

Distinguishing Different Stackings in Layered Materials via Luminescence Spectroscopy

Matteo Zanfrognini, Alexandre Plaud, Ingrid Stenger, Frédéric Fossard, Lorenzo Sponza, Léonard Schué, Fulvio Paleari, Elisa Molinari, Daniele Varsano, Ludger Wirtz, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Matteo Zanfrognini, Alexandre Plaud, Ingrid Stenger, Frédéric Fossard, Lorenzo Sponza, et al.. Distinguishing Different Stackings in Layered Materials via Luminescence Spectroscopy. Physical Review Letters, 2023, 131 (20), pp.206902. 10.1103/physrevlett.131.206902 . hal-04292218

HAL Id: hal-04292218 https://hal.science/hal-04292218

Submitted on 17 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 1

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

Distinguishing Different Stackings in Layered Materials via Luminescence Spectroscopy

3 Matteo Zanfrognini,^{1,2} Alexandre Plaud,^{3,4} Ingrid Stenger¹,⁴ Frédéric Fossard¹,³ Lorenzo Sponza¹,³ Léonard Schué,^{3,4}

Fulvio Paleari⁽⁰⁾,^{2,*} Elisa Molinari⁽⁰⁾,^{1,2} Daniele Varsano⁽⁰⁾,² Ludger Wirtz,⁵ François Ducastelle,³

Annick Loiseau,^{3,†} and Julien Barjon^{4,‡}

¹Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Informatiche e Matematiche, Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia, I-41125 Modena, Italy ²Centro S3, CNR-Istituto Nanoscienze, I-41125 Modena, Italy

³Université Paris-Saclay, ONERA, CNRS, Laboratoire d'étude des microstructures, 92322 Châtillon, France

⁴Université Paris-Saclay, UVSQ, CNRS, GEMaC, 78000 Versailles, France

⁵Department of Physics and Materials Science, University of Luxembourg, 1511 Luxembourg, Luxembourg

10 11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

(Received 29 May 2023; accepted 12 October 2023)

Despite its simple crystal structure, layered boron nitride features a surprisingly complex variety of phonon-assisted luminescence peaks. We present a combined experimental and theoretical study on ultraviolet-light emission in hexagonal and rhombohedral bulk boron nitride crystals. Emission spectra of high-quality samples are measured via cathodoluminescence spectroscopy, displaying characteristic differences between the two polytypes. These differences are explained using a fully first-principles computational technique that takes into account radiative emission from "indirect," finite-momentum excitons via coupling to finite-momentum phonons. We show that the differences in peak positions, number of peaks, and relative intensities can be qualitatively and quantitatively explained, once a full integration over all relevant momenta of excitons and phonons is performed.

21

DOI:

22 The microscopic control of Van der Waals stacking configurations is emerging as an important tool for the 23 engineering of the optoelectronic properties of layered 24 materials. In graphite, for example, rhombohedral stacking 25 may result in nontrivial topological properties [1], while the 26 asymmetry of the interlayer coupling resulting from the 27 28 same stacking motif leads to the emergence of ferroelectricity in transition metal dichalcogenides [2,3], as well as 29 increased effective mobilities due to the change in inter-30 layer coupling strength [4]. The local changes in stacking 31 32 order in twisted boron nitride (BN) layers again give rise to ferroelectric domains [5]. Understanding the impact of 33 single-layer stacking configurations on the electronic prop-34 erties of Van der Waals materials is thus of paramount 35 36 importance. Consequently, exploring BN polytypes serves 37 as an exemplary platform for such investigations. Layered BN crystals are identified as strategic materials for 38 the integration of graphene and 2D semiconductors in 39 optoelectronic devices based on Van der Waals hetero-40 structures [6-8]. To this end, largely scalable crystal growth 41 methods able to produce high-quality samples are desir-42 able. The highest-quality BN single crystals are mostly 43 grown from a catalytic melt either at high pressure and high 44 temperature [9–11] or, more recently, at intermediate or 45 atmospheric pressure and high temperature [12-16]. The 46 resulting crystals are limited in size or polycrystalline, 47 which restricts their possible applications to optoelectron-48 ics. Up-scalable fabrication techniques at low pressure, 49 such as chemical vapor deposition or molecular beam 50

