HAL open science

Approximation of polynomials from Walsh tail spaces

Alexandros Eskenazis, Haonan Zhang

▶ **To cite this version:**

Alexandros Eskenazis, Haonan Zhang. Approximation of polynomials from Walsh tail spaces. 2023. hal-04288386

HAL Id: hal-04288386

https://hal.science/hal-04288386v1

Preprint submitted on 16 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

APPROXIMATION OF POLYNOMIALS FROM WALSH TAIL SPACES

ALEXANDROS ESKENAZIS AND HAONAN ZHANG

Abstract. We derive various bounds for the L_p distance of polynomials on the hypercube from Walsh tail spaces, extending some of Oleszkiewicz's results (2017) for Rademacher sums.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 42C10; Secondary: 41A17, 60E15.
Key words. Discrete hypercube, polynomial inequalities, Rademacher sum, tail space.

1. Introduction

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every function $f : \{-1,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ admits a unique Fourier–Walsh expansion

$$\forall\, x \in \{-1,1\}^n, \qquad f(x) = \sum_{S \subseteq \{1,\ldots,n\}} \widehat{f}(S) w_S(x), \tag{1}$$

where the Walsh function w_S is given by $w_S(x) = \prod_{i \in S} x_i$ for $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \{-1,1\}^n$. We shall say that f is of degree at most $k \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$ if $\widehat{f}(S) = 0$ for every subset S of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ with $|S| > k$. Similarly, we say that f belongs on the k-th tail space, where $k \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$, if $\widehat{f}(S) = 0$ for every subset S with $|S| \le k$. More generally, given a nonempty subset $I \subseteq \{0,1,\ldots,n\}$, we denote by

$$\mathcal{P}_I^n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Big\{ f : \{-1,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R} : \ \widehat{f}(S) = 0 \text{ for every } S \text{ with } |S| \notin I \Big\}. \tag{2}$$

We shall also adopt the natural notations $\mathcal{P}_{>k}^n = \mathcal{P}_{\{k+1,\ldots,n\}}^n$, $\mathcal{P}_{\le k}^n = \mathcal{P}_{\{0,1,\ldots,k\}}^n$, $\mathcal{P}_{=k}^n = \mathcal{P}_{\{k\}}^n$ and so on.

Many modern developments in discrete analysis (see [18]) are centered around quantitative properties of functions whose spectrum is bounded above or below, in analogy with estimates established for polynomials in classical approximation theory on the torus $\mathbb{T}^n$ or on $\mathbb{R}^n$. One of the first results of this nature, going back at least to [3, 4], is the important fact that all finite moments of low-degree Walsh polynomials are equivalent to each other up to dimension-free factors. Namely, given any $1 \le p \le q < \infty$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a (sharp) constant $\mathsf{M}_{p,q}(k)$ such that for any $n \ge k$, every polynomial $f : \{-1,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ of degree at most k satisfies

$$\|f\|_q \le \mathsf{M}_{p,q}(k) \|f\|_p, \tag{3}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_r$ always denotes the L_r norm on $\{-1,1\}^n$ with respect to the uniform probability measure. Note that the reverse of (3) holds trivially with constant 1 by Hölder's inequality. We refer to [8, 13, 16] for the best known bounds on the implicit constant $\mathsf{M}_{p,q}(k)$. In the special case $k = 1$, (3) is the celebrated Khintchine inequality [15] for Rademacher sums.

Our starting point is the simple observation that the moment comparison estimates (3) have the following (equivalent) dual formulation in terms of distances from tail spaces.

Proposition 1. *For every $1 \le p \le q < \infty$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$, the constant $\mathsf{M}_{p,q}(k)$ in inequality (3) is also the least constant for which every function $f : \{-1,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, where $n \ge k$, satisfies*

$$\inf_{g \in \mathcal{P}_{>k}^n} \|f - g\|_{p^*} \le \mathsf{M}_{p,q}(k) \inf_{g \in \mathcal{P}_{>k}^n} \|f - g\|_{q^*}, \tag{4}$$

where the conjugate exponent r^* of $r \in [1,\infty]$ satisfies $\frac{1}{r^*} + \frac{1}{r} = 1$.

This material is based upon work supported by the NSF grant DMS-1929284 while the authors were in residence at ICERM for the Harmonic Analysis and Convexity program.

Again, the reverse of (4) holds with constant 1. In the special case $k = 0$, inequality (4) becomes trivial with $\mathsf{M}_{p,q}(0) = 1$ as both sides are equal to $|\mathbb{E}f|$. When $k = 1$, which corresponds to the dual of the classical Khintchine inequality, one can derive the following more precise formula for the distance from the tail space $\mathcal{P}^n_{>1}$.

