

Small Interfering RNAs Targeting a Chromatin-Associated RNA Induce Its Transcriptional Silencing in Human Cells

Julien Ouvrard, Lisa Muniz, Estelle Nicolas, Didier Trouche

► To cite this version:

Julien Ouvrard, Lisa Muniz, Estelle Nicolas, Didier Trouche. Small Interfering RNAs Targeting a Chromatin-Associated RNA Induce Its Transcriptional Silencing in Human Cells. Molecular and Cellular Biology, 2022, 42 (12), pp.e00271-22. 10.1128/mcb.00271-22. hal-04276747

HAL Id: hal-04276747 https://hal.science/hal-04276747

Submitted on 17 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	siRNAs targeting a chromatin-associated RNA induce its transcriptional silencing in
2	human cells
3	
4	Julien Ouvrard ^{1,2} , Lisa Muniz ^{1,2} , Estelle Nicolas ^{1,2} *, Didier Trouche ^{1,2} §*
5	
6	¹ MCD, Centre de Biologie Integrative (CBI), University of Toulouse, CNRS, UPS,
7	Toulouse, France
8	² Equipe labellisée Ligue Contre le Cancer, Team « Chromatin and Cell
9	Proliferation », Toulouse, France
10	* These authors contributed equally to this work
11	
12	Running head : Silencing of a nuclear RNA by siRNAs
13	
14	Keywords: siRNA, RNA interference, nuclear RNA, transcriptional silencing
15	
16	§ Correspondence to :
17	
18	Didier Trouche
19	MCD UMR 5077, Centre de Biologie Intégrative
20	Université Paul Sabatier
21	118 Route de Narbonne
22	31062 Toulouse Cedex, France
23	E-mail : didier.trouche@univ-tlse3.fr
24	

26

27 Transcriptional gene silencing by small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) has been widely 28 described in various species, such as plants or fission veasts. In mammals, its extent 29 remained somewhat debated. Previous studies showed that siRNAs targeting gene 30 promoters can induce the silencing of the targeted promoter, although the involvement 31 of off-target mechanisms was also suggested. Here, by nascent RNA capture and RNA 32 Pol II ChIP, we show that siRNAs targeting a chromatin-associated non-coding RNA 33 induce its transcriptional silencing. Deletion of the sequence targeted by one of these 34 siRNAs on the two alleles by genome editing further show that this silencing is due to 35 base pairing of the siRNA to the target. Moreover, by using cells with heterozygous 36 deletion of the target sequence, we show that only the wild type allele, but not the deleted 37 allele, is silenced by the siRNA, indicating that transcriptional silencing occurs only in 38 cis. Finally, we demonstrate that both Ago1 and Ago2 are involved in this 39 transcriptional silencing. Altogether, our data demonstrate that siRNAs targeting a 40 chromatin-associated RNA at distance from its promoter induce its transcriptional 41 silencing. Our results thus extend the possible repertoire of endogenous or exogenous 42 interfering RNAs.

43

46

47 RNA interference (RNAi), first discovered in plants during the 1990s and observed later in 48 other systems, like worms, yeast and mammals is a rapid and efficient tool to induce the 49 knockdown of specific transcripts. This main mechanism of post-transcriptional gene 50 silencing is largely conserved throughout evolution. In mammals and non-mammalian 51 organisms, exogenous or endogenously synthetized small double-stranded RNA molecules of 52 19 to 24 nucleotides, called small-interfering RNA (siRNA), are loaded on the RISC (RNA-53 induced silencing complex) complex containing argonaute proteins (Ago) (1). The two 54 strands of siRNAs are then dissociated and the passenger strand is ejected. The complex is 55 then tethered to target RNAs containing a sequence complementary to the guide strand. When 56 sequence complementarity is high enough, the endoribonuclease activity present in Ago2 57 cleaves the target RNA between bases 10 and 11 of the siRNA complementary region, 58 leading to the degradation of the target RNA (2, 3).

59

60

61 Given that the above-described processes usually take place in the cytoplasm, RNA 62 interference is in this respect a cytoplasmic process functioning at a post-transcriptional level, 63 and leading to RNA degradation. Nevertheless, in some organisms such as yeast or plants, 64 RNAi has been described to work also in the nucleus to silence repeated elements and to 65 nucleate heterochromatin (4). However, the presence of the nuclear RNAi in mammals is 66 more controversial. Indeed, initial data concluded that intronic sequences cannot be targeted 67 with RNAi (5, 6). This observation together with the findings that some RISC proteins were 68 mostly cytoplasmic led to the conclusion that RNA interference is restricted to the cytoplasm 69 in human cells (5). However, in the last two decades, various studies have suggested the

70 presence and the action of the RNAi machinery in the nucleus of mammalian cells. Indeed, 71 whereas siRNA loading factors into RISC are exclusively cytoplasmic, other RNAi factors, 72 such as Dicer, Ago1 and Ago2, have been found in the nucleus and can lead to the siRNA-73 mediated cleavage of chromatin-associated RNAs (7-9). Moreover, the preferential nuclear 74 localization of Ago2 has been evidenced in specific human tissues (10). It was later found that 75 Ago-miRNA complexes can target and silence post-transcriptionally thousands of pre-76 mRNAs in the nucleus in mouse ES cells that contain high levels of nuclear Ago proteins (11, 77 12), although it is still unclear whether all these genes are directly targeted by Ago proteins. 78 Co-transcriptional processes such as alternative splicing can also be affected by siRNA 79 targeting a specific splice site of pre-mRNA [39] Moreover, siRNAs have been successfully 80 used to decrease the expression of nuclear ncRNAs (7, 13), including by ourselves (14-16).

81 In addition, transcriptional gene silencing by siRNAs was also reported in mammalian cells. 82 siRNAs directed against the promoter of the elongation factor 1α gene repress the expression 83 of a reporter gene driven by this promoter (17). Further studies confirmed for other genes that 84 siRNAs can repress transcription when targeting promoters (18-25) or first exons (13). This 85 was also extended to miRNAs or siRNAs targeting downstream regions of protein-coding 86 genes (26, 27). Transcriptional gene silencing requires an RNA template (28, 29), such as 87 promoter-associated antisense transcripts (30) or ncRNAs overlapping the gene promoter or 88 downstream regions (25, 31) and involves either Ago1 or Ago2 (18, 31, 32). The targeting of 89 the siRNA to promoters leads to the deposition of repressive chromatin marks at these 90 promoters, such as H3K9me3 and H3K27me3 (9, 20, 24, 32-34) or CpG methylation (19, 22), 91 resulting in transcriptional gene repression. Note that it was proposed that the previously 92 reported transcriptional repression by siRNAs of the VEGF gene promoter and HIV LTR 93 could be due to off-target effects, since a similar effect was observed on reporter systems with 94 a deleted or mutated target site (35). For the HIV LTR, a later study showed that siRNAs

95	targeting HIVI LTR are specific to the HIVI LTR and do not affect the highly related HIV2
96	LTR neither a panel of other genes regulated in a similar way, arguing against purely off
97	target effects (36).

98 Despite these studies, to our knowledge, siRNAs repressing promoters while targeting RNAs 99 far downstream the promoter region has not been reported so far. Here, we show that siRNAs 100 directed against a chromatin-associated RNA from the vlincRNA (very long intergenic non-101 coding RNA) family can silence its promoter even when targeting sequences are located 102 thousands of bases downstream from the promoter. Moreover, through the use of genome 103 editing, we found that this effect occurs in cis and is not due to off target mechanisms, 104 therefore demonstrating RNA interference mechanisms acting at distance in mammals.

105

106

107

108 Results

109

110 siRNAs can efficiently decrease the expression of VINK nuclear RNA

111

In previous works, we successfully used siRNAs to deplete the expression of various RNAs associated with chromatin (14-16). This includes depletion of VAD, a senescence-specific ncRNA which belongs to the vlincRNA family (16). More recently, we investigated another vlincRNA also strongly associated with chromatin with more than 85 % present in the chromatin fraction and very weakly spliced, which we named VINK (Ouvrard et al., in preparation). During the course of this investigation, we designed and transfected siRNAs directed against this vlincRNA in RAF1 oncogene-induced senescent WI38 human cells. By 119 RT-qPCR, we observed that an siRNA against VINK (VINK-1 siRNA) efficiently inhibits 120 VINK expression when measured at various places along the VINK RNA (Figure 1A and 121 1B). A similar result was obtained with a second independent couple of control and VINK 122 siRNAs (VINK-2 siRNA), although VINK inhibition was slightly less efficient with this 123 siRNA (Figure 1A and 1C). A dose response showed that the effect was maximal from 10 nM 124 of siRNA (data not shown). The efficient depletion of VINK using each of these two siRNAs 125 was also observed on RNA-Seq experiments (Figure 1D). Thus, siRNAs against the VINK 126 vlincRNA efficiently repress VINK expression.

