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#### Abstract

In this paper, we prove the global wellposedness of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation with white noise potential, i.e. a cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation with harmonic confining potential and spatial white noise multiplicative term. This problem is ill-defined and a Wick renormalization is needed in order to give a meaning to solutions. In order to do this, we introduce a change of variables which transforms the original equation into one with less irregular terms. We construct a solution as a limit of solutions of the same equation but with a regularized noise. This convergence is shown by interpolating between a diverging bound in a high regularity Hermite-Sobolev space and a Cauchy estimate in $\mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we are interested in solving the renormalized cubic Gross-Pitaevskii equation with spatial white noise potential in two dimensions of space

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{i} \partial_{t} u+H u+\xi u+\lambda|u|^{2} u=0  \tag{1}\\
u(0)=u_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $H=\Delta-|x|^{2}$ is the Hermite operator. We do not expect, in general, equation (1) to have solutions, even for smooth and localized initial datum, due to the low regularity of the spatial white noise. Instead, we are interested in solving the equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{i}_{t} u+: H+\xi: u+\lambda|u|^{2} u=0  \tag{2}\\
u(0)=u_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $: H+\xi$ : is the renormalized Hermite operator with spatial white noise potential, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u_{0}$ is in a certain function space which will be defined later. The unknown $u$ is a random complexvalued function defined on $I \times \mathbb{R}^{2}$, where $I$ is an interval of $\mathbb{R}$ containing 0 .

This renormalized equation is defined through the same kind of exponential transformation as the one used in [20] for the continuous parabolic Anderson model, and in [13] for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the 2d torus. Let $Y=(-H)^{-1} \xi$. Formally, the change of variable $u=v \mathrm{e}^{Y}$ yields $u$ is solution to equation (1) if and only if $v$ is solution to the transformed equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{i} \partial_{t} v+H v+2 \nabla Y \cdot \nabla v+x Y \cdot x v+|\nabla Y|^{2} v+\lambda|v|^{2} v \mathrm{e}^{2 Y}=0  \tag{3}\\
v(0)=u_{0} \mathrm{e}^{-Y}=v_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $\xi$ is, a priori, only in $\mathcal{W}^{-1-s, q}$ a.s., with $q>2, s>0$ and $s q>2$ (see Proposition 3.1), we only have $Y \in \mathcal{W}^{1-s, q}$ a.s., and thus $\nabla Y \in \mathcal{W}^{-s, q}$ and the term $|\nabla Y|^{2}$ is ill-defined. Here, spaces $\mathcal{W}^{s, q}$ denote Sobolev spaces associated with $-H$. We give more details on these spaces in Section 2.3. In order to give a meaning to this equation, we have to renormalize the term $|\nabla Y|^{2}$ using non-homogeneous Wick products associated to $-H$, which were introduced in [5]. We detail this renormalization in Section 3.2. We say that $u$ is solution to equation (2) if $u=v \mathrm{e}^{Y}$ and $v$ is solution to the renormalized transformed equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{i} \partial_{t} v+H v+2 \nabla Y \cdot \nabla v+x Y \cdot x v+:|\nabla Y|^{2}: v+\lambda|v|^{2} v \mathrm{e}^{2 Y}=0  \tag{4}\\
v(0)=v_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where : $|\nabla Y|^{2}$ : is defined as the limit in a space of negative regularity of

$$
:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:=\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}-\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}\right]
$$

and $Y_{N}$ is a suitable regularization of $Y$ defined in Section 2.4. We emphasize that equation (4) has, formally, two invariant quantities, a transformed mass for $v \in \mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{M}(v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|v|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y} \mathrm{~d} x=|u|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a transformed energy for $v \in \mathcal{W}^{1,2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(v)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(|\nabla v|^{2}+|x v|^{2}-|x v|^{2} Y\right) \mathrm{e}^{2 Y} \mathrm{~d} x-\left.\frac{1}{2}\langle:| \nabla Y\right|^{2}:,|v|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y}\right\rangle-\frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|v|^{4} \mathrm{e}^{4 Y} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the bracket $\left.\left.\langle:| \nabla Y\right|^{2}:,|v|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y}\right\rangle$ is defined as a duality bracket between suitable spaces.
This equation is in fact a nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with harmonic confining potential and spatial white noise potential. The equation without the harmonic potential was first studied by A. Debussche and H. Weber in [13] on the 2 d torus, where the authors proved the wellposedness of a renormalized problem for cubic nonlinearities and well-chosen random initial data. In order to prove this result, they solved the renormalized equation for $v_{\varepsilon}=u_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{e}^{-\Delta^{-1} \xi_{\varepsilon}}$, where $\xi_{\varepsilon}$ is a mollification of the noise, and then passed to the limit in probability as $\varepsilon$ goes to 0 . In order to do this, they showed a diverging $H^{2}$ bound with logarithmic loss in $\varepsilon$ and a converging $\mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$
bound. This wellposedness result was extended to fourth order nonlinearities by N. Tzvetkov and N. Visciglia in [35] using modified energies. They further extended the result to any positive exponent in [34] using Strichartz estimates with losses for $-\Delta+\xi_{\varepsilon}$ in addition to modified energies.

The case of the full space, still without confining potential, was then studied in [12] for sub-cubic nonlinearities, where they used weighted Besov spaces in order to deal with the growth of the white noise at infinity. It was then extended in [14] to any polynomial nonlinearities using Strichartz estimates with losses for $-\Delta+\xi_{\varepsilon}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ in weighted Besov spaces. A similar result has been recently obtained for logarithmic nonlinearity in [9], also using weighted spaces.

Another approach is to work directly on the Anderson operator $-\Delta+\xi$, which has been widely studied recently, both on compact 2 d manifolds (see $[1,2,19,23,25,26]$ ) and on the full space (see [36]). Properties such as discrete spectrum, spectral gap or estimation of the eigenvalues have been obtained. Moreover some Strichartz estimates for this operator allow to solve in a more standard way NLS equations (see for example [27, 37]). Up to our knowledge, there is no comparable result for the Hermite-Anderson operator $H+\xi$ yet, hence we chose not to follow this approach.

On the other hand, the deterministic equation with confining potential is an extensively studied dispersive equation known as the Gross-Pitaevskii equation (GP). It appears in the study of BoseEinstein condensates as a mean-field limit to the coupled linear many-body Schrödinger equation with confining potential (see [11]). The deterministic Gross-Pitaevskii equation is known to have different properties than the nonlinear Schrödinger equation due to the confining potential. For example, the harmonic potential allows standing waves to exists in the defocusing case (see [30]) whereas such solutions cannot exist without the potential. Moreover, in dimension 1, it was shown in [29] that the Gibbs measures with mass cut-off for the deterministic Gross-Pitaevskii equation are never normalizable at the critical exponent. This contrasts with the phase transition existing for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on bounded domain. Up to our knowledge, there is no comparable result in 2d. A Gibbs measure which, formally, is invariant for the GP equation has been constructed in [5], but it was not proven to be invariant under the GP flow.

The two equations are linked by the so-called lens-transform that allows to pass from one to the other. This result can be used to prove various results for the NLS equation from the GP equation (see for example $[7,28,32,33]$ ). However, in presence of a white noise potential $\xi$, the lens-transform changes the white noise into a time dependent stochastic process with white noise distribution at each fixed time. This time dependency makes the lens-transform unsuitable for our purpose.

The Gross-Pitaevskii equation with random potential can be seen as a model for Bose-Einstein condensates in presence of inhomogeneities (see for example [17, 18] and references therein). Hence the white noise potential can be seen as a toy model of random potential with null correlation length. However, we do not know exactly what physical meaning should the Wick renormalization have in this case.

Mathematically, this case is close to the periodic NLS with white noise potential, as $H$ is a selfadjoint operator with compact resolvent on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, as the Laplacian is on the torus. In this paper,
inspired by the periodic case, we first prove the global wellposedness of the linear equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{i} \partial_{t} v+H v+2 \nabla Y \cdot \nabla v+x Y \cdot x v+:|\nabla Y|^{2}: v=0  \tag{7}\\
v(0)=v_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

in some Bochner spaces, and then the global wellposedness of the cubic case (i.e. equation (4)) for any well-chosen random initial data, in both linear and cubic cases, provided the $\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}$ norm of the initial data is small in the focusing case (i.e. $\lambda>0$ ). More precisely, we show the following results.

Theorem 1.1. Linear case
Let $\sigma \in(1,2), p_{0} \in(2,+\infty), p \in\left(2, p_{0}\right), a<0<b$ and $v_{0} \in \mathbb{L}^{p_{0}}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{W}^{2,2}\right)$. The following hold:

- There exists a unique solution $v$ to equation (7) in $\mathbb{L}^{p}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{C}\left([a, b], \mathcal{W}^{\sigma, 2}\right)\right)$
- The sequence $\left(v^{N}\right)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ of solutions of the regularized linear equation (13) converges to $v$ in $\mathbb{L}^{p}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{C}\left([a, b], \mathcal{W}^{\sigma, 2}\right)\right)$
- The transformed mass and energy, defined by equations (5) and (6) with $\lambda=0$ respectively, are conserved.

Theorem 1.2. Cubic defocusing case
Let $\lambda \leqslant 0$. Almost surely, for every $a<0<b$, every $\sigma \in(1,2)$ and every $v_{0} \in \mathcal{W}^{2,2}$, it holds

- there exists a unique solution $v$ to equation (4) in $\mathcal{C}\left([a, b], \mathcal{W}^{\sigma, 2}\right)$
- the sequence $\left(v^{N}\right)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ of solution of the regularized cubic equation (10) converges to $v$ in $\mathcal{C}\left([a, b], \mathcal{W}^{\sigma, 2}\right)$
- transformed mass and energy, defined by equations (5) and (6) respectively, are conserved.

Theorem 1.3. Cubic focusing case
Let $\lambda>0$ and $L>0$, and let $\bar{\Omega}_{L}=\left\{\lambda L^{2}\left|\mathrm{e}^{-2 Y}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}^{2}\left|\mathrm{e}^{2 Y}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}\left|\mathrm{e}^{4 Y}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}<4\right\}$. Then, on $\bar{\Omega}_{L}$, endowed with the conditional probability and $\sigma$-algebra, there exists a random variable $N_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ a.s. such that almost surely, for every $a<0<b$, every $\sigma \in(1,2)$ and every $v_{0} \in \mathcal{W}^{2,2}$ verifying $\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}} \leqslant L$, it holds

- there exists a unique solution $v$ to equation (4) in $\mathcal{C}\left([a, b], \mathcal{W}^{\sigma, 2}\right)$
- the sequence $\left(v^{N}\right)_{N \geqslant N_{0}}$ of solution of the regularized cubic equation (10) converges to $v$ in $\mathcal{C}\left([a, b], \mathcal{W}^{\sigma, 2}\right)$
- transformed mass and energy, defined by equations (5) and (6) respectively, are conserved.

In both linear and cubic cases, we will follow the main ideas of [13], with some technical modifications. First, we regularize the noise using the smooth spectral truncation introduced in [5] and detailed in Section 2.4 instead of using a mollification. This is a more natural regularization in this context because it has a better behaviour in Sobolev-Hermite spaces than the usual truncation and regularization procedure. Then, we show a diverging bound in sufficiently regular space. Contrary to the periodic case, we only have a polynomial (and not a logarithmic) loss, but with an exponent
as small as desired. We can obtain this diverging bound in $\mathcal{W}^{2,2}$ in the linear case but, in the nonlinear case, due to technical issues in the proof of Proposition 5.3, we only show a diverging bound in $\mathcal{W}^{\sigma, 2}$ for any $\sigma \in(1,2)$. Finally, we obtain a converging $\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}$ bound for the difference of approximations, and we use it along with the diverging bound and interpolation to prove the $\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p}$ or pathwise (depending on the case) convergence along a subsequence. The convergence along the whole sequence and conservation laws then follow easily.

Let us emphasize the differences with the periodic case treated in [13]. First, notice that the quantity we subtract in order to renormalize $\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}$ is a function of the space variable going to infinity as $N$ goes to infinity. In the periodic case, this quantity is constant in space, so the renormalization can be understood as an "infinite phase shift". This interpretation is no longer possible in presence of the harmonic potential. The renormalization should be thought as an "infinite potential" which prevents the solution from having infinite energy due to the high modes. Moreover, the eigenvalues of the Hermite operator grow slower than those of the periodic Laplacian. Thus, it is not sure that the diverging quantity goes to infinity as $\log (N)$ as it is the case in the periodic setting. In fact, one can show that it may diverge slower than $N^{\varepsilon}$ for any $\varepsilon>0$, but obtaining an optimal uniform upper bound on the divergence is still an open question. This leads to polynomial losses in Propositions 4.1, 4.2, 5.3 and 5.4 instead of the logarithmic loss in the periodic case. For this reason, it is necessary to take care not to have bounds of the form $N^{C \kappa}$ with a random variable $C$ depending on $\kappa$.

The paper is structured as follows, the Section 2.2 recalls most results we need about the Hermite operator, the functional spaces we need in our analysis and their properties are introduced in Section 2.3, the smooth spectral truncation is detailed in Section 2.4. The regularity properties of the white noise and other random variables involved in our analysis are presented in Section 3.1, the renormalization procedure is explained in Section 3.2 and the global wellposedness of the regularized equation is shown in Section 3.3. Finally, Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Section 5 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2. Most of the proofs of Sections 2 and 3 can be found in appendices A and B respectively.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Notations and conventions

- $\Delta=\partial_{x_{1}}^{2}+\partial_{x_{2}}^{2}$ is the Laplacian
- For $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2},|x|^{2}=x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}$ and $\langle x\rangle^{2}=1+|x|^{2}$
- $\mathbb{N}=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$ and $\mathbb{N}^{*}=\{1,2, \ldots\}$
- For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2},|\alpha|=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}$ and $\partial^{\alpha}=\partial_{x_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}} \partial_{x_{2}}^{\alpha_{2}}$
- For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \in(1,+\infty), W^{s, p}=\left\{u \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\langle\eta\rangle^{s} \mathcal{F} u\right) \in \mathbb{L}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right\}$ denotes the Bessel potential space endowed with the norm $|u|_{W_{x}^{s, p}}=\left|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\langle\eta\rangle^{s} \mathcal{F} u\right)\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{p}}$, where $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the usual Fourier transform. These spaces extend the usual Sobolev spaces by complex interpolation.
- We denote by $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ the space of smooth rapidly decaying functions on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and by $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ its continuous dual, the space of tempered distributions on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. We endow the space of tempered distributions with the real bracket

$$
\forall T \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \cap \mathbb{L}_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), \forall \phi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right),\langle T, \phi\rangle_{\mathcal{S}^{\prime}, \mathcal{S}}=\operatorname{Re}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} T(x) \overline{\phi(x)} \mathrm{d} x\right)
$$

so that for $T \in \mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right),\langle T, \phi\rangle_{\mathcal{S}^{\prime}, \mathcal{S}}=\langle T, \phi\rangle_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}$.

- We say that a sequence of random variables $\left(X_{N}\right)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ verifies the Property (*) if
- For almost all $\omega \in \Omega, \sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}} X_{N}(\omega)<+\infty$ and for all $N \in \mathbb{N}, X_{N}(\omega)>0$.
- For all $p \in[1,+\infty), \sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}\left[X_{N}^{p}\right]<+\infty$.


### 2.2 Hermite operator

We denote by $H=\Delta-|x|^{2}$ the Hermite operator. It is well-known that this operator has eigenfunctions $\left(h_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}}$ which are given by $h_{k}=\psi_{k_{1}} \otimes \psi_{k_{2}}\left(k=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right)$, where we denote by $\psi_{k_{1}}$ the $k_{1}$-th Hermite function. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}, h_{k}$ verifies the relation $-H h_{k}=\lambda_{k}^{2} h_{k}$ where $\lambda_{k}^{2}=2|k|+2$. Moreover, $\left(h_{k}\right)$ is a complete orthonormal system of $\mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$.

The Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and its dual $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ can be characterized using brackets against Hermite functions. The following proposition is a direct corollary of Theorem 1 in [31].
Proposition 2.1. If $T \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, then $\sum_{|k| \leqslant N}\left\langle T, h_{k}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{S}^{\prime}, \mathcal{S}} h_{k}$ converges weakly to $T$ in $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$.
Hence, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, one can define $(-H)^{\alpha}$ on $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ by

$$
\forall T \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right),(-H)^{\alpha} T=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}} \lambda_{k}^{2 \alpha}\left\langle T, h_{k}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{S}^{\prime}, \mathcal{S}} h_{k}
$$

defined as the weak limit of $\sum_{|k| \leqslant N} \lambda_{k}^{2 \alpha}\left\langle T, h_{k}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{S}^{\prime}, \mathcal{S}} h_{k}$. For complex valued tempered distributions, analogous results hold for expansions in terms of $\left\{h_{k}, \mathrm{i} h_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}}$. It follows that $(-H)^{-1}$ has a kernel given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(x, y)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}} \frac{h_{k}(x) h_{k}(y)}{\lambda_{k}^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the sense that for any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{2},(-H)^{-1} \phi(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} K(x, y) \phi(y) \mathrm{d} y
$$

This kernel has the following integrability properties.
Proposition 2.2. (See proposition 5 in [5]) For any $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}, r \geqslant 2$ and $\alpha<1-\frac{2}{r}, K^{n} \in \mathbb{L}_{x}^{r} \mathcal{W}_{y}^{\alpha, 2}$.
This proposition will be useful to prove the convergence of the Wick products and to prove Proposition 3.1 about the white noise regularity.

### 2.3 Hermite-Sobolev spaces

Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \in(1,+\infty)$ and define

$$
\mathcal{W}^{s, p}=\left\{u \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right),(-H)^{\frac{s}{2}} u \in \mathbb{L}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right\}
$$

endowed with the norm

$$
|u|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{s, p}}=\left|(-H)^{\frac{s}{2}} u\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{p}} .
$$

It is known that these spaces are Banach spaces and that for $q$ such that $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$, we have $\left(\mathcal{W}^{s, p}\right)^{\prime}=\mathcal{W}^{-s, q}$ with equal norm. The subspace $\operatorname{span}\left\{h_{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}\right\}$ is dense in these spaces (see for example [4] for the case $s \geqslant 0$ ) and for $s \geqslant 0$, an equivalent norm is given for $p \in(1,+\infty)$ by (see [15])

$$
\left|\langle D\rangle^{s} u\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{p}}+\left|\langle x\rangle^{s} u\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{p}} .
$$

This shows that $\mathcal{W}^{s, p}=\left\{u \in W^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right),\langle x\rangle^{s} u \in \mathbb{L}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right\}$. Using this equivalent norm, we define $\mathcal{W}^{k, p}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in\{1,+\infty\}$ as

$$
\mathcal{W}^{k, p}=\left\{u \in W^{k, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right),\langle x\rangle^{k} u \in \mathbb{L}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right\}
$$

endowed with the norm

$$
|u|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{k, p}}=|u|_{W_{x}^{k, p}}+\left|\langle x\rangle^{k} u\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{p}}
$$

and extend this definition to $s \geqslant 0$ by complex interpolation between integer regularities. Moreover inspired by the relation $\left(\mathcal{W}^{s, p}\right)^{\prime}=\mathcal{W}^{-s, q}$, for $s<0$, we define $\mathcal{W}^{s, \infty}=\left(\mathcal{W}^{-s, 1}\right)^{\prime}$. As usual, we cannot define spaces of negative $\mathbb{L}^{1}$ regularity as dual spaces of positive $\mathbb{L}^{\infty}$ regularity.

## Remark 2.3.

- We do not claim that the first definition of $\mathcal{W}^{s, p}$ agrees with the one we give for spaces over $\mathbb{L}^{1}$ and $\mathbb{L}^{\infty}$. We use the same notation for simplicity as we will always control $\mathcal{W}^{s, \infty}$ norms by Sobolev embeddings.
- In the special case $\mathcal{W}^{1,2}$, we also use the notation $\Sigma$.

The choices we made ensure us that we can interpolate between spaces of positive regularity and some other convenient properties that we need in our analysis.

A useful property of these spaces is that differentiation and multiplication by $x$ act directly on regularity. In order to see this, let us introduce the annihilation and creation operators:

$$
\forall i \in\{1,2\}, A_{i}=\partial_{i}+x_{i} \text { and } A_{-i}=A_{i}^{*}=-\partial_{i}+x_{i}
$$

Proposition 2.4. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, p \in(1,+\infty)$ and $i \in\{ \pm 1, \pm 2\}$, then $A_{i}$ is a bounded linear operator from $\mathcal{W}^{s, p}$ to $\mathcal{W}^{s-1, p}$.

Corollary 2.5. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, p \in(1,+\infty)$ and $i \in\{1,2\}$, then $\partial_{i}$ and $x_{i}$. are bounded linear operators from $\mathcal{W}^{s, p}$ to $\mathcal{W}^{s-1, p}$.

The Sobolev-Hermite spaces verify some continous embeddings as in the classical Sobolev framework. We will also refer to these embeddings as Sobolev embeddings.

Proposition 2.6. (Sobolev embeddings) Let $1<p \leqslant q<+\infty$ and $s>\sigma$ such that $\frac{1}{p}-\frac{s}{2} \leqslant \frac{1}{q}-\frac{\sigma}{2}$. Then $\mathcal{W}^{s, p}$ is continuously embedded in $\mathcal{W}^{\sigma, q}$. Moreover if $1<p<q \leqslant+\infty$ and $s>\sigma$ such that $\frac{1}{p}-\frac{s}{2}<\frac{1}{q}-\frac{\sigma}{2}$, then $\mathcal{W}^{s, p}$ is compactly embedded in $\mathcal{W}^{\sigma, q}$.

Moreover, our choices allow us to have a simple product rule on our spaces.
Lemma 2.7. Let $s \geqslant 0$ and $1 \leqslant p, q, r \leqslant+\infty$ such that $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=\frac{1}{r}$. There exists a constant $C>0$ such that for all $u \in \mathcal{W}^{s, p}$ and $v \in \mathcal{W}^{s, q}$, we have $u v \in \mathcal{W}^{s, r}$ and $|u v|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{s, r}} \leqslant C|u|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{s, p}}|v|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{s, q}}$.

Proof. For $s \in \mathbb{N}$, the claim follows from Leibniz's formula and Hölder's inequality. By bilinear interpolation (see for example theorem 4.4.1 of [3]), we obtain the claim for all $s \geqslant 0$.

We deduce the following product rule for negative-positive regularity products.
Lemma 2.8. Let $s \geqslant 0,1<p<+\infty$ and $1 \leqslant q, r \leqslant+\infty$ such that $1-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1-\frac{1}{r}$. There exists a constant $C>0$ such that for all $u \in \mathcal{W}^{-s, r}$ and $v \in \mathcal{W}^{s, q}$, we have $u v \in \mathcal{W}^{-s, p}$ and $|u v|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{-s, p}} \leqslant C|u|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{-s, r}}|v|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{s, q}}$.
Proof. Let $p^{\prime}$ and $r^{\prime}$ be such that $\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1-\frac{1}{p}$ and $\frac{1}{r^{\prime}}=1-\frac{1}{r}$. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, using Lemma 2.7 we have $\bar{v} \phi \in \mathcal{W}^{s, r^{\prime}}$ whose dual is $\mathcal{W}^{-s, r}$. Then, we can define the tempered distribution $u v$ as

$$
\langle u v, \phi\rangle_{\mathcal{S}^{\prime}, \mathcal{S}}=\langle u, \bar{v} \phi\rangle_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{-s, r}, \mathcal{W}_{x}^{s, r}}
$$

and we have $\left|\langle u v, \phi\rangle_{\mathcal{S}^{\prime}, \mathcal{S}}\right| \lesssim|u|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{-s, r}}|\bar{v} \phi|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{s, r^{\prime}}} \lesssim|u|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{-s, r}}|v|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{s, q}}|\phi|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{s, p^{\prime}}}$. We conclude by density of Schwartz functions.

Let us recall another product rule on these spaces whose proof is in [5] and which will be usefull in the proof of Proposition 3.12.

Lemma 2.9. Let $r, r_{1}, r_{2}>1, p \geqslant 2$ and $0<\alpha<\sigma$ such that $\frac{1}{r_{1}}+\frac{1}{r_{2}}=\frac{1}{r}$ and $\frac{\sigma-\alpha}{2}=\frac{1}{p}$. There exists a constant $C>0$ such that for all $f, g \in \mathbb{L}_{x}^{r_{1}} \mathbb{L}_{y}^{p} \cap \mathbb{L}_{x}^{r_{2}} \mathcal{W}_{y}^{\sigma, 2}$, it holds $f g \in \mathbb{L}_{x}^{r} \mathcal{W}_{y}^{\alpha, 2}$ and

$$
|f g|_{\mathbb{I}_{x}^{r} \mathcal{W}_{y}^{\alpha, 2}} \leqslant C\left(|f|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{r_{1}} \mathbb{L}_{y}^{p}}|g|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{r_{2}} \mathcal{W}_{y}^{\sigma, 2}}+|f|_{\left.\mathbb{L}_{x}^{r_{2}} \mathcal{W}_{y}^{\sigma, 2}|g|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{r_{1}} \mathbb{L}_{y}^{p}}\right) . . . .}\right.
$$

### 2.4 The smooth truncation

Choose $\chi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $0 \leqslant \chi \leqslant 1, \operatorname{supp}(\chi) \subset[-1,1]$ and $\chi_{[[0,1 / 2]}=1$. We define the smooth truncation as the family $\left(S_{N}\right)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $S_{N}=\chi\left(\frac{-H}{\lambda_{N}^{2}}\right)$ where $\lambda_{N}=\lambda_{(N, 0)}=\sqrt{2 N+2}$. For $u \in \mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), S_{N}$ is well-defined and we have the formula

$$
S_{N} u=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}} \chi_{k, N}\left\langle u, h_{k}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} h_{k},
$$

where $\chi_{k, N}=\chi\left(\frac{\lambda_{k}^{2}}{\lambda_{N}^{2}}\right)$. It extends naturally to $\mathcal{W}^{s, 2}$ with $s \geqslant 0$ and to the case $s<0$ by duality. Using Sobolev embeddings, one can extend this definition to any $\mathcal{W}^{s, p}$ with $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \in(1,2)$ and finally to $\mathcal{W}^{s, q}$ with $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $q \in(2,+\infty)$ by duality. One can show using Fourier-Hermite expansions that for $u \in \mathcal{W}^{s, p}(s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \in(1,+\infty))$, we have

$$
S_{N} u=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{k, N}\left\langle u, h_{k}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{S}^{\prime}, \mathcal{S}} h_{k}
$$

which extends the previous $\mathbb{L}^{2}$ formula. We have the following important lemma which motivates the choice of a smooth truncation.

Lemma 2.10. (see Theorem 1 in [22]) Let $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and $p \in[1,+\infty]$. The family $(\psi(-\theta H))_{\theta \in[0,1]}$ is uniformly bounded in $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{L}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right)$.

Using the fact that $S_{N}$ commutes with any $(-H)^{\alpha}$, we obtain the following result, which is not verified by the spectral projector $\Pi_{N} u=\sum_{|k| \leqslant N}\left\langle u, h_{k}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} h_{k}$.
Corollary 2.11. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \in(1,+\infty)$. Then, $\left(S_{N}\right)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly bounded in $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{W}^{s, p}\right)$.
We will use the following lemmas, which are in fact corollaries of Lemma 2.10, to estimate norms of low-mode and high-mode functions.
Lemma 2.12. Let $p \in(1,+\infty), \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s>0$, there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall \phi \in \mathcal{W}^{\alpha, p},\left|S_{N} \phi\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\alpha+s, p}} \leqslant C \lambda_{N}^{s}|\phi|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\alpha, p}}
$$

Lemma 2.13. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s>0$. We have

$$
\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall \phi \in \mathcal{W}^{\alpha+s, 2},\left|\phi-S_{N} \phi\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\alpha, 2}} \leqslant \lambda_{\left\lfloor\frac{N}{2}\right\rfloor}^{-s}|\phi|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\alpha+s, 2}}
$$

By density of Schwartz functions, Sobolev embeddings and Corollary 2.11, it follows that for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, any $q \in[2,+\infty)$ and any $u \in \mathcal{W}^{s, q}, S_{N} u$ converges to $u$ in $\mathcal{W}^{s, q}$.

## 3 The regularized noise

### 3.1 Noise regularity

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space such that there exists a sequence $\left(\xi_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}}$ of standard normal random variables. We define the spatial white noise on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ as $\xi=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}} \xi_{k} h_{k}$. Such random Hermite series have been studied in [21]. As $\left(h_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}}$ is a complete orthonormal system of $\mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, one recovers the fundamental property of white noises

$$
\forall \phi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), \mathbb{E}\left[\langle\xi, \phi\rangle_{\mathcal{S}^{\prime}, \mathcal{S}}\langle\xi, \psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S}^{\prime}, \mathcal{S}}\right]=\langle\phi, \psi\rangle_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}
$$

Proposition 3.1. Let $q>2$ and $s>0$ such that $s q>2$. Then $\xi \in \mathcal{W}^{-1-s, q}$ a.s.
Proof. Let $\alpha>0$ and $q>2$, we have $(-H)^{-\alpha / 2} \xi=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}} \xi_{k} \lambda_{k}^{-\alpha} h_{k}$. Using Fubini's theorem and gaussianity, we obtain

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[|\xi|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{-\alpha, q}}^{q}\right]=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|(-H)^{-\alpha / 2} \xi(x)\right|^{q}\right] \mathrm{d} x \lesssim\left|\sigma_{\alpha}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{q}}^{q}
$$

where

$$
\sigma_{\alpha}(x)^{2}=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}} \lambda_{k}^{-2 \alpha}\left|h_{k}(x)\right|^{2}=\mathbb{E}\left[\left|(-H)^{-\alpha / 2} \xi(x)\right|^{2}\right]
$$

Using the definition of the kernel $K$ in equation (8), we obtain $\sigma_{\alpha}(x)^{2}=|K(x, \cdot)|_{\mathcal{W}_{y}^{2-\alpha, 2}}^{2}$. Then by Proposition 2.2, $\sigma_{\alpha}$ has finite $\mathbb{L}_{x}^{q}$ norm when $2-\alpha<1-\frac{2}{q}$ (i.e. $\alpha>1+\frac{2}{q}$ ). Let $s=\alpha-1$, then $s>0$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{W}^{-1-s, q}$ a.s. as long as $q s>2$.

Remark 3.2. - This proof is not totally rigorous, but in fact, the following lemmas will show the convergence of $S_{N} \xi$ to $\xi$ in $\mathcal{W}^{-1-s, q}(q>2, s q>2)$, both almost surely and in all $\mathbb{L}_{\Omega}^{p}$ spaces, with an explicit rate of convergence. Moreover, this regularity result is the same as the one given by applying Theorem 2.2 in [21] to $(-H)^{-1-s} \xi$.

- We do not know if this regularity is optimal but we strongly believe that for $q<+\infty, \xi$ does not belong to $\mathcal{W}^{-1, q}$. This contrasts with the case of a radial white noise (i.e. with only radial Hermite functions in the expansion of $\xi$ ) which lives almost surely in $\mathcal{W}^{-1, q}$ for any $q \in(2,+\infty)$ according to Theorem 2.3 in [21].

Now, we introduce a probabilistic lemma that we will use several times in what follows.
Lemma 3.3. Let $\left(X_{N}\right)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of real random variables defined on the same probability space. Assume there exists $\alpha>0$ such that for every $p \in[1+\infty)$, $\sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{N}^{\alpha}\left|X_{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p}}<+\infty$. Then, for every $\beta<\alpha$, almost surely $\sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{N}^{\beta}\left|X_{N}\right|<+\infty$.

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and set $Y=(-H)^{-1} \xi$ and $Y_{N}=S_{N} Y$. The proof of the following results are given in appendix.

