



## Discovery of H<sub>2</sub> CCCH + in TMC-1

W. G. D. P. Silva, J. Cernicharo, S. Schlemmer, N. Marcelino, J. -C. Loison, M. Agúndez, D. Gupta, V. Wakelam, S. Thorwirth, C. Cabezas, et al.

### ► To cite this version:

W. G. D. P. Silva, J. Cernicharo, S. Schlemmer, N. Marcelino, J. -C. Loison, et al.. Discovery of H<sub>2</sub> CCCH + in TMC-1. *Astronomy & Astrophysics - A&A*, 2023, 676, pp.L1. <10.1051/0004-6361/202347174>. <hal-04292056>

**HAL Id: hal-04292056**

**<https://hal.science/hal-04292056v1>**

Submitted on 2 Dec 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 - Attribution - International License

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

# Discovery of $\text{H}_2\text{CCCH}^+$ in TMC-1 <sup>★</sup>

W. G. D. P. Silva<sup>1</sup>, J. Cernicharo<sup>2</sup>, S. Schlemmer<sup>1</sup>, N. Marcelino<sup>3,4</sup>, J.-C. Loison<sup>5</sup>, M. Agúndez<sup>2</sup>, D. Gupta<sup>1</sup>, V. Wakelam<sup>6</sup>, S. Thorwirth<sup>1</sup>, C. Cabezas<sup>2</sup>, B. Tercero<sup>3,4</sup>, J. L. Doménech<sup>7</sup>, R. Fuentetaja<sup>2</sup>, W.-J. Kim<sup>1</sup>, P. de Vicente<sup>3</sup>, and O. Asvany<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> I. Physikalisches Institut, Universität zu Köln, Zùlpicher Str. 77, 50937 Köln, Germany  
 e-mail: [asvany@ph1.uni-koeln.de](mailto:asvany@ph1.uni-koeln.de)

<sup>2</sup> Dept. de Astrofísica Molecular, Instituto de Física Fundamental (IFF-CSIC), Serrano 121, 28006 Madrid, Spain

<sup>3</sup> Centro de Desarrollos Tecnológicos, Observatorio de Yebes (IGN), 19141 Yebes, Guadalajara, Spain

<sup>4</sup> Observatorio Astronómico Nacional (OAN, IGN), Madrid, Spain

<sup>5</sup> Institut des Sciences Moléculaires (ISM), CNRS, Univ. Bordeaux, 351 cours de la Libération, 33400 Talence, France

<sup>6</sup> Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Bordeaux, Univ. Bordeaux, CNRS, B18N, allée Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire, 33615 Pessac, France

<sup>7</sup> Instituto de Estructura de la Materia, IEM-CSIC, Serrano 123, 28006 Madrid, Spain

Received 13 June 2023 / Accepted 3 July 2023

## ABSTRACT

Based on a novel laboratory method, 14 millimeter-wave lines of the molecular ion  $\text{H}_2\text{CCCH}^+$  have been measured in high resolution, and the spectroscopic constants of this asymmetric rotor determined with high accuracy. Using the Yebes 40 m and IRAM 30 m radio telescopes, we detected four lines of  $\text{H}_2\text{CCCH}^+$  toward the cold dense core TMC-1. With a dipole moment of about 0.55 D obtained from high-level ab initio calculations, we derive a column density of  $5.4 \pm 1 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  and  $1.6 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  for the ortho and para species, respectively, and an abundance ratio  $N(\text{H}_2\text{CCC})/N(\text{H}_2\text{CCCH}^+) = 2.8 \pm 0.7$ . The chemistry of  $\text{H}_2\text{CCCH}^+$  is modeled using the most recent chemical network for the reactions involving the formation of  $\text{H}_2\text{CCCH}^+$ . We find a reasonable agreement between model predictions and observations, and new insights into the chemistry of  $\text{C}_3$ -bearing species in TMC-1 were obtained.

**Key words.** astrochemistry – ISM: molecules – ISM: individual objects: TMC-1 – methods: laboratory: molecular – molecular data – line: identification

## 1. Introduction

Molecular ions are important intermediates in the chemistry of the interstellar medium (ISM). These charged species can rapidly react with neutral partners or recombine with electrons to form other ionic and neutral molecules under astrophysical conditions (Larsson et al. 2012; Agúndez & Wakelam 2013). Despite their fundamental role in astrochemistry, many ions remain elusive mainly due to their highly reactive character and lack of accurate laboratory data to support astronomical detections (McGuire et al. 2020). Consequently, many ions in astrochemical models and theories await confirmation through spectroscopic detection in the ISM.

One example is the formation of the hydrocarbons  $\text{C}_3\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{C}_3\text{H}$ , whose cyclic isomers ( $c\text{-C}_3\text{H}_2$  and  $c\text{-C}_3\text{H}$ ) and linear isomers ( $\text{l-C}_3\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{l-C}_3\text{H}$ , i.e.,  $\text{H}_2\text{CCC}$  and  $\text{HCCC}$ , respectively) were both detected in the ISM (Thaddeus et al. 1985a,b; Yamamoto et al. 1987; Cernicharo et al. 1991), and even deuterated versions were observed (Bell et al. 1986; Spezzano et al. 2013, 2016; Agúndez et al. 2019). The synthesis of the cyclic


and linear forms of  $\text{C}_3\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{C}_3\text{H}$  is thought to occur via the dissociative recombination of the respective isomers of  $\text{C}_3\text{H}_3^+$ ,  $c\text{-C}_3\text{H}_3^+$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{CCCH}^+$ , with electrons (Maluendes et al. 1993). In turn, the two isomers of  $\text{C}_3\text{H}_3^+$  are thought to be produced through the radiative association of  $\text{C}_3\text{H}^+$  and  $\text{H}_2$  (Savić & Gerlich 2005). The proof of this chemical pathway for the cyclic variants is difficult because  $c\text{-C}_3\text{H}_3^+$  is a symmetric molecule and can only be detected based on its rovibrational fingerprints in the infrared (Zhao et al. 2014), which might be feasible with the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) in the near future. While the singly deuterated version  $c\text{-C}_3\text{H}_2\text{D}^+$  could be probed by radio astronomy, it has a predicted low dipole moment and low column densities (Gupta et al. 2023). This leaves only  $\text{H}_2\text{CCCH}^+$  as a good candidate for radio astronomical searches.

In this Letter, based on a novel experimental method, we report the first laboratory millimeter-wave data of  $\text{H}_2\text{CCCH}^+$  and its radio-astronomical detection toward the cold dark core TMC-1. We derive its column density toward TMC-1 and discuss these results in the context of state-of-the-art chemical models.

