

# On the combination of the planktonic foraminiferal Mg/Ca, clumped ( $\Delta 47$ ) and conventional ( $\delta 180$ ) stable isotope paleothermometers in palaeoceanographic studies

Marion Peral, Franck Bassinot, Mathieu Daëron, Dominique Blamart, Jérôme Bonnin, Frans Jorissen, Catherine Kissel, Elisabeth Michel, Claire Waelbroeck, Helene Rebaubier, et al.

# ▶ To cite this version:

Marion Peral, Franck Bassinot, Mathieu Daëron, Dominique Blamart, Jérôme Bonnin, et al.. On the combination of the planktonic for aminiferal Mg/Ca, clumped ( $\Delta 47$ ) and conventional ( $\delta 180$ ) stable isotope pale othermometers in palaeoceanographic studies. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 2022, 339, pp.22-34. 10.1016/j.gca.2022.10.030. hal-04291869

# HAL Id: hal-04291869 https://hal.science/hal-04291869

Submitted on 17 Nov 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 1 On the combination of the planktonic foraminiferal Mg/Ca, clumped ( $\Delta_{47}$ ) and

# 2 conventional (δ<sup>18</sup>O) stable isotope paleothermometers in palaeoceanographic studies

3

7

Marion Peral<sup>1,2</sup>, Franck Bassinot<sup>1</sup>, Mathieu Daëron<sup>1</sup>, Dominique Blamart<sup>1</sup>, Jérôme Bonnin<sup>3</sup>,
 Frans Jorissen<sup>4</sup>, Catherine Kissel<sup>1</sup>, Elisabeth Michel<sup>1</sup>, Claire Waelbroeck<sup>5</sup>, Helene
 Rebaubier<sup>1</sup> and William R Gray<sup>1</sup>

- 8 (1) Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, LSCE/IPSL, CEA-CNRS-UVSQ, Université
   9 Paris-Saclay, France
- 10 (2) Now at Analytical-Environmental and Geo-Chemistry, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Belgium
- 11 (3) Université de Bordeaux, CNRS, Environnements et Paléoenvironnements Océaniques et
- 12 Continentaux (EPOC), UMR 5805, Allée Geoffroy St Hilaire, 33615 Pessac Cedex, France
- 13 (4) UMR CNRS 6112 LPG-BIAF Bio-Indicateurs Actuels et Fossiles, Université d'Angers, 2, Boulevard
- 14 Lavoisier, 49045 Angers Cedex, Franc

15 (5) LOCEAN/IPSL, Sorbonne Université-CNRS-IRD-MNHN, UMR7159, Paris, France

16

# 17 Abstract

18

19 Assuming that for a miniferal clumped isotope ( $\Delta_{47}$ ) values are independent of seawater salinity and pH, the combination of Mg/Ca,  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\Delta_{47}$  values, may in theory allow us to disentangle 20 21 the temperature, salinity/ $\delta^{18}$ Osw and pH signals. Here, we present a new Mg/Ca- $\Delta_{47}$  dataset 22 for modern planktonic foraminifera, from various oceanographic basins and covering a large 23 range of temperatures (from 0.2 to 25.4 °C). These measurements were performed on the 24 same samples and species as the ones used for the foraminiferal  $\Delta_{47}$  calibration of Peral et al. 25 (2018), allowing comparison between both Mg/Ca and  $\Delta_{47}$  paleothermometers (excluding the 26 two benthic foraminiferal data points). There is a good agreement between these two 27 paleothermometers when the Mg/Ca-temperature is corrected for seawater salinity and pH, 28 suggesting that for a miniferal  $\Delta_{47}$  may not be influenced by salinity or pH. However, our results 29 show that  $\Delta_{47}$  temperature uncertainties still limit our ability to reconstruct pH and  $\delta^{18}O_{sw}$ from the combination of Mg/Ca,  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\Delta_{47}$  in a useful manner. We also find that 30 31 disagreements between Mg/Ca and  $\Delta_{47}$  values in *G. bulloides* persist after correction for vital, 32 salinity and pH effects, suggesting that other process(es) may also influence Mg/Ca in this 33 species. 34 This study also provides an updated I-CDES version of the previously published planktonic and

35 benthic foraminiferal  $\Delta_{47}$  calibration of Peral et al. (2018) , covering a range of temperature 36 from -2 to 25.4 °C.

#### 38 **1. INTRODUCTION**

39

40 The reconstruction of key physical and chemical ocean water parameters, like 41 seawater temperature, salinity and pH, is critical to understand the processes driving past 42 ocean and climate variations. However, precisely quantifying these parameters remains 43 extremely challenging. Several proxies have been developed to reconstruct paleo-44 temperatures, but they all suffer from various limitations and biases. In his seminal work on isotopes, Harold Urey suggested that the extent by which <sup>18</sup>O was enriched in marine calcium 45 46 carbonates relative to the water from which it is precipitated, could be used as a past ocean 47 thermometer (Urey, 1947). However, later studies showed that this paleo-thermometer is biased by the isotopic composition of the global ocean ( $\delta^{18}O_{sw}$ ) that does not remain constant 48 49 but reflects the waxing and waning of large continental ice sheets over glacial and interglacial 50 cycles. This signal associated with global changes in continental ice volume strongly imprints paleo- $\delta^{18}$ O records obtained from marine carbonates (Shackleton, 1967), with additional 51 52 contributions from regional modifications of evaporation/precipitation to a lesser degree. 53 Thus, it is impossible to accurately reconstruct past ocean temperature using the carbonate 54  $\delta^{18}$ O-thermometer without an independent knowledge of seawater  $\delta^{18}$ O<sub>sw</sub>. Furthermore, 55 interspecies differences in the  $\delta^{18}$ O-temperature relationship testify to the importance of 56 physiological processes, also called "vital" effects (e.g. Urey et al., 1951). In order to take into 57 account these effects, several authors developed species-specific calibrations (e.g., Bemis et 58 al., 1998; Mulitza et al., 2003).

59 More recently, several studied showed that the Mg/Ca elemental ratio of foraminiferal 60 calcite can be used to reconstruct paleo-seawater temperatures (Rosenthal et al., 1997; Lea 61 et al., 1999; Elderfield and Ganseen, 2000). Most foraminiferal species build their shells from 62 magnesium-poor calcite, in which the minor amount of Mg that can be substituted to Ca is 63 temperature dependent (Oomori et al, 1987). The paleoclimatology community had great 64 expectations regarding the combination of foraminiferal  $\delta^{18}$ O and the Mg/Ca-thermometer, 65 which could be measured from the same material allowing theoretically to disentangle temperature and  $\delta^{18}O_{sw}$  signals. However, the Mg/Ca-thermometry proved to be more 66 complex and challenging than originally expected. First, it appeared that the partitioning 67 68 coefficient between Mg in seawater and Mg in the crystal matrix is not only

69 thermodynamically controlled by temperature, but also reflects physiological or ecological 70 processes (Rosenthal et al., 1997; Lea et al., 1999; Elderfield and Ganseen, 2000; Lea, 2014), 71 prompting several authors to develop species-specific, empirical Mg/Ca-temperature 72 calibrations (Nürnberg et al., 1996; Rosenthal et al., 1997; Lea et al., 1999; Erez, 2003). From 73 the first development of the Mg/Ca paleothermometer it was shown that foraminiferal Mg/Ca 74 is influenced by physico-chemical variables other than temperature such as bottom-water 75 carbonate ion concentration (Elderfield et al., 2006; Rosenthal et al., 2006), as well as surface 76 salinity (Nürnberg et al., 1996; Lea et al., 1999; Kisakürek et al., 2008; Mathien-Blard and 77 Bassinot; 2009, Gray et al., 2018; Gray and Evans, 2019) and pH (Lea et al., 1999; Gray et al., 78 2018; Gray and Evans 2019), and - on time-scales longer than ~1 Ma - the Mg/Ca ratio of 79 seawater (Evans et al, 2016). In addition, analytical procedures must be carefully considered 80 since cleaning protocols have an effect on the measurement of Mg/Ca within foraminiferal 81 shells (Barker et al 2003; Pang et al., 2020 and references therein). These secondary influences 82 on foraminiferal Mg/Ca complicate its use as a temperature proxy.

83 The carbonate clumped isotope method (noted  $\Delta_{47}$  hereafter) is one of the most recent 84 paleothermometers, which has been developed over the last decade (Eiler, 2007, 2011). The 85  $\Delta_{47}$  approach is based on the quantification of subtle statistical anomalies in the abundance of doubly substituted carbonate isotopologues (13C18O16O16O2-) relative to the random 86 87 distribution of isotopes (Eiler, 2007, 2011). A slightly higher abundance of <sup>13</sup>C-<sup>18</sup>O bonds is, for 88 thermodynamical reasons, a function of temperature (Eiler, 2011; Passey and Henkes, 2012; 89 Stolper and Eiler, 2016) and this relationship is independent of the  $\delta^{18}$ O of water in which the 90 calcification occurs (Schauble et al., 2006). Clumped isotope methodological studies have 91 shown no evidence of vital effects (Tripati et al., 2010; Grauel et al., 2013; Peral et al., 2018; 92 Piasecki et al., 2019; Meinicke et al., 2020) nor salinity effects (Grauel et al., 2013; Peral et al., 93 2018) on foraminiferal  $\Delta_{47}$ . Moreover, studies dealing with non-foraminiferal carbonates 94 (Tripati et al., 2015; Watkins and Hunt, 2015) showed a lack of pH effect (or its negligible 95 influence) on clumped isotope. The absence of major biases would make  $\Delta_{47}$  one of the most 96 promising paleo-thermometers. However, its use is still limited because of its low temperature 97 sensitivity and the large sample size required to significantly reduce the analytical 98 uncertainties. Obtaining precise and high-resolution  $\Delta_{47}$  records remain a challenge.

99 Because of non-thermal effects on Mg/Ca from foraminifer shells, recent comparisons 100 revealed discrepancies between Mg/Ca- and  $\Delta_{47}$ -derived temperatures (Peral et al., 2020; 101 Leutert et al., 2020; Meinecke et al., 2021). These discrepancies are not linked to any specific 102 foraminifer species (different species were used in the three studies), nor are they associated 103 to a given oceanic basin (samples from three different regions were studied, the 104 Mediterranean Sea, the Southern Ocean, and the Indian Ocean) or to a time period (the 105 studies covered from the late Pleistocene to 5 million years ago). We believe that these 106 discrepancies can be extremely informative as they may chiefly reflect vital effects and the 107 impact of salinity and pH on the Mg/Ca-thermometer, offering theoretically the opportunity 108 to disentangle temperature, salinity, and pH from the combination of  $\delta^{18}$ O, Mg/Ca and  $\Delta_{47}$  in 109 planktonic foraminifera. Planktonic foraminiferal  $\delta^{18}$ O depends on temperature and  $\delta^{18}$ Osw, 110 the latter being correlated with the salinity. Carbonate  $\delta^{18}$ O may be combined with  $\Delta_{47}$ derived temperature to reconstruct the  $\delta^{18}$ Osw (Peral et al., 2020). As Mg/Ca is influenced by 111 112 salinity and pH, pH may be obtained by paring the Mg/Ca ratio with the reconstructed 113 temperature from  $\Delta_{47}$  and salinity estimates from sea-level or from the combination of  $\delta^{18}$ O- $\Delta_{47}$ , following the equations described in Gray et al. (2018 and 2019). Combining  $\delta^{18}$ O, Mg/Ca 114 115 and  $\Delta_{47}$  in foraminifera may therefore prove highly useful in palaeoceanographic studies.

116 The relationship between Mg/Ca and  $\Delta_{47}$  in modern planktonic foraminifera has been 117 previously studied to investigate our ability to detect the potential biases associated to Fe-Mn 118 oxide coatings, contamination and/or dissolution of foraminiferal tests (Breitenbach et al., 119 2018) in order to extract the best paleo-temperature estimates from non-biased 120 measurements. However, the sensitivity of foraminiferal  $\Delta_{47}$  to salinity and pH has not been 121 given much attention so far and still needs to be examined since a potential dependence of 122  $\Delta_{47}$  on these chemo-physical parameters would potentially explain part of the differences 123 observed between the  $\Delta_{47}$  and the Mg/Ca paleothermometers.

For the present paper, we measured Mg/Ca on the same set of samples and foraminiferal species used in the  $\Delta_{47}$  calibration of Peral et al. (2018). These data make it possible to explore the sensitivity of foraminiferal  $\Delta_{47}$  to salinity and pH and evaluate the potential interest and limits of combining  $\delta^{18}$ O, Mg/Ca- and clumped-temperatures to disentangle temperature, salinity- $\delta^{18}$ Osw, and pH effects. In the process, we took advantage of re-calibrated clumped isotope data following cutting-edge methodological developments

to provide a revised version of the planktonic and benthic foraminiferal clumped isotopecalibration of Peral et al. (2018), that could be used for future paleoceanographic studies.