Lemma 3.4. Let $p \in[1,+\infty), q>2$ and $1>s>s^{\prime}>\frac{2}{q}$. There exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}}\left[\lambda_{N}^{s-s^{\prime}}\left|Y-Y_{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}}\right] \leqslant C .
$$

Using Sobolev embeddings and Lemma 3.3, this implies the following result.
Corollary 3.5. Let $0<\kappa^{\prime}<\kappa<1$, there exists a sequence of positive random variables $\left(C_{N}\right)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ verifying Property $\left(^{*}\right)$ such that almost surely, for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\left|Y-Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-\kappa, \infty}} \leqslant C_{N} \lambda_{N}^{-\kappa^{\prime}},\left|\nabla Y-\nabla Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{-\kappa, \infty}} \leqslant C_{N} \lambda_{N}^{-\kappa^{\prime}} \text { and }\left|x Y-x Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{-\kappa, \infty}} \leqslant C_{N} \lambda_{N}^{-\kappa^{\prime}}
$$

Moreover, for any $p \in[1,+\infty)$, there exists $C>0$ such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\left|Y-Y_{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}}^{\omega} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-\kappa, \infty} \leqslant C \lambda_{N}^{-\kappa^{\prime}},\left|\nabla Y-\nabla Y_{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{-\kappa, \infty}} \leqslant C \lambda_{N}^{-\kappa^{\prime}} \text { and }\left|x Y-x Y_{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{-\kappa, \infty}} \leqslant C \lambda_{N}^{-\kappa^{\prime}}
$$

Using Corollary 2.11 and Propositions 2.6 and 3.1, we obtain the following lemma.
Corollary 3.6. Let $\kappa \in(0,1)$, there exists a sequence of positive random variables $\left(C_{N}\right)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ verifying Property (*) such that almost surely

$$
\forall N \in \mathbb{N},\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \leqslant C_{N} \lambda_{N}^{\kappa} \text { and }\left|x Y_{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \leqslant C_{N} \lambda_{N}^{\kappa}
$$

Moreover, for any $p \in[1,+\infty)$, there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\forall N \in \mathbb{N},\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \leqslant C \lambda_{N}^{\kappa} \text { and }\left|x Y_{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \leqslant C \lambda_{N}^{\kappa}
$$

Using Hölder's inequality, Sobolev embeddings and Lemma 3.3, we deduce the following result.

Corollary 3.7. Let $\kappa \in(0,1), q \in(1,+\infty)$ and a random variable $X \in \mathcal{W}^{1, q}$ a.s., there exists $a$ sequence of positive random variables $\left(C_{N}\right)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ verifying Property $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ such that almost surely

$$
\forall N \in \mathbb{N},\left|\nabla X \cdot \nabla Y_{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{q}} \leqslant C_{N}|X|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1, q}} \lambda_{N}^{\kappa} \text { and }\left|x X \cdot x Y_{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{q}} \leqslant C_{N}|X|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1, q}} \lambda_{N}^{\kappa} .
$$

Moreover, let $p_{0}>p \geqslant 1$ and assume that $X \in \mathbb{L}^{p_{0}}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{W}^{1, q}\right)$, then there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\forall N \in \mathbb{N},\left|\nabla X \cdot \nabla Y_{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathbb{L}_{x}^{q}} \leqslant C \lambda_{N}^{\kappa}|X|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p_{0}} \mathcal{W}^{1, q}} \text { and }\left|x X \cdot x Y_{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathbb{L}_{x}^{q}} \leqslant C \lambda_{N}^{\kappa}|X|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p_{0}} \mathcal{W}^{1, q}} .
$$

Using the algebra property of $\mathcal{W}^{1-s, q}$ for $q>4$ and $s q>2$, $\mathrm{e}^{a Y}-1 \in \mathcal{W}^{1-s, q}$ for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Using the gaussianity of $Y_{N}$ and $Y$, we obtain the following moment estimates for exponentials of $Y_{N}$ and $Y$.

Lemma 3.8. Let $p \geqslant 1, q>4, s \in\left(\frac{2}{q}, 1-\frac{2}{q}\right)$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\left|\mathrm{e}^{a Y}-1\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}} \leqslant C \text { and } \sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}}\left|\mathrm{e}^{a Y_{N}}-1\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}} \leqslant C
$$

Using Sobolev embeddings, we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 3.9. Let $p \geqslant 1, \kappa \in(0,1)$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\left|\mathrm{e}^{a Y}-1\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-\kappa, \infty}} \leqslant C \text { and } \sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}}\left|\mathrm{e}^{a Y_{N}}-1\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-\kappa, \infty}} \leqslant C
$$

We deduce a control on exponential moments in $\mathbb{L}^{\infty}$ Hermite-Sobolev spaces.
Lemma 3.10. Let $0<\kappa^{\prime}<\kappa<1, a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \geqslant 1$. There exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}}\left[\lambda_{N}^{\kappa^{\prime}}\left|\mathrm{e}^{a Y_{N}}-\mathrm{e}^{a Y}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-\kappa, \infty}}\right] \leqslant C
$$

Moreover, there exists a positive random variable $C$ almost surely finite such that, almost surely, it holds

$$
\forall N \in \mathbb{N},\left|\mathrm{e}^{a Y_{N}}-\mathrm{e}^{a Y}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-\kappa, \infty}} \leqslant C \lambda_{N}^{-\kappa^{\prime}} \text { and }\left|\mathrm{e}^{a Y_{N}}-1\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-\kappa, \infty}} \leqslant C
$$

We introduce the shorten notation $|f|_{1+\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, p}}=1+|f-1|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, p}}$ for any $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ verifying $f-1 \in \mathcal{W}^{\sigma, p}(\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \in[1,+\infty])$. We emphasize that this is just a notation for the seek of compactness and that it does not denote the norm of any space. It allows to formulate in a compact fashion the following straightforward corollary of Lemma 2.7.

Lemma 3.11. Let $s \in(0,1)$ and $p \in[1,+\infty]$. There exists a deterministic constant $C>0$ such that almost surely, for any $v \in \mathcal{W}^{s, p}$ and any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, ve $\mathrm{e}^{a Y} \in \mathcal{W}^{s, p}$ and it holds

$$
\left|v \mathrm{e}^{a Y}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{s, p}} \leqslant C|v|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{s, p}}\left|\mathrm{e}^{a Y}\right|_{1+\mathcal{W}_{x}^{s, \infty}}
$$

### 3.2 Renormalization

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, define $:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:=\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}-C_{N}^{2}$ where $C_{N}(x)^{2}=\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\nabla Y_{N}(x)\right|^{2}\right]=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}} \chi_{k, N}^{2} \frac{\left|\nabla h_{k}(x)\right|^{2}}{\lambda_{k}^{4}}$.
We now prove the following result on the convergence of : $\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}$ :.

Proposition 3.12. Let $q>2$ and $s>\frac{2}{q}$. Then $\left(:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}::\right)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space $\mathbb{L}^{q}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{W}^{-s, q}\right)$, and thus converges to an element $:|\nabla Y|^{2}: \in \mathbb{L}^{q}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{W}^{-s, q}\right)$. Moreover for all $0<\delta<\frac{2 s}{3}-\frac{4}{3 q}$ there exists a constant $C>0$ such that for all $M \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$

Let us recall some results about Wiener chaos and renormalization. For an introduction to Wick products see [10] and for more details about Wick products adapted to $-H$, see [5]. First recall the probabilist's Hermite polynomials:

$$
\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, H_{n}(x)=\frac{(-1)^{n} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{x^{2}}{2}}}{\sqrt{n!}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{n}}{\mathrm{~d} x^{n}}\left[e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}}\right]
$$

They form a complete orthogonal system of $\mathbb{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}, \mu)\left(\right.$ with $\left.\mathrm{d} \mu(x)=\frac{\exp \left(-x^{2} / 2\right)}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)$.
Let $W: \mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega)$ be the unique isometry such that $W_{h_{k}}=\xi_{k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$. We define the $n$-th chaos as

$$
\mathcal{H}_{n}={\overline{\left\{H_{n}\left(W_{f}\right),|f|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}=1\right\}^{L^{2}(\Omega)}}}^{\mathbb{L}^{2}}
$$

It is well known that $\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega, \mathcal{G})=\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_{n}$, where $\mathcal{G}=\sigma\left(\left\{W_{f}, f \in \mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right\}\right)=\sigma\left(\left\{\xi_{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}\right\}\right)$ is the $\sigma$-field generated by the white noise $W$. Thanks to the orthonormality of $\left(H_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, we have the following relation.

Lemma 3.13. Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f, g \in \mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ such that $|f|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}=1=|g|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}$. Then, we have $\mathbb{E}\left[H_{k}\left(W_{f}\right) H_{n}\left(W_{g}\right)\right]=k!\langle f, g\rangle_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}^{k} \delta_{n, k}$, where $\delta$ denote the Kronecker symbol.

We can now define the renormalization of the $n$-th power of white noise images as a projection on the $n$-th chaos. Denote by $\Pi_{n}$ the orthogonal projection from $\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega, \mathcal{G})$ to $\mathcal{H}_{n}$.
Lemma 3.14. Let $\rho \geqslant 0$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ such that $|\eta|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}=1$ and define $Z=\rho W_{\eta}$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\Pi_{n}\left(Z^{n}\right)=\rho^{n} \sqrt{n!} H_{n}\left(W_{\eta}\right)$.

We define $: Z^{n}:$ as $\Pi_{n}\left(Z^{n}\right)$. For example, in the case $n=2$ and $Z$ as in the previous lemma, it gives $: Z^{2}:=Z^{2}-\rho^{2}=Z^{2}-\mathbb{E}\left[Z^{2}\right]$.

To prove the convergence of Wick product, first recall Nelson's estimate.
Proposition 3.15. (Nelson's estimate) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and $F \in \mathcal{H}_{n}$. Then, for all $p \geqslant 2$, we have $\mathbb{E}\left[|F|^{p}\right] \leqslant(p-1)^{\frac{n p}{2}} \mathbb{E}\left[|F|^{2}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}}$.

The proof of Proposition 3.12 is very similar to the proof of Proposition 4 in [5]. Thus, we only give here the technical argument specific to our case.

Proof. of Proposition 3.12.
Let $i \in\{1,2\}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, define $Z_{i, N}=\partial_{i} Y_{N}$ where $Y_{N}$ was defined in Section 3.1,

$$
\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, \rho_{N}^{i}(x)^{2}=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}} \chi_{k, N}^{2} \frac{\left(\partial_{i} h_{k}(x)\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{k}^{4}}=\mathbb{E}\left[Z_{i, N}^{2}\right] \text { and } \eta_{N}^{i}(x)=\frac{1}{\rho_{N}^{i}(x)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}} \chi_{k, N} \frac{\partial_{i} h_{k}(x)}{\lambda_{k}^{2}} h_{k}
$$

so that $\left|\eta_{N}^{i}(x)\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}^{2}}=1$ and $Z_{i, N}(x)=\rho_{N}^{i}(x) W_{\eta_{N}^{i}(x)}$. Then, we can define $: Z_{i, N}^{2}:=Z_{i, N}^{2}-\mathbb{E}\left[Z_{i, N}^{2}\right]$ so that $:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:=: Z_{1, N}^{2}:+: Z_{2, N}^{2}:$.

We will prove the convergence of each : $Z_{i, N}^{2}$ : separately. So fix $i \in\{1,2\}$ and let $q>2$ and $s>0$ which will be fixed later. As in the proof of proposition 4 in [5], we can show

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|: Z_{i, N}^{2}:-: Z_{i, M}^{2}:\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{-s, q}}^{q}\right] \lesssim\left|K_{i, N, N}^{2}-2 K_{i, N, M}^{2}+K_{i, M, M}^{2}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x_{1}}^{r}}^{\frac{q}{2}} \mathcal{W}_{x_{2}}^{1-s+\delta, 2},
$$

with $0<\delta<s, \frac{2}{q}-\frac{s}{2}<\frac{1}{r}<\frac{2}{q}$ and for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ :

$$
K_{i, N, M}(x, y)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}} \chi_{k, N} \chi_{k, M} \frac{\partial_{i} h_{k}(x) \partial_{i} h_{k}(y)}{\lambda_{k}^{4}}
$$

Let $s^{\prime}=s-\delta$. Using that for any $N, M \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ we have

$$
K_{i, N, N}^{2}-K_{i, N, M}^{2}=\left(K_{i, N, N}-K_{i, N, M}\right)\left(K_{i, N, N}+K_{i, N, M}\right)
$$

and applying Lemma 2.9 with $r_{1}=r_{2}=2 r, \alpha=1-s^{\prime}, \sigma=1-\frac{s^{\prime}}{2}$ and $p=\frac{4}{s^{\prime}}$ and the Sobolev embedding of $\mathcal{W}^{1-\frac{s^{\prime}}{2}, 2}$ in $\mathbb{L}^{p}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left[\left|: Z_{i, N}^{2}:-: Z_{i, M}^{2}:\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{-s, q}}^{q}\right]^{\frac{2}{q}} & \lesssim\left|K_{i, N, N}-K_{i, N, M}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2 r} \mathcal{W}_{y}^{1-\frac{s^{\prime}}{2}, 2}}\left|K_{i, N, N}+K_{i, N, M}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2 r} \mathcal{W}_{y}^{1-\frac{s^{\prime}}{2}, 2}}  \tag{9}\\
& +\left|K_{i, M, M}-K_{i, N, M}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2 r} \mathcal{W}_{y}^{1-\frac{s^{\prime}}{2}, 2}}\left|K_{i, M, M}+K_{i, N, M}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2 r} \mathcal{W}_{y}^{1-\frac{s^{\prime}}{2}, 2}}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that Hermite functions verify the formula

$$
\partial_{i} h_{k}=\sqrt{\frac{k_{i}}{2}} h_{k-e_{i}}-\sqrt{\frac{k_{i}+1}{2}} h_{k+e_{i}}
$$

where $e_{i}=\left(\delta_{1, i}, \delta_{2, i}\right)$. This gives the following result:

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{i, N, N}(x, y)-K_{i, N, M}(x, y) & =\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}}\left[\sqrt{\frac{k_{i}+1}{2}} \frac{\chi_{k+e_{i}, N}\left(\chi_{k+e_{i}, N}-\chi_{k+e_{i}, M}\right) \partial_{i} h_{k+e_{i}}(x)}{\lambda_{k+e_{i}}^{4}}\right. \\
& \left.-\sqrt{\frac{k_{i}}{2}} \frac{\chi_{k-e_{i}, N}\left(\chi_{k-e_{i}, N}-\chi_{k-e_{i}, M}\right) \partial_{i} h_{k-e_{i}}(x)}{\lambda_{k-e_{i}}^{4}}\right] h_{k}(y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we deduce

$$
\left|K_{i, N, N}(x, \cdot)-K_{i, N, M}(x, \cdot)\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{y}^{\alpha, 2}}^{2} \lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}} \frac{\chi_{k, N}^{2}\left(\chi_{k, N}-\chi_{k, M}\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{k}^{8}}\left(\partial_{i} h_{k}(x)\right)^{2}\left(k_{i} \lambda_{k-e_{i}}^{2 \alpha}+\left(k_{i}+1\right) \lambda_{k+e_{i}}^{2 \alpha}\right)
$$

As $\lambda_{k}^{2}=2|k|+2$, we obtain

$$
\left|K_{i, N, N}(x, \cdot)-K_{i, N, M}(x, \cdot)\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{y}^{\alpha, 2}}^{2} \lesssim \sum_{\left\lfloor\frac{M}{2}\right\rfloor \leqslant|k| \leqslant N} \lambda_{k}^{2 \alpha-6}\left(\partial_{i} h_{k}(x)\right)^{2} \lesssim \sum_{\left\lfloor\frac{M}{2}\right\rfloor-1 \leqslant|k|<+\infty} \lambda_{k}^{2 \alpha-4} h_{k}(x)^{2}
$$

Hence, for $M \geqslant 2$, we have

$$
\left|K_{i, N, N}(x, \cdot)-K_{i, N, M}(x, \cdot)\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{y}^{\alpha, 2}}^{2} \lesssim \lambda_{M}^{-2 \delta} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}} \lambda_{k}^{2 \delta-2 \alpha-4} h_{k}(x)^{2} \lesssim \lambda_{M}^{-2 \delta}|K(x, \cdot)|_{\mathcal{W}_{y}^{\alpha+\delta, 2}}^{2}
$$

The same holds for $\left|K_{i, N, M}(x, \cdot)-K_{i, M, M}(x, \cdot)\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{y}^{\alpha, 2}}^{2}$ and an analogous proof shows

$$
\max \left(\left|K_{i, N, N}+K_{i, N, M}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2 r} \mathcal{W}_{y}^{1-\frac{s^{\prime}}{2}, 2}},\left|K_{i, N, M}+K_{i, M, M}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2 r} \mathcal{W}_{y}^{1-\frac{s^{\prime}}{2}, 2}}\right) \lesssim|K|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2 r} \mathcal{W}_{y}^{1-\frac{s^{\prime}}{2}+\delta, 2}}
$$

Going back to equation (9), we obtain

$$
\left.\left|: Z_{i, N}^{2}:-: Z_{i, M}^{2}:\left.\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{q} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{-s, q}} \lesssim \lambda_{M}^{-\delta}\right| K\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2 r} \mathcal{W}_{y}^{1-\frac{s^{\prime}}{2}+\delta, 2}} ^{2}
$$

Moreover, $|K|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2 r} \mathcal{W}_{y}^{1-\frac{s^{\prime}}{2}+\delta, 2}}$ is finite as soon as $1-\frac{s^{\prime}}{2}+\delta<1-\frac{1}{r}$ according to Proposition 2.2. There exists such a $\delta$ if $s q>2$. In order to have $0<s<1$, we need $q>2$. This proves the sequence is Cauchy. Then let $N$ go to infinity and the estimate follows. We can choose $\delta<\frac{s}{3}-\frac{2}{3 r}$. Taking $\frac{1}{r}$ arbitrarily close to $\frac{2}{q}-\frac{s}{2}$, we obtain the announced range for $\delta$.
Remark 3.16. An analogous proof shows :| $|\nabla Y|^{2}$ : does not depend on the choice of $\chi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ (as long as $\chi(0)=1$ ), but choosing a $\chi$ which is compactly supported and equal to one on a neighborhood of the origin makes the proof of Proposition 3.12 easier and gives an explicit convergence speed. This independence, together with Proposition 5.1 below, ensures that the renormalized solution we construct does not depend on the choice of $\chi$.

