

Progress and Harmonization of Gene Editing to Treat Human Diseases (Proceeding of COST Action CA21113 GenE-HumDi)

Alessia Cavazza, Ayal Hendel, Rasmus O Bak, Paula Rio, Marc Güell, Duško Lainšček, Virginia Arechavala-Gomeza, Ling Peng, Fatma Zehra Hapil, Joshua Harvey, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Alessia Cavazza, Ayal Hendel, Rasmus O Bak, Paula Rio, Marc Güell, et al.. Progress and Harmonization of Gene Editing to Treat Human Diseases (Proceeding of COST Action CA21113 GenE-HumDi). Molecular Therapy - Nucleic Acids, 2023, 10.1016/j.omtn.2023.102066 . hal-04283508

HAL Id: hal-04283508 https://hal.science/hal-04283508

Submitted on 13 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Progress and Harmonization of Gene Editing to Treat Human Diseases (Proceeding of COST Action CA21113 GenE-HumDi)

Alessia Cavazza, Ayal Hendel, Rasmus O. Bak, Paula Rio, Marc Güell, Duško Lainšček, Virginia Arechavala-Gomeza, Ling Peng, Fatma Zehra Hapil, Joshua Harvey, Francisco G. Ortega, Coral Gonzalez-Martinez, Carsten W. Lederer, Kasper Mikkelsen, Giedrius Gasiunas, Nechama Kalter, Manuel A.F.V. Gonçalves, Julie Petersen, Alejandro Garanto, Lluis Montoliu, Marcello Maresca, Stefan E. Seemann, Jan Gorodkin, Loubna Mazini, Rosario Sanchez, Juan R. Rodriguez-Madoz, Noelia Maldonado-Pérez, Torella Laura, Michael Schmueck-Henneresse, Cristina Maccalli, Julian Grünewald, Gloria Carmona, Neli Kachamakova-Trojanowska, Annarita Miccio, Francisco Martin, Giandomenico Turchiano, Toni Cathomen, Yonglun Luo, Shengdar Q. Tsai, Karim Benabdellah, on behalf of the COST Action CA21113 (https://www.genehumdi.eu)



PII: S2162-2531(23)00284-6

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2023.102066

Reference: OMTN 102066

To appear in: Molecular Therapy: Nucleic Acid

Please cite this article as: Cavazza A, Hendel A, Bak RO, Rio P, Güell M, Lainšček D, Arechavala-Gomeza V, Peng L, Hapil FZ, Harvey J, Ortega FG, Gonzalez-Martinez C, Lederer CW, Mikkelsen K, Gasiunas G, Kalter N, Gonçalves MAFV, Petersen J, Garanto A, Montoliu L, Maresca M, Seemann SE, Gorodkin J, Mazini L, Sanchez R, Rodriguez-Madoz JR, Maldonado-Pérez N, Laura T, Schmueck-Henneresse M, Maccalli C, Grünewald J, Carmona G, Kachamakova-Trojanowska N, Miccio A, Martin F, Turchiano G, Cathomen T, Luo Y, Tsai SQ, Benabdellah K, on behalf of the COST Action CA21113 (,), Progress and Harmonization of Gene Editing to Treat Human Diseases (Proceeding of COST Action CA21113 GenE-HumDi), *Molecular Therapy: Nucleic Acid* (2023), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2023.102066.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published

in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2023 The Author(s).



Journal Providence

Progress and Harmonization of Gene Editing to Treat Human Diseases (Proceeding of COST Action CA21113 GenE-HumDi)

Alessia Cavazza *¹, Ayal Hendel, *², Rasmus O. Bak*³, Paula Rio⁴, Marc Güell^{5,6}, Duško 3 Lainšček⁷, Virginia Arechavala-Gomeza^{8,9}, Ling Peng¹⁰, Fatma Zehra Hapil¹¹, Joshua 4 Harvey¹², Francisco G Ortega¹³, Coral Gonzalez-Martinez¹³, Carsten W Lederer¹⁴, Kasper 5 6 Mikkelsen³, Giedrius Gasiunas¹⁵, Nechama Kalter², Manuel A.F.V Gonçalves¹⁶; Julie Petersen³³; Alejandro Garanto¹⁷, Lluis Montoliu¹⁸ Marcello Maresca¹⁹, Stefan E Seemann²⁰ 7 Jan Gorodkin²⁰, Loubna Mazini ²¹, Rosario Sanchez ²², Juan R Rodriguez-Madoz ²³, Noelia 8 Maldonado-Pérez³⁵, Torella Laura²⁴, Michael Schmueck-Henneresse²⁵, Cristina Maccalli²⁶, 9 Julian Grünewald²⁷, Gloria Carmona²⁸, Neli Kachamakova-Trojanowska²⁹ Annarita Miccio³⁰ 10 Francisco Martin³¹, Giandomenico Turchiano¹, Toni Cathomen³² Yonglun Luo^{*3}, ³³, Shengdar 11 Q. Tsai *³⁴ and Karim Benabdellah *³⁵ on <u>behalf of the COST Action CA21113</u> 12 (https://www.genehumdi.eu) 13 14 1. Infection, Immunity and Inflammation Research and Teaching Department, Great Ormond Street 15 Institute of Child Health, University College London, London, WC1N 1EH, United Kingdom. 16 2. Institute of Nanotechnology and Advanced Materials, The Mina and Everard Goodman Faculty of Life 17 Sciences, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan 52900, Israel. 3. 18 Department of Biomedicine, Aarhus University, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark. 4. 19 Hematopoietic Innovative Therapies Division, Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas 20 Medioambientales y Tecnológicas and Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades 21 Raras (CIEMAT/CIBERER), Madrid 28040, Spain; Advanced Therapies Unit, Instituto de Investigación 22 Sanitaria Fundación Jiménez Díaz (IIS-FJD, UAM), Madrid 28040, Spain. 23 5. Department of Medicine and Life Sciences, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona, Spain., 6. 24 Integra Therapeutics S.L., Barcelona, Spain 7. 25 Department of Synthetic Biology and Immunology, National Institute of Chemistry, Hajdrihova 19, 26 Ljubljana 1000, Slovenia. Nucleic Acid Therapeutics for Rare Disorders (NAT-RD), Biobizkaia Health Research Institute, Barakaldo, Spain. 27 8. 28 9. Ikerbasque, Basque Foundation for Science, Bilbao, Spain. 29 10. Aix Marseille University, CNRS, Centre Interdisciplinaire de Nanoscience de Marseille, UMR 7325, 30 Equipe Labellisée Ligue Contre le Cancer, Marseille, 13288, France. 31 11. Department of Medical Biology and Genetics, Faculty of Medicine, Akdeniz University, Antalya, Turkey 32 12. Institute of Ophthalmology, University College London, United Kingdom 33 13. GENYO, Centre for Genomics and Oncological Research, Pfizer/University of Granada/Andalusian 34 Regional Government. Avenida de la Ilustracion 114, 18016 Granada, Spain; IBS Granada, Institute of 35 Biomedical Research. Avenida de Madrid 15, 18012 Granada, Spain 36 14. Department of Molecular Genetics Thalassaemia, The Cyprus Institute of Neurology and Genetics, 37 Nicosia, Cyprus. 38 15. CasZyme, Vilnius, 10224 Lithuania.

| 39 | 16. | Department of Cell and Chemical Biology, Leiden University Medical Center, Einthovenweg 20, 2333 |
|----------|-----|---|
| 40 | | ZC, Leiden, The Netherlands. |
| 41 | 17. | Department of Pediatrics and Department of Human Genetics, Amalia Children's Hospital, Radboud |
| 42 | | University Medical Center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands |
| 43 | 18. | Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, National Centre for Biotechnology (CNB-CSIC) and |
| 44 | | Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Raras (CIBERER-ISCIII), Madrid, Spain |
| 45 | 19. | Genome Engineering, Discovery Sciences, BioPharmaceuticals R&D Unit, AstraZeneca, Gothenburg, |
| 46 | | Sweden. |
| 47 | 20. | Center for non-coding RNA in Technology and Health, Department of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, |
| 48 | | University of Copenhagen |
| 49 | 21. | Laboratory of genetic engineering, Technologic, Medical and Academic Park (TMAP) Marrakech |
| 50 | | Morocco. |
| 51 | 22. | GENYO, Centre for Genomics and Oncological Research, Pfizer/University of Granada/Andalusian |
| 52 | | Regional Government, PTS Granada, Granada, Spain; Department of Medicinal & Organic Chemistry |
| 53 | | and Excellence Research Unit of "Chemistry applied to Biomedicine and the Environment," Faculty of |
| 54 | | Pharmacy, University of Granada, Campus de Cartuja s/n, Granada, Spain; Instituto de Investigación |
| 55 | | Biosanitaria de Granada (ibs.GRANADA), Universidad de Granada, Granada, Spain |
| 56 | 23. | Cancer Center Clinica Universidad de Navarra (CCUN). Pamplona, Spain; Instituto de Investigacion |
| 57 | | Sanitaria de Navarra (IdiSNA), Pamplona, Spain; Centro de Investigacion Biomedica en Red de Cancer |
| 58 | | (CIBERONC). Madrid, Spain |
| 59 | 24. | DNA & RNA Medicine Division, Center for Applied Medical Research (CIMA) Universidad de Navarra, |
| 60 | | 31008 Pamplona, Spain |
| 61 62 | 25. | Berlin Institute for Health (BIH) at Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, BIH Center for Regenerative Therapies (BCRT), Charitéplatz 1, 10117 Berlin, Germany |
| 63 | 26. | Laboratory of Immune Biological Therapy, Research Branch, Sidra Medicine, PoBox 26999, Doha, |
| 64 | | Qatar |
| 65 | 27. | Department of Medicine, Cardiology, Angiology, Pneumology, Klinikum rechts der Isar, Technical |
| 66 | | University of Munich, TUM School of Medicine and Health, TranslaTUM, MIBE, and Center for Organoid |
| 67 | | Systems, Munich, Germany, Munich, Germany |
| 68 | 28. | Red Andaluza de diseño y traslación de Terapias Avanzadas-RAdytTA, Fundación Pública Andaluza |
| 69 | | Progreso y Salud-FPS, Sevilla, España |
| 70 | 29. | Malopolska Centre of Biotechnology, Jagiellonian University, 30-387 Krakow, Poland. |
| 71 | 30. | Imagine Institute, Laboratory of Chromatin and Gene Regulation During Development, Université de |
| 72 | | Paris Cité, INSERM UMR 1163, 75015 Paris, France. |
| 73 | 31. | Bioquímica y Biología Molecular III e Immunology department, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de |
| 74 | | Granada and Department of Genomic Medicine, Pfizer-University of Granada-Andalusian Regional |
| 75 | | Government Centre for Genomics and Oncological Research (GENYO), PTS, Av. de la Ilustración 114, |
| 76 | | 18016 Granada, Spain. |
| 77 | 32. | Institute for Transfusion Medicine and Gene Therapy, Medical Center-University of Freiburg, Freiburg, |
| 78 | | Germany & Medical Faculty, University of Freiburg, 79106 Freiburg, Germany |
| 79 | 33. | Steno Diabetes Center Aarhus, Aarhus University Hospital, 8200 Aarhus N, Denmark. |
| 80 | 34. | Department of Hematology, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN, USA. |