epitaxy, allow instead for the controlled synthesis of BN 51 thin films on large surfaces. However, they have encoun-52 tered a limited success up to now due to the polymorphism 53 of boron nitride. The layered bulk crystal can come, in 54 principle, in six different polytypes [17], with the two most 55 stable ones adopting the hexagonal (hBN) and rhombohe-56 dral (rBN) Bravais lattices. In hBN, two adjacent BN single 57 layers differ by a π rotation, resulting in the so-called AA' 58 stacking sequence, where boron and nitrogen atoms sit on 59 top of each other [Fig. 1(a)]. Conversely, the unit cell of 60 rBN crystals is composed of three BN monolayers, which 61 are rigidly shifted along the same direction by the B-N 62 planar interatomic distance: this stacking motif (ABC 63 sequence) is shown in Fig. 1(b). While this stacking 64



FIG. 1. Stacking sequences of sp_2 BN considered in this work:F1:1in (a) boron nitride with AA' stacking is shown, while in (b) theF1:2three shifted layers forming rBN unit cell are presented. NitrogenF1:3and boron atoms are shown in gray and green, respectively.F1:4

65 difference entails an extremely high energy cost associated to the transformation from rBN to hBN [18], these two 66 polytypes are difficult to distinguish experimentally from a 67 crystallographic point of view. Even from a computational 68 point of view, the calculated stability difference of the 69 two polytypes is close to the limit of accuracy of modern 70 ab initio methods [17,19,20]. In addition, the interaction 71 with the substrate affects the abundance of stable rBN and 72 73 hBN phases in synthetic products [21-24]. For these 74 reasons, the stacking sequence is rarely characterized in recent reports about BN multilayer growth, so that possible 75 differences in the respective optoelectronic properties of the 76 two polytypes might have been overlooked. 77

78 In this Letter, we present a spectroscopic investigation of rBN using cathodoluminescence (CL) spectroscopy. By 79 80 comparing CL spectra obtained for rBN with analogous results for hBN [25,26], we demonstrate that the stacking 81 82 sequence affects the emission fine structure of rBN and hBN crystals, making CL an ideal experimental probe to 83 discriminate between the two polytypes. Our experimental 84 observations are explained by ab initio calculations of 85 luminescence spectra for the two polytypes, explicitly 86 including exciton-phonon interactions. 87

The reference sample investigated here is the rBN 88 powder fabricated by T. Sato [21], which is known as 89 90 the international standard for the crystallographic diffraction database [27]. To our knowledge, this is the highest-91 quality rBN single crystal available today. Figure 2(a) 92 presents a transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image 93 of the powder. It consists of cylindrical rBN crystallites 94 with a typical 200 nm diameter and a 50 nm thickness. The 95 ABC stacking sequence can be observed in the high-96 97 resolution image of the transverse section reported in Fig. 2(b). The distance between B and N in this projection 98 is 0.072 nm, which cannot be resolved due to our 0.12 nm 99 TEM limit resolution. Nevertheless, the positions of B and 100 N atomic columns can be identified in Fig. 2(c) thanks to 101 102 simulations performed in the conditions of the image 103 acquisition in Fig. 2(d) (see Supplemental Material [28] for details). The identification of the rBN structure is104further confirmed by comparing its Raman spectrum105with the one of hBN as presented in the Supplemental106Material [28] section on Raman spectroscopy. In the107following, the properties of the reference rBN sample108(ABC stacking) will be compared with a reference hBN109crystal grown by high pressure and high temperature [11].110

We now turn to the discussion of the exciton-dominated 111 luminescence spectra as studied by CL using the setup 112 detailed in Supplemental Material [28]. A comparison 113 between the experimental CL spectra of hBN and rBN 114 at T = 5 K is shown in Fig. 3. The visible features are due 115 to phonon-assisted excitonic recombinations as will be 116 discussed below. The two spectra display several key 117 differences, including a redshift of the rBN features with 118 respect to the corresponding hBN ones (which amounts to 119 15 meV for the highest peak), and, most importantly, the 120 presence of two relevant structures at 5.847 and 5.919 eV 121 only in rBN. The high accuracy of the experimental rBN 122 spectrum is crucial to clearly resolve the fine structure of 123 the intrinsic phonon-assisted peaks [17,42], enabling us to 124 explain these points in conjunction with the theoretical 125 modeling in the following. Experimentally, these reported 126 differences are fully significant, as we obtained almost 127 identical spectra from a rBN sample grown by chemical 128 vapor deposition on 6H-SiC. A detailed comparison 129 between the two samples is included in Supplemental 130 Material [28], along with a discussion of the defect peaks 131 appearing in the CL signal measured at frequencies lower 132 than those shown in Fig. 3. 133

