.

<u>Figure 3 supplement: Effects of the IDPN on the number of HC in the peripheral regions of the horizontal SCC ampulla and extrastriolar utricule Macula.</u>

A) Individual cell count of peripheral horizontal semi-circular canal type I and type II HC for W6 (1) andW12 (2).
B) Cell count of peripheral utricular type I and type II HC for W6 (1) and W12 (2).
C) Individual number of peripheral Spp1+ type I HC (1), CASPR1 + type I HC (2) and Calre+ type II HC (3) as a function of the aVOR gain for W6 (circle) and W12 (squares). The linear regression of both groups (n=23 mice) and the goodness of fit is represented. D) Individual number of peripheral Spp1+ type I HC (1), CASPR1 + type I HC (2) and Calre+ type II HC (3) as a function of the OCR gain for W6 (circle) and W12 (squares). The linear regression of both groups (n=23 mice) and the goodness of fit is represented. (\*p< 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001). Error bars represent ±SEM.</li>

Figure 4 supplement: Effect of the IDPN on optokinetic reflex amplitude and timing.



A) OKR Gain across all frequencies and weeks tested B) OKR Phase across all frequencies and weeks tested. (\*p< 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001). Error bars represent  $\pm$ SEM.



# References

Agrawal Y, Carey JP, Della Santina CC, Schubert MC, Minor LB (2009) **Disorders of Balance and Vestibular Function in US Adults: Data From the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2001-2004** *Arch Intern Med* **169** 

Agrawal Y, Ward BK, Minor LB, Chabbert C (2013) **Vestibular dysfunction: Prevalence, impact and need for targeted treatment** *J Vestib Res* **23**:113–117

Baird RA, Desmadryl G, Fernandez C, Goldberg JM (1988) **The vestibular nerve of the chinchilla. II. Relation between afferent response properties and peripheral innervation patterns in the semicircular canals** *. J Neurophysiol* **60**:182–203

Beraneck M, Bojados M, Le Séac'h A, Jamon M, Vidal P-P, Gilestro GF (2012) **Ontogeny of Mouse Vestibulo-Ocular Reflex Following Genetic or Environmental Alteration of Gravity Sensing** 

Beraneck M, Cullen KE (2007) Activity of Vestibular Nuclei Neurons During Vestibular and Optokinetic Stimulation in the Alert Mouse J Neurophysiol **98**:1549–1565

Beraneck M, Idoux E (2012) **Reconsidering the Role of Neuronal Intrinsic Properties and Neuromodulation in Vestibular Homeostasis** 

Beraneck M, Pfanzelt S, Vassias I, Rohregger M, Vibert N, Vidal P-P, Moore LE, Straka H (2007) Differential Intrinsic Response Dynamics Determine Synaptic Signal Processing in Frog Vestibular Neurons J Neurosci 27:4283–4296

Beraneck M, Straka H, Peusner KD (2011) Vestibular signal processing by separate sets of neuronal filters *J Vestib Res* **21**:5–19

Bisdorff A, Von Brevern M, Lempert T, Newman-Toker DE (2009) **Classification of vestibular symptoms: Towards an international classification of vestibular disorders** *J Vestib Res* **19**:1–13

Boadas-Vaello P, Sedó-Cabezón L, Verdú E, Llorens J (2017) **Strain and Sex Differences in the Vestibular and Systemic Toxicity of 3,3'-Iminodipropionitrile in Mice** *Toxicol Sci:kfw* **238** 

Boyden ES, Katoh A, Raymond JL (2004) **CEREBELLUM-DEPENDENT LEARNING: The Role of Multiple Plasticity Mechanisms** *Annu Rev Neurosci* **27**:581–609

Boyle R, Carey JP, Highstein SM (1991) **Morphological correlates of response dynamics and efferent stimulation in horizontal semicircular canal afferents of the toadfish, Opsanus tau** *J Neurophysiol* **66**:1504–1521

Boyle R, Goldberg JM, Highstein SM (1992) **Inputs from regularly and irregularly discharging vestibular nerve afferents to secondary neurons in squirrel monkey vestibular nuclei** *III. Correlation with vestibulospinal and vestibuloocular output pathways. J Neurophysiol* **68**:471–484

Brandt T, Dieterich M (2017) **The dizzy patient: don't forget disorders of the central vestibular system** *Nat Rev Neurol* **13**:352–362



Brandt T, Strupp M, Arbusow V, Dieringer N (1997) **Plasticity of the vestibular system:** central compensation and sensory substitution for vestibular deficits *Adv Neurol* :297–309

Brueckner JK, Ashby LP, Prichard JR, Porter JD (1999) **Vestibulo-ocular pathways modulate** extraocular muscle myosin expression patterns *Cell Tissue Res* **295**:477–484

Carcaud J, de Barros F França, Idoux E, Eugène D, Reveret L, Moore LE, Vidal P-P, Beraneck M (2017) Long-Lasting Visuo-Vestibular Mismatch in Freely-Behaving Mice Reduces the Vestibulo-Ocular Reflex and Leads to Neural Changes in the Direct Vestibular Pathway *eneuro* **4** 