Theorem 2. *For every $1 < r \le \infty$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every $f : \{-1,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies*[1]

$$\inf_{g \in \mathcal{P}^n_{>1}} \|f - g\|_r \asymp |\mathbb{E}f| + \max_{i \in \{1,\dots,n\}} \left|\widehat{f}(\{i\})\right| + \sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r}} \Big(\sum_{i=1}^n \widehat{f}(\{i\})^2 \Big)^{1/2}. \tag{5}$$

This is the dual to a well-known result of Hitczenko [10] (see also [17, 11]), obtaining p-independent upper and lower bounds for the L_p-norms of Rademacher sums, where $p \in [1,\infty)$.

At this point, we should point out that in both Proposition 1 and Theorem 2, the exponents of the norms are always strictly greater than 1. For instance, choosing $f_1(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, (4) gives

$$\forall\ r \in (1,\infty], \qquad \inf_{g \in \mathcal{P}^n_{>k}} \|f_1 - g\|_r \asymp_{r,k} \inf_{g \in \mathcal{P}^n_{>k}} \|f_1 - g\|_2 = \sqrt{n}. \tag{6}$$

On the other hand, it follows from a result of Oleszkiewicz [19], which is the main precursor to this work, that the L_1-distance of f_1 from the k-th tail space satisfies

$$\inf_{g \in \mathcal{P}^n_{>k}} \|f_1 - g\|_1 \asymp \min\{k, \sqrt{n}\}, \tag{7}$$

and thus exhibits a starkly different behavior as $n \to \infty$ from the L_r norms with $r > 1$.

More generally, it is shown in [19] that for every $a_1 \ge \dots \ge a_n \ge 0$, we have

$$\inf_{g \in \mathcal{P}^n_{>k}} \|f_{\boldsymbol{a}} - g\|_1 \asymp \min_{r \in \{0,1,\dots,n\}} \left\{ \Big(\sum_{i=1}^r a_i^2 \Big)^{1/2} + k a_{r+1} \right\}, \tag{8}$$

where for $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1,\dots,a_n)$ we denote $f_{\boldsymbol{a}}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i$ and we make the convention that $a_{n+1} = 0$. The quantity appearing on the right hand side of (8) can be rephrased in terms of the K-functional of real interpolation (see [1, Chapter 3]). Recall that if (A_0, A_1) is an interpolation pair, then the Lions–Peetre K-functional is defined for every $t \ge 0$ and $a \in A_0 + A_1$ as

$$\mathsf{K}(a, t; A_0, A_1) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf\Big\{ \|a_0\|_{A_0} + t\|a_1\|_{A_1} :\ a = a_0 + a_1 \Big\}. \tag{9}$$

It is elementary to check (see [12]), that if $a_1 \ge \dots \ge a_n \ge 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\min_{r \in \{0,1,\dots,n\}} \left\{ \Big(\sum_{i=1}^r a_i^2 \Big)^{1/2} + k a_{r+1} \right\} \asymp \mathsf{K}(\boldsymbol{a}, k; \ell_2^n, \ell_\infty^n). \tag{10}$$

Note that the right-hand side is invariant under permutations of the entries of $\boldsymbol{a}$. The main result of this work is an appropriate extension of the upper bound in Oleszkiewicz's result (8) to polynomials of arbitrary degree on the discrete hypercube.

Theorem 3. *For every $d \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\mathsf{C}_d \in (0,\infty)$ such that for any $n \ge k \ge d$, every polynomial $f : \{-1,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ of degree at most d satisfies*

$$\inf_{g \in \mathcal{P}^n_{>k}} \|f - g\|_1 \le \mathsf{K}\Big(\widehat{f}, \mathsf{C}_d k^d; \ell_2^m, \ell^m_{\frac{2d}{d-1}} \Big), \tag{11}$$

where $\widehat{f}$ is the vector of Fourier coefficients of f, viewed as an element of $\mathbb{R}^m$ with $m = \binom{n}{0} + \dots + \binom{n}{d}$.

As was already pointed out by Oleszkiewicz, the method of [19] does not appear to extend beyond Rademacher sums. Instead, in our proof we shall employ the discrete *Bohnenblust–Hille* inequality from approximation theory (see [2, 7, 6, 5]) along with a classical bound of

[1] Throughout the paper we shall use standard asymptotic notation. For instance, $\xi \lesssim \eta$ (or $\eta \gtrsim \xi$) means that there exists a universal constant $c > 0$ such that $\xi \le c\eta$ and $\xi \asymp \eta$ stands for $(\xi \lesssim \eta) \wedge (\eta \lesssim \xi)$. We shall use subscripts of the form $\lesssim_t, \gtrsim_t, \asymp_t$ when the implicit constant c depends on some prespecified parameter t.