To estimate $\mathbb{L}_{x}^{q}$ norms of Wick products, we use the following lemmas. First see that Nelson's estimate allows us to estimate higher order moments of $\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\alpha, q}$ norms of the Wick product.

Lemma 3.17. Let $2 \leqslant q<q^{\prime}<+\infty$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. It holds,

$$
\forall N \in \mathbb{N},\left|:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:\left.\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{q^{\prime}} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\alpha, q}} \leqslant\left(q^{\prime}-1\right)\right|:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:\left.\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{q} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\alpha, q}}
$$

Using Borel-Cantelli lemma, we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 3.18. Let $q \in(2,+\infty], \frac{2}{q}<s<1$ and $0<\delta<\frac{2 s}{3}-\frac{4}{3 q}$. Almost surely

$$
\sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}}\left[\lambda_{N}^{\delta}\left|:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:-:|\nabla Y|^{2}:\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{-s, q}}\right]<+\infty
$$

Moreover, for any $p \in[1,+\infty)$ there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\forall N \geqslant M,\left|:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:-:\left|\nabla Y_{M}\right|^{2}:\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{-s, q}} \leqslant C \lambda_{M}^{-\delta}
$$

and

$$
\max \left(\left|:|\nabla Y|^{2}:\left.\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{-s, q}}, \sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}}\right|:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:\left.\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{-s, q}}\right) \leqslant C
$$

Remark 3.19. This shows that, up to restricting ourselves to an event of probability 1, we can assume in what follows that for all $\omega \in \Omega,:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:(\omega)$ and $:|\nabla Y|^{2}:(\omega)$ are well-defined and for every $q \in(2,+\infty]$ and $s>\frac{2}{q},:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:(\omega)$ converges to $:|\nabla Y|^{2}:(\omega)$ in $\mathcal{W}^{-s, q}$.

Finally, in order to control the divergence of spatial $\mathbb{L}^{q}$-norms of Wick products, we will need the following lemma.
Lemma 3.20. Let $2 \leqslant q<+\infty$ and $2 \leqslant p_{1}, q_{1}, p_{2}, q_{2}<+\infty$ such that $\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{q_{1}}=\frac{1}{p_{2}}+\frac{1}{q_{2}}=\frac{1}{q}$. There exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\forall N \in \mathbb{N},\left.\left|:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:\left.\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{q} \mathbb{L}_{x}^{q}} \leqslant C\right| \nabla Y_{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p_{1}} \mathbb{L}_{x}^{p_{2}}}\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{q_{1}} \mathbb{L}_{x}^{q_{2}}}
$$

We deduce the following corollary.
Corollary 3.21. Let $1 \leqslant p<+\infty, 2<q<+\infty$ and $1>s>\frac{2}{q}$. There exists $C>0$ such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

Hölder's inequality, Sobolev embeddings and Lemma 3.3 then give an immediate corollary.
Corollary 3.22. Let $\kappa \in(0,1), q \in(1,+\infty)$ and a random variable $X \in \mathcal{W}^{1, q}$ a.s. There exists $a$ sequence of random variables $\left(C_{N}\right)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ verifying Property $\left(^{*}\right)$ such that almost surely

$$
\forall N \in \mathbb{N},\left.\left|X:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:\left.\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{q}} \leqslant C_{N}\right| X\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1, q}} \lambda_{N}^{\kappa}
$$

Moreover, let $p_{0}>p \geqslant 1$ and assume $X \in \mathbb{L}^{p_{0}}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{W}^{1, q}\right)$, then there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}}\left[\lambda_{N}^{-\kappa}\left|X:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathbb{L}_{x}^{q}}\right] \leqslant C|X|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p_{0}} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{1, q}}
$$

### 3.3 The regularized equation

In order to solve equation (4) we are going to study a regularized transformed equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{i} \partial_{t} v^{N}+H v^{N}+2 \nabla Y_{N} \cdot \nabla v^{N}+x Y_{N} \cdot x v^{N}+:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}: v^{N}+\lambda\left|v^{N}\right|^{2} v^{N} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}=0  \tag{10}\\
v(0)=v_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $Y_{N}=S_{N} Y$ as previously. Formally, this renormalized transformed equation has two invariant quantities, first a transformed mass

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{M}_{N}(v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|v(x)|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}(x)} \mathrm{d} x \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a transformed energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{N}(v)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(|\nabla v|^{2}+|x v|^{2}-|x v|^{2} Y_{N}-:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:|v|^{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|v|^{4} \mathrm{e}^{4 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well-known that equation (10) has a unique maximal solution for $v_{0} \in \Sigma$ (see for example [8]). Here we give a complete statement.
Theorem 3.23. (Local wellposedness) Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Almost surely, for every $v_{0} \in \Sigma$, there exists a unique maximal solution $v^{N}$ to equation (10) in $\mathcal{C}\left(\left(-T_{N}^{-}, T_{N}^{+}\right), \Sigma\right)$. Moreover we have almost surely, the blow-up alternative

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{N}^{ \pm}}\left|v^{N}(t)\right|_{\Sigma}=+\infty \text { or } T_{N}^{ \pm}=+\infty
$$

transformed mass and energy, defined by equations (11) and (12), are conserved and if $v_{0} \in \mathcal{W}^{2,2}$, then $v^{N} \in \mathcal{C}\left(\left(-T_{-}^{N}, T_{+}^{N}\right), \mathcal{W}^{2,2}\right) \cap \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\left(-T_{-}^{N}, T_{+}^{N}\right), \mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right)$.

The mass conservation allows us to control the $\mathbb{L}^{2}$ norm of $v^{N}$ :

$$
\left|v^{N}(t)\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}^{2} \leqslant\left|\mathrm{e}^{-2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \tilde{M}_{N}\left(v^{N}(t)\right) \leqslant\left|\mathrm{e}^{-2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \tilde{M}_{N}\left(v_{0}\right) \leqslant\left|\mathrm{e}^{-2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}\left|\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}
$$

Moreover the energy conservation allows us to control the $\Sigma$ norm of $v^{N}$ in $\mathbb{L}^{p}(\Omega)$ in the linear case (for some $p \geqslant 2$ depending on the integrability of $v_{0}$ ), almost surely in the defocusing case and on a non-zero probability event in the focusing case.
Proposition 3.24. Assume that $v_{0} \in \Sigma$ a.s. and $\lambda=0$. Then, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}, v^{N}$ is global almost surely. Moreover, let $p_{0} \in(2,+\infty)$ and assume $v_{0} \in \mathbb{L}^{p_{0}}(\Omega, \Sigma)$, then for any $p \in\left[2, p_{0}\right)$, there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\forall N \in \mathbb{N},\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \Sigma} \leqslant C\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p_{0}} \Sigma}
$$

In the nonlinear case, we will need the following Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality.
Lemma 3.25. (See [6]) For all $u \in W^{1,2},|u|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{4}}^{4} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}|u|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}^{2}|\nabla u|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}^{2}$.
Proposition 3.26. Assume $\lambda \leqslant 0$. Then, there exists a positive random variable $C$ almost surely finite such that almost surely, for any $v_{0} \in \Sigma$ and any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $v^{N}$ is global and

$$
\forall N \in \mathbb{N},\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \Sigma} \leqslant C\left(1+\left|v_{0}\right|_{\Sigma}\right)^{2}
$$

Proposition 3.27. Assume $\lambda>0$ and let $L>0$. Let $\bar{\Omega}_{L}=\left\{\lambda L^{2}\left|\mathrm{e}^{-2 Y}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}^{2}\left|\mathrm{e}^{2 Y}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}\left|\mathrm{e}^{4 Y}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}<4\right\}$. Then on $\bar{\Omega}_{L}$ (endowed with the conditional probability and $\sigma$-algebra), there exists a random variable $N_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ a.s. and a positive random variable $C$ almost surely finite such that almost surely, for any $v_{0} \in \Sigma$ with $\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}} \leqslant L$ and any $N \geqslant N_{0}, v^{N}$ is global and

$$
\forall N \geqslant N_{0},\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \Sigma} \leqslant C\left|v_{0}\right|_{\Sigma}
$$

Unfortunately, this bound is not sufficient to obtain a uniform bound on $\left(\partial_{t} v^{N}\right)$ because of the negative regularity of the noisy terms.

## 4 The linear case

In what follows, we denote by $v^{N}$ the unique global solution of the linear equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{i} \partial_{t} v^{N}+H v^{N}+2 \nabla Y_{N} \cdot \nabla v^{N}+x Y_{N} \cdot x v^{N}+:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}: v^{N}=0  \tag{13}\\
v(0)=v_{0} \in \mathbb{L}^{p_{0}}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{W}^{2,2}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $p_{0} \in(2,+\infty)$. First, as in [13], we prove a diverging bound on $v^{N}$ in $\mathcal{W}^{2,2}$.
Proposition 4.1. Let $\kappa \in(0,1)$ and $2 \leqslant p<p_{0}$. There exists a constant $C>0$ such that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{2,2}} \leqslant C \lambda_{N}^{\kappa}\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p_{0}}} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{2,2}
$$

Proof. Define $w^{N}=\partial_{t} v^{N}$. Using equation (13), we have

$$
H v^{N}=-\left(\mathrm{i} w^{N}+2 \nabla Y_{N} \cdot \nabla v^{N}+x Y_{N} \cdot x v^{N}+:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}: v^{N}\right)
$$

Taking the $\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}$ norm, we obtain

$$
\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{2,2}} \leqslant\left|w^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}+2\left|\nabla Y_{N} \cdot \nabla v^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}+\left|x Y_{N} \cdot x v^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}+\left|:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}: v^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}
$$

Applying Corollaries 3.7 and 3.22 and Proposition 3.24, we have for any $p<p^{\prime}<p_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{2,2}} \lesssim\left|w^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}+\lambda_{N}^{\kappa}\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p^{\prime}} \mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \Sigma} \lesssim\left|w^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}+\lambda_{N}^{\kappa}\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p_{0}} \Sigma} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

To bound the $\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}$ norm of $w^{N}$, we use the fact that $w^{N}$ verifies at least formally equation (13) with initial data i $\left(H v_{0}+2 \nabla v_{0} \cdot \nabla Y_{N}+x v_{0} \cdot x Y_{N}+:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}: v_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ a.s. Approximating $v_{0}$ by a sequence of Schwartz functions, one can show that $w^{N}$ has its transformed mass conserved

$$
\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|w^{N}(t)\right| \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|w^{N}(0)\right| \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

Thus, by Hölder's inequality and Corollary 3.9, we have for any $p<p^{\prime}<p_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|w^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}} & \lesssim\left|w^{N}(0)\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p^{\prime}} \mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim\left|H v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p^{\prime}} \mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}+2\left|\nabla Y_{N} \cdot \nabla v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p^{\prime} \mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}}+\left|x Y_{N} \cdot x v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p^{\prime}} \mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}+\left|:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}: v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p^{\prime}} \mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p_{0}} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{2,2}}+\lambda_{N}^{\kappa}\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p_{0}} \Sigma}
\end{aligned}
$$

using Corollaries 3.7 and 3.22. Going back to equation (14), we finally obtain

$$
\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{2,2}} \lesssim\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p_{0}} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{2,2}}+\lambda_{N}^{\kappa}\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p_{0}} \Sigma} \lesssim \lambda_{N}^{\kappa}\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p_{0}}} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{2,2}
$$

In order to manage this divergence, we prove a Cauchy estimate in $\mathbb{L}^{p}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{C}\left([a, b], \mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right)\right)$.
Proposition 4.2. Let $N \geqslant M>0$ be two integers, $p \in\left[2, p_{0}\right), \delta \in\left(0, \frac{2}{3}\right)$ and $a<0<b$. Then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that we have

$$
\left|v^{N}-v^{M}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}} \leqslant C \lambda_{M}^{-\delta}\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p_{0}} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{2,2}}^{2}
$$

Proof. Let $N \geqslant M>0$ and set $R=v^{N}-v^{M}$. It verifies

$$
\begin{cases}-\mathrm{i} \partial_{t} R= & H R+2 \nabla Y_{N} \cdot \nabla R+2 \nabla\left(Y_{N}-Y_{M}\right) \cdot \nabla v^{M}+x Y_{N} \cdot x R  \tag{15}\\ & +x\left(Y_{N}-Y_{M}\right) \cdot x v^{M}+:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}: R+\left(:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:-:\left|\nabla Y_{M}\right|^{2}:\right) v^{M} \\ R(0)=0 & \end{cases}
$$

and it belongs to $\mathcal{C}^{1}\left([a, b], \mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right)$ almost surely. Taking the time derivative of $\tilde{M}_{N}(R)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|R|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x & =\left\langle\partial_{t} R, R \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\
& =-\operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(H R+2 \nabla Y_{N} \cdot \nabla R+2 \nabla\left(Y_{N}-Y_{M}\right) \cdot \nabla v^{M}\right) \bar{R} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -\operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(x Y_{N} \cdot x R+x\left(Y_{N}-Y_{M}\right) \cdot x v^{M}\right) \bar{R} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -\operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}: R+\left(:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:-:\left|\nabla Y_{M}\right|^{2}:\right) v^{M}\right) \overline{R e}^{2 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =-\operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(2 \nabla\left(Y_{N}-Y_{M}\right) \cdot \nabla v^{M}+x\left(Y_{N}-Y_{M}\right) \cdot x v^{M}\right) \bar{R} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -\operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:-:\left|\nabla Y_{M}\right|^{2}:\right) v^{M} \bar{R} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(H R+2 \nabla Y_{N} \cdot \nabla R\right) \bar{R} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x & =\operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(\bar{R} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}} \Delta R+\bar{R} \nabla R \cdot \nabla\left[\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right]\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(-\nabla R \cdot \nabla\left[\bar{R} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right]+\bar{R} \nabla R \cdot \nabla\left[\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right]\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\kappa \in(0,1)$ such that $\delta \in\left(0, \frac{2 \kappa}{3}\right)$. We have by duality:

By Lemma 2.7 and interpolation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\bar{R} \nabla v^{M} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\kappa, 1}} & \lesssim|R|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\kappa, 2}}\left|v^{M}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1+\kappa, 2}}\left|\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{1+\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\kappa, \infty}} \\
& \lesssim\left(\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \Sigma}+\left|v^{M}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \Sigma}\right)\left|v^{M}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \Sigma}^{1-\kappa}\left|\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{1+\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\kappa, \infty}}\left|v^{M}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{2,2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left|x \bar{R} v^{M} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\kappa, 1}} \lesssim\left(\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \Sigma}+\left|v^{M}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \Sigma}\right)\left|v^{M}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \Sigma}^{1-\kappa}\left|\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{1+\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\kappa, \infty}}\left|v^{M}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{2}, 2}^{\kappa}
$$

and

$$
\left|\bar{R} v^{M} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\kappa, 1}} \lesssim\left(\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t} \infty}+\left|v^{M}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t} \infty}\right)\left|v^{M}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \Sigma}\left|\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{1+\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\kappa, \infty}}
$$

Integrating in time, we obtain a bound uniform in $t \in[a, b]$ (with a constant depending on $b-a$ ):

Let $p<p^{\prime}<p_{0}$ and $r>1$ such that $\frac{2}{p}>\frac{2}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{r}$, by Hölder's inequality and Corollary 3.9 we have

Let $\kappa^{\prime} \in(0,1)$, using Propositions 3.24 and 4.1, we obtain

Let $\kappa^{\prime \prime} \in(\delta, \kappa)$, using Corollary 3.5 , we obtain

$$
|R|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}^{2} \lesssim\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p_{0}} \Sigma}^{2-\kappa}\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p_{0}} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{2,2}}^{\kappa} \lambda_{M}^{\kappa \kappa^{\prime}-\kappa^{\prime \prime}}+\left.\left|:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:-:\left|\nabla Y_{M}\right|^{2}:\left.\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{r} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{-\kappa, \infty}}\right| v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p_{0} \Sigma}} ^{2}
$$

