

Synthetic silico-metallic particles-SSMMP-Ni and SSMMP-Ni-IL: CO2 capture and utilization

Daniela Rodrigues, Julia Wolf, Barbara Polesso, Pierre Micoud, Christophe Le

Roux, Franciele Bernard, François Martin, Sandra Einloft

▶ To cite this version:

Daniela Rodrigues, Julia Wolf, Barbara Polesso, Pierre Micoud, Christophe Le Roux, et al.. Synthetic silico-metallic particles-SSMMP-Ni and SSMMP-Ni-IL: CO2 capture and utilization. Fuel, 2023, pp.128304. 10.1016/j.fuel.2023.128304. hal-04285019

HAL Id: hal-04285019 https://hal.science/hal-04285019

Submitted on 14 Nov 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

1 Synthetic silico-metallic particles- SSMMP-Ni and SSMMP-Ni-IL: CO₂ capture and

2 utilization

- Daniela Rodrigues^{1,3}, Julia Wolf², Barbara Polesso¹, Pierre Micoud³, Christophe Le Roux³,
 Franciele Bernard², François Martin³, Sandra Einloft^{1,2}
- 5 ¹Post-Graduation Program in Materials Engineering and Technology, Pontifical Catholic
- 6 University of Rio Grande do Sul PUCRS, Brazil.
- 7 ²School of Technology, Pontifical Catholic University of Rio Grande do Sul PUCRS, Brazil.
- 8 ³GET/OMP (CNRS, UT3PS, IRD, CNES), Université de Toulouse, ERT Géomatériaux,
- 9 (Toulouse) France
- 10
- 11 Abstract

12 Synthetic silico-metallic mineral particles (SSMMP) containing different amounts of Ni 13 (SSMMP-Ni) and SSMMP-Ni functionalized with different IL (SSMMP-Ni-IL) were 14 obtained and successfully used as solid adsorbents for CO₂ sorption, CO₂/N₂ 15 separation and highly recyclable heterogeneous catalysts active in the synthesis of 16 different cyclic carbonates using CO₂ as a starting reagent. Samples were 17 characterized by infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), RAMAN spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction 18 (XRD), thermal analysis (TGA), specific surface area measurements (BET) and 19 scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Samples containing IL demonstrated high CO₂ 20 capture capacity (1.18-1.91 mmol CO₂/g adsorbent - 1bar CO₂), CO₂ selectivity (7.5-21 14.7) and stability. As catalysts, SSMMP-Ni 50% achieved a yield of 93.3% in 22 propylene carbonate production (20 bar, 100°C and 7h) and constant yield up to 10 23 cycles. These materials are easy to synthesize, with low energy demand, high stability 24 and versatile to be used as adsorbent in CO₂ capture and catalyst for CO₂ 25 transformation.

26

Keywords: CO₂/N₂ separation; nickel synthetic silico-metallic mineral particles; CO₂
 capture; CO₂ utilization; solid sorbents; heterogeneous catalysis

29

30 **1. Introduction**

The need to reduce the amount of CO_2 emitted into the atmosphere by the anthropogenic burning of fossil fuels is urgent. Energy production is majoritarian by fossil fuel and the prediction that it will continue in the next years is clear [1,2]. Mitigating CO_2 emissions into the atmosphere is an imperative discussion to be 35 continued by heads of state and a huge challenge for scientists [3]. The portfolio of 36 technologies available to reduce CO₂ concentrations in the atmosphere during this 37 transition period of carbon-based to zero-carbon energy production includes CO_2 38 capture, utilization, and storage as mature options. Carbon capture and storage (CCS) 39 aims to capture CO₂ before it is released into the atmosphere. After capturing the CO₂, 40 it is separated from the other gases and transported to geological storage. Among the 41 available techniques, capturing CO₂ from gaseous effluents after fuel combustion is 42 considered advantageous due to its integration into existing industrial facilities [2,4-7]. 43 Besides being the benchmark technology, chemical adsorption by aqueous solution 44 amines presents some drawbacks, such as high volatility and low thermal stability, high 45 cost of amine regeneration, degradation of amines and equipment corrosion [2]. Thus, 46 the development of materials with high CO₂ capture efficiency and selectivity, low cost, 47 and recyclability are urgent [8].

48 Problems related to CO₂ storage (such as the limited capacity for CO₂ geological 49 storage, uncertainties regarding safety and storage time, and the lack of financial 50 incentives), brought to light carbon capture and utilization technologies (CCU) [5,9]. 51 CCU presents the possibility of transforming residual CO₂ into a starting reagent in the 52 production of industry-valuable chemical products. Cyclic carbonates can be used in 53 industry as electrolytes in lithium batteries, monomers in polycarbonate synthesis, 54 aprotic polar solvents, and reagents in the pharmaceutical industry and agricultural 55 chemicals production [5,9,10]. However, CO₂ low reactivity and high thermodynamic 56 stability demand the use of catalysts to the reaction efficiently occur with low energy 57 expenditure. Homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysts are described for use in 58 cyclic carbonates synthesis, including metallic salts [11], metallic oxides [12,13], ionic 59 liquids [14], organic bases [15,16], metallic complexes [17,18] and metal-organic 60 frameworks MOFs [19]. Homogeneous catalysts present good catalytic activity but the 61 difficulty and cost of separating the product and catalyst are undesirable. 62 Heterogeneous catalysts have drawbacks such as low catalytic activity, selectivity, and 63 catalyst recyclability. Yet, the high energy demand for catalyst manufacture is an 64 important issue [4,20]. In this scenario, it is imperative to continue the search for new 65 efficient, recyclable, and low production cost heterogeneous catalysts.

66 The use of ionic liquids (IL) in CO₂ capture and transformation has been widely 67 explored. In the first, as an alternative to amine solutions and in the second as 68 homogeneous catalysts in carbonates synthesis. IL exhibits properties such as good 69 thermal stability, high ionic conductivity, good solubility, wide electrochemical potential 70 window, high synthetic flexibility, non-flammable, recyclable and low vapor pressure and is classified as a green solvent [21-23]. However, the high viscosity of ILs results 71 72 in low CO₂ diffusion and, consequently, low CO₂ sorption rates, making their use inconvenient for CO₂ capture [24]. An alternative to solve the inconvenience both as a 73 74 homogeneous catalyst and for CO₂ absorption (high viscosity) is the use of IL 75 supported on solid materials. Among the materials used as support are organic and 76 inorganic polymers, silicas, nanoparticles, oxides, resins, MOFs and zeolites 77 [2,7,10,24–31]. Silica-based materials are interesting to be used as support, they have 78 many silanol groups (-SiOH) on their surface facilitating functionalization in addition to 79 the affinity for the CO₂ [32]. SSMMP are synthetic talc precursor particles having a structure described as "nano-talc entities". SSMMP are formed by 2-3 Mg octahedra 80 81 with 3-4 Si tetrahedra distributed in the lower and upper part of the Mg octahedral 82 "sheet". After hydrothermal treatment, these "nano-talc entities" produce synthetic talc, 83 stacked lamellae composed of octahedral sheets of Mg sandwiched by two tetrahedral 84 sheets of Si bonded together by weak Van der Waals forces [33,34]. The main 85 advantage of using SSMMP compared to synthetic talc is a large number of reactive 86 groups (-SiOH and -MgOH) on the entire surface (against only 10% of the surface of 87 synthetic talc), providing an excellent interaction with CO₂ and potentially synergistic 88 effect with the IL [7,34,35]. Yet, the elimination of the step with the highest energy 89 expenditure in the synthesis (hydrothermal treatment) makes these materials low-cost 90 and easy to synthesize [7]. Partial and/or total Mg cation exchange by Ni and other 91 divalent cations in the octahedral layer of synthetic talc was revisited and the 92 application possibilities of these materials were also explored (Martin et al., 2019).

The synthesis of SSMMP functionalized with IL, from a fast, one-step, low-energy method using only water as a solvent for dissolving the reagents places this material as a candidate for different applications [37]. SSMMP can be used as support materials for IL and further applied as solid materials for heterogeneous catalysis, heavy metal sorption and selective gas sorption [7]. Recently our group proved that Mg-based SSMMP can be used as a selective sorbent for CO₂/N₂ separation [7].

In this work, the synthesis and characterization of SSMMP with 50 and 100% of Ni replacing Mg were described. Yet, ammonium and imidazolium-based IL (20%) were supported by replacing Si during the synthesis. Obtained materials were further tested as solid adsorbents in CO_2 capture at 25°C, at CO_2 equilibrium pressures of 1-30 bar, and as selective adsorbents for CO_2 in CO_2/N_2 gas mixtures. Thinking in the possibility of having CO_2 capture and transformation steps in the same place, SSMMP were also tested as heterogeneous recyclable catalysts in the CO_2 cycloaddition reactions in epoxides (10-30 bar, 60-110°C and 4-8 hours).

107

108 2. Experimental

109

110 **2.1. Materials**

111 Sodium metasilicate pentahydrate (Na₂SiO₃.5H₂O, Sigma-Aldrich), sodium acetate 112 (CH₃COONa, Sigma-Aldrich), magnesium acetate tetrahydrate ((CH₃COO)₂Mg.4H₂O, 113 Sigma-Aldrich), acetic acid (CH₃COOH, Sigma-Aldrich), nickel acetate tetrahydrate 114 (Ni(CH₃COO)₂.4H₂O, Sigma-Aldrich), 1-triethoxysilylpropyl-n.n.n-methylimidazolium 115 chloride [IMI-CI-silane], 1-trimethoxysilylpropyl-n.n.n-trimethylammonium chloride ([AMO-CI-Silane], Gelest), sodium bromide (NaBr, Sigma-Aldrich), sodium iodide (NaI, 116 117 Sigma-Aldrich), propylene epoxide (Sigma-Aldrich), styrene epoxide (Sigma-Aldrich), 118 epichlorohydrin 1.2-epoxybutane (Sigma-Aldrich), (Sigma-Aldrich), 119 tetrabutylammonium bromide (TBAB, Sigma-Aldrich) and CO₂ (Air Liquide, 99.998%). 120 All reagents were used as purchased without further purification.