## 2. Laboratory work

$\text{H}_2\text{CCCH}^+$  is a closed-shell, planar, and near-prolate asymmetric top molecular ion (see sketch in Fig. 1).  $\text{H}_2\text{CCCH}^+$  ions were generated in the Cologne laboratory in a storage ion source via electron impact ionization ( $E_e \approx 30 \text{ eV}$ ) of the

<sup>★</sup> Based on observations carried out with the Yebes 40 m telescope (projects 19A003, 20A014, 20D15, and 21A011) and the Institut de Radioastronomie Millimétrique (IRAM) 30 m telescope. The 40 m radiotelescope at Yebes Observatory is operated by the Spanish Geographic Institute (IGN, Ministerio de Transportes, Movilidad y Agenda Urbana). IRAM is supported by INSU/CNRS (France), MPG (Germany), and IGN (Spain).



**Fig. 1.** Pure rotational transition ( $J'_{Ka'Kc'} \leftarrow J''_{Ka''Kc''} = 8_{18} \leftarrow 7_{17}$ ) of  $\text{H}_2\text{CCCH}^+$  recorded using double resonance spectroscopy. For this measurement, the IR laser frequency (red arrow) was kept fixed on resonance with the  $9_{19} \leftarrow 8_{18}$  rovibrational transition within the  $\nu_1$  band.

precursor gas allene ( $\text{C}_3\text{H}_4$ ). By applying a novel trap-based technique called leak-out spectroscopy (LOS, Schmid et al. 2022) in the cryogenic ion trap machine COLTRAP (Asvany et al. 2010, 2014), the vibrational bands  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_3 + \nu_5$  were measured in the range  $3180\text{--}3240\text{ cm}^{-1}$  in high resolution. The vibrational measurements, whose details will be described in a forthcoming publication, enabled the ground state spectroscopic parameters of  $\text{H}_2\text{CCCH}^+$  to be determined. Subsequently, pure rotational lines were detected using a vibrational-rotational double resonance (DR) method. Such methods have been reviewed by Asvany & Schlemmer (2021), and the particular scheme involving LOS has only recently been demonstrated by Asvany et al. (2023). An example measurement for  $\text{H}_2\text{CCCH}^+$  is shown in Fig. 1.

Double resonance spectra were recorded in multiple individual measurements in which the millimeter-wave frequency (blue arrow in Fig. 1) was stepped in an up-and-down manner several times. Selected rovibrational lines from the  $\nu_1$  or the  $\nu_3 + \nu_5$  combination band were used for the IR excitation (red arrow in Fig. 1). The frequency steps of the millimeter-wave radiation were kept constant in individual experiments, and varied between 3 and 50 kHz; the larger steps were typically used to search for new lines. The spectroscopic data were normalized employing a frequency switching procedure, in which the  $\text{H}_2\text{CCCH}^+$  counts monitored while scanning the spectral range of interest are divided by the counts at an off-resonant millimeter-wave reference frequency. Therefore, the baseline in Fig. 1 is close to unity. The on-resonance signal enhancement is on the order of 15%. Transition frequencies were determined by adjusting the parameters of an appropriate line shape function (typically a Gaussian) to the experimental spectrum in a least-squares procedure. In total, 14 rotational lines were detected in the laboratory and are summarized in Table 1. The frequencies and their uncertainties in the table result from the weighted average of up to 11 independent line-center determinations for each transition.

The fit of the assigned lines (lab + astro) was carried out using Watson's  $S$ -reduced Hamiltonian in the  $I'$  representation, as implemented in Western's PGOPHER program (Western 2017). The resulting spectroscopic parameters are given in Table 2. As  $\text{H}_2\text{CCCH}^+$  is a near-prolate asymmetric top ( $\kappa = -0.9976$ ) with an  $a$ -type spectrum, the  $A_0$  rotational constant is not well constrained from experiment. Overall, the experimental

**Table 1.** Ground state rotational transition frequencies of  $\text{H}_2\text{CCCH}^+$ , determined by laboratory and astronomical detections.

$J'_{Ka'Kc'} \rightarrow J''_{Ka''Kc''}$	Frequency/MHz	obs-calc/kHz	Source
$2_{12} \rightarrow 1_{11}$	37 702.627(10)	0.3	Astro <sup>(a)</sup>
$2_{02} \rightarrow 1_{01}$	38 037.044(10)	7.0	Astro <sup>(a)</sup>
$2_{11} \rightarrow 1_{10}$	38 368.581(20)	33.0	Astro <sup>(a)</sup>
$5_{15} \rightarrow 4_{14}$	94 254.055(4)	7.7	Lab
$5_{05} \rightarrow 4_{04}$	95 086.000(10)	−12.8	Lab
$5_{14} \rightarrow 4_{13}$	95 918.753(3)	7.9	Lab
$6_{16} \rightarrow 5_{15}$	113 103.272(4)	−2.6	Lab
$6_{06} \rightarrow 5_{05}$	114 099.086(6)	6.0	Lab
$6_{15} \rightarrow 5_{14}$	115 100.840(3)	−2.7	Lab
$7_{17} \rightarrow 6_{16}$	131 951.635(3)	−5.4	Lab
$7_{07} \rightarrow 6_{06}$	133 109.888(6)	−3.9	Lab
$7_{16} \rightarrow 6_{15}$	134 282.033(4)	−5.1	Lab
$8_{18} \rightarrow 7_{17}$	150 799.008(4)	5.3	Lab
$8_{08} \rightarrow 7_{07}$	152 118.074(5)	0.8	Lab
$8_{17} \rightarrow 7_{16}$	153 462.176(3)	−3.7	Lab
$10_{110} \rightarrow 9_{19}$	188 490.152(12)	1.6	Lab
$10_{19} \rightarrow 9_{18}$	191 818.719(10)	27.3	Lab

**Notes.** The uncertainty in the last digits of each line is provided in parentheses. <sup>(a)</sup>Observed frequency assuming a Local Standard of Rest velocity ( $v_{\text{LSR}}$ ) of  $5.83\text{ km s}^{-1}$  (Cernicharo et al. 2020a).

rotational and centrifugal distortion constants compare favorably with those calculated by Huang et al. (2011) and very well with the best estimate values obtained in this study (given in the last three columns of Table 2). The obtained obs-calc values for the rotational lines are given in Table 1. In total, the weighted rms of the fit is on the order of 1.4, indicating somewhat optimistic uncertainties of our measurements.