132

#### 133 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

134

#### 135 **2.1.** Samples

136

137 We used the same samples as those used in Peral et al. (2018), which are core-tops from 138 twelve marine sedimentary cores from different oceanographic basins in the North Atlantic, 139 Southern, Indian and Pacific Oceans (Fig. 1). All core-tops were chronologically constrained 140 and are from the late Holocene (Peral et al., 2018). The location of samples, the water depths 141 of the cores, the studied species and the ages are given in Table 1. We assume no changes in 142 temperature, salinity, and pH over the late Holocene at our core sites and use modern 143 hydrological atlases to estimate these data, at the location of our sites and at the living depths 144 of the planktonic species studied here (see paragraph 2.4.). As discussed later, the recent 145 warming and the addition of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> to the surface ocean likely complicate the 146 comparison of instrumental carbonate system measurements with core-top foraminiferal 147 samples.

148 The core sites cover a wide range of seawater physico-chemical conditions, with 149 temperatures ranging from 0.2 to 25 °C (for the planktonic only) and from -2 to 25 °C (including 150 the benthic foraminifera), and with salinity ranging from 33.7 to 36.2 and pH from 7.7 to 8.1 151 (both for planktonic only). The top 1 cm of each sediment core-top was collected and dried 152 overnight at 50°C. The samples were wet sieved to collect the size fraction larger than 150 µm, 153 and the residues were dried. To limit the potential size effects on Mg/Ca, we picked the 154 planktonic foraminifera in narrow size ranges centered around the optimal size of each species 155 (i.e., the size corresponding to the maximum abundance of adult shells). The optimal sizes are 156 divided every ~50 μm (e.g., 200-250, 250-315, 315-355, 355-400, 400-450 and 450-500 μm). 157 Each species have their size ranges (see details in Table 2).

158 Nine species of planktonic foraminifera and two species of benthic foraminifera were 159 hand-picked under a binocular. For the Mg/Ca- $\Delta_{47}$  comparison, because of the differing 160 carbonate chemistry controls on Mg/Ca in planktonic and benthic foraminifera (Lea, 1999; 161 Elderfield et al 2006) we exclude the two benthic samples and only provide and discuss Mg/Ca

data from the planktonic foraminifera samples at the optimal size fractions. For the clumpedisotope calibration, we include the benthic foraminifera data, and a large range of size as was
originally done in Peral et al. (2018).

165





169

166

#### 170 **2.2.** Clumped isotopes

171

172 The clumped-isotope data were previously published in Peral et al. (2018). The 173 methodology (from the cleaning protocol to the measurement) is described in Daëron et al. 174 (2016) and Peral et al. (2018). A summary of the cleaning protocol steps is presented in the 175 supplementary material (Fig. S1). In the present paper, we reprocessed our  $\Delta_{47}$  data in 176 accordance with the new InterCarb - Carbon Dioxide Equilibrium Scale (I-CDES) and the 177 associated data processing methods (Bernasconi et al., 2021; Daëron, 2021).

In previous studies, discrepancies between clumped isotope calibrations had been observed (e.g.,Tripati et al., 2010; Grauel et al., 2013). Thanks to an international effort, several laboratories conducted an intercalibration exercise in order to determine clumped isotope values of carbonate standards (ETH 1-4, IAEA-C1&2 and MERK; Bernasconi et al., 2021). This new standardization approach (I-CDES reference frame) results in internationally agreed calibrations (Anderson et al, 2021; Fiebig et al., 2021).

The  $\Delta_{47}$  values of our modern foraminifera (Peral et al., 2018) were normalized to the I-CDES reference frame (Bernasconi et al., 2021) using the carbonate standards ETH-1/2/3/4. Data processing was performed using the  $\Delta_{47}$  crunch library and the new pooled standardization approach, as described in Daëron (2021). The reprocessed  $\Delta_{47}$  calibration is now compared with the new and/or other recalculated calibrations and used for future paleoceanographic studies. The full dataset is provided in the supplementary material (Table S1).

191 The  $\Delta_{47}$  values were converted to temperatures using the Peral et al. re-calculated 192 calibration. The temperature uncertainties were estimated by propagating (i) the external 193  $\Delta_{47}$  reproducibility of our analytical sessions of measurements, based on repeated analyses of 194 standards and samples and (ii) the uncertainties associated with respective calibrations. 195 Recently, Anderson et al. (2021) have shown that when using the same standardization and 196 data processing, re-evaluated  $\Delta_{47}$ -temperature calibrations obtained on various carbonate 197 materials agree within the range of uncertainty. In terms of  $\Delta_{47}$ -temperature reconstructions, 198 using Peral et al. (2018) re-calculated calibration (this paper), or using the unified calibration 199 from Anderson et al. (2021), yield the same results. We found it important to provide in the 200 present paper a revised calibration equation that is based on cutting-edge approaches of  $\Delta_{47}$ 201 standardization and processing methods (Bernasconi et al. 2021; Daëron, 2021) to serve for 202 future studies based on the state-of-the-art standard values.

203

#### 204 **2.3.** Mg/Ca analyses and derived temperatures

205

206 **2.3.1.** Mg/Ca measurements

207

208 A total of 93 Mg/Ca analyses on 9 species of planktonic foraminifera were performed 209 at the Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement (LSCE) using a PlasmaQuant 210 ELITE Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) from Analytik Jena. One 211 milligram of foraminiferal shells was hand-picked for each sample allowing to perform 3 to 4 212 replicate analyses. We followed the cleaning protocol of Barker et al. (2003). Shells were 213 crushed between two glass plates and the resulting fragments were put into acid-leached 214 micro-vials. Fine material (i.e. clay) was removed through repeated ultrasonic cleaning with 215 18.2 M $\Omega$  water and then ethanol. In order to remove potential organic contaminants, the

samples were then oxidized with alkali-buffered 1%  $H_2O_2$  solution for 10 minutes at 100°C. The final cleaning treatment consists in a rapid leaching with 0.001 M HNO<sub>3</sub>, before dissolution in 0.15 M HNO<sub>3</sub>. Samples are centrifuged immediately after dissolution and transferred to a new acid-leached centrifuge tube, leaving a residual ~ 10 µl, which helps exclude any remaining undissolved contaminants. Trace metal grade (NORMATOM) acids are used throughout.

222 A 10  $\mu$ l aliquot of each sample was first analyzed in order to determine calcium 223 concentrations. The samples were then diluted to a calcium concentration of 1mM Ca, to 224 match that of the bracketing standards. Mg/Ca ratios were measured using a modified version 225 of the method of Yu et al. (2005) against in-house standards prepared from single elementary 226 solutions. Mg/Ca instrumental precision was determined based on multiple replicates of a 227 standard solution of known Mg/Ca composition, with a long-term precision of 2% (2RSD). 228 Analysis of external standard NIST RM 8301 (Foraminifera) using our method gives a value of 229 2.65  $\pm$  0.02 (1SE), in excellent agreement with its published value of 2.62  $\pm$  0.14 (Stewart et 230 al., 2020). The data are summarized in Table 2 and the full data set is provided in 231 supplementary material (Table S2).

232

#### 233 **2.3.2.** Correction of Mg/Ca for the effects of salinity and pH

234

235 We corrected our Mg/Ca values for pH and salinity effects based on the following 236 procedure: 1) using species-dependent calibrations, we calculated at each core location the 237 Mg/Ca values which are expected given the atlases-derived pH and salinity, and the  $\delta^{18}$ O-238 derived temperature, 2) at all the sites, we also calculated a pH- and salinity- normalized 239 Mg/Ca values (Mg/Ca normalized) by setting pH=8 and salinity=35, and using the sample-240 specific oxygen isotopic-derived temperature; 3) the difference between the expected and 241 normalized Mg/Ca values provide correction values at each site and for each species, (4) these 242 correction values are then substracted from our measured Mg/Ca values to cancel out the 243 salinity and pH effects from our data, thus leaving only temperature as a control parameter.

244

Practically, for the first step of this procedure, we used the species-specific equations (Table 3) from Gray and Evans (2019) for *Globigerinoides ruber* and *Globigerina bulloides* to estimate the "expected" Mg/Ca values. For the species for which a specific calibration is not

available, we used the generic equation of Gray and Evans (2019). To the best of our
knowledge, *N. pachyderma* is not pH sensitive (Tierney et al., 2019). Thus, no pH correction
was applied to the Mg/Ca of this species, and it is corrected for salinity only.

The multi-parameter regression equations of Gray and Evans (2019) provide Mg/Ca as a function of the temperature, the salinity, and the pH of the sea water in which the foraminifera have grown:

254 Mg/Ca = exp(ax(S-a) + cxT + dx(pH - e) + f

255 Where a, b, c, d, e and f are constants, and T, S and pH are the temperature (in °C), the salinity 256 and the pH of seawater during calcification. As said above, for each site and each species, we 257 solved the regression equations using modern, atlas-derived pH and salinities, and the 258 foraminifer  $\delta^{18}$ O-derived temperature (see details in section 2.4), and then proceed to steps 259 2 to 4 (see above).

260

261

262 **2.3.3.** Mg/Ca-derived temperatures

263

264 2.3.3.1 Multi-species calibration equation from Anand et al. (2003)

265

266 In order to compare Mg/Ca and clumped-isotope-derived temperatures, we first 267 calculated the Mg/Ca-derived temperatures using the multi-species calibration of Anand et al. 268 (2003) solved using our pH- and salinity- corrected Mg/Ca values. The estimated-Mg/Ca 269 temperatures show a large difference when compared with the clumped-isotope-derived 270 temperatures (see supplementary material, Fig. S2). We recalculated the multi-species Anand 271 et al. (2003) calibration using the temperatures from the oxygen isotopic calibration of Kim 272 and O'Neil (1997). This equation may provide a more robust basis for reconstructing 273 temperature effects (Roche et al., 2018) than the modified, benthic-derived equation of 274 Shackleton (1974) originally used in the Anand et al. (2003) study (see details in section 2.4.1). 275 Following the same strategy as Anand et al. (2003), we only included the data from the 350 – 276 500 µm size-range and excluded the data from Orbulina universa and Globigerinella. We note 277 that, as shown in Anand et al. (2003), the measured  $\delta^{18}$ Ocalcite is up to ~1 per mil too light in 278 the wintertime compared to the value predicted using the measured sea surface temperatureand salinity-based  $\delta^{18}O_{sw}$  estimates at the Sargasso Sea sediment trap site. This is likely due to 279

- a seasonal change in the  $\delta^{18}O_{sw}$ -salinity relationship at this site, which potentially introduces a substantial bias to the resulting Mg/Ca equation (Gray et al, 2018). The recalculated equation is presented in Table 3 and shown in supplementary material (Data Processing file).
- 283
- 284

2.3.3.2 Mono species-specific equations

285

286 We first used calibration equations that were derived by linking Mg/Ca to temperature 287 only. To the best of our knowledge, we chose the most adequate calibrations, considering the 288 species, the size fraction, the oceanic region, and the cleaning protocol. For seven of the 289 planktonic species studied in the present manuscript, we used the mono-specific equations of 290 Anand et al. (2003). Unfortunately, the only available calibration for Globorotalia menardii 291 was established using a cleaning protocol with a reductive step (Regenberg et al., 2010), which 292 is known to lower the Mg/Ca ratio of foraminifera compared to the cleaning approach of 293 Barker et al. (2003) that we used for the present paper (e.g., Pang et al., 2020). In the absence 294 of a calibration for Neogloboquadrina pachyderma (dextral), we used the same calibration as 295 the one developed for N. pachyderma (sinistral) (Vázquez Riveiros et al., 2016). The 296 uncertainties were calculated by propagating the analytical errors, based on the long-term 297 standard deviation of our standards and the uncertainties associated with the respective 298 calibrations.

It is important to underline that, for internal consistency, the mono-species calibrations were corrected for local pH and salinity effects, as described in section 2.3.2. (i.e. species-specific equation of Gray and Evans (2019) with isotopic temperature, and salinity and pH from the atlases). For the Anand et al. (2003) calibrations, we used the *in situ* salinities available in Deuser and Ross (1989). The calibration of Regenberg et al. (2010) based on *G. menardii* was not corrected because we could not find the raw Mg/Ca data.

305

306 **2.4.** Independent constraints on temperatures, salinity and pH from  $\Delta_{47}$  and Mg/Ca ratios 307

- 308 **2.4.1.** Estimation of calcification temperatures
- 309

310 In order to limit uncertainties associated to the imperfect knowledge of planktonic 311 foraminifera ecology, numerous authors have used  $\delta^{18}$ O-derived temperatures instead of

312 atlas temperatures for the calibration of geochemical proxies (e.g. Anand et al., 2003; 313 Mathien-Blard and Bassinot, 2009; Peral et al., 2018; Meinicke et al., 2020). Comparing WOA13 atlas temperatures and foraminifer  $\delta^{18}$ O-derived temperatures obtained using 314 315 various calibration equations, Peral et al. (2018) suggested the use of the calibration equation 316 of Kim and O'Neil (1997), modified for consistency by using an acid fractionation factor 317 (difference of oxygen isotope ratio between the mineral (calcite) and the CO<sub>2</sub> gas evolved from 318 acidification with phosphoric acid) of 1.01025 (Eq. 1). The Kim and O'Neil (1997) calibration is then used to calculate the  $\delta^{18}$ O-derived temperatures in this study: 319

320

321 
$$1000 \ln(\alpha_{CC/W}) = 18.03 \times 1000 / T - 32.17$$
 (Eq. 1)

322

323 Where T is the isotopic temperature in Kelvin and  $\alpha_{CC/W}$  is the oxygen-18 fractionation factor 324 between calcite and water, with:

325

$$\alpha_{\rm CC/W} = (1 + \delta^{18}O_{\rm C/SMOW} / 1000) / (1 + \delta^{18}O_{\rm SW/SMOW} / 1000)$$
(Eq. 2)

327

328 Where  $\delta^{18}O_{C/SMOW}$  and  $\delta^{18}O_{SW/SMOW}$  correspond to foraminiferal calcite and seawater  $\delta^{18}O_{C}$ 329 relative to VSMOW. Following the recommendation of Marchitto et al. (2014),  $\delta^{18}O_{C}$  values 330 for *Uvigerina* were adjusted by subtracting 0.47 ‰.