- 121
- 122

Table 1- Simplified scheme of samples synthesis reactions

Entry	Sample	Reaction equation
1	ST-Ni 50%	4 [Na₂SiO₃] + 1.5 [Ni(CH₃COO)₂] +1.5 [Mg(CH₃COO)₂] +2 CH₃COOH →ST-Ni 50% + 8 CH₃COONa
2	SSMMP-Ni 50%	4 [Na₂SiO₃] + 1.5 [Ni(CH₃COO)₂] +1.5 [Mg(CH₃COO)₂] +2 CH₃COOH → <i>SSMMP-Ni</i> 50% + 8 CH₃COONa
3	SSMMP-Ni 100%	4 [Na₂SiO₃] + 3 [Ni(CH₃COO)₂] +2 CH₃COOH → <i>SSMMP-Ni 100</i> % + 8 CH₃COONa
4	SSMMP-Ni 50%- IL*	3.2 [Na₂SiO₃] +0.8 <i>IL-silane</i> + 1.5 [Ni(CH₃COO)₂] +1.5 [Mg(CH₃COO)₂] +2 CH₃COOH → SSMMP-Ni 50% - IL + 8 CH₃COONa

*IL = AMO-Br, AMO-Cl, AMO-I, IMI-Br, IMI-Cl and IMI-I



Y-= Br-; Cl-; l-

125

- Figure 1- Structure of the ionic liquids functionalizing the SSMMP-Ni 50%. (a) [IMI-Y Silane] and (b) [AMO-Y-Silane]
- 128 2.2. Synthetic talc synthesis

129 Synthetic talc with 50% Mg substituted by Ni (ST-Ni 50%) was synthesized using a 130 protocol well described in the literature [33,38]. Talc synthesis was carried out in two 131 stages: the first with the mixture of two solutions, a Si precursor, prepared from 0.2 mol 132 of Na₂SiO₃.5H₂O dissolved in 200 mL of purified water, and another precursor solution 133 of Mg and Ni, prepared from 0.075 mol of Mg(CH₃COO)₂.4H₂O, 0.075 mol of 134 Ni(CH₃COO)₂.4H₂O and 0.1 mol of CH₃COOH dissolved in 300 mL of purified water. 135 The second stage consists of the hydrothermal treatment of this mixture in an 136 autoclave for 6 hours, at 300°C, reaching a pressure of 85 bar. The talc gel obtained 137 after hydrothermal treatment is washed and centrifuged to remove the sodium acetate, 138 and dried in an oven at 100°C. The equation and the synthesis process of ST-Ni-50% 139 are represented in Table 1 (entry 1) and Figure 2.



Figure 2- Schematic representation of the synthesis of ST-Ni 50%

143 2.3. Synthesis of SSMMP and SSMMP-IL

144 Synthetic silico-metallic mineral particles (SSMMP) synthesis was recently described 145 by our group [7]. The SSMMP containing Ni in substitution of 50% or 100% of the 146 octahedral Mg (SSMMP-Ni 50% and SSMMP-Ni 100%, respectively) were synthesized 147 in a similar way to the first step of the synthesis of ST-Ni 50% (described in the previous 148 topic 2.2, without supplementary addition of CH₃COONa in the Si precursor solution), 149 with the exception for the synthesis of SSMMP-Ni 100% for which Mg(CH₃COO)₂ is 150 replaced by Ni(CH₃COO)₂. After mixing the precursor solutions of Si, Mg and Ni, the 151 formed precipitate was washed with water and centrifuged until the complete removal 152 of sodium acetate. Finally, the SSMMP-Ni X% were oven dried for approximately 24 153 hours at 100°C. The formation reactions of SSMMP-Ni 50% and SSMMP-Ni 100% are 154 shown in Table 1 (entries 2 and 3).

155 The synthesis of the SSMMP-Ni 50%-IL was performed by adapting the synthesis 156 method described by Dumas (2013a). The ILs [IMI-CI-Silane] and [AMO-CI-Silane] 157 were diluted in purified water and the Cl⁻ anion was exchanged for Br⁻ and I⁻ anions 158 using NaBr and Nal, respectively, resulting in ILs (IMI-Br, IMI-I, AMO-Br and AMO-I) 159 as shown in Figure 1. The obtained ILs were mixed with the first solution of 160 Na₂SiO₃.5H₂O from formation synthesis of the SSMMP-Ni 50% following the same 161 steps previously described for the synthesis of the SSMMP-Ni 50%, forming the 162 samples named: SSMMP-Ni 50%-IMI Br, SSMMP-Ni 50%-IMI I, SSMMP-Ni 50%-AMO 163 Br and SSMMP-Ni 50%-AMO I. The formation reaction of the SSMMP-Ni 50%-IL is 164 represented in Table 1 (entry 4). In a typical SSMMP-Ni50% IL synthesis, the anion 165 exchange was first performed using 0.08 mol of ILs (IMI-CI-Silane or AMO-CI-Silane) 166 and 0.08 mol of the elected salt (NaBr or Nal) diluted in 100 mL of purified water, under 167 constant stirring for 1 hour at room temperature. After the anion exchange, the IL-168 containing solution is mixed with a Si precursor solution (0.32 mol of Na₂SiO₃.5H₂O 169 dissolved in 300 ml of purified water). Finally, the solution containing the Si precursor 170 and the IL is poured over the precursor solution of Mg and Ni (0.15 mol of 171 Mg(CH₃COO)₂.4H₂O and 0.15 mol of Ni(CH₃COO)₂.4H₂O dissolved in 400 ml of 172 purified water). The resulting precipitate is then centrifuged, washed with water and 173 dried in an oven at 100°C.

175 **2.4. Materials characterization**

176 Perkin-Elmer FT-IR Spectrum 100 spectrometer in the range of 4000 cm⁻¹ to 600 cm⁻¹ 177 was used to perform Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR). Confocal Raman 178 microscopy system alpha300 R access from WiTec GmbH, equipped with a UHTS 300 179 spectrophotometer with a diffraction grating of 600g/mm BLZ=500 nm and using a He-180 Ne laser was used to obtain RAMAN spectrograms. Thermogravimetric analyses 181 (TGA) were obtained using a TA Instrument SDT-Q600. The temperature range was 182 set at 25°C–900°C with a heating rate of 20°C/min, under nitrogen atmosphere. The 183 specific surface areas were obtained using Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method. 184 The nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherm was obtained using NOVA 4200 High 185 Speed at liquid nitrogen temperature. X-ray diffraction (XRD) analyses were performed 186 on disoriented powders, using a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer operating under 187 the reflection of the CuK α_{1+2} radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å), K α_2 being subtracted with Bruker 188 Diffrac. Eva software for figures. XRD patterns were collected over the 2-80°20 range, 189 using 0.4 s counting time per $0.01^{\circ}2\theta$ step at room temperature. The powdered talc 190 skeletal density (ps) was measured at 25°C using an Ultrapycnometer 1000 -191 Quantachrome Corporation pycnometer using ultra-high purity helium (Air Liquide / 192 99.999%). A field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) Inspect F50 193 equipment (FEI Instruments) was used to assess particle morphology.

194

2.5. CO₂ sorption capacity

195 CO₂ sorption capacity was evaluated using well-described procedures [39,40]. The 196 pressure decay technique reported by KOROS and PAUL was used to perform the 197 tests Koros & Paul (1976), using an equilibrium cell equipped with two chambers (one 198 being a gas chamber and the other a sorption chamber). Sorption tests were carried 199 out in triplicates at a constant temperature of 25°C using CO₂ at equilibrium pressures 200 of 1, 10, and 30 bar and sample mass of 0.6 to 0.7g. Before each test, the samples 201 were placed in an oven remaining 1h at 100°C. Ten cycles of CO₂ sorption/desorption 202 were performed to corroborate sample stability.

203 2.6. CO₂ selectivity in CO₂/N₂ mixtures

 CO_2 selectivity was performed with a mixture with a composition of 15:85 (v/v) of CO_2/N_2 using the same CO_2 sorption system described above [42,43] CO_2 selectivity tests was performed at 20 bar of equilibrium pressure, and 25 °C of temperature, in triplicate. For selectivity determination, two gas samples are taken from the system
after the mixture pressure reaches equilibrium and injected into a gas chromatograph
(GC) (Shimadzu GC-14B) equipped with a thermal conductivity detector to obtain the
gaseous composition of the non-adsorbed mixture allowing the selectivity calculation
as described in detail by Azimi and Mirzaei (2016).

212

213
$$S = \frac{\frac{X_{CO_2}}{Y_{CO_2}}}{\frac{X_{N_2}}{Y_{N_2}}}$$
(1)

214

The selectivity CO_2/N_2 is obtained using equation 1, where X_{CO2} and X_{N2} correspond to the molar fractions of CO_2 and N_2 sorbed by the sample, and Y_{CO2} and Y_{N2} are the molar fractions of CO_2 and N_2 present in the gas phase, respectively [7,42].