- ^{35.} Department of Genomic Medicine, Pfizer-University of Granada-Andalusian Regional Government
 Centre for Genomics and Oncological Research (GENYO), PTS, Av. de la Ilustración 114, 18016
 Granada, Spain
- 84 Correspondence: Cavazza Alessia Infection, Immunity and Inflammation Research and Teaching Department,
- 85 Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, University College London, London, United Kingdom. E-mail:
- 86 <u>a.cavazza@ucl.ac.uk</u>
- 87 Correspondence: Ayal Hendel, Institute of Nanotechnology and Advanced Materials, The Mina and Everard
 88 Goodman Faculty of Life Sciences, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan 52900, Israel E-mail <u>ayal.hendel@biu.ac.il</u>
- 89 Correspondence: Rasmus O. Bak Department of Biomedicine, Aarhus University, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark.
 90 bak@biomed.au.dk
- 91 Correspondence: Yonglun Luo Department of Biomedicine, Aarhus University, 8000 Aarhus, Denmark Email
 92 <u>alun@biomed.au.dk</u>
- 93 Correspondence: Shengdar Q. Tsai, Department of Hematology, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital,
 94 Memphis, TN, USA <u>Shengdar.Tsai@STJUDE.ORG</u>
- 95 Correspondence: Karim Benabdellah GENYO, Centre for Genomics and Oncological Research,
- 96 Pfizer/University of Granada/Andalusian Regional Government. Avenida de la Ilustracion 114, 18016 Granada,
- 97 Spain E-mail <u>karim.benabdel@genyo.es</u>
- 98

20

99

100

101 102

- 104
- 105
- 106
- 107
- 108
- 109

- 110
- 111

112 Abstract

The European Cooperation in Science and Technology (COST) is an intergovernmental 113 organization dedicated to funding and coordinating scientific and technological 114 research in Europe, fostering collaboration among researchers and institutions across 115 countries. Recently, COST Action funded the "Genome Editing to treat Human 116 Diseases" (GenE-HumDi) network, uniting various stakeholders such as pharmaceutical 117 companies, academic institutions, regulatory agencies, biotech firms, and patient 118 advocacy groups. GenE-HumDi's primary objective is to expedite the application of 119 genome editing for therapeutic purposes in treating human diseases. To achieve this 120 goal, GenE-HumDi is organized in several working groups, each focusing on specific 121 aspects. These groups aim to enhance genome editing technologies, assess delivery 122 systems, address safety concerns, promote clinical translation, and develop regulatory 123 guidelines. The network seeks to establish standard procedures and guidelines for 124 these areas to standardize scientific practices and facilitate knowledge sharing. 125 Furthermore, GenE-HumDi aims to communicate its findings to the public in accessible 126 yet rigorous language, emphasizing genome editing's potential to revolutionize the 127 treatment of many human diseases. The inaugural GenE-HumDi meeting, held in 128 Granada, Spain, in March 2023, featured presentations from experts in the field, 129 discussing recent breakthroughs in delivery methods, safety measures, clinical 130 translation, and regulatory aspects related to gene editing. 131

132

133

134

135

136

- 138
- 139

140

141

142

143

144

Introduction 145

chno¹ Genome editors are transformative technologies that can address genetic 146 causes of human diseases. Tremendous progress has been made across different 147 classes of genome editors, from meganucleases, zinc finger nucleases (ZFNs), 148 transcription activator-like endonucleases (TALENs), and Clustered Regularly 149 Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats (CRISPR). The simplicity with which CRISPR 150 151 genome editors can be programmed by a short-associated guide RNA (gRNA) has stimulated their broad application in life sciences research and clinical 152 development. Exciting additions to the CRISPR editing toolbox include base editors 153 (BE) that can precisely install certain point mutations and prime editors (PE) that can 154 copy information in a prime editing gRNA (pegRNA) into a nicked DNA target. Each 155 of these editors are now being rapidly developed into genome editing (GE) 156 medicines, by both companies and academic groups. The first GE medicine, exa-157

cel, for treatment of sickle-cell disease (SCD) or transfusion-dependent betathalassemia (TDT) is expected to be FDA-approved in December 2023.

In the context of advancing scientific and technological innovation, the COST 160 (European Cooperation in Science and Technology, cost.eu) provides a platform for 161 researchers and experts to collaborate and exchange knowledge and expertise 162 across different fields and disciplines. COST's central mission is to promote cross-163 border collaboration and networking among researches and institution spanning 164 various European and neighbouring countries with the aim of advancing scientific 165 and technological innovation in Europe. These initiatives involve a series of calls for 166 projects, where researchers and institutions can submit proposals for scientific and 167 technological projects related to the initiative's theme. The funding is provided to 168 selected projects, which are expected to collaborate and network within the framework 169 of the initiative. In particular, the COST Action GenE-HumDi, with 269 participants 170 distributed across 38 countries (figure 1) is focused on exploring the use of GE to 171 treat diseases that affect humans. 172

173 Specifically, the GenE-HumDi Action aims to promote collaboration between academic institutions, pharmaceutical companies, hospitals, regulators, and patient 174 advocacy associations to accelerate the translation of GE technologies into effective 175 treatments for human diseases. During its four years of operation, the GenE-HumDi 176 network (https://www.genehumdi.eu/) aims to regularly discuss the establishment 177 of standard operating procedures (SOPs) and guidelines focusing on key areas for 178 the accelerated translation of GE into the clinic: 1) design of improved GE tools and 179 their delivery; 2) assessment of the safety of GE platforms; 3) creation of a roadmap 180

for the translation of GE from bench to bedside; 4) mapping of industry engagement 181 and intellectual property; and 5) evaluation and promotion of regulatory guidelines 182 for GE clinical translation and commercialization. The implementation of GenE-183 HumDi, spanning 26 member countries of COST, is overseen by a Management 184 Committee (MC) composed of national experts, as well as a Chair, Dr Karim 185 Benbdellah, and a Vice-Chair, Dr Alessia Cavazza. The initiative has been structured 186 into seven Working Groups (WG). A dedicated WG, named "Improvement of GE 187 technologies" and led by Dr Rasmus O. Bak, has been established to consolidate 188 information on the efficiency and specificity of various existing GE tools. A "Delivery 189 Strategies" WG led by Dr Yonglun Luo has been established with the aim of 190 evaluating the optimal delivery methods of different GE tools for each cell type, 191 animal model and route of administration. The third WG, headed by Dr Ayal Hendel, 192 focuses on "safety issues" related to GE. Its main objective is to outline and 193 standardize current methodologies for assessing the cytotoxicity and genotoxicity 194 of different GE platforms, including off-target and on-target effects, unintended 195 recombination events, and cell population biases. A fourth WG, "Translation into the 196 Clinic" led by Dr Alessia Cavazza, is dedicated to the multifaceted translational 197 aspects of GE for clinical practice. The primary objective of this WG is to evaluate 198 the status of GE clinical translation in Europe for rare inherited diseases, cancers, 199 and infectious diseases, as well as to develop a roadmap for protocol adoption and 200 manufacturing of GE-based medicines. The "Technological Transfer and Industry" 201 WG headed by Monika Paule aims to draw guidelines to provide cost-effective GMP-202 grade sourcing of GE-based medicines and promote intellectual property 203 management between collaborating partners. The WG focused on "Regulatory 204

Issues", headed by Prof Toni Cathomen, aims to ensure the regulatory adequacy of 205 preclinical models and methods used to assess the efficacy and safety of various in 206 vivo and ex vivo GE tools. This WG group also aims to promote the development of 207 regulatory guidelines for the translation of GE into clinical practice. Finally, a 208 "Dissemination" WG led by Dr Lluis Montoliu focuses on the promulgation of the 209 results stemming from our Action and the integration of research and data analysis 210 to decrease knowledge fragmentation among partners and to communicate the 211 relevant advances in this field to a wider non-scientific audience. Eighty-seven 212 scientists belonging to the Gene-HumDi Action from both academia and industry 213 from 29 different countries gathered at the Center for Genomics and Oncological 214 Research (GENyO) for the inaugural meeting of the Action (13th-15th March 2023, 215 Granada, Spain), to review the state-of-the-art of the GE field and debate on its future 216 directions. The following proceedings summarize key considerations and highlights 217 from the meeting, which revolved around the seven main pillars of the Action. 218

219 Improving Gene Editing Technologies

Development of GE technologies moves at an exceptional high pace. Fueled 220 by the advent of the CRISPR/Cas technology, the community has seen over the 221 past 10 years several new GE tools being developed and existing tools being 222 refined. This has shaped major research areas in (1) conventional DNA editing 223 methods relying on DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs), (2) DSB-free gene editing 224 tools such as BE and PE, (3) tools that enable site-specific integration of large 225 genetic payloads, and (4) genetic engineering tools that do not change the DNA 226 code but instead edit RNA or manipulate epigenetic and/or transcriptional status 227

of a gene. Various GE technologies have been developed and, while CRISPR/Casbased tools dominate the field, it is important to recognize alternative tools that have been evaluated in clinical studies, such as ZFNs and TALENs, as well as less embraced strategies for editing the genome.