127 From these RNA-seq datasets, analysis of reads containing at least one spliced junction 128 showed that VINK is indeed poorly spliced with less than 0.4 % of spliced reads relative to all 129 reads aligned in VINK region. For comparison, we previously showed that the median 130 percentage of spliced reads in annotated genes is around 20 % (15). The main splicing 131 junctions are indicated in Fig1A and listed in Table S3 along with the locations of primers and 132 siRNA sequences. The most abundant splicing event occurs between a region close to the 133 TSS and a region around 100 kb downstream (see the sharp peaks in RNA -seq datasets in 134 Fig. 1D and Table S3).

135 Data showing that siRNAs can efficiently decrease VINK expression, as well as the 136 expression of other nuclear RNAs, which we have published previously (14-16), were 137 obtained in senescent WI38 cells expressing the hTERT, the catalytic subunit of the human 138 telomerase and an ER-RAF1 fusion protein. To test whether the depletion of VINK RNA by 139 siRNAs can be observed in other cell types, we made use of the human osteosarcoma U2OS 140 cell line that also expresses VINK. In U2OS cells, VINK is truncated from its 5'end, the 141 transcript starting around 75 nt upstream the region targeted by the siRNA-1 (data non 142 shown). Transfection of each of the two siRNAs against VINK efficiently repressed VINK 143 expression (Figure 1E).

144 Thus altogether, these data indicate that siRNAs can efficiently induce the knock-down of the145 chromatin-associated VINK RNA.

146

147 siRNAs affect the transcription of their targeted nuclear RNAs

148

149 Given that the use of siRNAs to target nuclear RNAs is debated, we intended to investigate 150 the mechanism of this effect. To that goal, we first analyzed whether siRNAs directed against 151 VINK induced its degradation. We transfected senescent WI38 cells with the VINK-1 siRNA 152 (which is the most efficient, see Figure 1B) or control siRNA and treated transfected cells 153 with actinomycin D to block the transcription. The decrease of VINK expression along time 154 reflects its stability. Using this experimental setting, we found that the half-life of VINK was 155 between 100 and 200 minutes, depending on the region of VINK analyzed (Figure 2A). This 156 half-life was longer when we analyzed the 5' extremity of VINK (VINK-A and VINK-B), 157 certainly because these regions are found in spliced forms of VINK (Fig 1A Suppl Tables). 158 However, wherever investigated, VINK half-life was not significantly changed in cells 159 transfected with the VINK-1 siRNA. Moreover, no difference in its half-life was observed 160 upon VINK-1 siRNA transfection following either short or long periods of actinomycin 161 treatment (Figure 2A and data not shown). Thus, these experiments suggest that the stability 162 of VINK RNA is not affected by the presence of VINK siRNAs.

163

We next assessed whether VINK siRNAs affected VINK transcription. To that goal, we analysed ongoing transcription by nascent RNA capture, which relies on metabolic labelling of RNAs being transcribed with a nucleotide analogue, allowing their biotinylation and purification on streptavidin beads. Analysis of recovered nascent RNAs thus provides an accurate measure of ongoing transcription. We transfected senescent WI38 cells with each of

the two siRNAs against VINK and measured nascent transcripts at different locations along VINK (Figure 2B). We found that the presence of VINK nascent RNA was strongly decreased upon transfection of the two VINK siRNAs in all regions analyzed (Figure 2B). Thus, siRNAs targeting VINK RNA decrease its transcription. This effect was also observed in U2OS cells (Figure 2C), indicating that it is not a specific feature of the WI38 cell line.

174

175 To test whether this effect is restricted to VINK or to RNAs from the vlincRNA family, we 176 transfected senescent WI38 cells with a siRNA directed against the ARHGAP18 read-through 177 RNA belonging to the family of START RNAs that we characterized in a previous study (14). 178 Read-through RNAs are produced by transcription beyond the transcription termination site 179 and are known to be nuclear/chromatin associated (37). We also observed that the presence of 180 ARHGAP18 START nascent RNA was strongly decreased upon transfection of the siRNA 181 targeting it (Figure 2D). ARHGAP18 nascent transcript from which the START RNA 182 originates was also decreased, as measured close to its TSS in its first exon and intron located 183 about 170 kb upstream the siRNA targeted site (Figure 2D). These results indicate that the 184 effect of the siRNA directed against ARHGAP18 START RNA is also transcriptional. 185 Thus, we conclude from these experiments that siRNAs can repress the transcription of the 186 chromatin-associated RNA they target, even when the targeted site is far from the promoter. 187 188 189 siRNAs targeting VINK RNA influence RNA polymerase II presence at VINK TSS

190

191 Inhibition of nascent RNA upon siVINK transfection was observed throughout the VINK 192 transcribed region, including when analyzing regions upstream of the siRNA-targeted 193 sequence (Figures 1 and 2). siRNA-mediated inhibition of nascent transcription by premature

194 transcription termination was recently observed in drosophila (38). To analyse whether VINK 195 siRNAs induce premature transcription termination or involve the repression of VINK 196 promoter, we analyzed the recruitment of RNA pol II to TSS which would be unaffected by 197 the induction of premature termination. We thus transfected the VINK-1 or VINK-2 siRNAs 198 and performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) using an antibody that recognizes total 199 RNA pol II. We found that both siRNAs against VINK inhibited the presence of RNA pol II 200 at VINK TSS compared to control GAPDH TSS (Figure 3B and data not shown for VINK-2 201 siRNA), indicating that the VINK siRNAs affect RNA pol II recruitment at VINK promoter. 202 We next analyzed whether this was also associated with the regulation of chromatin marks. 203 We found that the VINK-1 siRNA decreased the presence of the transcription-associated 204 H3K27ac, H3K4me3 and H3K36me3 marks at VINK TSS, whereas the repressive mark 205 H3K9me3 was unaffected (Figure 3C). H3K27me3 was undetectable at VINK TSS, even in 206 the presence of VINK-1 siRNA (data not shown). Thus, these data indicate that siRNAs 207 targeting VINK decrease the presence of transcription-associated chromatin marks and of 208 RNA Pol II at VINK TSS, therefore decreasing VINK transcription. To our knowledge, such 209 an effect on a promoter chromatin landscape induced by siRNAs targeting RNAs thousands 210 of bases downstream has never been reported so far.

211

212

Repression of transcription by VINK siRNA is dependent on base-pairing to its target site

215

We next tested whether the effect of VINK siRNA on VINK transcription is due to basepairing and not to off-target effects. Indeed, in some instances, transcriptional silencing using siRNAs was attributed to off-target effects (35). 220 To analyze whether pairing of the siRNA to its target site is involved, we raised, by genome 221 editing in WI38 cells, a stable cell line that we called WI38- Δ/Δ #1, in which 210 bp 222 encompassing the target site for the VINK-1 siRNA are deleted on the two alleles (data not 223 shown). We next transfected WI38- Δ/Δ #1 cells with the siRNAs directed against VINK. 224 Whereas the VINK-2 siRNA works as in parental cells (compare Figures 4A and 1C), the 225 VINK-1 siRNA, for which the sequence is not present in WI38- Δ/Δ #1 cells, did not repress 226 VINK expression (Figure 4A). Importantly, a similar result was also observed in another 227 independent clone deleted for the VINK-1-targeted sequence on the two alleles (WI38-228 Δ/Δ #2) (Figure 4B). The absence of effects of the VINK-1 siRNA on VINK expression in 229 WI38- Δ/Δ #2 cells was also obvious from RNA-seq data when comparing the effect of the 230 VINK-1 siRNA in parental WI38 (+/+) and deleted WI38- Δ/Δ #2 cells (Figure 4C).

Thus, removal of its target sequence abolished the effect of the VINK-1 siRNA on VINK expression, indicating that it represses the expression of its target RNA through base pairing to its target sequence. Of note, in the conditions we used to achieve VINK knock-down, VINK-1 siRNA induces prominent off-target effects with significant changes in the expression of thousands of genes upon siRNA transfection in the cell line in which the siRNA target site was deleted (Figure 4D).