### 3. Quantum chemical calculations

The  $\text{H}_2\text{CCCH}^+$  molecular ion has been the subject of several quantum-chemical investigations in the past (e.g., Botschwina et al. 1993, 2011; Huang et al. 2011; Marimuthu et al. 2020, and references therein). In the present study, complementary high-level calculations were performed at the CCSD(T) level of theory (Raghavachari et al. 1989) together with correlation consistent (augmented) polarized weighted core-valence basis sets (Kendall et al. 1992; Peterson & Dunning 2002) and atomic natural orbital basis sets (Almlöf & Taylor 1987). All calculations were performed using the CFOUR program suite (Matthews et al. 2020; Harding et al. 2008). Equilibrium rotational constants were calculated at the all-electron (ae)-CCSD(T)/cc-pwCVQZ level of theory that is known to yield molecular equilibrium structural parameters of very high quality for molecules comprising first- and second-row elements (e.g., Coriani et al. 2005).

Zero-point vibrational contributions  $\frac{1}{2} \sum_i \alpha_i^{A,B,C,\text{calc}} (= \Delta A_0, \Delta B_0, \Delta C_0)$  to the equilibrium rotational constants and centrifugal distortion parameters were calculated at the frozen core (fc)-CCSD(T)/ANO1 level. Best estimate (BE, Tables 2 and A.1) rotational and centrifugal distortion constants were finally obtained through empirical scaling of the calculated rotational parameters using factors (i.e., the ratios  $X_{\text{exp}}/X_{\text{calc}}$  of a given parameter) derived from isoelectronic propadienylidene,  $\text{H}_2\text{CCC}$ , the pure rotational spectrum of which is known well from a previous study (Vrtilek et al. 1990). The technique of empirical scaling using structurally closely related

**Table 2.** Spectroscopic parameters of  $\text{H}_2\text{CCCH}^+$  (in MHz) obtained by fitting Watson’s S-reduced Hamiltonian to the rotational transitions from Table 1.

Parameter	Exp.	Calculated		
	This study	Huang et al. (2011)	This study <sup>(a)</sup>	This study, BE <sup>(b)</sup>
$A_0$	281 856.(247)	281 911.9	283 318.43	282 182.6
$B_0$	9675.841(1)	9580.2	9670.18	9675.97
$C_0$	9342.877(1)	9251.9	9337.82	9343.14
$D_J \times 10^3$	2.942(4)	3.	2.68	3.06
$D_{JK}$	0.4388(9)	0.479	0.479	0.433
$D_K$	20.678 <sup>(c)</sup>	20.862	20.678	20.678
$d_1 \times 10^3$	−0.121(3)	0.	−0.097	−0.103
$d_2 \times 10^3$	−0.051 <sup>(c)</sup>	0.	−0.037	−0.051
$\mu_A$ / Debye	...	0.524 <sup>(d)</sup>	0.55	...

**Notes.** Western’s PGOPHER program (Western 2017) was used for the fit. The experimental uncertainties are given in parentheses. <sup>(a)</sup>From equilibrium structure and force field calculated at the ae-CCSD(T)/cc-pwCVQZ and fc-CCSD(T)/ANO1 levels, respectively. <sup>(b)</sup>Best estimate (BE) values, obtained through scaling of calculated values via isoelectronic propadienylidene,  $\text{H}_2\text{CCC}$  (see text for details). <sup>(c)</sup>Fixed to BE value. <sup>(d)</sup>From Huang & Lee (2011).

(isoelectronic) species known from experiment may provide rotational parameters at a predictive power greatly exceeding that of high-level calculations alone (see, e.g., Thorwirth et al. 2005, 2020; Martinez et al. 2013), and has been used recently to identify species not studied in the laboratory using radio astronomy (see, e.g., Cernicharo et al. 2020b).

The dipole moment of  $\text{H}_2\text{CCCH}^+$  is not very large. At the ae-CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pwCVQZ level of theory the (center-of-mass frame) equilibrium value  $\mu_e$  amounts to 0.53 D, in good agreement with earlier estimates. Zero-point vibrational effects (fc-CCSD(T)/ANO1) have an almost negligible influence resulting in  $\mu_0 = 0.55$  D.

#### 4. Observations

New receivers, built within the Nanocosmos<sup>1</sup> project and installed at the Yebes 40 m radio telescope, were used for the observations of TMC-1 ( $\alpha_{J2000} = 4^{\text{h}}41^{\text{m}}41.9^{\text{s}}$  and  $\delta_{J2000} = +25^\circ41'27.0''$ ). The observations of TMC-1 belong to the ongoing QUIJOTE<sup>2</sup> line survey (Cernicharo et al. 2021a, 2023). A detailed description of the telescope, receivers, and backends is given by Tercero et al. (2021). Briefly, the receiver consists of two cold high electron mobility transistor amplifiers covering the 31.0–50.3 GHz band with horizontal and vertical polarizations. The backends are  $2 \times 8 \times 2.5$  GHz fast Fourier transform spectrometers with a spectral resolution of 38.15 kHz providing the coverage of the whole  $Q$ -band in both polarizations.

The observations, carried out during different observing runs, were performed using the frequency-switching mode with a frequency throw of 10 MHz in the very first observing runs, during November 2019 and February 2020, 8 MHz during the observations of January–November 2021, and alternating these frequency throws in the last observing runs between October 2021 and February 2023. The total on-source telescope time is 850 h in each polarization (385 and 465 h for the 8 MHz and 10 MHz frequency throws, respectively). The sensitivity of the QUIJOTE line survey varies between 0.17 and 0.25 mK in the 31–50.3 GHz domain. The intensity scale used in this work,

antenna temperature ( $T_A^*$ ), was calibrated using two absorbers at different temperatures and the atmospheric transmission model ATM (Cernicharo 1985; Pardo et al. 2001). The calibration uncertainties adopted were 10%. The beam efficiency of the Yebes 40 m telescope in the  $Q$ -band is given as a function of frequency by  $B_{\text{eff}} = 0.797 \exp[-(\nu(\text{GHz})/71.1)^2]$ . The forward telescope efficiency is 0.97. The telescope beam size varies from  $56.7''$  at 31 GHz to  $35.6''$  at 49.5 GHz.