331 Seawater  $\delta^{18}$ O values at each core site were extracted from the gridded data set of 332 LeGrande and Schmidt (2006). The same approach as the WOA-temperature extraction is 333 followed (as described in Peral et al., 2018). Because one still does not know well the exact 334 habitat depth and growth season of planktonic species and their spatial variability in relation 335 to nutrient availability and physico-chemical conditions (i.e., Retailleau et al., 2011; Schiebel 336 and Hemleben, 2017), we followed the same approach as Peral et al. (2018). We calculated the  $\delta^{18}O_{SW}$  of seawater in which foraminifera calcified by averaging at each site the gridded 337  $\delta^{18}O_{SW}$  of LeGrande and Schmidt (2006) over species-specific living depth ranges. These depth 338 339 ranges may vary across ocean basins. According to Tolderlund and Be (1971) and Durazzi 340 (1981), living depths in the North Atlantic Ocean range between 0 – 50 m for G. ruber and O. 341 universa, and the depth range is 0-100m for G. bulloides, G. truncatulinoides, G. menardii and 342 G. inflata (Steinke et al., 2005; Numberger et al., 2009, Rebotim et al 2017). For N.

343 pachyderma, the living depth is estimated from 0 to 200 m depth (Rebotim et al., 2017). In the 344 Indian Ocean, Duplessy et al. (1981) placed the depth of calcification for all these species 345 within and below the mixed layer, except for G. ruber and G. menardii which are believed to 346 remain respectively at the surface and within the mixed layer (0–100 m). In the South China 347 Sea, G. ruber and G. menardii are described as living near the surface and in the top 100 m, 348 respectively (Pflaumann and Jian, 1999). Finally, the living depth of O. universa being very 349 poorly constrained to the best of our knowledge, we assume that it lives everywhere at the 350 same depth as in the North Atlantic Ocean (Rebotim et al., 2017). For benthic foraminifera (recalibration of clumped isotope versus temperature), we must use the bottom  $\delta^{18}O_{SW}$  values. 351 For the planktonic foraminifera, the mean  $\delta^{18}O_{SW}$  values averaged for the living depth of each 352 species for each oceanic basin is considered. The uncertainty of  $\delta^{18}O_{SW}$  at each site was 353 estimated as the quadratic sum of the site-specific standard deviation of  $\delta^{18}O_{SW}$  within the 354 355 corresponding water depth and a constant error of 0.20 ‰ assigned to the GISS grid 356 interpolation. Final uncertainties of the oxygen isotopic temperatures are propagated based on the  $\delta^{18}O_{SW}$  uncertainties and the external analytical error on  $\delta^{18}O$  values. 357

For *G. bulloides* and *O. universa,* we could also compare the reconstructed Mg/Catemperatures to  $\delta^{18}$ O-temperatures obtained using the species-specific  $\delta^{18}$ O calibrations from Bemis et al. (1998). This comparison is presented in Figure S3 and discussed in paragraph 4.2.

#### 362 **2.4.2.** Estimation of seawater salinity and pH

363

The seawater salinity values at each core-top location were extracted from the WOA13 gridded data set (Zweng et al., 2013). As for the GISS  $\delta^{18}O_{SW}$  values (see above), for each ocean basin, we computed the seawater salinity in which the foraminifera calcified by averaging the atlas salinities over the living depth range known for each species. Uncertainties were estimated at each site as the quadratic sum of a nominal error of 0.20 arbitrarily assigned to the WOA13 data set and the site-specific standard deviation of salinity.

The seawater pH values at each core-top location and for each species living depth were extracted from the GLODAP 2020 data set (Olsen et al., 2020). Similarly, following the same strategy as for the GISS  $\delta^{18}O_{SW}$  and WOA13 salinity, we averaged the available GLODAP 2020 data over the living depth-range published for each species. A pH uncertainty of 0.02

374 was assigned to GLODAP pH data (Olsen et al., 2020). We note that this only represents a 375 'climatological' error. The use of climatological pH data is far from ideal because, due to the 376 release of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub>, the surface ocean has acidified considerably since 1850. This 377 has lowered the pH relative to the pre-industrial value, whereas the vast majority of 378 foraminifera retrieved in the core top samples are likely to be of preindustrial age or older. 379 This pH uncertainty represents a major source of uncertainty in our analysis and is a major 380 hindrance to usefully constraining the sensitivity of foraminiferal proxies to the carbonate 381 system using coretop material.

382

383 **3. RESULTS** 

384

#### 385 **3.1.** Clumped isotope dataset

386

387 The clumped-isotope calibration using the same data set as Peral et al. (2018) is 388 recalculated following the latest methodological developments (see section 2.2 for details). 389 The recalculated clumped-isotope data range from 0.6976 ‰ to 0.5917 ‰ and cover a range 390 of temperatures from -2.3 to 25.4 °C (oxygen isotopic temperatures from eq. 1 are used in the 391 whole section; Table 2). As expected, the  $\Delta_{47}$  values increase with decreasing temperatures; 392 the benthic foraminifera sample from the artic (*C. wuellerstorfi* – MOCOSED-St1) shows the 393 highest  $\Delta_{47}$  value, while planktonic foraminifer sample from one of the warmest sites G. ruber 394 – MD00-2360) shows the lowest  $\Delta_{47}$  value.

395

#### 396 **3.2.** Raw Mg/Ca dataset

397

398 We only measured Mg/Ca for the planktonic foraminifera. Our raw Mg/Ca dataset 399 ranges from 0.8 to 7.7 mmol/mol (Table 2 for the whole section) and covers a range of 400 temperatures from -0.7 to 25.4 °C (temperatures for the whole section; Table 2). As expected, 401 the cold-water dwelling foraminifera (N. pachyderma s. - MOCOSED st 1) show the lowest 402 Mg/Ca values and the warm-water surface dwellers such as G. ruber and O. universa show the 403 highest Mg/Ca values. Note the particularly high value (Mg/Ca =7.7 mmol/mol) obtained for 404 O. universa . This species likely calcifies at a lower temperature than G. ruber, which 405 nevertheless shows a raw Mg/Ca value of only 4.3 mmol/mol (sample of MD00-2360). Our

406 data therefore support previous observations that *O. universa* is characterized by unusually
407 high Mg/Ca ratios (Lea, 1999; Anand et al., 2003).

408The raw Mg/Ca ratios measured on the same samples and species, but for different409size fractions, show a maximum difference of 0.4 mmol/mol between all the size fractions.

410

#### 411 **3.3.** Corrected Mg/Ca

412

413 The raw Mg/Ca values are corrected for salinity and pH from atlas data, using the 414 method as described in section 2.3.2. The corrected Mg/Ca, excluding N. pachyderma 415 samples, ranges from 1.6 mmol/mol (Table 2) for G. bulloides-MD12-3401 that calcified at 5 416 °C (isotopic temperatures, Table 2), to 4.9 for G. ruber-MD00-2360 that calcified at 24.8 °C 417 (isotopic temperatures, Table 2). It is also noticeable that G. bulloides species still records high 418 Mg/Ca values, as discussed in part 4.3. For a better comparison between our  $\Delta_{47}$  and Mg/Ca 419 values, we test all subsequent analysis with and without G. bulloides in the dataset. The 420 corrected Mg/Ca values for the species coming from the same core tops display a consistent 421 relationship with calcification temperatures.

422

# 423 **3.4.** Comparison of Mg/Ca-derived temperatures (multi-species and mono-species 424 equations) with $\delta^{18}$ O and $\Delta_{47}$ -derived temperatures

425

The Mg/Ca-derived temperatures were estimated using the recalculated multi-species calibration of Anand et al. (2003) and compared to the  $\delta^{18}$ O-temperatures (Fig. 2.a) estimated using Kim and O'Neil (1997) equation (eq. 1), as described in section 2.4.1. The Mg/Catemperatures for the species *G. bulloides* are systematically higher than the  $\delta^{18}$ O-derived temperatures, while most of the other species display lower Mg/Ca-derived temperatures (Fig. 2.a). A linear regression only explains 57 % of co-variance between the two thermometers (Fig. 2.a).

Then, the Mg/Ca-derived temperatures reconstructed using the multi-species calibration of Anand et al. (2003) are compared to the  $\Delta_{47}$ -derived temperatures obtained using the recalculated version of the foraminifer calibration equation of Peral et al. (2018; see section 2.3; Fig. 2.b). As was observed with the  $\delta^{18}$ O-temperatures, the *G. bulloides* species

- 437 show higher Mg/Ca-derived temperatures than those derived from  $\Delta_{47}$  and a linear regression 438 only explains 52 % of co-variance between the two thermometers (Fig. 2.b).
- 439 We then computed Mg/Ca-derived temperatures using mono-species calibrations. 440 These Mg/Ca-temperatures are in better agreement with  $\delta^{18}$ O-derived temperatures (Table 441 3; Fig. 2.c) and  $\Delta_{47}$ -derived temperatures (Fig. 2.d.), with regression equations explaining 80 % 442 and 76 % of co-variance. However, Mg/Ca-derived temperatures are always warmer than the
- 443 isotopic temperatures.



444

Figure 2: Comparison of temperature estimates obtained on 9 planktonic species. Top panels: reconstructed Mg/Ca temperatures using the recalculated multi-species calibration of Anand et al. (2003) compared to reconstructed  $\delta^{18}$ O temperatures, using Kim and O'Neil (1997) (a) and Δ<sub>47</sub>-derived temperatures, using the recalculated calibration equation of Peral et al. (2018) (this paper) (b). Bottom panel: reconstructed Mg/Ca derived temperatures using the most adequate mono-specific calibrations compared to reconstructed  $\delta^{18}$ O temperatures, using Kim and O'Neil (1997) (c) and Δ<sub>47</sub>-derived temperatures, using recalculated Peral et al.

452 (2018) calibration (d). Dotted black lines are linear regressions, the black solid lines are the 1:1
453 line. Uncertainties are at 2SE.

454

#### 455 **3.5.** Δ<sub>47</sub> values versus raw, corrected Mg/Ca values

456

The  $\Delta_{47}$  values (recalculated from the raw data of Peral et al., 2018) are compared to (1) the raw Mg/Ca values (Fig. 3.a – without *O. universa*), (2) the Mg/Ca values corrected for seawater salinity only (i.e. setting d constant to zero in the correction equations from Gray and Evans, 2019) (Fig. 3.b), and (3) the Mg/Ca values corrected for both seawater salinity and PH salinity (Fig. 3.c).

The raw Mg/Ca data (without corrections) show a poor agreement with the  $\Delta_{47}$  values (Fig. 3.a; R<sup>2</sup> = 0.52). Similarly, poor agreement is observed using either "salinity" corrected Mg/Ca or "salinity + pH" corrected Mg/Ca. " (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.51 in Fig. 3.b & c). However, it should be noted that without the *G. bulloides* samples, the agreements for the three comparisons improve significantly with an R<sup>2</sup> of 0.90, 0.90 and 0.89, respectively (Fig. 3.a&b&c).



468

467

**Figure 3:** Comparison of our recalculated foraminiferal  $\Delta_{47}$  values with raw Mg/Ca values (uncorrected) (a), with corrected Mg/Ca for salinity only (b), and with corrected Mg/Ca for salinity and pH (c). The Mg/Ca values are corrected using the equations from Gray and Evans (2019), the salinity and pH from the atlas and the oxygen isotopic temperatures. The red dotted logarithmic regressions are plotted for all the plots, including *G. bulloides* and the black regressions are without *G. bulloides*. All the uncertainties are at 2SE.