CRISPR/Cas was originally developed to introduce site-specific DNA breaks 232 to edit genomic sequences, but as shown in **Figure 2** it has been reconfigured 233 and repurposed for a wide range of applications. Rasmus Bak (Aarhus University, 234 Aarhus, Denmark) and Julian Grünewald (Technical University of Munich, Munich, 235 Germany) presented some of the recent efforts made in developing and applying 236 new tools for genetic engineering, including BE and PE, as well as CRISPR/Cas-237 based transcriptional modulators ^{1,2}. These tools allow researchers to modify DNA 238 in a more precise and controlled manner, with the potential to correct disease-239 causing mutations and without the need to introduce DSBs at the target site. PE 240 can create new DNA sequences by inserting, deleting, or replacing specific 241 genomic sequences. CRISPR/Cas transcriptional modulators, on the other hand, 242 allow researchers to turn genes on or off without changing the DNA sequence ³. 243 During his presentation, Giedrius Gasiunas (CasZyme, Vilnius, Lithuania) 244 discussed the challenges associated with using the Cas9 proteins for GE 245 applications. The requirement for a protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) to bind to 246 a target, the lack of specificity, and size limitations for its viral delivery are 247 significant obstacles for Cas9-based GE. To overcome these challenges, Gasiunas 248

and his team explored the natural variation of Cas enzymes to develop RNAguided tools with diverse and potentially beneficial properties ⁴. Through
biochemical screens of Cas9 orthologues of the type II family and Cas12 proteins

from the novel type V family, the team found a wide range of activities both in vitro 252 and in cellula, making them an attractive alternative to traditional Cas9 enzymes ⁵. 253 Similarly, Lluis Montoliu (CNB-CSIC, Madrid, Spain) presented a collaborative 254 effort leading to the resurrection of some CRISPR-associated nucleases from tens 255 to thousands of millions of years ago, obtained through statistical inference 256 applying a maximum likelihood approach, while searching for novel Cas 257 nucleases from bacteria that might had not interact with human beings and, as 258 such, had not being know by our immune system. He presented the 259 corresponding validation experiments in human cells showing that these 260 ancestral Cas nucleases were suitable for genome editing applications, with a 261 comparable efficiency to nowadays nucleases ⁶. Marc Güell (Pompeu Fabra 262 University, Barcelona, Spain) discussed the limitations of the CRISPR technology 263 when employed to generate large genomic changes and the need for improved 264 methods. To address this issue, Güell and colleagues combined the CRISPR 265 system with the gene transfer capacity of transposases to create novel gene 266 writers that can efficiently introduce large genomic changes with precise control 267 ⁷. This new system, known as Find and cut-and Transfer (FiCAT) enables 268 researchers to evolve and optimize CRISPR enzymes for a wide range of 269 applications, including gene regulation and epigenetic modifications. Dusko 270 Lainscek (National Institute of Chemistry, Slovenia) presented his work on 271 augmenting the action of CRISPR/Cas9 system by noncovalent tethering of Cas9 272 protein to the exonuclease III via coiled-coil forming heterodimeric peptides 273 (CCExo)⁸. Indeed, bringing the exonuclease III into proximity of the Cas9-induced 274 DSB results in additional DNA recessions with final larger deletions and increased 275

rate of gene alterations. CCExo showed robust increased GE action determined
for several different genes in various cell lines, as well in human primary cells and
in somatic adult cells *in vivo*, with no additional undesired DNA cleavage
observed by CIRCLE-seq. As such, this system could be applied to treat different
diseases, which was exemplified by the speaker by using CCExo for targeting "the
BCR-ABL1 fusion chromosome, a main cause of chronic myelogeneous
leukaemia, in patient's cells and in xenograft animal model.

283 Strategies to deliver gene editing tools

Unlike the rapid and continuous development of GE technologies, approaches to 284 deliver the GE cargos specifically and efficiently into the target cells and tissues are 285 evolving at a much slower pace and now represent one of the major limiting factors 286 in advancing CRISPR therapeutic applications. Although smaller or split Cas 287 proteins were engineered to make them compatible with the low immunogenic and 288 pathogenic adeno-associated viral (AAV)⁹ vectors, concerns are not fully addressed 289 regarding the unintended off-target effects due to relatively high and long-term 290 AAV-mediated CRISPR expression, as well as CRISPR-independent adverse effects 291 292 associated with viral vector genome integration into host cells. Besides, it is vital to select the right delivery method to achieve a better GE outcome in term of 293 efficiency, efficacy, and specificity depending on the GE strategies and applications 294 chosen. 295

The overarching goal of the "Delivery Strategies" WG3 and session is to critically evaluate and identify the most effective ex vivo and in vivo delivery systems for GE applications (illustrated in **Figure 3**). Specifically, the different speakers assessed the

suitability of various delivery methods for delivering genetic material to different cell 299 types and animal models, with the aim of advancing the field of GE and promoting 300 the development of novel therapeutic approaches, based either on viral and non-301 viral delivery systems. As excellently illustrated by Virginia Arechavala-Gomeza 302 (Biobizkaia Health Research Institute, Bilbao, Spain), delivery of nucleic acid 303 therapeutics has been the subject of much interest since early antisense 304 oligonucleotide therapies were developed with mixed results ^{10,11}: while therapies 305 targeting tissues such as the eye or the central nervous system provided patients 306 with life-changing therapeutic options ¹², others targeting the muscle showed 307 limited clinical benefit ¹³. Researchers in the field soon realized that delivery was the 308 main hurdle stopping these new drugs from reaching their full potential ¹⁴. The 309 issues related to the delivery of these compounds can be divided in two areas: 310 access to target tissue and escape from the endosomes ¹⁵. Most nucleic acid 311 therapeutics, and in particular GE tools, are too large and too charged to bypass 312 these barriers on their own, hence there is an urgent need for alternative and more 313 efficient delivery systems. 314

Rosario Sanchez (GENYO-UGR, Granada, Spain) presented on the use of non-viral 315 nanoparticles as delivery systems for GE tools in cancer immunotherapy. They 316 developed a multifunctional nanodevice capable of efficient delivery of CRISPR-317 Cas9 and GE tools to T cells, enhancing their ability to recognize and target cancer 318 cells. Additionally, the potential of polymeric and plasmonic nanoparticles as 319 delivery systems for mRNA-based therapeutics was discussed. Poly(lactic-co-320 glycolic acid) PLGA nanoparticles offered protection and improved cellular uptake 321 of mRNA, while plasmonic nanoparticles increased mRNA concentration and uptake 322

through photothermal effects ¹⁶⁻¹⁸. The presentation also compared PLGA nanoparticles and electroporation to deliver CRISPR/Cas9, highlighting their advantages and limitations in terms of effectiveness, specificity, and safety. Although PLGA nanoparticles showed lower editing efficiency compared to nucleofection, further improvements in encapsulation methods were suggested.

On the other hand, dendrimers represent a special family of polymers that are 328 emerging as promising vectors for nucleic acid delivery by virtue of their well-329 defined dendritic structure and cooperative multivalency ¹⁹⁻²⁴. Ling Peng (Aix-330 Marseille Université, Marseille, France) discussed the potential application as well as 331 limitations of amphiphilic dendrimers to improve endosome release in nucleic acid 332 delivery. These conjugates can mimic lipid vectors and exploit membrane-fusion-333 mediated delivery, while simultaneously retaining the multivalent properties of 334 polymer vectors that allow endocytosis-based delivery benefiting from the proton-335 sponge effect. In the future, researchers may investigate the potential of using 336 amphiphilic dendrimers as delivery vehicles for various types of RNA therapeutics, 337 such as antisense oligonucleotides for gene silencing, small activating RNA for gene 338 activation, and mRNA and single guide RNA for GE. 339

Another emerging promising approach that is now widely utilized in clinical settings for the delivery of GE reagents involves the use of synthetic lipid nanoparticles (LNPs). By encapsulating specific gRNA and Cas9 mRNA, LNPs provide a DNA-free CRISPR delivery system that can be readily taken up by cells through endocytosis ²⁵. Julie Lund Petersen (Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark) discussed the formulation and utilization of LNPs for the encapsulation of CRISPR RNA. Notably, their research

demonstrated the efficacy of LNPs in delivering GFP mRNA, as evidenced by the

high levels of GFP expression observed in vitro in cultured cells and in vivo following

subretinal and hippocampal injections in mice.