The data of TMC-1 taken with the IRAM 30 m telescope consist of a 3 mm line survey obtained with the old ABCD receivers connected to an autocorrelator that provided a spectral resolution of 40 kHz (Marcelino et al. 2007; Cernicharo et al. 2012). Some additional high-sensitivity frequency windows observed in 2021 used the new 3 mm EMIR dual polarization receiver connected to four fast Fourier transform spectrometers providing a spectral resolution of 49 kHz (Agúndez et al. 2022; Cabezas et al. 2022a). All the observations were performed using the frequency switching method. The final 3 mm line survey has a sensitivity of 2–10 mK. However, at some selected frequencies the sensitivity is as low as 0.6 mK.

#### 5. Detection of $\text{H}_2\text{CCCH}^+$ in TMC-1

We searched for one para ( $2_{02}-1_{01}$ ) and two ortho ( $2_{12}-1_{11}$ ,  $2_{11}-1_{10}$ ) lines of  $\text{H}_2\text{CCCH}^+$  within the QUIJOTE line survey. The three lines were clearly detected and are shown in Fig. 2. In the data at 3 mm we covered the frequencies of three para and four ortho lines, with upper levels  $J = 4, 5, 6$  and energies below 30 K. Only one line, the  $J = 5_{14}-4_{13}$ , falls in one of the high-sensitivity windows ( $\sigma = 0.6$  mK) of our line survey, and it was also clearly detected (see Fig. 2). Two other lines are within frequency ranges with  $\sigma$  below 2 mK, and were marginally detected. The derived line parameters for all searched transitions of  $\text{H}_2\text{CCCH}^+$  are given in Table B.1. We checked that the detected lines cannot be assigned to lines of other species or isotopologs by exploring the spectral catalogs MADEX (Cernicharo 2012), CDMS (Müller et al. 2005), and JPL (Pickett et al. 1998).

To estimate the column density of  $\text{H}_2\text{CCCH}^+$ , we considered the ortho and para levels as belonging to two different species. For the dipole moment we used the value of 0.55 D calculated in this work. No collisional rates are available for this molecule. However, Khalifa et al. (2019) computed the

<sup>1</sup> ERC grant ERC-2013-Syg-610256-NANOCOSMOS.

<https://nanocosmos.iff.csic.es/>

<sup>2</sup>  $Q$ -band Ultrasensitive Inspection Journey to the Obscure TMC-1 Environment




collisional rates between He and H<sub>2</sub>CCC. This cumulenenic species is isoelectronic with our molecule and has a very similar structure. We therefore adopted these rates, correcting for the abundance of He with respect to H<sub>2</sub>, to estimate the excitation temperatures of the observed transitions of H<sub>2</sub>CCCH<sup>+</sup>. Assuming a volume density of  $(1\text{--}3)\times 10^4\text{ cm}^{-3}$  (Fossé et al. 2001; Lique et al. 2006; Pratap et al. 1997), we derive excitation temperatures close to 10 K for the  $J = 2\text{--}1$  lines, and  $\sim 8\text{--}10$  K for the lines in the 3 mm domain, the largest value corresponding to  $n(\text{H}_2) = 3\times 10^4\text{ cm}^{-3}$ . These excitation temperatures are considerably larger than those obtained for H<sub>2</sub>CCC, which are between 4 and 5 K, due to the larger dipole moment of this species (4.1 vs. 0.55 D). From the adopted rotational temperatures of 9 K, and assuming a source of uniform brightness temperature with a radius of 40'' (Fossé et al. 2001), we derive a column density for ortho-H<sub>2</sub>CCCH<sup>+</sup> of  $(5.4\pm 1)\times 10^{11}\text{ cm}^{-2}$ . For the para species the estimated column density is  $(1.6\pm 0.5)\times 10^{11}\text{ cm}^{-2}$ , a value that is consistent with the expected ortho to para ratio of 3/1. The computed synthetic spectra show excellent agreement with the observed line intensities (see Fig. 2). Hence, the total column density of H<sub>2</sub>CCCH<sup>+</sup> in TMC-1 is  $(7.0\pm 1.5)\times 10^{11}\text{ cm}^{-2}$ .

It is interesting to compare the abundance of H<sub>2</sub>CCCH<sup>+</sup> to that of H<sub>2</sub>CCC. For the latter species we detected, with an excellent signal-to-noise ratio, all its ortho and para lines in the frequency range of our line surveys. The derived line parameters are summarized in Table B.2 and the lines are shown in Fig. B.1. The decline of the line intensity between the  $J_u = 2$  and  $J_u = 5$  lines is obvious in Fig. B.1, which indicates that the lines are not thermalized to the kinetic temperature of the cloud for this species. Using the collisional rates of Khalifa et al. (2019), and adopting the same assumptions on the source size as for H<sub>2</sub>CCCH<sup>+</sup>, we derive a column density for the ortho and para species of  $(1.5\pm 0.1)\times 10^{12}$  and  $(0.45\pm 0.05)\times 10^{12}\text{ cm}^{-2}$ , respectively. The best fit is obtained for a density of  $n(\text{H}_2) = 8\times 10^3\text{ cm}^{-3}$ . The total column density of H<sub>2</sub>CCC is  $(1.95\pm 0.15)\times 10^{12}\text{ cm}^{-2}$  and the ortho-to-para ratio for this species is  $3.3\pm 0.6$ . The H<sub>2</sub>CCC/H<sub>2</sub>CCCH<sup>+</sup> abundance ratio is  $2.8\pm 0.7$  which is on the order of that found for C<sub>3</sub>O/HC<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup> (Cernicharo et al. 2020b), but much lower than the abundance ratio found in TMC-1 for other neutral species and their protonated forms (Marcelino et al. 2020; Cernicharo et al. 2021b,c; Cabezas et al. 2022b; Agúndez et al. 2022).

## 6. Discussion

To describe the chemistry of H<sub>2</sub>CCCH<sup>+</sup>, we used the Nautilus code (Ruaud et al. 2016), a three-phase (gas, dust grain ice surface, and dust grain ice mantle) time-dependent chemical model with a chemical network for C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>x</sub><sup>+</sup> species very similar to that presented in Loison et al. (2017). To describe the physical conditions in TMC-1, we used a homogeneous cloud with a density equal to  $2.5\times 10^4\text{ cm}^{-3}$ , a temperature equal to 10 K for both the gas and the dust, a visual extinction of 30 mag, and a cosmic-ray ionization rate of  $1.3\times 10^{-17}\text{ s}^{-1}$ . All elements are assumed to be initially in atomic form, except for hydrogen, which is entirely molecular (Hincelin et al. 2011). The calculated abundances relative to H<sub>2</sub> for H<sub>2</sub>CCC and H<sub>2</sub>CCCH<sup>+</sup>, and also for C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>3</sub>H<sup>+</sup>, which are strongly linked to H<sub>2</sub>CCCH<sup>+</sup>, are shown in Fig. 3.