Carey JP, Fuchs AF, Rubel EW (1996) **Hair cell regeneration and recovery of the vestibuloocular reflex in the avian vestibular system** *J Neurophysiol* **76**:3301–3312

Carriot J, Jamali M, Chacron MJ, Cullen KE (2017) **The statistics of the vestibular input experienced during natural self-motion differ between rodents and primates: Natural vestibular input in rodents and monkeys** *J Physiol* **595**:2751–2766

Cassel R, Bordiga P, Carcaud J, Simon F, Beraneck M, Le Gall A, Benoit A, Bouet V, Philoxene B, Besnard S, Watabe I, Pericat D, Hautefort C, Assie A, Tonetto A, Dyhrfjeld-Johnsen J, Llorens J, Tighilet B, Chabbert C (2019) **Morphological and functional correlates of vestibular synaptic deafferentation and repair in a mouse model of acute onset vertigo** *Dis Model Mech:dmm* **39115** 

Contini D, Holstein GR, Art JJ (2022) **Simultaneous Dual Recordings From Vestibular Hair Cells and Their Calyx Afferents Demonstrate Multiple Modes of Transmission at These Specialized Endings** *Front Neurol* **13** 

Cullen K (2019) Vestibular processing during natural self-motion: implications for perception and action

Cullen KE, Minor LB, Beraneck M, Sadeghi SG (2010) **Neural substrates underlying vestibular compensation: Contribution of peripheral versus central processing** *J Vestib Res* **19**:171–182

De Zeeuw CI, Lisberger SG, Raymond JL (2021) **Diversity and dynamism in the cerebellum** *Nat Neurosci* **24**:160–167

Dean P, Porrill J (2014) **Decorrelation Learning in the Cerebellum. In: Progress in Brain Research** :157–192

Eatock RA, Songer JE (2011) **Vestibular Hair Cells and Afferents: Two Channels for Head Motion Signals** *Annu Rev Neurosci* **34**:501–534

Ernst MO, Banks MS (2002) **Humans integrate visual and haptic information in a statistically optimal fashion** *Nature* **415**:429–433

Facchini J, Rastoldo G, Xerri C, Péricat D, El Ahmadi A, Tighilet B, Zennou-Azogui Y (2021) Unilateral vestibular neurectomy induces a remodeling of somatosensory cortical maps *Prog Neurobiol* **205** 

Faulstich BM, Onori KA, du Lac S (2004) **Comparison of plasticity and development of mouse optokinetic and vestibulo-ocular reflexes suggests differential gain control mechanisms** *Vision Res* **44**:3419–3427



Faulstich M, van Alphen AM, Luo C, du Lac S, De Zeeuw CI (2006) **Oculomotor Plasticity During Vestibular Compensation Does Not Depend on Cerebellar LTD** *J Neurophysiol* **96**:1187–1195

Fetsch CR, DeAngelis GC, Angelaki DE (2010) **Visual-vestibular cue integration for heading perception: applications of optimal cue integration theory: Mechanisms of visualvestibular cue integration** *Eur J Neurosci* **31**:1721–1729

de Barros F França, Carcaud J, Beraneck M (2019) **Long-term Sensory Conflict in Freely Behaving Mice** *J Vis Exp* **59135** 

de Barros F França, Schenberg L, Tagliabue M, Beraneck M (2020) Long term visuo-vestibular mismatch in freely behaving mice differentially affects gaze stabilizing reflexes *Sci Rep* **10** 

Glasauer S, Straube A, Büttner U (2007) **Current Models of the Ocular Motor System. In: Developments in Ophthalmology, 2007** :158–174

Goldberg JM (2000) **Afferent diversity and the organization of central vestibular pathways** *Exp Brain Res* **130**:277–297

Goldberg JM, Lysakowski A, Fernández C (1990) **Morphophysiological and ultrastructural studies in the mammalian cristae ampullares** *Hear Res* **49**:89–102

Goldberg JM, Lysakowski A, Fernández C (1992) **Structure and Function of Vestibular Nerve Fibers in the Chinchilla and Squirrel Monkey** *Ann N Y Acad Sci* **656**:92–107

González-Garrido A, Pujol R, López-Ramírez O, Finkbeiner C, Eatock RA, Stone JS (2021) **The Differentiation Status of Hair Cells That Regenerate Naturally in the Vestibular Inner Ear of the Adult Mouse** *J Neurosci* **41**:7779–7796

Greguske EA, Carreres-Pons M, Cutillas B, Boadas-Vaello P, Llorens J (2019) **Calyx junction dismantlement and synaptic uncoupling precede hair cell extrusion in the vestibular sensory epithelium during sub-chronic 3,3'-iminodipropionitrile ototoxicity in the mouse** *Arch Toxicol* **93**:417–434

Greguske EA, Llorens J, Pyott SJ (2021) **Assessment of cochlear toxicity in response to chronic 3,3'-iminodipropionitrile in mice reveals early and reversible functional loss that precedes overt histopathology** *Arch Toxicol* **95**:1003–1021