- 237
- 238

239 VINK siRNA requires cis-targeting to repress transcription of VINK

240

All together the above-described data unambiguously demonstrate that the VINK-1 siRNA represses VINK transcription by a process involving base-pairing. This suggests that VINK-1 siRNA targets VINK RNA and induces chromatin modifications at VINK promoter in cis that

244 would lead to the repression of transcriptional initiation. However, we cannot formally rule 245 out the possibility that the VINK-1 siRNA targets and induces the degradation by 246 conventional RNAi mechanisms of a sub-population of VINK, an effect that would not be 247 observed in our previous experiments because it only represents a minor proportion of VINK. 248 If this population was able to indirectly regulate VINK expression, its depletion could then be 249 signalized to the VINK promoter to repress VINK transcription. Of note, the VINK-1 siRNA 250 targets a region located 300 bases upstream from a donor splice site (see Table S1 and S3), 251 and may thus target a spliced product of VINK.

252 To formally demonstrate that VINK siRNAs act in cis, we raised by genome editing a cell 253 line in which only one of the two alleles encoding VINK can be targeted by the VINK-1 254 siRNA, the other allele being deleted for the target sequence (that we called WI38- Δ /+). If the 255 effects of siRNAs were due to base pairing at chromatin inducing local chromatin 256 modifications, only the siRNA-targeted allele would be repressed. On the contrary, if the 257 repression of VINK transcription was indirect, then the two alleles should be similarly 258 regulated. We thus transfected WI38- Δ /+ cells with VINK-1 and VINK-2 siRNAs and 259 performed RT-qPCR monitoring allele-specific VINK expression (see Figure 5A for the 260 design of PCR primers). Each of the two siRNAs inhibited the expression of the wild type 261 allele with a higher effect of the VINK-1 siRNA compared to the VINK-2 siRNA (Figure 262 5B), as in previous experiments (see Figure 1B-D). By contrast, on the deleted allele, while 263 we still observed repression by the VINK-2 siRNA, repression by the VINK-1 siRNA was 264 abolished (Figure 5C). The effect of the VINK-1 siRNA on total VINK expression in WI38-265 Δ /+ was weaker than on the wild type allele (compare Figure 5D with Figure 5B) or than in 266 parental cells (compare Figure 5D with Figure 1B), as expected since only one allele was 267 affected.

Altogether, these data thus demonstrate that the effect of VINK-1 siRNA on VINK transcription is mediated in *cis* by base-pairing of the siRNA to its target site on the chromatin-associated VINK RNA.

271

272 Ago1 and Ago2 are involved in siRNA-mediated VINK transcriptional repression

273

274 It was shown that transcriptional silencing by siRNA targeting promoters can involve either 275 Ago1 or Ago2 (18, 31, 32). We thus investigated whether these proteins also participate in the 276 transcription repression by siRNAs targeting VINK. We first tested whether Ago1 or Ago2 277 can be associated with chromatin in WI38 cells, since the nuclear localization of Ago proteins 278 is somewhat debated. To that goal, we performed cell fractionation experiments to obtain 279 cytoplasmic, nuclear soluble and chromatin protein fractions (Figure 6A). Cell fractionation 280 was effective, since a-tubulin and GAPDH were mostly found in the cytoplasmic fraction, 281 PARP and HDAC1/2 in the nuclear soluble fraction and histone H3 in the chromatin fraction. 282 Interestingly, both Ago1 and Ago2 were detected in the three fractions, with an important 283 amount present at chromatin. Comparison with the GAPDH or α -tubulin profiles indicates 284 that this amount is unlikely due to contamination of the chromatin fraction with cytoplasmic 285 proteins, and thus indicates that Ago1 and Ago2 are present at the chromatin in significant 286 amounts. To test whether Ago1 or Ago2 expression was required for transcriptional 287 repression by VINK1 siRNA, we made use of siRNAs targeting either Ago1 or Ago2. These 288 two siRNAs were efficient and specific as observed by western blot (Figure 6B). We thus 289 tested the effect of Ago1 and/or Ago2 knockdown on VINK repression by the VINK-1 290 siRNA. We found that siRNAs against Ago1 and Ago2 have a similar efficiency on their 291 respective target whether or not they were transfected together with control or VINK-1 292 siRNAs, indicating that transfection of many siRNAs together did not affect their efficiency

(Figure 6C and D). In contrast, the effect of the VINK-1 siRNA was weaker in the presence of either Ago1 or Ago2 siRNA (Figure 6C) and was nearly abolished when knocking-down both Ago1 and Ago2 (Figure 6D). Importantly, this last result was also observed when analyzing nascent RNA expression, confirming that it occurs at the transcriptional level (Figure 6E). Thus, these results indicate that both Ago1 and Ago2 are involved in siRNAmediated transcriptional repression of VINK.

299

300

301 Discussion

302

303 In this study, we show that siRNAs can induce efficient and specific transcriptional silencing 304 of an RNA associated with chromatin. We observed the silencing of VINK promoter using 305 siRNAs targeting the RNA in regions located thousands of bases downstream of the promoter, 306 a phenomenom that, to our knowledge, has never been described so far in mammals. We 307 further demonstrate the involvement of Ago1 and Ago2 in this process. This silencing was 308 lost when the sequences targeted by the siRNA were deleted, ruling out off-target effects and 309 demonstrating that base-pairing to its target sequence is required. Note that we cannot 310 formally conclude whether this base pairing occurs on VINK RNA or on its transcribed 311 template DNA.

312

The first question raised by our finding is whether the interference we have shown here with exogenously delivered siRNAs can also take place in natural conditions with endogenous siRNAs. Indeed, in various species, RNAi mechanisms have been shown to be involved in transcriptional silencing occurring at specific chromatin domains such as pericentric heterochromatin in fission yeast (4). Moreover, the involvement of endogenous antisense

RNAs in the establishment or maintenance of heterochromatin silencing is well established (34, 39). Interestingly, it was recently proposed that tRNA-derived small RNAs (tsRNA) could be endogenous regulators of many genes, albeit by a different interference mechanism requiring cleavage by Ago2 (12), and other examples of endogenous siRNAs produced from protein-coding gene bodies have been described (40). Thus, we can speculate that endogenous RNAs complementary to chromatin-associated RNAs could be important regulators of the expression of these RNAs in mammals by triggering RNAi and transcriptional gene silencing.

326 To our knowledge, our study is the first to show that interfering RNAs targeting a RNA in 327 regions far downstream of a promoter can induce can induce its transcriptional silencing in 328 mammals. Such long-distance effects have been widely described in other species, in 329 particular in plants. In these cases, it relies on RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRP) 330 which mediates amplification and propagation of double strand RNAs towards the 5' end of 331 RNAs (4). However, in mammals, RdRP is not conserved. What could thus be the mechanism 332 involved in the process we describe here? It is known that double strand RNAs targeting the 333 vicinity of a promoter (upstream promoter or the first exon) can lead to transcriptional 334 silencing of the promoter through the induction of repressive epigenetic marks in a manner 335 dependent on some RISC components (19, 22, 24). Here, we can speculate that the silencing 336 of the promoter at distance of the siRNA target site could be achieved by chromatin folding that would bring the VINK/siRNA hybrid and the promoter in close proximity. As a 337 338 consequence, this would change the chromatin landscape at the promoters, as proposed for 339 miRNAs targeting the 3' end of genes (26, 27). Of note, the siVINK-1 siRNA used in our 340 studies targets a sequence close to an internal promoter, which is active in U2OS cells (data 341 not shown) and probably also in WI38 (Ouvrard et al., in preparation). This second VINK 342 TSS could favor its spatial proximity with the VINK promoter located more than 30 kb upstream. However, the fact that we observe transcriptional silencing with many different
siRNAs argues against the importance of specific preexisting chromatin folding that would
drive this silencing.

346

347 Contrary to the studies showing transcriptional gene silencing by miRNA targeting 348 downstream regions of genes (26, 27), we observed a decrease in the presence of marks 349 associated with transcription rather than an increase in repressive marks. Our data suggest the 350 involvement of Ago proteins in this silencing. First, mere steric blocking by the VINK/siRNA 351 hybrid is probably not sufficient for this silencing, since an antisense oligonucleotide 352 targeting the same sequence as the VINK-1 siRNA does not induce transcriptional silencing, 353 despite readily decreasing VINK expression (data not shown). Moreover, we found that Ago1 354 and Ago2 proteins depletion partially reversed VINK repression and that significant levels of 355 Ago1 and Ago2 proteins are present at the chromatin in WI38 cells. However, by ChIP, we 356 were not able to detect binding of Ago1 or Ago2 to the site targeted by the siRNA. We thus 357 cannot formally rule out the possibility that the requirement of Ago proteins is indirect. Along 358 this line, it would be interesting to analyse the relative importance of the siRNA seed domain 359 versus other parts of the siRNA and whether 100% complementarity is required for silencing.