218

219

19 **2.7. Cyclic carbonates synthesis**

220 The synthesis of cyclic carbonates was carried out in a 120 mL titanium autoclave reactor. The system temperature is controlled by a thermocouple connected to a 221 222 temperature controller. The reactor is charged with 0.1 mol of propylene oxide and 0.2 223 g of catalyst. For the reactions carried out using a cocatalyst TBAB (0.6 mol% of EP) 224 was added. The reactor was closed, pressurized with different CO₂ pressures (10-30 225 bar) and heated (30-120 °C). The temperature was kept constant for a predetermined 226 time (2-7 hours). After each reaction, the reactor was slowly cooled and depressurized. 227 The catalyst was separated from the reaction product by centrifugation. The reaction 228 product was treated under vacuum and heated to remove any remaining unreacted 229 propylene oxide. The final product was analyzed using a Shimadzu GC-14B gas 230 chromatograph, equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) and an SH-Rtx-5 231 column (30 m \times 25 mm \times 25 mm). A calibration curve with propylene carbonate as the 232 internal standard and ethyl ether as solvent was previously constructed and used to 233 determine reaction selectivity.

For cyclability tests, the catalyst was separated from the reaction product, washed with distilled water, centrifuged and dried in an oven at 100°C for approximately 2 hours. After drying, the catalyst was ready to be reused in the next cycle, with the addition of cocatalyst TBAB (0.6 mol% of EP).

238

239 3. Results and discussion

240 FTIR

241 ST-Ni 50%, SSMMP-Ni 50%, SSMMP-Ni 50%-AMO Br and SSMMP-Ni 50%-IMI Br FTIR spectra are presented in Figure 3, (a-d), respectively. For all samples, 242 243 characteristic bands are seen at 3650 cm⁻¹ attributed to (-OH) stretching vibration of the Mg₃-OH and Ni₃-OH, at 1023 cm⁻¹ to symmetric stretching of Si-O-Si and Si-O, at 244 678 cm⁻¹ to the overlapping of Ni-O and Si-O, and the OH groups deformation, and at 245 246 473 cm⁻¹, related to the stretching vibration of Si-O-Si and the -OH groups [44–51]. The broad band at 3600-2800 cm⁻¹ is attributed to the water hydroxyl group (-OH) 247 confirmed by the characteristic band at 1635 cm⁻¹ [52,53]. For SSMMP-Ni 50%-IMI Br 248 249 (Figure 3, d), two characteristic bands are observed in the region of 1572 cm⁻¹ and 250 1492 cm⁻¹, related to the C=C stretch bond of the imidazolium ring present in the ILs 251 cation. The bands located at 1380 cm⁻¹ and 1166 cm⁻¹ are attributed to C-H bonds of 252 the IL aliphatic chain and the Si-C, respectively [54,55]. SSMMP-Ni 50%-AMO Br 253 (Figure 3, c) evidenced the bands at 1476 cm⁻¹ and 1418 cm⁻¹ related to CH₂ bond 254 deformation [53,56]



259

Figure 3- FTIR of samples (a) ST-Ni 50%, (b) SSMMP-Ni 50%, (c) SSMMP-Ni 50%-AMO Br
 and (d) SSMMP-Ni 50%-IMI Br

260 RAMAN

261 Figure 4 presents Raman spectra of SSMMP-Ni 50% and SSMMP-Ni 50%-IL. For all 262 pristine and IL-functionalized samples a band near the 675 cm⁻¹, attributed to the 263 symmetrical Si-O-Si elongation mode is observed [7,57]. For SSMMP-Ni 50%-IL 264 (Figure 4, b-e), new bands appeared in the region of 2902 and 2990 cm⁻¹, characteristic 265 of the CH₂- and CH₃- bonds stretching vibrations present in the side chains of the 266 imidazolium and ammonium cations [7,58,59]. The bands between 900 and 1000 cm⁻ 267 ¹ are attributed to C-C bonds stretching vibrations of the cation side chains. For 268 samples containing the ammonium cation (Figure 4, b and c), the bands at 1339 cm⁻¹, 1417cm⁻¹ and 1560 cm⁻¹ are attributed to C-N, CH₂- and CH₃- bond asymmetric 269 270 stretching vibration, and CH₂-N bond vibration, respectively [59]. For samples 271 containing the imidazolium cation, bands in the same region (between 1326 and 1530 272 cm⁻¹) were observed and attributed to in the plane asymmetric stretching vibrations of 273 imidazolium ring (H-C-H, C-C, CH₂-N and CH₃-(N)-CN) [60].





277

278 XRD

279 Samples XRD patterns are shown in Figure 5. ST-Ni 50% (Figure 5, h) presented inter-280 reticular distance values (d) with reflections at 001 (9.99 Å), 020 (4.53 Å), 003 (3.17 Å) and 060-330 (1.52 Å), characteristics of Mg/Ni synthetic talc [33,61]. SSMMP-Ni 50% 281 282 and SSMMP-Ni 100% (Figure 5, a and b) showed no reflection, evidencing the 283 formation of amorphous structures of SSMMP composed by two or three Mg and/or Ni 284 octahedrons and three or four Si tetrahedrons located at the top and the bottom of the 285 octahedral sheet; [33,34]. For samples functionalized with ILs (SSMMP-Ni 50%-IL, 286 Figure 5 c-g), a weak reflection at $2\theta = 6^{\circ}$ is observed due to an increment in sample 287 structure organization caused by the surfactant effect of the organo-alkoxysilanes 288 present in the synthesis process acting as an anionic surfactant [62]. Yet, hydrophilic 289 groups form micelles facilitating Si-O-Mg covalent bond formation and assisting the 290 lamellar structure growth [34].



Figure 5- DRX patterns of samples (a) SSMMP-Ni 50%, (b) SSMMP-Ni 100%, (c) SSMMP-Ni
 50%-AMO CI (d) SSMMP-Ni 50%-IMI I, (e) SSMMP-Ni 50%-IMI Br, (f) SSMMP-Ni 50%-IMI
 CI, (g) SSMMP-Ni 50%-AMO I, (h) SSMMP-Ni 50%-AMO Br and (i) ST-Ni 50%.

296 297

TGA

298 Synthesized samples were characterized by TGA as seen in Table 2. Results show 299 that all samples have a first mass loss attributed to the loss of physisorbed water. The 300 second mass loss refers to the loss of Si-OH, Mg-OH and Ni-OH groups present on 301 the synthetic talc sheet edges (for ST-Ni 50%) or present in the surface of SSMMP 302 [7,63]. For samples functionalized with IL, the second step is also related to 303 imidazolium (starting near 280°C) and ammonium cations degradation (starting near 304 250°C) [53,64]. The third mass loss, appearing for synthetic talc ST-Ni 50%, refers to 305 the dehydroxylation of talc sheets accompanied by the formation of enstatite and silica 306 [51,63].

Table 2- Thermogravimetric analyses

	1st mas	ss loss	2nd mas	s loss	3rd mas	s loss
Sample	T _{onset} - T _{endset} (°C)	w/w %	T _{onset} - T _{endset} (°C)	w/w %	T _{onset} - T _{endset} (°C)	w/w %
ST-Ni 50%	25-137	4.4	137-550	2.9	550-900	3.0
SSMMP-Ni 50%	25-243	15.1	243-900	7.8	-	-
SSMMP-Ni 100%	25-258	16.2	325-900	7.4	-	-
SSMMP-Ni 50%-AMO Br	25-245	14.4	245-900	13.9	-	-
SSMMP-Ni 50%-AMO CI	25-251	15.1	251-900	16.0	-	-
SSMMP-Ni 50%-AMO I	25-237	14.3	237-900	13.2	-	-
SSMMP-Ni 50%-IMI Br	25-293	10.8	293-900	13.2	-	-
SSMMP-Ni 50%-IMI CI	25-283	13.5	283-900	18.0	-	-
SSMMP-Ni 50%-IMI I	25-279	11.9	279-900	12.0	-	-

310 BET

Table 3 presents the samples specific surface areas. SSMMP-Ni 50% and SSMMP-Ni 311 312 100% present higher specific surface area when compared to ST-Ni 50% and SSMMP 313 functionalized with IL (see Table 3, entries 2 and 3). SSMMP-Ni 50% was submitted to 314 hydrothermal treatment to produce synthetic talc ST-Ni 50% decreasing the material specific surface area (from 285 m²/g to 195 m²/g). The drop in sample specific surface 315 316 area after heat treatment results from the growth of SSMMP entities forming stacked 317 lamellae with temperature [33,51]. The decrease in the specific surface area of the IL 318 functionalized samples (see Table 3, entries 4 to 9) indicates the success of the IL 319 insertion in the SSMMP structure [6,7]. Somehow the synthesis method of samples 320 functionalized with IL interferes on the specific surface area value. Samples with CI as 321 anion undergo no anion exchange, while I and Br are inserted in the molecule by an 322 ionic exchange reaction having NaCl as a byproduct. NaCl remains in the next step of 323 the synthesis, probably facilitating defect creation during the washing process 324 increasing specific surface area [65-67]. This idea can be corroborated by N₂ 325 sorption/desorption curves showing a decrease in the N₂ volume adsorbed by the 326 samples containing the Cl⁻ anion (see Figure S1).