Grosch M, Lindner M, Bartenstein P, Brandt T, Dieterich M, Ziegler S, Zwergal A (2021) **Dynamic** whole-brain metabolic connectivity during vestibular compensation in the rat *NeuroImage* **226** 

Hasson T, Gillespie PG, Garcia JA, MacDonald RB, Zhao Y, Yee AG, Mooseker MS, Corey DP (1997) **Unconventional Myosins in Inner-Ear Sensory Epithelia** *J Cell Biol* **137**:1287–1307

Hess BJM, Dieringer N (1990) **Spatial Organization of the Maculo-Ocular Reflex of the Rat: Responses During Off-Vertical Axis Rotation** *Eur J Neurosci* **2**:909–919

Highstein SM, Goldberg JM, Moschovakis AK, Fernandez C (1987) **Inputs from regularly and irregularly discharging vestibular nerve afferents to secondary neurons in the vestibular nuclei of the squirrel monkey** *II. Correlation with output pathways of secondary neurons. J Neurophysiol* **58**:719–738



Holland PJ, Sibindi TM, Ginzburg M, Das S, Arkesteijn K, Frens MA, Donchin O (2020) **A Neuroanatomically Grounded Optimal Control Model of the Compensatory Eye Movement System in Mice** *Front Syst Neurosci* **14** 

Idoux E, Tagliabue M, Beraneck M (2018) **No Gain No Pain: Relations Between Vestibulo-Ocular Reflexes and Motion Sickness in Mice** *Front Neurol* **9** 

Jang DC, Shim HG, Kim SJ (2020) **Intrinsic Plasticity of Cerebellar Purkinje Cells Contributes to Motor Memory Consolidation** *J Neurosci* **40**:4145–4157

Kim GS, Wang T, Sayyid ZN, Fuhriman J, Jones SM, Cheng AG (2022) **Repair of surviving hair cells in the damaged mouse utricle** *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **119** 

Kodama T, du Lac S (2016) **Adaptive Acceleration of Visually Evoked Smooth Eye Movements in Mice** *J Neurosci* **36**:6836–6849

Lacour M, Helmchen C, Vidal P-P (2016) **Vestibular compensation: the neuro-otologist's best friend** *J Neurol* **263**:54–64

Lasker DM, Han GC, Park HJ, Minor LB (2008) **Rotational Responses of Vestibular–Nerve Afferents Innervating the Semicircular Canals in the C57BL/6 Mouse** *J Assoc Res Otolaryngol* **9**:334–348

Leong ATL, Gu Y, Chan Y-S, Zheng H, Dong CM, Chan RW, Wang X, Liu Y, Tan LH, Wu EX (2019) **Optogenetic fMRI interrogation of brain-wide central vestibular pathways** *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **116**:10122–10129

Llorens J, Demêmes D (1994) Hair cell degeneration resenting from 3,3'-imiodiprpiunitril toxicity in the rat vestibular epithelia *Hear Res* :78–86

Llorens J, Demêmes D, Sans A (1993) **The behavioural syndrome caused by 3'3-Iminodipropionitrile and related nitriles in the rat is associated with degeneration of the vestibular sensory hair cells** *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* :199–210

Llorens J, Demêmes D, Sans A (1994) **The toxicity of IDPN on the vestibular system of the rat: new insights on its effects on behavior and neurofilament transport** *NeuroToxicology* :643–647

Lopez C, Schreyer H-M, Preuss N, Mast FW (2012) **Vestibular stimulation modifies the body schema** *Neuropsychologia* **50**:1830–1837

Lopez-Escamez JA, Carey J, Chung W-H, Goebel JA, Magnusson M, Mandalà M, Newman-Toker DE, Strupp M, Suzuki M, Trabalzini F, Bisdorff A (2015) **Diagnostic criteria for Menière's disease** *J Vestib Res* **25**:1–7

Lysakowski A, Gaboyard-Niay S, Calin-Jageman I, Chatlani S, Price SD, Eatock RA (2011) **Molecular Microdomains in a Sensory Terminal, the Vestibular Calyx Ending** *J Neurosci* **31**:10101–10114

Mackrous I, Carriot J, Cullen KE, Chacron MJ (2020) **Neural variability determines coding strategies for natural self-motion in macaque monkeys** *eLife* **9** 

Maroto AF, Barrallo-Gimeno A, Llorens J (2021) Relationship between vestibular hair cell loss and deficits in two anti-gravity reflexes in the rat *Hear Res* **410** 



Martins-Lopes V, Bellmunt A, Greguske EA, Maroto AF, Boadas-Vaello P, Llorens J (2019) Quantitative Assessment of Anti-Gravity Reflexes to EvaluateVestibular Dysfunction in Rats J Assoc Res Otolaryngol 20:553–563

Martins-Lopes V, Bellmunt A, Greguske EA, Maroto AF, Boadas-Vaello P, Llorens J (2019) Quantitative Assessment of Anti-Gravity Reflexes to EvaluateVestibular Dysfunction in Rats J Assoc Res Otolaryngol 20:553–563