Using Corollary 3.18 (recall that $\delta \in\left(0, \frac{2 \kappa}{3}\right)$ ), we have

$$
|R|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}^{2} \lesssim\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p_{0}}}^{2} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{2,2}\left(\lambda_{M}^{\kappa \kappa^{\prime}-\kappa^{\prime \prime}}+\lambda_{M}^{-\delta}\right) .
$$

We conclude by choosing $\kappa^{\prime}=\frac{\kappa^{\prime \prime}-\delta}{\kappa} \in(0,1)$.
We can now prove our main result in the linear case.
Proof. of Theorem 1.1.
Let $\kappa^{\prime} \in(0,1)$ and $\delta \in\left(0, \frac{2}{3}\right)$. By interpolation between Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 we get

$$
\left|v^{N}-v^{M}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}} \lesssim\left|v^{N}-v^{M}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{2,2}}^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}\left|v^{N}-v^{M}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}^{1-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \lesssim \lambda_{N}^{\frac{\kappa^{\prime} \sigma}{2}} \lambda_{M}^{-\left(1-\frac{\sigma}{2}\right) \frac{\delta}{2}}\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p_{0}}} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{2,2}
$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, N=2^{n+1}$ and $M=2^{n}$. We have

$$
\left|v^{2^{n+1}}-v^{2^{n}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}} \lesssim 2^{n\left(\kappa^{\prime} \sigma-\left(1-\frac{\sigma}{2}\right) \delta\right) / 4}\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p_{0}} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{2,2}}
$$

Remark that:

$$
\frac{\kappa^{\prime} \sigma}{4}-\left(1-\frac{\sigma}{2}\right) \frac{\delta}{4}<0 \Longleftrightarrow \kappa^{\prime}<\frac{2-\sigma}{2 \sigma} \delta
$$

Thus, for fixed $\sigma$, it is possible to choose $\delta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right)$ and $\kappa^{\prime} \in\left(0, \frac{2-\sigma}{2 \sigma} \delta\right)$ so that the sequence $\left(\left|v^{2^{n+1}}-v^{2^{n}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is summable. Hence, $\left(v^{2^{n}}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space $\mathbb{L}^{p}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{C}\left([a, b], \mathcal{W}^{\sigma, 2}\right)\right)$. Denote by $v$ its limit in this space. Using Lemmas 2.8 and 3.10 and Corollaries 3.5 and 3.18 , it is not difficult to show that $-\mathrm{i} \partial_{t} v^{2^{n}}$ converge in $\mathcal{C}\left([a, b], \mathcal{W}^{\sigma-2,2}\right)$ to

$$
H v+2 \nabla v \cdot \nabla Y+x v \cdot x Y+:|\nabla Y|^{2}: v
$$

so that $v \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left([a, b], \mathcal{W}^{\sigma-2,2}\right) \cap \mathcal{C}\left([a, b], \mathcal{W}^{\sigma, 2}\right)$ a.s. and is solution of equation (7).
Since equation (7) is linear, it is sufficient, in order to prove uniqueness, to prove that any solution with initial data 0 is constant to 0 . Let $v$ be a solution in $\mathbb{L}^{p}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{C}\left([a, b], \mathcal{W}^{\sigma, 2}\right)\right)$ of equation (7) with initial data 0 . Then the Lions-Magenes lemma (see [24]) yields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|v|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x & =\left\langle\partial_{t} v, v \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma-2,2}, \mathcal{W}_{x}^{2-\sigma, 2}} \\
& =\left\langle\mathrm{i}\left(H v+2 \nabla v \cdot \nabla Y+x v \cdot x Y+:|\nabla Y|^{2}: v\right), v \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma-2,2}, \mathcal{W}_{x}^{2-\sigma, 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that

$$
\left\langle\mathrm{i}\left(H v+2 \nabla v \cdot \nabla Y_{N}+x v \cdot x Y_{N}+:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}: v\right), v \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma-2,2}, \mathcal{W}_{x}^{2-\sigma, 2}}=0
$$

It follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|v|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x & =\left\langle\mathrm{i}\left(2 \nabla v \cdot \nabla\left(Y-Y_{N}\right)+x v \cdot x\left(Y-Y_{N}\right) v\right), v \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma-2,2}, \mathcal{W}_{x}^{2-\sigma, 2}} \\
& +\left\langle\mathrm{i}\left(:|\nabla Y|^{2}:-:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:\right) v, v \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma-2,2}, \mathcal{W}_{x}^{2-\sigma, 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\kappa \in(0, \sigma-1)$, we have a.s.

Integrating in time, taking expectation and using Lemma 3.10 and Corollaries 3.5 and 3.18 we obtain

$$
\sup _{[a, b]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|v|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y}=0 \text { a.s. }
$$

which concludes the uniqueness.
To prove now that the whole sequence converges to $v$, let $N \geqslant 2$ and $n=\left\lfloor\log _{2}(N)\right\rfloor$. Note $M=2^{n}$. Then, interpolating between Propositions 4.1 and 4.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|v^{N}-v\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty}} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2} & \lesssim\left|v^{N}-v^{2^{n}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty}}^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{2,2}\left|v^{N}-v^{2^{n}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}^{1-\frac{\sigma}{2}}+\left|v^{2^{n}}-v\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}} \\
& \lesssim \lambda_{N}^{\frac{\kappa^{\prime} \sigma}{2}} \lambda_{M}^{-\left(1-\frac{\sigma}{2}\right) \frac{\delta}{2}}+\left|v^{2^{n}}-v\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{\log _{2}(N) \frac{\kappa^{\prime} \sigma}{4}-n\left(1-\frac{\sigma}{2}\right) \frac{\delta}{4}}+\left|v^{2^{n}}-v\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which goes to 0 when $N$ goes to $+\infty$ if, for example, we choose $\delta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right), \delta^{\prime} \in\left(0,\left(1-\frac{\sigma}{2}\right) \frac{\delta}{4}\right)$ and $\kappa^{\prime} \in\left(0, \min \left(1, \frac{(2-\sigma) \delta-8 \delta^{\prime}}{4 \sigma}\right)\right)$ in order to have

$$
\frac{\log _{2}(N)}{\left\lfloor\log _{2}(N)\right\rfloor} \frac{\kappa^{\prime} \sigma}{4}-\left(1-\frac{\sigma}{2}\right) \frac{\delta}{4}<-\delta^{\prime}
$$

uniformly in $N \geqslant 2$.
It remains to prove conservation laws. The conservation of the transformed mass follows from the convergence of $v^{N}$ in $\mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and Lemma 3.10 and the conservation of the transformed energy follows from convergence of $v^{N}$ in $\Sigma$ and Lemmas 2.7, 2.8 and 3.10. This conclude the proof.

## 5 The nonlinear equation

First we prove that there exists at most one solution to equation (4).
Proposition 5.1. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Almost surely, for every $a<0<b$, every $\sigma \in(1,2)$ and every $v_{0} \in \mathcal{W}^{\sigma, 2}$, there exists at most one solution to equation (4) in $\mathcal{C}\left([a, b], \mathcal{W}^{\sigma, 2}\right)$ and it belongs to $\mathcal{C}\left([a, b], \mathcal{W}^{\sigma, 2}\right) \cap \mathcal{C}^{1}\left([a, b], \mathcal{W}^{\sigma-2,2}\right)$.

Proof. Using the continuous injection of $\mathcal{C}\left(\left[a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right], \mathcal{W}^{\sigma^{\prime}, 2}\right)$ in $\mathcal{C}\left([a, b], \mathcal{W}^{\sigma, 2}\right)$ for $a^{\prime}<a, b^{\prime}>b$ and $\sigma^{\prime}>\sigma$, it is sufficient to prove the claim almost surely for fixed $a, b$ and $\sigma$. So let $a<0<b$ and $\sigma \in(1,2)$.

Let $\kappa \in(0, \sigma-1)$ and $\delta \in\left(0, \frac{2 \kappa}{3}\right)$. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{0}=\left\{e^{-2 Y} \in \mathbb{L}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}} \frac{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}{N \rightarrow+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y}, \sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}}\left|e^{2 Y_{N}}-1\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-\kappa, \infty}}<+\infty\right\} \\
& \cap\left\{\sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{N}^{\delta} \max \left(\left|\nabla\left(Y-Y_{N}\right)\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{-\kappa, \infty}},\left|x\left(Y-Y_{N}\right)\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{-\kappa, \infty}},\left|:|\nabla Y|^{2}:-:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{-\kappa, \infty}}\right)<+\infty\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

using Lemma 3.10 and Corollaries 3.5 and 3.18, it follows that $\mathbb{P}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)=1$.
Let $v_{0} \in \mathcal{W}^{\sigma, 2}, v$ and $w$ be two solutions of equation (4) in $\mathcal{C}\left([a, b], \mathcal{W}^{\sigma, 2}\right)$ with initial data $v_{0}$ and set $r=v-w$. Then $r \in \mathcal{C}\left([a, b], \mathcal{W}^{\sigma, 2}\right)$ and verifies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{i} \partial_{t} r+H r+2 \nabla Y \cdot \nabla r+x Y \cdot x r+:|\nabla Y|^{2}: r+\lambda\left(|v|^{2} v-|w|^{2} w\right) e^{2 Y}  \tag{16}\\
r(0)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Moreover, using Lemma 2.8, one can show that $v, w \in \mathcal{C}\left([a, b], \mathcal{W}^{\sigma, 2}\right) \cap \mathcal{C}^{1}\left([a, b], \mathcal{W}^{\sigma-2,2}\right)$ so that $r \in \mathcal{C}\left([a, b], \mathcal{W}^{\sigma, 2}\right) \cap \mathcal{C}^{1}\left([a, b], \mathcal{W}^{\sigma-2,2}\right)$. Using Lemma 3.10 , on $\Omega_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in[a, b]}|r(t)|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}^{2} \leqslant\left|e^{-2 Y}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \sup _{t \in[a, b]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|r|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant\left|e^{-2 Y}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty} \sup _{t \in[a, b]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|r|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall we chose $\kappa \in(0, \sigma-1)$. As in the linear case, by taking the time derivative, the Lions-Magenes
lemma yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\left.\left|\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\right| r\right|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x \right\rvert\, & =\mid\left\langle\mathrm { i } \left( 2 \nabla r \cdot \nabla\left(Y-Y_{N}\right)+x r \cdot x\left(Y-Y_{N}\right)+\left(:|\nabla Y|^{2}:-:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:\right) r\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\lambda\left(|v|^{2} v-|w|^{2} w\right) e^{2 Y}\right), r \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{-\kappa, 2}, \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\kappa, 2}} \mid \\
& \leqslant\left|2 \nabla r \cdot \nabla\left(Y-Y_{N}\right)+x r \cdot x\left(Y-Y_{N}\right)\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{-\kappa, 2}}\left|r \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\kappa, 2}} \\
& +\left|\left(:|\nabla Y|^{2}:-:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:\right) r\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{-\kappa, 2}}\left|r \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\kappa, 2}} \\
& +\left|\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(|v|^{2} v-|w|^{2} w\right) e^{2 Y} \bar{r} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemmas 2.7, 2.8 and 3.11 and Corollary 2.5, it gives

Recall that $\delta \in\left(0, \frac{2 \kappa}{3}\right)$, so there exists a positive random variable $C$ finite on $\Omega_{0}$ (by definition of $\left.\Omega_{0}\right)$ such that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|r|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant C\left(|r|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}}^{2} \lambda_{N}^{-\delta}+\left(|v|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}}^{2}+|w|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}}^{2}\right)\left|e^{2 Y}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|r|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right)
$$

Then by Gronwall's lemma, we obtain

$$
\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \sup _{t \in[a, b]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|r|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant C|r|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}}^{2} \lambda_{N}^{-\delta} \mathrm{e}^{(b-a) C\left(|v|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty}}^{2} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}+|w|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty}}^{2} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}\right)\left|e^{2 Y}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}}
$$

which in addition to equation (17) implies that $v=w$ almost surely.
We will only prove the existence of a solution in the defocusing case (i.e. Theorem 1.2). In view of Proposition 3.27 , analogous proofs will hold on the conditional probability space $\left(\bar{\Omega}_{L}, \mathcal{A}_{\mid \bar{\Omega}_{L}}, \mathbb{P}_{\mid \bar{\Omega}_{L}}\right)$ in the focusing case if we restrict ourselves to initial data $v_{0} \in \mathcal{W}^{2,2}$ satisfying $\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}} \leqslant L$. So, assume $\lambda \leqslant 0$. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $v^{N}$ the unique global solution to equation (10). First as in the linear case, we obtain a diverging bound but this time on $\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}}$ for any $\sigma \in\left(\frac{3}{2}, 2\right)$. In order to obtain this bound, we prove the following generalized Brezis-Gallouet inequality (for the classical one see [6]).

Proposition 5.2. Generalized Brezis-Gallouet inequality
Let $\sigma>1$, there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\forall v \in \mathcal{W}^{\sigma, 2},|v|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \leqslant C\left(1+|v|_{\Sigma} \sqrt{1+\ln \left(1+|v|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}}\right)}\right)
$$

Proof. By continuity of both sides and density of $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ in $\mathcal{W}^{\sigma, 2}$, it is sufficient to obtain the claim for $v \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Then, we have for any $R>0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
|v|_{\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}^{\infty}} & \lesssim \int_{|\eta|<R}\langle\eta\rangle \mathcal{F} v(\eta) \frac{\mathrm{d} \eta}{\langle\eta\rangle}+\int_{|\eta| \geqslant R}\langle\eta\rangle^{\sigma} \mathcal{F} v(\eta) \frac{\mathrm{d} \eta}{\langle\eta\rangle^{\sigma}} \\
& \lesssim|v|_{\Sigma} \sqrt{\ln (1+R)}+\frac{|v|_{\mathcal{W}^{\sigma, 2}}}{\left(1+R^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma-1}{2}}} \\
& \lesssim|v|_{\Sigma} \sqrt{\ln (1+R)}+\frac{|v|_{\mathcal{W}^{\sigma, 2}}}{1+R^{\sigma-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality. We conclude choosing $R=\left(\frac{|v|_{\mathcal{W} \sigma, 2}}{1+|v|_{\Sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}} \leqslant|v|^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}$ 敢 ${ }^{\sigma, 2}$ and using properties of the logarithm.

Using this inequality, we obtain the following diverging bound which grows polynomially in $N$.
Proposition 5.3. Almost surely, for all $v_{0} \in \mathcal{W}^{2,2}$, for all $a<0<b$, for all $\sigma \in(1,2)$ and all $\kappa \in(0,1)$, there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\forall N \in \mathbb{N},\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{[a, b]}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}^{\sigma, 2}} \leqslant C \lambda_{N}^{\kappa}
$$

Then, as in the linear case, we obtain a Cauchy estimate in $\mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.
Proposition 5.4. Almost surely, for all $v_{0} \in \mathcal{W}^{2,2}$, for all $a<0<b$, for all $\kappa \in(0,1)$ and all $\delta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{3}\right)$, there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\forall N>M \geqslant 0,\left|v^{N}-v^{M}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{[a, b]}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}^{2}} \leqslant C \lambda_{N}^{\kappa} \lambda_{M}^{-\delta}
$$

The proofs of both Propositions 5.3 and 5.4 are given in appendix C. We can now prove the theorem.

Proof. of Theorem 1.2.
Let $\bar{\Omega}=\Omega^{\prime} \cap \Omega^{\prime \prime} \cap \Omega_{0}$ where $\Omega^{\prime}, \Omega^{\prime \prime}$ and $\Omega_{0}$ are the full probability event of Propositions 5.1 , 5.3 and 5.4 respectively. Then $\mathbb{P}(\bar{\Omega})=1$ and for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $v_{0} \in \mathcal{W}^{2,2}$ and any $\omega \in \bar{\Omega}$, $v^{N}(\omega)$ is global.