476 **4. DISCUSSION** 

477

#### 478 **4.1.** Updated foraminiferal clumped-isotope calibration

479

480 The efforts of the clumped-isotope community have led to the establishment of an 481 international standardization and a uniform measurement data processing, allowing 482 robust/accurate comparisons between  $\Delta_{47}$  measurements performed in different laboratories 483 (Bernasconi et al., 2021, and Fig. 4 therein). Following the newest methodological 484 advancements in clumped isotope - new standard values and data processing (see details in 485 section 3.2) - (Bernasconi et al., 2021; Daëron, 2021), we recomputed the multi-foraminiferal 486 species calibration from Peral et al. (2018) (Fig. 4). The total least squares regression yields the 487 following relationship:

488

 $\Delta_{47} = A \times 10^3 / T^2 + B$  eq. 3

490

491 Where A = 37.0 and B = 0.181

492 To compute the formal standard errors for this regression, we reformulate the 493 equation 3 in terms of the barycenter of our  $(1/T_0^2)$  values, so that parameters A and B0 are 494 statistically independent:

495

496

 $\Delta_{47} = A^* (T^{-2} - T_0^{-2}) + B_0 \qquad \text{eq. 4}$ 

497

498 Where A = 37.0 (SE = 2.0),  $B_0 = 0.636$  (SE = 0.0025) and  $T_0 = 285.1$  K

499

500 The conclusions drawn by Peral et al. 2018) based on the original data, are still valid – 501 i.e. no apparent species-specific foraminiferal size and salinity effects (cf. Peral et al., 2018 for 502 more details). The updated calibration established in the present paper is compared with the 503 unified calibration of Anderson et al. (2021) and the precise inorganic calibration of Fiebig et 504 al. (2021) (Fig. 4). A good agreement (in the range of 0.3 – 1.3 °C, within the calibration 505 uncertainties) is observed between the three calibrations. This agreement between biogenic 506 carbonates (this study) and inorganic carbonates (Anderson et al., 2021; Fiebig et al., 2021 and 507 the slow-growing Laghetto Basso and Devils Hole calcite (Daeron et al., 2019; Anderson et al., 508 2021)) confirms that using standardized protocols (Bernasconi et al., 2021; Daëron, 2021) 509 solves the large discrepancy between the calibrations (Anderson et al., 2021; Fiebig et al., 510 2021). Also, this calibration constitutes the more precise equation based on foraminifera. 511 These observations allow a direct application of this calibration to foraminifera for 512 palaeoceanographic studies; this recalculated version of the calibration by Peral et al. (2018) 513 should be used instead of the original version for future paleoceanographic studies.



514

**Figure 4:** Recalculated  $\Delta_{47}$  values (mean and 2SE) compared to oxygen isotopic temperatures (mean and 2SE) obtained with Kim and O'Neil (1997) for planktonic (circle) and benthic (square) foraminifera samples, combining all size fractions (modified from Peral et al., 2018). The new calibration regression corresponds to the black line (Peral et al., 2018 recalculated). The recalculated foraminiferal calibration is compared to the slow-growing calcite from Laghetto Basso and Devils Hole (from Anderson et al., 2021) and to calibrations of Anderson et al. (2021) and Fiebig et al. (2021)



525 By comparing various paleothermometers we are able to better constrain the 526 limitations of each of the methods and, within the framework of these limitations, try to 527 extract as much meaningful climatic information as possible by combining those proxies.

528 The plot of Mg/Ca-temperatures vs  $\Delta_{47}$ -derived temperatures (Fig. 2.b) shows a larger 529 scattering around the 1:1 line than the plot displaying Mg/Ca-temperatures vs  $\delta^{18}$ O-derived 530 temperatures (Fig. 2.a). This larger scattering likely results from the higher uncertainties in the 531 clumped-isotope-derived temperatures. The use of species-specific calibrations for Mg/Ca-532 derived temperatures improves the fit with the  $\Delta_{47}$ -derived temperatures, compared to the 533 use of a multi-species calibration (Fig. 2.d vs Fig. 2.b). No species-specific calibration is 534 necessary for clumped isotope as  $\Delta_{47}$  thermometer does not appear to be affected by species-535 specific effects (Tripati et al., 2010; Grauel et al., 2013; Peral et al., 2018; Meinicke et al., 2020).

Although R<sup>2</sup> values significantly increase when using species-specific Mg/Ca calibrations, the Mg/Ca-derived temperatures are systematically warmer than  $\delta^{18}$ O- and  $\Delta_{47}$ derived temperatures (Fig. 2.b&d – linear regression lines). This is coherent with previous observations (Peral et al., 2020; Leutert et al., 2020).

540 No significant improvement is observed when species-specific calibrations are used to 541 reconstruct temperatures from G. bulloides and O. universa  $\delta^{18}$ O (Bemis et al., 1998) (Figure 542 S3). G. bulloides Mg/Ca data result in temperatures as high as 20 °C, showing up to 12 °C 543 difference with the two isotopic thermometers (see discussion below in section 4.3.). One 544 second explanation would be the dependance of Mg/Ca values on salinity and pH (Nürnberg 545 et al., 1996; Kisakürek et al., 2008; Mathien-Blard and Bassinot, 2009, Gray et al., 2018; Gray 546 and Evans, 2019). It has been shown that the  $\Delta_{47}$  in foraminifera is not affected by salinity 547 (Tripati et al., 2010; Peral et al., 2018), however, the pH dependence of the foraminiferal  $\Delta_{47}$ 548 thermometer has never been studied to this date. By comparing both for a miniferal- $\Delta_{47}$  and 549 corrected-Mg/Ca temperatures, the potential effect of pH on clumped isotopes can be 550 deciphered.

551

#### 552 4.3. *G. bulloides* species in Mg/Ca

553

554 The relatively poor correlation between raw or corrected Mg/Ca and clumped isotope 555 (Fig. 3a&b&c) chiefly results from particularly high *G. bulloides* Mg/Ca values and the high 556 variability of *G. bulloides* data over a narrow  $\Delta_{47}$  range (Fig. 3a&b&c). The correlations

significantly improve when *G. bulloides* samples are excluded. The high Mg/Ca ratios measured in *G. bulloides* and their important variability are not explained by anomalous, local salinity or pH values. High *G. bulloides* Mg/Ca values could be likely explained by 1) diagenesis or metal coating, 2) pH effect on  $\delta^{18}$ O measurements or 3) the existence of different *G. bulloides* morphotypes and/or genotypes characterize by different temperature-driven Mg/Ca incorporation mechanisms.

563 1) Diagenesis or metal coating: The relationship between foraminiferal  $\Delta_{47}$  and raw Mg/Ca 564 has been previously examined by Breitenbach et al. (2018). These authors suggested that the 565 clumped isotope-Mg/Ca comparison could help identify potential problems and biases of the 566 Mg/Ca-thermometer resulting from Fe-Mn oxide coatings, clay contamination and/or 567 foraminiferal test dissolution. Our foraminifera samples are in a good state of preservation 568 and do not suffer from dissolution (SEM pictures available in Peral et al., 2018). Additionally, 569 the Fe/Ca and Mn/Ca values are low in our dataset, below the thresholds that lead to suspect 570 a contamination problem (Boyle and Keigwin (1985); see supplementary material Table S2). Nevertheless, the G. bulloides sample showing the highest Mg/Ca value (sample from core 571 572 MD95-2014) displays also an anomalously high Al/Ca content of 7337 mmol/mol compared to 573 the other samples for which Al/Ca values are bellow 100 mmol/mol. For this sample, 574 contamination by clay minerals is likely. Our observations suggest that the Fe-Mn oxide 575 coatings, clay contamination (except for one sample) and/or foraminiferal test dissolution do 576 not explain the too high Mg/Ca values of *G. bulloides* and the higher range of variability when 577 compared with  $\Delta_{47}$  values.

2) pH effect on the  $\delta^{18}$ O measurements:  $\delta^{18}$ O-derived temperatures are used to correct the Mg/Ca; but the  $\delta^{18}$ O of *G. bulloides* may be affected by pH effect (Spero et al., 1997; Spero et al., 1999; Zeebe, 1999). As a result, the high corrected Mg/Ca may be due to not considering the pH effect on  $\delta^{18}$ O. However, if the Mg/Ca is corrected using the temperature from the WOA rather than by the  $\delta^{18}$ O-derived temperature, the conclusion is similar: high corrected Mg/Ca is obtained. The pH effect on *G. bulloides*  $\delta^{18}$ O cannot explain the high Mg/Ca ratio.

3) *G. bulloides* has been shown to present different morphotypes and also different genotypes (sometime with a similar morphotype), these cryptic species can potentially live at different depths and have specific ecological niches (Osborne et al., 2020). The  $\Delta_{47}$  values of *G. bulloides* are in very good agreement with the other species used and do not show systematic biases (Fig. 3), suggesting that the singularity of *G. bulloides* data in the Mg/Ca. vs. 589  $\Delta_{47}$  only occur in Mg/Ca ratio. The  $\Delta_{47}$  SD of the *G. bulloides* measurements are good, 590 suggesting that in any given sample, *G. bulloides* with the same morphotype and/or genotype 591 were picked. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that different genotypes (with similar 592 morphotype) were analyzed at different sites. More detailed studies on *G. bulloides* are 593 essential to better understand the potential cryptic variability of this species and its impact on 594 Mg/Ca incorporation.

595 In the rest of the article, *G. bulloides* samples are removed from the dataset to better 596 compare the corrected Mg/Ca and the clumped isotope-derived temperatures.

597

## 598 **4.4.** Salinity and pH effects on reconstructed Mg/Ca vs Δ<sub>47</sub> temperatures

599

600 Considering that the  $\Delta_{47}$  is independent of salinity and pH, and by correcting the Mg/Ca 601 temperatures for each of these parameters, the observations made on figure 2 may be 602 explained. In figure 5, we redraw the Mg/Ca-temperature.vs. $\Delta_{47}$ -temperature comparison of Figure 2d (i.e. obtained using the mono-specific calibration equations) but without G. 603 604 bulloides. The mono-species Mg/Ca calibrations are corrected for salinity and pH (section 605 2.3.3). Using this corrected-mono-species calibrations for Mg/Ca-derived temperature, the 606 comparison with  $\Delta_{47}$ -derived temperatures is better; the regression line is close to the 1:1 line 607 and explains 86% of the co-variance between both thermometers (Fig. 5). Thus, our results 608 concur with observations from the geological record (Leutert et al, 2020; Meinecke et al 2021), 609 that improved agreement between  $\Delta_{47}$ -derived and Mg/Ca-derived temperatures is observed 610 when the influences of pH and salinity on Mg/Ca are accounted. This emphasizes the 611 importance of correcting Mg/Ca values for non-thermal influences. However, it is noticeable 612 that cold Mg/Ca-derived temperatures still show a slight difference with  $\Delta_{47}$ -derived 613 temperatures. This could result from the small number of samples available and/or specific 614 problems (e.g., effects of species,  $CO_3^{2-}$ ). More data is requested on the cold end member to 615 better understand this potential difference.

616 The good agreement between the  $\Delta_{47}$ -derived temperatures and corrected Mg/Ca-617 derived temperatures provides further support that  $\Delta_{47}$  is not affected by salinity (Tripati et 618 al., 2010; Peral et al., 2018) and pH (or that the effect of pH is negligible).



Figure 5: Mg/Ca-derived temperatures using mono-species calibrations, compared to the  $\Delta_{47}$ derived temperatures using the recalculated Peral et al. (2018) calibration (a) and the Mg/Caderived temperatures using the corrected Mg/Ca mono-species calibrations for salinity and pH compared to the  $\Delta_{47}$ -derived temperatures using the recalculated Peral et al. (2018) calibration (b). The dotted linear regression, excluding *O. universa* and *G. bulloides*, is plotted. A line 1:1 is plotted in black; uncertainties are at 2 SE.

626

# 627 **4.5.** The potential of combining Mg/Ca ratio, $\delta^{18}$ O and $\Delta_{47}$ for palaeoceanographic studies 628

629 The combination of  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\Delta_{47}$  in foraminifera has been previously studied to 630 accurately reconstruct the signal of  $\delta^{18}$ O<sub>sw</sub> even during glacial-interglacial scales (Rodriguez-631 Sanz et al., 2021; Peral et al., 2020). Next, the comparison between Mg/Ca and  $\Delta_{47}$  632 systematically shows differences between the two thermometers in modern and fossil 633 foraminifera. Breitenbach et al. (2018) showed that combining Mg/Ca and clumped isotopes 634 data may help to detect possible dissolution and metal coating biases on the Mg/Ca-635 thermometer. When samples are not biased by contamination, dissolution or diagenesis, the 636 combination of these two proxies has been used to estimate long-term variations in seawater 637 Mg/Ca (Evans et al., 2018; Meinecke et al., 2021).