349

350

351 Safety Issues related to GE therapeutic applications.

Despite the revolutionary nature of CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing, concerns about 352 its promiscuous nuclease activity and unintended off-target effects have been 353 raised. The off-target activity may lead to the introduction of unintended 354 insertions/deletions (indels) or structural variations, posing significant safety 355 concerns. One approach to mitigate off-target genotoxicity involves the 356 development of more specific nucleases, such as alternative Cas variants ²⁶. Another 357 approach involves utilizing chemically modified gRNAs, which have been shown to 358 increase both the efficiency and specificity of the system ²⁷. Lastly, extensive research 359 is dedicated to the development of sensitive assays and tools for the prediction and 360 detection of off-target sites. Strategies aimed at enhancing sensitivity include the 361 utilization of Cas9 overexpression systems, advancements in sequencing methods, 362 and the development of improved computational algorithms. The insights gained 363 from the off-target detection methods could in turn aid in the selection and 364 refinement of more precise editing systems. Apart from off-target genotoxicity, 365 additional toxicity concerns arise from the foreign DNA that may be used to deliver 366 any of the editing components, be it by non-viral or viral vectors. The presence of 367

foreign nucleic acids triggers DNA damage response (DDR) pathways, leading to 368 cell cycle arrest, transcriptional blockage, reduced proliferation, and potentially 369 apoptosis ^{28,29}. Ensuring safety in the various aspects described is of utmost 370 importance, particularly as Cas9-based therapeutic GE has entered clinical trials. 371 Several talks focused on establishing a standardized protocol for the measurement 372 and evaluation of on- and off-target activity, which included generating big data to 373 develop models that better predict off-target activity. The speakers compared the 374 prediction of cell-based, cell-free, and *in-silico* off-target identification methods and 375 highlighted the need for a combination of experimental methods to assess the 376 safety of gRNAs (reviewed in Figure 4). Shengdar Q. Tsai (St. Jude Children's 377 Research Hospital, Memphis, USA) the keynote speaker of the meeting, discussed 378 several cell-based and biochemical methods for understanding off-target effects, 379 including integration-deficient lentiviral capture, high-throughput genome-wide 380 translocation sequencing, and genome-wide unbiased identification of DSBs 381 enabled by sequencing (GUIDE-seq), and Circularization for high-throughput 382 analysis of nucleases genome-wide effects (CHANGE-seq) among others ^{30,31}. He 383 highlighted the importance of using sensitive and unbiased methods for defining 384 the potential safety and genotoxicity of editors through the lens of developing SCD 385 genome editing therapies. Ayal Hendel (Bar-Ilan University, Ramat Gan, Israel) 386 addressed the safety concerns involved in the development of an HDR-based 387 correction strategy in CD34+ hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs). To 388 assess potential off-target effects, the Hendel group implemented a two-step 389 approach involving the identification of off-target sites using GUIDE-seg in a Cas9 390 overexpression system, and the quantification of their activity through rhAmpSeq. 391

While high off-target activity was observed in a HEK-293 Cas9 cell line, the use of 392 ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes and high-fidelity (Hi-Fi) Cas9 in CD34+ HSPCs 393 significantly reduced toxicity ³². Hendel also discussed viral vector-related safety 394 aspects, noting that viral HDR donors triggered a DDR proportional to the AAV 395 multiplicity of infection (MOI) used ³³. Furthermore, higher viral doses led to 396 extended vector genome presence, decreased cell yields, and positive selection of 397 unedited cells. However, by reducing the viral vector dosage, significant 398 improvements were observed in HSPC survival, allowing successful T-cell 399 differentiation of corrected SCID-RAG2 patient HSPCs in vitro ³⁴. These findings 400 highlight that minimizing the viral vector dose tostrike a delicate balance between 401 non-toxicity and optimal editing efficiency, is essential for upholding the highest 402 standards of safety. Marcello Maresca (Astrazeneca, Molndal, Sweden) discussed 403 the limitations of current strategies for mapping CRISPR/Cas9 off-target effects, 404 which can impact their sensitivity. His team has developed a new off-target 405 assessment workflow using duplex sequencing, which can increase the sensitivity of 406 CRISPR/Cas9 mutation detection by one order of magnitude and the reduction of 407 false positive and negative rates, which enabled the identification of previously 408 missed off-target mutations associated with wild-type SpCas9 treatment in an in vivo 409 410 humanized PCSK9 mouse model of hypercholesterolemia. In addition to this innovative technique, Maresca also discussed the development and features of a novel, 411 precise Cas9 nuclease, known as PsCas9. This new nuclease shows high intrinsic specificity 412 and is considered a promising alternative to SpCas9 for both research and clinical purposes 413 414 in the field of genome editing. Maresca believes that using a highly sensitive off-target assay

in conjunction with PsCas9 could provide more accurate genome editing treatments and
 safety assessment. ³⁵

Jan Gorodkin (University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark) highlighted the 417 challenge of balancing on-target efficiency and minimizing off-target effects when 418 selecting gRNAs for a given genomic region, and emphasized the need for 419 advanced computational tools to analyze and predict gRNA activity, as well as the 420 importance of experimental validation to confirm the predicted results. In this 421 regard, Gorodkin's team developed the CRISPRon/off framework, a computational 422 methodology based on a deep learning-based predictor and a binding energy 423 model, which aims to facilitate the selection of optimal CRISPR/Cas9 gRNAs for GE 424 ³⁶⁻⁴⁰. Its web interface (<u>http://rth.dk/resources/crispr</u>) provides easy access to the 425 tools and allows users to input genomic regions of interest for gRNA design. The 426 deep learning-based predictor uses a convolutional neural network to predict on-427 target efficiency, while the binding energy model predicts off-target effects based 428 on the binding energies of the gRNA and potential off-target ³⁶. Stefan Seemann 429 (University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark) presented a tool called 430 CRISPRroots which combines off-target predictions, variant calling, and differential 431 expression analysis of RNA-seq data to evaluate successful on-target editing while 432 also identifying any predicted off-target effects that could be contributing to the 433 observed gene expression changes ³⁷. The tool uses a combination of off-target 434 predictions, variant calling, and differential expression analysis of RNAseg data to 435 436 evaluate successful on-target effects while also identifying potential off-target effects in genes with altered expression. The method provides an unbiased analysis 437 of somatic variants and differentially expressed genes linked to predicted on- and 438

off-targets, allowing researchers to validate on-target edits and prioritize potential 439 off-targets for experimental validation ³⁷. Giandomenico Turchiano (University 440 College London, London, United Kingdom) discussed the comprehensive 441 characterization of genome stability in ex-vivo gene-edited primary cells. He 442 presented various methods for evaluating mutations, including computer-based 443 prediction of off-targets, in vitro and in-cellula DSB in DNA, in vitro base editor off-444 targets, and chromosomal aberrations. Turchiano emphasized the importance of 445 using a combination of techniques to gain a comprehensive understanding of 446 genome stability. He introduced the MEGA approach, which utilizes multiplexed 447 droplet digital PCR (ddPCR) for mutation analysis, enabling the simultaneous 448 detection and tracking of different types of mutations induced by designer 449 nucleases. MEGA provided insights into DNA repair dynamics, quantified the 450 presence of episomal AAV DNA, and proposed RNP thresholds to optimize HDR 451 efficiency. Additionally, Turchiano discussed the association of low-frequency indels 452 with other aberrations and highlighted the findings from using the CAST-Seq 453 technology, revealing large deletions, inversions, and translocations at on-target 454 sites, including unbalanced translocations and homology-mediated events. On a 455 similar note, Toni Cathomen (Medical Center-University of Freiburg, Freiburg, 456 Germany) discussed the concept of sensitivity and specificity when it comes to off-457 target analysis. He explained that CAST-Seq has a high sensitivity, with a limit of 458 detection of approximately 1 event in 10,000 cells. making CAST-Seq analysis more 459 sensitive than traditional translocation assays ⁴¹ Importantly, the linear range for 460 CAST-Seq analysis is 0.01% to 1%, allowing it to detect changes in the frequency of 461 events within this range. In collaboration with AstraZeneca Cathomen's team tested 462

CAST-seq in vivo edited samples using a CRISPR-Cas9 system targeting Pcsk9 with
a "promiscuous" gRNA ⁴². They establish that out of the 99 off-target sites identified
by CAST-seq, 90 could be tested by NGS and 88 of them were true off-target sites
as further validated by using rhAmpSeq and CRISPECTOR ⁴³.

As noted by Manuel Gonçalves (Leiden University Medical Centre, Leiden, 467 Netherlands), achieving precise GE using programmable nucleases remains 468 challenging mostly due to the prevalent repair of DSBs by non-homologous end 469 joining (NHEJ) repair pathway rather than HDR. Besides local- and chromosome-470 wide generation of complex structural variants ⁴⁴, NHEJ can yield target protein 471 imbalances and loss of cell fitness which limit the effectiveness of DSB-dependent 472 GE approaches ⁴⁵. In addition, on-target DSBs are known to trigger the activation of 473 P53, which hinders the effectiveness of GE in therapeutically relevant DNA damage 474 sensitive stem cells ^{46,47} While the use of high-specificity programmable nucleases 475 can dramatically reduce the occurrence of off-target DNA cleavage, they are not 476 capable of eliminating the unintended effects caused by on-target DSB formation, 477 with a high risk of affecting the function or fitness of edited cells. In this context, the 478 Gonçalves' team is currently exploring HDR-based gene knock-in techniques that 479 rely on conventional or high-specificity CRISPR-Cas9 nickases, as single-stranded 480 DNA breaks (SSBs), or nicks, are not canonical NHEJ substrates ^{48 45 49} This research 481 builds on earlier findings from his laboratory showing that although SSBs are *per se* 482 poor HDR stimuli, simultaneous formation of SSBs at chromosomal sites and 483 matching donor DNA constructs elicits HDR-mediated gene knock-ins while 484 avoiding P53-dependent DDR activation ^{50 51}. Indeed, fostering otherwise inefficient 485 SSB-dependent HDR such as by in trans paired nicking (ITPN) approaches allows for 486

487 seamless chromosomal editing, including at multi-copy or essential genomic tracts
488 ^{45,49}. Moreover, ITPN could also be suitable for allele-specific editing ^{52,53}, one-step
489 biallelic editing ^{48,54}, or knocking-in whole transgenes at safe harbor loci ^{45,49}.

490 Translation of GE therapeutic platforms into the clinic

As recently pointed out ⁵⁵, there are >70 GE clinical trials (with <10 sponsored 491 by EU countries; clinicaltrialsregister.eu) currently ongoing or in the recruiting 492 phase around the globe, highlighting the incredible pace at which the field has 493 494 advanced in the last ten years, since the development of CRISPR as a GE tool. Of these trials, almost half were related to genetically modified T-cell based 495 immunotherapies, 25% to viral infections and 35% to monogenic disorders, mostly 496 affecting the hematopoietic system. One of the most exciting milestones in the field 497 is likely represented by the imminent approval by US and European regulatory 498 agencies of the first CRISPR-based medicine to treat SCD and β-thalassemia (exa-499 cel). Clinical successes like exa-cel are bringing the potential of a GE-based 500 treatment closer to patients, however more therapeutic opportunities are needed 501 and further obstacles need to be addressed for a smooth transition from preclinical 502 research to clinical applications. These include the urgent need to establish 503 standardized protocols and procedures for GE manufacturing, delivery, and 504 monitoring in clinical trials, as well as clearing key regulatory aspects of GE research 505 and, critically, establishing a pricing framework for these innovative therapeutics. 506 The talks delivered during the "Translation into the clinic" WG session aimed at 507 discussing the state-of-the-art of GE clinical translation for different diseases 508

(depicted in Figure 5) and setting the stage for the development of a roadmap that
could guide future research and investment in this promising field.