Let $\omega \in \bar{\Omega}, v_{0} \in \mathcal{W}^{2,2}, a<0<b$ and $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime} \in(1,2)$ such that $\sigma^{\prime}>\sigma, \delta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{3}\right)$ and let $\kappa=\left(1-\frac{\sigma}{\sigma^{\prime}}\right) \frac{\delta}{2} \in(0,1)$. Then by interpolation, for any $N>M \geqslant 0$, it holds

$$
\left|v^{N}(\omega)-v^{M}(\omega)\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}} \leqslant\left|v^{N}(\omega)-v^{M}(\omega)\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty}}^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma^{\prime}}} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma^{\prime}, 2}\left|v^{N}(\omega)-v^{M}(\omega)\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{\sigma}{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\prime}}}{1-\frac{\sigma}{\prime}}}^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}
$$

By Propositions 5.3 and 5.4 , there exists $C\left(\omega, v_{0}\right) \in(0,+\infty)$ (which is independent of $N$ and $M$, and may vary from line to line) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall N>M \geqslant 0,\left|v^{N}(\omega)-v^{M}(\omega)\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}} \leqslant C\left(\omega, v_{0}\right) \lambda_{N}^{\kappa} \lambda_{M}^{-\left(1-\frac{\sigma}{\sigma^{\prime}}\right) \delta} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, M=2^{n}$ and $N=2^{n+1}$. Then equation (18) gives

$$
\left|v^{2^{n+1}}(\omega)-v^{2^{n}}(\omega)\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}} \leqslant C\left(\omega, v_{0}\right) 2^{\frac{n}{2}\left(\kappa-\left(1-\frac{\sigma}{\sigma^{\prime}}\right) \delta\right)}=C\left(\omega, v_{0}\right) 2^{-n\left(1-\frac{\sigma}{\sigma^{\prime}}\right) \frac{\delta}{4}}
$$

which is summable. Hence the sequence $\left(v^{2^{n}}(\omega)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy in the Banach space $\mathcal{C}\left([a, b], \mathcal{W}^{\sigma, 2}\right)$ and thus converges to an element $v(\omega)$. As in the linear case, using

$$
\left|v^{N}(\omega)-v(\omega)\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}} \leqslant\left|v^{N}(\omega)-v^{2\left\lfloor\log _{2} N\right\rfloor}(\omega)\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}}+\left|v^{2\left\lfloor\log _{2} N\right\rfloor}(\omega)-v(\omega)\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}}
$$

in addition to equation (18) with a well-chosen $\kappa$ shows the convergence of $v^{N}(\omega)$ to $v(\omega)$ in $\mathcal{C}\left([a, b], \mathcal{W}^{\sigma, 2}\right)$. The function $v$ is measurable as an almost sure limit of measurable functions. Using Lemmas 2.8 and 3.10 and Corollaries 3.5 and 3.18 , it is not difficult to show that $-\mathrm{i} \partial_{t} v^{N}(\omega)$ converges in $\mathcal{C}\left([a, b], \mathcal{W}^{\sigma-2,2}\right)$ to

$$
H v(\omega)+2 \nabla v(\omega) \cdot \nabla Y(\omega)+x v(\omega) \cdot x Y(\omega)+:|\nabla Y|^{2}:(\omega) v(\omega)+\lambda|v(\omega)|^{2} v(\omega) \mathrm{e}^{2 Y(\omega)}
$$

so that $v \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left([a, b], \mathcal{W}^{\sigma-2,2}\right) \cap \mathcal{C}\left([a, b], \mathcal{W}^{\sigma, 2}\right)$ a.s. and is solution of equation (4). Moreover, as in the linear case, the regularity of $v$ is sufficient to pass to the limit conservation laws.

## Remark 5.5.

- In the case of subcubic nonlinearities, $|u|^{2 \gamma} u$ with $\gamma \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, analogous of Propositions 3.26, $5.1,5.3$ and 5.4 and Theorem 1.2 holds and there exists almost surely, for all initial data in $\mathcal{W}^{2,2}$, a unique solution which lives in $\mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{W}^{2-, 2}\right)$.
- If instead of working with deterministic initial data in the focusing case, one choose a random initial datum $v_{0} \in \mathcal{W}^{2,2}$ a.s. such that

$$
\lambda\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}^{2}\left|\mathrm{e}^{-2 Y}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}^{2}\left|\mathrm{e}^{2 Y}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}\left|\mathrm{e}^{4 Y}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}<4
$$

holds almost surely, then by a slight modification of previous arguments, one can show that there exists almost a unique global solution.

## A Proofs of results from Section 2

## A. 1 Hermite-Sobolev spaces

Proof. of Proposition 2.4
It is known from [4] that, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, an equivalent norm on $\mathcal{W}^{k, p}$ is given by

$$
|u|_{k, p}=|u|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{p}}+\sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{1 \leqslant\left|i_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|i_{m}\right| \leqslant 2}\left|A_{i_{1}} \cdots A_{i_{m}} u\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{p}}
$$

Then, for $u \in \mathcal{W}^{k, p}$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and $i \in\{ \pm 1, \pm 2\}$, we have

$$
\left|A_{i} u\right|_{k-1, p}=\left|A_{i} u\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{p}}+\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \sum_{1 \leqslant\left|i_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|i_{m}\right| \leqslant 2}\left|A_{i_{1}} \cdots A_{i_{m}} A_{i} u\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{p}} \leqslant|u|_{k, p}
$$

so $A_{i} \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{W}^{k, p}, \mathcal{W}^{k-1, p}\right)$. The case $k \in-\mathbb{N}$ is shown by duality and the general case $s \in \mathbb{R}$ is obtained by interpolation.

Proof. of Proposition 2.6.
The first point follows from usual Sobolev embedding of $W^{s-\sigma, p}$ in $\mathbb{L}^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and continuous embedding of $\mathcal{W}^{s-\sigma, p}$ in $W^{s-\sigma, p}$. When the condition is sharp, one can use the compact embedding of $\mathcal{W}^{\varepsilon, p}$ in $\mathbb{L}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ (for $\varepsilon>0$ and $p \in(1,+\infty)$ ) to obtain compactness of the embedding.

It remains to prove continuous embedding in the case $p<q=+\infty$. Assume first that $\sigma<0$ and let $p^{\prime}$ be such that $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$. As $\frac{1}{p}-\frac{s}{2}<\frac{1}{q}-\frac{\sigma}{2}$, it follows $1<\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{s-\sigma}{2}$. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{W}^{k, 1}$ is continuously embedded in $W^{k, 1}$ which is continuously embedded in $W^{k+\sigma-s, p^{\prime}}$ by classical Sobolev embeddings. By interpolation, $\mathcal{W}^{-\sigma, 1}$ is continuously embedded in $W^{-s, p^{\prime}}$. By duality and continuous embedding of $\mathcal{W}^{s, p}$ in $W^{s, p}$ we obtain the claim for $\sigma<0$.

Now, for $\sigma=2 k \in 2 \mathbb{N}$, the claim follows from usual continuous embeddings of $W^{s, p}$ in $W^{2 k, \infty}$ for the Sobolev part and using the continuous injection of $\mathcal{W}^{s-2 k, p}$ in $\mathbb{L}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ combined with Corollary 2.5 to obtain that $\langle x\rangle^{2 k}: \mathcal{W}^{s, p} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ is continuous. The general case $\sigma \geqslant 0$ follows by interpolation.

## A. 2 The smooth truncation

Proof. of Lemma 2.12.
As $S_{N}$ commute with $(-H)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$, it is sufficient to prove the case $\alpha=0$. By interpolation, it is sufficient to only prove the case $s=2 n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\phi \in \mathbb{L}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, write it $\phi=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}} \phi_{k} h_{k}$, then we have

$$
\left|S_{N} \phi\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{2 n, p}}^{p}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}} \chi_{k, N} \lambda_{k}^{2 n} \phi_{k}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x=\lambda_{N}^{2 n p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}} \chi_{k, N}\left(\frac{\lambda_{k}^{2}}{\lambda_{N}^{2}}\right)^{n} \phi_{k} h_{k}(x)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x .
$$

Define $\psi_{n}(\lambda)=\lambda^{n} \chi(\lambda)$, then $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, so by Lemma 2.10, it follows

$$
\left|S_{N} \phi\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{2 n, p}}^{p}=\lambda_{N}^{2 n p}\left|\psi_{n}\left(\frac{-H}{\lambda_{N}^{2}}\right) \phi\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{p}}^{p} \lesssim \lambda_{N}^{2 n p}|\phi|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{p}}^{p}
$$

Proof. of Lemma 2.13.
Let $\phi=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}} \phi_{k} h_{k} \in \mathcal{W}^{\alpha+s, 2}$. It holds

$$
\left|\phi-S_{N} \phi\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\alpha, 2}}^{2}=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}}\left(1-\chi_{k, N}^{2}\right) \lambda_{k}^{2 \alpha}\left|\phi_{k}\right|^{2} \leqslant \lambda_{\left\lfloor\frac{N}{2}\right\rfloor}^{-2 s} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}} \lambda_{k}^{2 \alpha+2 s}\left|\phi_{k}\right|^{2} \leqslant \lambda_{\left\lfloor\frac{N}{2}\right\rfloor}^{-2 s}|\phi|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\alpha+s, 2}}^{2}
$$

## B Proofs of results from Section 3

## B. 1 Noise regularity

Proof. of Lemma 3.3.

Let $\beta<\alpha$ and $p>\max \left(1, \frac{2}{\alpha-\beta}\right)$. By Markov's inequality, we have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\lambda_{N}^{\beta}\left|X_{N}\right|>1\right) \leqslant \lambda_{N}^{-p(\alpha-\beta)}\left(\sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{N}^{\alpha}\left|X_{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p}}\right)^{p}
$$

Let $A_{N}=\left\{\lambda_{N}^{\beta}\left|X_{N}\right|>1\right\}$. The previous inequality shows $\sum_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}\left(A_{N}\right)<+\infty$, thus, by the Borel-Cantelli lemma $\mathbb{P}\left(\limsup _{N \rightarrow+\infty} A_{N}\right)=0$. Hence, almost surely, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $N \geqslant n, \lambda_{N}^{\beta}\left|X_{N}\right| \leqslant 1$. This implies that almost surely $\sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{N}^{\beta}\left|X_{N}\right|<+\infty$.

Proof. of Lemma 3.4.
By Hölder's inequality, it is sufficient to prove the case $p \geqslant q$. By Minkowski's inequality and gaussianity, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|Y-Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}}^{p}\right]^{\frac{q}{p}} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{2}}\left(1-\chi_{k, N}\right) \lambda_{k}^{-(1+s)} h_{k}(x) \xi_{k}\right|^{2}\right]^{\frac{q}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \lesssim \lambda_{N}^{-q\left(s-s^{\prime}\right)}|K|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{q} \mathcal{W}_{y}^{1-s^{\prime}, 2}}^{q}
$$

The assumption $s^{\prime} q>2$ implies $1-s^{\prime}<1-\frac{2}{q}$ and we conclude using Proposition 2.2.
Proof. of Corollary 3.5.
Let $\epsilon=\sqrt{\frac{\kappa^{\prime}}{\kappa}}, s=\epsilon \kappa, s^{\prime}=(1-\epsilon) s$ and $q>\max \left(\frac{2}{s^{\prime}}, \frac{2}{\kappa-s}\right)$. By Sobolev embeddings and Corollary 2.5, there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\max \left(\left|Y-Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-\kappa, \infty},},\left|\nabla Y-\nabla Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{-\kappa, \infty}},\left|x Y-x Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{-\kappa, \infty}}\right) \leqslant c\left|Y-Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}}
$$

Denote by $C_{N}=c\left|Y-Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}} \lambda_{N}^{s-s^{\prime}}$. As $s-s^{\prime}=\kappa^{\prime}$, we obtain

$$
\max \left(\left|Y-Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-\kappa, \infty}},\left|\nabla Y-\nabla Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{-\kappa, \infty}},\left|x Y-x Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{-\kappa, \infty}}\right) \leqslant C_{N} \lambda_{N}^{-\kappa^{\prime}}
$$

Let $p \in[1,+\infty)$, by Lemma 3.4, $\sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}}\left|C_{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p}}<+\infty$. The only point remaining is to show that almost surely $\sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}} C_{N}<+\infty$. Let $\kappa^{\prime \prime} \in\left(\kappa^{\prime}, \kappa\right)$, then by taking $s=\sqrt{\kappa \kappa^{\prime}}, s^{\prime}=\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{\kappa^{\prime \prime}}{\kappa}}\right) s$ and $q>\max \left(\frac{2}{s^{\prime}}, \frac{2}{\kappa-s}\right)$, we can conclude using Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4.

Proof. of Corollary 3.6.
Let $q>\frac{4}{\kappa}$ and $s=\frac{\kappa}{2}$, by Sobolev embedding and Corollary 2.5, there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\max \left(\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}},\left|x Y_{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}\right) \leqslant c\left|Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1+s, q}} .
$$

Hence, using Lemma 2.12 and Corollary 2.11, there exists $c^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\max \left(\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}},\left|x Y_{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}\right) \leqslant c^{\prime}|Y|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}} \lambda_{N}^{\kappa}
$$

where we used that $\kappa=2 s$.

Proof. of Lemma 3.8.
Due to the condition on $s, \mathcal{W}^{1-s, q}$ is continuously embedded in $\mathbb{L}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Thus, $\mathcal{W}^{1-s, q}$ is an algebra and there exists a constant $c \geqslant 1$ such that for all $u, v \in \mathcal{W}^{s, q}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u v|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}} \leqslant c|u|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}}|v|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Minkowski's inequality, we obtain
$\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathrm{e}^{a Y_{N}}-1\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}}^{p}\right] \leqslant \mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{c p|a|\left|Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}}}\right]$ and $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathrm{e}^{a Y}-1\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}}^{p}\right] \leqslant \mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{c p|a||Y|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}}}\right]$.
By Corollary 2.11, there exists $c^{\prime} \geqslant 1$ such that, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\left|Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}} \leqslant c^{\prime}|Y|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}}$. By Fernique's theorem (see [16]), there exists $\beta>0$ such that $\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\beta|Y|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}}^{2}}\right]<+\infty$. So, let $c^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\left(c c^{\prime} p|a|\right)^{2}}{\beta}$, then Young's inequality implies,

$$
\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathrm{e}^{a Y_{N}}-1\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}}^{p}\right] \leqslant \mathrm{e}^{c^{\prime \prime}} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\beta|Y|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}}^{2}}\right]
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathrm{e}^{a Y}-1\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}}^{p}\right] \leqslant \mathrm{e}^{c^{\prime \prime}} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\beta|Y|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}}^{2}}\right]
$$

Proof. of Lemma 3.10.
The second claim follows easily from the first one using Lemma 3.3. In order to prove the first claim, let $q>\frac{4}{\kappa-\kappa^{\prime}}$ and $s \in\left(\kappa^{\prime}+\frac{2}{q}, \kappa-\frac{2}{q}\right)$. Then $\mathcal{W}^{1-s, q}$ is continuously embedded in $\mathcal{W}^{1-\kappa, \infty}$ and is an algebra, thus equation (19) is verified. Denote by $C$ the constant of Sobolev embedding of $\mathcal{W}^{1-s, q}$ in $\mathcal{W}^{1-\kappa, \infty}$. Using the algebra property of $\mathcal{W}^{1-s, q}$ norms, we obtain

$$
\left|\mathrm{e}^{a Y_{N}}-\mathrm{e}^{a Y}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-\kappa, \infty}} \leqslant c C\left|\mathrm{e}^{a Y}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}}\left|\mathrm{e}^{a\left(Y_{N}-Y\right)}-1\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}} .
$$

By Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$
\left|\mathrm{e}^{a\left(Y_{N}-Y\right)}-1\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}} \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{c^{n-1}|a|^{n}\left|Y-Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}}^{n}}{n!} \leqslant|a|\left|Y-Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}} \mathrm{e}^{c|a|\left|Y-Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}}}
$$

Using Hölder's inequality, Corollary 2.11 and Lemmas 3.4 and 3.8 with $s^{\prime}=s-\kappa^{\prime}$ we obtain the first claim.

## B. 2 Renormalization

Proof. of Lemma 3.17.
By Minkowski's inequality and Proposition 3.15, we have

Using one more time Minkowski's and Hölder's inequalities, we obtain the claim.