Figiel on the Rademacher projection of polynomials. A discussion concerning the size of the implicit constant C_d appearing in (11) is postponed to Section 2 (see Remark 8 there).

Unlike the two-sided inequality (8), our bound (11) is only one-sided and as a matter of fact there are examples in which it is far from optimal. In particular, for functions which are permutationally symmetric, we obtain a more accurate estimate. In what follows, we shall denote by $T_k(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} c(k,\ell)x^\ell$ the k-th Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind characterized by the property $T_k(\cos\theta) = \cos(k\theta)$, where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, we shall use the ad hoc notation

$$\tilde{c}(k,\ell) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} c(k,\ell), & \text{if } k-\ell \text{ is even} \\ c(k-1,\ell), & \text{if } k-\ell \text{ is odd} \end{cases}. \tag{12}$$

For $\ell \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$, let f_ℓ be the ℓ-th elementary symmetric multilinear polynomial

$$\forall\ x \in \{-1,1\}^n, \qquad f_\ell(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\substack{S\subseteq\{1,\ldots,n\}:\\ |S|=\ell}} w_S(x). \tag{13}$$

We have the following bound on the distance of symmetric polynomials from tail spaces.

Theorem 4. *Let $n,k,d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq k \geq d$. Then, every symmetric polynomial*

$$f = \sum_{\ell=0}^{d} \alpha_\ell f_\ell \tag{14}$$

of degree at most d on $\{-1,1\}^n$ satisfies

$$\inf_{g\in\mathcal{P}^n_{>k}} \|f-g\|_1 \leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{d} |\alpha_\ell||\tilde{c}(k,\ell)|. \tag{15}$$

This bound can sometimes be reversed and, in particular, it gives a sharp estimate as $n \to \infty$ for the L_1-distance of the elementary symmetric polynomial f_d from the k-th tail space.

Corollary 5. *For every $n,k,d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq k \geq d$, there exists $\varepsilon_n(k,d) > 0$ such that*

$$|\tilde{c}(k,d)| - \varepsilon_n(k,d) \leq \inf_{g\in\mathcal{P}^n_{>k}} \|f_d - g\|_1 \leq |\tilde{c}(k,d)| \tag{16}$$

and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \varepsilon_n(k,d) = 0$.

The main motivation behind the work [19] was a question of Bogucki, Nayar and Wojciechowski, asking to estimate the L_1-distance of the Rademacher sum f_1 from the k-th tail space. Corollary 5 extends (at least asymptotically in n) the answer given by Oleszkiewicz to all symmetric homogeneous polynomials. We point out though that for $k = 1$, (16) is sharper than Oleszkiewicz's bound (7) as $n \to \infty$, as (7) is tight only up to a multiplicative constant.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Krzysztof Oleszkiewicz for valuable discussions. H. Z. is grateful to Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu for the hospitality during a visit in 2023.

2. Proofs

We proceed to the proofs of our results. We start with the simple duality argument leading to Proposition 1, variants of which will be used throughout the paper.

Proof of Proposition 1. Consider the identity operator acting as $\mathsf{id}(h) = h$ on a function of the form $h : \{-1,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$. Then, the optimal constant $\mathsf{M}_{p,q}(k)$ can be expressed as

$$\mathsf{M}_{p,q}(k) = \left\|\mathsf{id} : (\mathcal{P}^n_{\leq k}, \|\cdot\|_p) \to (\mathcal{P}^n_{\leq k}, \|\cdot\|_q)\right\| = \left\|\mathsf{id}^* : (\mathcal{P}^n_{\leq k}, \|\cdot\|_q)^* \to (\mathcal{P}^n_{\leq k}, \|\cdot\|_p)^*\right\| \tag{17}$$

by duality. Moreover, observe that since $(\mathcal{P}^n_{\leq k}, \|\cdot\|_r)$ is a subspace of L_r, its dual is isometric to

$$(\mathcal{P}^n_{\leq k}, \|\cdot\|_r)^* = L_{r^*}\big/(\mathcal{P}^n_{\leq k})^\perp = L_{r^*}\big/\mathcal{P}^n_{>k}, \tag{18}$$

where $A^\perp$ is the annihilator of A. Since it is also clear that $\mathsf{id}^* = \mathsf{id}$, (17) concludes the proof. □