McInturff S, Burns JC, Kelley MW (2018) Characterization of spatial and temporal development of Type I and Type II hair cells in the mouse utricle using new cell-type-specific markers *Biol Open* **7** 

Minor L, Goldberg J (1991) **Vestibular-nerve inputs to the vestibulo-ocular reflex: a functional-ablation study in the squirrel monkey** *J Neurosci* **11**:1636–1648

Möhwald K, Hadzhikolev H, Bardins S, Becker-Bense S, Brandt T, Grill E, Jahn K, Dieterich M, Zwergal A (2020) Health-related quality of life and functional impairment in acute vestibular disorders *Eur J Neurol* **27**:2089–2098

Nelson AB, Faulstich M, Moghadam S, Onori K, Meredith A, du Lac S (2017) **BK Channels Are Required for Multisensory Plasticity in the Oculomotor System** *Neuron* **93**:211–220

Oommen BS, Stahl JS (2008) **Eye orientation during static tilts and its relationship to spontaneous head pitch in the laboratory mouse** *Brain Res* **1193**:57–66

Paken J, Govender CD, Pillay M, Sewram V (2016) **Cisplatin-Associated Ototoxicity: A Review for the Health Professional** *J Toxicol* **2016**:1–13

Pfanzelt S, Rossert C, Rohregger M, Glasauer S, Moore LE, Straka H (2008) **Differential Dynamic Processing of Afferent Signals in Frog Tonic and Phasic Second-Order Vestibular Neurons** *J Neurosci* **28**:10349–10362

Prayuenyong P, Taylor JA, Pearson SE, Gomez R, Patel PM, Hall DA, Kasbekar AV, Baguley DM (2018) Vestibulotoxicity Associated With Platinum-Based Chemotherapy in Survivors of Cancer: A Scoping Review *Front Oncol* 8

Sadeghi SG, Beraneck M (2020) **Task-Specific Differentiation of Central Vestibular Neurons and Plasticity During Vestibular Compensation. In: The Senses: A Comprehensive Reference** :290–308

Sadeghi SG, Minor LB, Cullen KE (2007) **Response of Vestibular-Nerve Afferents to Active and Passive Rotations Under Normal Conditions and After Unilateral Labyrinthectomy** *J Neurophysiol* **97**:1503–1514

Sadeghi SG, Minor LB, Cullen KE (2012) **Neural Correlates of Sensory Substitution in Vestibular Pathways following Complete Vestibular Loss** *J Neurosci* **32**:14685–14695

Sayyid ZN, Wang T, Chen L, Jones SM, Cheng AG (2019) **Atoh1 Directs Regeneration and Functional Recovery of the Mature Mouse Vestibular System** *Cell Rep* **28**:312–324

Sedó-Cabezón L, Boadas-Vaello P, Soler-Martín C, Llorens J (2014) **Vestibular damage in** chronic ototoxicity: A mini-review *NeuroToxicology* **67** 



Sedó-Cabezón L, Jedynak P, Boadas-Vaello P, Llorens J (2015) **Transient alteration of the vestibular calyceal junction and synapse in response to chronic ototoxic insult in rats** *Dis Model Mech:dmm* **21436** 

Seoane A, Demêmes D, Llorens J (2001) **Pathology of the rat vestibular sensory epithelia during subchronic 3,3'-iminodipropionitrile exposure: hair cells may not be the primary target of toxicity** *Acta Neuropathol (Berl* **102**:339–348

Shin S-L, Zhao GQ, Raymond JL (2014) **Signals and Learning Rules Guiding Oculomotor Plasticity** *J Neurosci* **34**:10635–10644

Shinder ME, Perachio AA, Kaufman GD (2005) **VOR and Fos response during acute vestibular compensation in the Mongolian gerbil in darkness and in light** *Brain Res* **1038**:183–197

Simon F, Pericat D, Djian C, Fricker D, Denoyelle F, Beraneck M (2020) **Surgical techniques and functional evaluation for vestibular lesions in the mouse: unilateral labyrinthectomy (UL) and unilateral vestibular neurectomy (UVN)** *J Neurol* **267**:51–61

Simon F, Tissir F, Michel V, Lahlou G, Deans M, Beraneck M (2021) **Implication of Vestibular Hair Cell Loss of Planar Polarity for the Canal and Otolith-Dependent Vestibulo-Ocular Reflexes in Celsr1–/– Mice** *Front Neurosci* **15** 

Soler-Martin C, Diez-Padrisa N, Boadas-Vaello P, Llorens J (2006) **Behavioral Disturbances and Hair Cell Loss in the Inner Ear Following Nitrile Exposure in Mice, Guinea Pigs, and Frogs** *Toxicol Sci* **96**:123–132

Sousa AD, Andrade LR, Salles FT, Pillai AM, Buttermore ED, Bhat MA, Kachar B (2009) **The Septate Junction Protein Caspr Is Required for Structural Support and Retention of KCNQ4 at Calyceal Synapses of Vestibular Hair Cells** *J Neurosci* **29**:3103–3108