638 In the present study, we showed that salinity and pH lead to discrepancies between 639 clumped isotope and Mg/Ca in planktonic foraminifera frequently used for paleoceanographic 640 reconstructions (however, further work is needed for O. universa and G. bulloides). Because of the multi-parameter dependency of foraminiferal  $\delta^{18}$ O,  $\Delta_{47}$  and Mg/Ca, the combination of 641 642 these paleo-thermometers could provide us with more than just the estimates of past ocean 643 temperatures. Theoretically, based on the Gray and Evans equation (2019), the pH could be 644 reconstructed by (i) solving the Mg/Ca dependency to temperature using  $\Delta_{47}$ -derived 645 temperatures and (ii) correcting for salinity using either the salinity estimated from sea level 646 variations (Gray et al., 2019) or the salinity estimated from the combination of a thermometer ( $\Delta_{47}$ -temperature or TEX<sub>86</sub> as in Leutert et al. (2020)) and  $\delta^{18}$ O (to obtain the  $\delta^{18}$ O<sub>sw</sub>). 647

648 We tested such an approach with our core-top dataset. Firstly,  $\delta^{18}O_{sw}$  was 649 reconstructed by pairing  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\Delta_{47}$  and using the equation 1 (Kim and O'Neil, 1997). Then salinity was reconstructed using modern salinity- $\delta^{18}O_{sw}$  relationships (section 2.4; 650 651 supplementary material Fig. S4, at our site locations). Finally, we used our raw Mg/Ca, the 652 estimated salinities and the clumped isotope temperatures to reconstruct pH values from the 653 equations of Gray and Evans (2019). The reconstructed pH is compared to the pH extracted 654 from the GLODAP 2020 data set (Olsen et al., 2020), by plotting their differences against the 655 different species (Fig. 6). For each species, the differences between estimated- and atlas-pH 656  $(\Delta pH)$  present a relatively good agreement, within the error bars, especially for *G. ruber* (Fig. 657 6). Part of the differences can be explained by the inaccurate assumptions regarding the depth 658 of life and the optimal developmental season of foraminifera species, thus leading to incorrect 659 pH being extracted from the atlases. Additionally, another limitation of this approach is the 660 salinity reconstruction that we applied. It requires to assume that, in the past, the regional relationships between  $\delta^{18}O_{sw}$  and salinity were the same as today. It is likely that in past 661 662 climates, regional changes of evaporation/precipitation and isotopic fractionation during

atmospheric transport of water vapor, lead to changes in the  $\delta^{18}O_{sw}$  – salinity relationship. On a global scale, these changes may have also altered the impact of ice sheet waxing/waning on the seawater  $\delta^{18}O_{sw}$ -salinity relationship. The extent and amount of sea ice may have also decoupled this  $\delta^{18}O_{sw}$ - salinity relationship (LeGrande and Schmidt, 2011). A direct application of the  $\delta^{18}O$  and  $\Delta_{47}$  combination is therefore not straightforward and other methods of salinity reconstruction should be used (Gray and Evans, 2019; Leutert et al., 2020).

669 Thus, despite the theoretical potential of the approach described above, it should be 670 noted that the uncertainties in pH are large (at 2SE in Fig. 6), making the application of this 671 approach challenging. It is important to note that these uncertainties are particularly large 672 with respect to expected pH changes in the geological past (see discussion below). The 673 uncertainties in the reconstructed pH range between 0.23 and 0.39, which is too high for 674 useful paleoceanographic reconstruction and conversion to atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, 675 since it has been estimated that pH variations over G-IG cycles are on the order of 0.15 (e.g. 676 Hönisch and Hemming, 2005; Henehan et al., 2013), and by 0.2 units over the Miocene 677 (Leutert et al., 2020)). In terms of propagation of errors, the estimated pH uncertainties are 678 dominated by the uncertainties in the clumped isotope-derived temperatures. The  $\Delta_{47}$ 679 uncertainties need to be reduced by measuring more replicates or by improvements in mass 680 spectrometry.

681 Further studies and technical improvements are needed to improve species-specific 682 equations and better understand the dependence of Mg/Ca on salinity and pH, and to reduce 683 the amount of material needed for  $\Delta_{47}$  measurements and decrease temperature 684 uncertainties. It is also mandatory to improve our knowledge about past relationships 685 between  $\delta^{18}O_{sw}$  and salinity. While we are not able yet to fully benefit from the combination 686 of Mg/Ca,  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\Delta_{47}$  ratios, the systematic use of these proxies is nonetheless useful to 687 better understand these proxies, their biases and thus help their interpretations in 688 paleoceanographic studies. Pairing these paleothermometers with the boron isotope pH 689 proxy (e.g., Foster and Rae, 2016), which requires knowledge of temperature to calculate K<sub>B</sub> 690 and pH, would allow for multiple independent constraints on past variations in pH and 691 temperature.



**Figure 6:** the difference for all the species from our dataset (excluding *O. universa, G. bulloides* and *N. pachyderma*) between the extracted pH from the atlas (GLOPAD 2020) and the reconstructed pH, using the equations from Gray and Evans (2019) with the raw Mg/Ca, the  $\Delta_{47}$ -derived temperatures, and the combination of  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\Delta_{47}$ -derived temperatures to reconstruct the  $\delta^{18}$ Osw. The uncertainties correspond to the uncertainties associated with the reconstructed pH (2SE)

700

#### **5. CONCLUSION**

702

The Mg/Ca in 7 planktonic foraminifer species is affected by species, salinity and pH effects (Gray et al., 2018). A strong correlation exists between  $\Delta_{47}$  and Mg/Ca data when the later are corrected for salinity and pH effects with the  $\Delta_{47}$  values. The *G. bulloides*-Mg/Ca ratio appear to show anomalously high values compared to the Mg/Ca- $\Delta_{47}$  relationship observed for the other seven planktonic species. Another process(es) may affect Mg/Ca in this species and additional investigation is needed to better understand what controls high Mg/Ca we observe in this species.

The improved agreement observed between Mg/Ca- and  $\Delta_{47}$ -derived temperatures when Mg/Ca values are corrected for salinity and pH suggests that the foraminiferal clumped isotopes may only be temperature dependent. As such, the combination of the foraminiferal Mg/Ca,  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\Delta_{47}$ , could allow the temperature ( $\Delta_{47}$  thermometer), salinity (by combining  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\Delta_{47}$ , to reconstruct the  $\delta^{18}$ Osw and then, the salinity) and pH (the only remaining unknown) of the past seawater to be determined. However, at present, the application of this 116 later approach is nontrivial. In particular, the  $\Delta_{47}$ -temperature uncertainties result in pH 117 uncertainties higher than the expected pH changes in the geological record. Furthermore, the 118 estimation of past salinity is also not straightforward. Finally, the species-specific Mg/Ca-pH 119 sensitivity (Gray and Evans, 2019) adds an additional complication when applying the 120 approach to extinct species.

This paper is also present an update of the foraminiferal clumped-isotope calibration of Peral et al. (2018), that benefits from the latest methodological developments (data processing and standardization) and can be directly applied to palaeoceanographic studies.

- 724
- 725 References
- 726
- Anand P., Elderfield H. and Conte M. H. (2003) Calibration of Mg/Ca thermometry in planktonic foraminifera from a sediment trap time series. *Paleoceanography*, 18(2).
- Anderson, N. T., Kelson, J. R., Kele, S., Daëron, M., Bonifacie, M., Horita, J., T. J. Mackey, C. M.
  John, T. Kluge, P. Petschnig, A. B. Jost, K. W. Huntinton, S. M. Bernasconi, Bergmann, K.
  D. (2021). A unified clumped isotope thermometer calibration (0.5–1100° C) using
  carbonate-based standardization. *Geophysical Research Letters*, e2020GL092069.
- Barker S., Greaves M. and Elderfield H. (2003) A study of cleaning procedures used for
   foraminiferal Mg/Ca paleothermometry. Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems 4, 1–20.
- Bemis, B. E., Spero, H. J., Bijma, J., & Lea, D. W. (1998). Reevaluation of the oxygen isotopic
  composition of planktonic foraminifera: Experimental results and revised
  paleotemperature equations. *Paleoceanography*, *13*(2), 150-160.
- Bernasconi, S. M., Daëron, M., Bergmann, K. D., Bonifacie, M., Meckler, A. N., Affek, H. P.,
   (n.d.). InterCarb: A community effort to improve inter-laboratory standardization of the
   carbonate clumped isotope thermometer using carbonate standards. *Geochemistry, Geophysics* and
- 742
   Geosystems. https://doi.org/10.1002/essoar.10504430.410.1002/essoar.10504430.3e

   743
   ms
- Bernasconi S. M., Müller I. A., Bergmann K. D., Breitenbach S. F. M., Fernandez A., Hodell D.
  A., Jaggi M., Meckler A. N., Millan I. and Ziegler M. (2018) Reducing uncertainties in carbonate clumped isotope analysis through consistent 3 carbon- ate-based standardization. Geochem. Geophys, Geosyst.
- Boyle, E. and Keigwin, L. (1985). Comparison of Atlantic and Pacific Paleochemical Records for
   the Last 215,000 Years Changes in Deep Ocean Circulation and Chemical Inventories.
   Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 76:135–150.
- 751Brand W. A., Assonov S. S. and Coplen T. B. (2010) Correction for the 170 interference in752 $\delta(13C)$  measurements when analyzing CO2 with stable isotope mass spectrometry753(IUPAC Technical Report). Pure Appl. Chem. 82, 1719–1733. Available at:754https://www.degruyter.com/view/j/pac.2010.82.issue-8/pac-rep-09-01-05/pac-75509-01-05.xml.
- Breitenbach S. F. M., Mleneck-Vautravers M. J., Grauel A.-L., Lo L., Bernasconi S. M., Müller I.
   A., Rolfe J., Greaves M. and Hodell D. A. (2018) Coupled Mg/Ca and clumped isotope

- analyses of foraminifera provide consis- tent water tempera- tures. Geochim.
  Cosmochim. Acta 236, 283–296.
- Daëron, M., Blamart, D., Peral, M., & Affek, H. P. (2016). Absolute isotopic abundance ratios
   and the accuracy of Δ47 measurements. *Chemical Geology*, 442, 83-96.
- Daëron, M., Drysdale, R. N., Peral, M., Huyghe, D., Blamart, D., Coplen, T. B., ... & Zanchetta,
   G. (2019). Most Earth-surface calcites precipitate out of isotopic equilibrium. *Nature*

communications, 10(1), 1-7.

- 765Daëron,M. (2021).Full propagation of analytical uncertainties in Δ47766measurements. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 22(5), e2020GC009592.
- de Villiers S., Greaves M. and Elderfield H. (2002) An intensity ratio calibration method for the
  accurate determination of Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca of marine carbonates by ICP- AES.
  Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems 3, n/a-n/a. Available at:
  http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2001GC000169.
- Deuser, W. G., and E. H. Ross. 1989. Seasonally abundant planktonic foraminifera of the
   Saragossa Sea: Succession, deep-water fluxes, isotopic compositions, and
   paleoceanographic implications, J. Foraminiferal Res., 19, 268-293
- Eiler, J.M. (2007) "Clumped-isotope" geochemistry-the study of naturally-occurring, multiply substituted isotopologues. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 262, 309–327.
- Eiler, J.M. (2011) Paleoclimate reconstruction using carbonate clumped isotope thermometry.
  Quat. Sci. Rev. 30 (25–26), 3575–3588. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.
  quascirev.2011.09.001
- Elderfield H., Gansen G. (2000). Past temperature and *b*<sup>18</sup>O of surface ocean waters inferred
   from foraminiferal Mg/Ca ratios. *Nature*, 405, pp. 422-445
- Elderfield, H., Vautravers, M., & Cooper, M. (2002). The relationship between shell size and
   Mg/Ca, Sr/Ca, δ18O, and δ13C of species of planktonic foraminifera. *Geochemistry*,
   *Geophysics, Geosystems*, *3*(8), 1-13.
- Elderfield H., Yu J., Anand P., Kiefer T. and Nyland B. (2006) Calibrations for benthic
   foraminiferal Mg/Ca paleothermometry and the carbonate ion hypothesis. Earth Planet.
   Sci. Lett. 250, 633–649.
- 787 Elderfield H., Greaves M., Barker S., Hall I. R., Tripati A., Ferretti P., Crowhurst S., Booth L. and 788 Daunt C. (2010) A record of bottom water temperature and seawater d 18 O for the 789 Southern Ocean over the past 440 kyr based on Mg / Ca of benthic foraminiferal 790 Uvigerina spp. Quat. Sci. Rev. 29, 160-169. Available at: 791 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2009.07.013.
- Evans, D., Brierley, C., Raymo, M. E., Erez, J., & Müller, W. (2016). Planktic foraminifera shell
   chemistry response to seawater chemistry: Pliocene–Pleistocene seawater Mg/Ca,
   temperature and sea level change. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 438, 139-148.
- Evans, D., Sagoo, N., Renema, W., Cotton, L. J., Müller, W., Todd, J. A., ... & Affek, H. P. (2018).
  Eocene greenhouse climate revealed by coupled clumped isotope-Mg/Ca
  thermometry. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *115*(6), 1174-1179.
- Frez, J. (2003). The source of ions for biomineralization in foraminifera and their implications
  for paleoceanographic proxies. *Reviews in mineralogy and geochemistry*, 54(1), 115149.
- Fiebig, J., Daëron, M., Bernecker, M., Guo, W., Schneider, G., Boch, R., Bernasconi, S., Jautzy,
  J., & Dietzel, M. (2021). Calibration of the dual clumped isotope thermometer for
  carbonates. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, *312*, 235-256.