A series of presentations illustrated the preclinical and clinical development of GE 511 strategies to treat genetic blood disorders, which are among the most advanced GE 512 therapeutic applications now entering the clinic. The groups of Alessia Cavazza 513 (University College London, London, United Kingdom) and Ayal Hendel (Bar-Ilan 514 University, Ramat Gan, Israel) are devising HDR-based CRISPR/Cas GE platforms to 515 treat various primary immunodeficiencies, such as Wiskott-Aldrich Syndrome, 516 Syndrome RAG2-severe combined Immunodeficiency (RAG2-SCID), and X-linked 517 SCID SCID-X1, that rely on the use of AAV6 donor vectors to introduce the 518 corrective gene into its endogenous locus in HSPCs ^{34,56}. Cavazza highlighted the 519 challenges that need to be addressed when translating advanced therapies from 520 bench to bedside, and in particular the plethora of technologies that are required 521 to thoroughly assess correct manufacturing and safety of a GE product in late pre-522 clinical studies, pinpointing the importance of establishing standardized protocols 523 and guidelines to streamline the access of these treatments to patients. The 524 development of therapeutic strategies to treat such ultrarare genetic diseases 525 entails a series of further complications, including the availability of patient samples 526 and the need to implement newborn screening programs for early diagnosis of the 527 disease to ensure therapeutic benefits from these GE strategies. In this regard, 528 Hendel's lab has established a disease modelling system for several forms of SCIDs 529 530 in primary human HSPCs using a multiplexed HDR platform based on CRISPR-Cas9-AAV6, thus allowing to easily assess the efficacy of a therapeutic approach while 531

sparing precious patients' samples. Hemoglobinopathies such as SCD and β 532 thalassemia, are the most frequent monogenic diseases worldwide affecting the 533 production of the adult hemoglobin β-chain. The current curative treatment for this 534 condition is allogeneic HSPC transplantation, however some of its limitations have 535 pushed scientists to find alternative therapeutic options. CRISPR/Cas has emerged 536 as a powerful tool to treat hemoglobinopathies and many approaches have been 537 developed in the past few years, some of which have already reached the clinical 538 stage. Shengdar Q. Tsai (St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, USA) 539 provided an overview of the encouraging outcomes of current clinical trials for exa-540 cel ⁵⁷ and shared his team's efforts to test an autologous genome-edited HSPC 541 therapy for SCD at St. Jude Children's Research Hospital ⁵⁸. Annarita Miccio 542 (Imagine Institute, Paris, France) proposed to use BE as an alternative approach to 543 correct prevalent β -thalassemic mutations, such as IVS1-110 G>A ⁵⁹. This approach 544 offers the potential to improve the efficacy and safety of autologous gene edited 545 HSPCs transplantation, which is currently limited by the safety concerns raised by 546 unwanted on-and off target events due to the cleavage of the Cas9 nuclease. Cells 547 corrected via BE showed increased levels of adult hemoglobin production, leading 548 to an improvement in the β -thalassemic phenotype. A similar approach shown by 549 Annarita Miccio is the use of BEs to generate mutations in the -200 region of the 550 fetal globin promoters that create a KLF1 activator binding site, with the aim of 551 inducing the expression of fetal hemoglobin as a universal treatment for β -552 hemoglobinopathies. She reported that correction of patient HSPCs using BE is safe 553 and leads to reactivation of fetal hemoglobin at higher levels compared to a Cas9-554 nuclease approach, while avoiding the generation of indels and large genomic 555

rearrangements ⁶⁰. With shared interest in hemoglobinopathies, mutation-specific 556 repair and fetal hemoglobin induction, Carsten W. Lederer (The Cyprus Institute of 557 Neurology & Genetics, Nicosia, Cyprus) also introduced the concept of tag-558 activated microRNA (miRNA)-mediated endogene deactivation (TAMED) as a 559 potentially general therapy and research approach ⁶¹. TAMED draws on targeted 560 insertion of miRNA recognition site (MRS) tags and on endogenous miRNA 561 expression to achieve lineage-specific silencing of tagged endogenes. For the 562 abundant erythroid miR-451a and the use case of BCL11A downregulation based 563 on tagging with miR-451a cognate MRSs, Lederer's team established proof of 564 principle for TAMED, while concluding that therapeutically relevant efficiencies and 565 wider application will depend on improved donor chemistries. ^{62,63}. 566

Potential therapies employing nucleases and BE have been developed for other 567 blood disorders, such as Fanconi anemia (FA), a rare inherited bone marrow failure 568 syndrome. The main obstacle in treating this condition is the reduced number of 569 HSPCs found in these patients, however once corrected these cells can ameliorate 570 the diseases as shown in transplantation studies. Paula Rio (CIEMAT, Madrid, Spain) 571 is optimizing gene editing strategies to correct HSPCs from FA patients. The team 572 exploited BE to introduce a silent mutation in a safe harbour locus in HSPCs and 573 observed editing efficiencies up to 80%, while preserving the clonogenic and long 574 term repopulating ability of these cells ⁶⁴. They employed a parallel approach using 575 a gRNA to generate a therapeutic SNP in primary FA HSPCs achieving a 42-64% 576 577 frequency of correction and a proliferative advantage of corrected cells. Overall, the findings demonstrate that various GE strategies can be used to correct mutations in 578

FA patients and provide promising insights for the future clinical application of geneediting in FA.

Cancer immunotherapy is one of the most promising recent breakthroughs in 581 medicine and aims at driving the patient's own immune system to fight tumour cells. 582 Within this field, cell-based immunotherapy using T cells engineered with chimeric 583 antigen receptors (CARs) has gained momentum after the approval of several CAR-584 T cell-based medicines for the treatment of CD19+ B cell malignancies by both the 585 FDA) and EMA ⁶⁵. GE is being utilized to optimize existing technologies, such as for 586 example to manufacture "off-the-shelf" CAR-T cell products or to increase their 587 safety, sensitivity and longevity through gene knock-out/knock-in ⁶⁶. As such, GE 588 applications in the context of cell-based immunotherapy has been the focus of many 589 talks during the meeting. Karim Benabdellah (GENyO, Granada, Spain) presented 590 the CARAML-EXO project, which aims to enhance CAR-T cell therapy for acute 591 myeloid leukemia (AML). The project aims to generate safe and effective universal 592 CAR-T lymphocytes by knocking out the T cell receptor (TCR) and PD1 genes, and 593 establishing a suitable combination of TCR/PD/PD1 knock-out CAR-T 594 subpopulations. Juan R. Rodriguez-Madoz's team (CIMA Universidad de Navarra, 595 Navarra, Spain) has developed a novel approach using CRISPR-based GE 596 technologies and virus-free gene-transfer strategies with Sleeping Beauty 597 transposons. Their aim is to generate allogeneic CAR-T cells that are depleted of 598 human leukocyte antigen class I (HLA-I) and TCR complexes. This one-step 599 600 generation of edited CAR-T cells has been optimized for large-scale production, enabling their potential use in clinical settings. Finally, Noelia Maldonado-Pérez 601

from Francisco Martin's group (GENyO, Granada, Spain) investigated the efficacy 602 and safety of generating off-the shelf TCR knock-out (KO) ARI CAR-T cells,(ARI CAR-603 T cells is the first academic CAR-T cell product authorized by the the Spanish 604 Agency of Medicines and Medical Devices (AEMPS) under the "hospital exemption" 605 approval pathway) Although KO leads to on-target large deletions that should be 606 monitored as a potential safety issue, the data presented by Maldonado-Perez 607 suggest that disrupting the TCR proves to be a viable strategy for producing 608 functional allogenic ARI-0001 CAR-T cells with a similar phenotype and antitumor 609 efficacy ⁶⁷. 610

Despite the potential clinical efficacy of GE combined with CAR T-cell therapy in 611 hematologic diseases, challenges such as tumour heterogeneity, immune evasion, 612 limited trafficking and persistence, adverse effects, immunosuppression, and 613 manufacturing issues restrict their potential in treating solid tumour diseases or viral 614 infections in immunosuppressed patients. Michael Schmueck-Henneresse's team 615 (Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany) aims to overcome some of these 616 challenges by understanding how T cells coordinate an effective immune memory 617 against virus-infected or tumour cells and how this can be specifically modified for 618 therapeutic purposes. They use CRISPR-Cas-based gene modifications to enhance 619 T-cell migration to solid tumours through transgenic expression of chimeric 620 receptors as a targeted adaptation of homing chemokine systems for CAR T cell 621 products. Both Michael Schmueck-Henneresse and Gal Cafri (Sheba Medical 622 623 Center, Ramat Gan, Israel) also discussed the use of effector T cells for the treatment of tumours and viral infections in vulnerable patients, as well as the clinical use of 624

regulatory T cells after transplantation. On a similar note, Cristina Maccalli (Sidra
Medicine, Doha, Qatar) employs genomic and immunological characterization of
cancer stem cells to identify the mechanisms of resistance to T cell-mediated
immune responses in solid tumours, increasing cell-based immunotherapy efficacy
by selecting high affinity, antigen-specific TCRs.