Straka H, Vibert N, Vidal PP, Moore LE, Dutia MB (2005) **Intrinsic membrane properties of vertebrate vestibular neurons: Function, development and plasticity** *Prog Neurobiol* **76**:349–392

Straka H, Zwergal A, Cullen KE (2016) **Vestibular animal models: contributions to understanding physiology and disease** *J Neurol* **263**:10–23

Welgampola MS, Young AS, Pogson JM, Bradshaw AP, Halmagyi GM (2019) **Dizziness** demystified *Pract Neurol* **19**:492–501

Wibble T, Pansell T, Grillner S, Pérez-Fernández J (2022) **Conserved subcortical processing in visuo-vestibular gaze control** *Nat Commun* **13** 

Wilkerson BA, Artoni F, Lea C, Ritchie K, Ray CA, Bermingham-McDonogh O (2018) Effects of 3,3'-Iminodipropionitrile on Hair Cell Numbers in Cristae of CBA/CaJ and C57BL/6J Mice J Assoc Res Otolaryngol 19:483–491

Yang X, Zhou S, Wu J, Liao Q, Wang C, Liu M, Qu L, Zhang Y, Cheng C, Chai R, Zhang K, Yu X, Huang P, Liu L, Xiong W, Chen S, Chen F (2019) **Surgery-free video-oculography in mouse models: enabling quantitative and short-interval longitudinal assessment of vestibular function** *Neurosci Lett* **696**:212–218

Zakir M, Dickman JD (2006) **Regeneration of Vestibular Otolith Afferents after Ototoxic Damage** *J Neurosci* **26**:2881–2893



Zeng S, Ni W, Jiang H, You D, Wang J, Lu X, Liu L, Yu H, Wu J, Chen F, Li H, Wang Y, Chen Y, Li W (2020) Toxic Effects of 3,3 ' -Iminodipropionitrile on Vestibular System in Adult C57BL/6J Mice In Vivo Neural Plast 2020:1–11

Zennou-Azogui Y, Xerri C, Harlay F (1994) **Visual sensory substitution in vestibular compensation: neuronal substrates in the alert cat** 

Zwergal A, Schlichtiger J, Xiong G, Beck R, Günther L, Schniepp R, Schöberl F, Jahn K, Brandt T, Strupp M, Bartenstein P, Dieterich M, Dutia MB, la Fougère C (2016) **Sequential [18F]FDG µPET** whole-brain imaging of central vestibular compensation: a model of deafferentation-induced brain plasticity *Brain Struct Funct* **221**:159–170

# Article and author information

## Louise Schenberg

Université Paris Cité, CNRS UMR 8002, INCC - Integrative Neuroscience and Cognition Center, F-75006, Paris, France ORCID iD: 0009-0004-1298-8228

### Aïda Palou

Departament de Ciències Fisiològiques, Universitat de Barcelona, 08907 l'Hospitalet de Llobrega, Catalunya, Spain, Institut de Neurociènces, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Catalunya, Spain, Institut d'Investigació Biomèdica de Bellvitge (IDIBELL), 08907 l'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Catalunya, Spain

### **François Simon**

Université Paris Cité, CNRS UMR 8002, INCC - Integrative Neuroscience and Cognition Center, F-75006, Paris, France, Department of paediatric otolaryngology, Hôpital Necker-Enfants Malades, APHP, F-75015, Paris, France

### **Tess Bonnard**

Université Paris Cité, CNRS UMR 8002, INCC - Integrative Neuroscience and Cognition Center, F-75006, Paris, France

### **Charles-Elliot Barton**

Université Paris Cité, CNRS UMR 8002, INCC - Integrative Neuroscience and Cognition Center, F-75006, Paris, France

### **Desdemona Fricker**

Université Paris Cité, CNRS UMR 8002, INCC - Integrative Neuroscience and Cognition Center, F-75006, Paris, France ORCID iD: 0000-0001-7328-9480

### Michele Tagliabue

Université Paris Cité, CNRS UMR 8002, INCC - Integrative Neuroscience and Cognition Center, F-75006, Paris, France ORCID iD: 0000-0002-4905-788X



#### Jordi Llorens

Departament de Ciències Fisiològiques, Universitat de Barcelona, 08907 l'Hospitalet de Llobrega, Catalunya, Spain, Institut de Neurociènces, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Catalunya, Spain, Institut d'Investigació Biomèdica de Bellvitge (IDIBELL), 08907 l'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Catalunya, Spain **For correspondence:** jllorens@ub.edu ORCID iD: 0000-0002-3894-9401

#### **Mathieu Beraneck**

Université Paris Cité, CNRS UMR 8002, INCC - Integrative Neuroscience and Cognition Center, F-75006, Paris, France **For correspondence:** mathieu.beraneck@cnrs.fr ORCID iD: 0000-0003-2722-0532

## Copyright

© 2023, Schenberg et al.

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited.