- 804Foster, G. L., & Rae, J. W. (2016). Reconstructing ocean pH with boron isotopes in805foraminifera. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 44, 207-237.
- Grauel A. L., Schmid T. W., Hu B., Bergami C., Capotondi L., Zhou L. and Bernasconi S. M. (2013)
   Calibration and application of the "clumped isotope" thermometer to foraminifera for
   high-resolution climate reconstructions. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 108, 125–140.
   Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2012.12.049.
- Gray, W. R., Weldeab, S., Lea, D. W., Rosenthal, Y., Gruber, N., Donner, B., & Fischer, G. (2018).
  The effects of temperature, salinity, and the carbonate system on Mg/Ca in
  Globigerinoides ruber (white): A global sediment trap calibration. Earth and Planetary
  Science Letters, 482, 607–620. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2017.11.026
- 814 Gray, W. R., & Evans, D. (2019). Nonthermal influences on Mg/Ca in planktonic foraminifera: 815 review of culture studies and application to the last glacial Α 816 maximum. Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology, 34(3), 306-315.
- Hönisch, B., & Hemming, N. G. (2005). Surface ocean pH response to variations in pCO2
  through two full glacial cycles. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *236*(1-2), 305-314.
- Henehan, M. J., Rae, J. W., Foster, G. L., Erez, J., Prentice, K. C., Kucera, M., ... & Elliott, T.
  (2013). Calibration of the boron isotope proxy in the planktonic foraminifera
  Globigerinoides ruber for use in palaeo-CO2 reconstruction. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 364*, 111-122.
- Kim S.-T. and O'Neil J. R. (1997) Equilibrium and nonequilibrium oxygen isotope effects in
   synthetic carbonates. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 61, 3461–3475. Available at:
   http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0016703797001695.
- Kisakürek, B., A. Eisenhauer, F. Böhm, D. Garbe-Schönberg, and J. Erez (2008), Controls on
  shell Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca in cultured planktonic foraminiferan, Globigerinoides ruber
  (white), Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 273, 260–269, doi:10.1016/ j.epsl.2008.06.026.
- Kissel C., Laj C., Mulder T., Wandres C. and Cremer M. (2009) The magnetic fraction: A tracer
  of deep water circulation in the North Atlantic. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 288, 444–454.
  https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2009.10.005.
- Kissel C., Van Toer A., Laj C., Cortijo E. and Michel E. (2013) Variations in the strength of the
  North Atlantic bottom water during Holocene. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 369, 248–259.
- Lea, D., T. Mashiotta, and H. Spero (1999), Controls on magnesium and strontium uptake in
   planktonic foraminifera determined by live culturing, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 63,
   2369–2379, doi:10.1016/S0016-7037(99)00197-0.
- Lea DW (2014) Elemental and isotopic proxies of past ocean temperatures. Treatise on Geochemistry, eds Holland HD, Turekian KK (Elsevier, Amsterdam), 2nd Ed, pp 373–397.
- Lear, C. H., Rosenthal, Y., & Slowey, N. (2002). Benthic foraminiferal Mg/Ca paleothermometry: A revised core-top calibration. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica* Acta, 66(19), 3375-3387.
- LeGrande A. N. and Schmidt G. A. (2006) Global gridded data set of the oxygen isotopic
   composition in seawater. Geophys. Res. Lett. 33, 1–5.
- LeGrande, A. N., and Schmidt G. A. (2011), Water isotopologues as a quantitative paleosalinity
   proxy, Paleoceanography, 26, PA3225, doi:10.1029/2010PA002043
- Leutert, T. J., Auderset, A., Martínez-García, A., Modestou, S., & Meckler, A. N. (2020). Coupled
  Southern Ocean cooling and Antarctic ice sheet expansion during the middle
  Miocene. *Nature Geoscience*, *13*(9), 634-639.

849Marchitto, T. M., Bryan, S. P., Curry, W. B., & McCorkle, D. C. (2007). Mg/Ca temperature850calibrationforthebenthicforaminiferCibicidoides851pachyderma. Paleoceanography, 22(1).

- Marchitto T. M., Curry W. B., Lynch-Stieglitz J., Bryan S. P., Cobb K. M. and Lund D. C. (2014)
   Improved oxygen isotope temperature calibrations for cosmopolitan benthic foramini fera. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 130, 1–11. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.gca.2013.12.034.
- Mathien-Blard E. and Bassinot F. (2009) Salinity bias on the foraminifera Mg/Ca thermometry:
   Correction procedure and implications for past ocean hydrographic reconstructions.
   Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems 10.
- Meckler A. N., Ziegler M., Millán M. I., Breitenbach S. F. M. and Bernasconi S. M. (2014) Long term performance of the Kiel carbonate device with a new correction scheme for
   clumped isotope measurements. Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 28, 1705–1715.
- Meinicke, N., Ho, S. L., Hannisdal, B., Nürnberg, D., Tripati, A., Schiebel, R., & Meckler, A. N.
  (2020). A robust calibration of the clumped isotopes to temperature relationship for
  foraminifers. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, *270*, 160-183.
- Meinicke, N., Reimi, M. A., Ravelo, A. C., & Meckler, A. N. Coupled Mg/Ca and clumped isotope
   measurements indicate lack of substantial mixed layer cooling in the Western Pacific
   Warm Pool during the last~ 5 million years. *Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology*,
   e2020PA004115.
- Mulitza, S., Boltovskoy, D., Donner, B., Meggers, H., Paul, A., Wefer, G. (2003) Temperature:
   δ180 relationships of planktonic foraminifera collected from surface waters.
   *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology,* 202(1–2), 143-152.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-0182(03)00633-3.
- Numberger, L., Hemleben, C., Hoffmann, R., Mackensen, A., Schulz, H., Wunderlich, J. M., &
  Kucera, M. (2009). Habitats, abundance patterns and isotopic signals of morphotypes of
  the planktonic foraminifer Globigerinoides ruber (d'Orbigny) in the eastern
  Mediterranean Sea since the Marine Isotopic Stage 12. Marine Micropaleontology, 73(12), 90-104.
- Nürnberg, D., J. Bijma, and C. Hemleben (1996), Assessing the reliability of magnesium in
  foraminiferal calcite as a proxy for water mass temperatures, Geochim. Cosmochim.
  Acta, 60, 803–814, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(95)00446-7.
- Olsen, A., Lange, N., Key, R M., Tanhua, T., Bittig, H C., Kozyr, A., Álvarez, M., Azetsu-Scott, K.,
  Becker, S., Brown, P J., Carter, B R., da Cunha, L., Feely, R A., van Heuven, S., Hoppema,
  M., Ishii, M., Jeansson, E., Jutterström, S., Landa, C S., Lauvset, S K., Michaelis, P., Murata,
  A., Pérez, F F., Pfeil, B., Schirnick, C., Steinfeldt, R., Suzuki, T., Tilbrook, B., Velo, A.,
  Wanninkhof, R., Woosley, R. J. (2020). An updated version of the global interior ocean
  biogeochemical data product, GLODAPv2. 2020. *Earth System Science Data*, *12*(4), 36533678.
- Pang, X., Bassinot, F., & Sepulcre, S. (2020). Cleaning method impact on the Mg/Ca of three
   planktonic foraminifer species: A downcore study along a depth transect. *Chemical Geology*, 549, 119690.
- 890 Oomori, T., Kaneshima, H., Maezato, Y., Kitano, Y., 1987. Distribution coefficient of Mg2+ ions
   891 between calcite and solution at 10–50°C. Marine Chemistry 20, pp. 327-336.
- Osborne, E. B., Umling, N. E., Bizimis, M., Buckley, W., Sadekov, A., Tappa, E., ... & Thunell, R.
  C. (2020). A sediment trap evaluation of B/Ca as a carbonate system proxy in asymbiotic
  and nondinoflagellate hosting planktonic foraminifera. *Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology*, 35(2), e2019PA003682.

- Passey, B. H., & Henkes, G. A. (2012). Carbonate clumped isotope bond reordering and
   geospeedometry. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *351*, 223-236.
- Peral M., Daëron M., Blamart D., Bassinot F., Dewilde F., Smialkowski N., Isguder G., Jorissen
  F., Kissel C., Michel E., Vázquez Riveiros, N. and Waelbroeck C. (2018) ScienceDirect
  Updated calibration of the clumped isotope thermometer in planktonic and benthic
  foraminifera. 239, 1–16.
- Peral, M., Blamart, D., Bassinot, F., Daëron, M., Dewilde, F., Rebaubier, H., Nomade, S., Girone,
  A., Marimo, M., Maiorano, P., & Ciaranfi, N. (2020). Changes in temperature and oxygen
  isotopic composition of Mediterranean water during the Mid-Pleistocene transition in
  the Montalbano Jonico section (southern Italy) using the clumped-isotope
  thermometer. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 544*, 109603.
- 907 Petersen, S. V., Defliese, W.F., Saenger, C., Daëron, M., John, C. M., Huntington, K. W., Kelson, 908 J. R., Bernasconi, S. M., Colman, A. S., Kluge, T., Olack, G. A., Schauer, A. J., Bajnai, D., 909 Bonifacie, M., Breitenbach, S. F. M., Fiebig, J., Fernandez, A. B., Henkes, G. A., Hodell, D., 910 Katz, A., Kele, S., Lohmann, K. C., Passey, B. H., Peral, M., Petrizzo, D. A., Rosenheim, B. 911 E., Tripati, A., Venturelli, R., Young, E. D., Wacker U., Winkelstern, I. Z. 2019. Effects of 912 Improved <sup>17</sup>O Correction on Inter-Laboratory Agreement in Clumped Isotope 913 Calibrations, Estimates of Mineral-Specific Offsets, and Acid Fractionation Factor 914 Temperature Dependence. Special Issue of Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 20, 915 3495 – 3519
- 916 Piasecki, A., Bernasconi, S. M., Grauel, A., Hannisdal, B., Ho, S. L., Leutert, T. J., et al. 917 (2019). Application clumped isotope thermometry of to benthic 918 foraminifera. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 919 2018GC007961. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GC007961
- Regenberg, M., Steph, S., Nürnberg, D., Tiedemann, R., & Garbe-Schönberg, D. (2009).
   Calibrating Mg/Ca ratios of multiple planktonic foraminiferal species with δ180 calcification temperatures: Paleothermometry for the upper water column. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 278(3-4), 324-336.
- Rebotim, A., Voelker, A. H., Jonkers, L., Waniek, J. J., Meggers, H., Schiebel, R., ... & Kucera, M.
  (2017). Factors controlling the depth habitat of planktonic foraminifera in the
  subtropical eastern North Atlantic. *Biogeosciences*, *14*(4), 827-859.
- Retailleau, S., Schiebel, R., & Howa, H. (2011). Population dynamics of living planktic
  foraminifers in the hemipelagic southeastern Bay of Biscay. *Marine Micropaleontology*, *80*(3-4), 89-100.
- Roche, D.M., C. Waelbroeck, B. Metcalfe, T. Caley, 2018. FAME (v1. 0): a simple module to
  simulate the effect of planktonic foraminifer species-specific habitat on their oxygen
  isotopic content. Geoscientific Model Development 11(9), 3587-3603.
- Rodríguez-Sanz, L., Bernasconi, S.M., Marino, G. *et al.* Author Correction: Penultimate
   deglacial warming across the Mediterranean Sea revealed by clumped isotopes in
   foraminifera. *Sci Rep* 11, 17511 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-96895-3
- Rosenthal, Y., Boyle, E. A., & Slowey, N. (1997). Temperature control on the incorporation of
  magnesium, strontium, fluorine, and cadmium into benthic foraminiferal shells from
  Little Bahama Bank: Prospects for thermocline paleoceanography. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, *61*(17), 3633-3643.
- Schauble E. A., Ghosh P. and Eiler J. M. (2006) Preferential formation of 13C-18O bonds in
  carbonate minerals, estimated using first-principles lattice dynamics. Geochim.
  Cosmochim. Acta 70, 2510–2529.

- Shackleton N. (1967) Oxygen isotope analyses and Pleistocene temperatures re-assessed.
  Nature 215, 15–17.
- Shackleton N.J. (1974) Attainment of isotopic equilibrium between ocean water and
  benthonic foraminifera genus *Uvigerina*: isotopic changes in the ocean during the last
  glacial. Les méthodes quantitatives d'étude des variations du climat au cours du
  Pleistocène, Gif-sur-Yvette. Colloque international du CNRS, 219, pp. 203-210
- Spero H.J., Bijma J., Lea D.W., Bermis B.E. (1997) Effect of seawater carbonate concentration
   on foraminiferal carbon and oxygen isotopes. *Nature*, 390, 497-500.
- Spero H.J., Bijma J., Lea D.W., Russell, A.D. (1999) Deconvolving glacial ocean carbonate
  chemistry from the planktonic foraminifera carbon isotope record.
  F. Abrantes, A.C. Mix (Eds.), Reconstructing Ocean History: A Window into the
  Future, Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York, 329-342
- Steinke, S., Chiu, H. Y., Yu, P. S., Shen, C. C., Löwemark, L., Mii, H. S., & Chen, M. T. (2005).
   Mg/Ca ratios of two Globigerinoides ruber (white) morphotypes: Implications for
   reconstructing past tropical/subtropical surface water conditions. Geochemistry,
   Geophysics, Geosystems, 6(11).
- Stewart, J. A., Christopher, S. J., Kucklick, J. R., Bordier, L., Chalk, T. B., Dapoigny, A., Douville,
  E., Foster, G. L., Gray, W. R., Greenop, R., Gutjahr, M., Hemsing, F., Henehan, M. J.,
  Holdship, P., Hsieh, Y., Kolevica, A., Lin, Y., Mawbey, E. M., Rae, J. W. B., Robinson, L. F.,
  Shuttleworth, R., You, C., Zhang, S., & Day, R. D. (2021). NIST RM 8301 boron isotopes in
  marine carbonate (simulated coral and foraminifera solutions): inter-laboratory δ11B
  and trace element ratio value assignment. *Geostandards and Geoanalytical Research*, 45(1), 77-96.
- Stolper D. A. and Eiler J. M. (2016) Constraints on the formation and diagenesis of
   phosphorites using carbonate clumped isotopes. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 181, 238–
   259.
- Tierney, J. E., Malevich, S. B., Gray, W., Vetter, L., & Thirumalai, K. (2019). Bayesian calibration
   of the Mg/Ca paleothermometer in planktic foraminifera. Paleoceanography and
   Paleoclimatology, 34, 2005–2030. https://do.i org/10.1029/2019PA003744
- Tripati A. K., Eagle R. A., Thiagarajan N., Gagnon A. C., Bauch H., Halloran P. R. and Eiler J. M.
  (2010) 13C-18O isotope signatures and "clumped isotope" thermometry in foraminifera
  and coccoliths. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 74, 5697–5717. Available at:
  http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2010.07.006.
- Tripati, A. K., Hill, P. S., Eagle, R. A., Mosenfelder, J. L., Tang, J., Schauble, E. A., ... & Henry, D.
  (2015). Beyond temperature: Clumped isotope signatures in dissolved inorganic carbon
  species and the influence of solution chemistry on carbonate mineral
  composition. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, *166*, 344-371.
- Urey, H.C., Lowenstam, H.A., Epstein, S. and McKinney, C.R. (1951): Measurements of
   paleotemperatures and temperatures of the Upper Cretaceous of England, Denmark
   and the southeastern United States. Bull. Geo. Soc. of Am., 62: 399-416.
- Vázquez Riveiros N., Govin A., Waelbroeck C., Mackensen A., Michel E., Moreira S., Bouinot T.,
   Caillon N., Orgun A. and Brandon M. (2016) Mg/Ca thermometry in planktic foramini fera: improving paleotemperature estimations for G. bulloides and N. pachyderma left.
   Geochem. Geophy. Geosy. 17, 1249–1264. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GC006234
- 987 Watkins, J. M., & Hunt, J. D. (2015). A process-based model for non-equilibrium clumped 988 isotope effects in carbonates. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *432*, 152-165.