Ab initio calculations [43] indicate that rBN is an indirect 134 band-gap insulator. The exciton dispersion resulting from 135 the solution of the Bethe-Salpeter equation at finite 136 momentum is indirect as well, its minimum being located 137 near the point $\Omega = [\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, 0]$ in the middle of the ΓK 138 symmetry direction in the hexagonal Brillouin zone 139 (hBZ). According to our calculation, the energy difference 140 between the lowest-lying exciton (due to indirect electronic 141 transition) and the optically accessible (i.e., direct and 142



F2:1 FIG. 2. (a) Bright field TEM image of the reference rBN powder. (b) High resolution TEM image in the [101] zone axis of the crystallite indicated by the red arrow in (a). The traces of (101), (001), and (111) rBN planes reported with white lines are identified with the Fourier transform plotted in inset. (c) Magnified image of the white rectangle in (b) where the atomic positions of B and N (colored spheres) are deduced from the simulation (d), which has been performed with the illumination conditions used experimentally.
F2:5 Crystallographic notations refer here to the rhombohedral phase (see Supplemental Material [28] for details).



F3:1 FIG. 3. Comparison of experimental hBN (blue) and rBN (red) F3:2 CL spectra at T = 5 K.

143 dipole-allowed) Γ excitons is 230 meV (see Supplemental 144 Material [28] for the exciton dispersion curve computed 145 along this direction). This means that the excitonic radiative 146 recombination in rBN requires the assistance of phonons 147 with a wave vector around the Ω point, similarly to what 148 happens in hBN.

The theoretical luminescence spectra have been computed using the expression [44,45]

$$I(E) \propto \sum_{\lambda} \sum_{\mathbf{Q}} \sum_{\nu} N[E_{\lambda}(\mathbf{Q})] \Gamma_{\lambda}^{\nu,\mathbf{Q}}(E), \qquad (1)$$

where λ is an index running over exciton bands, **Q** is the 152 exciton momentum, and ν denotes the phonon branches. 153 $N[E_{\lambda}(\mathbf{Q})] = e^{-[(E_{\lambda}(\mathbf{Q})-\mu)/k_B T_{\text{exc}}]}$ is a Boltzmann distribution 154 representing the exciton population from which light 155 156 emission occurs, μ being the energy of the lowest-energy exciton in the system and T_{exc} the effective excitonic 157 158 temperature. We fixed T_{exc} to 20 K, which is its experimental value obtained for low sample temperatures (below 159 10 K, as in Fig. 3). (We have checked that our results are 160 stable with respect to small changes of this parameter). The probability $\Gamma_{\lambda}^{\nu,\mathbf{Q}}(E)$ describes photon emission by a 161

162 The probability $\Gamma_{\lambda}^{\nu,\mathbf{Q}}(E)$ describes photon emission by a 163 finite-momentum exciton $|\lambda, \mathbf{Q}\rangle$, assisted by a phonon 164 (ν, \mathbf{Q}) . This quantity has been computed using second-165 order time-dependent perturbation theory, similarly to 166 Refs. [46,47], only considering phonon emission processes 167 [48] (which dominate at small temperature):

$$\Gamma_{\lambda}^{\nu,\mathbf{Q}}(E) = |T_{\lambda}^{\nu,\mathbf{Q}}|^2 \frac{(1+n_{\nu,\mathbf{Q}})\delta[E-E_{\lambda}(\mathbf{Q})+\hbar\omega_{\nu,\mathbf{Q}}]}{E_{\lambda}(\mathbf{Q})-\hbar\omega_{\nu,\mathbf{Q}}}, \quad (2)$$

169 with

$$T_{\lambda}^{\nu,\mathbf{Q}} = \sum_{\lambda_2} \frac{D_{\lambda_2} G_{\lambda_2,\lambda}^{\nu}(\mathbf{Q}, -\mathbf{Q})}{E_{\lambda_2}(\Gamma) + \hbar \omega_{\nu,\mathbf{Q}} - E_{\lambda}(\mathbf{Q})}.$$
 (3)