## **Editors**

Reviewing Editor **Muriel Thoby-Brisson** CNRS Université de Bordeaux, France

#### Senior Editor

**Andrew King** University of Oxford, United Kingdom

# **Reviewer #1 (Public Review):**

To further understand the plasticity of vestibular compensation, Schenberg et al. sought to characterize the response of the vestibular system to short-term and partial impairment using gaze stabilization behaviors. A transient ototoxic protocol affected type I hair cells and produced gain changes in the vestibulo-ocular reflex and optokinetic response. Interestingly, decreases in vestibular function occurred in coordination with an increase in ocular reflex gain at frequencies where vestibular information is more highly weighted over visual. Moreover, computational approaches revealed unexpected detriment from low reproducibility on combined gaze responses. These results inform the current understanding of visual-vestibular integration especially in the face of dysfunction.

#### Strengths

The manuscript takes advantage of VOR measurements that can be activated by targeted organs, are used in many species including clinically, and indicate additional adverse effects of vestibular dysfunction.

The authors use a variety of experimental procedures and analysis methods to verify results and consider individual performance effects on the population data.



The conclusions are well-justified by current data and supported by previous research and theories of visuo-vestibular function and plasticity.

# **Reviewer #2 (Public Review):**

This is a very nice study showing how partial loss of vestibular function leads to long term alterations in behavioural responses of mice. Specifically, the authors show that VOR involving both canal and otolith afferents are strongly attenuated following treatment and partially recover. The main result is that loss of VOR is partially "compensated" by increased OKR in treated animals. Finally, the authors show that treatment primarily affects type I hair cells as opposed to type II hair cells. Overall, these results have important implications for our understanding of how the VOR Is generated using input from both type I and type II hair cells.

The major strength of the study lies in the use of partial inactivation of hair cells to look at the effects on behaviors such as VOR and OKR. Some weaknesses stem from the fact that the effects of inactivation are highly variable across specimens and that there is no recovery of behavioral function.

## **Author Response**

The following is the authors' response to the original reviews.

We would like to thank the editors and reviewers for their overall positive assessment of this work. We have carefully revised the manuscript and implemented near all reviewers' public and confidential recommendations. We believe these modifications have strengthened the manuscript and hope it will further convince the editors and reviewers.

We below provide a point-by-point response to the reviewers' comments.

# *Reviewer #1 (Public Review):*

To further understand the plasticity of vestibular compensation, Schenberg et al. sought to characterize the response of the vestibular system to short-term and partial impairment using gaze stabilization behaviors. A transient ototoxic protocol affected type I hair cells and produced gain changes in the vestibulo-ocular reflex and optokinetic response. Interestingly, decreases in vestibular function occurred in coordination with an increase in ocular reflex gain at frequencies where vestibular information is more highly weighted over visual. Moreover, computational approaches revealed unexpected detriment from low reproducibility on combined gaze responses. These results inform the current understanding of visual-vestibular integration especially in the face of dysfunction.

### Strengths

The manuscript takes advantage of VOR measurements which can be activated by targeted organs, are used in many species including clinically, and indicate additional adverse effects of vestibular dysfunction. The authors use a variety of experimental procedures and analysis methods to verify results and consider individual performance effects on the population data. The conclusions are well-justified by current data and supported by previous research and theories of visuo-vestibular function and plasticity.

The authors thank reviewer 1 for emphasizing these positive aspects of the work.



#### Weaknesses

The manuscript describes the methodology as inducing reversible changes (lines 44, 67,) but the data shows a reversible effect only in hair cell histology (Fig 3A-B) not in function as demonstrated by the persistent aVOR gain reduction in week 12 (Fig 1C) and increase of OKR gain in weeks 6-12 (Fig 4C/D).

Rodents exposed to IDPN in the drinking water show from complete to null reversibility of the function loss depending on the IDPN concentration and duration of exposure, and the relationship between exposure and effect varies as a function of species, strain and sex of the exposed animals (Llorens and Rodríguez-Farré, Neurotoxicol. Teratol., 1997; Seoane et al., J. Comp. Neurol. 2001; Sedó-Cabezón et al., Dis. Model. Mech., 2015; Greguske et al., Arch. Toxicol., 2019). In addition, there is individual variability. The concentration of IDPN and time of exposure used in this study were selected to result in a loss followed by complete reversion but, as noted by the referee, the reversion was complete on Hair cells, while the gaze stabilizing reflexes show differential degrees of recovery depending on the functional tests (complete recovery on OCR; partial on aVOR and OKR). These make the IDPN subchronic protocol an interesting methodology to study the long term consequences of partial/reversible inner ear impairment. To be more accurate in the description of the reversibility, we have now introduced the following changes:

Lines 43: Subchronic exposure to IDPN in drinking water at low doses allowed for progressive ototoxicity, leading to a partial and largely reversible loss of function.

Lines 67-68: We demonstrate that despite the significant recovery in their vestibulo-ocular reflexes, the visuo-vestibular integration remains notably impaired in some IDPN-treated mice

Lines 578: Previous experiments (Greguske et al., 2021) had demonstrated that at these concentrations, ototoxic lesions produced by IDPN are largely reversible.

*Reviewer 1: The manuscript begins with the mention of fluctuating vestibular function clinically, but does not connect this to any specific pathologies nor does it relate its conclusions back to this motivation.* 

Thank you. We have now added a conclusion (lines 525-552) to discuss the results in a clinical perspective.