Using a theorem of Hitczenko [10] as input and the same duality, we deduce Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. The result of [10] asserts that if $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ and $f_{\boldsymbol{a}}(x) = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i$,

$$\|f_{\boldsymbol{a}}\|_{r^*} = \left(\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{i=0}^n a_i x_i\right|^{r^*}\right)^{1/r^*} \asymp \mathsf{K}(\boldsymbol{a}, \sqrt{r^*}; \ell_1^{n+1}, \ell_2^{n+1}), \tag{19}$$

where $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n$ are independent Bernoulli random variables, and the first equality holds due to symmetry. In other words, the linear operator

$$T : \left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \mathsf{K}(\cdot, \sqrt{r^*}; \ell_1^{n+1}, \ell_2^{n+1})\right) \to (\mathcal{P}^n_{\leq 1}, \|\cdot\|_{r^*}) \tag{20}$$

given by $T\boldsymbol{a} = f_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ is an isomorphism, and thus the same holds for its adjoint. Recalling that

$$\mathsf{K}(\boldsymbol{a}, \sqrt{r^*}; \ell_1^{n+1}, \ell_2^{n+1}) = \inf\left\{\|\boldsymbol{b}\|_{\ell_1^{n+1}} + \sqrt{r^*}\|\boldsymbol{c}\|_{\ell_2^{n+1}} : \boldsymbol{a} = \boldsymbol{b} + \boldsymbol{c}\right\} \tag{21}$$

and the duality between sums and intersections of normed spaces [1, Theorem 2.7.1], we see that the dual space of $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \mathsf{K}(\cdot, \sqrt{r^*}; \ell_1^{n+1}, \ell_2^{n+1}))$ can be identified with

$$\forall\, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \qquad \|y\|_{(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \mathsf{K}(\cdot, \sqrt{r^*}; \ell_1^{n+1}, \ell_2^{n+1}))^*} = \max\left\{\|y\|_{\ell_\infty^{n+1}}, \frac{\|y\|_{\ell_2^{n+1}}}{\sqrt{r^*}}\right\}. \tag{22}$$

By Parseval's identity, the action of the adjoint

$$T^* : L_r \big/ \mathcal{P}^n_{>1} \to (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \mathsf{K}(\cdot, \sqrt{r^*}; \ell_1^{n+1}, \ell_2^{n+1}))^* \tag{23}$$

is given by

$$T^*(f + \mathcal{P}^n_{>1}) = \left(\mathbb{E}f, \widehat{f}(\{1\}), \ldots, \widehat{f}(\{n\})\right) \tag{24}$$

and thus the conclusion is equivalent to fact that T^* is an isomorphism. □

We now proceed to the proof of the general upper bound for polynomials given in Theorem 3. The first ingredient for the proof is a discrete version of the classical Bohnenblust–Hille inequality from approximation theory (see the survey [7]) proven in [2, 6]. This asserts that for every $d \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a (sharp) constant $\mathsf{B}_d \in (0, \infty)$ such that for any $n \geq d$, every polynomial $f : \{-1,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ of degree at most d satisfies

$$\left(\sum_{S \subseteq \{1,\ldots,n\}} |\widehat{f}(S)|^{\frac{2d}{d+1}}\right)^{\frac{d+1}{2d}} \leq \mathsf{B}_d \|f\|_\infty. \tag{25}$$

Moreover, $\frac{2d}{d+1}$ is the least exponent for which the implicit constant becomes independent of the ambient dimension n. The best known upper bound $B_d \leq \exp(C\sqrt{d \log d})$ for the constant B_d is due to the work of Defant, Mastyło and Pérez [6].

The level ℓ-Rademacher projection of a function $f : \{-1,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$\forall\, x \in \{-1,1\}^n, \qquad \mathrm{Rad}_\ell f(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq \{1,\ldots,n\}: \\ |S| = \ell}} \widehat{f}(S) w_S(x). \tag{26}$$

Moreover, we write $\mathrm{Rad}_{\leq d} = \sum_{\ell \leq d} \mathrm{Rad}_\ell$. Apart from the discrete Bohnenblust–Hille inequality (25), we will also use a standard bound on the norm of the ℓ-Rademacher projections which is usually attributed to Figiel (see also [9, Section 3] for a short proof).

Proposition 6. *Let $n \geq k \geq d$. Then, every function $f : \{-1,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ of degree at most k satisfies*

$$\left\|\mathrm{Rad}_{\leq d} f\right\|_\infty \leq \sum_{\ell=0}^d \left\|\mathrm{Rad}_\ell f\right\|_\infty \leq \sum_{\ell=0}^d |\tilde{c}(k,\ell)| \, \|f\|_\infty, \tag{27}$$

where $\tilde{c}(k,\ell)$ is given by (12). *It is moreover known that $|\tilde{c}(k,\ell)| \leq \frac{k^\ell}{\ell!}$.*

Combining the above with Parseval's identity, we deduce the following bound.