- Whitaker J., Khrulev C., Huard D., Paulik C., Hoyer S., Mohr F. A., Marquardt C., Couwenberg
  B., Bohnet M., Brett M., Hetland R., Korenčiak M., Onu K., Helmus J. J., Hamman J.,
  Barna A., Koziol B., Kluyver T., May R., Smrekar J., Barker C., Davar G., Cournapeau
  D., da Silva D., Gohlke C., Kinoshita B. P. (2019). Unidata/netcdf4-pythin: version
  1.4.3.2 release (v1.4.3.2). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2592291
- Yu, J.M., Day, J., Greaves, M., Elderfield, H., 2005. Determination of multiple element/calcium
   ratios in foraminiferal calcite by quadrupole ICP-MS. Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 6,
   Q08P01. doi:10.1029/2005GC000964.
- 22 Zeebe R.E. (1999). An explanation of the effect of seawater carbonate concentration on
   32 foraminiferal oxygen isotopes. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 63, 2001-2007.
- Zweng, M.M, J.R. Reagan, J.I. Antonov, R.A. Locarnini, A.V. Mishonov, T.P. Boyer, H.E. Garcia,
  O.K. Baranova, D.R. Johnson, D.Seidov, M.M. Biddle, 2013. *World Ocean Atlas 2013, Volume 2: Salinity.* S. Levitus, Ed., A. Mishonov Technical Ed.; NOAA Atlas NESDIS 74, 39
  pp.

#### 1003 Author contributions

MP and FB have designed the study. MP wrote the manuscript, and all co-authors help in the writing. MD provided the python code to reprocess the clumped-isotope calibration. FB, DB, MD and WG provided assistance in the interpretation of the clumped-isotope and/or Mg/Ca data. JB, FJ, CK, EM and CW helped in the selection of the marine sediment cores and foraminifer species. MP hand-picked the foraminiferal samples. MP and HR cleaned the samples for the Mg/Ca measurements and HR and WG performed the Mg/Ca measurements.

1010

### 1011 Acknowledgements

1012 All authors thank the editor for his patience in the lengthy process of reviewing this article and

1013 the 3 anonymous reviewers for their really useful comments that significantly improve the

- 1014 manuscript. MP thanks the CEA for the financially support during her 3-years PhD fellowship
- 1015 2015-2018.

Cores	Latitude (°)	Longitude (°)	Water depth (m)	Species	Core-top cal. yrs BP (95% CL)	References
MOCOSEDst1	73.04	-11.93	1839	Cibicides wuellerstorfi; N. pachyderma s	6317 (+150/-94) *	(1)
MD04-2720	-49.13	71.36	750	N. pachyderma d	n.a.	
MD12-3401	-44.69	80.4	3445	G. bulloides	< 4000 **	(2)
MD95-2014	60.59	-22.08	2397	G. bulloides	715 (+94/-149) *	(1)
MD08-3182Q	52.71	-35.94	1355	N. pachyderma s; G. bulloides	500 (+40/–53) *	(3)
MD03-2680Q	61.06	-24.55	1812	N. pachyderma d	402	(4)
2FPA1	43.67	-2.00	664	Uvigerina mediterranea	< 4000 ***	(1)
SU90I-03	40.05	-30	2475	G. bulloides	2013 (+125/-120) *	(1)
MD08-3179Q	37.86	-30.3	2036	G. ruber; G. inflata; G. truncatulinoides s; G. truncatulinoides d	4403 (+153/-121) *	(1)
MD12-3426Q	19.73	114.61	3630	G. menardii; O. universa	1755 (+159/-139) *	(1)
MD00-2360	-20.08	112.67	980	G. menardii; O. universa; G. ruber	3622 (+135/-137) *	(1)
MD02-2577Q	28.84	-86.67	4076	G. menardii; O. universa; G. ruber	1107 (+110/-105) *	(1)

## Table 1: Core top locations and water depth with species considered in this study and chronological.

\* Age determined by radiocarbon dating

\*\* Age determined by stratigraphic control

\*\*\* Age determined by presence of Rose Bengal

(1) Peral et al., 2018; (2) Vazquez Riveiros et al., 2016; (3) Kissel et al., 2013 and (4) Kissel et al., 2009

**Table 2:** Summary of the main results used in this study. The samples/species are represented with the optimal size fraction. The raw Mg/Ca values are presented, as well as the  $\delta^{18}$ Oc and the recalculated  $\Delta_{47}$  values with their associated uncertainties at 1SE. We also present the corrected Mg/Ca values for salinity and pH. Seawater salinity from WOA and pH from GLODAP 2020 (Olsen et al., 2020), are reported.

Corro	Creation	Optimal	δ18Oc	SE	Δ47	SE	Mg/Ca	SE	Mg/Ca	SE	рН	SE
Core	species	Size	VPDB	(‰)	(%	<b>60</b> )	ra	w	correc	ted	recons	tructed
MD08-3182	G. bulloides	250-315	1.77	0.1	0.6489	0.0074	2.10	0.006	2.24	0.309	7.941	0.27
MD08-3182	G. bulloides	315-355	1.87	0.1	0.665	0.0074	2.06	0.006	2.20	0.300	7.571	0.28
MD12-3401	G. bulloides	250-315	2.04	0.1	0.6626	0.0075	1.45	0.006	1.64	0.144	8.041	0.23
MD95-2014	G. bulloides	315-355	2.13	0.1	0.6492	0.0074	4.69	0.006	4.86	0.211	7.044	0.39
SU90-03	G. bulloides	250-315	1.59	0.1	0.6429	0.0063	3.30	0.006	3.44	0.427	7.570	0.30
MD08-3179	G. inflata	355-400	1.19	0.1	0.6286	0.0074	2.03	0.008	2.10	0.328	8.283	0.31
MD08-3179	G. inflata	400-450	1.08	0.1	0.6219	0.0073	1.68	0.008	1.76	0.339	8.747	0.29
MD08-3179	G. inflata	450-500	1.24	0.1	0.6247	0.0085	2.00	0.008	2.08	0.323	8.429	0.35
MD00-2360	G. menardi menardi	355-400	-0.37	0.1	0.5977	0.0075	2.85	0.004	3.15	0.354	8.741	0.37
MD00-2360	G. menardi menardi	400-450	-0.29	0.1	0.619	0.0074	2.55	0.004	2.84	0.346	8.170	0.34
MD00-2360	G. ruber	250-315	-1.76	0.1	0.5917	0.0058	4.34	0.008	4.88	0.643	8.262	0.32
MD02-2577	G. ruber	250-315	-1.33	0.1	0.5959	0.0073	4.59	0.008	4.71	0.813	8.099	0.37
MD02-2577	G. ruber	315-355	-1.46	0.1	0.5977	0.0073	4.63	0.008	4.75	0.847	8.029	0.37
MD08-3179	G. ruber	250-315	-0.08	0.1	0.6167	0.0073	3.21	0.008	3.37	0.597	7.988	0.33
MD08-3179	G. truncatulinoides (d.)	355-400	1.05	0.1	0.6424	0.0074	1.97	0.006	2.05	0.345	7.926	0.25
MD08-3179	G. truncatulinoides (d.)	400-450	1.14	0.1	0.6251	0.0074	2.09	0.006	2.16	0.336	8.331	0.34
MD08-3179	G. truncatulinoides (d.)	450-500	1.07	0.1	0.6278	0.0074	2.06	0.006	2.14	0.342	8.268	0.33
MD08-3179	G. truncatulinoides (s.)	355-400	1.08	0.1	0.638	0.0074	1.91	0.006	1.99	0.341	8.080	0.28
MD08-3179	G. truncatulinoides (s.)	400-450	1.07	0.1	0.6343	0.0074	1.90	0.006	1.98	0.342	8.184	0.30
MD08-3179	G. truncatulinoides (s.)	450-500	1.17	0.1	0.6342	0.0074	1.72	0.006	1.79	0.332	8.312	0.31
MD03-2680	N. pachyderma (d.)	200-250	1.73	0.1	0.647	0.0074	1.16	0.008	1.184	0.008		
MD04-2720	N. pachyderma (d.)	200-250	3.24	0.1	0.676	0.0075	0.76	0.008	0.87	0.008		
MD08-3182	N. pachyderma (s.)	200-250	1.76	0.1	0.6504	0.0074	1.09	0.006	1.17	0.006		
MOCOSED	N. pachyderma (s.)	200-250	2.86	0.1	0.6678	0.0074	0.90	0.006	1.15	0.006		

- 1 Table 3: Summary of all the Mg/Ca calibration used in this study: mono-specific species
- 2 calibrations, calibration with salinity and pH corrections and the salinity and pH corrected