A plethora of therapeutic GE applications for a variety of other diseases were also 630 discussed. For example, Laura Torrella (CIMA, University of Navarra, Spain) is 631 exploring the potential of GE to treat, a rare metabolic disorder that can lead to end-632 stage renal failure and caused by mutations in the *Hao1* gene ⁶⁸. Torrella developed 633 GE strategies using CRISPR/Cas9 nickases delivered by AAV to the liver of a PH1 634 mouse model to treat PH1, showing reduced oxalate accumulation and prevention 635 of renal damage. Jose Bonafont (DanausGT, Madrid, Spain) presented a GE-based 636 curative approach for recessive dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa (RDEB), a rare skin 637 genetic disorder caused by mutations in the COL7A1 gene, which encodes the type 638 VII collagen protein (C7). Jose Bonafont used the CRISPR/Cas9 system to edit the 639 genome of patients with RDEB. Specifically, two gRNAs were used to direct the Cas9 640 enzyme to cut the DNA at two specific sites within the *COL7A1* gene, with the aim 641 to delete the exon-bearing mutation and restore the production of functional type 642 VII collagen ⁶⁹. He also presented an HDR-based protocol to precisely correct the 643 mutation by using CRISPR/Cas9 in combination with AAV6-donor template delivery 644 70. 645

646 Lastly, two speakers addressed the use of CRISPR-based disease modelling as a 647 powerful tool for understanding disease mechanism, identifying potential

therapeutic targets, and testing new treatment approaches. Alejandro Garanto 648 (Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands) is working on the 649 development of molecular therapies for inherited retinal diseases, with a special 650 focus on Stargardt disease. The team has used GE tools in different ways: a) to 651 correct or include variants to generate isogenic controls and verify pathogenicity 652 upon differentiation to human retinal models; and b) to develop a novel therapeutic 653 strategy to target pathogenic variants in *ABCA4*.Since the eye is a model organ for 654 therapeutics, the overall goal is to halt or slow down the progression of the disease 655 to improve the quality of life of the patients. Neli Kachamakova-Trojanowska 656 (Malopolska Centre of Biotechnology, Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland) 657 employed hiPSCs to study the causative molecular mechanisms of HNF1A onset 658 diabetes of the young (HNF1-MODY) which leads to increased risk for 659 cardiovascular diseases and retinopathy ⁷¹. The Kachamakova-Trojanowska's team 660 used CRISPR/Cas9 system to introduce mutations in the *HNF1A* gene in a healthy 661 donor hiPSCs line. This approach allowed them to show that endothelial cells (ECs) 662 derived from hiPSCs with mono- or biallelic mutations in the HNF1A gene exhibited 663 increased vascular permeability in comparison to the respective control cells ⁷², 664 which could contribute to the endothelial dysfunction observed in patients with 665 HNF1A-MODY. 666

667

668 **Regulatory Issues**

The field of somatic GE trials is rapidly advancing in Europe and the United States,with a focus on cancer treatment and monogenic conditions. While the potential

benefits of these therapies are apparent, the understanding of associated risks is 671 still evolving. The WG on Regulatory Issues aims to facilitate the transition of these 672 therapies from the lab to clinical trials by providing recommendations for evaluating 673 their safety, quality, and addressing potential risks. During the first year of the Gene-674 HumDI Action, two members of the Spanish Agency of Medicines and Medical 675 Devices (AEMPS) and Andalusian Network for the design and translation of 676 Advanced Therapies (RAdyTA) have joined our network and more representatives 677 from national regulatory bodies of many EU countries will be recruited in the 678 following years to ensure a thorough discussion of important regulatory aspects 679 necessary for the successful translation of GE into clinical applications. Toni 680 Cathomen (Medical Center-University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany) focused on 681 the regulatory considerations for safety analyses of genetically engineered cell 682 products in clinical applications. Cathomen emphasized that on-target and off-683 target aberrations are an inevitable side effect of engineering the genome. 684 Regulatory agencies therefore require comprehensive off-target analyses in non-685 clinical studies to identify and mitigate the risk of genotoxicity. Incorporating 686 regulatory requirements into the tests used for off-target analyses helps ensure that 687 GE tools meet safety standards and increases the likelihood of regulatory approval 688 for clinical use. Establishing common standards for quality control, data processing, 689 performance parameters, and reference materials are hence crucial for accurate, 690 reliable, and reproducible off-target analyses across different developers and 691 692 platforms. Harmonizing these standards and parameters is essential for meeting regulatory guidelines and promoting the safe and effective use of GE technologies 693 in clinical applications. María José del Pino, (AEMPS, Spain) and Gloria Carmona 694

(RAdyTA) explained the different types of Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products 695 (ATMPs) in the European and Spanish regulatory context, and where currently 696 treatments based on GE are framed challenges related to ATMP regulation and 697 translation into GMP facilities. They emphasized that Spain in general and Andalusia 698 in particular a good host country and region respectively for the evaluation and 699 implementation of clinical trials based on ATMPs, with multidisciplinary teams that 700 have good experience in the evaluation and authorization of ATMP products. María 701 José del Pino discussed the importance of scientific and regulatory advice in 702 obtaining clinical trial authorization and marketing authorization for ATMPs and 703 gene therapy. The assessment and counselling process for each product is 704 conducted on a case-by-case basis, considering the unique characteristics of each 705 ATMP, therapeutic area, and disease. There are three main pathways for assessment 706 707 and counselling: (1) through the innovation office of AEMPS for non-profit institutions, academia, universities, start-ups, biotechnology, and pharmaceutical 708 companies; (2) through EMA and its working groups, such as the Innovation Task 709 Force or the Scientific Advice Working Party, as well as programs like STARS 710 (Strengthening Regulatory Science); and (3) through the EU-Innovation Network 711 (EU-IN), led by the Head of Medicines Agencies and the EMA, which addresses 712 713 emerging topics requiring action by the European Medicines regulatory network. In particular, the EU-IN published the Horizon Scanning Genome Editing Report in 714 2021, providing guidance on regulatory considerations for the coming years. 715

716 **Conclusions**

The GenE-HumDi network, funded COST, represents a significant milestone in 717 advancing the translation of genome editing technologies into effective treatments 718 for human diseases. By fostering collaboration among diverse stakeholders, 719 including researchers, pharmaceutical companies, regulatory agencies, and patient 720 advocacy associations, GenE-HumDi aims to reduce knowledge fragmentation by 721 harmonizing the state of the art of the GE field and facilitate the development of 722 standardized procedures and guidelines. The network's first meeting showcased 723 the recent breakthroughs and novel developments in the GE field, including 724 delivery strategies, safety considerations, clinical translation, and regulatory aspects. 725 With the recent forthcoming approvals of gene editing medicines by European and 726 American regulatory authorities, the field is rapidly progressing towards bringing 727 innovative therapies closer to patients. However, challenges remain, including the 728 need for standardized protocols related to safety, regulatory clarity, and 729 establishment of pricing frameworks. The GenE-HumDi network is strongly 730 committed to contribute significantly to overcoming these obstacles and driving the 731 future of GE as a valuable alternative for treating a broad range of human diseases. 732

733

734

735

736

| ourng | 31 | 12 | r | n | n | | |
|-------|----|----|---|---|---|--|--|
| | | | | Ρ | | | |

739

740

741

742 **Declaration of Interests:**

PR has licensed the PGK:FANCAWpre* LV medicinal product and receives funding and 743 equity from Rocket Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Patents & Royalties, Research & consulting 744 Funding. D.L. is an inventor on a patent National Institute of Chemistry filed 745 746 (WO/2021/032759 patent application, European patent application EP 3783104, China patent application CN 114269930 with National Phase entry EP2020756868). ROB holds 747 patents related to CRISPR/Cas genome editing and has equity in Graphite Bio and is 748 consultant for UNIKUM Tx. GG holds patents related to CRISPR/Cas genome editing, is an 749 employee of CasZyme and has equity in CasZyme. S.Q.T. is a co-inventor on patents for 750 GUIDE-seq, CHANGE-seq, and other genome editing technologies and a member of the 751 752 scientific advisory boards of Prime Medicine and Ensoma. T.Ca. is a co-inventor on patents 753 for CAST-Seq, Abnoba-Seq, and other genome editing technologies, and a member of the 754 scientific advisory boards of Cimeo Therapeutics, Excision BioTherapeutics, and GenCC. 755 A.C. and G.T are inventors on a patent for MEGA (WO/2023/079285), G.T is also co-inventor 756 on a patent for CAST-seq.

757

758

759

Acknowledgements This publication is based upon work from COST Action Gene Editing 760 for the treatment of Human Diseases, CA21113 supported by COST (European 761 Cooperation of Science and Technology. (COST). The study was also supported by the 762 Consejería de Universidad, Investigación e Innovación under Plan Andaluz de 763 Investigación, Desarrollo e Innovación (PAIDI 2020) (ProyExcel_00875) de la junta de 764 Andalucía, and by Consejería de Salud y familia de la Junta de Andalucia/FEDER (PECART-765 766 0027-2020), K.B. held Nicolas Monardes contract from Consejería de Salud y Consumo de la Junta de Andalucía 767

769 Author contributions

- A.C. Conceptualization; data curation; Network administration, investigation; visualization;
- 771 writing-original draft; writing-review and editing A.H.,, R.O.B P.R, M.G, D.L, V.A, L.P, F.Z.H,
- 772 J.H, F.G.O, C.G.M, C.L, K.M., G.G, N.K, M.A.F.V.G; A.G, L.M. M.M, J.G, M.L, R.S, J.R.R.M, N.M.P,
- L.T., M.S.H., C.M., J.G., G.C. A.M. F.M., G.T., T.C. Y.L. T.Q.S. validation; review editing K.B.
- 774 Conceptualization; funding acquisition; investigation; Network administration; resources;
- supervision; visualization; writing-review.