As can be seen in Fig. 3, the H<sub>2</sub>CCC and H<sub>2</sub>CCCH<sup>+</sup> abundances observed are relatively well reproduced by the model for a relatively early molecular cloud age of around  $2\times 10^5$  years, however, with a lower H<sub>2</sub>CCC/H<sub>2</sub>CCCH<sup>+</sup> ratio than the one observed. Looking in more detail at the chemistry of H<sub>2</sub>CCCH<sup>+</sup>



**Fig. 2.** Lines of H<sub>2</sub>CCCH<sup>+</sup> observed in the 31–115 GHz domain toward TMC-1. The abscissa corresponds to the rest frequency in MHz. The ordinate is the antenna temperature corrected for atmospheric and telescope losses in mK. The spectral resolution is 38 kHz below 50 GHz and 48 kHz above. The line parameters are given in Table B.1. The red lines show the computed synthetic spectra (see text).

and H<sub>2</sub>CCC (see Fig. 3 of Loison et al. 2017), it appears that H<sub>2</sub>CCC is a product of H<sub>2</sub>CCCH<sup>+</sup> (and also of c-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>), but that the flow of protonation of H<sub>2</sub>CCC toward H<sub>2</sub>CCCH<sup>+</sup> is a very minor pathway for the formation of H<sub>2</sub>CCCH<sup>+</sup>, which is almost essentially produced by the reaction C<sub>3</sub>H<sup>+</sup> + H<sub>2</sub>. This inverted link between H<sub>2</sub>CCC and H<sub>2</sub>CCCH<sup>+</sup> explains the unusually high MH<sup>+</sup>/M ratio compared to those cases in which the protonated form comes from the protonation of the neutral form (Agúndez et al. 2022).

Considering the link between C<sub>3</sub>H<sup>+</sup>, H<sub>2</sub>CCCH<sup>+</sup>, and C<sub>3</sub>, it is interesting to see if the observations of H<sub>2</sub>CCCH<sup>+</sup> (this work) combined to the observation of C<sub>3</sub>H<sup>+</sup> (Cernicharo et al. 2022) allow us to estimate the abundance of C<sub>3</sub>, which in our dense cloud model is the second carbon reservoir, accounting for up to 15% of carbon. If C<sub>3</sub> does not react with atomic oxygen, as calculated by Woon & Herbst (1996), the protonation reactions of C<sub>3</sub> producing C<sub>3</sub>H<sup>+</sup> are by far the main reactions of destruction of C<sub>3</sub> and of production of C<sub>3</sub>H<sup>+</sup>. As these protonation reactions control the destruction of C<sub>3</sub>, the uncertainties on the rates affect the abundance of C<sub>3</sub>, but do not change



**Fig. 3.** Abundances of  $C_3$ ,  $C_3H^+$ ,  $H_2CCC$ , and  $H_2CCCH^+$  as a function of time predicted by our model (continuous lines). The horizontal colored areas represent the observations in TMC-1 assuming an uncertainty of 3 on the measurements (Cernicharo et al. 2022 for  $C_3H^+$  and this work for  $H_2CCCH^+$ ). Dashed lines: Same, but with a threefold decrease in the  $H_2 + C_3H^+$  and a fivefold increase in the  $H_2CCCH^+ + e^-$  rate coefficients. The vertical gray area represents the values given by the most probable chemical age for TMC-1, due to the better agreement between calculations and observations for 67 key species.

the flux of these reactions. The underestimation of  $C_3H^+$  in the model is therefore not related to these protonation rates, but to the rate of the reaction  $C_3H^+ + H_2$ , which controls the destruction of  $C_3H^+$  (Maluendes et al. 1993; Savić & Gerlich 2005). A decrease in the rate of the reaction  $C_3H^+ + H_2$  at 10 K by a factor of 3 allows us to reproduce the abundance of  $C_3H^+$  observed by Cernicharo et al. (2022), as shown in Fig. 3. This change in the rate coefficient does not affect the flux of the  $C_3H^+ + H_2$  reaction, and therefore does not affect the abundance of  $H_2CCCH^+$ , as long as the branching ratios to  $H_2CCCH^+$  and  $c\text{-}C_3H_3^+$  are not varied. Since  $H_2CCCH^+$  is mainly destroyed by the reaction with electrons, its abundance is controlled by the rate of this reaction, which is known only over the temperature range 172–489 K (McLain et al. 2005) with a temperature dependency inconsistent with theory. An increase in this rate at 10 K by a factor of 5, which is not impossible given the uncertainties, allows us to reproduce the observation for  $H_2CCCH^+$  with a ratio between  $H_2CCC/H_2CCCH^+$  that is very close to the observed value. Considering the uncertainties of the different chemical reactions linking  $C_3$  to  $C_3H^+$  and  $H_2CCCH^+$ , the observations of  $C_3H^+$  (Cernicharo et al. 2022) and  $H_2CCCH^+$  (this work) validate the chemical scheme controlling the formation of cyclic and linear  $C_3H$  and  $C_3H_2$  and the high gas-phase abundance of  $C_3$  in TMC-1, around  $10^{-6}$  relative to  $H_2$ .

It would also be very interesting to know the abundance of the more stable cyclic isomer  $c\text{-}C_3H_3^+$ , which in the chemical model is predicted to be slightly more abundant (by a factor of three) than  $H_2CCCH^+$ . This species has no dipole moment, but its deuterated version,  $c\text{-}C_3H_2D^+$ , has a low dipole moment of 0.225 D (Huang & Lee 2011) and its rotational spectrum has been recently measured in the 90–230 GHz frequency range in Cologne (Gupta et al. 2023). We searched for  $c\text{-}C_3H_2D^+$  in the

QUIJOTE line survey but at the current level of sensitivity, this species is not detected and we derive an upper limit to its column density of  $4 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ . Assuming that the  $c\text{-}C_3H_3^+/c\text{-}C_3H_2D^+$  ratio is 10, as found for the analog case of  $CH_3CCH$  with three equivalent H nuclei (Cabezas et al. 2021), the column density of  $c\text{-}C_3H_3^+$  is  $<4 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ , which is not very meaningful.