Proof. of Corollary 3.18.
First, we show the moment estimates in the case $q<+\infty$. Recall that Proposition 3.12 implies

$$
\forall N \geqslant M,\left|:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:-:\left|\nabla Y_{M}\right|^{2}:\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{q} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{-s, q}} \lesssim \lambda_{M}^{-\delta}
$$

Nelson's estimate and Hölder's inequality then implies

$$
\forall N \geqslant M,\left|:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:-:\left|\nabla Y_{M}\right|^{2}:\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{-s, q}} \lesssim \lambda_{M}^{-\delta}
$$

In the case $q=+\infty$, let $q^{\prime}>\max \left(\frac{5}{s}, \frac{10}{2 s-3 \delta}\right)$ and define $s^{\prime}=s-\frac{3}{q^{\prime}}$. By definition of $q^{\prime}$, we have $s^{\prime}>\frac{2}{q^{\prime}}$ and the Sobolev embedding of $\mathcal{W}^{-s^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}$ in $\mathcal{W}^{-s, q}$. Moreover, $\delta<\frac{2 s^{\prime}}{3}-\frac{4}{3 q^{\prime}}$. Hence the previous estimate leads to

$$
\forall N \geqslant M,\left|:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:-:\left|\nabla Y_{M}\right|^{2}:\left.\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{-s, q}} \lesssim\right|:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:-:\left|\nabla Y_{M}\right|^{2}:\left.\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{-s^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}} \lesssim \lambda_{M}^{-\delta}
$$

Let $M \in \mathbb{N}$, by triangular inequality, we have

Lemma 3.17 shows that $\left|:|\nabla Y|^{2}:\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{-s, q}}<+\infty$ and the previous point shows that (by sending $N$ to $+\infty$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall M \in \mathbb{N},\left|:\left|\nabla Y_{M}\right|^{2}:-:|\nabla Y|^{2}:\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{-s, q}} \lesssim \lambda_{M}^{-\delta} \lesssim 1 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\max \left(\left|:|\nabla Y|^{2}:\left.\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{-s, q}}, \sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}}\right|:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:\left.\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{-s, q}}\right)<+\infty
$$

and we conclude using Lemma 3.3 and equation (20).
Proof. of Lemma 3.20.
By Fubini's theorem and Nelson's estimate, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{q}}^{q}\right]=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:(x)\right|^{q}\right] \mathrm{d} x \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:(x)\right|^{2}\right]^{\frac{q}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

Using :| $\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:(x)=\left|\nabla Y_{N}(x)\right|^{2}-\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\nabla Y_{N}(x)\right|^{2}\right]$, one has

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:(x)\right|^{2}\right]=\operatorname{Var}\left(\left|\nabla Y_{N}(x)\right|^{2}\right) \leqslant \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\nabla Y_{N}(x)\right|^{4}\right]
$$

Using Jensen's inequality, we obtain

$$
\left.\left.\mathbb{E}\left[\left|:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{q}}^{q}\right] \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \mathbb{E}\left[| | \nabla Y_{N}|(x)|^{4}\right]^{\frac{q}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\left(\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}\right)(x)\right|^{q}\right] \mathrm{d} x \lesssim| | \nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{q} \mathbb{L}_{x}^{q}} ^{q}
$$

and we conclude using Hölder's inequality.
Proof. of Corollary 3.21.
Using Hölder's inequality for $p<q$ and Lemma 3.17 for $p>q$, it is sufficient to prove the case $p=q$. Using Lemmas 2.12 and 3.20, we have
and we conclude using Lemma 3.4.

## B. 3 The regularized equation

Proof. of Proposition 3.24
First using definition of the transformed energy, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\left|v^{N}(t)\right|_{\Sigma}^{2} & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(\left|\nabla v^{N}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|x v^{N}(t)\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}} \mathrm{e}^{-2 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leqslant\left|\mathrm{e}^{-2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{N}\left(v^{N}(t)\right)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|x v^{N}(t)\right|^{2} Y_{N} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|v^{N}(t)\right|^{2}:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}: \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Conservation of the transformed energy implies

We treat the two integral terms separately.

- Let $q>2, s>0$ such that $q s>2$ and $q^{\prime}>1$ verifying $\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}=1$. Using Hölder's inequality, Sobolev embeddings and interpolation, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|x v^{N}(t)\right|^{2}\left|Y_{N}\right| \mathrm{d} x & \left.\left.\lesssim\left||x|^{\frac{1+s}{2}} v^{N}(t)\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2 q^{\prime}}}^{2}| | x\right|^{1-s} Y_{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{q}} \\
& \lesssim\left|v^{N}(t)\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{2}}^{2} \frac{1+s, 2 q^{\prime}}{}\left|Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}} \\
& \lesssim\left|v^{N}(t)\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}^{1-\frac{2}{q}-s}\left|v^{N}(t)\right|_{\Sigma}^{1+\frac{2}{q}+s}\left|Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $q_{1}>1$ such that $q_{1}\left(1+\frac{2}{q}+s\right)=2$ and $p_{1}$ such that $\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{q_{1}}=1$. Using Young's inequality and mass conservation, there exists a constant $c_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\left|\mathrm{e}^{-2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}\left|\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|x v^{N}\right|^{2}\left|Y_{N}\right| \mathrm{d} x \leqslant \frac{1}{8}\left|v^{N}\right|_{\Sigma}^{2}+c_{1}\left|\mathrm{e}^{-2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}^{2+p_{1}}\left|\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}^{1+p_{1}}\left|Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}}^{p_{1}^{1}}\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}^{2}
$$

Using Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 for the $\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}$ norm and Lemma 3.10 and Corollary 3.9 for the terms involving exponentials of $Y_{N}$, we obtain that $C_{1, N}=c_{1}\left|\mathrm{e}^{-2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}^{2+p_{1}}\left|\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}^{1+p_{1}}\left|Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{1-s, q}}^{p_{1}}$ verifies Property (*) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathrm{e}^{-2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}\left|\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|x v^{N}(t)\right|^{2}\left|Y_{N}\right| \mathrm{d} x \leqslant \frac{1}{8}\left|v^{N}(t)\right|_{\Sigma}^{2}+C_{1, N}\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}^{2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Let $\kappa \in(0,1)$, using duality and Lemma 2.7 we have

Let $\tilde{C}_{2, N}=\left.\left|\mathrm{e}^{-2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}}\left|:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:\left.\right|_{\mathcal{W}^{-\kappa, \infty}}\right| \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{1+\mathcal{W}^{\kappa, \infty}}$, by Young's inequality an mass conservation, there exists a constant $c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\left.\left|\mathrm{e}^{-2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\right| v^{N}(t)\right|^{2}:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:\left.\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x\left|\leqslant \frac{1}{8}\right| v^{N}(t)\right|_{\Sigma} ^{2}+c_{2} \tilde{C}_{2, N}^{\frac{1}{1-\kappa}}\left|\mathrm{e}^{-2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}\left|\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}^{2}
$$

Let $C_{2, N}=c_{2} \tilde{C}_{2, N}^{\frac{1}{1-\kappa}}\left|\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}}\left|\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}}$, using Lemma 3.10 and Corollary 3.9 for the terms involving exponentials of $Y_{N}$ and Sobolev embeddings, Corollary 3.18 and Lemma 3.3 for the renormalized term, it follows $C_{2, N}$ verifies Property (*) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|\mathrm{e}^{-2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}^{2}\right| v^{N}(t)\right|^{2}:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:\left.\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x\left|\leqslant \frac{1}{8}\right| v^{N}(t)\right|_{\Sigma} ^{2}+C_{2, N}\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}^{2} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting equations (22) and (23) back in equation (21), we obtain

$$
\left|v^{N}(t)\right|_{\Sigma}^{2} \leqslant 8\left|\mathrm{e}^{-2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{N}\left(v_{0}\right)+8\left(C_{1, N}+C_{2, N}\right)\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}^{2} .
$$

As the right hand side is independent of $t$, for all $N \in \mathbb{N}, v^{N}$ is global and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \Sigma}^{2} \leqslant 8\left|\mathrm{e}^{-2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L} \infty} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{N}\left(v_{0}\right)+8\left(C_{1, N}+C_{2, N}\right)\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}^{2} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, recall the definition of the transform energy,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{N}\left(v_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(\left|\nabla v_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|x v_{0}\right|^{2}\left(1-Y_{N}\right)-:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:\left|v_{0}\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that using Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8, Sobolev embeddings and interpolation, for some arbitrary $\kappa \in$ $(0,1)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{N}\left(v_{0}\right) \leqslant\left(1+\left|Y_{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}+\left|:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{-\kappa, \infty}}\right)\left|\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{1+\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\kappa, \infty}}\left|v_{0}\right|_{\Sigma}^{2} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $C_{N}=8\left(C_{1, N}+C_{2, N}\right)+4\left|\mathrm{e}^{-2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}\left(1+\left|Y_{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}+\left|:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{-\kappa, \infty}}\right)\left|\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{1+\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\kappa, \infty}}$, then as previously, $C_{N}$ verifies Property (*) and using equations (24) and (26), we obtain

$$
\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \Sigma}^{2} \leqslant C_{N}\left|v_{0}\right|_{\Sigma}^{2} .
$$

Taking the square root and $\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p}$ norm of both side and using Hölder's inequality, we finally obtain

$$
\sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}}\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p} \mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \Sigma} \leqslant C\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\omega}^{p_{0}} \Sigma}
$$

using that all moments of $C_{N}$ are bounded uniformly in $N$ by Property $\left(^{*}\right)$.
Proof. of Proposition 3.26.
As in the proof of Proposition 3.24 , as $\lambda \leqslant 0$, for every $N \in \mathbb{N}, v^{N}$ is global and equation (24) holds. It remains to bound the term $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{N}\left(v_{0}\right)$. Now recall that

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{N}\left(v_{0}\right)=\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{N}^{l i n}\left(v_{0}\right)-\frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|v|^{4} \mathrm{e}^{4 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{N}^{l i n}\left(v_{0}\right)$ is defined in equation (25) and verifies equation (26). Using the same notation as in the proof of Proposition 3.24, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty} \Sigma}^{2} \leqslant C_{N}\left|v_{0}\right|_{\Sigma}^{2}-2 \lambda\left|\mathrm{e}^{-2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|v_{0}\right|^{4} \mathrm{e}^{4 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 3.25, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \Sigma}^{2} \leqslant C_{N}\left|v_{0}\right|_{\Sigma}^{2}-\lambda\left|\mathrm{e}^{-2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}\left|\mathrm{e}^{4 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}\left|v_{0}\right|_{\Sigma}^{4} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $C_{N}$ verifies Property (*), the claim follows from equation (28) and Lemma 3.10.
Proof. of Proposition 3.27.
In the case where $\lambda>0$, equation (21) does not hold, but instead, we have

Using Lemma 3.25 and the mass conservation, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda\left|\mathrm{e}^{-2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|v^{N}(t)\right|^{4} \mathrm{e}^{4 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant \frac{\lambda\left|\mathrm{e}^{-2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}^{2}\left|\mathrm{e}^{4 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}}{8} \tilde{M}_{N}\left(v_{0}\right)\left|v^{N}(t)\right|_{\Sigma}^{2} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $L>0$, let $\bar{\Omega}_{L}=\left\{\lambda L^{2}\left|\mathrm{e}^{-2 Y}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}^{2}\left|\mathrm{e}^{2 Y}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}\left|\mathrm{e}^{4 Y}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}<4\right\}$. Then for any $\omega \in \bar{\Omega}_{L}$, there exists $N_{0}(\omega) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ such that for any $v_{0} \in \Sigma$ verifying $\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}} \leqslant L$, it holds

$$
\forall N \geqslant N_{0}(\omega), \frac{\lambda\left|\mathrm{e}^{-2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|v^{N}(t)\right|^{4} \mathrm{e}^{4 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant \frac{\lambda\left|\mathrm{e}^{-2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}^{2}\left|\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}\left|\mathrm{e}^{4 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}}{8}\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}^{2} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon
$$

Then, equation (29) gives on $\bar{\Omega}_{L}$,

A slight modification of the proof of Proposition 3.24 , shows there exists $\tilde{C}_{1, N}$ and $\tilde{C}_{2, N}$ verifying Property (*) and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|\mathrm{e}^{-2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}\left|\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|x v^{N}(t)\right|^{2}\left|Y_{N}\right| \mathrm{d} x \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\left|v^{N}(t)\right|_{\Sigma}^{2}+\tilde{C}_{1, N}\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}^{2}, \\
\left.\left|\mathrm{e}^{-2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\right| v^{N}(t)\right|^{2}:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:\left.\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x\left|\leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right| v^{N}(t)\right|_{\Sigma} ^{2}+\tilde{C}_{2, N}\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}^{2} \tag{33}
\end{array}
$$

Moreover, in that case

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{N}\left(v_{0}\right)=\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{N}^{l i n}\left(v_{0}\right)-\frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|v|^{4} \mathrm{e}^{4 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{N}^{l i n}\left(v_{0}\right)
$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{N}^{l i n}\left(v_{0}\right)$ is defined in equation (25) and verifies equation (26). Define the random variable $\tilde{C}_{N}=\frac{2}{\varepsilon}\left(\left(1+\left|Y_{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}+\left|:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{-\kappa, \infty}}\right)\left|\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{1+\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\kappa, \infty}}+\tilde{C}_{1, N}+\tilde{C}_{2, N}\right)$ for some arbitrary $\kappa \in(0,1)$, it verifies Property $(*)$ as previously. Then, putting equations (26), (32) and (33) in equation (29), we obtain for every $\omega \in \bar{\Omega}_{L}$ and every $N \geqslant N_{0}(\omega)$,

$$
\forall t \in \mathbb{R},\left|v^{N}(\omega, t)\right|_{\Sigma}^{2} \leqslant \tilde{C}_{N}(\omega)\left|v_{0}\right|_{\Sigma}^{2}
$$

The claim follows as usual.

## C Proofs of Proposition 5.3 and 5.4

Lemma C.1. Let $a<0<b, \lambda \leqslant 0, \sigma \in\left(\frac{3}{2}, 2\right)$. For any $v_{0} \in \mathcal{W}^{2,2}$, there exists a positive random variable $C\left(v_{0}\right)$ almost surely finite such that for any $\kappa \in(0,1]$ there exists a positive random variable $C^{\prime}\left(\kappa, v_{0}\right)$ almost surely finite, such that

$$
\forall N \in \mathbb{N},\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}} \leqslant C^{\prime}\left(\kappa, v_{0}\right) \lambda_{N}^{C\left(v_{0}\right) \kappa}
$$

Moreover, $C$ and $C^{\prime}(\kappa, \cdot)$ are nondecreasing functions of $\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{2,2}}$.
Proof. of Lemma C.1.
In what follows, we always assume conclusions of Proposition 3.26 to hold. We will show a bound for $\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}}$ uniform on $t \in[0, b]$, then reversing time, we obtain a bound of the same type uniformly in $t \in[a, 0]$. Let $v_{0} \in \mathcal{W}^{2,2}$. Set $\mathfrak{C}=C\left(1+\left|v_{0}\right|_{\Sigma}\right)^{2} \geqslant \sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}}\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \Sigma}$ the upper bound given by Proposition 3.26 and let $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Define $w^{N}=\partial_{t} v^{N}$, then equation (10) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}} & \leqslant\left|w^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}+2\left|\nabla v^{N} \cdot \nabla Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma-2,2}}+\left|x v^{N} \cdot x Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma-2,2}} \\
& +\left.\left|v^{N}:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:\left.\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma-2,2}}+|\lambda|\right|\left|v^{N}\right|^{2} v^{N} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.23 and Proposition 3.26 imply $w^{N} \in \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right)$, so we can define $W_{N}=\tilde{M}_{N}\left(w^{N}\right)$. Then, by Young's inequality, we have

$$
\left|w^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}} \leqslant \frac{W_{N}}{2}+\frac{\left|e^{-2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}}{2} \leqslant \frac{W_{N}}{2}+\frac{\sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}}\left|e^{-2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}}{2}
$$

For the nonlinear term, by Sobolev embeddings, there exists a constant $c_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left|v^{N}\right|^{2} v^{N} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}} \leqslant c_{0}\left|e^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}\left|v^{N}\right|_{\Sigma}^{3} \leqslant c_{0} \mathfrak{C}^{3} \sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}}\left|e^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 2.8 and Corollary 2.5, there exists a constant $c_{1}>0$ depending only of $\sigma$ such that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\nabla v^{N} \cdot \nabla Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma-2,2}} \leqslant c_{1}\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{3-\sigma, 2}}\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma-2, \infty}} \\
& \quad\left|x v^{N} \cdot x Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma-2,2}} \leqslant c_{1}\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{3-\sigma, 2}}\left|x Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma-2, \infty}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left.\left|v^{N}:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:\left.\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma-2,2}} \leqslant c_{1}\right| v^{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{2-\sigma, 2}}\left|:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma-2, \infty}} .
$$