776 Keywords

- 777 European Cooperation in Science and Technology (COST); GenE-HumDi; Genome Editing; Base
- editors; Delivery Systems; Regulatory Guidelines
- 779
- 780

781 References

- Gao, Z., et al. A truncated reverse transcriptase enhances prime editing by split AAV vectors.
 Mol Ther **30**, 2942-2951 (2022).
- Yuan, Q. & Gao, X. Multiplex base- and prime-editing with drive-and-process CRISPR arrays.
 Nat Commun 13, 2771 (2022).
- 7863.Jensen, T.I., et al. Targeted regulation of transcription in primary cells using CRISPRa and787CRISPRi. Genome Res **31**, 2120-2130 (2021).
- Gasiunas, G., et al. A catalogue of biochemically diverse CRISPR-Cas9 orthologs. *Nat Commun* **11**, 5512 (2020).
- 5. Urbaitis, T., et al. A new family of CRISPR-type V nucleases with C-rich PAM recognition. EMBO
 791 Rep 23, e55481 (2022).
- Alonso-Lerma, B., et al. Evolution of CRISPR-associated endonucleases as inferred from resurrected proteins. *Nat Microbiol* 8, 77-90 (2023).
- 794 7. Pallares-Masmitja, M., *et al.* Find and cut-and-transfer (FiCAT) mammalian genome 795 engineering. *Nat Commun* **12**, 7071 (2021).

- 7968.Lainscek, D., et al. Coiled-coil heterodimer-based recruitment of an exonuclease to797CRISPR/Cas for enhanced gene editing. Nat Commun 13, 3604 (2022).
- 7989.Davis, J.R., et al. Efficient in vivo base editing via single adeno-associated viruses with size-799optimized genomes encoding compact adenine base editors. Nat Biomed Eng 6, 1272-1283800(2022).
- Kinali, M., *et al.* Local restoration of dystrophin expression with the morpholino oligomer AVI4658 in Duchenne muscular dystrophy: a single-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-escalation,
 proof-of-concept study. *Lancet Neurol* 8, 918-928 (2009).
- Cirak, S., et al. Exon skipping and dystrophin restoration in patients with Duchenne muscular
 dystrophy after systemic phosphorodiamidate morpholino oligomer treatment: an open label, phase 2, dose-escalation study. *Lancet* **378**, 595-605 (2011).
- 80712.Chiriboga, C.A., et al. Results from a phase 1 study of nusinersen (ISIS-SMN(Rx)) in children808with spinal muscular atrophy. Neurology 86, 890-897 (2016).
- Mendell, J.R., *et al.* Comparison of Long-term Ambulatory Function in Patients with Duchenne
 Muscular Dystrophy Treated with Eteplirsen and Matched Natural History Controls. *Journal of neuromuscular diseases* 8, 469-479 (2021).
- 812 14. Godfrey, C., et al. Delivery is key: lessons learnt from developing splice-switching antisense
 813 therapies. *EMBO Mol Med* 9, 545-557 (2017).
- 81415.Dowdy, S.F., Setten, R.L., Cui, X.S. & Jadhav, S.G. Delivery of RNA Therapeutics: The Great815Endosomal Escape! Nucleic Acid Ther (2022).
- 816 16. Qiu, E. & Liu, F. PLGA-based drug delivery systems in treating bone tumors. *Front Bioeng* 817 *Biotechnol* 11, 1199343 (2023).
- Na, Y., et al. Polylactic-co-glycolic acid-based nanoparticles modified with peptides and other
 linkers cross the blood-brain barrier for targeted drug delivery. *Nanomedicine (Lond)* 18, 125143 (2023).
- 18. Abbasi, R., Shineh, G., Mobaraki, M., Doughty, S. & Tayebi, L. Structural parameters of
 nanoparticles affecting their toxicity for biomedical applications: a review. *J Nanopart Res* 25,
 43 (2023).
- 19. Chen, J., Zhu, D., Liu, X. & Peng, L. Amphiphilic Dendrimer Vectors for RNA Delivery: State-of the-Art and Future Perspective. *Acc Mater Res* **3**, 484-497 (2022).
- 20. Lyu, Z., Ding, L., Tintaru, A. & Peng, L. Self-Assembling Supramolecular Dendrimers for
 Biomedical Applications: Lessons Learned from Poly(amidoamine) Dendrimers. *Acc Chem Res*53, 2936-2949 (2020).
- Xiong, Y., *et al.* Small Activating RNA Modulation of the G Protein-Coupled Receptor for Cancer
 Treatment. *Adv Sci (Weinh)* 9, e2200562 (2022).
- Chen, J., et al. Cargo-selective and adaptive delivery of nucleic acid therapeutics by bolaamphiphilic dendrimers. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **120**, e2220787120 (2023).

- Jiang, Y., et al. Dendrimer nanosystems for adaptive tumor-assisted drug delivery via
 extracellular vesicle hijacking. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 120, e2215308120 (2023).
- 24. Chen, J., et al. Synthesis and use of an amphiphilic dendrimer for siRNA delivery into primary
 immune cells. Nat Protoc 16, 327-351 (2021).
- van Hees, M., *et al.* New approaches to moderate CRISPR-Cas9 activity: Addressing issues of
 cellular uptake and endosomal escape. *Mol Ther* **30**, 32-46 (2022).
- 26. Cebrian-Serrano, A. & Davies, B. CRISPR-Cas orthologues and variants: optimizing the
 repertoire, specificity and delivery of genome engineering tools. *Mamm Genome* 28, 247-261
 (2017).
- Allen, D., Rosenberg, M. & Hendel, A. Using Synthetically Engineered Guide RNAs to Enhance
 CRISPR Genome Editing Systems in Mammalian Cells. *Front Genome Ed* 2, 617910 (2020).
- Allen, D., Kalter, N., Rosenberg, M. & Hendel, A. Homology-Directed-Repair-Based Genome
 Editing in HSPCs for the Treatment of Inborn Errors of Immunity and Blood Disorders. *Pharmaceutics* 15(2023).
- 29. Dorset, S.R. & Bak, R.O. The p53 challenge of hematopoietic stem cell gene editing. *Mol Ther Methods Clin Dev* 30, 83-89 (2023).
- Tsai, S.Q., *et al.* GUIDE-seq enables genome-wide profiling of off-target cleavage by CRISPRCas nucleases. *Nat Biotechnol* 33, 187-197 (2015).
- 851 31. Lazzarotto, C.R., et al. CHANGE-seq reveals genetic and epigenetic effects on CRISPR-Cas9
 852 genome-wide activity. Nat Biotechnol 38, 1317-1327 (2020).
- Shapiro, J., Tovin, A., Iancu, O., Allen, D. & Hendel, A. Chemical Modification of Guide RNAs
 for Improved CRISPR Activity in CD34+ Human Hematopoietic Stem and Progenitor Cells. *Methods Mol Biol* 2162, 37-48 (2021).
- Allen, D., et al. High-Throughput Imaging of CRISPR- and Recombinant Adeno-Associated
 Virus-Induced DNA Damage Response in Human Hematopoietic Stem and Progenitor Cells.
 Crispr J 5, 80-94 (2022).
- 859 34. lancu, O., et al. Multiplex HDR for disease and correction modeling of SCID by CRISPR genome
 860 editing in human HSPCs. *Mol Ther Nucleic Acids* **31**, 105-121 (2023).
- 86135.Bestas, B., et al. A Type II-B Cas9 nuclease with minimized off-targets and reduced862chromosomal translocations in vivo. Nat Commun 14, 5474 (2023).
- Anthon, C., Corsi, G.I. & Gorodkin, J. CRISPRon/off: CRISPR/Cas9 on- and off-target gRNA
 design. *Bioinformatics* 38, 5437-5439 (2022).
- 86537.Corsi, G.I., Gadekar, V.P., Gorodkin, J. & Seemann, S.E. CRISPRroots: on- and off-target866assessment of RNA-seq data in CRISPR-Cas9 edited cells. Nucleic Acids Res 50, e20 (2022).
- 867 38. Corsi, G.I., *et al.* CRISPR/Cas9 gRNA activity depends on free energy changes and on the target
 868 PAM context. *Nat Commun* 13, 3006 (2022).

- 869 39. Pan, X., et al. Massively targeted evaluation of therapeutic CRISPR off-targets in cells. Nat
 870 Commun 13, 4049 (2022).
- 40. Xiang, X., et al. Enhancing CRISPR-Cas9 gRNA efficiency prediction by data integration and
 deep learning. Nat Commun 12, 3238 (2021).
- 41. Turchiano, G., *et al.* Quantitative evaluation of chromosomal rearrangements in gene-edited
 human stem cells by CAST-Seq. *Cell Stem Cell* 28, 1136-1147 e1135 (2021).
- 42. Akcakaya, P., *et al.* In vivo CRISPR editing with no detectable genome-wide off-target
 mutations. *Nature* 561, 416-419 (2018).
- 43. Amit, I., *et al.* CRISPECTOR provides accurate estimation of genome editing translocation and
 off-target activity from comparative NGS data. *Nat Commun* **12**, 3042 (2021).
- Kosicki, M., Tomberg, K. & Bradley, A. Repair of double-strand breaks induced by CRISPR-Cas9
 leads to large deletions and complex rearrangements. *Nat Biotechnol* 36, 765-771 (2018).
- 45. Chen, X., et al. Expanding the editable genome and CRISPR-Cas9 versatility using DNA cuttingfree gene targeting based on in trans paired nicking. *Nucleic Acids Res* 48, 974-995 (2020).
- 46. Ihry, R.J., et al. p53 inhibits CRISPR-Cas9 engineering in human pluripotent stem cells. Nat Med
 24, 939-946 (2018).
- Schiroli, G., *et al.* Precise Gene Editing Preserves Hematopoietic Stem Cell Function following
 Transient p53-Mediated DNA Damage Response. *Cell Stem Cell* 24, 551-565 e558 (2019).
- 48. Chen, X., *et al.* In trans paired nicking triggers seamless genome editing without doublestranded DNA cutting. *Nat Commun* **8**, 657 (2017).
- 49. Wang, Q., Liu, J., Janssen, J.M. & Goncalves, M. Precise homology-directed installation of large
 genomic edits in human cells with cleaving and nicking high-specificity Cas9 variants. *Nucleic*Acids Res 51, 3465-3484 (2023).
- van Nierop, G.P., de Vries, A.A., Holkers, M., Vrijsen, K.R. & Goncalves, M.A. Stimulation of
 homology-directed gene targeting at an endogenous human locus by a nicking endonuclease. *Nucleic Acids Res* 37, 5725-5736 (2009).
- S1. Goncalves, M.A., van Nierop, G.P., Holkers, M. & de Vries, A.A. Concerted nicking of donor and
 chromosomal acceptor DNA promotes homology-directed gene targeting in human cells.
 Nucleic Acids Res 40, 3443-3455 (2012).
- 89852.Bollen, Y., et al. Efficient and error-free fluorescent gene tagging in human organoids without899double-strand DNA cleavage. PLoS Biol 20, e3001527 (2022).
- 53. Fortschegger, K., Husa, A.M., Schinnerl, D., Nebral, K. & Strehl, S. Expression of RUNX1-JAK2
 in Human Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell-Derived Hematopoietic Cells Activates the JAK-STAT
 and MYC Pathways. *Int J Mol Sci* 22(2021).
- 90354.Bollen, Y., et al. Reconstructing single-cell karyotype alterations in colorectal cancer identifies904punctuated and gradual diversification patterns. Nat Genet 53, 1187-1195 (2021).