Despite the fact that intronic sequences are also found associated with chromatin, siRNAs directed against intronic sequences of pre-mRNAs are usually not effective to decrease the expression of pre-mRNAs (5, 6, 41). This finding first indicates that transcription of the target sequence is not sufficient to induce transcriptional silencing by siRNA, suggesting that the target of the siRNA is chromatin-associated RNA but not the DNA being transcribed. Splicing occurs co-transcriptionally leading to the degradation of introns, which thus greatly decrease the window of opportunity for the siRNA to bind efficiently its target RNA or to induce

367 chromatin modifications when targeted. Moreover, siRNAs directed against a lncRNA did not 368 induce transcriptional silencing, except when targeting the first exon (13). Thus, whatever 369 the mechanism involved, it does not operate on any RNA associated with chromatin and we 370 can speculate that the difference lies in the time of residence of the targeted RNA at 371 chromatin.

372

373 The use of siRNAs to silence nuclear RNAs has been debated for long, because of the low 374 levels of some RNAi proteins in the nucleus. As a consequence, siRNA-mediated degradation 375 of RNA is mostly efficient in the cytoplasm. Nonetheless, as discussed above, siRNAs 376 targeting promoters can in some instances repress transcription from the promoter they target. 377 Here, we show that the promoter of chromatin-associated RNAs can be silenced using 378 siRNAs targeting these RNAs in regions located far downstream of the promoter. Thus, 379 siRNAs can represent interesting tools to investigate the function of chromatin-associated 380 RNA by allowing their efficient and specific knock-down, even if the promoter is not well 381 characterized or if the RNA is produced through multiple promoters. In addition, and coupled 382 to a method allowing the degradation of RNA without interfering with any transcription step, 383 it may help to distinguish between the role of the RNA itself and the role of its transcription, 384 an ever-raising question in the field. Most importantly, since we found that siRNAs inhibit the 385 transcription of the allele they target but not of the allele they do not target, they could allow 386 studying cis effects of nuclear non coding RNAs or of their transcription, provided that an 387 existing polymorphism or heterozygous genome editing, as we have done here, allows to 388 target a single allele.

389

Note however that in the conditions we used to achieve the knock-down of VINK, VINK-1
 siRNA induces prominent off-target effects with significant changes in the expression of

392 thousands of genes upon siRNA transfection in the cell line in which the siRNA target site 393 was deleted. Moreover, the use of two independent siRNAs was not sufficient to cope with 394 off-target effects. Indeed, most of the genes showing expression changes with two 395 independent siRNAs directed against VINK in wild type cells have a tendency to be changed 396 in a similar way in the cell line deleted for the siRNA-1 target site (data not shown). These 397 off-target effects are probably due to the fact that an siRNA can bind to and degrade target 398 RNAs without perfect sequence complementarity, the seed region of only 6-7 nucleotides in 399 the siRNA being involved in targeting numerous RNAs (42). It is possible that they are 400 exacerbated when siRNAs do not have any target in the cytoplasm, such as for siRNAs 401 directed against chromatin-associated RNAs or control siRNAs. Indeed, we also found 402 thousands of DE genes between two different control siRNAs (data non shown). Importantly, 403 we have not been able to dissociate the base pairing-dependent repression of VINK 404 expression to off-target effects by changing the amount of siRNAs or the time after 405 transfection at which we harvested cells (data not shown). It will thus be critically important 406 for functional studies to design an experimental strategy ruling out off-target effects, such as 407 overexpression of a siRNA-resistant form of the targeted RNA, or, as we performed here, 408 removal of the siRNA target site by genome editing. When possible, this latter strategy is 409 probably better since it maintains the normal production of the RNA at the normal place in the 410 genome and in the nucleus, which is sometimes critical for the function of non-coding RNAs.

411

Finally, our findings suggest that siRNAs targeting chromatin-associated RNAs may have therapeutic potential. Indeed, the therapeutic value of siRNAs is currently widely investigated (43). Moreover, the relation of chromatin-associated RNAs with cancer progression has been documented in many instances, such as PVT1, H19, MALAT1 and HOTAIR (for a recent review see (44)). RNAs from the vlincRNA family are also overexpressed in cancer cells (45), and this overexpression may participate in allowing these cells to survive genotoxic
stress (46). Targeting these RNAs with siRNAs may thus have therapeutic potential.
Moreover, by modifying the chromatin landscape at their promoters and thus potentially
reversing epigenetic information, it may have long-term benefits.

422

423 Materials and Methods

424

425 Cell culture

426

427 WI38-hTERT/ER-RAF1 immortalized fibroblastic cell line was kindly provided by C. Mann 428 (47). They were grown in MEM supplemented with L-glutamine, non-essential amino acids, 429 sodium pyruvate, penicillin-streptomycin and 10% of fetal bovine serum under 5% CO2 and 430 5% O2. Senescence was induced by treating cells with 20 nM of 4-hydroxy-tamoxifen for 431 three days. U2OS cells (ATCC) were grown in DMEM containing Glutamax supplemented 432 with sodium pyruvate, penicillin-streptomycin and 10% of foetal bovine serum under 5% 433 CO2. WI38 cells and derivatives were transfected using the siRNA transfection reagent 434 Dharmafect 4 (Dharmacon) following the manufacturer's instructions with 100 nM of siRNA 435 unless indicated in Figure legend and harvested 72 hours later. U2OS cells were transfected 436 with the Interferin transfection reagent (Polyplus) or with Dharmafect 4 according to the 437 manufacturers' instructions with 50 nM of siRNA and harvested 48 hours later. For RNA 438 stability analysis, actinomycin D (Sigma) was added at 10 μ g/mL. siRNAs used are listed in 439 Table S1:

440

441 Cell fractionation, western blots and antibodies

442

Phosphatases and proteases inhibitors (thermofisher, # 78441) were added in all buffers. Fresh
trypsinized cell were lysed in 5 cell volumes of lysis buffer (10 mM Tris pH8; 10 mM NaCl;
2 mM MgCl2). After 5 min on ice, 0.5% NP-40 was added. After another 10 min on ice, one
third of the volume was kept as whole cell extract, the remaining lysate was centrifuged 10

447 min at 5000 rpm. The supernatant corresponded to the cytosolic fraction. The pellet (nuclei) 448 was resuspended in 1 volume of buffer 3 (20 mM Hepes pH 7.9 ; 420 mM NaCl ; 1.5 mM 449 MgCl2; glycerol 10%), then incubated 30 min on ice, shaking every 5 min. After 10 min of 450 centrifugation at max speed, the supernatant was kept as nuclear soluble fraction. The pellet 451 was the chromatin fraction. All the fractions (cvtosol, nuclear soluble and chromatin) were 452 equilibrated to the same final volume and same buffer compositions, adding 1% SDS in each. 453 The whole cell extract was also adjusted to the same composition. The whole cell extract and 454 chromatin were sonicated until viscosity disappeared. Laemmli sample buffer was added to 455 the samples and the same volume of each fraction was loaded on an SDS-PAGE, except for 456 the whole cell extract for which twice the volume of fractions was loaded.

457

Western blots were performed using standard protocols. Ago1 and Ago2 were detected using the monoclonal anti-AGO1 (6H1L4) antibody from Invitrogen (used at 1/1000) and the monoclonal anti-AGO2 (R.386.2) antibody from Invitrogen (1/1000), GAPDH was detected using the monoclonal anti-GAPDH (MAB374) antibody from Millipore (1/10000), PARP with anti-PARP from Cell signaling at 1/1000 (9542), HDAC1, HDAC2 and HDAC3 with anti-HDAC-3 from BD transduction lab at 1/500 (611125) , H3 with anti-H3 from Abcam at 1/1000 (Ab1791) and α -tubulin with anti-tubulin from Sigma at 1/1000 (T6199).

465

For ChIP experiment, anti-RNA pol II was from Bethyl laboratories (A304-405A), antiH3K27ac from Abcam (Ab4729), anti-H3K4me3 from Diagenode (pAb-003), anti-H3K9me3
from Abcam (Ab8898), anti-H3 from Abcam (Ab1791)and anti-H3K36me3 from Abcam
(Ab9050). Purified rabbit IgG control was from Millipore (PP64B).