Let $\theta=\frac{2-\sigma}{1-\sigma}$. As $\sigma>\frac{3}{2}$ we have $0<2-\sigma<3-\sigma<\sigma, \theta \in(0,1)$ and $3-\sigma=\sigma \theta+(1-\theta)$. By interpolation, there exists $c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\nabla v^{N} \cdot \nabla Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma-2,2}} \leqslant c_{2} \sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}}\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma-2, \infty}} \mathfrak{C}^{1-\theta}\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}}^{\theta},  \tag{35}\\
\left|x v^{N} \cdot x Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma-2,2}} \leqslant c_{2} \sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}}\left|x Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma-2, \infty}} \mathfrak{C}^{1-\theta}\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}}^{\theta},  \tag{36}\\
\left|v^{N}:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:\left.\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma-2,2}} \leqslant c_{2} \sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}}\right|:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:\left.\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma-2, \infty}} \mathfrak{C}^{1-\theta}\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}}^{\theta} . \tag{37}
\end{gather*}
$$

By Corollaries 3.5 and 3.18 and Lemma 3.10, almost surely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{N \in \mathbb{N}} \max \left(\left|e^{-2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}},\left|e^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}},\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma-2, \infty}},\left|x Y_{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma-2, \infty}},\left|:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma-2, \infty}}\right)<+\infty . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Young's inequality in equations (35) to (37), and by equation (34), there exists a positive random variable $C_{0}$, almost surely finite, such that

$$
\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}} \leqslant \frac{W_{N}}{2}+\frac{\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\alpha, 2}}}{2}+\frac{C_{0}}{2} .
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}} \leqslant W_{N}+C_{0} .
$$

Using that $w^{N}$ verify the equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{i} \partial_{t} w^{N} & +H w^{N}+2 \nabla Y_{N} \cdot \nabla w^{N}+x Y_{N} \cdot x w^{N}+:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}: w^{N} \\
& +\lambda\left(\left|v^{N}\right|^{2} w^{N}+2 \operatorname{Re}\left(v^{N} \overline{w^{N}}\right) v^{N}\right) \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}=0 \\
w^{N}(0) & =\mathrm{i}\left(H v_{0}+2 \nabla v_{0} \cdot \nabla Y_{N}+x v_{0} \cdot x Y_{N}+:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}: v_{0}+\lambda\left|v_{0}\right|^{2} v_{0} e^{2 Y_{N}}\right)
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} W_{N} \leqslant 2|\lambda| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \operatorname{Re}\left(v^{N} \overline{w^{N}}\right) \operatorname{Im}\left(v^{N} \overline{w^{N}}\right) e^{4 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant 2|\lambda|\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}^{2}\left|\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}} W_{N} .
$$

Using Proposition 5.2, there exists a positive random variable $C_{1}$, almost surely finite, such that

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} W_{N} \leqslant C_{1}\left(1+\ln \left(1+\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}}\right)\right) W_{N} \leqslant C_{1}\left(1+\ln \left(1+W_{N}+C_{0}\right)\right) W_{N}
$$

Define $G_{N}=1+\ln \left(1+W_{N}+C_{0}\right)$, then it verifies almost surely $G_{N}^{\prime} \leqslant C_{1} G_{N}$. Hence, Gronwall's lemma gives

$$
\forall t \in[0, b], G_{N}(t) \leqslant G_{N}(0) \mathrm{e}^{t C_{1}} \leqslant\left(1+\ln \left(1+C_{0}+W_{N}(0)\right) \mathrm{e}^{b C_{1}}\right.
$$

which implies

$$
\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}} \leqslant \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{e}^{b C_{1}}}\left(1+C_{0}+W_{N}(0)\right)^{e^{b C_{1}}}
$$

Now, let $\kappa \in(0,1)$. Remark that

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{N}(0) \leqslant\left|\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}\left|w_{0}^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}^{2} & \leqslant \sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left|\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{n}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}\left(\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{2,2}}+|\lambda|\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{6}}^{3} \sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left|\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{n}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}\right. \\
& +\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left[\lambda_{n}^{-\kappa} \max \left(\left|\nabla v_{0} \cdot \nabla Y_{n}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}},\left|x v_{0} \cdot x Y_{n}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}},\left|v_{0}:\left|\nabla Y_{n}\right|^{2}:| |_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}\right)\right] \lambda_{N}^{\kappa}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Corollaries 3.7 and 3.22 , almost surely

$$
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left[\lambda_{n}^{-\kappa} \max \left(\left|\nabla v_{0} \cdot \nabla Y_{n}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}},\left|x v_{0} \cdot x Y_{n}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}},\left|v_{0}:\left|\nabla Y_{n}\right|^{2}:| |_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}\right)\right]<+\infty\right.
$$

Moreover, there exists a positive random variable $C_{0}^{\prime}(\kappa)$, depending on $v_{0}$ through $\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{2,2}}$ nondecreasingly, almost surely finite, such that $W_{N}(0) \leqslant C_{0}^{\prime}(\kappa)\left(1+\lambda_{N}^{2 \kappa}\right)$, and as $\lambda_{N} \geqslant 1$, we obtain

$$
\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}} \leqslant\left(2 \mathrm{e}\left(1+C_{0}+C_{0}^{\prime}(\kappa)\right)\right)^{\mathrm{e}^{b C_{1}}} \lambda_{N}^{2 \kappa e^{b C_{1}}}
$$

Setting $C\left(v_{0}\right)=2 e^{b C_{1}}$ and $C^{\prime}\left(\kappa, v_{0}\right)=\left(2 \mathrm{e}\left(1+C_{0}+C_{0}^{\prime}(\kappa)\right)\right)^{b C_{1}}$, we obtain the claim.
Proof. of Proposition 5.3.
For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\sigma_{n}=2-2^{-(n+2)}$. Hence, for any $a<0<b$ and any $\sigma \in(1,2)$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbb{L}^{\infty}\left([-n, n], \mathcal{W}^{\sigma_{n}, 2}\right)$ is continuously embedded in $\mathbb{L}^{\infty}\left([a, b], \mathcal{W}^{\sigma, 2}\right)$. Thus it is sufficient to prove the claim for fixed $a<0<b$ and $\sigma \in\left(\frac{3}{2}, 2\right)$. Moreover, as $\mathcal{W}^{2,2}=\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_{\mathcal{W}^{2,2}}(0, n)$, it is sufficient to prove the claim uniformly in $v_{0} \in B_{\mathcal{W}^{2,2}}(0, L)$ a $\mathcal{W}^{2,2}$-ball of fixed radius $L \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $L \in \mathbb{N}$. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\kappa_{j}=2^{-j}$. As $\lambda_{N}>1$ for all $N$, it is sufficient to show conclusion only for $\left(\kappa_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^{*}}$. Recall the random variable $C\left(v_{0}\right)$ and $C^{\prime}\left(\kappa, v_{0}\right)$ defined in Lemma C.1. Denote by $C_{L}$ and $C_{L}^{\prime}(\kappa)$ the value of $C\left(v_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ and $C^{\prime}\left(\kappa, v_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ respectively for a fixed $v_{0}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{W}^{2,2}$ of $\mathcal{W}_{x}^{2,2}-$ norm equal to $L$, recall that $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are nondecreasing functions of $\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{2,2}}$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\tilde{\Omega}_{k}=\left\{2^{k} \leqslant C_{L}<2^{k+1}\right\}$ and let $\tilde{\Omega}_{-1}=\left\{C_{L}<1\right\}$. By definition of $C_{L}, \tilde{\Omega}=\bigcup_{k \geqslant-1} \tilde{\Omega}_{k}$ is of probability one. Let $\kappa^{\prime} \in(0,1)$ and $k \geqslant-1$, in view of Lemma C.1, on $\tilde{\Omega}_{k}$, it holds

$$
\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall v_{0} \in B_{\mathcal{W}^{2,2}}(0, L),\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}} \leqslant C_{L}^{\prime}\left(\kappa^{\prime}\right) \lambda_{N}^{C_{L} \kappa^{\prime}} \leqslant C_{L}^{\prime}\left(\kappa^{\prime}\right) \lambda_{N}^{2^{k+1} \kappa^{\prime}}
$$

Let $\Omega_{\kappa}^{\prime}=\left\{C_{L}^{\prime}(\kappa)<+\infty\right\}$, from Lemma C. 1 it is of probability one for any $\kappa \in(0,1]$. Thus $\Omega^{\prime}=\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_{2^{-n}}^{\prime}$ is of probability one too. On $\Omega^{\prime} \cap \tilde{\Omega}_{k}$, it holds

$$
\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall v_{0} \in B_{\mathcal{W}^{2,2}}(0, L),\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}} \leqslant C_{L}^{\prime}\left(2^{-(k+j+1)}\right) \lambda_{N}^{\kappa_{j}}
$$

Hence, on $\tilde{\Omega} \cap \Omega^{\prime}$, which is of probability one, it holds

$$
\forall j \in \mathbb{N}, \forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall v_{0} \in B_{\mathcal{W}^{2,2}}(0, L),\left|v^{N}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}} \leqslant C_{L}\left(\kappa_{j}\right) \lambda_{N}^{\kappa_{j}}
$$

for a certain positive random variable $C_{L}\left(\kappa_{j}\right)$ finite on $\tilde{\Omega} \cap \Omega^{\prime}$, which allows to conclude.
Remark C.2. If one tries to apply the proof of Lemma C. 1 in the case $\sigma=2$, it would end with a random variable depending of $\kappa$ in the exponent, thus the proof of Proposition 5.3 would not hold.

Lemma C.3. Let $a<0<b$ and $\lambda \leqslant 0$. For any $v_{0} \in \mathcal{W}^{2,2}$, there exists a positive random variable $C\left(v_{0}\right)$ almost surely finite such that for any $\kappa \in(0,1]$ and any $\delta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{3}\right)$ there exists a positive random variable $C^{\prime \prime}\left(\kappa, \delta, v_{0}\right)$ almost surely finite, such that

$$
\forall N>M \geqslant 0,\left|v^{N}-v^{M}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}} \leqslant C^{\prime \prime}\left(\kappa, \delta, v_{0}\right) \lambda_{N}^{C\left(v_{0}\right) \kappa} \lambda_{M}^{-\delta}
$$

Moreover, $C$ and $C^{\prime \prime}$ are nondecreasing functions of $\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{2,2}}$.
Proof. of Lemma C.3.
Assume in what follows that both conclusions of Propositions 3.26 and 5.3 hold. Let $\delta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{3}\right)$, $\delta^{\prime} \in\left(2 \delta, \frac{2}{3}\right), \kappa^{\prime} \in\left(\frac{3 \delta^{\prime}}{2}, 1\right)$ and $\sigma \in\left(1+\kappa^{\prime}, 2\right)$. Define $\theta=\frac{1+\kappa^{\prime}}{\sigma} \in(0,1)$. Let $N>M \geqslant 2$. As in the proof of Lemma C.1, let $v_{0} \in \mathcal{W}^{2,2}$ and set $\mathfrak{C}=C\left(1+\left|v_{0}\right|_{\Sigma}\right)^{2} \geqslant \sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left|v^{n}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \Sigma}$ the upper bound given by Proposition 3.26. Define $R=v^{N}-v^{M}$, then it verifies

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-i \partial_{t} R= & H R+2 \nabla Y_{N} \cdot \nabla R+2 \nabla\left(Y_{N}-Y_{M}\right) \cdot \nabla v^{M}+x Y_{N} \cdot x R+:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}: R  \tag{39}\\
& +\lambda\left|v^{N}\right|^{2} R \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}+x\left(Y_{N}-Y_{M}\right) \cdot x v^{M}+\left(:\left|\nabla Y_{N}\right|^{2}:-:\left|\nabla Y_{M}\right|^{2}:\right) v^{M} \\
& +\lambda\left(\left|v^{N}\right|^{2}-\left|v^{M}\right|^{2}\right) v^{M} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}+\lambda\left|v^{M}\right|^{2} v^{M}\left(\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}-\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{M}}\right) \\
R(0)=0 &
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Now, set $W_{N}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|R|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x$. Taking the time derivative, we obtain

First, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Sobolev embeddings, there exists $c_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left|\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\right| v^{M}\right|^{2} v^{M}\left(\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}-\mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{M}}\right) \bar{R} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}} \mathrm{~d} x\left|\leqslant c_{0} \mathfrak{C}^{4} \sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\right| e^{2 Y_{n}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{n}^{\delta^{\prime}}\left|e^{2 Y_{n}}-e^{2 Y}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \lambda_{M}^{-\delta^{\prime}} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 2.7 and Corollary 2.5, by interpolation, there exists $c_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max \left(\left|\bar{R} \nabla v^{M} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\kappa^{\prime}, 1}},\left|x \bar{R} v^{M} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\kappa^{\prime}, 1}}\right) \leqslant c_{1} \sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left|e^{2 Y_{n}}\right|_{1+\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\kappa^{\prime}, \infty}} \mathfrak{C}^{2-\theta}\left|v^{M}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}}^{\theta}  \tag{42}\\
&\left|\bar{R} v^{M} \mathrm{e}^{2 Y_{N}}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\kappa^{\prime}, 1}} \leqslant c_{1} \sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left|e^{2 Y_{n}}\right|_{1+\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\kappa^{\prime}, \infty}} \mathfrak{C}^{2} \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, we have

From Corollaries 3.5 and 3.18 and Lemma 3.10, it follows almost surely

$$
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{n}^{\delta^{\prime}} \max \left(\left|\nabla\left(Y_{n}-Y\right)\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{-\kappa^{\prime}, \infty}},\left|x\left(Y_{n}-Y\right)\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{-\kappa^{\prime}, \infty}},\left|:\left|\nabla Y_{n}\right|^{2}:-:|\nabla Y|^{2}:\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{-\kappa^{\prime}, \infty}}\right)<+\infty
$$

and

$$
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \max \left(\lambda_{n}^{\delta^{\prime}}\left|e^{2 Y_{n}}-e^{2 Y}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}},\left|e^{2 Y_{n}}\right|_{1+\mathcal{W}_{x}^{\kappa^{\prime}, \infty}}\right)<+\infty
$$

Thanks to Propositions 3.26 and 5.2 , there exists a deterministic $c_{2}>0$ such that, almost surely, it holds

$$
\forall n \in \mathbb{N},\left|v^{n}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}} \leqslant c_{2} \sqrt{1+\mathfrak{C}\left(1+\log \left(1+\left|v^{n}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}}\right)\right)} .
$$

Using Propositions 3.26 and 5.3 and equations (40) to (47), there exists a positive random variable $C_{0}=C_{0}\left(v_{0}\right)$, almost surely finite, which is independent of $N, M$ and $t$, such that, almost surely, for any $\kappa \in(0,1)$,

$$
W_{N}^{\prime} \leqslant C_{0}\left(1+\left|v^{M}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{x}^{\sigma, 2}}^{\theta}\right) \lambda_{M}^{-\delta^{\prime}}+C_{1}\left(1+\ln \left(1+C_{\kappa}^{\prime} \lambda_{N}^{\kappa}\right)\right) W_{N}
$$

where

$$
C_{1}=2 c_{2}^{2} \sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left|e^{2 Y_{n}}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{x}^{\infty}}(1+\mathfrak{C})
$$

and $C_{\kappa}^{\prime}$, given by Proposition 5.3, are almost surely finite random variables. Moreover, $C_{0}, C_{1}$ and $C_{\kappa}^{\prime}$ are nondecreasing functions of $\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{2,2}}$. We now apply Proposition 5.3 with an arbitrary $\kappa^{\prime \prime} \in\left(0, \min \left(1, \frac{\delta^{\prime}-2 \delta}{\theta}\right)\right)$ to obtain

$$
W_{N}^{\prime} \leqslant \tilde{C}_{\delta} \lambda_{M}^{-2 \delta}+C_{1}\left(1+\ln \left(1+C_{\kappa}^{\prime} \lambda_{N}^{\kappa}\right)\right) W_{N}
$$

where $\tilde{C}_{\delta}$ is a positive random variable, almost surely finite, which is independent of $N, M$ and $t$, and is a nondecreasing function of $\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{2,2}}$. Then by Gronwall's lemma and Lemma 3.10, we conclude that there exists a positive random variable $C^{\prime \prime}\left(\kappa, \delta, v_{0}\right)$ almost surely finite, independent of $N$ and $M$ such that

$$
\left|v^{N}-v^{M}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{t}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}_{x}^{2}}^{2} \leqslant C^{\prime \prime}\left(\kappa, \delta, v_{0}\right) \lambda_{N}^{C_{1} \kappa} \lambda_{M}^{-\delta}
$$

Moreover, $C^{\prime \prime}\left(\kappa, \delta, v_{0}\right)$ is a nondecreasing function of $\left|v_{0}\right|_{\mathcal{W}_{x}^{2,2}}$.
Proof. of Proposition 5.4.
The proof is analogous to the proof of Proposition 5.3.
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