Lemma 7. *Let $n \geq k \geq d$. Then, every function $f : \{-1,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ of degree at most k satisfies*

$$\max\Big\{\big\|\widehat{\mathrm{Rad}_{\leq d}}(f)\big\|_{\ell_2^m}, \sigma(k,d)^{-1}\big\|\widehat{\mathrm{Rad}_{\leq d}}(f)\big\|_{\ell^m_{\frac{2d}{d+1}}}\Big\} \leq \inf_{g \in \mathcal{P}^n_{>d} \cap \mathcal{P}^n_{\leq k}} \|f-g\|_\infty, \tag{28}$$

where $m = \binom{n}{0} + \cdots + \binom{n}{d}$ and $\sigma(k,d) = \mathsf{B}_d \sum_{\ell=0}^d |\tilde{c}(k,\ell)|$.

Proof. Fix a function $g \in \mathcal{P}^n_{>d} \cap \mathcal{P}^n_{\leq k}$. Then,

$$\big\|\widehat{\mathrm{Rad}_{\leq d}}(f)\big\|_{\ell_2^m} \leq \big\|\widehat{f} - \widehat{g}\big\|_{\ell_2^M} = \|f-g\|_2 \leq \|f-g\|_\infty, \tag{29}$$

where $M = \binom{n}{0} + \cdots + \binom{n}{k}$. Moreover, we have

$$\big\|\widehat{\mathrm{Rad}_{\leq d}}(f)\big\|_{\ell^m_{\frac{2d}{d+1}}} \overset{(25)}{\leq} \mathsf{B}_d \big\|\mathrm{Rad}_{\leq d}(f)\big\|_\infty = \mathsf{B}_d \big\|\mathrm{Rad}_{\leq d}(f-g)\big\|_\infty \overset{(27)}{\leq} \mathsf{B}_d \sum_{\ell=0}^d |\tilde{c}(k,\ell)|\, \|f-g\|_\infty. \qquad \square$$

Equipped with Lemma 7, we can complete the proof of Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3. Consider the normed spaces $X = (\mathcal{P}^n_{\leq k}, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ and $Y = (\mathbb{R}^m, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ with

$$\forall\, y \in \mathbb{R}^m, \qquad \|y\|_Y = \max\Big\{\|y\|_{\ell_2^m}, \sigma(k,d)^{-1}\|y\|_{\ell^m_{\frac{2d}{d+1}}}\Big\} \tag{30}$$

and $m = \binom{n}{0} + \cdots + \binom{n}{d}$. Moreover, let $Z = \mathcal{P}^n_{>d} \cap \mathcal{P}^n_{\leq k} \subset X$, viewed as a normed subspace of X. Lemma 7 asserts that the linear operator $A : X/Z \to Y$ given by

$$\forall\, f \in X, \qquad A(f+Z) = \Big(\widehat{f}(S)\Big)_{|S| \leq d} \tag{31}$$

has norm $\|A\| \leq 1$. Therefore, the same holds for its adjoint $A^* : Y^* \to (X/Z)^*$.

By the usual duality between sums and intersections of normed spaces [1, Theorem 2.7.1], we see that the space Y^* is isometric to

$$\forall\, w \in \mathbb{R}^m, \qquad \|w\|_{Y^*} = \mathsf{K}\Big(w, \sigma(k,d); \ell_2^m, \ell^m_{\frac{2d}{d-1}}\Big). \tag{32}$$

Moreover, as X/Z is a quotient of X, its dual is the subspace of $X^* = L_1/\mathcal{P}^n_{>k}$ which is identified with the annihilator of Z inside X^*. In other words, it is the set

$$(X/Z)^* = \Big\{f + \mathcal{P}^n_{>k} :\ \mathbb{E}[fg] = 0 \text{ for every } g \in Z\Big\} = \Big\{f + \mathcal{P}^n_{>k} :\ f \in \mathcal{P}^n_{\leq d}\Big\} = \mathrm{span}(\mathcal{P}^n_{\leq d} \cup \mathcal{P}^n_{>k})\Big/\mathcal{P}^n_{>k} \tag{33}$$

equipped with the L_1 quotient norm. Finally, for a sequence $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_S)_{|S| \leq d} \in Y^*$ and an equivalence class $f + Z \in X/Z$, we have