470

471 Genome Editing

473	Genome editing of WI38 was performed with CRISPR-Cas9 tools in ribonucleic particle
474	(RNP) format. Briefly, the Alt-R S.p. HiFi Cas9 Nuclease V3 (IDT) was assembled with
475	equimolar amount of hybridized sgRNA (tracrRNA and crRNA from IDT) to generate RNPs.
476	According to IDT recommendations, RNPs were transfected at 5 nM each with Lipofectamine
477	CRISPRMAX (Thermofisher). Cells were harvested one week later to analyse the efficiency
478	of genome editing. Genomic DNA was extracted with Master Pure purification kit (Epicentre)
479	according to the manufacturer's instructions, except that 250 μg of Proteinase K were used for
480	cell lysis. Genome editing efficiency was checked by PCR on genomic DNA from the
481	transfected population with the GoTaq G2 polymerase (Promega) using primers surrounding
482	the 210 bp deleted region. PCR products were visualized on an agarose gel. Clones were then
483	isolated and screened by PCR as described above. Two homozygous clones and one
484	heterozygous clone were selected. The sequences of sgRNAs are the following ones:
485	sgRNA-1 : TAGGAGGCCAGTGCTCCAGG
486	sgRNA-2 : TTCCTGACTCTTGAAAACCA
487	
488	
489	
490	
491	
492	RNA extraction, reverse transcription and qPCR
493	
494	Except when indicated, total RNA was prepared using the MasterPure RNA Purification Kit
495	(Epicentre) according to the manufacturer's instructions, except that 250 μg of Proteinase K
496	was used for cell lysis. In Figure 2A, TRIzol (Sigma) was used. Briefly, adherent cells are

497 directly lysed with 0.1 mL/cm² of TRIzol during 10 min, the lysate is harvested before adding

498 0.1 mL of chloroforme per mL of TRIzol. After centrifugation, the upper phase is collected 499 and the Epicentre kit is used for the following DNase digestion and subsequent precipitation. 500 After total nucleic acids recovery, DNA was removed with a cocktail of DNaseI and Baseline 501 Zero DNase supplemented with Riboguard RNase Inhibitor for 45 min at 37°C. 502 500 ng of total RNA were reverse transcribed with Superscript III reverse transcriptase 503 (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer's instructions. A condition without Superscript III was 504 performed for each sample and analysed by qPCR using GAPDH exon 9 primers to check for 505 DNA contamination. qPCR was performed in triplicate with TB green Premix Ex Taq from 506 Takara and the Biorad CFX thermocycler. GADPH mRNA expression was used to normalize 507 the results of RT-qPCR. Primers are listed in Table S2.

508

509 Nascent RNA capture

510

511 Cells were incubated with 0.2 mM of ethynyl-uridine, a uridine analogue, for 1h. Cells were 512 then harvested, total RNA was prepared and nascent RNA was purified from 2 μ g of total 513 RNA with the Click IT Nascent RNA capture kit from Life Technologies according to the 514 manufacturer's recommendations.

515

516

517 Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

518

519 ChIP was performed on 10.10^6 transfected senescent WI38 cells as previously described (16), 520 except that the nuclear lysis buffer was diluted twice before use, and sonicated nuclei were 521 diluted 5 times in the dilution buffer. 10 million cells transfected with siRNA were 522 crosslinked for 15 min using 1% formaldehyde directly in the culture medium. 0.125 M of

523 glycine were then added for 5 min. After two washes with PBS, cells were scraped and frozen at -80°C. Cells were lysed with 3 ml of lysis buffer (5 mM Pipes pH 8, 85 mM KCl, 0.5% 524 525 NP40) and homogenized 40 times with a dounce (20 times, pause 2 minutes, 20 times). After 526 centrifugation, nuclear pellets were resuspended in 1.5 ml of nuclear lysis buffer (25 mM Tris 527 pH 8.1, 5 mM EDTA, 0.5% SDS), and sonicated 10 times for 5s (power setting 0.5 ON 0.5 528 OFF and 50% amplitude, Branson Sonifier 250), to obtain DNA fragments of about 500 bp. 529 DNA concentration was determined using a Nanodrop and samples were adjusted to the same 530 concentration of chromatin. Samples were diluted five times in dilution buffer (0.01% SDS, 531 1.1% Triton X-100, 1.2 mM EDTA pH 8, 17 mM Tris pH 8.1, 167 mM NaCl) and precleared 532 for 2 h with 250 µL of previously blocked 50% protein-A and protein-G beads (Sigma P-7786 533 and P-3296 respectively). Blocking was achieved by incubating the beads with 0.5 mg/ml of 534 Ultrapure BSA and 0.2 mg/mL of salmon sperm DNA for 4 h at 4°C. 100 µL of chromatin 535 were kept for inputs. 100 µg of pre-cleared samples per ChIP were incubated overnight for 536 RNA pol II ChIP or 40 µg for histones ChIP with 4µg of antibody at 4°C. A mock sample 537 without antibody was processed similarly. Then, 40 μ L of blocked 50% A/G beads were 538 added for 2h at 4°C to recover immune complexes. Beads were washed once in dialysis buffer 539 (2 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris pH 8, 0.2% Sarkosyl), five times in wash buffer (100 mM Tris pH 540 8.8, 500 mM LiCl, 1% NP40, 1% NaDoc) and twice in TE buffer (10 mM Tris pH 8, 1 mM 541 EDTA). The bead/chromatin complexes were resuspended in 200 μ L of TE buffer and 542 incubated 30 min at 37 °C with 10 µg of RNase A (Abcam), as well as input DNA. 543 Formaldehyde crosslink was reversed in the presence of 0.2% SDS at 70°C overnight with 544 shaking. After 2 h of proteinase K (0.2 mg/ml) treatment at 45°C, immunoprecipitated and 545 input DNA were purified on columns using Illustra GFX kit (GE Healthcare). All buffers for 546 ChIP experiment were supplemented with EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) and 547 filtered 0.2 µM. Results were analysed by qPCR. Primers are listed in Table S2.

548

549

550 Statistics

551

552 In all figures with statistical analyses, data were normalized to 1 relative to a control which 553 was included in each experiment (usually a control siRNA). For significance analysis, the 554 Log2 of fold change compared to this control was calculated in order to obtain data with a 555 normal distribution. All experiments were then pooled together to calculate the variance of 556 these experiments with a sufficient number of points. We then calculated using the student t 557 test with this fixed variance the probability that the mean of each data set is equal to 0 (the 558 value of the control), which represents the p value of the difference to the control. In some 559 cases, we calculated using the paired student t test the probability that the mean of two 560 populations is equal, which represents the p value of the difference between the two 561 populations. A star is included in the Figure when the p value is below 0.05. The p value is 562 indicated in the figure when it is between 0.05 and 0.1. When above 0.1, the difference is 563 considered as non-significant and "ns" is indicated.

564

```
565 RNA-seq
```

566

We performed strand-specific RNA-Seq method, relying on UTP incorporation in the second
cDNA strand. For each sample, 5-10 µg of total RNA was submitted to EMBL-GeneCore,
Heidelberg, Germany. Paired-end sequencing was performed by Illumina's NextSeq 500
technology. Two replicates of each sample were sequenced.

571 The quality of each raw sequencing file (fastq) was verified with FastQC (48). Files were

aligned to the reference human genome (hg38) in paired-end mode with STAR Version 2.5.2b

573 and processed (sorting and indexing) with samtools (49). rDNA contamination was removed 574 in the alignment process. Uniquely aligned reads were counted, per gene id, using HT-seq 575 Version 0.6.1 in a strand specific mode with the union count method parameter (50). The 576 features' annotation used for counts was constructed from NCBI refseq annotation gtf file 577 from UCSC, taking the entire locus of reference for each gene. After removing lowly 578 expressed counts (> 4 reads over the 4 samples), differential analysis was performed with 579 DESeq2 Bioconductor R package, Version 1.22.1 (51). Counts were normalized using 580 DESeq2 normalization method. Both treatment (siRNA VINK/siRNA Ctrl) and batch 581 (replicates) effects were taken into account to estimate parameters and fit the model, in order 582 to apply Wald test. Bigwig files were generated using rtracklayer Bioconductor-R package, 583 Version 3.10, with 1 base pair bining and normalization based on the total number of read 584 aligned. Spliced read of VINK were obtained by using GenomicAlignments (version 1.22.1) 585 library in R script and human reference genome (BSgenome.Hsapiens.UCSC.hg38, version 586 1.4.1). Mapped paired-end reads were read from BAM with "readGAlignmentPairs" function. 587 By using "njunc" and "junction" functions, the number of spliced reads and genomic 588 coordinates of junctions were obtained (52). Spliced junctions were analysed as described in 589 (15).