327

328

Table 3- Samples specific surface areas

Entry	Sample	S _{BET} (m²/g)
1	ST-Ni 50%	145
2	SSMMP-Ni 50%	285
3	SSMMP-Ni 100%	340
4	SSMMP-Ni 50%-AMO Br	180

5	SSMMP-Ni 50%-AMO CI	9.7
6	SSMMP-Ni 50%-AMO I	176
7	SSMMP-Ni 50%-IMI Br	211
8	SSMMP-Ni 50%-IMI CI	27
9	SSMMP-Ni 50%-IMI I	213

330 SEM

Samples morphology is shown in Figure 6. ST-Ni 50% (Figure 6, a and b) has a dense and compact structure, formed by numerous stacked lamellae. Samples undergoing no heat treatment (SSMMP-Ni 50%, 100% and SSMMP-Ni 50%-IL) (Table 3, entries 2-9) showed agglomerated spherical morphology as seen in Figure 6 (c) and (d) (samples SSMMP-Ni 50% and SSMMP-Ni 50%-AMO Br, respectively). CO₂ sorption capacity is influenced by sample morphology. A particulate morphological structure fosters CO₂ adsorption as seen in the next section.

338



Figure 6- Samples SEM images: (a) and (b) ST-Ni 50%, (c) SSMMP-Ni 50% and (d)
 SSMMP-Ni 50%-AMO Br

343 **3.1 CO₂ sorption tests**

344 CO₂ sorption tests at CO₂ equilibrium pressures of 1 bar, 10 bar, and 30 bar of CO₂ at 345 25°C are shown in Table 4. As expected, the synthetic talc ST-Ni 50% (Table 4, entry 346 1) showed the lowest CO₂ sorption capacity among the analyzed samples. The low 347 CO₂ sorption capacity presented by ST-Ni 50% is directly related to its lamellar 348 structure composed of an octahedral sheet of Mg and Ni sandwiched by two tetrahedral 349 sheets of Si presenting reactive groups (-SiOH and -MOH where M = Mg and Ni) only 350 on the edges of these sheets (representing only 10% of the total surface) [34,35]. 351 According to previously published work [7], the OH groups are essential for the 352 CO₂/adsorbent interaction. The CO₂ sorption in adsorbents rich in Si-OH groups occurs 353 by physical adsorption through dispersive and electrostatic interactions, by weak 354 interaction of CO₂ with the OH group present on the surface of these materials $(H^{\delta_{+}} \cdots \delta_{-} O = C = O^{\delta_{-}})$ [7,68]. The above statement can be corroborated by comparing 355 356 the CO₂ sorption capacity of synthetic talc ST-Ni 50%, and its precursor SSMMP-Ni 357 50% (undergoing no thermal treatment) and SSMMP-Ni 100% (100% of the Mg 358 replaced by Ni, undergoing no thermal treatment) (Table 4, entries 2 and 3, 359 respectively). Comparing these two precursors with ST-Ni 50%, an increase of 0.61 360 mmolCO₂/g and 0.48 mmolCO₂/g in the CO₂ sorption capacity, respectively, is 361 observed at 1 bar. Unlike synthetic talc, SSMMP-Ni X% are formed by a few Si 362 tetrahedra bonded together, sandwiching 1-3 octahedra of Mg/Ni (as shown in Figure 363 7), this configuration allows the presence of greater amounts of reactive groups (-SiOH 364 and -MOH where M= Mg and Ni) on the SSMMP surface and consequently higher 365 interaction with the CO₂ [7,33,34,51].

- 366
- 367

Table 4 – Samples sorption capacity at 25°C in different CO₂ equilibrium pressures

		CO ₂ sorption			
Entry	samples	1 bar _{eq.} (mmolCO ₂ /g)	10 bar _{eq.} (mmolCO ₂ /g)	30 bar _{eq.} (mmolCO ₂ /g)	
1	ST-Ni 50%	0.97 (±0.06)	2.42 (±0.09)	4.05 (±0.23)	
2	SSMMP-Ni 50%	1.58 (±0.07)	3.26 (±0.18)	5.49 (±0.19)	
3	SSMMP-Ni 100%	1.45 (±0.06)	4.21 (±0.20)	6.15 (±0.18)	
4	SSMMP-Ni 50%-AMO Br	1.91 (±0.06)	3.84 (±0.16)	8.22 (±0.27)	
5	SSMMP-Ni 50%-AMO CI	1.25 (±0.06)	3.07 (±0.11)	4.63 (±0.06)	

6	SSMMP-Ni 50%-AMO I	1.73 (±0.07)	3.65 (±0.04)	5.66 (±0.13)
7	SSMMP-Ni 50%-IMI Br	1.64 (±0.05)	3.42 (±0.06)	7.92 (±0.15)
8	SSMMP-Ni 50%-IMI CI	1.18 (±0.05)	2.87 (±0.12)	4.45 (±0.23)
9	SSMMP-Ni 50%-IMI I	1.61 (±0.05)	3.73 (±0.08)	4.98 (±0.13)

369



370

371

Figure 7- SSMMP-Ni 50% structure

372 At low CO₂ equilibrium pressures, the SSMMP-Ni 50% functionalized with the ILs 373 AMO-Br, AMO-I, IMI-Br and IMI-I (Table 4, entries 4,6,7 and 9, respectively), 374 demonstrated slightly higher CO₂ sorption capacity when compared to pristine 375 SSMMP-Ni 50% (Table 4, entry 2). Even with the decrease in the specific surface area, 376 the SSMMP containing the ILs demonstrated a good CO₂ sorption capacity evidencing 377 the affinity CO₂/IL. By analyzing the behavior of ammonium (AMO+) and imidazolium 378 (IMI+) cations in CO₂ capture, it can be seen that, regardless of the anion, SSMMP-379 containing ammonium cation presented superior CO₂ sorption capacity. The same 380 behavior was observed by Tang et al., (2009) [69] when studying the CO₂ sorption 381 capacity in different poly (ionic liquids) containing ammonium and imidazolium cations. 382 This behavior was attributed to a stronger interaction between the ammonium cation 383 and CO₂ compared to the imidazolium cation and CO₂. Ammonium cation possesses 384 a strong positive charge density compared to the delocalized positive charge of the 385 imidazolium cation facilitating CO₂/IL interaction [70].

386 Furthermore, from the results shown in Table 4, it can be observed that the anion also 387 plays an important role in CO₂ sorption. When comparing the anions Br⁻ and I⁻, it is 388 observed that regardless of the cation, the anion Br has better CO₂ sorption capacity 389 when compared to the anion I. For halide anions, the interaction strength (binding 390 energy) between anion-CO₂ decreases with increasing anion size, explaining the Br⁻ 391 superior sorption capacity [71]. Zhou et al., (2016) [73] synthesized ZrP and MMT nano-392 sheets grafted with the IL BMIM CI and analyzed their CO₂ sorption capacity. According 393 to the author, at temperatures close to 40°C and at low CO₂ pressures, CO₂ sorption 394 occurs by physical adsorption. Pristine SSPPM-Ni 50% and SSPPM-Ni 50% IL also 395 adsorbs CO₂ by physisorption through the reactive groups (-SiOH and -MOH where M 396 = Mg and Ni) of the SSMMP-Ni 50% surface and the ILs. In a recently published work 397 by the group [7] two imidazolium-based ILs were functionalized onto Mg-based 398 SSMMP varying the amount of silica substituted by grafted ILs from 5% to 20%. In 399 conclusion, there is an ideal amount of IL to be functionalized on the SSMMP to 400 maintain the synergistic relation between IL/reactive groups on the surface of the 401 SSMMP.

402

403 3.2 CO₂/ N₂ selectivity tests

404 Figure 8 presents CO₂ selectivity in CO₂/N₂ mixtures for samples SSMMP-Ni X% and 405 SSMMP-Ni 50%-IL. Comparing SSMMP-Ni X% with IL functionalized samples, it is 406 clear that IL plays a role in CO₂ selectivity as previously related in literature 407 [7,26,29,72,74]. The functionalization of SSMMP-Ni 50% with IMI-Br and AMO-Br 408 increased CO₂ selectivity from 6.5 (\pm 0.29) to 14.4 (\pm 0.35) and 13.1 (\pm 0.47), an increase 409 of 121.5% and 101.5% in CO₂ selectivity, respectively. So the cation plays a role in 410 CO₂ selectivity: SSMMP-Ni 50%-IMI samples are more selective for CO₂ when 411 compared to SSMMP-Ni 50%-AMO with the same anion (see Figure 8). The anion also 412 plays a role in CO₂ selectivity, Br being the most selective above I and CI (see Figure 413 8).



416 Figure 8 – CO₂ selectivity in CO₂/N₂ mixtures at 25°C and mixture equilibrium
 417 pressure of 20 bar.

418 Table 5 presents sorption capacity and CO₂ selective sorption for ionic liquids 419 functionalized silica-based materials reported in the literature. As seen in Table 5, the 420 SSMMP-Ni 50%-IL presented higher CO₂ sorption capacity at 1 bar when compared 421 to the different sorbents represented in Table 5. Yet, when comparing the synthesis 422 methods of most silica-based supports (Table 5) with SSMMP-Ni 50%-IL, the 423 advantages of the sorbents described in this work are obvious even more when one 424 considers that there is no need for organic solvents or thermal treatment for their 425 synthesis. SSMMP are thus low-cost and energy expenditure sorbent materials.