- 90555.Sahar F A E, M.B., Mohammad Mi G, Sara K, Zahra M T, Mohsen B. A systematic review of gene906editing clinical trials. *medRxiv* (2022).
- 90756.Rai, R., et al. Targeted gene correction of human hematopoietic stem cells for the treatment908of Wiskott Aldrich Syndrome. Nat Commun 11, 4034 (2020).
- 90957.Frangoul, H., Ho, T.W. & Corbacioglu, S. CRISPR-Cas9 Gene Editing for Sickle Cell Disease and910beta-Thalassemia. Reply. N Engl J Med **384**, e91 (2021).
- 91158.Traxler, E.A., et al. A genome-editing strategy to treat beta-hemoglobinopathies that912recapitulates a mutation associated with a benign genetic condition. Nat Med 22, 987-990913(2016).
- 91459.Hardouin, G., et al. Adenine base editor-mediated correction of the common and severe IVS1-915110 (G>A) beta-thalassemia mutation. Blood 141, 1169-1179 (2023).
- 916 60. Antoniou, P., et al. Base-editing-mediated dissection of a gamma-globin cis-regulatory
 917 element for the therapeutic reactivation of fetal hemoglobin expression. Nat Commun 13,
 918 6618 (2022).
- 919 61. Papasavva, P.L., *et al.* CRISPR Editing Enables Consequential Tag-Activated MicroRNA920 Mediated Endogene Deactivation. *Int J Mol Sci* 23(2022).
- 62. Kountouris, P., *et al.* Adapting the ACMG/AMP variant classification framework: A perspective
 from the ClinGen Hemoglobinopathy Variant Curation Expert Panel. *Hum Mutat* 43, 10891096 (2022).
- 63. Kountouris, P., *et al.* The International Hemoglobinopathy Research Network (INHERENT): An
 international initiative to study the role of genetic modifiers in hemoglobinopathies. *Am J Hematol* 96, E416-E420 (2021).
- 927 64. Siegner, S.M., *et al.* Adenine base editing efficiently restores the function of Fanconi anemia
 928 hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells. *Nat Commun* 13, 6900 (2022).
- 65. Kochenderfer, J.N., *et al.* B-cell depletion and remissions of malignancy along with cytokine associated toxicity in a clinical trial of anti-CD19 chimeric-antigen-receptor-transduced T cells.
 Blood 119, 2709-2720 (2012).
- 932 66. Jo, S., et al. Endowing universal CAR T-cell with immune-evasive properties using TALEN-gene
 933 editing. Nat Commun 13, 3453 (2022).
- Maldonado-Perez, N., et al. Efficacy and safety of universal (TCRKO) ARI-0001 CAR-T cells for
 the treatment of B-cell lymphoma. Front Immunol 13, 1011858 (2022).
- 68. Groothoff, J.W., *et al.* Clinical practice recommendations for primary hyperoxaluria: an expert
 consensus statement from ERKNet and OxalEurope. *Nat Rev Nephrol* 19, 194-211 (2023).
- Bonafont, J., et al. Clinically Relevant Correction of Recessive Dystrophic Epidermolysis Bullosa
 by Dual sgRNA CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Gene Editing. *Mol Ther* 27, 986-998 (2019).

- 94070.Bonafont, J., et al. Correction of recessive dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa by homology-941directed repair-mediated genome editing. Mol Ther 29, 2008-2018 (2021).
- 942 71. Szopa, M., et al. Prevalence of Retinopathy in Adult Patients with GCK-MODY and HNF1A943 MODY. Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes 123, 524-528 (2015).
- 72. Kachamakova-Trojanowska, N., Stepniewski, J. & Dulak, J. Human iPSCs-Derived Endothelial
 945 Cells with Mutation in HNF1A as a Model of Maturity-Onset Diabetes of the Young. *Cells*946 8(2019).
- 947

948

949

950 **Figure Legends**

Figure 1 The map illustrates the global reach of Gene Humdi COST Action, with 269
participants distributed across 38 countries. Each participant is actively involved in at least one
of the consortium's working groups

954

Figure 2. Gene editing technologies based on CRISPR/Cas systems. The CRISPR/Cas 955 toolbox contains multiple versions of Cas enzymes combined with other proteins to 956 manipulate genomic DNA. For conventional genome editing, Cas9 nucleases are used to 957 958 create DNA double strand breaks (DSBs) that facilitate insertions or deletions (indels) of base pairs at the target site introduced by DSB correction via the Non-Homologous End 959 Joining (NHEJ) pathway, leading to disruption of target DNA sequences. For precise edits, 960 Cas9 nucleases are supplemented with a DNA template for its integration into the desired 961 target locus by either homology-directed repair (HDR) or by homology-independent 962 targeted integration (HITI). These approaches are accompanied by the simultaneous 963 introduction of undesired indels, as such other approaches have fused different DNA 964 modulatory proteins to Cas9 to alter the indel spectrum or to affect the HDR:indel ratio to 965

966 favor HDR. The DNA break-free base and prime editors (BE and PE respectively) display high product purity of the editing outcome and highly decrease the risks associated with 967 DNA DSBs, including induction of gross chromosomal aberrations. BE mediate single-base 968 substitutions, while PE can create small precise insertions, deletions, or base substitutions. 969 To induce insertion of large DNA regions, some systems utilize Cas9 fused to transposases, 970 serine integrases (PASTE) or CRISPR-associated transposases (CASTs) to insert large donor 971 DNA templates. PASTE inserts an attB site into the desired genomic location by prime 972 editing, followed by the integration of the donor DNA via the serine integrase (e.g. Bxbl) 973 974 acting on the flanking attP site. The CAST system uses CRISPR-associated transposases to insert transposon DNA engineered to carry the desired cargo. To manipulate the 975 transcriptional status of a gene, a nuclease-deactivated version of Cas9 (dCas9) is employed 976 that maintains the ability to bind a specific DNA target. When fused to transcriptional 977 activators or repressors, target genes can be dialed up or down. By instead using fusion 978 proteins that regulate the epigenetic status of a gene, inherited epigenetic marks can lead 979 980 to permanent modulation of transcription.

981

982

983 Figure 3. Gene editing delivery systems.

984 Schematic illustration of the varieties of the tools to deliver genome editing components, 985 classified into two categories based on the different constituents and cellular entry 986 mechanisms: viral and non-viral methods. In the first category, the most widely used viruses 987 for delivery of GE tools are Retroviruses, Adeno Associated Viruses and Adenoviruses, 988 where entry mechanisms of the gene editing components into the target cell are virus 989 specific. Viral methods can be used for both in vitro and in vivo applications. The non-viral

delivery methods can be further split into three subgroups: physical methods utilized for in
vitro gene editing (gene gun, electroporation and microinjection), and biological
(extracellular vesicles, EVs) or chemical (lipid nanoparticles, LNPs; Poly lactic-co-glycolic
acid nanoparticles, PLGA NP; dendrimers and inorganic nanoparticles) methods for in vivo
gene editing.

995

Figure 4: Sensitive Assays and Tools for Prediction and Detection of Off-Target Sites. 996 A. Schematic overview of in-cellula off-target detection methods. Upper panel, from left to 997 right: Integrase-deficient Lentivirus Capture (IDLV Capture); Genome-wide, Unbiased 998 Identification of DSBs Enabled by Sequencing (Guide-Seq); Direct In Situ Breaks Labeling, 999 1000 Enrichment on Streptavidin and Next-generation Sequencing (BLESS). Lower panel, from 1001 left to right: High-throughput, Genome-Wide Translocation sequencing (HTGTS); 1002 Chromosomal Aberrations Analysis by Single Targeted Linker-mediated PCR Sequencing 1003 (CAST-Seq); Discovery of In Situ Cas Off-targets and Verification by Sequencing (Discover-Seq). (DSB: double stand break; NGS: next-generation sequencing; dsODN: double-strand 1004 1005 oligo DNA; LTR: long terminal repeat). B. Schematic overview of biochemical off-target 1006 detection methods, from left to right Digenome-seq, Site-Seq, Circle SEQ and Change-SEq 1007 1008 1009 1010 Figure 5. Applications of Gene Editing in the Treatment of Inherited Rare Diseases, 1011 **Cancer, and Infectious Diseases** 1012

1013 Summary diagram of conditions treatable by gene editing that are currently being 1014 investigated by GenE-Humdi Cost action members. Specific gene targets are listed in italics 1015 per each disease.

1016

1017

Sumalpropho

95

CEREFICION



Journal Pression





In cellula off-target detection methods

Biochemical off-target detection methods







The COST Action GenE-HumDi network unites experts, pharmaceutical industry, academia, and regulatory authorities to accelerate genome editing for treating human diseases. GenE-HumDi's mission includes refining technology, ensuring safety, and promoting accessibility.

Journal Pression