590

591 Data availability

592

593 RNA-Seq data are available in the Geo database under the accession number # GSE197308594

595 Acknowledgements

596 This work was supported by a grant fom the Ligue Nationale Contre le Cancer to DT as an 597 "équipe labellisée". JO is supported by studentships from the French Ministry of Science and

- 598 from the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (FRM). LM was supported by a fellowship
- from the Fondation de France. EN is a researcher at the INSERM.
- 600 The authors wished to thank Antonin Morillon, Hervé Seitz and all members of D Trouche's
- 601 lab for helpful discussions. We thank the genomic facility (BigA) from the CBI and Virginie
- 602 Jouffret for RNA-Seq data analysis.
- 603
- 604
- 605 References

- 6071.Martinez J, Patkaniowska A, Urlaub H, Lührmann R, Tuschl T. 2002. Single-stranded608antisense siRNAs guide target RNA cleavage in RNAi. Cell 110:563-574.
- Liu J, Carmell MA, Rivas FV, Marsden CG, Thomson JM, Song JJ, Hammond SM,
 Joshua-Tor L, Hannon GJ. 2004. Argonaute2 is the catalytic engine of mammalian
 RNAi. Science 305:1437-1441.
- Meister G, Landthaler M, Patkaniowska A, Dorsett Y, Teng G, Tuschl T. 2004. Human
 Argonaute2 mediates RNA cleavage targeted by miRNAs and siRNAs. Mol Cell 15:185 197.
- 6154.Castel SE, Martienssen RA. 2013. RNA interference in the nucleus: roles for small616RNAs in transcription, epigenetics and beyond. Nat Rev Genet 14:100-112.
- 6175.Zeng Y, Cullen BR. 2002. RNA interference in human cells is restricted to the618cytoplasm. RNA 8:855-860.
- 6196.Vickers TA, Koo S, Bennett CF, Crooke ST, Dean NM, Baker BF. 2003. Efficient620reduction of target RNAs by small interfering RNA and RNase H-dependent antisense621agents. A comparative analysis. J Biol Chem 278:7108-7118.
- 622 7. Gagnon KT, Li L, Chu Y, Janowski BA, Corey DR. 2014. RNAi factors are present and
 623 active in human cell nuclei. Cell Rep 6:211-221.
- 8. Kalantari R, Hicks JA, Li L, Gagnon KT, Sridhara V, Lemoff A, Mirzaei H, Corey DR.
 2016. Stable association of RNAi machinery is conserved between the cytoplasm and nucleus of human cells. RNA 22:1085-1098.
- White E, Schlackow M, Kamieniarz-Gdula K, Proudfoot NJ, Gullerova M. 2014.
 Human nuclear Dicer restricts the deleterious accumulation of endogenous doublestranded RNA. Nat Struct Mol Biol 21:552-559.
- 630 10. Sharma NR, Wang X, Majerciak V, Ajiro M, Kruhlak M, Meyers C, Zheng ZM. 2016.
 631 Cell Type- and Tissue Context-dependent Nuclear Distribution of Human Ago2. J Biol
 632 Chem 291:2302-2309.
- 633 11. Sarshad AA, Juan AH, Muler AIC, Anastasakis DG, Wang X, Genzor P, Feng X, Tsai PF,
 634 Sun HW, Haase AD, Sartorelli V, Hafner M. 2018. Argonaute-miRNA Complexes
 635 Silence Target mRNAs in the Nucleus of Mammalian Stem Cells. Mol Cell 71:1040636 1050.e1048.

- bi Fazio A, Schlackow M, Pong SK, Alagia A, Gullerova M. 2022. Dicer dependent
 tRNA derived small RNAs promote nascent RNA silencing. Nucleic Acids Res 50:1734 1752.
- Stojic L, Niemczyk M, Orjalo A, Ito Y, Ruijter AE, Uribe-Lewis S, Joseph N, Weston S,
 Menon S, Odom DT, Rinn J, Gergely F, Murrell A. 2016. Transcriptional silencing of
 long noncoding RNA GNG12-AS1 uncouples its transcriptional and product-related
 functions. Nat Commun 7:10406.
- 64414.Muniz L, Deb MK, Aguirrebengoa M, Lazorthes S, Trouche D, Nicolas E. 2017.645Control of Gene Expression in Senescence through Transcriptional Read-Through of646Convergent Protein-Coding Genes. Cell Rep 21:2433-2446.
- Muniz L, Lazorthes S, Delmas M, Ouvrard J, Aguirrebengoa M, Trouche D, Nicolas E.
 2021. Circular ANRIL isoforms switch from repressor to activator of p15/CDKN2B
 expression during Raf1 oncogene-induced senescence. RNA Biol 18:404-420.
- Lazorthes S, Vallot C, Briois S, Aguirrebengoa M, Thuret JY, St Laurent G, Rougeulle
 C, Kapranov P, Mann C, Trouche D, Nicolas E. 2015. A vlincRNA participates in senescence maintenance by relieving H2AZ-mediated repression at the INK4 locus. Nat Commun 6:5971.
- 65417.Morris KV, Chan SW, Jacobsen SE, Looney DJ. 2004. Small interfering RNA-induced655transcriptional gene silencing in human cells. Science **305:**1289-1292.
- Ianowski BA, Huffman KE, Schwartz JC, Ram R, Nordsell R, Shames DS, Minna JD,
 Corey DR. 2006. Involvement of AGO1 and AGO2 in mammalian transcriptional
 silencing. Nat Struct Mol Biol 13:787-792.
- 65919.Castanotto D, Tommasi S, Li M, Li H, Yanow S, Pfeifer GP, Rossi JJ. 2005. Short660hairpin RNA-directed cytosine (CpG) methylation of the RASSF1A gene promoter in661HeLa cells. Mol Ther 12:179-183.
- Ting AH, Schuebel KE, Herman JG, Baylin SB. 2005. Short double-stranded RNA
 induces transcriptional gene silencing in human cancer cells in the absence of DNA
 methylation. Nat Genet 37:906-910.
- Janowski BA, Huffman KE, Schwartz JC, Ram R, Hardy D, Shames DS, Minna JD,
 Corey DR. 2005. Inhibiting gene expression at transcription start sites in
 chromosomal DNA with antigene RNAs. Nat Chem Biol 1:216-222.
- Suzuki K, Shijuuku T, Fukamachi T, Zaunders J, Guillemin G, Cooper D, Kelleher A.
 2005. Prolonged transcriptional silencing and CpG methylation induced by siRNAs
 targeted to the HIV-1 promoter region. J RNAi Gene Silencing 1:66-78.
- 67123.Zhang MX, Ou H, Shen YH, Wang J, Coselli J, Wang XL. 2005. Regulation of672endothelial nitric oxide synthase by small RNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102:16967-67316972.
- Weinberg MS, Villeneuve LM, Ehsani A, Amarzguioui M, Aagaard L, Chen ZX, Riggs
 AD, Rossi JJ, Morris KV. 2006. The antisense strand of small interfering RNAs directs
 histone methylation and transcriptional gene silencing in human cells. RNA 12:256262.
- Napoli S, Pastori C, Magistri M, Carbone GM, Catapano CV. 2009. Promoter-specific
 transcriptional interference and c-myc gene silencing by siRNAs in human cells.
 EMBO J 28:1708-1719.
- 681 26. Younger ST, Corey DR. 2011. Transcriptional regulation by miRNA mimics that target
 682 sequences downstream of gene termini. Mol Biosyst 7:2383-2388.