$$\langle \boldsymbol{a}, A(f+Z)\rangle = \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq \{1,\ldots,n\}:\\ |S| \leq d}} a_S \widehat{f}(S) = \Big\langle \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq \{1,\ldots,n\}:\\ |S| \leq d}} a_S w_S + \mathcal{P}^n_{>k}, f + Z\Big\rangle = \langle A^*(\boldsymbol{a}), f+Z\rangle, \tag{34}$$

where the first brackets $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ denote the duality in Y and the following brackets denote the duality in X/Z. Therefore, we conclude that

$$\forall\, \boldsymbol{a} \in Y^*, \qquad A^*(\boldsymbol{a}) = \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq \{1,\ldots,n\}:\\ |S| \leq d}} a_S w_S + \mathcal{P}^n_{>k} \tag{35}$$

and thus, the condition $\|A^*\| \leq 1$ means that for any $f : \{-1,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ of degree at most d,

$$\inf_{g \in \mathcal{P}^n_{>k}} \|f-g\|_1 = \Big\|A^*\Big(\widehat{f}\Big)\Big\|_{(X/Z)^*} \leq \Big\|\widehat{f}\Big\|_{Y^*} = \mathsf{K}\Big(\widehat{f}, \sigma(k,d); \ell_2^m, \ell^m_{\frac{2d}{d-1}}\Big). \tag{36}$$

Finally, since

$$\sigma(k,d) \leq \mathsf{B}_d \sum_{\ell=0}^d |\tilde{c}(k,\ell)| \leq \mathsf{B}_d \sum_{\ell=0}^d \frac{k^\ell}{\ell!} \leq e\mathsf{B}_d k^d, \tag{37}$$

we deduce the conclusion of the theorem with $\mathsf{C}_d = e\mathsf{B}_d$. $\square$

Remark 8. *To the best of our knowledge, there are no nonconstant lower bounds on the size of the discrete Bohnenblust–Hille constant* B_d*, so it is even conceivable that the constant* C_d *in* (11) *can be chosen to be independent of* d*.*

Remark 9. *A duality argument similar to that employed for Theorem 3 shows that for every* $d \in \mathbb{N}$*, the constant* B_d *in inequality* (25) *is also the least constant for which every function* $f : \{-1,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$*, where* $n \geq d$*, satisfies*

$$\inf_{g \in \mathcal{P}^n_{>d}} \|f - g\|_1 \leq \mathsf{B}_d \Bigg(\sum_{\substack{S \subseteq \{1,\ldots,n\}: \\ |S| \leq d}} |\widehat{f}(S)|^{\frac{2d}{d-1}} \Bigg)^{\frac{d-1}{2d}}. \tag{38}$$

Remark 10. *It was pointed out to us by Oleszkiewicz that the main result* (8) *of* [19] *also admits a dual formulation. Namely, for every* $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$*, we have*

$$\inf\Big\{\|f_{\boldsymbol{a}} - g\|_\infty : \ g \in \mathcal{P}^n_{\{0\} \cup \{2,\ldots,k\}}\Big\} \asymp \max\Big\{\|\boldsymbol{a}\|_{\ell_2^n}, \frac{\|\boldsymbol{a}\|_{\ell_1^n}}{k}\Big\}. \tag{39}$$

This can be proven using similar ideas as in the proof of Theorem 3.

A slight variant of the arguments above also yields Theorem 4 for symmetric functions.

Proof of Theorem 4. Let f be a symmetric function of the form $f = \sum_{\ell=0}^d \alpha_\ell f_\ell$ where f_ℓ is the ℓ-th elementary symmetric polynomial. Then, the Hahn–Banach theorem gives

$$\inf_{g \in \mathcal{P}^n_{>k}} \|f - g\|_1 = \sup_{0 \neq h \in \mathcal{P}^n_{\leq k}} \frac{\mathbb{E}[fh]}{\|h\|_\infty}. \tag{40}$$

Observe now that we can write

$$\mathbb{E}[fh] = \sum_{\ell=0}^d \alpha_\ell \mathbb{E}[f_\ell h] = \sum_{\ell=0}^d \alpha_\ell \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq \{1,\ldots,n\}: \\ |S| = \ell}} \widehat{h}(S) = \sum_{\ell=0}^d \alpha_\ell \mathrm{Rad}_\ell h(1, \ldots, 1). \tag{41}$$

Thus, by Figiel's bound (27),

$$\mathbb{E}[fh] \leq \sum_{\ell=0}^d |\alpha_\ell| \, \|\mathrm{Rad}_\ell h\|_\infty \leq \sum_{\ell=0}^d |\alpha_\ell| |\tilde{c}(k,\ell)| \, \|h\|_\infty \tag{42}$$

and the desired inequality follows from (40). □

Equipped with Theorem 4, we present the proof of Corollary 5.