**Acknowledgements.** The work has been supported by an ERC advanced grant (MissIons: 101020583), the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) via SFB 956 (project ID 184018867), sub-project B2, and the Gerätezentrum “Cologne Center for Terahertz Spectroscopy” (DFG SCHL 341/15-1). W.G.D.P.S. thanks the Alexander von Humboldt foundation for funding through a postdoctoral fellowship. We also acknowledge the support from the MICINN projects PID2020-113084GB-I00 and PID2019-106110GB-I00, the CSIC project ILLINK+ LINKA20353, the ERC grant ERC-2013-SyG610256-NANOCOSMOS, and the Regional Computing Center of the Universität zu Köln (RRZK) for providing computing time on the DFG-funded high performance computing system CHEOPS.

## References

- Agúndez, M., & Wakelam, V. 2013, *Chem. Rev.*, **113**, 8710  
 Agúndez, M., Marcelino, N., Cernicharo, J., Roueff, E., & Tafalla, M. 2019, *A&A*, **625**, A147  
 Agúndez, M., Cabezas, C., Marcelino, N., et al. 2022, *A&A*, **659**, L9  
 Almlöf, J., & Taylor, P. R. 1987, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **86**, 4070  
 Asvany, O., & Schlemmer, S. 2021, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **23**, 26602  
 Asvany, O., Bielau, F., Moratschke, D., Krause, J., & Schlemmer, S. 2010, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, **81**, 076102  
 Asvany, O., Brünken, S., Kluge, L., & Schlemmer, S. 2014, *Appl. Phys. B*, **114**, 203  
 Asvany, O., Thorwirth, S., Schmid, P., Salomon, T., & Schlemmer, S. 2023, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, <https://dx.doi.org/10.1039/D3CP01976D>  
 Bell, M. B., Feldman, P. A., Matthews, H. E., & Avery, L. W. 1986, *ApJ*, **311**, L89  
 Botschwina, P., Horn, M., Flügge, J., & Seeger, S. 1993, *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans.*, **89**, 2219  
 Botschwina, P., Oswald, R., & Rauhut, G. 2011, *PCCP*, **13**, 7921  
 Cabezas, C., Agúndez, M., Marcelino, N., et al. 2022a, *A&A*, **657**, L4  
 Cabezas, C., Agúndez, M., Marcelino, N., et al. 2022b, *A&A*, **659**, L8  
 Cabezas, C., Endo, Y., Roueff, E., et al. 2021, *A&A*, **646**, L1  
 Cernicharo, J. 1985, *IRAM Internal Report*  
 Cernicharo, J. 2012, in *ECLA2011: Proc. of the European Conference on Laboratory Astrophysics*, eds. C. Stehl, C. Joblin, & L. d’Hendecourt (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press), *EAS Publ. Ser.*, **251**  
 Cernicharo, J., Gottlieb, C. A., Guelin, M., et al. 1991, *ApJ*, **368**, L39  
 Cernicharo, J., Marcelino, N., Roueff, E., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, **759**, L43  
 Cernicharo, J., Marcelino, N., Agúndez, M., et al. 2020a, *A&A*, **642**, L8  
 Cernicharo, J., Marcelino, N., Agúndez, M., et al. 2020b, *A&A*, **642**, L17  
 Cernicharo, J., Agúndez, M., Kaiser, R., et al. 2021a, *A&A*, **652**, L9  
 Cernicharo, J., Cabezas, C., Endo, Y., et al. 2021b, *A&A*, **646**, L3  
 Cernicharo, J., Cabezas, C., Bailleux, S., et al. 2021c, *A&A*, **646**, L7  
 Cernicharo, J., Agúndez, M., Cabezas, C., et al. 2022, *A&A*, **657**, L16  
 Cernicharo, J., Pardo, J., Cabezas, C., et al. 2023, *A&A*, **670**, L19  
 Coriani, S., Marcheson, D., Gauss, J., et al. 2005, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **123**, 184107  
 Fossé, D., Cernicharo, J., Gerin, M., & Cox, P. 2001, *ApJ*, **552**, 168  
 Gupta, D., Dias de Paiva Silva, W.G., Doménech, J.L., et al. 2023, *Faraday Discuss.*, <https://doi.org/10.1039/D3FD00068K>  
 Harding, M. E., Metzroth, T., Gauss, J., & Auer, A. A. 2008, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, **4**, 64  
 Hincelin, U., Wakelam, V., Hersant, F., et al. 2011, *A&A*, **530**, A61  
 Huang, X., & Lee, T. J. 2011, *ApJ*, **736**, 33  
 Huang, X., Taylor, P. R., & Lee, T. J. 2011, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, **115**, 5005  
 Kendall, R. A., Dunning, T. H., & Harrison, R. J. 1992, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **96**, 6796  
 Khalifa, M. B., Sahnoun, E., Wiesenfeld, L., et al. 2019, *PCCP*, **21**, 1443  
 Larsson, M., Geppert, W. D., & Nyman, G. 2012, *Rep. Prog. Phys.*, **75**, 066901  
 Lique, F., Cernicharo, J., & Cox, P. 2006, *ApJ*, **653**, 1342  
 Loison, J.-C., Agúndez, M., Wakelam, V., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, **470**, 4075  
 Maluendes, S. A., McLean, A. D., & Herbst, E. 1993, *ApJ*, **417**, 181  
 Marcelino, N., Cernicharo, J., Agúndez, M., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, **665**, L127  
 Marcelino, N., Agúndez, M., Tercero, B., et al. 2020, *A&A*, **643**, L6  
 Marimuthu, A. N., Sundelin, D., Thorwirth, S., et al. 2020, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.*, **374**, 111377  
 Martinez, O., Jr, Lattanzi, V., Thorwirth, S., & McCarthy, M. C. 2013, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **138**, 094316