# 3 multi-species calibration

		Mg	/Ca = B*exp	o(A*T)	
		Values	SE		
	А	0.0913	0.003		Recalculated in this study
	В	0.6109	0.002		
		Mono-	-specific cal	librations	
		Values		Size fraction	
	Δ	0.091	0.012	Size fraction	
G. menardii	В	0.36	0.31	355-400	Regenberg et al., 2009
	A	0.085	0.002		
	В	1.38	0.05	NA	Lea et al., 1999
O. universa	A	0.09			
	В	0.595	0.042	350-500	
	A	0.09		250-350	Anand et al., 2003
	В	0.449	0.006		
G. ruber	A	0.09			
	В	0.395	0.009	350-500	
	A	0.084	0.006		
N. pachyderma s	В	0.58	0.084	200-250	Vasquez Riveiros et al., 2016
	A	0.09			
G. inflata	В	0.299	0.005	350-500	
	A	0.09	0.000		
à. truncatulinoides d.	В	0.359	0.008	350-500	Anand et al., 2003
	A	0.09	0.000		
i. truncatulinoides s.	B	0.05	0.008	350-500	
	Δ	0.081	0.005		Elderfield and Ganssen 2000
	B	0.81	0.005	250-315	North Atlantic
G. bulloides	Δ	0.061	0.005		Elderfield and Ganssen 2000
	B	0.996	0.038	250-315	Southern Ocean
	Mono-sp	ecific calibra	ations with	SSS and pH corr	ections
	M	g/Ca=exp(A*	*(S - B) + C*	T + D*(pH - E) + F	=
			(0 0) 0		
		Values	SE	. ,	
	A	Values 0.036	SE 0.006		
	A B	Values 0.036 35	SE 0.006		
C subar	A B C	Values 0.036 35 0.061	SE 0.006 0.005		
G. ruber	A B C D	Values 0.036 35 0.061 -0.87	SE 0.006 0.005 0.1		
G. ruber	A B C D E	Values 0.036 35 0.061 -0.87 8	SE 0.006 0.005 0.1 0		
G. ruber	A B C D E F	Values 0.036 35 0.061 -0.87 8 0.03	SE 0.006 0.005 0.1 0 0.03		
G. ruber	A B C D E F A	Values 0.036 35 0.061 -0.87 8 0.03 0.036	SE 0.006 0.1 0 0.03 0.006		
G. ruber	A B C D E F A B	Values 0.036 35 0.061 -0.87 8 0.03 0.036 35	SE 0.006 0.005 0.1 0 0.03 0.006		
G. ruber	A B C D E F A B C	Values 0.036 35 0.061 -0.87 8 0.03 0.036 35 0.061	SE 0.006 0.005 0.1 0 0.03 0.006 0.005		
G. ruber G. bulloides	A B C D E F A B C D	Values 0.036 35 0.061 -0.87 8 0.03 0.036 35 0.061 -0.88	SE         0.006           0.005         0.1           0         0.03           0.006         0.005           0.12         0		
G. ruber G. bulloides	A B C D E F A B C D E	Values 0.036 35 0.061 -0.87 8 0.03 0.036 35 0.061 -0.88 8	SE         0.006           0.1         0           0.03         0.006           0.005         0.12		
G. ruber G. bulloides	A B C D E F A B C D E F	Values 0.036 35 0.061 -0.87 8 0.03 0.036 35 0.061 -0.88 8 0.21	SE         0.006           0.1         0           0.03         0.006           0.005         0.12           0         0.025		Gray and Evans. 2019
G. ruber G. bulloides	A B C D E F A B C D E F A	Values 0.036 35 0.061 -0.87 8 0.03 0.036 35 0.061 -0.88 8 0.21 0.036	SE         0.006           0.1         0           0.03         0.006           0.005         0.12           0         0.025           0.12         0           0.04         0.006		Gray and Evans. 2019
G. ruber G. bulloides	A B C D E F A B C D E F A B	Values 0.036 35 0.061 -0.87 8 0.03 0.036 35 0.061 -0.88 8 0.21 0.036 35	Image: constraint of the second sec		Gray and Evans. 2019
G. ruber G. bulloides	A B C D E F A B C D E F A B C	Values 0.036 35 0.061 -0.87 8 0.03 0.036 35 0.061 -0.88 8 0.21 0.036 35 0.036 35 0.061	SE         0.006           0.1         0           0.03         0.006           0.005         0.12           0         0.04           0.006         0.005		Gray and Evans. 2019
G. ruber G. bulloides O. universa	A B C D E F A B C D E F A B C D E F D C D D E T C D D E T D C D D E T D C D D E T D D C D D D D C D D D D D D D D D D D	Values 0.036 35 0.061 -0.87 8 0.03 0.036 35 0.061 -0.88 8 0.21 0.036 35 0.061 -0.51	Image: constraint of the second sec		Gray and Evans. 2019
G. ruber G. bulloides O. universa	A B C D E F A B C D E F A B C D E E E	Values 0.036 35 0.061 -0.87 8 0.03 0.036 35 0.061 -0.88 8 0.21 0.036 35 0.061 -0.51 8	Image: constraint of the second sec		Gray and Evans. 2019
G. ruber G. bulloides O. universa	A B C D E F A B C D E F A B C D E F	Values 0.036 35 0.061 -0.87 8 0.03 0.036 35 0.061 -0.88 8 0.21 0.036 35 0.061 -0.51 8 0.77	Image: constraint of the second sec		Gray and Evans. 2019
G. ruber G. bulloides O. universa	A B C D E F A B C D E F A B C D E F A	Values 0.036 35 0.061 -0.87 8 0.03 0.036 35 0.061 -0.88 8 0.21 0.036 35 0.061 -0.51 8 0.77 0.036	Image: constraint of the second sec		Gray and Evans. 2019
G. ruber G. bulloides O. universa	A B C D E F A B C D E F A B C D E F A B C D E F A B C D E F A B C A B C A B C A B C A B C A B C A B C A B C A A A B C A A B C A A B C A A A B C A A B C A A A A	Values 0.036 35 0.061 -0.87 8 0.03 0.036 35 0.061 -0.88 8 0.21 0.036 35 0.061 -0.51 8 0.77 0.036 35	Image: constraint of the second sec		Gray and Evans. 2019
G. ruber G. bulloides O. universa	A B C D E F A B C D E F A B C D E F A B C D E F A B C D E F A B C D E F A B C D E F A B C D E F A B C D E F A B C D E F A B C D E F A B C D E F A B C D E F A B C D E F A B C D E F F A B C D E F A B C D E F F A B C D E F F A B C D E F F A B C D E F F A B C D E F F A B C D E F F A B B C D E F F A B B C D E F F A B B C D D E F F B B C D D E F F B B C D D E F F B B C D D E F F B B C D D E F B B C D D E F B C D D E B B C D D E B B C D D E B B C D D E B B C D D E B B C D D E B B C D D E B B C D D B B C D D E B B C D D B B C D D B B C D D B B C D D B B C D D B B C D D B B C D D B B C D D B B B C D D B B B C D D B B B C D D B B B C D D B B B B	Values 0.036 35 0.061 -0.87 8 0.03 0.036 35 0.061 -0.88 8 0.21 0.036 35 0.061 -0.51 8 0.77 0.036 35	Image: constraint of the second sec		Gray and Evans. 2019
G. ruber G. bulloides O. universa Multi-species	A B C D E F A B C D E F A B C D E F A B C C	Values 0.036 35 0.061 -0.87 8 0.03 0.036 35 0.061 -0.88 8 0.21 0.036 35 0.061 -0.51 8 0.77 0.036 35 0.036 35 0.061	(0         2)         5           SE         0.006           0.01         0           0         0.03           0.006         0.005           0.12         0           0.004         0.006           0.005         0.11           0         0.48           0.006         0.005		Gray and Evans. 2019
G. ruber G. bulloides O. universa Multi-species	A B C D E F A B C D E F A B C D E F A B C D E F C D C D C D C D C D C D C D C D C D C	Values 0.036 35 0.061 -0.87 8 0.03 0.036 35 0.061 -0.88 8 0.21 0.036 35 0.061 -0.51 8 0.77 0.036 35 0.061 -0.51 8 0.77 0.036 35 0.061 -0.51 8 0.77 0.036 35 0.061 -0.88 0.21 0.036 0.03 0.036 0.03 0.036 0.03 0.036 0.03 0.036 0.03 0.036 0.036 0.03 0.036 0.051 0.036 0.051 0.036 0.051 0.036 0.036 0.077 0.036 0.036 0.036 0.077 0.036 0.036 0.051 0.036 0.051 0.036 0.051 0.036 0.051 0.036 0.051 0.036 0.051 0.051 0.056 0.051 0.051 0.056 0.051 0.056 0.051 0.056 0.051 0.056 0.051 0.056 0.051 0.056 0.051 0.056 0.056 0.057 0.056 0.056 0.056 0.057 0.056 0.056 0.056 0.056 0.056 0.056 0.056 0.056 0.056 0.056 0.057 0.056 0.056 0.057 0.056 0.056 0.056 0.057 0.056 0.057 0.056 0.056 0.057 0.056 0.056 0.057 0.056 0.057 0.056 0.057 0.056 0.056 0.057 0.056 0.057 0.056 0.056 0.057 0.056 0.057 0.056 0.056 0.057 0.056 0.057 0.056 0.056 0.057 0.056 0.057 0.056 0.056 0.057 0.057 0.057 0.056 0.057 0.	(0         2)         5           SE         0.006           0.01         0           0         0.03           0.006         0.005           0.12         0           0.004         0.006           0.005         0.11           0         0.48           0.006         0.005           0.11         0           0.48         0.006		Gray and Evans. 2019
G. ruber G. bulloides O. universa Multi-species	A B C D E F A B C D E F A B C D E F A B C D E F C D E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	Values 0.036 35 0.061 -0.87 8 0.03 0.036 35 0.061 -0.88 8 0.21 0.036 35 0.061 -0.51 8 0.77 0.036 35 0.061 -0.51 8 0.77 0.036 35 0.061 -0.51 8 0.77 0.036 35 0.061 -0.51 8 0.77 0.036 35 0.061 -0.51 8 0.77 0.036 35 0.061 -0.51 8 0.77 0.036 35 0.061 -0.88 8 0.21 0.036 35 0.061 -0.88 8 0.21 0.036 35 0.061 -0.88 8 0.21 0.036 35 0.061 -0.88 8 0.21 0.036 35 0.061 -0.51 8 0.77 0.036 35 0.061 -0.51 8 0.77 0.036 35 0.061 -0.51 8 0.77 0.036 35 0.061 -0.51 8 0.77 0.036 35 0.061 -0.51 8 0.77 0.036 35 0.061 -0.51 8 0.77 0.036 35 0.061 -0.51 8 0.77 0.036 35 0.061 -0.51 8 0.77 0.036 35 0.061 -0.73 8 0.061 -0.73 8	(0         2)         5           SE         0.006           0.01         0           0         0.03           0.006         0.005           0.12         0           0.004         0.006           0.005         0.11           0         0.48           0.005         0.011           0         0.48           0.005         0.07           0         0.07		Gray and Evans. 2019



Microtubes, gloves, fume hood, microscope, 4 beaker (for water & dirty water and for methanol & dirty methanol), pipette and pipette tip (change for each sample or each step)

Figure S1: summary of the cleaning protocol steps for clumped isotope in foraminifera



Figure S2: reconstructed Mg/Ca temperatures using the original multi-species calibration of Anand et al. (2003) compared to reconstructed  $\delta^{18}$ O temperatures, using Kim and O'Neil (1997) (a) and  $\Delta_{47}$ -derived temperatures, using recalculated Peral et al. (2018) (b) for 9 planktic foraminifera. The linear regressions are the dotted black lines, a line 1:1 is in black, and the uncertainties are at 2 SE. The Mg/Ca-derived temperatures are systematically higher than the isotopic-derived temperatures.

15



Figure S3: reconstructed Mg/Ca temperatures using the recalculated multi-species calibration of Anand et al. (2003) compared to reconstructed  $\delta^{18}$ O temperatures, using Kim and O'Neil (1997) (a) and reconstructed Mg/Ca derived temperatures using the most adequate monospecific calibrations compared to reconstructed  $\delta^{18}$ O temperatures, using Kim and O'Neil (1997) and Bemis et al. (1998) calibration for *G. bulloides* (b). The linear regressions are the dotted black lines, the 1:1 line is the black solid line, and the uncertainties are at 2 SE.



Figure S4: relationship between the seawater salinity from WOA 13 and the  $\delta^{18}$ O of the seawater from GISS atlas for all our samples defined as core/species. For benthic foraminifera we used the available bottom data and planktonic foraminifera we integrated data withing the column water corresponding to the known living depths of each specie (see details in Peral et al., 2018). The linear regressions are the dotted black lines, a line 1:1 is in black, and the uncertainties are at 2 SE.

Figure 1: Map of core-top location used in this study, with the mean annual SST from WOA13

34 **Figure 2:** Comparison of temperature estimates obtained on 9 planktonic species. Top panels: 35 reconstructed Mg/Ca temperatures using the recalculated multi-species calibration of Anand et al. (2003) compared to reconstructed  $\delta^{18}$ O temperatures, using Kim and O'Neil (1997) (a) 36 37 and  $\Delta_{47}$ -derived temperatures, using recalculated Peral et al. (2018) (b). Bottom panel: 38 reconstructed Mg/Ca derived temperatures using the most adequate mono-specific 39 calibrations compared to reconstructed  $\delta^{18}$ O temperatures, using Kim and O'Neil (1997) (c) 40 and  $\Delta_{47}$ -derived temperatures, using recalculated Peral et al. (2018) calibration (d). Dotted 41 black lines are linear regressions, the black solid lines are the 1:1 line. Uncertainties are at 2SE. 42

Figure 3: Comparison of our recalculated foraminiferal  $\Delta_{47}$  values with raw Mg/Ca values (uncorrected) (a), with corrected Mg/Ca for salinity only (b), and with corrected Mg/Ca for salinity and pH (c). The Mg/Ca values are corrected using the equations from Gray and Evans (2019), the salinity and pH from the atlas and the oxygen isotopic temperatures. The red dotted logarithmic regressions are plotted for all the plots, including *G. bulloides* and the black regressions are without *G. bulloides*. All the uncertainties are at 2SE.

49

Figure 4: Recalculated Δ<sub>47</sub> values (mean and 2SE) compared to oxygen isotopic temperatures (mean and 2SE) obtained with Kim and O'Neil (1997) for planktonic (circle) and benthic (square) foraminifera samples, combining all size fractions (modified from Peral et al., 2018). The new calibration regression corresponds to the black line (Peral et al., 2018 recalculated). The recalculated foraminiferal calibration is compared to the slow-growing calcite from Laghetto Basso and Devils Hole (from Anderson et al., 2021) and to calibrations of Anderson et al. (2021) and Fiebig et al. (2021)

57

**Figure 5:** Mg/Ca-derived temperatures using mono-species calibrations, compared to the  $\Delta_{47}$ derived temperatures using the recalculated Peral et al. (2018) calibration (a) and the Mg/Caderived temperatures using the corrected Mg/Ca mono-species calibrations for salinity and pH compared to the  $\Delta_{47}$ -derived temperatures using the recalculated Peral et al. (2018) calibration (b). The dotted linear regression, excluding *O. universa* and *G. bulloides*, is plotted. A line 1:1 is plotted in black; uncertainties are at 2 SE.

Figure 6: the difference for all the species from our dataset (excluding *O. universa, G. bulloides* and *N. pachyderma*) between the extracted pH from the atlas (GLOPAD 2020) and the reconstructed pH, using the equations from Gray and Evans (2019) with the raw Mg/Ca, the  $\Delta_{47}$ -derived temperatures, and the combination of  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\Delta_{47}$ -derived temperatures to reconstruct the  $\delta^{18}$ Osw. The uncertainties correspond to the uncertainties associated with the reconstructed pH (2SE)