- 483 27. Yue X, Schwartz JC, Chu Y, Younger ST, Gagnon KT, Elbashir S, Janowski BA, Corey
 484 DR. 2010. Transcriptional regulation by small RNAs at sequences downstream from 3'
 485 gene termini. Nat Chem Biol 6:621-629.
- Han J, Kim D, Morris KV. 2007. Promoter-associated RNA is required for RNAdirected transcriptional gene silencing in human cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
 104:12422-12427.
- Hawkins PG, Santoso S, Adams C, Anest V, Morris KV. 2009. Promoter targeted
 small RNAs induce long-term transcriptional gene silencing in human cells. Nucleic
 Acids Res 37:2984-2995.
- Schwartz JC, Younger ST, Nguyen NB, Hardy DB, Monia BP, Corey DR, Janowski BA.
 2008. Antisense transcripts are targets for activating small RNAs. Nat Struct Mol Biol
 15:842-848.
- 69531.Chu Y, Yue X, Younger ST, Janowski BA, Corey DR. 2010. Involvement of argonaute696proteins in gene silencing and activation by RNAs complementary to a non-coding697transcript at the progesterone receptor promoter. Nucleic Acids Res 38:7736-7748.
- 69832.Kim DH, Villeneuve LM, Morris KV, Rossi JJ. 2006. Argonaute-1 directs siRNA-699mediated transcriptional gene silencing in human cells. Nat Struct Mol Biol 13:793-700797.
- 70133.Benhamed M, Herbig U, Ye T, Dejean A, Bischof O. 2012. Senescence is an702endogenous trigger for microRNA-directed transcriptional gene silencing in human703cells. Nat Cell Biol 14:266-275.
- 70434.Giles KE, Ghirlando R, Felsenfeld G. 2010. Maintenance of a constitutive705heterochromatin domain in vertebrates by a Dicer-dependent mechanism. Nat Cell706Biol 12:94-99; sup pp 91-96.
- 70735.Moses J, Goodchild A, Rivory LP. 2010. Intended transcriptional silencing with siRNA708results in gene repression through sequence-specific off-targeting. RNA 16:430-441.
- Suzuki K, Ishida T, Yamagishi M, Ahlenstiel C, Swaminathan S, Marks K, Murray D,
 McCartney EM, Beard MR, Alexander M, Purcell DF, Cooper DA, Watanabe T,
 Kelleher AD. 2011. Transcriptional gene silencing of HIV-1 through promoter
 targeted RNA is highly specific. RNA Biol 8:1035-1046.
- 71337.Vilborg A, Passarelli MC, Yario TA, Tycowski KT, Steitz JA. 2015. Widespread714Inducible Transcription Downstream of Human Genes. Mol Cell 59:449-461.
- 38. You J, Song Z, Lin J, Jia R, Xia F, Li Z, Huang C. 2021. RNAi-directed knockdown
 induces nascent transcript degradation and premature transcription termination in
 the nucleus. Cell Discov 7:79.
- 39. Lee JT, Lu N. 1999. Targeted mutagenesis of Tsix leads to nonrandom X inactivation.
 Cell 99:47-57.
- 40. Zhang MX, Zhang C, Shen YH, Wang J, Li XN, Chen L, Zhang Y, Coselli JS, Wang XL.
 2008. Effect of 27nt small RNA on endothelial nitric-oxide synthase expression. Mol
 Biol Cell 19:3997-4005.
- 41. Qiu L, Hu X, Jing Q, Zeng X, Chan KM, Han J. 2018. Mechanism of cancer:
 724 Oncohistones in action. J Genet Genomics 45:227-236.
- Birmingham A, Anderson EM, Reynolds A, Ilsley-Tyree D, Leake D, Fedorov Y,
 Baskerville S, Maksimova E, Robinson K, Karpilow J, Marshall WS, Khvorova A.
 2006. 3' UTR seed matches, but not overall identity, are associated with RNAi offtargets. Nat Methods 3:199-204.

- Traber GM, Yu AM. 2022. RNAi based therapeutics and novel RNA bioengineering
 technologies. J Pharmacol Exp Ther.
- 44. Chen Y, Li Z, Chen X, Zhang S. 2021. Long non-coding RNAs: From disease code to drug role. Acta Pharm Sin B 11:340-354.
- 45. St Laurent G, Shtokalo D, Dong B, Tackett MR, Fan X, Lazorthes S, Nicolas E, Sang N,
 734 Triche TJ, McCaffrey TA, Xiao W, Kapranov P. 2013. VlincRNAs controlled by
 735 retroviral elements are a hallmark of pluripotency and cancer. Genome Biol 14:R73.
- Cao H, Xu D, Cai Y, Han X, Tang L, Gao F, Qi Y, Cai D, Wang H, Ri M, Antonets D,
 Vyatkin Y, Chen Y, You X, Wang F, Nicolas E, Kapranov P. 2021. Very long intergenic
 non-coding (vlinc) RNAs directly regulate multiple genes in cis and trans. BMC Biol
 19:108.
- Jeanblanc M, Ragu S, Gey C, Contrepois K, Courbeyrette R, Thuret JY, Mann C. 2012.
 Parallel pathways in RAF-induced senescence and conditions for its reversion.
 Oncogene 31:3072-3085.
- Andrews S. 2010. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.
 Available

745 *online at* : <u>http://wwwbioinformaticsbabrahamacuk/projects/fastqc/</u>.

- Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennel T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G,
 Durbin R. 2009. The sequence alignment /Map (SAM) format and SAMtools.
 BioinformaticsR and 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup 25:2078-2079.
- 74950.Anders S, Pyl P, Huber W. 2014. HTSeq A Python framework to work with high750throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics *doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu638*.
- 75151.Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and752dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15:550.
- Lawrence M, Huber W, Pagès H, Aboyoun P, Carlson M, Gentleman R, Morgan MT,
 Carey VJ. 2013. Software for computing and annotating genomic ranges. PLoS
 Comput Biol 9:e1003118.
- 756

757

760

761 Figure 1 - Small interfering RNAs can efficiently decrease VINK expression

762

763 A: Schematic representation of VINK genomic locus, with the localization of siRNA binding 764 sites, qPCR primers, and main splicing events detected in Fig. 1D RNA-Seq experiments 765 indicated. The exact locations of siRNAs, primers and main splicing events relative to the 766 TSS of VINK estimated from RNA-Seq read coverage (Ouvrard et al., manuscript in 767 preparation) are indicated in Table S1, S2 and S3, respectively. B: Senescent WI38-RAF1 768 cells were transfected with the VINK-1 or control (Ctle-1) siRNAs. 72 hours later, total RNA 769 was prepared and analysed by RT-qPCR for the expression of GAPDH mRNA or VINK 770 (using the indicated primers). The amount of VINK RNA was standardized to GAPDH 771 mRNA and calculated relative to 1 for cells transfected with the Ctle-1 siRNA. The means 772 and standard deviations from 5 independent experiments are shown. *: p value < 0.05773 compared to control. C: Same as in B, except that siVINK-2 and another control siRNA 774 (Ctle-2) were used. The means and standard deviations from 7 independent experiments are 775 shown. *: p value < 0.05 compared to control. **D**: WI38-RAF1 cells were treated as in B and 776 C, and total RNA was analysed by RNA-Seq. Two duplicates of RNA-seq experiments were 777 visualized in the IGB browser showing the normalized read coverage for the VINK locus. 778 Splicing events consistently detected in RNA-Seq experiments are indicated above the 779 profiles. E: U2OS cells were transfected with the indicated siRNAs. 48 hours later, total RNA 780 was prepared and analysed by RT-qPCR for the expression of GAPDH mRNA or VINK 781 (using the indicated primers). The amount of VINK RNA was standardised to GAPDH 782 mRNA and calculated relative to 1 for cells transfected with the Ctle-1 siRNA. The means and standard deviations from 3 independent experiments are shown. *: p value < 0.05
compared to Ctle-1 siRNA ; ns: non-significant, p value > 0.1.

785

786 Figure 2 - Small interfering RNAs affect VINK transcription

787

788 A: Senescent WI38-RAF1 cells were transfected with the VINK-1 or control (Ctle-1) 789 siRNAs. 48 hours later, actinomycin D (10 µg/mL) was added or not. Cells were harvested 790 after the indicated time. Total RNA was prepared with Trizol and analysed by RT-qPCR for 791 the expression of GAPDH mRNA or VINK (using the indicated primers). The amount of 792 VINK RNA was standardised to GAPDH mRNA (which does not vary during this time 793 course of actinomycin D) and calculated relative to 100 for the untreated sample. The means 794 and standard deviations from 3 independent experiments are shown. Student t test was 795 performed to compare VINK1 or Ctle-1 siRNAs samples at each time point of actinomycin D 796 treatment. ns: p value > 0.1. B: Senescent WI38-RAF1 cells were transfected with the 797 indicated siRNAs. Nascent RNAs (Biotin-pull down) were prepared and analysed by RT-798 qPCR for the expression of GAPDH mRNA or VINK (using the indicated primers). The 799 amount of VINK RNA was standardised to GAPDH mRNA and calculated relative to 1 for 800 the Ctle-1 siRNA. The means and standard deviations from 3 independent experiments are 801 shown. *: p value < 0.05 compared to Ctle-2 siRNA. C: Same as in B, except that U2OS cells 802 were used. *: p value < 0.05 compared to Ctle-1 siRNA ; ns: non-significant, p value > 0.1. D: 803 Senescent WI38-RAF1 cells were transfected with Ctle-1 siRNA or an siRNA directed 804 against ARHGAP18 START RNA (14). Nascent RNAs (Biotin-pull down) were prepared and 805 analysed by RT-qPCR for the expression of GAPDH mRNA or the indicated primers on 806 ARHGAP18. The amount of ARHGAP18 or START RNA was standardised to GAPDH 807 mRNA and calculated relative to 1 for the Ctle-1 siRNA. The means and standard deviations

from 3 independent experiments are shown. *: p value < 0.05 compared to Ctle-1 siRNA ; ns:

809 non-significant, p value > 0.1; number: p value compared to Ctle-1 siRNA.