*Reviewer 1: The conclusions of frequency-specific changes in OKR would be stronger if frequency-specific aVOR effects were demonstrated similar to Figure 4D.* 

We have presented the frequency-selective effects in Figure 1 supplement and related text; changes observed in aVOR are mostly (see below) comparable for all frequencies >0.2Hz. However, we have edited the text to better highlight when the IDPN differentially affect aVOR tested at different frequencies (see lines 97-99).

## Reviewer #2 (Public Review):

This is a very nice study showing how partial loss of vestibular function leads to long term alterations in behavioural responses of mice. Specifically, the authors show that VOR involving both canal and otolith afferents are strongly attenuated following treatment and partially recover. The main result is that loss of VOR is partially "compensated" by increased OKR in treated animals. Finally, the authors show that treatment primarily affects type I hair cells as opposed to type II. Overall, these results



have potentially important implications for our understanding of how the VOR Is generated using input from both type I and type II hair cells. As detailed below however, more controls as well as analyses are needed.

The authors thank reviewer 2 for positive evaluation regarding the potential implication of the work.

#### Major points:

Reviewer 2: The authors analyze both canal and otolith contributions to the VOR which is great. There is however an asymmetry in the way that the results are presented in Figure 1. Please correct this and show time series of fixations for control and at W6 and W12. Moreover, the authors are plotting table and eye position traces in Fig. 1B but, based on the methods, gains are computed based on velocity. So please show eye velocity traces instead. Also, what was the goodness of fit of the model to the trace at W6? If lower than 0.5 then I think that it is misleading to show such a trace since there does not seem to be a significant VOR.

Figure 1 was modified as suggested. Panel B now shows velocity traces, and goodness of fit is reported in figure legend. Panel E now shows raw OCR traces at W0, W6, W12.

*Reviewer 2: This is important to show that the loss is partial as opposed to total. It seems to me that the treatment was not effective at all for aVOR for at least some animals. What happens if these are not included in the analysis?* 

The reviewer is correct, there is indeed variability in the alteration observed during the treatment, as previously described and expected from previous experiments (Llorens and Rodríguez-Farré, Neurotoxicol. Teratol., 1997; Seoane et al., J. Comp. Neurol. 2001; SedóCabezón et al., Dis. Model. Mech., 2015; Greguske et al., Arch. Toxicol., 2019). It was actually one of the goal of the study to compare hair cell loss and VOR responses in individuals. The individual aVOR gain and phase responses during the IDPN treatment are all presented in Figure 1 supplement. aVOR was reduced in all animals, although 2/21 only showed a decrease of less than 10% of their initial gain at W6. If these were excluded from the analysis, the statistical differences between the 2 groups would be reinforced.

*Reviewer 2: Figure 2A shows a parallel time course for gains of aVOR and OCR at the population level. Is this also seen at the individual level?* 

Yes, this is seen in individuals. This result is presented in Figure 2C and 2D which illustrate the similar effect of IDPN on aVOR and OCR responses at week 6 and week 12 at the individual level (each symbol represents an individual mouse). The plotted delta gain of both aVOR and OCR represents the relative loss of vestibular function for each individual mouse at W6 and W12, respectively.

Reviewer 2: Figure 3: please show individual datapoints in all conditions.

Figure 3 was modified to show individual datapoints in all conditions (see Figure 3 A2, A3, C2 and C3).

Reviewer 2: Figure 4: The authors show both gain and phase for OKR. Why not show gain and phase for aVOR and OCR in Figure 1. I realize that phase is shown in sup Figures but it is important to show in main figures. The authors show a significant increase in phase lead for aVOR but no further mention is made of this in the discussion. Moreover, how



are the authors dealing with the fact that, as gain gets smaller, the error on the phase will increase. Specifically, what happens when the grey datapoints are not included?

As pointed by the reviewer, we have included all aVOR phase results in Figure 1 supplement and also stated it in the main text (lines 100-102). There is however no phase calculated for the OCR which is a static test, as better illustrated in new Figure 1E. Error in phase calculations increases as gain gets smaller. To take this into account, the phase corresponding to the grey points (VAF<0,5; corresponding to Gains<0.10) were not included in the statistical analysis of the aVOR phase. This point is now made clearer in methods lines 639-640.

Reviewer 2: Discussion: As mentioned above, the authors should discuss the mechanisms and implications of the observed phase lead following treatment. Moreover, recent literature showing that VN neurons that make the primary contribution to the VOR (i.e., PVP neurons) tend to show more regular resting discharges than other classes (i.e., EH cells), and that such regularity is needed for the VOR should be discussed (Mackrous et al. 2020 eLife). Specifically, how are type I and type II hair cells related to discharge regularity by central neurons in VN?

We have now added discussion regarding mechanisms and implications of the phase changes in lines 363-371. The authors thank reviewer 1 for pointing at the Mackrous et al. 2020 eLife paper which is now included in the updated discussion. The relations between type I and type II and discharge regularity in afferents and central VN is further discussed 442-449.