In Eqs. (2) and (3), the index λ_2 runs over the excitonic 170 states at the Γ point with energy $E_{\lambda_2}(\Gamma)$. The quantity D_{λ_2} is 172 the excitonic optical dipole strength averaged over in-plane 173 polarization directions. $n_{\nu,\mathbf{Q}}$ corresponds to the Bose-174 Einstein phonon occupation factor, while E is the energy 175 of the emitted photon; the Dirac delta guarantees energy 176 conservation and has been numerically approximated with 177 a Lorentzian function with FWHM equal to 5 meV in order 178 to match the experimental peaks. Finally, the exciton-179 phonon coupling matrix element $G^{\nu}_{\lambda_{2},\lambda}(\mathbf{Q},-\mathbf{Q})$ describes 180 the scattering amplitude for an exciton $|\lambda, \mathbf{Q}\rangle$ to states 181 $|\lambda_2, \Gamma\rangle$ while assisted by phonon mode ν [45]: 182

$$\begin{aligned}
G^{\nu}_{\lambda_{2},\lambda}(\mathbf{Q},-\mathbf{Q}) \\
&= \sum_{vcc'\mathbf{k}} A^{*\Gamma}_{\lambda_{2}}(v\mathbf{k},c\mathbf{k}) A^{\mathbf{Q}}_{\lambda}(v\mathbf{k},c'\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}) g^{\nu}_{cc'}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q};-\mathbf{Q}) \\
&- \sum_{vv'c\mathbf{k}} A^{*\Gamma}_{\lambda_{2}}(v\mathbf{k},c\mathbf{k}) A^{\mathbf{Q}}_{\lambda}(v'\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{Q},c\mathbf{k}) g^{\nu}_{v'v}(\mathbf{k};-\mathbf{Q}), \quad (4)
\end{aligned}$$

where $A_{\lambda}^{\mathbf{Q}}(v\mathbf{k}_{h}, c\mathbf{k}_{c})$ is the envelope function for exciton 183 $|\lambda, \mathbf{Q}\rangle$, with v, v' (c, c') running over the valence (con-185 duction) states and **k** being the electronic wave vector in the 186 hBZ. The electron-phonon coupling matrix element 187 $g_{n,n'}^{\nu}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{Q})$ represents the scattering between single-particle 188 states $|n', \mathbf{k}\rangle$ and $|n, \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q}\rangle$ [49]. Importantly, within our numerical methodology, $G^{\nu}_{\lambda_2,\lambda}(\mathbf{Q}, -\mathbf{Q})$ is computed using 189 190 the same single-particle Kohn-Sham states both for elec-191 tron-phonon and excitonic quantities, thus overcoming 192 phase mismatch problems as described in Ref. [47]. The 193 \mathbf{Q} integration appearing in Eq. (1) has been performed in 194 local neighborhoods of the symmetry-equivalent Ω points 195 corresponding to the excitonic dispersion minima in the 196 hBZ. The computational details [50] needed to reproduce 197 the theoretical results are provided in the Supplemental 198 Material [28]. 199

In Fig. 4, we present the comparison between exper-200 imental CL spectra (black dots) and theoretical Bethe-201 Salpeter equation results (continuous green lines) for hBN 202 [Fig. 4(a)] and rBN (Fig. 4(c)]. Figures 4(b) and 4(d) show 203 the calculated in-plane phonon dispersion along the ΓK 204 direction for hBN and rBN, respectively. We find very good 205 agreement between experimental and theoretical data. The 206 relative energy shift between the two spectra is reproduced 207 theoretically. As the phonon energies in the two systems 208 differ only for a few meV, the 15 meV shift closely matches 209 the underlying difference between the lowest-lying, finite-210 momentum exciton levels (which is around 12 meV). In 211 turn, this difference can be traced back to the combined 212 effects of rBN having both a smaller quasiparticle band gap 213 (by 166 meV) and exciton binding energy (by 150 meV) 214