- Matthews, D. A., Cheng, L., Harding, M. E., et al. 2020, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **152**, 214108
- McGuire, B. A., Asvany, O., Brünken, S., & Schlemmer, S. 2020, *Nat. Rev. Phys.*, **2**, 402
- McLain, J. L., Poterya, V., Molek, C. D., et al. 2005, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, **109**, 5119
- Müller, H., Schlöder, F., Stutzki, J., & Winnewisser, G. 2005, *J. Mol. Struct.*, **742**, 215
- Pardo, J., Cernicharo, J., & Serabyn, E. 2001, *IEEE Trans. Antenn. Propag.*, **49**, 12
- Peterson, K. A., & Dunning, T. H. 2002, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **117**, 10548
- Pickett, H., Poynter, R., Cohen, E., et al. 1998, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf.*, **60**, 883
- Pratap, P., Dickens, J., Snell, R., et al. 1997, *ApJ*, **486**, 862
- Raghavachari, K., Trucks, G. W., Pople, J. A., & Head-Gordon, M. 1989, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **157**, 479
- Ruaud, M., Wakelam, V., & Hersant, F. 2016, *MNRAS*, **459**, 3756
- Savić, I., & Gerlich, D. 2005, *PCCP*, **7**, 1026
- Schmid, P. C., Asvany, O., Salomon, T., Thorwirth, S., & Schlemmer, S. 2022, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, **126**, 8111
- Spezzano, S., Brünken, S., Schilke, P., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, **769**, L19
- Spezzano, S., Gupta, H., Brünken, S., et al. 2016, *A&A*, **586**, A110
- Tercero, F., López-Pérez, J. A., Gallego, J. D., et al. 2021, *A&A*, **A37**, 552
- Thaddeus, P., Gottlieb, C. A., Hjalmarson, A., et al. 1985a, *ApJ*, **294**, L49
- Thaddeus, P., Vrtilek, J. M., & Gottlieb, C. A. 1985b, *ApJ*, **299**, L63
- Thorwirth, S., McCarthy, M. C., Dudek, J. B., & Thaddeus, P. 2005, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **122**, 184308
- Thorwirth, S., Harding, M. E., Asvany, O., et al. 2020, *Mol. Phys.*, **118**, e1776409
- Vrtilek, J. M., Gottlieb, C. A., Gottlieb, E. W., Killian, T. C., & Thaddeus, P. 1990, *ApJ*, **364**, L53
- Western, C. M. 2017, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf.*, **186**, 221
- Woon, D. E., & Herbst, E. 1996, *ApJ*, **465**, 795
- Yamamoto, S., Saito, S., Ohishi, M., et al. 1987, *ApJ*, **322**, L55
- Zhao, D., Doney, K. D., & Linnartz, H. 2014, *ApJ*, **791**, L28

## Appendix A: Structural calculations, internal coordinates

Bond lengths are given in Å; angles are given in degrees.

### A.1. $H_2CCCH_+$

$H_2C_3H_+$ , CCSD(T)/cc-pwCVQZ

```
H
C 1 r1
X 2 rd 1 a90
C 2 r2 3 a90 1 d180
X 4 rd 2 a90 3 d0
C 4 r3 5 a90 2 d180
H 6 r4 4 a1 5 d0
H 6 r4 4 a1 5 d180
r1 = 1.073118130747218
rd = 1.000000818629780
a90 = 90.000000000000000
r2 = 1.228490857165352
d180 = 180.000000000000000
d0 = 0.000000000000000
r3 = 1.346565030012042
r4 = 1.086025065701484
a1 = 120.382476682815607
```

$H_2C_3H_+$ , CCSD(T)/ANO1

```
H
C 1 r1
X 2 rd 1 a90
C 2 r2 3 a90 1 d180
X 4 rd 2 a90 3 d0
C 4 r3 5 a90 2 d180
H 6 r4 4 a1 5 d0
H 6 r4 4 a1 5 d180
r1 = 1.074705266639338
rd = 1.000000204657382
a90 = 90.000000000000000
r2 = 1.234261558515133
d180 = 180.000000000000000
d0 = 0.000000000000000
r3 = 1.351397370372584
r4 = 1.088292080166718
a1 = 120.373581553220575
```

### A.2. $H_2CCC$

$H_2CCC$ , CCSD(T)/cc-pwCVQZ

```
C
C 1 r1
X 2 rd 1 a90
C 2 r2 3 a90 1 d180
H 4 r3 2 a1 3 d0
H 4 r3 2 a1 5 d180
r1 = 1.287645153115967
rd = 1.000000000000000
a90 = 90.000000000000000
r2 = 1.327897213723068
d180 = 180.000000000000000
r3 = 1.083584615827809
a1 = 121.271597504509145
d0 = 0.000000000000000
```

$H_2CCC$ , CCSD(T)/ANO1

```
C
C 1 r1
X 2 rd 1 a90
C 2 r2 3 a90 1 d180
H 4 r3 2 a1 3 d0
H 4 r3 2 a1 5 d180
r1 = 1.294632885150227
rd = 1.000000204657382
a90 = 90.000000000000000
r2 = 1.333030062830918
d180 = 180.000000000000000
r3 = 1.085894615830395
a1 = 121.302559724582778
d0 = 0.000000000000000
```



**Table A.1.** Calculated and experimental spectroscopic parameters of H<sub>2</sub>CCC and H<sub>2</sub>CCCH<sup>+</sup> (in MHz). Equilibrium rotational constants calculated at the ae-CCSD(T)/cc-pwCVQZ level of theory; zero-point vibrational corrections  $\Delta A_0$ ,  $\Delta B_0$ ,  $\Delta C_0$ ; and centrifugal distortion constants calculated at the fc-CCSD(T)/ANO1 level. Best theoretical estimates for the rotational and centrifugal distortion constants  $X$  of H<sub>2</sub>CCCH<sup>+</sup> are estimated as

$$X_{H_2CCCH^+}^{scaled} = \frac{X_{H_2CCC}^{exp}}{X_{H_2CCC}^{calc}} \times X_{H_2CCCH^+}^{calc} \quad (\text{i.e., using isoelectronic H}_2\text{CCC as a calibrator}).$$

Parameter	H <sub>2</sub> CCC			H <sub>2</sub> CCCH <sup>+</sup>				
	Experiment Vrtilek et al. (1990)	Calculated This study	Calculated This study	Calculated This study	Scaled This study, BE	Experiment This study	Experiment This study	
$A_e$	...	292302	.539	285650	.316	...	...	
$B_e$	...	10578	.491	9675	.219	...	...	
$C_e$	...	10209	.024	9358	.247	...	...	
$\Delta A_0$	...	2357	.134	2331	.884	...	...	
$\Delta B_0$	...	−3	.807	5	.043	...	...	
$\Delta C_0$	...	10	.869	20	.431	...	...	
$A_0$	288783 .(34)	289945	.405	283318	.432	282182 .595	281856 .(247)	
$B_0$	10588 .639(2)	10582	.298	9670	.175	9675 .970	9675 .841(1)	
$C_0$	10203 .966(2)	10198	.155	9337	.816	9343 .136	9342 .877(1)	
$D_J \times 10^3$	4 .248(2)	3	.722	2	.684	3 .063	2 .942(4)	
$D_{JK}$	0 .5164(5)	0	.571	0	.479	0 .4328	0 .4388(9)	
$D_K$	23 .535 <sup>a</sup>	21	.934	20	.678	[20 .678]	20 .678 <sup>a</sup>	
$d_1 \times 10^3$	−0 .153(2)	−0	.143	−0	.096	−0 .103	−0 .121(3)	
$d_2 \times 10^3$	−0 .070(1)	−0.	.050	−0	.037	−0 .051	−0 .051 <sup>a</sup>	

**Notes.** <sup>a</sup>Kept fixed in the analysis.

## Appendix B: Line parameters of H<sub>2</sub>CCCH<sup>+</sup> and H<sub>2</sub>CCC

The line parameters of H<sub>2</sub>CCCH<sup>+</sup> and H<sub>2</sub>CCC were obtained by fitting a Gaussian line profile to the observed data. The results

are given in Tables B.1 and B.2, respectively. The observed lines of H<sub>2</sub>CCCH<sup>+</sup> are shown in Fig. 2 and those of H<sub>2</sub>CCC in Fig. B.1.