810

811 Figure 3 – VINK siRNAs affect the presence of RNA polymerase II at VINK TSS

812

813 A: Schematic representation showing the localization of the TSS (transcriptional start site) of 814 VINK and primers used to analyse ChIP experiments. B: Senescent WI38-RAF1 cells were 815 transfected with the indicated siRNAs (four experiments were performed with Ctle-2 and two 816 with Ctle-1). 72 hours later, fixed chromatin was prepared and subjected to a ChIP experiment 817 using an antibody directed against total RNA pol II or control IgG or no antibody as a control, 818 as indicated. The amount of VINK TSS sequence was measured by qPCR with the indicated 819 primers, standardized to the amount of GAPDH TSS sequence and calculated relative to 1 for 820 the RNA pol II ChIP from cells transfected by the Ctle siRNA. The means and standard 821 deviations from six independent experiments are shown (four controlled with no antibody 822 (NoAb) and two with a control IgG. C: Same as in B, except that anti-H3K27ac, anti-823 H3K4me3, anti-H3K36me3, anti-H3K9me3 antibodies were used. The Ctle siRNAs were the 824 siCtle-1 siRNA except for H3K27ac experiments for which three experiments were 825 performed with Ctle-1 and three with Ctle-2. The amount of VINK TSS sequence was 826 standardised to the amount of GAPDH TSS sequence and to nucleosome occupancy measured 827 by ChIP using an antibody recognising total histone H3. The result was calculated relative to 828 1 for relevant ChIPs from cells transfected by the Ctle siRNA. The means and standard 829 deviations from six (H3K27ac) or three (all other antibodies) independent experiments are 830 shown. *: p value < 0.05 compared to Ctle siRNA.

831

832 Figure 4 – The siRNA target site is required for VINK repression

834	A: Senescent WI38- Δ/Δ #1 cells were transfected with the indicated siRNAs. 72 hours later,
835	total RNA was prepared and analysed by RT-qPCR for the expression of GAPDH mRNA or
836	VINK (using the indicated primers). The amount of VINK RNA was standardized to GAPDH
837	mRNA and calculated relative to 1 for cells transfected with the Ctle-1 siRNA. The means
838	and standard deviations from 3 independent experiments are shown. *: p value < 0.05
839	compared to Ctle-2 siRNA ; ns: non-significant, p value > 0.1. B: Same as in A, except that
840	senescent WI38- Δ/Δ #2 cells were used. The means and standard deviations from five
841	independent experiments are shown. *: p value < 0.05 compared to Ctle-2 siRNA ; ns: non-
842	significant, p value > 0.1; number: p value compared to Ctle-2 siRNA. Note that siCtle1 leads
843	to a slightly higher VINK expression than the siCtle2 in the cell line, probably due to off
844	target effects exemplified in D. C: Senescent parental WI38-RAF1 (WI38-RAF1 WT) or
845	WI38- Δ/Δ #2 cells were transfected with the indicated siRNA, and total RNA was analysed
846	by RNA-Seq. Two duplicates of RNA-seq data for the VINK locus are shown. Note that data
847	from WI38-RAF1 WT are the same as the four upper lines in Figure 1D. D: Senescent wild
848	type WI38 cells were transfected with siVINK-1, siVINK-2, siCtle-1 and siCtle-2, whereas
849	homozygously deleted (Δ/Δ #2) cells were transfected with siVINK-1 and siCtle-1. Total
850	RNAs were prepared from two independent experiments and subjected to RNA-Seq.
851	Differential gene expression (DGE) analysis was performed with the DESeq2 package. 1616
852	genes were found significantly deregulated by the two VINK siRNAs compared to the two
853	controls in wild-type cells, and 2216 genes were significantly deregulated by the siVINK-1
854	siRNA in the Δ/Δ #2 cell line. These 2216 genes represent off-target genes since the siVINK-
855	1 siRNAs does not decrease VINK expression in this cell line (see above). The Venn diagram
856	shows the intersection between these two gene sets. Note that 720 out of the 1616 genes

857	significantly deregulated by the VINK1 and VINK2 siRNAs in wild type cells are also
858	significantly changed upon VINK-1 siRNA transfection in the deleted cell line.
859	
860	
861	
862	
863	Figure 5 - VINK siRNA requires a cis-targeting to chromatin to repress VINK
864	transcription
865	

866 A: Schematic representation of the two alleles of VINK present in WI38- Δ /+ with primers 867 allowing their specific detection. The deleted allele is recognized by a primer located on the 868 deletion junction. B: Senescent WI38- Δ /+cells were transfected with the indicated siRNAs. 72 869 hours later, total RNA was prepared and analysed by RT-qPCR for the expression of GAPDH 870 mRNA or VINK wild type allele. The amount of VINK allele was standardised to GAPDH 871 mRNA and calculated relative to 1 for cells transfected with the Ctle-2 siRNA. The means 872 and standard deviations from 3 independent experiments are shown. *: p value < 0.05873 compared to Ctle-2 siRNA ; ns: non-significant, p value > 0.1. C: Same as in B, except that 874 the deleted VINK allele was analysed. The means and standard deviations from 3 875 independent experiments are shown. *: p value < 0.05 compared to Ctle-2 siRNA ; ns: non-876 significant, p value > 0.1; number: p value compared to Ctle2 siRNA. D: Same as in B and C, 877 except that total VINK expression was analysed with the indicated primers. The means and 878 standard deviations from 3 independent experiments are shown. *: p value < 0.05 compared 879 to Ctle-2 siRNA ; ns: non-significant, p value > 0.1; number: p value compared to Ctle2 880 siRNA.

881

Figure 6 – Ago1 and Ago2 are involved in VINK transcriptional repression by VINK siRNA

884

885 A: Senescent W138 cells were recovered and subjected to a fractionation experiments. Whole 886 cell extracts (WCE) and cytoplasmic (cyto), nuclear soluble (NS) and chromatin (CHR) 887 fractions from the same amount of cells were analysed by western blot using the indicated 888 antibodies. B: Senescent WI38 cells were transfected with 30 nM of the indicated siRNAs. 72 889 hours later, cells were harvested and total cell extracts were subjected to a western blot 890 analysis with antibodies directed against Ago1, Ago2 or GAPDH as a loading control. The 891 stars (*) indicate non-specific bands. Identical results were obtained in three independent 892 experiments C: Senescent WI38 cells were transfected with 30 nM of the indicated siRNAs. 893 The amount of siRNAs was kept constant at 60 nM using Ctle-1 siRNA. 72 hours later, total 894 RNA was prepared and analysed by RT-qPCR for the expression of GAPDH, Ago1 or Ago2 895 mRNA or VINK. The amount of Ago1, Ago2 or VINK were standardised to GAPDH mRNA 896 and calculated relative to 1 for cells transfected with the Ctle-1 siRNA. The means and 897 standard deviations from 3 independent experiments are shown. *: p value < 0.05 when 898 comparing the effect of siVINK-1 in cells depleted for Ago1 or Ago2 to its effect alone 899 (paired student t test). D: Senescent WI38 cells were transfected with 25 nM of the indicated 900 siRNAs. The amount of siRNAs was kept constant at 75 nM using Ctle-1 siRNA. 72 hours 901 later, total RNA was prepared and analysed by RT-qPCR for the expression of GAPDH, 902 Ago1 or Ago2 mRNA or VINK. The amount of Ago1, Ago2 mRNA or VINK were 903 standardised to GAPDH mRNA and calculated relative to 1 for cells transfected with the Ctle-904 1 siRNA. The means and standard deviations from 6 independent experiments are shown. *: p 905 value < 0.05 when comparing the effect of siVINK-1 in cells depleted for Ago1 and Ago2 to 906 its effect alone (paired student t test). E Same as in D, except that nascent RNAs were

907	recovered. The amount of VINK was standardised to GAPDH and calculated relative to 1 for
908	cells transfected with the Ctle-1 siRNA. The means and standard deviations from three
909	independent experiments are shown. *: p value < 0.05 when comparing the effect of siVINK-
910	1 in cells depleted for Ago1 and Ago2 to its effect alone (paired student t test).
911	



Ε

D



Ouvrard et al. Figure 1







primers : VINK-A







Ouvrard et al. Figure 4



Ouvrard et al. Figure 5



Ouvrard et al. Figure 6