F4:1 FIG. 4. Experimental (black dots) and theoretical (green lines) luminescence spectra for hBN (a) and rBN (c). In both (a) and (c), F4:2 theoretical spectra are blueshifted by 1.04 eV to match the position of the highest intensity peak in the experimental spectrum (in order to F4:3 compensate for the systematic theoretical undercorrection of the *GW* quasiparticle band gap in BN). Phonon dispersions in hBN (b) and F4:4 rBN (d) along the Γ -K direction: phonon branches contributing to the luminescence spectra are highlighted at the Ω point, in the middle F4:5 of the Γ -K direction. See the main text for the phonon mode labeling. Almost-degenerate phonon branches are paired with a hyphen.

with respect to hBN around the Ω points in momentum 215 space. In both hBN and rBN, the spectra are dominated by 216 the two peaks in the low-energy part of the spectrum. These 217 218 are phonon-assisted satellites due to longitudinal optical phonons-denoted as LO2-LO3 modes in the phonon 219 220 dispersion-and transverse optical ones (the almostdegenerate pair [56] TO₂-TO₃). For hBN, these assign-221 ments are in good agreement with the results obtained 222 in Refs. [46,57], using a finite-difference approach. 223 Furthermore, the experimental intensity ratio between these 224 peaks is well-reproduced by ab initio calculations, with the 225 LO peak being less intense than the TO one. The additional 226 overtones appearing in the measurements in this energy 227 228 region are due to higher-order scattering processes [58] and are thus not captured by our theoretical approach, which is 229 restricted to first-order exciton-phonon interaction. The 230 phonon branches involved in the emission process are 231 232 explicitly labeled in Figs. 4(b) and 4(d) for the Ω point only [59]. Luminescence spectra of hBN and rBN are 233 qualitatively different at higher energies, as confirmed by 234 ab initio results. In the case of hBN, we observe only two 235

main peaks: the first (at about 5.86 eV) corresponds to a 236 replica of the LO₁-LA phonons, while the higher intensity 237 structure at 5.89 eV is mainly due to TO phonons, with a 238 small contribution from the almost-degenerate transverse 239 acoustic mode (TA-TO₁). Ab initio results reproduce with 240 great accuracy both the splitting between these peaks and 241 their intensity ratio (the LO₁-LA peak being less pro-242 nounced than the TO₁-TA one), while they tend to 243 overestimate their relative strengths, with respect to the 244 dominant, low-energy satellites. [The agreement may be 245 further improved with a more complete Q-point integration 246 in Eq. (1).] We also note that, in agreement with the group 247 theory analysis discussed in Ref. [57], no contributions 248 from the out-of-plane phonon modes appear in the lumi-249 nescence spectra. This selection rule, which is strictly 250 respected by Eq. (4), can be slightly broken in a real 251 experiment, leading to the appearance of a very small signal 252 corresponding to this mode (usually 100 times smaller than 253 the other peaks [60]). 254

In the case of rBN, the high-energy portion of the CL 255 spectrum shows three large peaks, respectively at about 256

257 5.847, 5.878, and 5.919 eV, instead of the two peaks appearing in hBN. They are also recovered in the *ab initio* 258 results. The first structure is a combination of phonon-259 assisted replicas due to the almost-degenerate LA-LO₁ 260 branches, albeit with a relevant contribution from optical 261 out-of-plane modes (denoted as ZO₂; see Supplemental 262 Material [28] for a mode-resolved spectrum). Conversely, 263 the peak at 5.878 eV is associated to the TA-TO₁ phonons 264 265 in analogy with the hBN case. We emphasize that *ab initio* results correctly reproduce the intensity ratio among these 266 peaks. Finally, the highest-energy structure at 5.919 eV 267 turns out to be due to the out-of-plane optical mode ZO₁. 268 This is forbidden for the centrosymmetric hBN lumines-269 270 cence while it is allowed in the rBN case because of the lowered symmetry of the crystal lattice. 271

272 In conclusion, we have demonstrated that cathodoluminescence is a viable tool to characterize fundamentally 273 274 similar BN polytypes, which are hardly distinguishable otherwise. We have explained both experimentally and 275 theoretically how the radiative emission spectrum is 276 affected by the interaction between electronic excitations 277 and lattice vibrations in rhombohedral and hexagonal boron 278 nitride, two prototypical polytypes of low-dimensional 279 layered materials with indirect band gap. Using a first-280 principles methodology that accounts for exciton-phonon 281 282 interactions beyond the state of the art, we are able to provide a comprehensive and accurate description of the 283 finite-momentum exciton states and phonon modes 284 involved, thus showing the discriminating role of out-of-285 plane lattice vibrations assisting excitonic radiative recom-286 bination for rBN but not for hBN. We believe that our 287 analysis and methodology could be useful for the growth 288 and characterization of indirect-gap layered materials, 289 which find widespread application as basic building blocks 290 in novel 2D optoelectronic devices. 291