Table 5- CO₂ sorption values for different inorganic silicate materials found in the literature

Sample	Analyses conditions	Sorption (mmol CO ₂ /g)	N ₂ /CO ₂ selectivity	Ref.
Si-[P8883]TFSI/SiO2	1 bar,40⁰C	0.99	~6.0	[75]
SIL-15% - [C4 TPIm] [CI]	4 bar,45⁰C	1.45	2.7	[26]

SIL-15% - [i-C 5 TPIm] [Cl]	4 bar,45⁰C	1.50	4.5	[26]
SiO ₂ –Si – P ₄₄₄₃ BF ₄	1 bar,25⁰C	~0.60	8	[76]
SiO ₂ –Si – P ₈₈₈₃ BF ₄	1 bar,25⁰C	~0.61	6	[76]
MCMRH-IL-A20	4 bar,25⁰C	1.25	-	[53]
MCMRH-IL-B10	4 bar,25⁰C	1.77	-	[53]
SSMMP-5%-Im(nBu)-I	1 bar,25⁰C	0.89	16.9	[7]
SMMP-5%-Im(nBu)-NTf ₂	1 bar,25°C	0.95	-	[7]
S-mBmim [Tf ₂ N]-10	4 bar,45⁰C	~1.27	3.7	[77]
S-mBmim [Br]-10	4 bar,45⁰C	~1.67	4.8	[77]
ILCIM50	1 bar,25⁰C	0.75	-	[78]
MCM-41/[VBTMA][CI]	1 bar,40⁰C	0.64	-	[29]
SIL-AAB-IL	1 bar,25⁰C	1.04	-	[79]
SIL-IB-IL	1 bar,25⁰C	0.61	-	[80]
MMT-BMIMCI-1-2.0	1 bar,30⁰C	0.40	-	[72]
SSMMP-Ni 50%-AMO Br	1 bar,25⁰C	1.91	13.1	This work
SSMMP-Ni 50%-IMI Br	1 bar,25⁰C	1.64	14.4	This work

_

3.3 Sorbents structural stability





Figure 9 presents the cyclability tests of CO₂ sorption/desorption for SSMMP-Ni 50%-AMO Br, SSMMP-Ni 50%-IMI-Br and SSMMP-Ni 50%. CO₂ sorption capacity was constant after 10 cycles of CO₂ sorption/desorption. FTIR analysis was performed on samples before and after the 10 cycles and no structural changes were observed. See supplementary material (S2).

439 **3.4 CO₂ cycloaddition in epoxide**

440 Table 5 presents the results for solvent-free cyclic carbonate syntheses using 441 SSMMP-Ni X% and SSMMP-Ni 50%-IL as heterogeneous catalysts. Tests carried out 442 with SSMMP-Ni 50% and SSMMP-Ni 50%-IL (Table 6, entries 3-9), with no cocatalyst 443 addition (TBAB) presented a low propylene carbonate conversion. SSMMP-Ni 50%-IL 444 low catalytic activity is probably due to the interaction between the IL and the acidic 445 hydroxyl groups on the catalyst surface preventing the nucleophilic attack of the halide 446 anion to the less hindered carbon of the epoxide molecule, at the same time as 447 preventing CO₂ interaction with -SiOH, -MgOH and -NiOH groups [72,81,82]. Yet, 448 SSMMP-Ni 50%-IMI Br, SSMMP-Ni 50%-IMI I, SSMMP-Ni 50%- AMO Br and SSMMP-449 Ni 50%- AMO I present a strong CO₂/IL interaction (as seen in section 3.1), difficulting 450 the IL/epoxide interaction, the epoxide ring opening and the subsequent CO₂ insertion 451 into the epoxide ring and cyclic carbonate formation [27]. For ST-Ni 50% and SSMMP-452 Ni 50% (Table 6, entries 2 and 3), low catalytic activity was expected due to the lack 453 of a nucleophilic agent in the catalyst [82]. The addition of TBAB as cocatalyst in the 454 reactions with ST-Ni 50%, SSMMP-Ni 50% and SSMMP-Ni 100% (Table 6, entries 10, 455 12-19), increased the catalytic activity. When comparing the catalytic performance of 456 SSMMP-Ni 50% and ST-Ni 50% (Table 6), a drop of 24.5% in the propylene carbonate 457 yield is observed. The low catalytic activity of ST-Ni 50% is attributed to the lower 458 number of OH groups in the catalyst surface, due to heat treatment, allied to the 459 difficulty of CO₂ interaction with the Lewis acid sites (Mg and Ni) of the octahedral layer 460 of the lamellar structure of synthetic talc. Comparing samples containing 0%, 50% and 461 100% of Ni replacing Mg in the octahedral structure (Table 6, entries 11, 12 and 18, 462 respectively), an increase of 31.2 % in carbonate yield was observed when 50% of Mg 463 was replaced by Ni. When 100% of Mg was replaced by Ni no significant increase in 464 propylene carbonate yield was observed. Aiming to evaluate the possibility of using 465 the same material as sorbent/catalyst, a cycloaddition reaction using SSMMP-50%-R 466 (Table 6, entry 16) previously used in the CO_2 sorption, CO_2/N_2 separation, and 467 submitted to 10 CO₂ sorption/desorption cycles was tested as catalyst. When 468 comparing the result of bare SSMMP-Ni 50% with reused SSMMP-Ni 50%-R, a similar 469 propylene carbonate yield was obtained. This result reveals the possibility of reusing 470 SSMMP-Ni X% as heterogeneous catalysts after they are used as solid sorbent in CO₂ 471 capture. Structural analysis of the SSMMP-Ni 50%-R was performed before and after 472 it was used as a catalyst showing no changes as seen in Figure S2.

- 473
- 474
- 475

Table 6- Catalytic performance of synthesized materials in the cyclic propylene
carbonate syntheses.

Entry	Sample	Coost	Conversion	Selectivity	Yield
Enury	Sample	Cocal.	(%)	(%)	(%)
1	TBAB ^(a)		35.6	97.1	35
2	ST-Ni 50% ^(a)		9.8	-	-
3	SSMMP-Ni 50% ^(a)		9.3	-	-
4	SSMMP-Ni 50%-AMO Br ^(a)		5.5	-	-
5	SSMMP-Ni 50%-AMO Cl ^(a)		3.7	-	-
6	SSMMP-Ni 50%-AMO I ^(a)		2.9	-	-
7	SSMMP-Ni 50%-IMI Br ^(a)		6.7	-	-
8	SSMMP-Ni 50%-IMI Cl ^(a)		13.5	-	-
9	SSMMP-Ni 50%-IMI I ^(a)		7.2	-	-
10	ST-Ni 50% ^(a)	TBAB	72.6	90.9	65.7
11	SSMMP(0%Ni) ^(a)	TBAB	60.0	98.4	59.0
12	SSMMP-Ni 50% ^(a)	TBAB	91.8	98.3	90.4
13	SSMMP-Ni 50% ^(b)	TBAB	86.2	94.3	81.2
14	SSMMP-Ni 50% ^(c)	TBAB	94.2	99.0	93.3
15	SSMMP-Ni 50% ^(d)	TBAB	88.8	98.3	87.3
16	SSMMP-Ni 50%-R* (e)	TBAB	93.6	96.1	89.9
17	SSMMP-Ni 50% ^(f)	TBAB	86.5	98.7	85.3
18	SSMMP-Ni 100% ^(a)	TBAB	96.6	93.2	90.0
19	SSMMP-Ni 100% ^(d)	TBAB	91.1	95.2	86.7

Reactional conditions: ^(a)20 bar, 100°C and 7h; ^(b)20bar, 90°C and 7h; ^(c)20 bar, 110°C and 7h; ^(d)15 bar, 100°C and 7h; ^(e)SSMMP-Ni 50% after 10 sorption/desorption CO_2 cycles; ^(f)20 bar, 90°C and 5h; 0.1 mol EP,TBAB 0.6 mol% of PE and 0.2 g of catalyst.

SSMMP-Ni 50% stability and reaction conditions effect (pressure, temperature and reaction time) on the catalytic performance in propylene carbonate synthesis was evaluated (Figure 10, (a), (b) and (c). CO₂ pressure variation (10-30 bar) was performed at 100°C and 7 hours of reaction time. As seen in Figure 10 (a), when increasing the CO₂ pressure from 10 to 25 bar, a subtle increase in the propylene carbonate yield is observed, from 86.2% to 92.0%. However, when increasing CO₂ pressure to 30 bar, the cyclic carbonate yield drops from 92.0% to 86.1%, indicating 483 that at higher CO₂ pressures the catalytic activity of SSMMP-Ni 50% decreases. The 484 effect of temperature was evaluated by maintaining 20 bar of CO₂ pressure and a reaction time of 7 hours and varying the reaction temperature from 60°C to 110°C. The 485 486 temperature elevation increased the cyclic propylene carbonate yield from 44.8% to 487 93.3%, respectively proving the influence of temperature on the catalytic activity of 488 SSMMP-Ni 50%. The variation of the reaction time (2-7 h) was carried out keeping the 489 temperature of 100°C and 20 bar of CO₂ pressure. Increasing reaction time from 2 h to 490 4 h increases catalytic activity and propylene carbonate yield from 59.4% to 85.9%, 491 respectively. After 4 hours of reaction time, the carbonate yield becomes stable, 492 showing a slight increase until reaching a yield of 90.4% after 7 hours. Based on the 493 results described above, a synthesis under reaction conditions of 20 bar, 90°C and 5 494 hours was carried out (Table 6, entry 17) and a yield of 85.3% of propylene carbonate 495 was obtained, showing that reaction conditions of 20 bar, 100°C and 7 hours as ideal.



497 Figure 10- Evaluation of reactional condition variation effect in cyclic propylene
498 carbonate syntheses and cycles of sorption/desorption using SSMMP-Ni 50%: effect
499 of (a) pressure, (b) temperature, (c) reaction time and (d) number of cycles.

500

The stability of SSMMP-Ni 50% used as catalyst was investigated using the same sample for 10 consecutive reaction cycles, under reaction conditions of 20 bar, 100 °C and 7h. As seen in Figure 10 (d), conversion and selectivity are constant indicating the high stability of the SSMMP-Ni 50% as catalyst in cycloaddition reaction. A structural investigation of the catalyst was performed by infrared spectroscopy before and after the 10 cycles and the spectrograms are shown in Figure S3. The catalyst structure is unaltered, being visible cocatalyst residue remaining after water washing.