Below we provide answers to specific recommendations for the authors.

## Reviewer #1 (Recommendations For The Authors):

*Reviewer 1: Were hair cells counted for the whole organ? what was the control for epithelial size differences?* 

The effect of the treatment on hair cells was estimated by counting numbers of cells in square area of the central and peripheral parts of the sensory epithelia. The text has been modified to better describe the method, lines 748-751.

Reviewer 1: The title of the article leads readers to expect more emphasis on hair cell changes, while the content of the manuscript is more functional and encompassing the visual and vestibular systems.

We have retained the original tittle.

*Reviewer 1: Please provide acronym definitions before they are used. Examples: HC (line 63), W6 etc (line 82-83)* 

Done as suggested on lines 63, 82 and 107.

Reviewer 1: Please describe the ages of animals used in the study.

The animals used in the study were 6 weeks old at the beginning of the protocol and 20 weeks old at the end. The text has been modified accordingly, line 564.

*Reviewer 1: Consider changing "until" to "through" when describing time ranges (initially line 88), as the following time mentioned is included in the statement. E.g., line 216-217 sounds as if gain was insignificantly different at W12.* 



Done as suggested, lines 88 and 219.

Reviewer 1: Line 162: lower case for "immunostaining".

Done, line 164.

Reviewer 1: Consider regrouping or renumbering panels of Figure 3 for more clarity.

Panels in Figure 3 were regrouped as suggested, with first the canal-related data in panels A-B followed by the utricule-related data in panels C-D.

Reviewer 1: Lines 222-223: reword as gain increased not frequency.

Thank you, the text has been reworded, line 224-225.

*Reviewer 1: It is unclear if the two subgroups revealed in CGR analysis (line 288) are relevant to the two groups described in VOR responses (line 137-138). Please clarify if these are the same mice or distinct clusters.* 

The two subgroups found in the CGR analysis differ from the clusters revealed by the decrease of the aVOR gain; the text has been modified lines 300-301.

*Reviewer 1: Consider adding that irregular afferents + calyces are relevant specifically to type I HCs (lines 411-426).* 

The text has been modified to clarify the contacts between the two types of vestibular afferents and hair cells, lines 431-435.

Reviewer 1: Line 434: clarify which "scheme" given context before and after this phrase

In order to clarify this part of the discussion, the text has been modified and this term no longer appears.

Reviewer 1: Please indicate the time gap from surgery to treatment.

The time gap from the surgery to treatment, at least 72h, has been updated in the methods, lines 575.

*Reviewer 1: Line 619-620: It is unclear if VOR and OKR sessions were randomized in order or if the authors have considered training or adaptive effects from the initial test session.* 

VOR and OKR sessions were performed on different days to limit cross effects, lines 639-640.

Reviewer 1: Line 688: typo-change ifG to IgG.

modified, line 744.

*Reviewer 1: Line 692-693: were hair cells counted for the whole organ? what was the control for epithelial size differences?* 

The effect of the treatment on hair cells was estimated by counting numbers of cells in square area of the central and peripheral parts of the sensory epithelia. The text has been modified to better explain the method, lines 748-751.

# 🍪 eLife

*Reviewer 1: Change decimal indicator to be consistent (commas used in lines 732, 759, 776, Figure 6C),* 

Thank you; modified as suggested.

*Reviewer 1: Line 763: "stimulation at 0.5Hz &10{degree sign}/s" is unclear.* 

The text has been modified, line 817.

Reviewer 1: Line 765: bold (E)

The police format has been updated, line 820.

*Reviewer 1: Line 770-771: A) insert OKR to be "mean delta aVOR and delta OKR gain", B) plot is OKR as a function of VOR.* 

Thank you, done as suggested. The text has been modified, line 824. Reviewer 1: Describe Figure 6 delta at initial mention (line 784 instead of 786) Authors: thank you, done as suggested, line 839.

Reviewer 1: It is unclear why the tables are included if never mentioned in the text.

The tables are now mentioned, lines 90 and 218.

*Reviewer 1: Figure 1: is the observed gain for Sham group expected value rather than closer to 1?* 

Yes, as the value reported on Figure 1 is a mean of the values obtained during aVOR test in the dark at frequencies in range 0.2-1Hz (see also Figure 1 Supplement).

Reviewer 1: Figure 2: A) give enough space to see error bars at W2. Consider making test data more easily distinguishable. B) is OCR mean or specific stimulation? C/D) move 1Hz label from title to x-axis label as it does not describe OCR test. Figure 5: C) consider making color specific to frequency for better distinction on C+D as symbols previously indicated individual data. D) 1Hz specific to OKR? move to axis label instead of title

The Figures 2 and 5 have been modified according to reviewer 1 suggestions.

Reviewer 1: Figure 6: A/B) what time point are these, W12?

Those points correspond to W6 and W12, the text has been updated to specify the time points, lines 834 and 835.

# Reviewer #2 (Recommendations For The Authors):

The authors should perform additional analyses that will help strengthen their results.

We are unsure about the exact implementation of this recommendation. However, we have strengthened our results by following all reviewers' specific recommendations.