The authors would like to thank C. Vilar for the technical 292 293 support on electron microscopy and K. Watanabe and T. Taniguchi for kindly providing a part of the rBN 294 reference powder of T. Sato, M. Chubarov, and 295 A. Henry for providing rBN whiskers on 6H-SiC. We 296 thank C. Attaccalite and P. Lechifflart for useful discus-297 298 sions about exciton-phonon coupling calculations. This project has received funding from the European Union 299 Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under 300 Grant Agreements No. 785219 and No. 881603 (Graphene 301 302 Flagship core 2 and core 3), the French National Agency 303 for Research (ANR) under Grant Agreement No. ANR-14-CE08-0018 (GoBN: Graphene on Boron Nitride 304 Technology), MaX-MAterials design at the eXascale-305 306 a European Centre of Excellence funded by the European Union's program HORIZON-EUROHPC-JU-2021-COE-307 01 (Grant No. 101093374). D. V. and M. Z. also acknowl-308 edge financial support from ICSC-Centro Nazionale di 309 Ricerca in High Performance Computing, Big Data and 310

Quantum Computing, funded by European Union-311 NextGenerationEU-PNRR and the Italian national 312 program PRIN2017 Grant No. 2017BZPKSZ. L.W. 313 acknowledges funding by Fond National de Recherche 314 (FNR), Luxembourg via project INTER/19/ANR/ 315 13376969/ACCEPT. We acknowledge EuroHPC Joint 316 Undertaking for awarding us access to MeluXina at 317 LuxProvide, Luxembourg and CINECA for computational 318 resources, awarded via the ISCRA grants. 319

320

323

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

A. P. and M. Z. contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding author: fulvio.paleari@nano.cnr.it *Corresponding author: annick.loiseau@onera.fr *Corresponding author: julien.barjon@uvsq.fr

- S. Slizovskiy, E. McCann, M. Koshino, and V. I. Fal'ko, Commun. Phys. 2, 164 (2019).
- [2] X. Wang, K. Yasuda, Y. Zhang, S. Liu, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, J. Hone, L. Fu, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Nat. Nanotechnol. 17, 367 (2022).
- [3] J. Liang, D. Yang, J. Wu, J. I. Dadap, K. Watanabe, T.
 Taniguchi, and Z. Ye, Phys. Rev. X 12, 041005 (2022).
 333
- [4] X. Li, X. Shi, D. Marian, D. Soriano, T. Cusati, G. Iannaccone, G. Fiori, Q. Guo, W. Zhao, and Y. Wu, Sci. Adv. 9, eade5706 (2023).
- [5] C. R. Woods, P. Ares, H. Nevison-Andrews, M. J. Holwill, R. Fabregas, F. Guinea, A. K. Geim, K. S. Novoselov, N. R. Walet, and L. Fumagalli, Nat. Commun. **12**, 347 (2021).
- [6] K. S. Novoselov, A. Mishchenko, A. Carvalho, and A. H. C. Neto, Science 353, aac9439 (2016).
- [7] A. Geim and I. Grigorieva, Nature (London) 499, 419 (2013).
- [8] G. Wang, C. Robert, M. M. Glazov, F. Cadiz, E. Courtade, T. Amand, D. Lagarde, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, B. Urbaszek, and X. Marie, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 047401 (2017).
- [9] V. L. Y. Solozhenko, I. A. Petrusha, and A. A. Svirid, High Press. Res. 15, 95 (1996).
- [10] K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and H. Kanda, Nat. Mater. **3**, 404 (2004).
- [11] T. Taniguchi and K. Watanabe, J. Cryst. Growth 303, 525 (2007).
- [12] Y. Kubota, K. Watanabe, O. Tsuda, and T. Taniguchi, Science 317, 932 (2007).
- [13] S. Liu, R. He, L. Xue, J. Li, B. Liu, and J. H. Edgar, Chem. Mater. 30, 6222 (2018).
- [14] M. Onodera, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, M. Isayama, S. Masubuchi, R. Moriya, and T. Machida, Nano Lett. 20, 735 (2020).
- [15] J. Sonntag, J. Li, A. Plaud, A. Loiseau, J. Barjon, J. H. Edgar, and C. Stampfer, 2D Mater. 7, 031009 (2020).
- [16] C. Maestre, Y. Li, V. Garnier, P. Steyer, S. Roux, A. Plaud, A. Loiseau, J. Barjon, L. Ren, C. Robert, B. Han, X. Marie, C. Journet, and B. Toury, 2D Mater. 9, 035008 (2022).
- [17] B. Gil, W. Desrat, A. Rousseau, C. Elias, P. Valvin, M. Moret, J. Li, E. Janzen, J. H. Edgar, and G. Cassabois, Crystals 12, 782 (2022).
- [18] W. J. Yu, W. M. Lau, S. P. Chan, Z. F. Liu, and Q. Q. Zheng, Phys. Rev. B 67, 014108 (2003).

- PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS VOL..XX, 000000 (XXXX)
- 371 [19] K. Luo, X. Yuan, Z. Zhao, D. Yu, B. Xu, Z. Liu, Y. Tian, G. 372 Gao, and J. He, J. Appl. Phys. 121, 165102 (2017).
- 373 [20] H. Pedersen, B. Alling, H. Högberg, and A. Ektarawong, J. 374 Vac. Sci. Technol. A 37, 040603 (2019).
- 375 [21] T. Sato, Proc. Jpn. Acad. Ser. B 61, 459 (1985).
- [22] P. Sutter, J. Lahiri, P. Zahl, B. Wang, and E. Sutter, Nano 376 377 Lett. 13, 276 (2013).
- 378 [23] A. Henry, M. Chubarov, Z. Czigány, M. Garbrecht, and H. 379 Högberg, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 55, 05FD06 (2016).
- [24] L. Souqui, J. Palisaitis, N. Ghafoor, H. Pedersen, and H. 380 381 Högberg, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A 39, 013405 (2021).
- 382 [25] G. Cassabois, P. Valvin, and B. Gil, Nat. Photonics 10, 262 383 (2016).
- 384 [26] L. Schué, L. Sponza, A. Plaud, H. Bensalah, K. Watanabe, 385 T. Taniguchi, F. Ducastelle, A. Loiseau, and J. Barjon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 067401 (2019). 386
- [27] Sample (f) in Ref. [21] is known under No 00-045-1171 for 387 the Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards 388 389 (JCPDS) http://www.icdd.com.
- 390 Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/ [28] See supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.000.000000 for com-391 392 parisons between the CL spectrum of a different rBN sample, Raman spectra of hBN and rBN, TEM details, 393 defect discussion, and computational details on the ab initio 394 395 density functional theory, density functional perturbation 396 theory, and exciton-phonon calculations including addi-397 tional data, which includes Refs. [29-41].
- 398 [29] L. Schue, Ph.D. thesis, Université Paris-Saclay, 2017.
- 399 [30] M. Chubarov, H. Pedersen, H. Högberg, A. Henry, and Z. 400 Czigány, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A 33, 061520 (2015).
- 401 [31] J. Liu, Y. K. Vohra, J. T. Tarvin, and S. S. Vagarali, Phys. 402 Rev. B 51, 8591 (1995).
- 403 [32] M. van Setten, M. Giantomassi, E. Bousquet, M. Verstraete, 404 D. Hamann, X. Gonze, and G.-M. Rignanese, Comput. 405 Phys. Commun. 226, 39 (2018).
- [33] S. Baroni, S. de Gironcoli, A. Dal Corso, and P. Giannozzi, 406 407 Rev. Mod. Phys. 73, 515 (2001).
- 408 [34] G. Onida, L. Reining, and A. Rubio, Rev. Mod. Phys. 74, 409 601 (2002).
- [35] K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, T. Kuroda, and H. Kanda, Appl. 410 411 Phys. Lett. 89, 141902 (2006).
- 412 [36] P. Jaffrennou, J. Barjon, J.-S. Lauret, B. Attal-Trétout, F. 413 Ducastelle, and A. Loiseau, J. Appl. Phys. 102, 116102 (2007).
- 414 [37] A. Pierret, H. Nong, F. Fossard, B. Attal-Tretout, Y. Xue, D. Golberg, J. Barjon, and A. Loiseau, J. Appl. Phys. 118, 415 416 234307 (2015).
- [38] L. Bourgeois, Y. Bando, and T. Sato, J. Phys. D 33, 1902 (2000). 417
- [39] H. Prevost, A. Andrieux-Ledier, N. Dorval, F. Fossard, J. S. 418 419 Mérot, L. Schué, A. Plaud, E. Héripré, J. Barjon, and A. 420 Loiseau, 2D Mater. 7, 045018 (2020).
- [40] F. Libbi, Pedro Miguel M. C. de Melo, Z. Zanolli, M. J. 421 422 Verstraete, and N. Marzari, Phys. Rev. Lett. 128, 167401 423 (2022).