508 The catalytic performance of SSMMP-Ni 50% in the cycloaddition reaction using 3 new 509 substrates was investigated (Table 7). The reactions were carried out using TBAB as 510 cocatalyst (0.6 mol% of the substrate), at 20 bar of CO₂ pressure, at 100°C of 511 temperature, for 7h. SSMMP-Ni 50% presents a good catalytic performance for all 512 cyclic carbonate syntheses, as seen in Table 7. This behavior differs from some results 513 described in the literature, reporting the drop in the cyclic carbonate yield with the 514 increase of the side chain linked to the epoxide ring. This behavior is attributed to the 515 hysterical hindering caused by the molecule size making it difficult the interaction of 516 the catalyst active sites with the epoxide molecule [82]. Among the tested substrates, 517 the highest yield was found for 1,2-butylene carbonate (85.2%) and the lowest for 518 chloropropene carbonate (92.9%) due to the low selectivity of the reaction.

519Table 7- Catalytic performance of SSMMP-Ni 50% in addition cycle reactions with
different epoxides520different epoxides

Reagent	Product	Selectivity	Yield (%)
С	o cH ₃	98.3	90.4
С. С.Н.3	о снз	87.1	85.2
CI	° CI	99.9	92.9
		99.9	90.1

522 Table 8 presents, for comparison, results from the literature on the catalytic 523 performance of natural phyllosilicates and layered double hydroxide (LDH). When 524 comparing the synthesis (or preparation) steps of materials described in the literature 525 to this work, it can be highlighted that, unlike the other catalysts, in the SSMMP-Ni 50% 526 synthesis no organic solvents are needed for material exfoliation nor calcination at high 527 temperatures since SSMMP is obtained prior to the formation of the organized and 528 lamellar structure of synthetic talc, before the hydrothermal treatment. At this stage, 529 SSMMP-Ni X% have reactive groups (Si-OH, Ni-OH, and Mg-OH) distributed across 530 their surface. These groups make it easier for the material to interact with CO₂, 531 epoxide, and other reagents, and also facilitate their functionalization. Regarding 532 reaction conditions, the use of moderate reaction conditions without the need for 533 organic solvents during the addition cycle reactions for SSMMP should be highlighted. 534 The high and easy reuse of SSMMP-Ni 50%, with no drop in conversion and selectivity, 535 is another indicator of the good potential of these materials as heterogeneous 536 catalysts.

5	3	7

Table 8- Comparing the catalytic behavior of different materials

Cocatalyst / Solvent	Reaction conditions	Yield (%)	Ref.
TBAB / CH ₃ CN	140ºC, 30 bar, 20h	92.7	[83]
TBAB / CH₃CN	120ºC, 20 bar, 20h	30.4	[83]
TBAB/ CH₃CN	120ºC, 20 bar, 20h	38.7	[83]
TBAB / CH ₃ CN	120ºC, 20 bar, 20h	35.0	[83]
TBAB / CH ₃ CN	150⁰C, 30 bar, 20h	86.8	[83]
	Cocatalyst / Solvent TBAB / CH ₃ CN TBAB / CH ₃ CN TBAB/ CH ₃ CN TBAB / CH ₃ CN TBAB / CH ₃ CN	Cocatalyst / SolventReaction conditionsTBAB / CH3CN140°C, 30 bar, 20hTBAB / CH3CN120°C, 20 bar, 20hTBAB/ CH3CN120°C, 20 bar, 20hTBAB / CH3CN120°C, 20 bar, 20hTBAB / CH3CN120°C, 20 bar, 20hTBAB / CH3CN120°C, 30 bar, 20h	Cocatalyst / Solvent Reaction conditions Yield (%) TBAB / CH ₃ CN 140°C, 30 bar, 20h 92.7 TBAB / CH ₃ CN 120°C, 20 bar, 20h 30.4 TBAB/ CH ₃ CN 120°C, 20 bar, 20h 38.7 TBAB / CH ₃ CN 120°C, 20 bar, 20h 35.0 TBAB / CH ₃ CN 150°C, 30 bar, 20h 86.8

slagLDH(600) ^(b)	- / DMF	100ºC, 1 bar, 48h	90.0	[84]
MgFeAI-LDH (WE) ^(c)	TBAB / -	50ºC, 5 bar, 7h	96.2	[82]
Montmorillonite ^(b)	TBAB / -	100ºC, 1 bar, 24h		[85]
slagHC(Cl)(800) ^(b)	- / DMF	100ºC, 1 bar, 24h	85	[86]
Smectite-Mg-Na-K-4 ^(a)	- / -	150ºC, 80 bar, 15h	80.7	[87]
SSMMP-Ni 50% ^(a)	TBAB / -	100ºC, 20 bar, 7h	90.4	This work

^(a) Propylene epoxide; ^(b) Styrene epoxide; ^(c) Epichlorohydrin.

3.1 Proposed catalytic mechanism

539 Figure 11 presents a catalytic mechanism proposition based on previously described 540 works in the literature [81,82]. The main catalytic route involves metal ions (Mg and Ni) 541 acting as Lewis's acid activators attracting the epoxide ring oxygen to bind to them. 542 The bromide anion (Br⁻) of the nucleophile TBAB attacks the least hindered carbon of the epoxide ring (1) to form the oxyanion intermediate (2). Simultaneously, the 543 544 electron-rich oxygen atom of the groups (-MgOH and -SiOH) reacts with CO₂ leading 545 to the formation of the carbonate anion, after the O- of the carbonate anion attacks the 546 bromine-anchored carbon atom (3) dissociating the C-Br bond (4). Finally, the 547 corresponding cyclic carbonate can be produced by closing the intramolecular ring (5), 548 and the catalyst is regenerated to the next epoxide molecule (6). A second catalytic 549 cycle is probably occurring simultaneously, but less probable to be occurring due to 550 the competition of epoxide and CO₂ for the interaction with metal ions. The proposed 551 catalytic route starts with CO₂ adsorbed by the Lewis acid metal ions (Mg and Ni) of 552 the SSMMP-Ni 50% (3*), resulting in the intermolecular nucleophilic addition of the 553 oxy-anion intermediate to form a metal carbonate intermediate (4*). Then next, 554 intramolecular ring closure of the carbonate anion intermediate (5), yields the 555 corresponding cyclic carbonate as in cycle 1, and catalyst regeneration occurs.

556



Figure 11- Proposal of the catalytic route for the synthesis of cyclic carbonates using
 SSMMP-X% as a catalyst

560 **4. Conclusion**

561 In this work, we report the synthesis, characterization and use of synthetic silico-562 metallic mineral particles (SSMMP-Ni) and SSMMP-Ni functionnalized with IL. 563 These materials proved to be highly efficient stable (1) solid adsorbents in CO₂ capture from CO₂/N₂ gas mixtures, (2) heterogeneous catalysts in the solvent-564 565 free cyclic carbonates synthesis, with easy catalyst/product separation. SSMMP-566 Ni 50%-AMO Br showed the best performance of CO₂ sorption, reaching 1.91 567 mmol of CO₂/g at 1 bar and 8.22 mmol of CO₂/g at 30 bar. For CO₂/N₂ separation, 568 SSMMP-Ni 50%-IMI Br showed the highest selective capacity (14.4). It was 569 evidenced that both the IL anion and cation influence the sorption capacity and 570 selectivity. The ammonium cation is more efficient in capturing CO2 and 571 imidazolium is more selective for capturing CO₂ in CO₂/N₂ mixture. Among the 572 anions, Br⁻ presents the highest interaction energy with CO₂, presenting better 573 performance both for pure CO₂ sorption and CO₂ selectivity. In catalysis, the 574 sample containing 50% of Ni replacing Mg, presented the best catalytic 575 performance, reaching conversion and selectivity in propylene carbonate 576 production superior to 90%. It was also evidenced the possibility of reusing the 577 SSMMP-Ni 50%-R sample as a catalyst in the synthesis of cyclic carbonates, 578 after was used in 10 cycles of CO₂ sorption/desorption, evidencing the possibility 579 of this material being used in CO₂ capture and transformation. The easy synthesis 580 of these materials (one-pot), using low-cost reagents, without the use of organic 581 solvents and with low energy expenditure, allied to the good results presented in 582 this work, point to the potential of the use of SSMMP in the industry both in CO₂ 583 capture in post-combustion process, as well as in chemical transformation after 584 its capture.

585

586 Acknowledgment

587 This study was written by some members of the Capes- PRINT 588 Internationalization Project from PUCRS University and was financed in part by 589 the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel- Brasil 590 (CAPES) – Finance Code 001. Sandra Einloft thanks CNPq for the research 591 scholarship.