**Table B.1.** Line parameters of the observed transitions of H<sub>2</sub>CCCH<sup>+</sup> in TMC-1.


Transition	$\nu_{obs}^a$ (MHz)	$\int T_A^* dv^b$ (mK $\times$ kms <sup>-1</sup> )	$\Delta\nu^c$ (kms <sup>-1</sup> )	$T_A^{*d}$ (mK)	$\sigma^e$ (mK)	Notes
2 <sub>1,2</sub> – 1 <sub>1,1</sub>	37702.627±0.010	0.38±0.13	0.63±0.20	0.56	0.13	
2 <sub>0,2</sub> – 1 <sub>0,1</sub>	38037.044±0.010	0.36±0.09	0.78±0.17	0.43	0.13	
2 <sub>1,1</sub> – 1 <sub>1,0</sub>	38368.581±0.010	0.57±0.07	0.77±0.11	0.70	0.13	A
4 <sub>0,4</sub> – 3 <sub>0,3</sub>	76071.062±0.020				3.40	B
4 <sub>1,3</sub> – 3 <sub>1,2</sub>	76735.888±0.020	2.28±0.07	0.40±0.10	5.50	1.80	CD
5 <sub>1,5</sub> – 4 <sub>1,4</sub>	94254.055±0.004				2.04	B
5 <sub>0,5</sub> – 4 <sub>0,4</sub>	95085.988±0.030	3.27±0.08	0.85±0.23	3.60	1.40	BD
5 <sub>1,4</sub> – 4 <sub>1,3</sub>	95918.765±0.020	1.04±0.20	0.40±0.10	3.30	0.67	
6 <sub>1,6</sub> – 5 <sub>1,5</sub>	113103.272±0.004				4.40	B
6 <sub>0,6</sub> – 5 <sub>0,5</sub>	114099.086±0.006				4.90	B

**Notes.** <sup>a</sup>Observed frequency assuming a  $v_{LSR}$  of 5.83 km s<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>b</sup>Integrated line intensity in mK  $\times$  km s<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>c</sup>Line width in km s<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>d</sup>Antenna temperature in mK. <sup>e</sup>Root mean square noise of the data. <sup>f</sup>Blended with a weak feature at 38638.7 MHz. <sup>g</sup>For undetected lines their rest frequencies correspond to the observed frequency in the laboratory (Table 1) or to the predicted value from the molecular constants of Table 2. <sup>h</sup>The feature appears too strong. <sup>i</sup>Marginal detection.

**Table B.2.** Line parameters of the observed transitions of H<sub>2</sub>CCC in TMC-1.

Transition	$\nu_{obs}^a$ (MHz)	$\int T_A^* dv^b$ (mK $\times$ kms <sup>-1</sup> )	$v_{LSR}^c$ (kms <sup>-1</sup> )	$\Delta\nu^d$ (kms <sup>-1</sup> )	$T_A^{*e}$ (mK)	$\sigma^f$ (mK)
2 <sub>1,2</sub> – 1 <sub>1,1</sub>	41198.335±0.002	82.7±0.1	5.70±0.01	0.65±0.01	120.4	0.1
2 <sub>0,2</sub> – 1 <sub>0,1</sub>	41584.675±0.001	57.8±0.1	5.76±0.01	0.63±0.01	86.9	0.2
2 <sub>1,1</sub> – 1 <sub>1,0</sub>	41967.671±0.002	84.6±0.1	5.75±0.01	0.61±0.01	130.8	0.2
4 <sub>1,4</sub> – 3 <sub>1,3</sub>	82395.089±0.003	61.9±1.3	5.71±0.01	0.47±0.01	124.8	3.0
4 <sub>0,4</sub> – 3 <sub>0,3</sub>	83165.345±0.003	31.9±0.4	5.77±0.02	0.54±0.01	55.5	0.9
4 <sub>1,3</sub> – 3 <sub>1,2</sub>	83933.699±0.003	56.6±0.4	5.75±0.02	0.54±0.01	97.9	0.9
5 <sub>1,5</sub> – 4 <sub>1,4</sub>	102992.379±0.004	25.4±1.2	5.73±0.02	0.47±0.03	51.1	3.1
5 <sub>0,5</sub> – 4 <sub>0,4</sub>	103952.926±0.003	86.7±1.1	5.71±0.03	0.29±0.05	28.0	3.6
5 <sub>1,4</sub> – 4 <sub>1,3</sub>	104915.583±0.003	19.0±1.1	5.77±0.03	0.48±0.03	37.4	2.9

**Notes.** <sup>a</sup>Adopted rest frequencies from the CDMS entry for H<sub>2</sub>CCC. <sup>b</sup>Integrated line intensity in mK  $\times$  km s<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>c</sup>Velocity of the line in km s<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>d</sup>Line width in km s<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>e</sup>Antenna temperature in mK. <sup>f</sup>Root mean square noise of the data.



**Fig. B.1.** Observed lines of  $\text{H}_2\text{CCC}$  in the 31-115 GHz domain toward TMC-1. The abscissa corresponds to the velocity of the cloud with respect to the Local Standard of Rest ( $v_{LSR}$ ). The ordinate is the antenna temperature corrected for atmospheric and telescope losses in mK. The spectral resolution is 38 kHz below 50 GHz and 48 kHz above. Derived line parameters are given in Table B.2. The red lines show the computed synthetic spectra for the lines of  $\text{H}_2\text{CCC}$  (see text). The lines in the left column correspond to para lines of  $\text{H}_2\text{CCC}$ , while those of the central and right columns correspond to transitions of the ortho species. The adopted frequencies are those of the CDMS catalog (Müller et al. 2005) and are given in Table B.2.