Proof of Corollary 5. The upper bound in (16) follows immediately from Theorem 4. For the lower bound, consider the auxiliary symmetric function $H_{k,n} : \{-1,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\forall \ x \in \{-1,1\}^n, \qquad H_{k,n}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} T_k\Big(\frac{x_1 + \cdots + x_n}{n}\Big) = \sum_{\ell=0}^k \beta_{\ell,k,n} \, f_\ell(x), \tag{43}$$

where f_ℓ is the ℓ-th elementary symmetric polynomial, and notice that $H_{k,n}$ has degree at most k. As $T_k(x)$ is odd or even when k is odd or even respectively, it follows that $\beta_{\ell,k,n} = 0$ if $k - \ell$ is odd. We distinguish two cases depending on the parity of $k - d$.

• Suppose that $k - d$ is even and consider the function $\varphi_{d,k,n} : \{-1,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\forall \ x \in \{-1,1\}^n, \qquad \varphi_{d,k,n}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq d: \ 2 | d - \ell} \mathrm{sign}(\beta_{\ell,k,n}) f_\ell(x) \tag{44}$$

that is also symmetric and of degree at most d. Then, on one hand we know that

$$\inf_{g \in \mathcal{P}^n_{>k}} \|\varphi_{d,k,n} - g\|_1 \stackrel{(15)}{\leq} \sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq d: \ 2 | d - \ell} |\tilde{c}(k,\ell)| = \sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq d: \ 2 | d - \ell} |c(k,\ell)|. \tag{45}$$

On the other hand, we have the following lower estimate,

$$\inf_{g\in\mathcal{P}^n_{>k}} \|\varphi_{d,k,n}-g\|_1 \overset{(40)}{=} \sup_{0\neq h\in\mathcal{P}^n_{\leq k}} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\varphi_{d,k,n}h]}{\|h\|_\infty} \geq \frac{|\mathbb{E}[\varphi_{d,k,n}H_{k,n}]|}{\|H_{k,n}\|_\infty}. \tag{46}$$

By definition, $\|H_{k,n}\|_\infty \leq \sup_{x\in[-1,1]}|T_k(x)| \leq 1$ and $H_{k,n}(1,\dots,1) = T_k(1) = 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\inf_{g\in\mathcal{P}^n_{>k}} \|\varphi_{d,k,n}-g\|_1 \geq |\mathbb{E}[\varphi_{d,k,n}H_{k,n}]| &= \Big|\sum_{0\leq\ell\leq d:\ 2|d-\ell} \operatorname{sign}(\beta_{\ell,k,n})\operatorname{Rad}_\ell H_{k,n}(1,\dots,1)\Big| \\ &= \sum_{0\leq\ell\leq d:\ 2|d-\ell} \Big|\operatorname{Rad}_\ell H_{k,n}(1,\dots,1)\Big|.\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

To further estimate this sum, we use [14, Lemma 27] which implies that there exists a positive constant $\varepsilon_n(k,d) > 0$ with $\varepsilon_n(k,d) = O_{k,d}(1/n)$ as $n\to\infty$, such that

$$\sum_{0\leq\ell\leq d:\ 2|d-\ell} \Big|\operatorname{Rad}_\ell H_{k,n}(1,\dots,1)\Big| \geq \sum_{0\leq\ell\leq d:\ 2|d-\ell} |c(k,\ell)| - \varepsilon_n(k,d). \tag{48}$$

Hence, combining the above we conclude that

$$\inf_{g\in\mathcal{P}^n_{>k}} \|\varphi_{d,k,n}-g\|_1 \geq \sum_{0\leq\ell\leq d:\ 2|d-\ell} |c(k,\ell)| - \varepsilon_n(k,d). \tag{49}$$

Finally, to bound from below the L_1-distance of f_d from the tail space, we write

$$f_d = \operatorname{sign}(\beta_{d,k,n})(\varphi_{d,k,n} - \varphi_{d-2,k,n}) \tag{50}$$

and using the triangle inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\inf_{g\in\mathcal{P}^n_{>k}} \|f_d-g\|_1 &\geq \inf_{g\in\mathcal{P}^n_{>k}} \big\|\varphi_{d,k,n}-g\big\|_1 - \inf_{g\in\mathcal{P}^n_{>k}} \big\|\varphi_{d-2,k,n}-g\big\|_1 \\ &\overset{(45)\wedge(49)}{\geq} \sum_{0\leq\ell\leq d:\ 2|d-\ell} |c(k,\ell)| - \varepsilon_n(k,d) - \sum_{0\leq\ell\leq d-2:\ 2|d-2-\ell} |c(k,\ell)| = |c(k,d)| - \varepsilon_n(k,d),\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

thus concluding the proof of the lower bound in (16).