592 **Bibliography**

- 593 [1] O. Tursunov,, L. Kustov, A. Kustov, Oigyl & Gas Science and Technology
 594 72(2017) (2019) 1–9. 10.2516/ogst/2017027.
- 595 [2] I.H. Arellano, S.H. Madani, J. Huang, P. Pendleton, Chemical
 596 Engineering Journal 283 (2016) 692–702. 10.1016/j.cej.2015.08.006.
- 597 [3] B. Ozcan, E. Gultekin, Eurasian Journal of Business and Economics 9(18)
 598 (2016) 113–34. 10.17015/ejbe.2016.018.07.
- 599 [4] M.T. Ravanchi, S. Sahebdelfar, Process Safety and Environmental 600 Protection 145 (2021) 172–94. 10.1016/j.psep.2020.08.003.
- 601 [5] R.M. Cuéllar-Franca, A. Azapagic, Journal of CO2 Utilization 9 (2015) 82–
 602 102. 10.1016/j.jcou.2014.12.001.
- M. Younas,, M. Rezakazemi,, M. Daud,, M.B. Wazir,, S. Ahmad,, N. Ullah,
 Inamuddin,, S. Ramakrishna, Progress in Energy and Combustion Science
 80 (2020). 10.1016/j.pecs.2020.100849.
- 606 [7] D. Rodrigues,, F. Bernard,, C. Le,, E. Duarte,, P. Micoud,, A. Castillo,, F.
 607 Martin,, S. Einloft, Applied Clay Science 226(May) (2022) 106572.
 608 10.1016/j.clay.2022.106572.
- 609 [8] B. Li,, Y. Duan,, D. Luebke,, B. Morreale, Applied Energy 102 (2013) 1439–
 610 47. 10.1016/j.apenergy.2012.09.009.
- 611 [9] J. Wang,, D. Kong,, J. Chen,, F. Cai,, L. He, Journal of Molecular Catalysis
 612 A: Chemical 249 (2006) 143–8. 10.1016/j.molcata.2006.01.008.
- 613 [10] D. Rodrigues,, L.G. Hunter,, F.L. Bernard,, M.F. Rojas,, F. Dalla Vecchia,,
- 614 S. Einloft, Catalysis Letters 149(3) (2019) 733–43. 10.1007/s10562-018-

615 2637-4.

- 616 [11] J. Ma,, J. Liu,, Z. Zhang, B. Han, Green Chemistry 14(9) (2012) 2410–20.
 617 10.1039/c2gc35711a.
- 618 [12] B.M. Bhanage, S.I. Fujita, Y. Ikushima, K. Torii, M. Arai, Green
 619 Chemistry 5(1) (2003) 71–5. 10.1039/b207750g.
- 620 [13] K. Yamaguchi, K. Ebitani, T. Yoshida, Journal American Chemical Society
 621 121 (1999) 4526–7. 10.1021/ja9902165.
- M.F. Rojas,, F.L. Bernard,, A. Aquino,, J. Borges,, F.D. Vecchia,, S.
 Menezes,, R. Ligabue,, S. Einloft, Journal of Molecular Catalysis A:
 Chemical 392 (2014) 83–8. 10.1016/j.molcata.2014.05.007.
- 625 [15] T. Yano,, H. Matsui,, T. Koike,, H. Ishiguro,, H. Fujihara,, M. Yoshihara,, T.
 626 Maeshima, Chemical Communications 2(12) (1997) 1129–30.
 627 10.1039/a608102i.
- 628 [16] J.L. Jiang, R. Hua, Synthetic Communications 7911(36) (2006) 3141–
 629 3148. 10.1080/00397910600908744.
- 630 [17] J. Wang, J. Wu, N. Tang, Inorganic Chemistry Communications 10(12)
 631 (2007) 1493–5. 10.1016/j.inoche.2007.09.022.
- 632 [18] C. Martín, G. Fiorani, A.W. Kleij, ACS Catalysis 5(2) (2015) 1353–70.
 633 10.1021/cs5018997.
- Y. Sun,, H. Huang, H. Vardhan, B. Aguila, C. Zhong, J.A. Perman, A.M.
 Al-Enizi, A. Nafady, S. Ma, ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces 10(32)
 (2018) 27124–30. 10.1021/acsami.8b08914.
- 637 [20] F. Nocito,, A. Dibenedetto, Current Opinion in Green and Sustainable

- 638 Chemistry 21 (2020) 34–43. 10.1016/j.cogsc.2019.10.002.
- 639 [21] N. Aini,, M. Razali,, K.T. Lee,, S. Bhatia,, A. Rahman, Renewable and
 640 Sustainable Energy Reviews 16(7) (2012) 4951–64.
 641 10.1016/j.rser.2012.04.012.
- 642 [22] A. Hafiidz, M. Fauzi, N. Aishah, S. Amin, Renewable and Sustainable
 643 Energy Reviews 16(8) (2012) 5770–86. 10.1016/j.rser.2012.06.022.
- 644 [23] W. Cheng,, Q. Su,, J. Wang,, J. Sun,, F.T.T. Ng, Catalysts 3(4) (2013) 878–
 645 901. 10.3390/catal3040878.
- 646 [24] F.L. Bernard, D.M. Rodrigues, B.B. Polesso, A.J. Donato, M. Seferin, V.
- 647 V. Chaban,, F.D. Vecchia,, S. Einloft, Fuel Processing Technology 149
 648 (2016) 131–8. 10.1016/j.fuproc.2016.04.014.
- 649 [25] B. Monteiro, R. Nabais, A.A. Paz, L. Cabrita, L.C. Branco, I.M.
 650 Marrucho, L.A. Neves, C.L. Pereira, Energy Tech (2017) 2158–62.
 651 10.1002/ente.201700228.
- R. Duczinski,, B.B. Polesso,, F.L. Bernard,, H.Z. Ferrari,, P.L. Almeida,,
 M.C. Corvo,, E.J. Cabrita,, S. Menezes,, S. Einloft, Journal of
 Environmental Chemical Engineering 8(3) (2020) 103740.
 10.1016/j.jece.2020.103740.
- 656 [27] D.M. Rodrigues,, L.M. dos Santos,, F.L. Bernard,, I.S. Pinto,, R. Zampiva,,
 657 G. Kaufmann,, S. Einloft, SN Applied Sciences 2(12) (2020) 1–11.
 658 10.1007/s42452-020-03712-z.
- 659 [28] S. Udayakumar, M.K. Lee, H.L. Shim, S.W. Park, D.W. Park, Catalysis
 660 Communications 10(5) (2009) 659–64. 10.1016/j.catcom.2008.11.017.

- 661 [29] F. Nkinahamira,, T. Su,, Y. Xie,, G. Ma,, H. Wang, J. Li, 326 (2017) 831–
 662 8. 10.1016/j.cej.2017.05.173.
- 663 [30] J. Sun,, W. Cheng,, W. Fan,, Y. Wang,, Z. Meng,, S. Zhang, Catalysis
 664 Today 148(3–4) (2009) 361–7. 10.1016/j.cattod.2009.07.070.
- 665 [31] T. Sakai,, Y. Tsutsumi,, T. Ema, Green Chemistry 10 (2008) 337–41.
 666 10.1039/b718321f.
- 667 [32] A. Dindi,, D.V. Quang,, L.F. Vega,, E. Nashef,, M.R.M. Abu-Zahra, Journal
 668 of CO2 Utilization 29(November 2018) (2019) 82–102.
 669 10.1016/j.jcou.2018.11.011.
- 670 [33] A. Dumas, M. Mizrahi, F. Martin, F. Requejo, 15 (2015) 5451–5463.
 671 10.1021/acs.cgd.5b01076.
- 672 [34] M. Claverie,, A. Dumas,, C. Carême,, M. Poirier,, C. Le Roux,, P. Micoud,,
- 673 F. Martin,, C. Aymonier, Chemistry A European Journal 24(3) (2018) 519–
 674 42. 10.1002/chem.201702763.
- 675 [35] K.E. Bremmell, J. Addai-Mensah, Journal of Colloid and Interface Science
 676 283(2) (2005) 385–91. 10.1016/j.jcis.2004.09.048.
- F. Martin,, C. Aymonier,, S. Einloft,, C. Carême,, M. Poirier,, M. Claverie,,
 M.A. Prado,, G. Dias,, C. Quilfen,, G. Aubert,, P. Micoud,, C. Le Roux,, S.
 Salvi,, A. Dumas,, S. Féry-Forgues, Journal of Geochemical Exploration
 200(February) (2019) 27–36. 10.1016/j.gexplo.2019.02.002.
- [37] A. Dumas,, C. Le Roux,, F. Martin,, P. Micoud, METHOD FOR
 PREPARING A HYDROGEL COMPRISING SILICO-METALLIC MINERAL
 PARTICLES AND HYDROGEL WO2013093339 A1. WO 2013093339 A1,
 2013.

- 685 [38] A. Dumas,, F. Martin,, E. Ferrage,, P. Micoud,, C. Le Roux,, S. Petit,
 686 Applied Clay Science 85(1) (2013) 8–18. 10.1016/j.clay.2013.09.006.
- 687 [39] F.L. Bernard,, R.B. Duczinski,, M.F. Rojas,, M.C.C. Fialho,, L.Á. Carreño,,
- 688 V. V. Chaban,, F.D. Vecchia,, S. Einloft, Fuel 211 (2018) 76–86.
 689 10.1016/j.fuel.2017.09.057.
- 690 [40] M. Rojas, L. Pacheco, A. Martinez, K. Pradilla, F. Bernard, S. Einloft,
 691 L.A. Carre, 452 (2017). 10.1016/j.fluid.2017.08.026.
- 692 [41] W.J. Koros, D.R. Paul, Journal of Polymer Science: Polymer Physics
 693 Edition 14(10) (1976) 1903–7. 10.1002/pol.1976.180141014.
- 694 [42] A. Azimi, M. Mirzaei, Chemical Engineering Research and Design 111
 695 (2016) 262–8. 10.1016/j.cherd.2016.05.005.
- 696 [43] M. Fernández Rojas,, L. Pacheco Miranda,, A. Martinez Ramirez,, K.
 697 Pradilla Quintero,, F. Bernard,, S. Einloft,, L.A. Carreño Díaz, Fluid Phase
 698 Equilibria (2017) 103–12. 10.1016/j.fluid.2017.08.026.
- 699 [44] M.G. Da Fonseca,, C.R. Silva,, J.S. Barone,, C. Airoldi, Journal of Materials
 700 Chemistry 10(3) (2000) 789–95. 10.1039/a907804e.
- 701 [45] E. Bahri, S. Dikmen, A. Yildiz, R. Gören, Ö. Elitok, Turkish Journal of
 702 Earth Sciences 22(4) (2013) 632–44. 10.3906/yer-1112-14.
- 703 [46] G. Dias,, M.A. Prado,, C. Carone,, R. Ligabue,, A. Dumas,, F. Martin,, C.
- Le Roux,, P. Micoud,, S. Einloft, Polymer Bulletin 72(11) (2015) 2991–
 3006. 10.1007/s00289-015-1449-6.
- 706 [47] P. Schroeder, CMS Workshop Lectures 11(October) (2002) 181–206.
- 707 [48] M.A. Prado,, G. Dias,, C. Carone,, R. Ligabue,, A. Dumas,, C. Le Roux,, P.