- [41] P. Stadelmann, Ultramicroscopy 21, 131 (1987).
- [42] M. Moret, A. Rousseau, P. Valvin, S. Sharma, L. Souqui, H. 425 Pedersen, H. Högberg, G. Cassabois, J. Li, J. H. Edgar, and 426 B. Gil, Appl. Phys. Lett. 119, 262102 (2021). 427 428
- [43] L. Sponza, H. Amara, C. Attaccalite, S. Latil, T. Galvani, F. Paleari, L. Wirtz, and F. Ducastelle, Phys. Rev. B 98, 125206 (2018).
- [44] F. Paleari, Ph. D. thesis, University of Luxembourg, 2019.
- [45] H.-Y. Chen, D. Sangalli, and M. Bernardi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 107401 (2020).
- [46] E. Cannuccia, B. Monserrat, and C. Attaccalite, Phys. Rev. 434 B 99, 081109(R) (2019). 435
- [47] P. Lechifflart, F. Paleari, D. Sangalli, and C. Attaccalite, Phys. Rev. Mater. 7, 024006 (2023).
- [48] G. P. G. Grosso, Solid State Physics (Academic Press, New York, 2000).
- [49] F. Giustino, Rev. Mod. Phys. 89, 015003 (2017).
- [50] The theoretical spectra have been obtained using Quantum Espresso [51,52] and PERTURBO [53] packages to evaluate ground state electronic properties, vibrational excitations, and electron-phonon matrix elements while exciton energies and wave functions have been obtained using YAMBO [54,55] code. 446 **Q1**
- [51] P. Giannozzi et al., J. Phys. Condens. Matter 21, 395502 (2009).Q2 448
- [52] P. Giannozzi et al., J. Phys. Condens. Matter 29, 465901 (2017).
- [53] J.-J. Zhou, J. Park, I.-T. Lu, I. Maliyov, X. Tong, and M. Bernardi, Comput. Phys. Commun. 264, 107970 (2021).
- [54] A. Marini, C. Hogan, M. Grüning, and D. Varsano, Comput. Phys. Commun. 180, 1392 (2009).
- [55] D. Sangalli et al., J. Phys. Condens. Matter 31, 325902 (2019).
- [56] A. Molina-Sánchez and L. Wirtz, Phys. Rev. B 84, 155413 (2011).
- [57] F. Paleari, H. P. C. Miranda, A. Molina-Sánchez, and L. Wirtz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 187401 (2019).
- [58] T. Q. P. Vuong, G. Cassabois, P. Valvin, V. Jacques, R. Cuscó, L. Artús, and B. Gil, Phys. Rev. B 95, 045207 (2017).
- [59] In our labeling of the phonon modes, we chose to disen-465 tangle explicitly the almost-degenerate Davydov pairs of 466 modes. This is the reason why, for example, we consider the 467 lowest-energy phonon mode to be a pair of acoustic (ZA) 468 and optical (ZO₁) out-of-plane modes, with only the latter 469 being responsible for the signal in Fig. 4(c). In the 470 experimental literature, this pair is usually labeled jointly 471 as "ZA," and the same goes for the other pairs. 472 473
- [60] T. Q. P. Vuong, G. Cassabois, P. Valvin, V. Jacques, A. V. D. Lee, A. Zobelli, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and B. Gil, 2D Mater. 4, 011004 (2016).

474

424

429

430

431

432

433

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

447

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464