• If $k-d$ is odd, we use the identity

$$f_d = \operatorname{sign}(\beta_{d,k-1,n})(\varphi_{d,k-1,n} - \varphi_{d-2,k-1,n}). \tag{52}$$

The rest of the argument is identical. □

Remark 11. *In this paper, we studied dual versions of moment comparison estimates on the hypercube* (3) *and investigated the endpoint case of their duals* (4) *for polynomials. By formal reasoning similar to the proof of Proposition 1, one can derive dual versions of various other polynomial inequalities, including Bernstein–Markov inequalities and their reverses and bounds for the action of the heat semigroup. We refer to* [8] *for a systematic treatment of such estimates.*

References

[1] Jöran Bergh and Jörgen Löfström. *Interpolation spaces. An introduction*, volume No. 223 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.

[2] Ron Blei. *Analysis in integer and fractional dimensions*, volume 71 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.

[3] Aline Bonami. Étude des coefficients de Fourier des fonctions de $L^p(G)$. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 20(fasc. 2):335–402 (1971), 1970.

[4] Jean Bourgain. Walsh subspaces of L^p-product spaces. In *Seminar on Functional Analysis, 1979–1980 (French)*, pages Exp. No. 4A, 9. École Polytech., Palaiseau, 1980.

[5] Andreas Defant, Domingo García, Manuel Maestre, and Pablo Sevilla-Peris. *Dirichlet series and holomorphic functions in high dimensions*, volume 37 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2019.

[6] Andreas Defant, Mieczysław Mastyło, and Antonio Pérez. On the Fourier spectrum of functions on Boolean cubes. *Math. Ann.*, 374(1-2):653–680, 2019.

[7] Andreas Defant and Pablo Sevilla-Peris. The Bohnenblust-Hille cycle of ideas from a modern point of view. *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.*, 50(1, [2013 on table of contents]):55–127, 2014.
[8] Alexandros Eskenazis and Paata Ivanisvili. Polynomial inequalities on the Hamming cube. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 178(1-2):235–287, 2020.
[9] Alexandros Eskenazis and Paata Ivanisvili. Learning low-degree functions from a logarithmic number of random queries. In *STOC '22—Proceedings of the 54th Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 203–207. ACM, New York, [2022] ©2022.
[10] Paweł Hitczenko. Domination inequality for martingale transforms of a Rademacher sequence. *Israel J. Math.*, 84(1-2):161–178, 1993.
[11] Paweł Hitczenko and Stanisław Kwapień. On the Rademacher series. In *Probability in Banach spaces, 9 (Sandjberg, 1993)*, volume 35 of *Progr. Probab.*, pages 31–36. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1994.
[12] Tord Holmstedt. Interpolation of quasi-normed spaces. *Math. Scand.*, 26:177–199, 1970.
[13] Paata Ivanisvili and Tomasz Tkocz. Comparison of moments of Rademacher chaoses. *Ark. Mat.*, 57(1):121–128, 2019.
[14] Siddharth Iyer, Anup Rao, Victor Reis, Thomas Rothvoss, and Amir Yehudayoff. Tight bounds on the Fourier growth of bounded functions on the hypercube. Preprint available at `https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.06309`, 2021.
[15] Aleksandr Khintchine. Über dyadische Brüche. *Math. Z.*, 18(1):109–116, 1923.
[16] Niv Levhari and Alex Samorodnitsky. Hypercontractive inequalities for the second norm of highly concentrated functions, and Mrs. Gerber's-type inequalities for the second Rényi entropy. *Entropy*, 24(10):Paper No. 1376, 27, 2022.
[17] Stephen J. Montgomery-Smith. The distribution of Rademacher sums. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 109(2):517–522, 1990.
[18] Ryan O'Donnell. *Analysis of Boolean functions*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 2014.
[19] Krzysztof Oleszkiewicz. On mimicking Rademacher sums in tail spaces. In *Geometric aspects of functional analysis*, volume 2169 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 331–337. Springer, Cham, 2017.

(A. E.) CNRS, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, Sorbonne Université, France and Trinity College, University of Cambridge, UK.
Email address: `alexandros.eskenazis@imj-prg.fr`, `ae466@cam.ac.uk`

(H. Z.) Department of Mathematics, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, 29208, USA.
Email address: `haonanzhangmath@gmail.com`, `haonanz@mailbox.sc.edu`