- Micoud,, F. Martin,, S. Einloft, Journal of Applied Polymer Science 132(16)
 (2015) 1–8. 10.1002/app.41854.
- [49] G. Dias, M. Prado, C. Carone, R. Ligabue, A. Dumas, C. Le Roux, P.
 Micoud, F. Martin, S. Einloft, Macromolecular Symposia 367(1) (2016)
 136–42. 10.1002/masy.201500141.
- 713 [50] F. Martin,, P. Micoud,, P. Sabatier, A.J. Guesde, A.J. Guesde, P.
 714 Sabatier, Can. Mineral 37 (1999) 997–1006.
- [51] K. Chabrol,, M. Gressier, N. Pebere, M.J. Menu, F. Martin, J.P. Bonino,
 C. Marichal, J. Brendle, Journal of Materials Chemistry 20(43) (2010)
 9695–706. 10.1039/c0jm01276a.
- 718 [52] S. Mor,, C.K. Manchanda, S.K. Kansal, K. Ravindra, Journal of Cleaner
 719 Production 143 (2017) 1284–90. 10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.11.142.
- [53] R. Duczinski,, F. Bernard,, M. Rojas,, E. Duarte,, V. Chaban,, F.D.
 Vecchia,, S. Menezes,, S. Einloft, Journal of Natural Gas Science and
 Engineering 54(January) (2018) 54–64. 10.1016/j.jngse.2018.03.028.
- 723 [54] K.A. Carrado,, L. Xu,, R. Csencsits, J. V Muntean, American Chemical
 724 Society (5) (2001) 3766–73.
- 725 [55] M.G. da Fonseca,, C. Airoldi, Materials Research Bulletin 36(1–2) (2001)
 726 277–87. 10.1016/S0025-5408(00)00470-0.
- 727 [56] K. Fujii, S. Hayashi, Applied Clay Science 29(3–4) (2005) 235–48.
 728 10.1016/j.clay.2005.01.005.
- [57] J.T. Kloprogge, Raman Spectroscopy of Clay Minerals, Vol. 8, first ed.,
 Elsevier Ltd., 2017.

- 731 [58] J. Grondin, J. Lass, T. Buffeteau, R. Holomb, Raman Spectroscopy
 732 2010(June 2010) (2011) 733–43. 10.1002/jrs.2754.
- 733 [59] M. Klein, H. Squire, B. Gurkan, Physical Chemistry C 124(1) (2020)
 734 5613-5623. 10.1021/acs.jpcc.9b08016.
- 735 [60] K. Noack, P.S. Schulz, N. Paape, J. Kiefer, Physical Chemistry Chemical
 736 Physics 12 (2010) 14153–61. 10.1039/c0cp00486c.
- 737 [61] M.A. Prado,, G. Dias,, L.M. dos Santos,, R. Ligabue,, M. Poirier,, C. Le
 738 Roux,, P. Micoud,, F. Martin,, S. Einloft, SN Applied Sciences 2(6) (2020)
 739 1–13. 10.1007/s42452-020-2852-7.
- 740 [62] C.R. Silva,, M.G. Fonseca, J.S. Barone, C. Airoldi, Chemistry of Materials
 741 14(1) (2002) 175–9. 10.1021/cm010474c.
- 742 [63] A. Dumas,, F. Martin,, C. Le Roux,, P. Micoud,, S. Petit,, E. Ferrage,, J.
 743 Brendlé,, O. Grauby,, M. Greenhill-Hooper, Physics and Chemistry of
 744 Minerals 40(4) (2013) 361–73. 10.1007/s00269-013-0577-5.
- 745 [64] E. V Borodina,, F. Roessner,, S.I. Karpov,, V.F. Selemenev,
 746 NANOTECHNOLOGIES IN RUSSIA 5 (2010) 808–16.
 747 10.1134/S1995078010110091.
- 748 [65] M.S. Sader, M. Ferreira, M.L. Dias, Polímeros 16(1) (2006) 12–8.
 749 10.1590/s0104-14282006000100006.
- 750 [66] S. Park, B. Seo, D. Shin, K. Kim, W. Choi, Chemical Engineering Journal
 751 433(P1) (2022) 134486. 10.1016/j.cej.2021.134486.
- 752 [67] R.T. Tran, E. Naseri, A. Kolasnikov, X. Bai, J. Yang, Biotechnology and
 753 Applied Biochemistry 58 (2011) 335–44. 10.1002/bab.44.

- 754 [68] R. Malherbe,, R. Estrella,, F. Linares, The Journal Physical Chemistry C
 755 114 (41) (2010) 17773–87. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp107754g.
- 756 [69] J. Tang,, Y. Shen,, M. Radosz, W. Sun, Industrial and Engineering
 757 Chemistry Research 48(30) (2009) 9113–8.
- 758 [70] J. Tang,, W. Sun,, H. Tang,, M. Radosz,, Y. Shen, American Chemical
 759 Society 38 (2005) 2037–9.
- 760 [71] S. Yamini Sudha,, A. Khanna, World Academy of Science, Engineering and
 761 Technology 33 (2009) 539–42.
- 762 [72] Y. Zhou, J. Liu, M. Xiao, Y. Meng, L. Sun, (2016).
 763 10.1021/acsami.5b11249.
- 764 [73] Y. Zhou, J. Liu, M. Xiao, Y. Meng, L. Sun, ACS Applied Materials and
 765 Interfaces 8(8) (2016) 5547–55. 10.1021/acsami.5b11249.
- 766 [74] I. Harvey, S.H. Madani, J. Huang, P. Pendleton, CHEMICAL
 767 ENGINEERING JOURNAL 283 (2016) 692–702.
 768 10.1016/j.cej.2015.08.006.
- 769 [75] J. Zhu,, B. He,, J. Huang,, C. Li,, T. Ren, Microporous and Mesoporous
 770 Materials 260(July 2017) (2018) 190–200.
 771 10.1016/j.micromeso.2017.10.035.
- 772 [76] J. Zhu,, F. Xin,, J. Huang,, X. Dong,, H. Liu, Chemical Engineering Journal
 773 246 (2014) 79–87. 10.1016/j.cej.2014.02.057.
- 774 [77] B. Polesso,, R. Duczinski,, F.L. Bernard,, H.Z. Ferrari,, F.D. Vecchia,, S.
 775 Maria,, S. Einloft, Materials Research 22 (2019) 1–10.
- 776 [78] A. Aquino,, F. Bernard,, R. Ligabue,, M. Seferin,, V. V Chaban,, E.J.

- 777 Cabrita,, S. Einloft, Royal Society of Chemistry 5 (2015) 64220–7.
 778 10.1039/c5ra07561k.
- 779 [79] K. Helene,, F. Rasmus,, R. Anders, 55(8) (2012) 1648–56.
 780 10.1007/s11426-012-4683-x.
- 781 [80] V. Hiremath,, A.H. Jadhav,, H. Lee,, S. Kwon,, J. Gil, Chemical Engineering
 782 Journal 287 (2016) 602–17. 10.1016/j.cej.2015.11.075.
- 783 [81] F. Lagarde,, H. Srour,, N. Berthet,, N. Oueslati,, B. Bousquet,, A. Nunes,,
- 784 A. Martinez,, V. Dufaud, Journal of CO2 Utilization 34(June) (2019) 34–9.
 785 10.1016/j.jcou.2019.05.023.
- 786 [82] S. Zhang, Q. Wang, P. Puthiaraj, W.S. Ahn, Journal of CO2 Utilization
 787 34(June) (2019) 395–403. 10.1016/j.jcou.2019.07.035.
- 788 [83] F. Nakibuule, S.A. Nyanzi, I. Oshchapovsky, O.F. Wendt, E. Tebandeke,
 789 BMC Chemistry 14 (2020) 1–14. 10.1186/s13065-020-00713-2.
- 790 [84] Y. Kuwahara, H. Yamashita, Biochemical Pharmacology 1 (2013) 50–9.
 791 10.1016/j.jcou.2013.03.001.
- 792 [85] S. Verma, R.I. Kureshy, T. Roy, M. Kumar, A. Das, N.H. Khan, S.H.R.
- 793 Abdi,, H.C. Bajaj, Catalysis Communications 61 (2015) 78–82.
 794 10.1016/j.catcom.2014.12.013.
- 795 [86] Y. Kuwahara,, K. Tsuji,, T. Ohmichi,, T. Kamegawa, ChemSusChem 5
 796 (2012) 1523–32. 10.1002/cssc.201100814.
- 797 [87] S. Fujita, B.M. Bhanage, Y. Ikushima, M. Shirai, K. Torii, Catalysis
 798 Letters 79(April) (2002) 95–8. 1011-372X/02/0400-0095/0.